ORGANIC CHEMISTRY

FRONTIERS

Accepted Manuscript





This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard **Terms & Conditions** and the **Ethical guidelines** still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.





http://rsc

http://rsc.li/frontiers-organic

Organic Chemistry Frontiers

ARTICLE

Polythiophene Synthesis via Halogen Dance⁺

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Keisuke Shono,^a Yugo Sumino,^a Shota Tanaka,^a Shunsuke Tamba,^a and Atsunori Mori^a*

Polymerization of 2,4-dibromo-3-hexyl-5-lithiated thiophene that is formed by deprotonation

of 2,5-dibromo-3-hexylthiophene with LDA and following halogen dance takes place in the

presence of nickel(II) or palladium(II) catalyst to afford poly(3-bromo-4-hexylthiophen-2,5-

Received 00th January 2012, Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Introduction

Polythiophenes recently attract much attention as materials for a variety of electronic devices.¹ Development of a facile method for the preparation of polythiophenes is of particular interest in organic and organometallic chemistry as well as polymer synthesis. Cross coupling of metalated thiophenes with thienyl halides in the presence of a transition metal catalyst is one of the most practical preparative method to form the thiophene-thiophene bond leading to polythiophenes and various types of polythiophenes are indeed synthesized by cross coupling methodology.² In particular, the reaction of 2-halo-5metalated thiophene, which is in situ formed by the reaction of the corresponding 2,5-dihalothiophene developed by Rieke, McCullough, and Yokozawa, is extensively studied to afford poly(3-substituted thiophen-2,5-diyl)s with remarkably excellent head-to-tail regioregularity in controllable manners of molecular weight and molecular weight distribution based on the amount of catalyst loading.³

divl).

On the other hand, we have been engaged in the development of transition metal-catalyzed coupling reactions, in which coupling at the C-H bond of heteroaromatic compounds has been of our particular concern.⁴ We have recently developed several polymerization of thiophene derivatives that occur by the condensation at the C-H and C-X bonds leading to polythiophenes, where deprotonative metalation of halothiophene has played important role for the successful polymerization.⁵ Accordingly, development of a new class of metalation protocols for heteroaromatic compounds is highly 48 important in the synthesis of π -conjugated polymers. 49 Concerning the polythiophene synthesis organomagnesium 50 (Grignard reagents), zinc, boron, and tin reagents have been mainly employed as a metallic species.²⁻⁵ In addition to the 51 above metals, we have shown organolithium species of 52 thiophene derivatives, indeed, can be available for nickel(II)-53 catalyzed polythiophene synthesis and lithiation was performed 54 by deprotonation at the C-H bond or lithium-halogen exchange 55 at the carbon-halogen bond.⁶ Among lithiation of 56 (hetero)aromatic compounds an alternative choice also for the 57 formation of organolithium species is halogen dance, which 58 occurs intra- or intermolecular halogen-lithium exchange.⁷ In 59

our preliminary communication on Murahashi coupling polymerization of thiophene derivatives with organolithium species, we have partially described that organolithium of thiophene formed by halogen dance can also be served as an organometallic monomer for polythiophene synthesis,⁶ however, little studies on the detail has been discussed. Herein we report halogen dance of thiophene derivatives toward the formation of lithiated monomer, polymerization of the thus formed metalated species, and transformation of the polythiophene formed through halogen dance.

Results and discussion

First, we studied the optimum condition of halogen dance with 2,5-dibromo-3-hexylthiophene (1) as shown in Scheme 1. Deprotonation of 1 at the 4-position was carried out with LDA(lithium diisopropylamide: $\text{LiN}i\text{Pr}_2$) at -78 °C. After stirring for 5 min, progress of halogen dance was confirmed by treatment of H₂O to obtain 35% of 2,4-dibromo-3-hexylthiophene (2). Since the progress of deprotonation under similar conditions was also found to be ca. 45%, which was confirmed by treatment of deprotonated mixture with iodine to observe unreacted ca. 55% of 1, these results suggested that the lithiated species at the 4-position was mostly rearranged into 5-lithio-4-brominated thiophene at -78 °C through halogen dance.



Scheme 1. Deprotonation of 1 with LDA

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

52

53

58 59 60 Halogen dance under several conditions was then examined as summarized in Table 1. When 1 was treated with 1.1 equivalents of LDA at 0 °C and room temperature for 5 min, 90%, respectively, of 2 was obtained by treatment of water. On the other hand, deprotonation with LTMP (lithium 2,2,6,6tetramethylpiperidide) was found slower to observe conversion to 2 in only 75% after stirring at room temperature for 30 min whereas further reaction to 4 h improved the reaction to 81%. The reaction of **1** with Cy₂NLi (lithium dicyclohexylamide) underwent halogen dance smoothly to observe, after treatment of water, conversion to 2 in 85% (30 min) and 96% (5 h), respectively. It was also found that the reaction of 1 with lithium diethylamide (Et₂NLi) at room temperature for 30 min resulted in 85% conversion. By contrast, LHMDS (lithium hexamethyldisilazide) was found to hardly deprotonate 1 at room temperature for 30 min. Conditions at 60 °C for 24 h were required to afford 2 in 77% conversion.

Table 1. Halogen dance of **1** with lithium amide.^a

Lithiumamide	Temp($^{\circ}$ C)	Time	Conversion to 2 (%) ^b
LDA ^c	-78	5 min	35
	0	5 min	90
	r.t.	5 min	90
$LTMP^{d}$	r.t.	30 min	75
	r.t.	4 h	81
Cy ₂ NLi ^e	r.t.	30 min	85
	r.t.	5 h	96
Et ₂ NLi	r.t.	30 min	85
LHMDS ^f	r.t.	30 min	0
	60	24 h	77

^a The reaction was carried out with 1 (0.5 mmol) and 1.1 equiv. of lithiumamide in THF. ^b Confirmed by ¹H NMR after quenching the crude reaction mixture with water. ^c Lithium diisopropylamide ^d Lithium 2,2,6,6-^e Lithium dicyclohexylamide tetramethylpiperidide f Lithium hexamethyldisilazide

Halogen dance with magnesium amide was also studied. When the reaction of 1 was examined with Knochel-Hauser base⁸ TMPMgCl LiCl (chloromagnesium-2,2,6,6tetramethylpiperidide lithium chloride salt), halogen dance hardly took place at room temperature for 3 h. However, the reaction with *i*Pr₂NMgCl LiCl (1.2 equiv) resulted in ca. 60% halogen dance, which was confirmed by quenching with water to afford 2. Since treatment with iodine suggested the amount of deprotonation was 68%, most of the metalated thiophene magnesium species induced halogen dance. Although the reaction of *i*Pr₂NMgCl LiCl at the elevated temperature (60 $^{\circ}$ C) was also examined, it was found to observe little improvement of the reaction.

$$\xrightarrow{i \operatorname{Pr}_2 \operatorname{NMgCl} \operatorname{LiCl}} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{E}} 2$$
THF, r.t., 17 h
60% (E

 $E = H_2O$ $68\% (E = I_2)$

With the studied reaction conditions of halogen dance, polymerization of the thus obtained lithiated thiophene species was carried out. After 2,5-dibromothiophene 1 was added to a THF solution of LDA at -78 °C, the reaction mixture was gradually raised to 0 °C over 30 min to induce halogen dance. Then, 2.0 mol % of nickel catalyst bearing N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) ligand NiCl₂(PPh₃)IPr⁹ was added to the resulting mixture and stirring was continued at 60 °C for 1 h to afford polymer 3 in 77% isolated yield. SEC analysis revealed to show $M_n = 7900 \ (M_w/M_n = 1.28)$. (Scheme 3)



Scheme 3. Halogen dance polymerization of 1 with LDA

Figure 1 shows ¹H NMR spectrum of the obtained polymer indicating the proton signal observed at 6.8 ppm disappeared. Broadening of alkyl signals corresponding to the substituent at the 3-position also support polymer formation. In addition, elemental analysis of the obtained polythiophene indicated bromine contents of 32.83% showing good correspondence to $Br(C_{10}H_{13}BrS)_{32}H$ (33.27%). The result suggests the monomer unit of 3 contains bromine atom. In contrast with poly(3hexylthiophen-2,5-diyl), the polymer bearing a bromine atom at the 4-position 3 was found to be highly soluble in organic solvents such as THF, chloroform, and hexane. The results suggest that π -conjugation between the thiophene rings in the polymer chain is less extended.



Figure 1. ¹H NMR spectrum (CDCl₃) of **3**

Scheme 2. Attempted halogen dance of 1 with *i*Pr₂NMgCl LiCl

1

16

17

18

19

20

21

27

31

37

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51 52

53 54

55

56

57

58

59 60 **Journal Name**

Table 2. Halogen dance polymerization of $1.^{a}$

entry	Halogen dance	Catalyst	Polymerization	Yield	h h	$M_{ m w}/M_{ m n}{}^b$
	(Temp, Time)	(mol %)	Temp($^{\circ}$ C), time (h)	(%)	$M_{\rm n}$ s	
1	LDA (0, 30 min)	$NiCl_2(PPh_3)IPr^c$ (2.0)	60, 1	77	7900	1.28
2	LDA (0, 30 min)	$NiCl_2(PPh_3)IPr^c$ (1.3)	r.t., 1	78	5100	1.45
3	LDA (r.t., 2 h)	NiCl ₂ dppp (2.0)	60, 24	44	1200	
4	LDA (r.t., 3.5 h)	NiCl ₂ dppf (2.0)	60, 24	62	1100	
5	LDA (r.t., 2 h)	Umicore $CX31^d$ (5.0)	60, 24	43	4400	3.36
6	LDA (r.t., 2 h)	Umicore $CX32^e$ (5.0)	60, 24	56	6000	3.48
7	LDA (0, 30 min)	PEPPSI-SIPr ^{f} (1.3)	r.t., 72	67	7700	1.66
8	Cy2NLi (r.t., 5 h)	NiCl ₂ (PPh ₃)IPr (1.0)	60, 24	72	10100	1.61
9	Cy2NLi (r.t., 5 h)	NiCl ₂ (PPh ₃)IPr (1.0)	r.t., 24	41	6700	1.56
10	Cy2NLi (r.t., 5 h)	PEPPSI-SIPr $^{f}(1.0)$	r.t., 24	53	6100	1.57
11	LTMP $(r.t, 8h)$	PEPPSI-SIPr^{f} (1.0)	r.t. 24	72	5600	1.54

^a The reaction was carried out with 1 (0.5 mmol) and 1.1 equiv. of lithiumamide in THF for the metalation and 1.0-5.0 mol % of catalyst was employed for the polycondensation. ${}^{b}M_{n}$ and M_{w}/M_{n} values were estimated by SEC analysis using CHCl₃ as an eluent. c IPr: 1,3-Bis(2,6diisopropylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene ^d [1,3-Bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene]chloro[3-phenylallyl]palladium(II) ^e [1,3-Bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene]chloro[3-phenylallyl]palladium(II) diisopropylphenyl)imidazolin-2-ylidene]chloro[3-phenylallyl]palladium(II) ^f (1,3-Bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazolidene) 3chloropyridyl)palladium(II) dichloride

Table 2 represents halogen dance polymerization of 1 under 22 several conditions. Compared with the polymerization 23 conditions shown in Scheme 3 (entry 1), it was found that 24 polymerization with NiCl₂(PPh₃)IPr also proceeded at room 25 temperature in 78% yield ($M_{\rm n}$ =7900; $M_{\rm w}/M_{\rm n}$ = 1.28) (entry 2). 26 On the other hand, other nickel catalysts bearing bidentate phosphine ligands dppp and dppf was less effective to afford 28 oligomers with inferior yields. (entry 3,4) Considering that 29 nickel catalysts bearing bidentate phosphines effectively undergo polymerization of 3-hexylthiophene, polymerization to 30 afford 5 would be less reactive because of steric effect of the bromine substituent. A palladium catalyst was also found to 32 undergo polymerization when NHC is employed as a ligand.¹⁰ 33 Use of palladium catalysts bearing NHC ligands (Umicore 34 CX31 and CX32)¹¹ induced polymerization to afford 3 of 35 slightly higher molecular weights although the molecular 36 weight distribution was much broader (entry 5,6). However, polymeization with PEPPSI¹²-SIPr at room temperature afforded 3 of higher molecular weight (entry 7). The lithiated 38 monomer via halogen dance with Cy₂NLi and LTMP was also 39 found to afford polymer **3** smoothly. (entry 8-11) 40

Table 3 summarizes the result on the relationship of molecular weight with catalyst loading in the halogen dance polymerization. It was found that molecular weight of 3 increased as the amount of catalyst loading decreased. However, the molecular weight was found much inferior to the theoretical line based on the ideal living polymerization.

Table 3. Halogen dance polymerization of **1**.^a

1/Ni cat.	Yield, %	M (theor.) ^{b}	$M_{ m n}$	$M_{ m w}/M_{ m n}$
33	65	8200	2800	1.22
50	61	12300	3300	1.28
67	78	16300	4400	1.42
100	72	24500	10100	1.61

^a The reaction was carried out with **1** and 1.1 equiv. of Cy₂NLi in THF for halogen dance (-78 $\,\,{}^\circ\!\! C$ - r.t. over 5 h) and 1.0-3.0 mol% of NiCl₂(PPh₃)IPr was employed for the polymerization. ^b Theoretical molecular weight based on the ration of 1/nickel catalyst at the 100% conversion. ^c M_n and M_w/M_n values were estimated by SEC analysis using CHCl₃ as an eluent.

A thiophene derivative bearing a methyl substituent at the 3position was also subjected to halogen dance polymerization. The reaction of 2,5-dibromo-3-methylthiophene 4 was treated with lithium dicyclohexylamide at -78 $\,^{\circ}$ C and then gradually raised to room temperature over 4.5 h. Addition of palladium catalyst PEPPSI-SIPr (1.0 mol %) at room temperature induced polymerization in a similar manner to the case of 1 to afford the corresponding polymer 5 in 85% yield after stirring for 24 h. $(M_{\rm n} = 6100, M_{\rm w}/M_{\rm n} = 1.71)$ The reaction of chlorothiophene was also performed with 5-bromo-2-chloro-3-hexylthiophene (6). Treatment of 6 with LDA and following addition of NiCl₂(PPh₃)IPr (1.0 mol %) resulted in polymerization at room temperature for 24 h to afford the corresponding polymer 3 in a quantitative yield. ($M_n = 4900$, $M_w/M_n = 1.58$). These results are depicted in Scheme 4.





Scheme 4. Halogen dance polymerization of 4 and 6

Transformation of polymer 3 obtained by halogen dance polymerization was subjected to transformation. Since it is interesting that polymerization of 2,5-dihalo-3-alkylthiophene gives polymers of different structures by switching the metalation protocol. Usual GRIM (Grignard metathesis)³

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60 metalation and the following transition-metal-catalyzed polycondensation gives poly(3-alkylthiophen-2,5-diyl) whereas halogen dance is shown to lead to poly(3-alkyl-4-bromothiophen-2,5-diyl). We envisaged that the obtained polymer by halogen dance would be transformed by reduction of the bromine atom toward poly(3-alkylthiophen-2,5-diyl). Accordingly, the polymer obtained by halogen dance (M_n) =7100; $M_w/M_n = 1.55$) was treated with *t*BuMgCl (2.0 equiv.) in the presence of NiCl₂dppp at room temperature for 24 h. Measurement of ¹H NMR spectrum revealed that a new signal at ca. 7 ppm, which is identical with the methine proton of the thiophene ring of P3HT appeared. Comparison of the integral value with that of the CH₂ signal of hexyl group adjacent to the thiophene ring suggested ca. 60% of bromine atom was reduced to hydrogen. The color of polymer was changed from yellow to dark purple. Measurements of UV-vis spectra of 3 and the transformed polymer (λ_{max} shifted to 357 nm to 376 nm) also suggested extended π -conjugation increased close to that of P3HT ($\lambda_{max} = ca. 450 \text{ nm}$).



Scheme 5. Transformation of 3

Conclusion

In conclusion, we have shown a new class of polymerization with organolithium species of a thiophene derivative, which was generated by halogen dance of 2,5-dihalo-3-alkylthiophene by treatment with lithium amide. The reaction afforded polythiophene bearing bromine atom as a substituent of the thiophene ring. The reaction was found to proceed in the presence of nickel(II) or palladium(II) catalyst via cross coupling polycondensation of organolithium. Debromination of the obtained polymer increased to the contents of extended π conjugation. If a proper halogen dance is designed in not only thiophene ring but also another class of aromatic rings, this strategy enhances variety of halogen-containing polymer materials, which allow wide range of organic transformation reactions.

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid (B) for Scientific Research by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS), Japan (Nos. 22350042 and 25288049). S.T and S. T thank JSPS for a Research Fellowship for Young Scientists. The authors thank Umicore, Japan KK for the kind donation of palladium catalysts CX31 and CX32.

Notes and references

^{*a*} Department of Chemical Science and Engineering, Kobe University, 1-1 Rokkodai, Nada, Kobe 657-8501, Japan.

[†] This paper is dedicated to Professor Max Malacria upon cerebration of his 65th birthday.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental details and spectroscopic data. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- (a) P. M. Beaujunge and J. M. Fréchet, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 20009.
 (b) A. C. Grimsdale, K. L. Chan, R. E. Martin, P. G. Jokisz and A. B. Holmes, Chem. Rev. 2009, 197, 899.
- 2 (a) F. Diederich and P. J. Stang, *Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reaction*: Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 1998. (b) A. Mori and M. S. Mohamed-Ahmed, In *Cross-coupling Polymerization*; R. H. Crabtree, and D. M. P. Mingos, Eds.: Elsevier, Oxford, 2007, Vol. 11, pp. 653-690. (c) T. Yamamoto, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* 2010, 83, 431. (d) B. Carsten, F. He, H.-J. Son, T. Xu and L. Yu, *Chem. Rev.* 2011, 111, 1493.
- (a) T. A. Chen and R. D. Rieke, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 10087. 3 (b) T. A. Chen, X. Wu and R. D. Rieke, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 233. (c) R. S. Loewe, S. M. KIhersonsky and R. D. McCullough, Adv. Mater. 1999, 11, 250. (d) E. E. Sheina, J. Liu, M. C. Iovu, D. W. Laird and R. D. McCullough, Macromolecules 2004, 37, 3526. (e) M. C. Iovu, E. E. Sheina, R. R. Gil and R. D. McCullough, Macromolecules 2005, 38, 8649. (f) A. Yokoyama, R. Miyakoshi and T. Yokozawa, Macromolecules, 2004, 37, 1169. (g) R. Miyakoshi, A. Yokoyama and T. Yokozawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 17542. (h) R. Miyakoshi, A. Yokoyama and T. Yokozawa, J. Polym. Sci. Part A: Polym. Chem. 2008, 46, 753. (i) E. L. Lanni and A. J. McNeil, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 16573. (j) R. Tkachov, V. Senkovskyy, H. Komber, J.-U. Sommer and A. Kiriy, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 7803. (k) H. A. Bronstein and C. K. Luscombe, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 12894. (1) M. Wong, J. Hollinger, L. M. Kozycz, T. M. ScCormick, Y. Lu, D. C. Burns and D. S. Seferos, ACS Macro Lett. 2012, 1, 1266. (1) Z. J. Bryan, M. L. Smith and A. J. McNeil, Macromol. Rapid Commun. 2012, 33, 842. For reviews: (m) T. Yokozawa and A. Yokoyama, Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 5595. (n) R. D. McCullough, Adv. Mater. 1998, 10, 93. (o) I. Osaka and R. D. McCullough, Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1202. (p) K. Okamoto, J. Zhang, J. B. Housekeeper, S. R. Marder and C. K. Luscombe, Macromolecules 2013, 46, 8059. (q) K. Okamoto and C. K. Luscombe, Polym. Chem. 2011, 2, 2424. (r) M. C. Stefan, M. P. Bhatt, P. Sista and H. D. Magurudeniya, Polym. Chem. 2012., 3, 1693.
- 4 (a) A. Mori, A. Sekiguchi, K. Masui, T. Shimada, M. Horie, K. Osakada, M. Kawamoto and T. Ikeda, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 1700. (b) K. Masui, H. Ikegami and A. Mori, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 5074. (c) Takahashi, K. Masui, H. Sekiguchi, N. Kobayashi, A. Mori, M. Funahashi and N. Tamaoki, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 10930. (d) K. Kobayashi, A. Sugie, M. Takahashi, K. Masui and A. Mori, Org. Lett., 2005, 7, 5083. (e) D. Monguchi, T. Fujiwara, H. Furukawa and A. Mori, Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1607. (f) D. Monguchi, A. Yamamura, T. Fujiwara, T. Somete and A. Mori, Tetrahedron Lett. 2010, 51, 850. (g) S. Mitsuda, T. Fujiwara, K. Kimigafukuro, D. Monguchi and A. Mori, Tetrahedron. Lett. 2012, 68, 3585. (h) S. Tamba, Y. Okubo, S. Tanaka, D. Monguchi and A. Mori, J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 6998. For a review: (i) A. Mori and A. Sugie, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 2008, 81, 548.
- (a) S. Tamba, S. Tanaka, Y. Okubo, S. Okamoto, H. Meguro and A. Mori, *Chem. Lett.* 2011, **40**, 398. (b) S. Tamba, K. Shono, A. Sugie, and A. Mori, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011, **133**, 9700. (c) S. Tamba, S. Mitsuda, F. Tanaka, A. Sugie, and A. Mori, *Organometallics* 2012, **31**, 2263. (d) S. Tamba, Y. Okubo, A. Sugie, and A. Mori, *Polymer J.* 2012, **44**, 1209. (e) S. Tamba, K. Fuji, K. Meguro, S. Okamoto, T.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

- Tendo, R. Komobuchi, A. Sugie, T. Nishino, and A. Mori, Chem. Lett. 2013, 42, 281. (f) A. Mori, K. Ide, S. Tamba, S. Tsuji, Y. Toyomori, T. Yasuda, Chem. Lett. 2014, 41, xxxx. For a review, see: (g) A. Mori, J. Synth. Org. Chem. Jpn. 2011, 69, 1202. See also: (h) R. D. McCullough and R. D. Lowe, J. Chem. Sco., Chem. Commun. 1992, 70. (i) Q. Wang, R. Takita, T. Kikuzaki and F. Ozawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 11420. (j) J. Hassan, E. Schulz, C. Gozzi and M. Lemaire, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2003, 195, 125. (k) B. Bonillo and T. M. Swager, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 18916. (1) Y. 10 Fujinami, J. Kuwabara, W. Lu, H. Hayashi and T. Kanbara, ACS 11 Macro Lett. 2012, 1, 67. (m) M. Wakioka, N. Ichihara, Y. Kitano and 12 13 6 K. Fuji, S. Tamba, K. Shono, A. Sugie and A. Mori, J. Am. Chem.
- 14 Soc., 2013, 135, 12208. 15 (a) M. Schunürch, M. Spina, A. F. Kahn, M. D. Mihovilovic and P. 7 16 Stanetty, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2007, 36, 1046. (b) S. Kano, Y. Yuasa, T. Yokomatsu and S. Shibuya, Heterocycles 1983, 20, 2035.
- 18 8 (a) C.R. Hauser and H. G. Walker, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1947, 69, 295. 19 (b) A. Krasovskiy, V. Krasovskaya and P. Knochel, Angew. Chem., 20 Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 2958.

- IPr: 1,3-Bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene. See: W. A. 9 Herrmann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 1290.
- 10 Attempted reaction with Pd(tBu₃P)₂, which was shown to induce several polymerization of (hetero)arylenes,^{3, 5-7} resulted in giving little polymerization.
- 11 [1,3-Bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene]chloro[3phenylallyl]palladium(II): (CX31) and [1,3-Bis(2,6diisopropylphenyl)imidazolin-2-ylidene]chloro[3phenylallyl]palladium(II) (CX32), respectively. Y. Horiguchi, S. P. Nolan, J. Synth. Org. Chem. Jpn. 2009, 67, 653.
- 12 PEPPSI: Pyridine-enhanced precatalyst preparation stabilization and initiation, (1,3-Bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazolidene) (3chloropyridyl) palladium(II) dichloride. (a) C. J. O'Brien, E. A. B. Kantchev, C. Valente, N. Hadei, G. A. Chass, A. Lough, A. C. Hopkinson and M. G. Organ, Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 4743. (b) M. G. Organ, S. Calimisiz, M. Sayah, K. H. Hoi and A. J. Lough, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 2383.