RSC Advances



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

ARTICLE TYPE

Fe³⁺ Facilitating the Response of NiO towards H₂S

Fang Li, Yuejiao Chen and Jianmin Ma*

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 200X, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 200X First published on the web Xth XXXXXXXX 200X 5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

Fe₂O₃-loaded NiO nanoplates have been successfully prepared by a facile method through immersing NiO nanoplates with aqueous Fe(NO₃)₃ solution and annealing the corresponding as-immersed NiO nanoplates. When studied as sensing materials, the as-¹⁰ fabricated Fe₂O₃-loaded NiO nanoplates display enhanced response towards H₂S in comparison with pure NiO nanoplates.

Gas sensing has been widely studied owing to its important applications in the environmental monitoring. Gas-sensing performance usually depends on the as-employed sensing materials.

 15 So far, many semiconductors have been explored as important sensing materials, such as Fe₂O₃, 1,2 ZnO, 3,4 WO₃, 5,6 SnO₂, 7,8 CuO, 9,10 TiO₂, 11,12 NiO^{13,14} and Co₃O₄, 15,16 etc. Moreover, other strategies have also been employed to improve the sensing performance, such as noble metal doping oxides, $^{17-19}$ heterogeneous oxides, $^{20-22}$ and 20 carbon or polymer/oxides, $^{23-26}$ etc.

As one of most important p-type sensing semiconductors, various NiO materials have been studied.^{13, 14, 27-30} However, pure NiO usually has relatively poor sensing properties. Much effort has been made to modify NiO nanostructures to achieve excellent gas-sensing ²⁵ properties.³¹⁻³⁵ For example, NiO@ZnO heterostructured nanotubes fabricated by the coelectrospinning method improved H₂S-sensing properties owing to the change of nanostructure and activity of ZnO and NiO nanocrystals as well as combination of homo- and

- heterointerfaces.³¹ Nguyen et al. reported that the response of the ³⁰ NiO-decorated SnO₂ nanowire sensor to 10 ppm H₂S at 300 °C reached as high as 1372 owing to the catalytic effect of NiO and the formation of a continuous chain of n-p-n-p junctions.³² Inspired by these examples, exploring an alternative, facile decorating method is highly desirable.
- ³⁵ Fe₂O₃ is a well-known H₂S-sensing material.^{1, 2, 36-38} Thus, it is expected that loading Fe₂O₃ on NiO nanoplates could exhibit high response towards H₂S gas. In this communication, we have prepared the Fe₂O₃-loaded NiO nanoplates through immersing hydrothermally

- proceeded NiO nanoplates with aqueous $Fe(NO_3)_3$ solution and 40 annealing the corresponding as-immersed NiO nanoplates. The asfabricated Fe_2O_3 -loaded NiO nanoplates have largely enhanced the response towards H_2S in comparison with pure NiO nanoplates.
- The Fe₂O₃-loaded NiO nanoplates were prepared by two steps: 1) NiO nanoplates were obtained through annealing hydrothermally ⁴⁵ proceeded Ni(OH)₂ nanoplates with the assistence of n-butylamine;³⁸ 2) Fe₂O₃-loaded NiO nanoplates were produced through annealing immersed NiO nanoplates with aqueous Fe(NO₃)₃ solution. The phase of NiO and Fe₂O₃-loaded NiO was analyzed by X-ray diffraction (XRD). In Fig. 1, one could find that all the peaks in ⁵⁰ patterns could be attributed to these of the standard card (PDF: 47-1049).³⁹ There is no diffraction peak of Fe₂O₃ observed for the XRD pattern of Fe₂O₃-loaded NiO nanoplates, which indicate that the content of Fe₂O₃ is extremely low. The content of Fe₂O₃-loaded NiO nanocomponents is about 3.9 % by weight, as supported by EDX ⁵⁵ (Fig. S1).



Fig. 1 XRD pattern for NiO nanoplates and $\ensuremath{\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3}\xspace$ -loaded NiO nanoplates.



Fig. 2 (a and b) SEM images of NiO nanoplates and Fe_2O_3 -loaded NiO nanoplates, respectively; STEM-EDS elemental maps of as-fabricated Fe_2O_3 -loaded NiO nanoplates: (c) HAADF-STEM image, (d) O elemental map, (e) Ni elemental map, and (f) Fe elemental map.

- ⁵ The morphology, composition and elemental distribution of the as-fabricated Fe₂O₃-loaded NiO nanoplates were further mapped through TEM and EDS by displaying the integrated intensity of oxygen, nickel and iron signals as a function of the beam position when operating the TEM in scanning mode ¹⁰ (STEM). The SEM image of NiO without Fe₂O₃ loading (Fig.
- 2a) shows the hexgonal nanoplates, similar to our previous report.³⁹ The as-fabricated Fe_2O_3 -loaded NiO sample (Fig.2b) is composed of hexagonal nanoplates, which is similar to the unloaded NiO nanoplates. Fig. 2c shows an HAADF-STEM
- ¹⁵ image of the Fe₂O₃-loaded NiO nanoplate. The results shown in Fig. 2d-freveal that the three elements of O, Ni, and Fe are distributed very homogeneously in the nanosplate. Moreover, the Fe/Ni ratio measured from the nanoplates with EDS analysis show an average ratio of 72.03: 2.63. The mapping
- $_{\rm 20}$ data indicates that our as-employed method is effective for us to prepare ${\rm Fe_2O_3}\mbox{-loaded NiO}$ nanoplates.





Fig. 3 (a) Response and (b) Recovery time of NiO nanoplate and Fe₂O₃-loaded NiO nanoplate sensors to 10 ppm H_2S gas at different working temperature.

- $_5$ To understand the gas-sensing properties of Fe₂O₃-loaded NiO nanoplates, we compared the response characteristics of NiO nanoplates and Fe₂O₃-loaded NiO nanoplates-based gas sensor, respectively. Fig. 3a and b display that the typical response and recovery time of two sensors to 10 ppm H_2S at
- ¹⁰ different temperature. From Fig. 3a, it could be found that the response of the two sensors have the same change trend, the response increases with the increase of operating temperature at the beginning, and approaches a maximum value at 200 °C (named as the optimum operating temperature), however,
- ¹⁵ gradually decreases with further increasing operating temperature. The response of Fe_2O_3 -loaded NiO nanoplates is constantly higher than that of NiO nanoplates at different temperatures. Moreover, the recovery time of NiO nanoplates and Fe_2O_3 -loaded NiO nanoplates were also studied, as shown
- ²⁰ in Fig. 3b. The recovery time vary from 27 to 13 s (from 25 to 350 °C) for Fe₂O₃-loaded NiO nanoplates, which did not change much when changing the operating temperature. However, the recovery time of NiO nanoplates dropped dramatically with the temperature increasing from 25 to 25 250 °C. Analyzed from the data of their response and recovery
- time, we chose 200 °C as the working temperature.





Fig. 4 (a and b) The real-time response curve of the Fe₂O₃-loaded NiO nanoplate and NiO nanoplate sensors to H_2S gas with increased concentration at a working temperature of 200 °C, respectively; (c) The relationship between the response and H_2S concentration.

To understand the sensing characteristics of two sensors, 35 the real-time response curves of Fe₂O₃-loaded NiO sensor and NiO sensor to H₂S gas with increased concentration ranging from 1 to 100 ppm at a working temperature of 200 °C were investigated, as shown in Fig. 4a and b, respectively. In Fig. 40 4a and b, one could find that gas responses increase with the increase of H₂S concentration. As shown in Fig. 4c, the Fe₂O₃-loaded NiO nanoplate sensor shows the response of approximately 2.89, 3.8, 7.35, 13.8, 26.48, and 109.4 for the corresponding 1, 5, 10, 20, 50, and 100 ppm H₂S gas, 45 respectively. In comparison, the NiO nanoplates based sensor shows response of approximately 1.64, 1.82, 2.94, 3.95, 3.83, and 10.19 for the corresponding 1, 5, 10, 20, 50, and 100 ppm H₂S gas, respectively. It is clearly found that the response of NiO nanoplates towards H₂S gas after loading Fe₂O₃ is 50 improved dramatically. The response is compared with those results reported by some literatures.^{1, 2} However, the sensing mechanism of NiO and Fe₂O₃-loaded NiO sensor towards H₂S gas is different from those reported literatures involved Fe₂O₃.^{1, 2} The gas-sensing behavior of the p-type NiO 55 semiconductor towards H₂S gas can be explained by the decrease in the hole concentration due to the increased formation of negatively charged oxygen upon exposure to the oxidizing gas (H₂S). After injection of H₂S gas, H₂S molecules were adsorbed on the surface, providing electrons to the surface of NiO nanoplates. During this process the potential barrier increased and hence the resistance of the oxide was enhanced. Moreover, owing to extrahigh molar ratio of NiO to Fe₂O₃, the Fe₂O₃-loaded NiO nanoplates show ⁵ the gas-sensing behavior of p-type semiconductors towards H₂S gas. The NO₂-sensing performance of Fe₂O₃-loaded NiO nanoplates are largely improved owing to the change of nanostructure and activity of NiO and Fe₂O₃ as well as combination of homo- and heterointerfaces.³¹



Fig. 5 Sensor response to various gases with 50 ppm at 200 °C.

To further confirm the versatility of as-fabricated Fe_2O_3 loaded NiO nanoplate sensors, the selective test towards to 50 ppm CO, CH₄, SO₂ and H₂S was conducted at 200 °C, 15 respectively. Fig. 5 indicates that both of NiO nanoplate and Fe₂O₃-loaded NiO nanoplate sensors show excellent selectivity to H₂S gas. This indicates that the Fe₂O₃-loaded NiO nanoplate sensor has a quite wonderful selectivity to H₂S gas.





Fig.6 (a and b) The reproducibility and stability of the Fe₂O₃-loaded NiO nanoplates and NiO nanoplates sensors to 50 ppm H_2S gas at 200 °C.

In Fig. 6a and b, the reproducibility and stability of the NiO nanoplate and Fe₂O₃-loaded NiO nanoplate sensors were also investigated. The results indicate that the Fe₂O₃-loaded NiO nanoplate sensor maintains 82.7% of its initial response amplitude after ten successive sensing tests to 50 ppm H₂S gas ³⁰ at 200 °C, which is better than that the NiO nanoplates (74.4%). These results demonstrate that the Fe₂O₃-loaded NiO nanoplate sensor has better reproducibility and stability to H₂S gas than the NiO nanoplate sensor.

In summary, we have developed a synthetic route to prepare $_{35}$ Fe₂O₃-loaded NiO nanoplates with high response, excellent selectivity towards H₂S gas. Our as-employed method to immerse NiO nanoplates with aqueous Fe(NO₃)₃ solution and anneal the corresponding as-immersed NiO nanoplates is proven to be effective to synthesize Fe₂O₃-loaded NiO

- ⁴⁰ nanoplates. The as-fabricated Fe₂O₃-loaded NiO nanoplates are promising H_2S -sensing materials due to its response as high as 26.48 and excellent selectivity towards 50 ppm H_2S gas.
- This work was supported by the National Natural Science ⁴⁵ Foundation of China (Grant No. 51302079) and the Young Teachers' Growth Plan of Hunan University (Grant No. 2012-118).

Notes and references

Key Laboratory for Micro-Nano Optoelectronic Devices of Ministry of 50 Education, State Key Laboratory for Chemo/Biosensing and Chemometrics, Hunan University, Changsha, P. R. China. E-mail: nanoelechem@hnu.edu.cn (J. M. Ma).

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See 55 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

‡ Footnotes should appear here. These might include comments relevant to but not central to the matter under discussion, limited experimental and spectral data, and crystallographic data.

- J. M. Ma, L. Mei, Y. J. Chen, Q. H. Li, T. H. Wang, X. C. Duan and W. J. Zheng, *Nanoscale*, 2013, 5, 895-898.
- 2 J. W. Deng, J. M. Ma, L. Mei, Y. J. Tang, Y. J. Chen, T. Lv, Z. Xu and T. H. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 12400-12403.
- 3 S. Q. Tian, F. Yang, D. W. Zeng and C. S. Xie, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, 116, 10586-10591.

- 4 J. Zhang, S. R. Wang, M. J. Xu, Y. Wang, B. L. Zhu, S. M. Zhang, W. P. Huang and S. H. Wu, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2009, 9, 3532-3537.
- 5 J. M. Ma, J. Zhang, S. R. Wang, T. H. Wang, J. B. Lian, X. C. Duan s and W. J. Zheng, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, **115**, 18157-18163.
- 6 D. L. Chen, X. X. Hou, H. J. Wen, Y. Wang, H. L. Wang, X. J. Li, R. Zhang, H. X. Lu, H. L. Xu, S. K. Guan, J. Sun and L. Gao, *Nanotechnology*, 2010, 21, 035501.
- 7 J. M. Ma, J. Zhang, S. R. Wang, Q. H. Wang, L. F. Jiao, J. Q. Yang,
- 10 X. C. Duan, Z. F. Liu, J. B. Lian and W. J. Zheng, *CrystEngComm*, 2011, **13**, 6077-6081.
- 8 R. Li, J. M. Du, Y. X. Luan, H. Zou, G. S. Zhuang and Z. H. Li, *CrystEngComm*, 2012, **14**, 3404-3410.
- 9 F. Zhang, A. W. Zhu, Y. P. Luo, Y. Tian, J. H. Yang and Y. Qin, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, **114**, 19214-19219.
- 10 D. P. Singh, A. K. Ojha and O. N. Srivastava, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2009, 113, 3409-3418.
- 11 C. X. Wang, L. W. Yin, L. Y. Zhang, Y. X. Qi, N. Lun and N. N. Liu, *Langmuir*, 2010, **26**, 12841-12848.
- 20 12 M. H. Seo, M. Yuasa, T. Kida, J. S. Huh, K. Shimanoe and N. Yamazoe, *Sensor. Actuat. B-Chem.*, 2009, **137**, 513-520.
 - 13 B. Liu, H. Q. Yang, H. Zhao, L. J. An, L. H. Zhang, R. Y. Shi, L. Wang, L. Bao and Y. Chen, *Sensor. Actuat. B-Chem.*, 2011, 156, 251-262.
- 25 14 N. G. Cho, I. S. Hwang, H. G. Kim, J. H. Lee and I. D. Kim, Sensor. Actuat. B-Chem., 2011, 156, 366-371.
 - 15 C. C. Li, X. M. Yin, T. H. Wang and H. C. Zeng, *Chem. Mater.*, 2009, 21, 4984-4992.
- C. W. Sun, S. Rajasekhara, Y. J. Chen and J. B. Goodenough, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, 47, 12852-12854.
- 17 X. Y. Xue, Z. H. Chen, C. H. Ma, L. L. Xing, Y. J. Chen, Y. G. Wang and T. H. Wang, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2010, 114, 3968-3972.
- 18 X. H. Liu, J. Zhang, L. W. Wang, T. L. Yang, X. Z. Guo, S. H. Wu and S. R. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, 21, 349-356.
- 35 19 H. Kim, C. Jin, S. Park, S. Kim and C. Lee, Sensor. Actuat. B-Chem., 2012, 161, 594-599.
 - 20 M. R. Yu, R. J. Wu and M. Chavali, Sensor. Actuat. B-Chem., 2011, 153, 321-328.
- 21 J. Kim, W. Kim and K. Yong, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, **116**, 15682-40 15691.
- 22 E. D. Gaspera, M. Guglielmi, S. Agnoli, G. Granozzi, M. L. Post, V. Bello, G. Mattei and A. Martucci, *Chem. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 3407-3417.
- 23 G. Singh, A. Choudhary, D. Haranath, A. G. Joshi, N. Singh, S.
- 45 Singh and R. Pasrich, *Carbon*, 2012, 50, 385-394.
 24 C. H. Zhan, Y. Z. Pan, Z. Wang, Y. F. Wang, H. F. He and Z. Y. Hou, *Sensor. Actuat. B-Chem.*, 2013, 183, 81-86.
- 25 Y. Wang, W. Z. Jia, T. Strout, A. Schempf, H. Zhang, B. Li, J. H. Cui and Y. Lei, *Electroanalysis*, 2009, 21, 1432-1438.
- 50 26 R. N. Bulakhe, S. V. Patil, P. R. Deshmukh, N. M. Shinde and C. D. Lokhande, *Sensor. Actuat. B-Chem.*, 2013, **181**, 417-423.
 - 27 H. Steinebach, S. Kannan, L. Rieth and F. Solzbacher, Sensor. Actuat. B-Chem., 2010, 151, 162-168.
- 28 X. F. Song, L. Gao and S. Mathur, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2011, 115, 21730-21735.
- 29 C. Y. Lee, C. M. Chiang, Y. H. Wang and R. H. Ma, Sensor. Actuat. B-Chem., 2007, 122, 503-510.
- 30 A. Aslani, V. Oroojpour and M. Fallahi, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2011, 257, 4056-4061.
- 60 31 L. Xu, R. F. Zheng, S. H. Liu, J. Song, J. S. Chen, B. Dong and H. W. Song, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 7733-7740.
 - 32 N. V. Hieu, P. T. H. Van, L. T. Nhan, N. V. Duy and N. D. Hoa, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2012, **101**, 253106.
- 33 Z. J. Wang, Z. Y. Li, J. H. Sun, H. N. Zhang, W. Wang, W. Zheng and C. Wang, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, **114**, 6100-6105.
- 34 P. Lv, Z. A. Tang, J. Yu, F. T. Zhang, G. F. Zhang, Z. X. Huang and Y. Hu, Sensor. Actuat. B-Chem., 2008, 132, 74-80.
- 35 M. Bao, Y. J. Chen, J. M. Ma, F. Li, T. Lv, Y. J. Tang, L. B. Chen, Z. Xu and T. H. Wang, Nanoscale, 2014, DOI: DOI:
- 70 10.1039/C3NR05268K.

- 36 J. M. Ma, J. Teo, L. Mei, Z. Y. Zhong, Q. H. Li, T. H. Wang, X. C. Duan, J. B. Lian and W. J. Zheng, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, 22, 11694-11700.
- 37 B. Sun, J. Horvat, H. S. Kim, W. S. Kim, J. H. Ahn and G. X. Wang, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2010, 114, 18753-18761.
- 38 P. Sun, Z. Zhu, P. L. Zhao, X. S. Liang, Y. F. Sun, F. M. Liu and G. Y. Lu, *CrystEngComm*, 2012, 14, 8335-8337.
- 39 J. M. Ma, J. Q. Yang, L. F. Jiao, Y. H. Mao, T. H. Wang, X. C. Duan, J. B. Lian and W. J. Zheng, *CrystEngComm*, 2012,14, 453-459.