RSC Advances



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

ARTICLE TYPE

One-step chemoselective conversion of tetrahydropyranyl ethers to silylprotected alcohols.[†]

Julián Bergueiro^a, Javier Montenegro^b*, Carlos Saá^b and Susana López^a*

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 200X, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 200X 5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

Aluminium trichloride catalyses the expeditious direct conversion of tetrahydropyranyl ethers to silyl ethers. This one-step transformation is chemoselective versus deprotection of the acetal and hydrosilylation of unsaturated carbon-carbon bonds, and can also be applied to linear acetals. A possible mechanism is tentatively proposed.

Keywords: one-pot, chemoselectivity, homogeneous catalysis, aluminium, acetals, silyl ethers.

10 Introduction

The replacement of one protecting group with another, a common process in the synthesis of polyfunctional molecules, usually requires two separate steps: deprotection and reprotection.¹ One-step conversion of one protecting group to ¹⁵ another, when possible, saves time, material, and energy.

Hydroxyl-protecting groups have been extensively explored and are generally classified as giving rise to alkyl ethers, silyl ethers, acetals, or esters; among the most popular are those producing silyl ethers² (-SiR₃) or acetals (tetrahydropyranyl

- ²⁰ (THP), ethoxyethyl (EE), methoxymethyl (MOM), etc.). A number of methods are now available for direct conversions among the various types,³ yet only a couple concern the formation of silyl ethers from the widely used cyclic acetal (THP) ether.^{1,4} Kim *et al.*⁵ transformed THP ethers into *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl
- ²⁵ (TBDMS) ethers by treatment with TBDMSOTf and dimethyl sulfide in dichloromethane. Using Ph₃P instead of Me₂S afforded just slightly decreased yields, but pyridine and Et₃N were ineffective. Primary and secondary alkyl or benzylic THP ethers responded well, yielding the corresponding TBDMS ethers in high
- ³⁰ yields under very mild conditions (-50 °C), but allylic and tertiary alkyl THP ethers were less responsive. Oriyama⁶ later reported that a mixture of trialkylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate and triethylamine converts THP ethers to the corresponding trialkylsilyl ethers at room temperature. Better yields were obtained with
- ³⁵ phenolic ethers than with aliphatic ethers, conversion of only primary and secondary examples of the latter being reported. The desired conversion also resulted from Sn(OTf)₂-catalysed reduction of THP ethers with a trialkylsilane, at least in the case of simple primary and secondary protected alcohols.
- ⁴⁰ Despite their usefulness, both the above methods suffer from drawbacks (the use of noxious dimethylsulfide, or Lewis acid containing toxic tin, or competitive *O*-silylation of free hydroxyls by silyltriflate donors) and both afford unsatisfactory yields for sterically demanding aliphatic substrates. There is clearly a need

⁴⁵ for a "greener" and more generally applicable method.

Scheme 1. Direct conversion of acetals to silyl ethers.



AlCl₃ is one of the most powerful Lewis acids, and is also ⁵⁰ probably the most commonly used⁷ in synthetic laboratories and in the chemical industry as a catalyst for Friedel–Crafts reactions, polymerizations, acetal cleavage,⁸ and the hydrosilylation⁹ of unsaturated carbon-carbon bonds. Here we report the use of aluminum trichloride catalyst for the expedient, direct conversion ⁵⁵ of acetals into silyl ethers. In addition to being effective with primary, secondary, and tertiary alkyl THP ethers, and for a wide range of different silyl protecting groups (including some of the more commonly employed), this reaction is applicable to substrates with unprotected functional groups that are known to be ⁶⁰ reactive under AlCl₃/R₃SiH conditions, including alkenes and alkynes. It can be also applied to linear acetals.

Results and discussion

Optimization of reaction conditions

With Oriyama's⁶ Sn(OTf)₂-catalysed reaction in mind, we initiated 65 our study by screening a representative set of Lewis acids. We chose the conversion of 1-(2-tetrahydropyranyloxy) octane $(1a_1)$ to 1-(dimethylphenylsilyloxy) octane $(2a_1)$ as the model reaction (Table 1). Silane and catalyst (5 mol%) were mixed in CH₂Cl₂ at 0 °C, and the acetal was then added.¹⁰ As expected, Sn(OTf)₂ 70 worked well for this simple THP-protected substrate, giving a yield of 81% (Table 1, entry 1). The titanium-based Lewis acids $CpTiCl_2$ and $Ti(iOPr)_2$ had no effect, while $TiCl_4$ led to decomposition of the starting material in less than 1 h (entries 2-4). BF₃·Et₂O produced a complex mixture, and InCl₃ afforded but 75 a poor yield, the main product being deprotected octanol (3a) (entries 5 and 6). FeCl₃ gave a better yield (60%, entry 7), though inferior to that of 35

Table 1. Optimization of Lewis acid and reaction conditions.



Entry	Lewis acid	% mol	Time (h)	Solvent	Yield $(\%)^{a)}$
1	Sn(OTf) ₂	5	2	CH_2Cl_2	81
2	CpTiCl ₂	5	5	CH_2Cl_2	
3	Ti(iOPr) ₂	5	5	CH_2Cl_2	
4	$TiCl_4$	5	1	CH_2Cl_2	Decomp
5	BF ₃ ·Et ₂ O	5	2	CH_2Cl_2	10
6	InCl ₃	5	2	CH_2Cl_2	19 ^{b)}
7	FeCl ₃	5	2	CH_2Cl_2	60
8	EtAlCl ₂	5	8	CH_2Cl_2	74
9	AlCl ₃	5	0.5	CH_2Cl_2	91
10	AlCl ₃	2.5	1	CH_2Cl_2	82
11	AlCl ₃	10	0.5	CH_2Cl_2	50 ^{b)}
12	AlCl ₃	5	2	Toluene	85
13	AlCl ₃	5	5	THF	
14	AlCl ₃	5	5	DMF	

a) Isolated yield after column chromatography. b) Deprotected octanol (3a) was also obtained.

- ⁵ Sn(OTf)₂; and EtAlCl₂ yet a better (74%, entry 8), but required a reaction time of 8 h. Finally, with AlCl₃ an excellent 91% yield was obtained in just half an hour (entry 9), and we proceeded to optimize the experimental conditions for this catalyst.
- Decreasing the concentration of AlCl₃ to 2.5 mol% slowed the ¹⁰ reaction and lowered the yield (entry 10), while increasing it to 10 mol% favoured deprotection over the desired conversion (entry 11). At this point we also noticed that the absence of water was critical for avoiding THP cleavage, and dried solvent and freshly sublimated AlCl₃ were accordingly used in all subsequent
- ¹⁵ experiments. Trials with alternative solvents identified none better than dichloromethane: the reaction was slightly slower in toluene, and failed to occur to any detectable extent in the coordinating solvents THF and DMF (entries 12-14).

Scope, chemoselectivity and limitations

- To evaluate the scope of the reaction on the silyl side we ran the reactions of 1-(2-tetrahydropyranyloxy)octane ($1a_1$) with an assortment of commercially available silanes (Table 2). Direct conversion proceeded smoothly in all cases, regardless of the steric and/or electronic properties of the silane: although slightly
- ²⁵ longer reaction times (1h) were needed for silanes that were bulky (entries 7, 9 and 10) or oxygenated (entries 3 and 8), the yield of the silyl ether $2a_x$ was always excellent. From among all the silanes tested, PhMe₂SiH was selected for use thereafter in view of its excellent yield, easy visualization by TLC, and low cost.
- To evaluate the scope of the reaction we tested a collection of THP ethers that included different functional groups (Table 3).

 Table 2. Hydrosilane screening.



www.rsc.org/xxxxxx | XXXXXXXX

Entry	R ₃ SiH	Time (h)	Product Number	Yield $(\%)^{a)}$
1	PhMe ₂ SiH	0.5	2a ₁	91
2	BnMe ₂ SiH	0.5	2a ₂	89
3	(EtO)Me ₂ SiH	1	2a ₃	80
4	tBuMe ₂ SiH	0.5	$2a_4$	83
5	Ph ₃ SiH	0.5	2a ₅	79
6	Et ₃ SiH	0.5	$2a_6$	86
7	<i>i</i> Pr ₃ SiH	1	2a ₇	93
8	(EtO) ₃ SiH	1	2a ₈	78
9	tBu2MeSiH	1	2a ₉	80
10	tBu ₃ SiH	1	2a ₁₀	79

a) Isolated yield after column chromatography.

Primary, secondary and even tertiary alkyl acetals were all converted to the corresponding dimethylphenylsilyl ethers $2x_1$ in short time and excellent yields, as were allylic, benzylic and ⁴⁰ propargylic acetals, although an extra equivalent of hydrosilane was required for sterically demanding substrates, entries 6 and 8.

- Of particular note, the reaction was compatible with halide, alkene, alkyne and aromatic functional groups, being completely chemoselective for conversion of the protecting group despite 45 these same experimental conditions having been shown to effect the regio- and stereoselective hydrosilylation of alkenes and alkynes.⁹ Although substrates with free hydroxyl groups were more problematic under standard conditions (PhMe₂SiH, AlCl₃, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C), the THP-monoprotected 1,7-heptanediol 1k₁ 50 evolved within minutes to deprotected 1,7-heptanediol (3k) instead of giving the desired 7-(phenyldimethyl-silyloxy)-1heptanol $(2k_1)$; see Table 4, entry 1. The use of the bulky silane *i*Pr₃SiH in toluene allowed useful yields of the corresponding silyl ether to be obtained - 58% in the case of 7-(triisopropylsilyloxy)-⁵⁵ 1-heptanol $(2k_6)^{11}$ (entry 2) and 70% in that of the bispropargylic substrate 4-(triisopropysilyloxy)-but-2-yn-1-ol (21₆) (entry 3). In this last case the final reaction mixture showed no traces of silane alcoholysis, reduction of the alcohol,¹² hydrosilylation of the alkyne, or cleavage of the acetal.
- ⁶⁰ Finally, to explore the possible extension of the method to linear acetals, we subjected the methoxymethyl ether (MOM) $1a_2$ and the ethoxyethyl ether (EE) $1a_3$ to the standard conditions (Table 5). In these cases the desired product, silyl ether $2a_1$, was accompanied by the alkyl ethers $4a_x$ due to the alternative ⁶⁵ cleavage of the acetals, the $4a_x:2a_1$ ratio being greater for the α substituted acetal $1a_1 (27\%)$ than for the α unsubstituted $1a_2$
- α -substituted acetal **1a**₃ (27%) than for the α -unsubstituted **1a**₂ (16%) (Table 5, entries 2 and 3). In both cases the global yield of **2a**₁ and **4a**_x exceeded 90%.

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxx

Table 3. Scope of the reaction for THP ethers with no unprotected hydroxyl groups.

R-OTH	AICl ₃ , PhMe ₂ Sil	H →→ R	R-OSiMe ₂ Ph	
1x ₁	CH ₂ Cl ₂ , 0 ^y C, 0.	5 h	2x ₁	
Entry	R-OTHP	Product Number	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Yield} \\ (\%)^{a) \ b)} \end{array}$	
1		2a ₁	91	
2	OTHP	2b ₁	90	
3		2c ₁	89	
4	OTHP 1d1	2d ₁	88	
5		P 2e ₁	85	
6		$2f_1$	80 ^{c)}	
7	OTHP 1g1	2g ₁	81	
8		2h ₁	80 ^{c)}	
9		2i ₁	97	
10	Br OTHP	2j ₁	81	

a) Isolated yield after column chromatography;
b) Standard conditions: 0.05 eq of AlCl₃, 1.25 eq of PhMe₂SiH, 0.5 h. c)
2.00 eq of PhMe₂SiH, 1.25 eq of PhMe₂SiH, 0.5 h.

ARTICLE TYPE

15 **Table 4.** Optimization of chemoselectivity for THP ethers with unprotected hydroxyl groups.



a) Isolated yield after column chromatography; b) 1,7-heptanediol (3k) was also obtained.

20 Mechanism

On the basis of the above experimental evidence, the tentative mechanism shown in Scheme 2 is proposed. Since pre-mixing of catalyst and silane seems to be critical for the efficiency of the reaction, the activation of the silane by aluminium through 25 hydride abstraction appears to be a key step.¹³ Following that, two pathways are possible (Routes A and B), corresponding to the two ways in which the reactive silyl-aluminium species can coordinate to the acetal oxygen atoms to form the six-membered cyclic transition structure of a concerted mechanism¹⁴ in which charge 30 pushing by one of the oxygens drives cleavage of the other acetal bond. Cleavage releases a silvl ether $(2a_1 \text{ or } 6a_x)$ and a carbocation (I or II) that subsequently evolves to compound $5a_x$ or $4a_x$.¹⁵ For THP ethers only Route A proceed well, Route B requiring the opening of the pyrane ring; but for the linear acetals both 35 pathways may proceed well, leading to the observed mixtures of compounds $2a_1$ and $4a_x$.

40

5

 Table 5. Extension to linear acetals.

	$\left(\right)_{7}^{0} \right)_{R^{1}}^{0} R^{2}$	AICl ₃ PhMe ₂ SiH CH ₂ Cl _{2,} 0 °C	₩ ^C ₇	0SiMe₂Ph +	₩,0~	∠R ¹
	1a _x		Za	1	4a _x	
Entry	Substrate	2a ₁	l (%)	$4a_x(\%)$		Total Yield (%) ^{a)}
					OSiMe ₂ Ph	
1	$1a_1 (OTHP) R^1 + R^2 = -(CH_2)_4-$	91		4a ₁ (0)		91
2	$\frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$	78		$_{7}^{0}$ $4a_{2}(15)$		93
3	$\frac{1}{7} \frac{1}{7} \frac{1}$	68		$\underbrace{\longleftrightarrow_{7}^{0}}_{7}$ 4a ₃ (25)		93

a) Isolated yield after column chromatography

Scheme 2. Tentative reaction mechanism.

5



Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxx

Conclusions

Summing up, we have developed an expedient procedure for the direct transformation of tetrahydropyranyl-protected alcohols into the corresponding silyl ethers by their reaction

- ⁵ with hydrosilanes in the presence of catalytic amounts of AlCl₃. The advantages of this protocol - mild reaction conditions, short reaction times, applicability to a variety of substrates (including tertiary alcohols), high yield, and total chemoselectivity even in the presence of free hydroxyls or ¹⁰ unsaturated functional groups - make it an attractive and useful
 - addition to the present methodological armamentarium.

Acknowledgement

We thank the University of Santiago de Compostela, the Center for Research in Biological Chemistry and Molecular Materials

¹⁵ (CIQUS), The Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness and the Xunta de Galicia for financial support. J. M. is a Juan de la Cierva fellow.

References

^a Departamento de Química Orgánica, Facultade de Química,
 ²⁰ Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, 15782, Santiago de Compostela, Spain. Fax: (+) 34 981 591 014; e-mail: <u>susana.lopez.estevez@usc.es</u>

^b Centro Singular de Investigación en Química Biológica y Materiales 25 Moleculares (CIQUS), Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, 15782, Santiago de Compostela, Spain. e-mail: javier.montenegro@usc.es

- Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Detailed
 experimental procedures. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/
- (a) T. V. Greene and P. G. M. Wuts, Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis, 3rd edn., John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1991, p 17, 297; (b) I. Fleming, Protecting groups, Grog Thieme, New York, 1994, p 21; (c) J. R. Hanson, Protective Groups in Organic
- Synthesis, 1st edn., Blackwell Science Inc., Malden, MA, 1999, p 37; (d) G. Sartori, R. Ballini, F. Bigi, G. Bosica, R. Maggi and P. Righi, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **104**, 199–250.
- 2 (a) J. W. Gillard, R. Fortin, H. E. Morton, C. Yoakim, C. A. Quesnelle, S. Daignault and Y. Guindon, J. Org. Chem., 1988, 53,
- 40 2602–2608. (b) J. S. Davies, C. L. Higginbotham, E. J. Tremeer, C. Brown and R. C. Treadgold, *J. Chem. Soc. Perk. T. 1*, 1992, 3043. (c) The reductive cleavage of aryl ethers by Lewis-baseactivated silanes has also been reported: A. Fedorov, A. A. Toutov, N. A. Swisher and R. H. Grubbs, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, **4**, 1640–1645.
- ⁴⁵ 3 For a general view see: (a) T. Oriyama, J. Synthetic Org. Chem., 1996, 490–502. For specific conversions: (b) Ch. S. Reddy, G. Smitha and S. Chandrasekhar, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2003, **44**, 4693– 4695 and (c) B. Das, M. R. Reddy, K. R. Reddy, R. Ramu and P. Thirupathi, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2006, **248**, 185–188 (OTHP)
- to OAc); (d) T. Oriyama, M. Kimura and G. Koga, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1994, 67, 885–887 (OPB to OMOM); (e) T. Oriyama, M. Kimura, M. Oda and G. Koga, Synlett, 1993, 437–440 (OBn to OAc); (f) T. Oriyama, K. Yatabe, Y. Kawada and G. Koga, Synlett, 1995, 45–46 (OPMB to OSi); (g) T. Oriyama, T. Watahiki, Y.
- 55 Kobayashi, H. Hirano and T. Suzuki, Synth. Commun., 1999, 29,

ARTICLE TYPE

2217–2223 (OAc to OSi); (h) K. Iwanami, K. Yano and T. Oriyama, *Synthesis*, 2005, 2669–2672 and (i) T. Oriyama, K. Noda, K. Yatabe, *Synlett*, 1997, 701–703 (OSi to OBn); (j) T. Oriyama, M. Oda, J. Gono and G. Koga, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1994,

- 35, 2027–2030 (OSi to OAc); (k) T. Suzuki and T. Oriyama, Synthesis, 2001, 555–558 (OSi to OTHP).
- 4 W. E. Parham and E. L. Anderson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1948, 70, 4187–4189.
- 5 S. Kim and I. S. Kee, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1990, **31**, 2899–2900.
- 65 6 (a) T. Oriyama, K. Yatabe, S. Sugawara, Y. Machiguchi and G. Koga, *Synlett*, 1996, 523–525; (b) T. Suzuki, K. Ohashi and T. Oriyama, *Synthesis*, 1999, 1561–1563.
- 7 (a) S. D. Sarma, P. Pahari, S. Hazarika, P. Hazarika and M. Jyoti, ARKIVOC, 2013, 4, 1640–1645. (b) P. H. Groggins, *Ind. Eng. Chem.*, 1931, 23, 152–160.
- 8 (a) M. T. Crimmins and S. W. Rafferty, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1996, 37, 5649–5652. For a model system see: (b) E. D. Moher, P. A. Grieco and J. L. Collins, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1993, 58, 3789–3790.
- 9 (a) N. Asao, T. Sudo and Y. Yamamoto, J. Org. Chem., 1996, 61,
- 7654–7655; (b) T. Sudo, N. Asao, V. Gevorgyan and Y. Yamamoto, J. Org. Chem., 1999, 64, 2494–2499; (c) Y–S. Song, B. R. Yoo and G–H. Lee, I. N. Jung, Organometallics, 1999, 18, 3109–3115; (d) Y. Liu, S. Yamazaki and S. Yamabe, J. Org. Chem., 2005, 70, 556–561; (e) N. Kato, Y. Tamura, T. Chem., 2005, 70, 556–561; (e) N. Kato, Y. Tamura, T. Chem., 2005, 70, 556–561; (e) N. Kato, Y. Tamura, T. Chem., 2005, 70, 556–561; (e) N. Kato, Y. Tamura, T. Chem., 2005, 70, 556–561; (e) N. Kato, Y. Tamura, T. Chem., 2005, 70, 556–561; (e) N. Kato, Y. Tamura, T. Chem., 2005, 70, 556–561; (e) N. Kato, Y. Tamura, T. Chem., 2005, 70, 556–561; (e) N. Kato, Y. Tamura, T. Chem., 2005, 70, 556–561; (e) N. Kato, Y. Tamura, T. Chem., 2005, 70, 556–561; (e) N. Kato, Y. Tamura, T. Chem., 2005, 70, 556–561; (e) N. Kato, Y. Tamura, T. Chem., 2005, 70, 556–561; (e) N. Kato, Y. Tamura, T. Chem., 2005, 70, 556–561; (e) N. Kato, Y. Tamura, T. Chem., 2005, 70, 556–561; (e) N. Kato, Y. Tamura, T. Chem., 2005, 70, 556–561; (e) N. Kato, Y. Tamura, T. Chem., 2005, 70, 556–561; (e) N. Kato, Y. Tamura, T. Chem., 2005, 70, 556–561; (e) N. Kato, Y. Tamura, T. Chem., 2005, 70, 556–561; (e) N. Kato, Y. Tamura, T. Chem., 2005, 70, 556–561; (e) N. Kato, Y. Tamura, T. Chem., 2005, 70, 556–561; (e) N. Kato, Y. Tamura, T. Chem., 2005, 70, 556–561; (e) N. Kato, Y. Tamura, T. Chem., 2005, 70, 556–561; (e) N. Kato, Y. Tamura, T. Chem., 2005, 70, 556–561; (e) N. Kato, Y. Tamura, Y. Chem., 2005, 70, 56–561; (f) N. Kato, Y. Tamura, Y. Chem., 2005, 70, 56–561; (f) N. Kato, Y. Chem., 2005, 70, 56–561; (f) N. Kat
- Kashiwabara, T. Sani and M. Tanaka, Organometallics, 2010, 29, 5274–5282.
- 10 Pre-mixing of catalyst and silane was critical for the success of the reaction, since direct mixture of the three reagents led mainly to the free alcohol.
- 85 11 A small amount of 1,7-heptanediol (3k) was also obtained.
- 12 The system HSiR₃/B(C₆F₅)₃ has been used for both the silanolysis and the reduction of alcohols: (a) J. M. Blackwell, K. L. Foster, V. H. Beck and W. E. Piers, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1999, **64**, 4887–4892; (b) V. Gevorgyan, M. Rubin, S. Benson, J–X. Liu and Y. Yamamoto, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2000, **65**, 6179–6186.
- 13 Silyl cation formation has been reviewed in: J. B. Lambert, L. Kania and S. Zhang, *Chem. Rev.*, 1995, **95**, 1191–1201.
- 14 Piers has proposed a sequential mechanism for Lewis-acidcatalysed silanolysis of alcohols (see Ref. 12), but in our case
- AlCl₃ is not able to promote the *O*-silylation of a free hydroxyl group.
- 15 The non-detection of dimethyl ether $(5a_2)$ and diethyl ether $(5a_3)$ is attributed to their extreme volatility. The alcoxysilanes $6a_x$ were possibly eliminated during the working–up of the reaction.





¹⁰⁵ A novel chemoselective one-pot transformation of acetals to silyl ethers is reported. Free hydroxyls, double bonds and triple bonds are unaffected in optimal reaction conditions. This practical, inexpensive protocol allows the selective replacement of acetal-forming protecting groups with silyl groups in a single step under mild conditions.