Polymer Chemistry

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/polymers

Polymer Chemistry

Polymer Chemistry

RSCPublishing

ARTICLE

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received 00th January 2012, Accepted 00th January 2012,

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Synthesis of End-functionalized Poly(methyl methacrylate) by Organocatalyzed Group Transfer Polymerization Using Functional Silyl Ketene Acetals and α-Phenylacrylates

Yougen Chen,[§]* Kenji Takada[‡] Naoya Kubota,[‡] Ofosu-Twum Eric,[‡] Takahiro Ito,[‡]

Takuya Isono,[†] Toshifumi Satoh,^{†‡} and Toyoji Kakuchi^{§†‡}*

The present study describes the α - and ω -end-functionalization of poly(methyl methacrylate)s (PMMAs) by the organocatalyzed group transfer polymerization (GTP) using both functional silyl ketene acetal (SKA) initiators and α -phenylacrylate terminators. The syntheses of structurally defect-free α -end-functionalized PMMAs with hydroxyl, ethynyl, vinyl, and norbornenyl groups (HO-PMMA, HC=C-PMMA, H₂C=CH-PMMA, and NB-PMMA, respectively) were achieved by either the *N*-(trimethylsilyl)bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide-(Me₃SiNTf₂-) or *t*-Bu-P₄-catalyzed GTP of MMA using functional trimethyl SKAs (**1a-1d**). On the other hand, the ω -end-functionalized PMMAs with ethynyl, hydroxyl, vinyl, and bromo groups (PMMA-C=CH, PMMA-OH, PMMA-CH=CH₂, and PMMA-Br, respectively) were for the first time obtained by the Me₃SiNTf₂-catalyzed GTP of MMA followed by a termination reaction using functional α -phenylacylates (**2a-2d**). All the polymerizations produced end-functionalized PMMAs with controlled molar masses, narrow dispersities, and defect-free polymer structures as designed. The quantitative incorporation of functionalities into the α - or ω -end of the PMMAs was confirmed by the ¹H NMR and matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) measurements.

Introduction

Group transfer polymerization (GTP) as a controlled/living polymerization method was reported more than 30 years ago, as to practically settle the industrial issues of the super-low polymerization temperature required by the anionic polymerization of (meth)acrylates. In principle, the initiation and propagation reactions of GTP are originally rooted in the Mukaiyama-Michael reaction.¹⁻³ As an indispensable ingredient during the GTP process, a silvl ketene acetal (SKA) with a moderate reactivity was innovatively utilized to replace the extremely reactive lithium enolate as the active center of the anionic polymerization of (meth)acrylates, so that the propagating end of the enolate almost or completely existed in a neutral trialkylsilylated form, mainly depending on the solvent used and the mechanism through which the polymerization undergoes. For precisely this reason, a conventional catalyst of either a nucleophilic anion, such as $SiMe_3F_2^{-,1, 4-5}$ HF₂^{-,1, 4-6} or CN^{-,1, 4, 6-7} or a transition metal compound, such as ZnX_2 (X = Cl, Br, and I)^{6, 8}, was required to activate the SKA for enhancing its nucleophilicity or to activate the carbonyl moiety in the (meth)acrylate for enhancing the electrophilicity of the double bond, thus causing promotion of the repetitive Mukaiyama-Michael reactions between the SKA at polymer end and the monomer. Since Webster first proposed and

demonstrated the concept of GTP, many efforts have been devoted to investigating the applicable monomers and catalysts, their optimal combinations, and the applications in the synthesis of welldefined polymers. Overall, the controlled polymerization of methacrylates had been significantly achieved by the GTP using a conventional nucleophilic anion, while that of acrylates was only to some extent realized by the GTP using a conventional transition metal compound.

The recent progress in GTP has been basically related to the application of organocatalysts in this field since 2007.⁹ The creative use of organocatalysts has largely improved the livingness of GTP because the basic organocatalysts used, on the one hand, can in a great sense suppress side reactions due to its low nucleophilicity, and the acidic organocatalysts used, on the other hand, significantly enhance the Lewis acidity of the catalyst. For instance, Taton et al. and Waymouth et al. reported that *N*-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) efficiently catalyzed the GTP of both methyl methacrylate (MMA) and *tert*-butyl acrylate in a controlled/living fashion.^{10,11} Furthermore, Taton et al. reported the synthesis of block copolymers composed of methacrylates, acrylates, *N*,*N*-dimethylacrlamide, and methacrylonitrile by the same NHC-catalyzed GTP method,^{12,13} which can be hardly achieved by the GTP using a conventional catalyst. Chen et al. reported that

^oolymer Chemistry Accepted Manuscript

Journal Name

triphenylmethyl tetrakis(pentafluorophenyl)borane promoted the oxidative GTP of alkyl (meth)acrylates, α -methylene- γ butyrolactone, and γ -methyl- α -methylene- γ -butyrolactone.¹⁴⁻¹⁷ We have also reported the controlled/living GTP using highly strong organic acids and bases as catalysts and appropriate ketene silyl acetals as initiators;¹⁸⁻²³ e.g., trifluoromethanesulfonimide (HNTf₂), Me₃SiNTf₂, and the phosphazene base of t-Bu-P₄ for methyl methacrylate (MMA) using 1-methoxy-1-trimethylsilyloxy-2methyl-1-propene (MTS), Me₃SiNTf₂ and 1-[bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)methyl]-2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorobenzene ($C_6F_5CHTf_2$) for methyl acrylate (MA) and *n*-butyl acrylate (*n*BA) using 1-methoxy-1-triisopropylsiloxy-2-methyl-1-propene, and HNTf₂ for N,Ndimethylacrylamide using (Z)-1-(dimethylamino)-1trimethylsiloxy-1-propene.

This study aims at the synthesis of end-functionalized poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) by the organocatalyzed GTP method. It is well known that the synthesis of end-functionalized polymers by the controlled/living polymerizations can be achieved using either functional initiators or terminators, or both. For the GTP using functional initiating agents, Sogah et al. and Bandermann et al. reported the GTPs of MMA initiated by hydroxyl- and cyanide-functionalized SKAs.^{6,24} Reetz et al. and Shen et al. reported the GTPs of acrylates using alkylthiosilane and triphenylphosphonium-containing SKAs, which correspondingly produced the hydroxyl- and triphenylphosphonium-terminated polyacrylates.^{6,25-31} For the GTP using functional terminating agents, Quirk et al. and Sivaram et al. reported the synthesis of end-functionalized PMMAs with hydroxyl and amino groups using

benzaldehyde methyl-2-phenylpropenoate and derivatives. respectively.^{27,32,33} Nevertheless, these results based on the GTP using conventional catalysts were insufficient and needed to be improved in terms of the precise polymer synthesis and endfunctionalization efficiency (% F), and the synthesis of the endfunctionalized polyacrylates and polyacrylamides are still remaining tasks for the GTP chemistry. Recently, we reported that α , ω , and α , ω -end-functionalized poly(*n*-butyl acrylate)s (PnBAs) were precisely synthesized by the Me₃SiNTf₂-catalyzed GTP using functional triisopropyl SKA initiators and α-phenylacrylate terminators,³⁴ which afforded quantitative %Fs. Some functionalities, such as the ethynyl and norbornenyl groups, had been for the first time introduced to the α and ω -ends of PnBA in the GTP field. We now report the precise syntheses of α -endfunctionalized PMMAs by the Me₃SiNTf₂- and *t*-Bu-P₄-catalyzed GTP using various functional trimethyl SKA initiators (1a-1d) and ω -end-functionalized PMMAs using functional α -phenylacrylate terminators (2a-2d). It should be highly emphasized that the endfunctionalization of PMMA is rather different from that of previously reported PnBA because the designed trimethyl SKA initiators for PMMA synthesis have much higher reactivity than those of triisopropyl SKA initiators for PnBA synthesis and they are inappropriate for acrylic polymer synthesis; on the other hand, for ω -end-functionalization, the reactivity of the living center at PMMA ω -end is low and totally different from that of a living polyacrylate end, as shown in Scheme 1, which would change the possibility and efficiency of ω -end-functionalization.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of α - and ω -end-functionalized poly(methyl methacrylate) by the organocatalytic GTP using functional silvl ketene acetal initiators and α -phenylacrylate terminators



Experimental Section

Materials. Dichloromethane (CH_2Cl_2 , > 99.5%; water content, < 0.001%, toluene (> 99.5%; water content, < 0.001%), tetrahydrofuran (THF, > 99.5%; water content, < 0.001%), *n*butyllithium (*n*-BuLi, 1.6 mol L^{-1} in *n*-hexane), imidazole (> 98.0%), and triethylamine (> 99.0%) were purchased from Kanto Chemicals Co., Inc. Methyl methacrylate (MMA, > 99.8%), N-(trimethylsilyl)bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (Me₃SiNTf₂, >95.0%), isobutyryl chloride (> 98.0%), methyl tiglate (> 98.0%), 9decyn-1-ol (> 94.0%), tert-butyldimethylsilyl chloride (t-BuMe₂SiCl), 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP, > 99.0%), diisopropylamine (DIPA, > 99.0%), chlorotriisopropylsilane (ⁱPr₃SiCl, > 98.0%), chlorotrimethylsilane (Me₃SiCl, > 98.0%), and sodium hydride (55wt%, dispersion in liquid paraffin) were purchased from Tokyo Kasei Kogyo Co., Ltd. (1R, 2S, 4R)-5-Norbornene-2-carboxylic acid (97%), tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF, 1.0 mol L^{-1} in THF), sodium trifluoroacetate (98%), and DowEX® marathon® MSC (H) ion-exchange resin were purchased from the Sigma-Aldrich Chemicals Co. MMA, methyl tiglate, DIPA, and CH₂Cl₂ were distilled over CaH₂ and degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles prior to use. Me₃SiCl was distilled without using any drying agent and degassed by three freeze-pumpthaw cycles prior to use. Toluene and THF were distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl. The functional initiators, 1-(2triisopropylsiloxyethoxy)-1-trimethylsiloxy-2-methyl-1-propene

(1a), 1-(10-trimethylsilyldec-9-yn-1-yloxy)-1-trimethylsiloxy-2methyl-1-propene (1b), 1-methoxy-1-trimethylsiloxy-2-methyl-1,3butadiene (1c), and 1-((1*S*,4*S*)-norborn-5-en-2-ylmethoxy)-1trimethylsiloxy-2-metylprop-1-ene (1d), were synthesized according to a previously reported procedure.³⁴ The synthetic details of 1a-1d are described in the Supporting Information (SI). The functional terminators, 2-(*tert*-butyldimethylsiloxy)ethyl 2phenylacrylate (2a), 4-trimethylsilyl-3-butynyl 2-phenylacrylate (2b), 3-butenyl 2-phenylacrylate (2c), and 2-bromoethyl 2phenylacrylate (2d) were used as reported.³⁴ All other chemicals were purchased from available suppliers and used without purification.

Measurements. The ¹H (400 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (100 MHz) spectra were recorded by a JEOL JNM-A400II. The polymerization solution was prepared in an MBRAUN stainless steel glove-box equipped with a gas purification system (molecular sieves and copper catalyst) in a dry argon atmosphere (H₂O, O₂ <1 ppm). The moisture and oxygen contents in the glove-box were monitored by an MB-MO-SE 1 and an MB-OX-SE 1, respectively. Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) measurements for the endfunctionalized PMMAs were performed at 40 °C using a Jasco GPC-900 system equipped with a reflective index (RI) detector and two Shodex KF-804 L columns (linear, 8 mm × 300 mm) in THF at the flow rate of 1.0 mL min⁻¹. The molar mass ($M_{n,SEC}$) and dispersity (M_w/M_n) of the resulting PMMA were determined by the SEC based on PMMA standards with their $M_{\rm w}$ ($M_{\rm w}/M_{\rm n}$)s of 1.25 × 10^3 kg mol^{-1} (1.07), $6.59 \times 10^2 \text{ kg mol}^{-1}$ (1.02), $3.003 \times 10^2 \text{ kg}$ mol^{-1} (1.02), 1.385×10^2 kg mol^{-1} (1.05), 60.15 kg mol^{-1} (1.03), 30.53 kg mol⁻¹ (1.02), and 11.55 kg mol⁻¹ (1.04), 4.90 kg mol⁻¹ (1.10), 2.87 kg mol⁻¹ (1.06), and 1.43 kg mol⁻¹ (1.15). The matrix-

desorption/ionization time-of-flight assisted laser mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) measurements were performed using an Applied Biosystems Voyager-DE STR-H mass spectrometer with a 25 kV acceleration voltage. The positive ions were detected in the reflector mode (25 kV). A nitrogen laser (337 nm, 3 ns pulse width, 106-107 W cm⁻²) operating at 3 Hz was used to produce the laser desorption, and 200-500 shots were summed. The spectra were externally calibrated using narrow-dispersed polystyrene as a linear calibration. Samples for the MALDI-TOF MS measurements of the end-functionalized PMMAs were prepared by mixing the polymer (10 mg mL⁻¹, 30 μ L), the matrix (1,8-dihydroxy-9-(10H)-anthracenone (20 mg mL⁻¹, 90 µL), andthe cationizing agent (sodium trifluoroacetate, 10 mg mL⁻¹, 30 μ L) in THF.

Synthesis of α -End-functionalized PMMA by Me₃SiNTf₂catalyzed GTP of MMA using Functional Initiators (1a-1d). A typical procedure is as follows: a stock solution of Me₃SiNTf₂ (20 μ L, 2.0 μ mol, 0.10 mol L⁻¹) was added to the mixtrue of MMA (200 mg, 2.00 mmol) and 1a (16.0 µL, 40.0 µmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.75 mL) under an argon atmosphere at room temperature. After stirring for 4 h, a small amount of methanol was added to quench the polymerization. The crude product was purified by reprecipitation into *n*-hexane to give ^{*i*}Pr₃SiO-PMMA as a white solid. The deprotection of the triisopropylsilyl group was implemented for 2 days at room temperature by adding TBAF (0.80 mL (0.80 mmol), 1.0 mol L^{-1} in THF) to a solution of ^{*i*}Pr₃SiO-PMMA (180 mg (28.1 μ mol), $M_{n,SEC} = 6,400 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$, and $M_w/M_n = 1.04$) in THF (4.0 mL) and methanol (0.1 mL). The mixture was then diluted with THF and passed through a short column of silica gel. The polymer was purified by reprecipitation into n-hexane to give HO-PMMA as a white solid; yield, 130 mg (72%). $M_{n,SEC} = 5,900 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$, and $M_{\rm w}/M_{\rm n}$ = 1.04. The GTPs of MMA using **1b-1d** were carried out by a similar method.

Synthesis of ω -End-functionalized PMMA by Me₃SiNTf₂catalyzed GTP of MMA uisng Functional Terminators (2a-2d). The above described polymerization procedure is applied to the mixture of MMA (0.200 g, 2.00 mmol), MTS (16.2 µl, 80.0 µmol), and Me₃SiNTf₂ (40.0 µl (4.00 µmol), 0.100 mol L⁻¹ in CH₂Cl₂) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.73 mL). After 2 h polymerization, **2a** (109 mg, 0.4 mmol) was added and the entire mixture was further stirred for 24 h. The polymer was purified by reprecipitation into *n*-hexane to give the PMMA-C=CSiMe₃ as a white solid; yield, 190 mg (95%), $M_{n,SEC} = 3,460$ g mol⁻¹, and $M_w/M_n = 1.07$. The GTPs of MMA using **2b-2d** as terminators were carried out by the same method.

Results and discussion

Synthesis of Hydroxyl, Ethynyl, Vinyl, and Norbornenyl α -End-functionalized PMMA. The functional trimethylsilyl ketene acetals (1a-1d) were synthesized by a conventional method using lithium diisopropylamide (LDA). Their synthetic details are rather similar to those for synthesizing functional triisopropylsilyl ketene acetals in our previous study.³⁴ 1a, 1b, and 1d were prepared by reacting their precursors of the functional isobutyrates with LDA followed by Me₃SiCl, while 1c was synthesized by treating methyl tiglate with LDA and then Me₃SiCl. All the functional initiators

Table 1. Synthesis of hydroxyl, ethynyl, vinyl, and norbornenyl \Box -end-functionalized PMMAs using 1a, 1b, 1c, and 1d ^a

Run	Initiator (I)	Catalyst	[MMA] ₀ /[I] ₀	Time (h)	$M_{n,calcd}^{b}$ (g mol ⁻¹)	$M_{n,SEC}$ ^c (g mol ⁻¹)	$M_{ m w}/M_{ m n}$ c
1	1a	Me ₃ SiNTf ₂	25	2	2,700	3,300	1.08
2	1a	Me ₃ SiNTf ₂	50	4	5,100	5,900	1.04
3	1a	Me ₃ SiNTf ₂	100	16	10,100	10,200	1.03
4	1a	t-Bu-P ₄	200	1	20,300	22,300	1.07
5	1a	t-Bu-P ₄	400	1	40,300	48,400	1.18
6	1b	Me ₃ SiNTf ₂	25	2	2,700	3,800	1.07
7	1b	Me ₃ SiNTf ₂	50	5	5,200	6,500	1.04
8	1b	Me ₃ SiNTf ₂	100	18	10,200	11,900	1.02
9	1b	t-Bu-P ₄	200	1	20,300	22,300	1.07
10	1b	<i>t</i> -Bu-P ₄	400	1	40,300	49,200	1.12
11	1c	Me ₃ SiNTf ₂	25	4	2,600	4,500	1.08
12	1c	Me ₃ SiNTf ₂	50	17	5,100	7,800	1.06
13	1c	Me ₃ SiNTf ₂	100	19	10,000	13,900	1.05
14	1c	t-Bu-P ₄	200	1	20,100	25,100	1.14
15	1c	t-Bu-P ₄	400	1	40,100	42,200	1.22
16	1d	Me ₃ SiNTf ₂	25	2	2,700	3,400	1.08
17	1d	Me ₃ SiNTf ₂	50	5	5,200	6,000	1.04
18	1d	Me ₃ SiNTf ₂	100	18	10,200	11,800	1.03
19	1d	<i>t</i> -Bu-P ₄	200	1	20,200	20,300	1.05
20	1d	<i>t</i> -Bu-P ₄	400	1	40,200	40,700	1.13

^{*a*} Argon atmosphere; solvent, CH₂Cl₂ (Me₃SiNTf₂) or THF (*t*-Bu-P₄); $[MMA]_0 = 1.0 \text{ mol } L^{-1}$; $[Me_3SiNTf_2]_0/[I]_0 = 0.05 \text{ or } [t-Bu-P_4]_0/[I]_0 = 0.01$; temperature, room temp.; monomer conversion determined by ¹H NMR in CDCl₃, > 99 %. ^{*b*} Calculated by $[MMA]_0/[I]_0 \times \text{conv.} \times (M. W. \text{ of MMA: } 100.12) + (M. W. \text{ of initiator residue: } 1a = 132.16, 1b = 224.34, , 1c = 114.14, and 1d = 194.27). ^{$ *c*} Determined by SEC in THF using PMMA standards.

were purified by distillation under reduced pressure and stored under an argon atmosphere prior to use. The group transfer polymerization (GTP) of methyl methacrylate (MMA) using 1a, 1b, 1c, and 1d as initiators was carried out to synthesize the hydroxyl, ethynyl, vinyl, and norbornenyl α -end-functionalized polv(methvl methacrylate)s (HO-PMMA, HC≡C-PMMA, H₂C=CH-PMMA, and NB-PMMA), respectively. We previously reported that Me₃SiNTf₂ was a significant catalyst for the synthesis of the low molar mass PMMA,¹⁸ while *t*-Bu-P₄ was more favorable for the synthesis of the high molar mass PMMA.²² Me₃SiNTf₂ and t-Bu-P₄ were thus correspondingly utilized in this study to realize the synthesis of both the low and high molar mass α -endfunctionalized PMMAs. Table 1 summarizes the results of the polymerizations. All the polymerizations of MMA, either using Me₃SiNTf₂ or *t*-Bu-P₄, proceeded in quantitative monomer conversion manner within the fixed polymerization time. The α end-functionalized PMMAs prepared with 1a and 1b were treated by tetra-n-butylammonium fluoride (TBAF) in order to remove the trialkylsilyl protection moieties. The Me₃SiNTf₂-catalyzed GTPs of MMA at the low initial [MMA]₀/[I]₀ ratios of 25, 50, and 100 (Runs 1-3, 6-8, 11-13, and 16-18) were well controlled no matter which initiator was used, i.e., the molar mass of each α -endfunctionalized PMMA estimated by SEC $(M_{n,SEC})$ was in good agreement with its calculated value $(M_{n,calcd})$; all the dispersities $(M_{\rm w}/M_{\rm n})$ were narrower than 1.08; and the SEC traces of all polymer products in Figure 1 showed unimodal and extremely narrow dispersities. On the other hand, the t-Bu-P₄-catalyzed GTPs of MMA at the relatively high initial [MMA]₀/[I]₀ ratios of 200 and 400 (Runs 4-5, 9-10, 14-15, and 19-20) produced α -end-functionalized PMMAs with their $M_{n,SEC}$ s ranging from 20,000 to 50,000 g mol⁻¹ though the M_w/M_n s were slightly greater than those prepared by Me₃SiNTf₂.



Figure 1. SEC traces of (a) HO-PMMA (Runs 1-3), (b) HC=C-PMMA (Runs 6-8), (c) H_2C =CH-PMMA (Runs 11-13), and (d) NB-PMMA (Runs 16-18) synthesized by Me₃SiNTf₂-catalyzed GTP.



Figure 2. ¹H NMR spectra of (a) HO-PMMA (Run 1, $M_{n,SEC}$ = 3,300 g mol⁻¹, M_w/M_n = 1.08), (b) HC=C-PMMA (Run 6, $M_{n,SEC}$ = 3,800 g mol⁻¹, M_w/M_n = 1.07), (c) H₂C=C-PMMA, (Run 11, $M_{n,SEC}$ = 4,500 g mol⁻¹, M_w/M_n = 1.08), and (d) NB-PMMA, (Run 16, $M_{n,SEC}$ = 3,400 g mol⁻¹, M_w/M_n = 1.08).

The introduction of hydroxyl, ethynyl, vinyl, and norbornenyl functionalities to the α -end of PMMA was initially investigated by ¹H NMR measurements, as shown in Figure 2. The characteristic signals were clearly observed around 3.80 - 4.30 ppm due to the - CH_2O_2C - protons of the residues of 1a, 1b, and 1d at the α -ends of PMMAs, while those appeared at 4.95 - 5.15 ppm and 5.75 - 6.15 ppm for the vinyl protons derived from the residue of 1c, together with those from the PMMA main chain at 3.62 ppm, 2.10-1.75 ppm, and 1.02 ppm. In order to clarify the chemical constitution of the resulting a-end-functionalized PMMAs, the matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass (MALDI-TOF MS) measurements for each type of α -end-functionalized PMMA were implemented using a low molar mass polymer, as shown in Figures 3(a)-(d). For each of the MALDI-TOF MS spectra of (a) HO-PMMA, (b) HC=C-PMMA, and (c) H₂C=CH-PMMA, only one population of molecular ion peaks was observed. The interval between two neighboring molecular ion peaks was ca. 100.02, which was very consistent with the exact molar mass of the repeating MMA unit (M.W. = 100.05). In addition, the m/z values

PMMA corresponded to their calculated molar masses when the α end possessed an initiator residue; for example, the observed values of 2656.71 (a), 2748.01 (b), and 2638.14 (c) agreed with the calculated values of 2656.38, 2748.48, and 2638.37 for the sodiumcationized 25-mer structures of [HO(CH₂)₂O₂CCMe₂-(MMA)₂₅-H Na^{+} , $[HC \equiv C(CH_2)_8 O_2 CCM e_2 - (MMA)_{25} - H + Na^{+}$, and + $[MeO_2CCMe(HC=CH_2)-(MMA)_{25}-H + Na]^+$, respectively. On the contrary, the MALDI-TOF MS spectrum of NB-PMMA showed two populations of molecular ion peaks. The values of the molecular ion peaks in the main population corresponded to the calculated molar mass values of the sodium-cationized NB-PMMA, $[NBCH_2O_2CCMe_2-(MMA)_n-H + Na]^+$. Those of the sub population, on the other hand, were assigned to the sodiumcationized structure of $[H_2C=CHCH_2O_2CCMe_2-(MMA)_n-H + Na]^+$, which was regenerated during the cationization process due to the retro Diels-Alder reaction. The α -end-functionalization efficiency (%F) of the obtained PMMAs, which was directly estimated from the MALDI-TOF MS measurements, was determined to be quantitative (> 99%), which indicated the quantitative introduction of functionalities to the α -end of PMMA using the functional initiators. 2456.66 2556.67 (a) 2656.71

of the observed molecular ion peaks for each α -end-functionalized



Figure 3. MALDI-TOF MS spectra of (a) HO-PMMA (Run 1, $M_{n,SEC} = 3,300 \text{ g mol}^{-1}, M_w/M_n = 1.08$), (b) HC=C-PMMA (Run 6, $M_{n,SEC} = 3,800 \text{ g mol}^{-1}, M_w/M_n = 1.07$), (c) H₂C=CH-PMMA, (Run 11, $M_{n,SEC} = 4,500 \text{ g mol}^{-1}, M_w/M_n = 1.08$), and (d) NB-PMMA, (Run 16, $M_{n,SEC} = 3,400 \text{ g mol}^{-1}, M_w/M_n = 1.08$).

Synthesis of Hydroxyl, Ethynyl, Vinyl, and Bromo @-Endfunctionalized PMMA. In addition to the α -end-functionalization, the ω-end-functionalization of PMMA by the Me₃SiNTf₂-catalyzed GTP using functional terminators was also attempted. In order to find a suitable terminator, five potential candidates, methyl aphenylacrylate (aPhA-Me), dimethyl itaconate (DMI), bezaldehyde ethyl ethylacrylate (EEA), and N,N-dimethyl (BA), methacrylamide (DMMAm), were initially used to investigate their suitability, as shown in Scheme 2. Followed by the quantitative polymerization of 25 equiv. MMA, the termination reaction was carried out for 24 h with the addition of an excessive quantity of a terminator. Table 2 summarizes the polymerization and ω-endfunctionalization results. All the polymerizations (Runs 21-25) produced well-defined PMMAs with the targeted molar mass and extremely narrow dispersity. For the %F, a quantitative value (> 99%) was only achieved when αPhA-Me was used (Run 21). High %Fs of 92.1% and 78.6% were also attained with DMI (Run 22) and BA (Run 23), respectively. On the contrary, only the moderate %F of 46.9% was obtained using EEA (Run 24) and absolutely no end-functionalized product was obtained using DMMAm (Run 25). The termination behavior using these potential terminators was further investigated by MALDI-TOF MS measurements, as shown in Figure S1. The MALDI-TOF MS spectrum of the aPhA-Me terminated PMMA only showed one population of molecular ion peaks. In addition, the value of each ion peak could be perfectly assigned to a PMMA possessing a MTS residue at the α -end, a specified degree of polymerization (DP) of MMA, and a reacted α PhA-Me residue at the ω -end, strongly suggesting the quantitative ω -end-functionalization by α PhA-Me. When using DMI, the MALDI-TOF MS spectrum exhibited three populations of molecular ion peaks. The two main populations of ion peaks corresponded to the ω -end-functionalized products with DMI, in which one population was attributed to the desilylated DMI ω-endfunctionalized products and the other was the silvlated ones. The sub ion peaks were assigned to the hydrogen ω -end-functionalized PMMA products, which were obtained after quenching by alcohol. Obviously, no DMI functionality was bonded to their ω -ends at all for the PMMA products due to the sub ion peaks. When using BA, a similar ion peak distribution to that of DMI was observed in its MALDI-TOF MS spectrum. Different from DMI and BA, termination using EEA resulted in three types of products, i.e., the products with w-end-functionalized by EEA, two EEAs, and hydrogen. The targeted product with ω-end-functionalized by EEA only had a 46.9% content. In the case when using DMMAm, only the ion peaks of the hydrogen ω-end-functionalized PMMAs were observed in its MALDI-TOF MS spectrum, indicating that DMMAm could not react with the living propagation end of PMMA at all. It seems that the %F was significantly dependent on the chemical structure of the used terminator. For instance, aPhA-Me with an electron-delocalized phenyl group at the α position was highly favorable for the electrophilic reaction with the living PMMA chain end of a SKA moiety as the active center. DMI and EEA with electron-donating alkyl groups at the α position, on the other hand, showed a less efficient electrophilicity toward the SKA group at the PMMA chain end. DMMAm with a low monomer reactivity did not react with the SKA group at all.

Page 6 of 9

Scheme 2. Potential terminators for the Me₃SiNTf₂-catalyzed GTP of MMA



Table 2. Termination reaction using α PhA-Me, DMI, BA, EEA, and DMMAm followed by Me₃SiNTf₂-catalyzed GTP of MMA^{*a*}

Run	Terminator (T)	$[T]_0/[I]_0$	$M_{n,SEC}^{b}$	$M_{\rm w}/M_{\rm n}^{\ b}$	%F
21	αPhA-Me	5	3,470	1.07	>99
22	DMI	5	3,350	1.07	92.1
23	BA	5	3,440	1.09	78.6
24	EEA	10	3,540	1.07	46.9
25	DMMAm	5	3,100	1.08	0

^{*a*} Ar atmosphere; $[M]_0 = 1.0 \text{ mol } L^{-1}$; Initiator, MTS; Catalyst, Me₃SiNTf₂; $[M]_0/[I]_0/[Cat.]_0 = 25/1/0.05$; solvent, CH₂Cl₂; polymerization time, 2 h; termination time, 24 h; polymerization temperature, room temp.; MMA conversion determined by ¹H NMR in CDCl₃, > 99%. ^{*b*} Determined by SEC in THF using PMMA standards. ^{*c*} End-functionalization efficiency estimated by intensity of ion peaks in MALDI-TOF MS spectrum.

Since aPhA-Me was the most efficient terminator for the Me₃SiNTf₂-catalyzed GTP of MMA, four functional αphenylacrylates, 2a-2d, were correspondingly used for synthesizing the ethynyl, hydroxyl, vinyl, and bromo ω -end-functionalized PMMAs (PMMA-C=CH, PMMA-OH, PMMA-CH=CH₂, and PMMA-Br). After a 2 h polymerization of MMA in CH₂Cl₂, the termination reaction was further carried out for 24 h by adding an excessive amount of a terminator to the polymerization mixture. All the polymerizations (Runs 26-29) had a quantitative monomer conversion and produced PMMAs with the targeted molar mass around 3,000 g mol⁻¹ and dispersity narrower than 1.07. The % F, as estimated by the ¹H NMR measurements, was >99% no matter which functional α -phenylacrylate was used. The existence of these functionalities at the ω -end of PMMA was confirmed by ¹H NMR and MALDI-TOF MS measurements. As shown in the ¹H NMR spectra (Figure S2), the characteristic signals belonging to the residues of 2a-2d were simultaneously observed at 0.11 - 0.15, 4.01 - 4.15, and 7.18 ~ 7.33 ppm due to the Si(CH₃)₃, -CH₂O₂C-, and aromatic H of 2a, at 4.03 - 4.08 and 7.17 \sim 7.32 ppm due to the -CH₂O₂C- and aromatic H of **2b**, at 3.99 - 4.15, 5.65 - 5.82, 4.94 -5.10, and 7.22 \sim 7.42 ppm due to the -CH₂O₂C-, -CH=CH₂, -CH=CH₂, and aromatic H of 2c, and at 4.26 - 4.47 and 7.23 ~ 7.40 ppm due to the $-CH_2O_2C_2$ and aromatic H of 2d, respectively, together with the proton signals of the PMMA main chain at 0.77 -1.25, 1.76 - 2.09, and 3.54 - 3.69 ppm. In the MALDI-TOF MS spectra shown in Figure 4, each of the spectra showed only one population of ion peaks with the interval between two neighboring ion peaks being around 100.05 (exact molar mass of MMA unit). In

Polymer Chemistry



Figure 3. MALDI-TOF MS spectra of (a) PMMA-C=CSiMe₃ (Run 26, $M_{n,SEC} = 3,460$; $M_w/M_n = 1.07$), (b) PMMA-OSiMe₂tBu (Run 27, $M_{n,SEC} = 3,250$; $M_w/M_n = 1.06$), (c) PMMA-CH=CH₂ (Run 28, $M_{n,SEC} = 3,730$; $M_w/M_n = 1.07$), and (d) PMMA-Br (Run 29, $M_{n,SEC} = 3,690$; $M_w/M_n = 1.07$).

addition, no matter which functional α -phenylacrylate was used, the m/z value of each ion peak perfectly corresponded to the polymer product which was composed of an MTS residue at the α end, specified MMA units in the main chain, and a reacted residue of a functional α -phenylacrylate at the ω -end. For instance, the determined m/z values of the sodium-cationized 25-mer PMMA-C=CSiMe₃, PMMA-OSiMe₂tBu, PMMA-C=CH₂, and PMMA-Br were 2898.80, 2932.26, 2827.83, and 2879.75, respectively, which fairly agreed with their theoretical monoisotopic molar masses of 2898.49, 2932.53, 2828.47, and 2880.36, respectively, when they had the predicted sodium-cationized 25-mer structures of $[MeO_2CCMe_2-MMA_{25}-CH_2CHPhCCO_2(CH_2)_2C\equiv CSiMe_3 + Na]^+,$ $[MeO_2CCMe_2-MMA_{25}-CH_2CHPhCCO_2(CH_2)_2OSiMe_2tBu + Na]^+,$ $[MeO_2CCMe_2-MMA_{25}-CH_2CHPhCCO_2(CH_2)_2CH=CH_2 + Na]^+,$ and $[MeO_2CCMe_2-MMA_{25}-CH_2CHPhCCO_2(CH_2)_2Br + Na]^+$, respectively. These results provided definitive proof to support the fact that the functional α -phenylacrylate was stoichiometrically bonded to the PMMA ω -end after the termination reaction.

Table 3. Synthesis of ω -end-functionalized PMMAs by Me₃SiNTf₂-catalyzed GTP of MMA using functional α -phenylacylates (**2a-ad**)^{*a*}

Run	Terminator (T)	$M_{ m n, cald.}{}^b$	$M_{n,SEC}^{c}$	$M_{\rm w}/M_{\rm n}^{\ c}$	%F ^d
26	2a	2,900	3,460	1.07	>99
27 ^e	2b	2,930	3,250	1.06	>99
28	2c	2,830	3,730	1.07	>99
29	2d	2,880	3,690	1.07	>99
					- 1

Ar atmosphere; $[M]_0$ 1.0mol Ľ $[MMA]_0/[MTS]_0/[Me_3SiNTf_2]_0 = 25/1/0.05; [T]_0/[MTS]_0 =$ 5; Solvent, CH₂Cl₂; polymerization temperature, room temp.; polymerization time, 2 h; termination time, 24 h; MMA conversion (conv.) determined by ¹H NMR in CDCl₃, > 99%. ^b Calculated by $M_{n,cald} = [MMA]_0/[I]_0 \times \text{conv.} \times (M. W. \text{ of MMA: } 100.12) + (M.$ W. of initiator residue: 101.12) + (M. W. of terminator residue: 2a = 272.41, **2b** = 306.47, , **2c** = 202.25, and **2d** = 255.11) \times %*F*. ^{*c*} Determined by SEC in THF using PMMA standards. ^d Endfunctionalization efficiency determined by ¹H NMR in acetone- d_{6} . Terminated by 'BuOH instead of MeOH.

Conclusion

The α -end-functionalized PMMAs with hydroxyl, ethynyl, vinyl, and norbornenyl groups were obtained with targeted molar masses up to 50,000 g mol⁻¹, dispersities narrower than 1.22, and defectfree polymer structures by either the Me₃SiNTf₂- or t-Bu-P₄catalyzed GTPs using newly designed functional SKAs as the initiators. The quantitative ω -end-functionalization of PMMA by the Me₃SiNTf₂-catalyzed GTP, on the other hand, succeeded for the first time using α -phenylacrylate terminators. The quantitative incorporation of ethynyl, hydroxyl, vinyl, norbornenyl, and bromo functionalities into either the α - or ω -end of PMMA was significantly supported by the MALDI-TOF MS measurements. This study provides a facile method for end-functionalization by the organocatalyzed GTP procedure. These reactive functionalities at the polymer ends are of great significance to do further chemical conversions into other functional moieties, such as bioactive peptides and fluorescent dyes, and to serve as polymeric precursors for constructing polymethacrylate-based complicated polymer architectures, such as block copolymers, star-shaped polymers, and graft copolymers.

Acknowledgment.

This work was financially supported by the MEXT (Japan) program "Strategic Molecular and Materials Chemistry through Innovative Coupling Reactions" of Hokkaido University and the MEXT Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas "Advanced Molecular Transformation by Organocatalysts". K. Takada was funded by the JSPS Fellowship for young Scientists.

Notes and references

[†] Graduate School of Chemical Sciences and Engineering, [§] Frontier Chemistry Center, and [‡] Division of Biotechnology and Macromolecular Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, 060-8628, Japan.

Corresponding authors: chen@poly-bm.eng.hokudai.ac.jp and kakuchi@poly-bm.eng.hokudai.ac.jp

[†]Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: The synthetic details of functional isobutyrates, ¹H NMR and MALDI-TOF MS spectra of the end-functionalized PMMAs are available. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- O. W. Webster, W. R. Hertler, D. Y. Sogah, W. B. Farnham, T. V. RajanBabu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 5706-5708.
- (2) O. W. Webster, J. Polym. Sci., Part A, Polym. Chem. 2000, 38, 2855-2860.
- (3) O. W. Webster, Adv. Polym. Sci. 2004, 167, 1-34.
- (4) W. Schubert, F. Bandermann, *Makromol. Chem.*, **1989**, *190*, 2161-2171.
- (5) W. Schubert, H. D. Sitz, F. Bandermann, *Makromol. Chem.*, 1989, 190, 2193-2201.
- (6) D. Y. Sogah, W. R. Hertler, O. W. Webster, G. M. Cohen, *Macromolecules* 1987, 20, 1473-1488.
- (7) W. Schubert, F. Bandermann, *Makromol. Chem.*, **1989**, *190*, 2721-2726.
- (8) W. R. Hertler, D. Y. Sogah, O. W. Webster, *Macromolecules* 1984, 17, 1415-1417.
- (9) K. Fuchise, Y. Chen, T. Satoh, T. Kakuchi, *Polym. Chem.* 2013, 4, 4278-4291.
- (10) J. Raynaud, A. Ciolino, A. Baceiredo, M. Destarac, F. Bonnette, T. Kato, Y. Gnanou, D. Taton, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2008, 47, 5390-5393.
- (11) M. D. Scholten, J. L. Hedrick, R. M. Waymouth, *Macromolecules* 2008, 41, 7399-7404.
- (12) J. Raynaud, N. Liu, Y. Gnanou, D. Taton, *Macromolecules* 2010, 43, 8853-8861.
- (13) J. Raynaud, N. Liu, M. Fèvre, Y. Gnanou, D. Taton, *Polym. Chem.* 2011, 2, 1706-1712.
- (14) Y. Zhang, E. Y.-X. Chen, Macromolecules 2008, 41, 36-42.
- (15) Y. Zhang, E. Y.-X. Chen, *Macromolecules* **2008**, *41*, 6353-6360.
- (16) G. M. Miyake, Y. Zhang, E. Y.-X. Chen, *Macromoelcules* 2010, 43, 4902-4908.
- (17) Y. Zhang, L. O. Gustafson, E. Y.-X. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 13674-13684.
- (18) R. Kakuchi, K. Chiba, K. Fuchise, R. Sakai, T. Satoh, T. Kakuchi, *Macromolecules* **2009**, *42*, 8747-8750.
- (19) K. Takada, K. Fuchise, Y. Chen, T. Satoh, T. Kakuchi, J. Polym. Sci., Part A, Polym. Chem. 2012, 50, 3560-3566.
- (20) K. Fuchise, R. Sakai, T. Satoh, S. Sato, A. Narumi, S. Kawaguchi, T. Kakuchi, *Macromolecules* 2010, 43, 5589-5594.
- (21) Y. Chen, K. Takada, K. Fuchise, T. Satoh, T. Kakuchi, J. Polym. Sci., Part A, Polym. Chem. 2012, 50, 3277-3285.
- (22) T. Kakuchi, Y. Chen, J. Kitakado, K. Mori, K. Fuchise, T. Satoh, *Macromoecules* 2011, 44, 4641-4647.

- (23) Y. Chen, K. Fuchise, S. Kawaguchi, T. Satoh, T. Kakuchi, *Macromolecules* 2011, 44, 9091-9098.
- (24) D. Y. Sogah, O. W. Webster, J. Polym. Sci. Polym. Lett. Ed. 1983, 21, 927-931.
- (25) M. T. Reetz, R. Ostarek, K.-E. Piejko, D. Arlt, B. Bömer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. Ed. 1986, 25, 1108-1109.
- (26) W.-P. Shen, W.-D. Zhu, M.-F. Yang, L. Wang, *Makromol. Chem.* 1989, 190, 3061-3066.
- (27) D. Y. Sogah, O. W. Webster, J. Polym. Sci. Polym. Lett. Ed.1983, 21, 927-931.
- (28) H.-D. Speikamp, F. Bandermann, *Makromol. Chem.* **1988**, 189, 437-445.
- (29) Q. R. Hertler, T. V. Rajanbabu, D. W. Ovenall, G. S. Reddy, D. Y. Sogah, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 5841-5853.
- (30) R. Witkowski, F. Bandermann *Makromol. Chem.* **1989**, *190*, 2173-2182.
- (31) T. Heitz, O. W. Webster, *Makromol. Chem.* **1991**, *192*, 2463-2478.
- (32) R. P. Quirk, J. Ren, Polym. Int. 1993, 32, 205-212.
- (33) R. Gnaneshwar, S. Sivaram, J. Polym. Sci. Part A. Polym. Chem. 2007, 45, 2514-2531.
- (34) K. Takada, K. Fuchise, N. Kubota, T. Ito, Y. Chen, T. Satoh, T. Kakuchi, *Macromolecules* 2014, 47, 5514-5525.

Journal Name

Polymer Chemistry

ARTICLE

For Table of Contents Graphical Abstract Use Only

Synthesis of End-functionalized Poly(methyl methacrylate) by Organocatalyzed Group Transfer Polymerization

Using Functional Silyl Ketene Acetals and α -Phenylacrylates

Yougen Chen,[§]* Kenji Takada[‡] Naoya Kubota,[‡] Ofosu-Twum Eric,[‡] Takahiro Ito,[‡] Takuya Isono,[†] Toshifumi Satoh,^{†‡} and Toyoji Kakuchi^{§†‡}*

The α and ω -end-functionalization of poly(methyl methacrylate) by organocatalyzed group transfer polymerization was achieved using functional silyl ketene acetal initiators and α -Phenylacrylate terminators.

R ₂	End-functionalization of PMMA by Organocatalytic GTP Method			
R10 OSiMe3	Organocatalys	t MeOH	R ₂ H	
Functional Initiator	Solvent		R1000 000	
			α -End-functionalized PMMA	
noto MMA		. 0		
+	J	R	4/1/2	
$ \uparrow$	Organocatalyst	1) Fuctional Terminator		
MeO OSiMe ₃ MTS	Solvent	2) MeOH or tBuOH	ω-End-functionalized PMMA	