ORGANIC CHEMISTRY

FRONTIERS

Accepted Manuscript





This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard **Terms & Conditions** and the **Ethical guidelines** still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.





http://rsc

http://rsc.li/frontiers-organic

1 2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10 11

12 13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58 59 60 COMMUNICATION

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

Synthesis of diaryldifluoromethanes by Pd-catalyzed difluoroalkylation of arylboronic acids

Ji-Wei Gu, Wen-Hao Guo, and Xingang Zhang*

s Received (in XXX, XXX) XthXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

Diaryldifluoromethanes constitute a distinct class of fluorinated compounds in medicinal chemistry. But only limited methods to access such a structural motif have been ¹⁰ reported. Herein, we demonstrate the first example of Pdcatalyzed aryldifluoromethylation of arylboronic acids with readily available aryldifluoromethyl bromides. Because of its high efficiency, excellent functional group compatibility, and mild reaction conditions, this protocol provides a facile access ¹⁵ to diaryldifluoromethanes. Preliminary mechanistic studies revealed that a Pd(0)L_n-initiated single electron transfer (SET) pathway is involved in the catalytic cycle.

Fluorinated arenes are an important class of structural motif that is found in many pharmaceuticals, agrochemicals, and 20 advanced materials due to the unique properties of fluorine atom and/or the carbon-fluorine bond.¹ As a consequence, substitution of the hydrogen atom(s) in the parent molecules with the fluorine atom(s) is now a common strategy in drug discovery and development.² Among the fluorinated arenes. 25 diaryldifluoromethanes, in which the methylene group (CH₂) is replaced by a difluoromethylene group (CF2), represent a type of appealing compounds because of their important applications in medicinal chemistry. For instance, Ledipasvir, а diaryldifluoromethane containing molecule, is an oral NS5A ³⁰ inhibitor for the treatment of hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection,³ and has been filed for approval by FDA. To date, however, only limited methods have been reported to access diaryldifluoromethanes. The most common approach to such a fluorinated structural motif relies on deoxyfluorination of 35 carbonyl with dialkylaminosulfurtrifluorides (i.e. DAST or DeoxoFluor).⁴ Despite the importance of such a process, to directly introduce a functionalized CF₂ group into organic molecules catalyzed by transitional-metal would be an attractive alternative, as the C-C bond formation catalyzed by transition-40 metals is a powerful strategy due to its broad substrate scope, high efficiency, and excellent functional-group compatibility. However, compared transition-metal-catalyzed to trifluoromethylation and fluorination of arenes,⁵ the difluoroalkylation of arenes through similar strategy has been less 45 studied,^{6, 7} although the CF₂ group plays equal important role in medicinal chemistry and material science.8 To the best of knowledge, there is no successful example that has been reported to prepare diaryldifluoromethanes catalyzed by transition-metal. Therefore, it is highly desirable to develop an efficient reaction to 50 access such a valuable structural motif.

As part of systematic study on transition-metal-catalyzed reactions for the direct introduction of fluorinated moieties into organic molecules,^{7, 9} herein we describe the first example of synthesis of diaryldifluoromethanes through Pd-catalyzed cross-⁵⁵ coupling between readily available aryldifluoromethyl bromides and arylboronic acids. The notable advantages of this protocol are its mild reaction conditions, excellent functional group compatibility, and operational simplicity, thus providing a facile access to a range of diaryldifluoromethanes of interest in drug discovery and development. Furthermore, to demonstrate the usefulness of this method, the late-stage aryldifluoromethylation of bioactive molecules has also been performed.

Initial studies focused on the Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction of phenylboronic acid 2a with the readily available 4-65 (bromodifluoromethyl)-1,1'-biphenyl $1a^{10}$ in the presence of a variety of phosphine ligands (Table 1, entries 1-8). It was found that the choice of phosphine ligand was very critical to the reaction efficiency, and the bulky ligand cataCXium AHI $[PAd_2(n-Bu) HI]^{11}$ was the optimal one, providing 70 difluoromethylenated product 3a in 83% yield (determined by ¹⁹F NMR, Table 1, entry 6). This is probably because of formation of an active T-shaped palladium complex (Ph)(CF₂Ar)Pd[PAd₂(n-Bu)] that benefits the reductive elimination.¹² While, only poor yield (27%) of 3a was obtained when XantPhos, which was 75 previously demonstrated to be an excellent bisphosphine ligand for reductive elimination from fluoroalkyl palladium complexes,^{7c, 13} was employed (Table 1, entry 8). Encouraged by these results, several reaction parameters were examined to further optimize the reaction conditions (Table 1, entries 9-15, for ⁸⁰ details, see Supporting Information). It revealed that K₂CO₃, 1,4dioxane, and Pd(OAc)₂ were the optimal choices, leading to compound 3a in the best yield (91% upon isolation) when the ratio of Ligand/Pd was decreased from 2/1 to 1/1 (Table 1, entry 10). Other bases, solvents, or palladium catalysts either afforded 85 lower yields or showed no activity. The absence of palladium catalyst or ligand failed to provide desired product, thus demonstrating that a Pd(0/II) catalytic cycle is involved in the reaction (Table 1, entries 16 and 17).

Page	2	of	4
_			

Ph + (HO) ₂ B		[Pd] (x mol %) L (y mol %) K ₂ CO ₃ , 1,4-dioxane 80 °C	Ph
Ta	2a	I (m)	3a
Entry	$\frac{[Pu](x)}{[Pu](x)}$	L(y)	5a , 1 leid (%)
	$Pd(OAc)_2(5)$	$PPh_3(10)$	27
2	$Pd(OAc)_2(5)$	$P(o-OMePh)_3(10)$	4
3	$Pd(OAc)_2(5)$	$PCy_3 HBF_4 (10)$	39
4	$Pd(OAc)_2(5)$	$Pt-Bu_3$ HBF ₄ (10)	15
5	$Pd(OAc)_2(5)$	CyJohnPhos (10)	8
6	$Pd(OAc)_2(5)$	PAd ₂ (n-Bu) HI (10)	83
7	$Pd(OAc)_2(5)$	DPPE (10)	8
8	$Pd(OAc)_2(5)$	XantPhos (10)	27
9	$Pd(OAc)_2(5)$	PAd ₂ (<i>n</i> -Bu) HI (7.5)	89
10	$Pd(OAc)_2(5)$	PAd ₂ (<i>n</i> -Bu) HI (5)	97(91)
11	Pd(PPh ₃) ₄ (5)	PAd ₂ (<i>n</i> -Bu) HI (5)	trace
12	Pd ₂ (dba) ₃ (2.5)	PAd ₂ (n-Bu) HI (5)	34
13	Pd ₂₍ Allyl) ₂ Cl ₂ (2.5)	PAd ₂ (<i>n</i> -Bu) HI (5)	56
14	Pd(PPh ₃) ₂ Cl ₂ (2.5)	PAd ₂ (n-Bu) HI (5)	trace
15	PdCl ₂ (dppp) (2.5)	PAd ₂ (n-Bu) HI (5)	14
16	$Pd(OAc)_2(5)$	none	NR
17	none	$PAd_2(n-Bu) HI(5)$	NR
Reaction	conditions (unless o	therwise specified): 1a	(0.2 mmol, 1.

Table 1. Representative results for optimization of Pd-catalyzed cross-

1

2

3 4

5

6

19

24 25 26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54 55 56

35

^aReaction conditions (unless otherwise specified): **1a** (0.2 mmol, 1.0
⁵ equiv), **2a** (0.3 mmol, 1.5 equiv), K₂CO₃ (2.0 equiv), 1,4-dioxane (2 mL),
⁸ h. ^bDetermined by ¹⁹F NMR using PhCF₃ as an internal standard and number in parenthesis is isolated yield.

Upon the identification of viable reaction conditions, the 10 substrate scope of this transformation was tested and the representative results are illustrated in Table 2. Overall, aromatic boronic acids with either electron-rich or electron-deficient substituents underwent the present cross-coupling process with aryldifluoromethyl bromide 1a in moderate to high yields. A 15 variety of important functional groups, such as the formyl, alkoxycarbonyl, enolizable ketone, and trimethylsiyl groups, were tolerated quite well (31-p). The sterically hindered orthotolyboronic acid and its derivative were excellent substrates, and the cross-coupling with 1a proceeded with high efficiency (3d 20 and 3e). Furthermore, other aryldifluoromethyl bromides were also applicable to the reaction and moderate yields were obtained (3r-u). However, it should be mentioned that in the cases of reactions of phenyldifluoromethyl bromide 1b with arylboronic acids, significant amount of PhCF2H was observed under 25 standard conditions. We supposed that the formation of PhCF₂H was ascribed to the reaction of solvent with PhCF2· radical that was generated from 1b with Pd/PAd2(n-Bu)/Base. To our delight, when 1.0 equiv of H₂O was added to the reaction, moderate yields of 3r and 3s were obtained. However, the role of H₂O in 30 this reaction remains uncertain. Notably, arylchloride was also compatible with the reaction conditions, thus providing a good opportunity for downstream transformations (3u).

Table 2. Pd-catalyzed aryldifluoromethylation of arylboronic acids **2** with aryldifluoromethyl bromides $\mathbf{1}^{a}$



^aReaction conditions (unless otherwise specified): **1** (0.4 mmol, 1.0 equiv), **2** (0.6 mmol, 1.5 equiv), K₂CO₃ (2.0 equiv), 1,4-dioxane (4 mL), 8 h. All reported yields are isolated yields. ^b1.0 equiv of H₂O was used.

The importance and usefulness of this method can also be highlighted by late-stage aryldifluoromethylation of bioactive molecules. As shown in Scheme 1, treatment of estrone-derived arylboronic acid **4** with the difluoroalkyl bromide **1a** afforded difluoroalkylated compound **5** with high efficiency (79%). Similarly, the flavanone-derived arylboronic acid **6** also led to its corresponding difluoroalkylated product **7** in high yield (82%). In view of the fact that CF₂ can functionalize as a bioisostere of the oxygen atom or carbonyl group,¹⁴ and leads to profound changes in physical and biological properties of CF₂ containing ⁵⁵ molecules,⁸ we view these transformations as a highly valuable opportunity for application in drug discovery and development. 1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60



Scheme 1 Late-Stage functionalization for synthesis of biologically active molecules

⁵ To gain some mechanistic insights into the present reaction, radical inhibition experiments were conducted (Scheme 2). Significant decrease of the yields were observed when an electron transfer (ET) inhibitor (1, 4-dinitrobenzene) was added to the reaction mixture of **1a** and **2a** in the presence of Pd(OAc)₂ (5 ¹⁰ mol %), PAd₂(*n*-Bu) HI (5 mol %), and K₂CO₃ in dioxane.¹⁵ Thus, these preliminary studies demonstrate that a single electron transfer (SET) pathway via a aryldifluoromethyl radical is involved in the catalytic cycle.



Scheme 2 Radical inhibition experiments

To confirm that a free aryldifluoromethyl radical was ²⁰ generated during the reaction, a radical clock experiment was conducted (Scheme 3). When compound **8**¹⁶ was treated with **1a** under standard conditions, a ring-expanded product **9** was formed in 7% yield (determined by ¹⁹F NMR) along with 3% yield of protonated product **10** and 78% of starting material **1a** unreacted. ²⁵ This finding demonstrated that a free radical was indeed generated during the reaction.

On the basis of these results, a plausible reaction mechanism was proposed (Scheme 4). The reaction is initiated by a [PdLn(0)]-promoted SET pathway to generate aryldifluoroalkyl ³⁰ radical (ArCF₂•) **A**. **A** subsequently reacts with L_nPd(I)Br to produce Pd(II)-complex **B**. After transmetalation, a key intermediate **C** would be generated. As the final step of the catalytic cycle, reductive elimination of **C** affords diaryldifluoromethanes **3**. However, for the detailed reaction ³⁵ mechanism remains a subject to be studied.



Scheme 3 Radical clock experiment.



Scheme 4 Proposed reaction mechanism

Conclusions

- ⁴⁵ In conclusion, we have demonstrated the first example of palladium-catalyzed aryldifluoromethylation of arylboronic acids with readily available aryldifluoromethyl bromides. The utility of $PAd_2(n-Bu)$ HI is critical for the reaction efficiency. The reaction proceeds under mild reaction conditions with excellent functional
- ⁵⁰ group compatibility. Thus, these transformations provide a facile route for application in medicinal chemistry. Mechanistic studies revealed that a [Pd(0)L_n] promoted SET pathway via a free fluoroalkyl radical is involved in the reaction. Further studies to uncover the reaction mechanism as well as other derivative ⁵⁵ reactions are now in progress in our laboratory.

The National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program (No. 2015CB931900)), the NSFC (Nos 21421002, 21172242, and 21332010), and SIOC are greatly acknowledged for funding this ⁶⁰ work.

Notes and references

75

Key Laboratory of Organofluorine Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 345 Lingling Road, Shanghai 200032, China. Fax: (+86)-21-6416-6128; Tel: (+86)-21-5492-65 5333; E-mail: xgzhang@mail.sioc.ac.cn

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available:Detailed experimentalprocedures, and analytical data for all new compounds. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/.

- 1 For selected reviews, see: (a) B. E. Smart, J. Fluorine Chem., 2001,
- 109, 3; (b) P. Maienfisch, and R. G. Hall, *Chimia.*, 2004, 58, 93; (c) Special issue on "Fluorine in the Life Sciences", *ChemBioChem.*, 2004, 5, 557; (d) D. O'Hagan, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2008, 37, 308.
- 2 For selected reviews, see: (a) K. L. Kirk, J. Fluorine Chem., 2006, 127, 1013; (b) J.-P. Begue and D. Bonnet-Delpon, J. Fluorine Chem., 2006,
- **127**, 992; (c) K. M üller, C. Faeh and F. Diederich, *Science*, 2007, **317**, 1881; (d) W. K. Hagmann, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2008, **51**, 4359; (e) S.

75

85

Purser, P. R. Moore, S. Swallow and V. Gouverneur, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2008. 37. 320.

3 J. O. Link, J. G. Taylor, L. Xu, M. Mitchell, H. Guo, H. Liu, D. Kato, T. Kirschberg, J. Sun, N. Squires, J. Parrish, T. Keller, Z.-Y. Yang, C. Yang, M. Matles, Y. Wang, K. Wang, G. Cheng, Y. Tian, E. Mogalian, E. Mondou, M. Cornpropst, J. Perry and Desai, M. C, J.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

24

25

26

27

28

31

32

33

45

47

51

54

55

56

57

58 59 60

- Med. Chem., 2014, 57, 2033. For selected examples of difluorination of carbonyl group with fluorinated reagents, see: (a) W. J. Middleton and E. M. Bingham, J.
- Org. Chem., 1980, 45, 2883; (b) G. S. Lal, G. P. Pez, R. J. Pesaresi, F. 10 M. Prozonic and H. Cheng, J. Org. Chem., 1999, 64, 7048; (c) D. Solas, R. L. Hale and D. V. Patel, J. Org. Chem., 1996, 61, 1537. (d) S. Kobayashi, A. Yoneda, T. Fukuhara and S. Hara, Tetrahedron., 2004, 60, 6923; (e) T. Umemoto, R. P. Singh, Y. Xu and N. Saito, J.
- Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 18199; (f) A. L'Heureux, F. Beaulieu, C. 15 Bennett, D. R. S. Clayton, F. LaFlamme, M. Mirmehrabi, S. Tadayon, D. Tovell and M. Couturier, J. Org. Chem., 2010, 75, 3401.
- 5 For selected reviews, see: (a) T. Furuya, A. S. Kamlet and T. Ritter, Nature, 2011, 473, 470; (b) O. A. Tomashenko and V. V. Grushin, Chem. Rev., 2011, 111, 4475; (c) C. Hollingworth and V. Gouverneur, 20 Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 2929; (d) T. Besset, C. Schneider and D.
- 18 Cahard, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 5048. (e) F.-L. Qing, Chin. 19 J. Org. Chem., 2012, 32, 815; (f) X. Wang, Y. Zhang and J. Wang, 20 Sci. Sin. Chim., 2012, 42, 1417.
- 21 25 6 For transition-metal-catalyzed difluoroalkylation, see: (a) K. Araki and M. Inoue, Tetrahedron, 2013, 69, 3913; (b) S. Ge, W. Chaladj and J. 22 F. Hartwig, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 4149. 23
 - 7 For our contribution to transition-metal-catalyzed difluoroalkylation, see: (a) Z. Feng, F. Chen and X. Zhang, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 1938; (b)
 - Z. Feng, Y.-L. Xiao and X. Zhang, Org. Chem. Front., 2014, 1, 113; (c) Z. Feng, Q.-Q. Min, Y.-L. Xiao, B. Zhang and X. Zhang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 1669; (d) Q.-Q. Min, Z. Yin, Z. Feng, W.-H. Guo and X. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 1230; (e) Y.-L. Xiao, W.-H. Guo, G.-Z. He, Q. Pan and X. Zhang, Angew. Chem., Int.
- 29 Ed., 2014, 53, 9909; (f) Y.-B. Yu, G.-Z. He and X. Zhang, Angew. 35 30 Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 10457; (g) Y.-L. Xiao, B. Zhang, Z. Feng, and X. Zhang, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 4822.

8 For selected reviews, see: (a) X.-L. Qiu, X.-H. Xu and F.-L. Qing, Tetrahedron, 2010, 66, 789; (b) N. A. Meanwell, J. Med. Chem., 2011, 54, 2529. For selected papers, see: (c) C. S. Burgey, K. A. Robinson, 40

- 34 T. A. Lyle, P. E. J. Sanderson, S. Dale Lewis, B. J. Lucas, J. A. Krueger, R. Singh, C. Miller-Stein, R. B. White, B. Wong, E. A. Lyle, 35 P. D. Williams, C. A. Coburn, B. D. Dorsey, J. C. Barrow, M. T. 36 Stranieri, M. A. Holahan, G. R. Sitko, J. J. Cook, D. R. McMasters, C.
- 37 M. McDonough, W. M. Sanders, A. A.Wallace, F. C. Clayton, D. 38 Bohn, Y. M. Leonard, T. J. Jr. Detwiler, J. J. Jr. Lynch, Y. Yan, Z. 39 Chen, L. Kuo, S. J. Gardell, J. A. Shafer and J. P. Vacca, J. Med. Chem., 2003, 46, 461; (d) J. Li, S. Y. Chen, B. J. Murphy, N. Flynn, R. 40
- Seethala, D. Slusarchyk, M. Yan, P. Sleph, H. Zhang, W. G. 41 Humphreys, W. R. Ewing, J. A. Robl, D. Gordon and J. A. Tino, 42 Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2008, 18, 4072; (e) C. L. Lynch, C. A. 43 Willoughby, J. J. Hale, E. J. Holson, R. J. Budhu, A. L. Gentry, K. G. Rosauer, C. G. Caldwell, P. Chen, S. G. Mills, M. MacCoss, S. Berk, 44
- L. Chen, K. T. Chapman, L. Malkowitz, M. S. Springer, S. L. Gould, J. A. DeMartino, S. J. Siciliano, M. A. Cascieri, A. Carella, G. Carver, 55 46 K. Holmes, W. A. Schleif, R. Danzeisen, D. Hazuda, J. Kessler, J. Lineberger, M. Miller and E. A. Eminic, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 48 2003, 13, 119.
- 9 (a) C.-Y. He, S. Fan and X. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 49 12850; (b) X. Zhang, S. Fan, C.-Y. He, X. Wan, Q.-Q. Min, J. Yang 50 and Z.-X. Jiang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 4506; (c) S. Fan, F. Chen and X. Zhang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 5918; (d) Z. Chen, C.-Y. He, Z. Yin, L. Chen and X. Zhang, Angew. Chem., Int. 52 Ed., 2013, 52, 5813. 53
 - 65 10 J. He and C. U. Pittman, Synth. Commun., 1999, 29, 855.
 - 11 (a) A. Ehrentraut, A. Zapf and M. Beller, Synlett, 2000, 1589; (b) A. Zapf, A. Ehrentraut and M. Beller, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2000, 39, 4153.
 - 12 A. G. Sergeev, A. Spannenberg and M. Beller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 15549. 70

- 13 (a) V. V.Grushin and W. J. Marshall, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 12644; (b) V. I. Bakhmutov, F. Bozoglian, K. Gómez, G. Gonz ález, V. V. Grushin, S. A. Macgregor, E. Martin, F. M. Miloserdov, M. A. Novikov, J. A. Panetier and L. V. Romasho, Organometallics, 2012, 31, 1315.
- 14 (a) C. M. Blackburn, D. A. England and F. Kolkmann, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1981, 930; (b) G. M. Blackburn, D. E. Kent and F. Kolkmann, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I, 1984, 1119; (c) T. Kitazume and T. Kamazaki, Experimental Methods in Organic Fluorine Chemistry; Gordon and Breach Science: Tokyo, 1998.
- 15 For related radical inhibition experiments studies, see: (a) X.-T. Huang and Q.-Y. Chen, J. Org. Chem., 2001, 66, 4651; (b) R. N. Loy and M. S. Sanford, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 2548.
- 16 J. E. Baldwin, Chem. Rev., 2003, 103, 1197.