ORGANIC CHEMISTRY

FRONTIERS

Accepted Manuscript





This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard **Terms & Conditions** and the **Ethical guidelines** still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.





http://rsc

http://rsc.li/frontiers-organic

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

60

1

Ozonation of Methylenecyclopropanes

Rui Sang, Xiang-Ying Tang and Min Shi*

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

Ozonation of methylenecyclopropanes bearing gemdisubstituted electron-withdrawing groups (EWG) gave ringopened oxidative products in moderate to good yields. As for MCPs in which EWGs are two methoxycarbonyl groups, the 10 ozonation gave oxidative cyclization products in methanol at -78 °C in the presence of CuCl; as for MCPs in which EWGs are one methoxycarbonyl and one trifluoromethyl group, the ozonation produced a-diketones in ethyl acetate (EA) at -78 °C.

Methylenecyclopropanes (MCPs) as highly strained but easily available small rings have been widely used in organic synthesis as a versatile building block through a variety of ring-opening processes.¹ After careful survey of the reaction patterns of MCPs, 20 we found that the oxidative ring-opening modes of MCPs are limited. The well known examples are the oxidation of MCPs with peracids or SeO₂ and H₂O₂ or other oxidants to give cyclobutanone derivatives.^{2a-e} Another important issue is the oxidative addition of 1.3-dicarbonyl compounds with MCPs via 25 one electron transfer process mediated by Mn(OAc)3 or CAN^{2f-j} as well as the photo-induced oxidation of MCPs with O₂ to give the corresponding 1,2-dioxolane via charge transfer complex.³ On the other hand, the ozonolysis of alkylidenecyclopropanes to give the corresponding oxidative ring-opened products has been also ³⁰ disclosed by several groups.⁴ In this paper, we wish to report two different oxidative ring-opening modes of MCPs, in which the cvclopropane has a gem-disubstituted ester groups [(CO₂Me)₂] (MCPs 1) or a gem-disubstituted ester and trifluoromethyl group $[CF_3(CO_2Me)]$ (MCPs 2) as electron-withdrawing groups ³⁵ (EWG),⁵ upon treating with ozone. We will disclose in this paper that the two different ring-opening oxidation products can be exclusively obtained under different conditions.

The initial examinations were carried out upon treating MCP **1a** or MCP **2a** with ozone in the presence of a variety of ⁴⁰ reductants and the results are shown in Table 1 and Table 2, respectively. We found that the oxidative cyclization product **3a** and α -diketone **4a'** were obtained in dichloromethane (DCM) upon treatment of **1a** with ozone in a variety of reductants at -78 °C (**1a**:reductant = 1:1.3) (Table 1, entries 1-⁴⁵ 8). To get **3a** as the sole product, we carefully examined

State Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 354 Fenglin Road, Shanghai 200032, P. R. China, E-mail: mshi@mail.sioc.ac.cn.

50 † Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures, characterization data of new compounds, and CCDC 947607. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/ the solvent effects using CuCl as the reductant and found that in ethanol or methanol, **3a** could be obtained exclusively in 87% or 55 88% yield, respectively (Table 1, entries 9-16). Reductant CuCl is crucial in this reaction to give **3a** exclusively and using NiCl₂ or FeCl₂ as the reductant gave **3a** and **4a'** as a product mixture (Table 1, entries 17-19). The examination of the employed amount of CuCl and the reaction temperature revealed that using

⁶⁰ 2.0 equiv of CuCl afforded **3a** in 89% yield under the standard conditions and the oxidation reaction should be carried out at -78 °C (Table 1, entries 20-23). The structure of **3a** has been fully assigned by NMR spectroscopic data (see Supporting Information).

 Table 1. Optimization of the Reaction Conditions for the

 Ozonation of Methylenecyclopropane 1a

rganic Chemistry Frontiers Accepted Manuscript

				ÇO₂Me	ноү	CO ₂ Me -CO ₂ Me
			<u> </u>	←CO ₂ Me	Ĺ	_ 0
\sim		/le _M⊝ O ₃ , reductant		× +	~	\mathbf{L}
		solvent, T	>	ö	\int	~`0
19			3a		\checkmark	
14					4a'	
entrv ^a	reductant	1a:reductant	solvent	T (°C)	yie	ld (%) ^b
			301/011	1(0)	3a	4a'
1	_ ^s _	1:1.3	DCM	-78	42	41
2	Ph_3P	1:1.3	DCM	-78	67	31
3	Zn	1:1.3	DCM	-78	42	29
4	S	1:1.3	DCM	-78	52	30
5	NaNO ₂	1:1.3	DCM	-78	55	37
6	Na ₂ SO ₃	1:1.3	DCM	-78	60	22
7	$Na_2S_2O_3$	1:1.3	DCM	-78	62	25
8	CuC	1:1.3	DCM	-78	80	18
9	CuC	1:1.3	EA	-78	55	31
10	CuCl	1:1.3	THF	-78	-	-
11	CuC	1:1.3	Acetone	-78	83	14
12	CuC	1:1.3	Et ₂ O	-78	68	30
13	CuC	1:1.3	PE	-78	32	5
14	CuCl	1:1.3	toluene	-78	62	22
15	CuC	1:1.3	EtOH	-78	87	-
16	CuC	1:1.3	MeOH	-78	88	-
17	NiCl ₂	1:1.3	MeOH	-78	59	17
18	FeCl ₂	1:1.3	MeOH	-78	67	4
19	CuC	1:0	MeOH	- 78	33	41
20	CuC	1:0.5	MeOH	-78	52	27
21	CuC	1:2	MeOH	-78	89	-
22	CuC	1:3	MeOH	-78	88	-
23	CuCl	1:2	MeOH	0	80 ^c	-

^[a] MCP **1a** (0.5 mmol, 1 equiv) was dissolved in 5.0 mL solvent at T $^{\circ}$ C, and then O₃ was bubbled until the solvent became blue. The reductant (x equiv) was then added at T $^{\circ}$ C. The reaction mixture naturally returned to room temperature and was further stirred for 5 h. ^[b] Isolated yields. ^[c] The crude product containing some other complex mixtures.

45

On the other hand, using MCP **2a** as the substrate, the presence of reductant gave the corresponding **3a'** and **4a** as a product mixture in 64-78% total yields in methanol or DCM at -78 °C (Table 2, entries 1-7). We also identified that **4a** could be ⁵ obtained as a major product in 68% yield along with trace of **3a'** in the absence of reductant (Table 2, entry 8). Its structure could be proved by the condensation of ethyl 3,3,3-trifluoro-2oxopropanoate (0.5 mmol, 1 equiv) and 1-phenylpropane-1,2dione in the presence of DABCO (see Supporting Information). ¹⁰ The examination of solvent effects revealed that carrying out the reaction in ethyl acetate (EA) afforded **4a** in 70% yield and this served as the best conditions for the formation of **4a** (Table 2, entries 8-13). The different oxidation products obtained from **1a** and **2a** are presumably due to the different EWGs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

26

27

28

29 30

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58 59 60 **Table 2.** Optimization of the Reaction Conditions for theOzonation of Methylenecyclopropane 2a

\bigcirc	CF ₃ CO	₂ Et <u>O₃, reduct</u> solvent,	ant T	CF3 OCCC	HO. 9 ₂ Et +	CO ₂ E CF ₃ O
2a			3a'		4a	ı
entry ^a	reductant	2a:reductant	solvent	T (°C)	yield 3a'	(%) ^b 4a
1	CuC	1:1.3	MeOH	-78	27	37
2	s	1:1.3	DCM	-78	12	62
3	Ph ₃ P	1:1.3	DCM	-78	8	70
4	Zn	1:1.3	DCM	-78	20	47
5	S	1:1.3	DCM	-78	23	42
6	NaNO ₂	1:1.3	DCM	-78	17	51
7	Na ₂ SO ₃	1:1.3	DCM	-78	15	57
8	-	-	DCM	-78	trace	68
9	-	-	acetone	-78	trace	65
10	-	-	EA	-78	trace	70
11	-	-	toluene	-78	trace	63
12	-	-	Et ₂ O	-78	4	58
13	-	-	MeOH	-78	trace	53

 $^{[a]}$ MCP **2a** (0.5 mmol, 1 equiv) was dissolved in 5 mL solvent at -78 °C, and then O₃ was slowly bubbled until the solvent became blue. The reductant was then added at -78 °C. The reaction mixture naturally returned to room temperature with stirring and was further stirred for 5 h. $^{[b]}$ lsolated yields.

With the identification of the best reaction conditions, we next turned our effort to study the scope and limitations of these two oxidative ring-opening reactions and the results are summarized in Tables 3 and 4, respectively. A variety of MCPs 1 and 2 with aryl groups bearing different substituents have been tested and 25 the corresponding oxidative products 3a-3g and 4a-4g were obtained in moderate to good yields without the observation of significant electronic effects (Table 3, entries 1-6 and Table 4, entries 1-6). As for substrates 1h and 2h having naphthyl substituent, and substrates 1i and 2i in which the aryl groups have 30 two substituents, the reactions also proceeded smoothly, delivering the corresponding products **3h** and **4h** in 43% and 73% yields and 3i and 4i in 87% and 58% yields, respectively (Table 3, entries 7 and 8 and Table 4, entries 7 and 8). Employing 1k as the substrate gave the desired product 3k in 38% yield (Table 3, entry $_{35}$ 10). In the cases of aliphatic MCP 1j, in which R = benzyl group, the corresponding oxidative cyclized product 3j was obtained in

61% yield (Table 3, entry 9). However, in the case of **2j**, the ozonation also gave the corresponding oxidative cyclized product **3j'** as a single diastereoisomer on the basis of NMR spectroscopic data rather than the desired α-diketone product **4j** (see Supporting Information) (Table 4, entry 9). At the present stage, we can not perfectly explain this observation, perhaps due to that aromatic group is also required to stabilize the cyclic species **B1** or **B2** to give the corresponding α-diketone product (Scheme 3).

 Table 3. Substrate Scope for the Ozonation of MCPs 1

I	R Со ₂ Me О ₃ , CuCl Со ₂ Me МеОН, -78 °С	$\begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & $
entry	R	3 , yield/%
1	4-BrC ₆ H ₄ , 1b	3b , 57
2	4-CIC ₆ H ₄ , 1c	3c , 62
3	4-MeC ₆ H ₄ , 1d	3d , 62
4	3-MeC ₆ H ₄ , 1e	3e , 70
5	2-MeC ₆ H ₄ , 1f	3f , 67
6	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄ , 1g	3g , 67
7	2-naphthy l , 1h	3h , 43
8	3,5 - Me ₂ C ₆ H ₃ , 1i	3i , 87
9	Bn, 1 j	3j , 61
10	3,5-Br ₂ C ₆ H ₃ , 1k	3k , 38

 $^{[a]}$ MCP 1 (0.5 mmol, 1 equiv) was dissolved in 5 mL MeOH at -78 °C, and then O₃ was slowly bubbled until the solvent became blue. CuCl (2 equiv) was added at -78 °C and the reaction mixture naturally returned to room temperature with stirring and was further stirred for 5 h. $^{[b]}$ Isolated yields.

Table 4. Substrate Scope for the Ozonation of MCPs 2

	R CF ₃ CO ₂ Et -	O ₃ EA, -78 °C	$ \begin{array}{c} $
entry	R		4 , yie l d/%
1	4 - BrC ₆ H ₄ , 2b		4b , 64
2	4-CIC ₆ H ₄ , 2c		4c , 61
3	4-MeC ₆ H ₄ , 2d		4d , 63
4	3-MeC ₆ H ₄ , 2e		4e , 65
5	2-MeC ₆ H ₄ , 2f		4f , 67
6	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄ , 2g		4g , 72
7	2-naphthyl, 2h		4h , 73
8	3,5-Me ₂ C ₆ H ₃ , 2i		4i , 58
9	Bn, 2j		3j' ,° 21

^[a] MCP **2** (1 mmol, 1 equiv) was dissolved in 5 mL EA at -78 °C, and then O₃ was slowly bubbled until the solvent became blue. The reaction mixture naturally returned to r.t. with stirring and was further stirred for 5 h. ^[b] Isolated yields. ^[c] The structure of **4j**' is the oxidative cyclized product similar as that of **3j**.

As for MCP **5a**, the reaction gave the corresponding oxidative cyclization product **6a** in 33% yield along with a double bond cleaved aldehyde in 23% yield in the presence of reductant under ⁵⁵ the standard conditions (Scheme 1).^{4e} In the absence of reductant, the corresponding oxidative cyclized product **6b** was formed in 64% yield (Scheme 1). In the case of disubstituted MCP **5b**, 2,2-diphenylcyclobutanone **6b** was formed in 28% yield along with benzophenone in 49% yield (Scheme 1).^{4c} In all these cases, none ⁶⁰ of the corresponding α -diketone could be identified.



The control experiment has confirmed that these reactions ⁵ under the optimized conditions was unaffected by the addition of the radical inhibitor such as TEMPO (1.0 equiv), rendering unlikely the intervention of a radical pathway (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2. The Control Experiment in the Presence of TEMPO ¹⁰ (1.0 equiv)

The plausible reaction mechanism is depicted as below using 1a and 2a as substrate models on the basis of previous ¹⁵ literature and the control experiments (Scheme 3).^{4e} The [3+2] cycloaddition of O₃ with 1a or 2a gives intermediate A1 or B1, which undergoes a heterolytic O-O bond cleavage and cyclopropane ring opening to afford intermediate A2 or B2, respectively. In the case of MCP 1a, intermediate A2 20 undergoes rearrangement to afford intermediate A3, which gives final product 3a via Fenton reaction.⁶ Intermediate A2 can also directly afford 3a via Fenton reaction. In the case of MCP 2a, intermediate B2 directly undergoes the O-O bond heterolytic cleavage and proton transfer to give the final 25 product 4a. Presumably due to the strongly electronwithdrawing effect of CF₃ substituent, the O-O bond heterolytic cleavage in intermediate B2 can proceed preferentially under the reaction conditions to give 4a; whereas intermediate A2 leans to undergo cyclization to give 30 zwitterionic intermediate A3 or the Fenton reaction. Therefore, the different electronic effects of EWGs in MCPs 1 or 2 cause the different reaction pathways.

MCP **2a** can be easily transformed into MCP **8a** via hydrolysis under basic conditions and condensation with *para*-³⁵ bromobenzylamine in the presence of EDCI and HOBt in DMF. MCP **8a** can also undergo ring-opening oxidative cleavage to give **9a** in 32% yield via α -diketone intermediate **C** under the standard conditions.⁷ Its structure has been identified by X-ray diffraction and the CIF data are presented in the Supporting ⁴⁰ Information.⁸

Scheme 3. Plausible Mechanisms for the Formation of 3a and 4a



Scheme 4. The Further Transformation of MCP 2a with O₃

Frontiers Accepted Mar

rganic



In summary, we have developed two easily available ozonation processes for MCPs **1** and **2** bearing *gem*-disubstituted EWGs. On the control of the oxidative ⁵⁵ conditions, the ring-opening oxidative cyclization products **3** could be obtained as the sole products for MCPs **1**, in which the *gem*-disubstituted EWGs are two methoxycarbonyl groups, and the ring-opening oxidative α -diketones **4** could be afforded as the major products in most cases for MCPs **2**, in ⁶⁰ which the *gem*-disubstituted EWGs are one methoxycarbonyl

and one trifluoromethyl group, in moderate to good yields. The electronic property of EWG plays a significant effect on the reaction outcomes. The related mechanisms have been also proposed. Further investigations to examining the 5 mechanistic details more extensively and the application of this oxidation method are underway in our laboratory.

We thank the Shanghai Municipal Committee of Science and Technology (11JC1402600), the National Basic Research ¹⁰ Program of China (973)-2010CB833302, the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, and the National Natural Science Foundation of China for financial support (20472096, 21372241, 20672127, 21361140350, 21102166, 21121062, 21302203 and 20732008).

Notes and references

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

46

47

48

49

50

57 58

59 60 15

- (1) For the synthesis of MCPs, please see: (a) A. Brandi, A. Goti, Chem. Rev. 1998, 98, 589-636. (b) G. Audran, H. Pellissier, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 575-608. (c) N. S. Isaacs, Physical Organic Chemistry; John Wiley: New York, 1987, 20 p283. (d) Small Ring Compounds in Organic Synthesis III; Ed.: A. de Meijere, Berlin: Springer, 1988. (e) P. Binger, U. Schuchardt, Chem. Ber. 1981, 114, 3313-3324. (f) P. Binger, H. M. Buech, Top. Curr. Chem. 1987, 135, 77-151. (g) W. A. Donaldson, Adv. Met.-Org. Chem. 1991, 2, 269-293. (h) M. 25 Lautens, W. Klute, W. Tam, Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 49-92. (i) I. Nakamura, Y. Yamamoto, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2002, 344, 111-129. (j) M. Shi, J.-M. Lu, Y. Wei, L.-X. Shao, Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 641-652. (k) M. Rubin, M. Rubina, V. Gevorgyan, Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 3117-3179. (1) A. Masarwa, I. Marek, Chem. Eur. J. 2010, 16, 9712-9721. (m) H. Pellissier, Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 8341-8375.
- (2) For the direct oxidation of methylenecyclopropanes, see (a) J. K. Crandall, W. W. Conover, J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 3533-3535.
 (b) J. Salaun, B. Garnier, J. M. Conia, Tetrahedron 1974, 30, 1423-1426.
 (c) D. H. Aue, M. J. Meshishnek, D. F. Shellhamer, Tetrahedron Lett. 1973, 48, 4799-4802.
 (d) J. R. Salaun, J. M. Conia, Chem. Commun. 1971, 1579-1580.
 (e) V. Nair, T. D. Suja, K. Mohanan, Synthesis 2006, 2531-2534.
- 40
 40
 41
 40
 41
 42
 43
 43
 44
 44
 45
 45
 46
 47
 47
 48
 48
 49
 49
 49
 40
 40
 40
 41
 41
 42
 43
 44
 45
 44
 45
 45
 46
 46
 47
 47
 48
 49
 49
 49
 49
 49
 49
 40
 40
 41
 41
 41
 42
 43
 44
 44
 45
 46
 47
 47
 48
 49
 49
 49
 40
 40
 41
 41
 41
 41
 41
 42
 42
 43
 44
 45
 44
 45
 45
 46
 47
 47
 48
 49
 49
 49
 40
 40
 41
 41
 41
 42
 42
 43
 44
 45
 44
 45
 46
 47
 47
 48
 49
 49
 49
 49
 49
 40
 40
 41
 41
 41
 42
 42
 44
 45
 46
 47
 47
 48
 49
 49
 49
 40
 40
 41
 41
 41
 42
 42
 44
 45
 46
 47
 47
 48
 49
 49
 49
 40
 40
 41
 41
 41
 42
 42
 44
 45
 46
 47
 47
 48
 49
 49
 49
 40
 40
 41
 41
 42
 42
 44
 44
 45
 46
 47
 47
 48
 48
 49
 49
 49
 40
 40
 <
 - ⁴⁵ Namai, N. Kato, T. Ikeda, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2006, 47, 1857-1860. (j) W. Chen, X. Huang, H. Zhou, L. Ren, *Synthesis* 2006, 609-612.
 - (3) (a) Y. Takahashi, T. Miyashi, T. Mukai, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 6511-6512. (b) T. Miyashi, M. Kamata, T. Mukai, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 2755-2756.
- J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 2755-2756.
 (4) (a) F. R. Goss, C. K. Ingold, J. F. Thorpe, J. Chem. Soc. 1923, 123, 327-361. (b) J. T. Gragson, K. W. Greenlee, J. M. Derfer, C. E. Boord, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1953, 75, 3344-3347. (c) R. F. Langler, R. K. Raheja, K. Schank, H. Beck, Helv. Chim. Acta.
 50 2001, 84, 1943-1951. (d) C. J. M. Van den Heuvel, A.
 - Hofland, J. C. van Velzen, H. Steinberg, Th. J. de Boer, *Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas.* **1984**, *103*, 233-240. (e) A. de Meijere,

I. Erden, W. Weber, D. Kaufmann, J. Org. Chem. **1988**, 53, 152-161. (f) G. Buechi, H. Wuest, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1978**, 100, 294-295. (g) K. Igawa, Y. Kawasaki, K. Tomooka, Chem. Lett. **2011**, 40, 233-235.

- (5) (a) R. Sang, H.-B. Yang, M. Shi, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2013, 54, 3591-3594. (b) S. Ma, L. Lu, *J. Org. Chem.* 2005, 70, 7629-7633. (c) T. Q. Tran, V. V. Diev, A. P. Molchanov, *Tetrahedron* 2011, 67, 2391-2395.
- (6) C. Walling, Acc. Chem. Res. 1975, 8, 125-130.
- (7) (a) P.-Q. Huang, S.-L. Wang, Y.-P. Ruan, J.-X. Gao, *Nat. Prod. Lett.* **1998**, *11*, 101-106. (b) X. Z. Li, K. Y. Lai, K. M. Wu, D. F. Huang, L. Huang, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2014**, *74*, 736-741.
- 70 //36-//41.
 - (8) The X-ray crystal data of **9a** have been deposited in CCDC with number 947607.



Ozonation of methylenecyclopropanes bearing gem-disubstituted electron-withdrawing groups (EWG) gave ring-opened oxidative products in moderate to good yields

Rui Sang, Xiang-Ying Tang and Min Shi*