

Polymer Chemistry

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

Titin-Mimicking Polycyclic Polymers with Shape Regeneration and Healing Properties

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Jan-Hendrik Schuetz, Peng Wentao, Philipp Vana*

Received 00th October 2014,
Accepted 00th October 2014

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/polymers

Polycyclic polymers based on cyclic (ABC)_n-multiblock-copolymers are formed via stepwise polymerization of three individual blocks and exploiting the ring merging reaction of these ring polymers. The so-obtained precursor ring-polymers were interconnected via click reaction. Small blocks within the rings with the ability to form self-complementary hydrogen bonds lead to intra- and intermolecular links between polycyclic polymers. The obtained materials, which mimic nature's paragon Titin, have some extraordinary material properties concerning elasticity and energy dissipation.

Introduction


Tailoring polymeric materials with a variety of desired properties is still a challenging task. However, in order to gain high performance materials it is a crucial point to combine several attributes such as high temperature tolerance with consistent material properties. Concerning mechanical properties it is especially hard to achieve complementary characteristics such as stiffness and tensile strength or elasticity and toughness in one material, due to excluding mechanisms on the molecular level.¹

Nature has a variety of materials that show enhanced mechanical properties often far better than these of any synthetic material.² Especially biological fibres often show a good balance between a high tensile stiffness at high tensile length and elasticity and thus the combination of the above mentioned complementary material properties.^{3,4} Two examples for such biological fibres are spider silk^{5,6} and muscle fibres.⁷ Besides the hierarchical structure, which derives mainly from the ability to form supramolecular structures leading to a maximum in mechanical strength at minimum weight,^{2,3} the mechanical properties also have their origin in their nanoscopic structure in form of the molecular multi-domain architecture of its components.^{6,8-12}

In the case of spider silk, hard semicrystalline regions consisting of antiparallel polyalanin- and poly(alanin-glycine) repeating units are interconnected by soft glycine-rich flexible domains.¹³ In muscular tissue of mammals, the filament protein titin contained in the sarcomere forming the myofibrils is mainly responsible for the elasticity, stability and the recovery of the resting length of the muscle fibre.¹⁴ Titin contains over 300 repeating units composed of hard immunoglobulin (Ig) units mainly consisting of a β -sheet secondary structure

showing a compact folding in the tertiary structure interconnected with soft amorphous fibronectin-type-III domains, making it the largest known human protein.^{15,16} Under mechanical stress, the Ig-domains unfold by breakage of the semicrystalline structure, and refold at stress release.³ Due to the temporary disorder of the tertiary structure, mainly by cleaving H-bonds, the fibre can absorb a high amount of energy at a high tensile length, thus giving the fibre an enormous toughness combined with high elasticity.^{8,17,18}

It is tempting to design synthetic materials with equally outstanding mechanical properties by mimicking such a multi-domain structure using polymer chemistry. Sogah et al. developed several approaches for mimicking the crystalline and amorphous regions of spider silk by means of linking β -sheet-carrying polypeptides made from alanine or alternating glycine and alanine units with phenoxathiin derivatives¹⁹ or polyethyleneoxide,^{20,21} showing microphase separation of the different blocks. Nagapudi et al. used an ABA-type triblock copolymer consisting entirely of biosynthesized polypeptide blocks to mimic the hard and soft segments,²² while Guzman et al. used connected alternating α -helix and β -sheet blocks to mimic titin's multidomain structure.²³ In addition to these biochemical approaches, there were some full-synthetic methods described by Guan and coworkers, who used repeating units based on an ureidopyrimidone motif bearing self-complementary H-bonds^{24,25} along a polymer chain⁷ or along connected loop structures²⁶ to mimic the hard blocks in titin. These polymers indeed showed a characteristic stress-strain-behavior with high tensile strength and toughness as well as elastic properties and thus provide a good model system for titin.



Scheme 1 Biological protein titin and applied synthetic approach to mimic its structure.

In contrast to the functional polymer of the Guan group, which was mainly formed in a multistep organic synthesis approach, we here propose a more modular polymer chemistry approach towards the mimicking of titin's structure, which is easier to implement and provides higher flexibility in terms of polymer properties. Based on the living-like ring-expansion polymerization of thiiranes developed by Nishikubo et al.,^{27,28} which was investigated intensively by our group,^{29,30} we synthesized a cyclic triblock-copolymer as precursor, which contains self-complementary hydrogen-bonding moieties that may reversibly unfold (see Scheme 1). Dialing the exact composition of this ring polymer containing three different monomers provided the possibility of tuning the stiffness of the targeted material. The overall ring size of the precursor was, on the one hand, adjusted via the block lengths of the individual blocks; on the other hand, it was taken advantage of the ring-merging mechanism (cross-over ring fusion) occurring in these ring-expansion polymerizations,^{29,30} by which the amount of doubled macrorings could be controlled. We could thus easily incorporate at least two hydrogen-bonding blocks per ring polymer, which is necessary for the intramolecular interaction within the ring (see Scheme 1). Anchor points (red handles in Scheme 1) located at the original initiator molecule thus increased in number per ring, as well. The precursor polymer rings were subsequently interconnected via azide-alkine click reaction with a bifunctional linker yielding the modular poly(ring-polymer) of high molar mass (see Scheme 1), since the approach of linking numerous cyclic polymers via Click Chemistry was already reported to be successful in the formation of ring-based gels³¹ and tetracyclic structures.³² The resulting polymer was then tested via tensile testing experiments with respect to its high performance mechanical properties.

Results and Discussion

Explorative experiments

In order to obtain the desired material and structural properties, three different thiirane monomers have been utilized for the formation of the precursor ring polymer. The general ring expansion process used for this synthesis is described in our former work in detail.^{29,30} To incorporate the necessary H-bonding functionality, a novel monomer **3** (see Figure 1), which is structurally similar to 2-(phenoxy)methylthiirane (PMT, **2**), which we studied extensively in earlier work, was synthesized in a convenient five-step process (see Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)). The resulting monomer ethyl-2-(4-thiiran-2-ylmethoxy)benzamido acetate (ETBAA, **3**) carries an additional glycine ethyl ester moiety, in which the amide and ester group act as H-bonding donor and acceptor, respectively, in order to allow for self-complementary interaction. PMT **2** and methylthiirane (MT, **1**) were used as non-functionalized monomers in order to expand the ring size and to adjust the material stiffness. While MT is commercially available, PMT was synthesized in a one-step process from the corresponding oxirane as previously reported.²⁹




Figure 1 Monomers for the synthesis of the cyclic precursor polymers via ring expansion polymerization: propylene sulfide **1** (PS), 2-(phenoxy)methylthiirane **2** (PMT) and ethyl-2-(4-thiiran-2-ylmethoxy)benzamido acetate **3** (ETBAA).

As initiator for the ring expansion polymerization, a slightly modified structure of the previously investigated 2,4-thiazolidinedione³⁰ (TZD) was utilized that carries an alkyne group attached in 5-position to the cyclic TZD scaffold. The resulting compound prop-2-yn-1-yl-2-(2,4-dioxothiazolidin-5-yl) acetate **4** (PTZDA, see Scheme 2) was synthesized in a facile two-step process with good yields of the product (see ESI).

In order to form the cyclic precursor block-copolymers, some tests had to be carried out beforehand to clarify three crucial issues: (i) The first one was to find the ideal polymerization order of the three monomers, (ii) the second one was to determine the block-lengths to achieve appropriate material properties for the later produced tensile test specimens, (iii) and the third one was to investigate the degree of ring merging of these cyclic triblock-copolymers, since at least two rings need to merge for having two hydrogen bond forming blocks opposite to each other and for having two coupling moieties per ring for the later applied step-growth reaction leading to *ring*-(ABC)_n-multiblock-copolymers.

Regarding the first issue, the functionalized monomer ETBAA was homopolymerized with either TZD or PTZDA to examine its reactivity and polymerization behaviour. In a first experiment, ETBAA was polymerized with PTZDA in a monomer/initiator ratio of 30:1 with 10 mol-% tetrabutylammonium chloride as catalyst and a monomer concentration of 0.5 mol L⁻¹. The polymerization yielded 84 % conversion of the monomer after 24 h at 60 °C. Size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) measurements exhibited a \overline{M}_n of about 12 000 g mol⁻¹ using styrene calibration and thus not showing the true molar mass. The ¹H-NMR spectrum showed a monomer/initiator ratio of 35:1, thus indicating that the initiator was not completely consumed during the polymerization. Changing the monomer/initiator ratio to a value of 5:1 at the same monomer concentration resulted in a smaller reaction rate leading to a total monomer conversion of 87 % after 96 h. The control over the polymerization, however, was much better as indicated by a smaller dispersity of 1.3 (see Figure 2).

Compared to the polymerization behaviour of PMT and MT, ETBAA shows a much slower polymerization rate. Consequently, the monomer order for the block copolymerization was chosen to start with the slowest monomer ETBAA, followed by MT, and finished with the most reactive monomer PMT, which counterbalanced the decreasing accessibility of the initiator sites with increasing chain lengths.

Regarding the second issue, it was necessary to estimate the appropriate monomer block-lengths, i.e., the overall copolymer composition, in order to obtain proper materials for mechanical tensile testing, which have a certain dimensional stability – i.e., they keep their shape during production and handling of the tensile test specimens – and, at the same time, are not too rigid so that they would break immediately. For this, some tests were performed: Table 1 shows the composition of various homo- and block-copolymers consisting of PMT, MT and ETBAA with their corresponding glass transition temperature T_g – as determined by Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) – the




Figure 2 a) Molar mass distribution (SEC-distribution) after various times and b) monomer conversion vs. time in the ring expansion polymerization of ETBAA with PTZDA as the initiator in solution of NMP at 70 °C. Conditions: ETBAA/PTZDA=5:1, $c_{\text{ETBAA}}=0.5 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$, $c_{\text{TBAAC}}=10 \text{ mol}\%$.

maximum number of merged rings (see third issue mentioned below), and qualitative mechanical appearance. Samples α , β and γ refer to the homopolymers of MT, PMT and ETBAA, respectively, while samples δ to η refer to (AB)_n-multiblock-copolymers with various block lengths and compositions. Since ETBAA is meant to form the smallest block in the resulting polymers, it was omitted in the first three probing copolymerizations. Instead, the first block-polymerization was performed with MT, which forms a very soft polymer with a glass transition temperature at about -36 °C. By adding PMT afterwards forming the second block, with its homopolymer having a T_g of around 18 °C, it was possible to increase the stiffness gradually at wish by adding further portions of monomer (for details see ESI).

In Figure 3, the T_g values of several *ring*-poly(MT-*block*-PMT) (AB)_n-multiblock-copolymers (the multiblock topology stems from ring merging as described below) are plotted against the amount of incorporated PMT (for further information on these block-copolymers, see ESI, Figure S3 and Table S1). In all copolymers, only one glass transition – which follows almost a linear increase with increasing relative amount of PMT – occurs in the observed temperature range between -40 and +150 °C, which indicates that this block copolymer is in a disordered state without showing microphase separation. Only

Table 1 Glass transition temperatures and qualitative mechanical appearances of several precursor ring polymers.

| Sample | Composition | $T_g / ^\circ\text{C}$ | Maximum number of merged rings | Mechanical appearance at room temperature |
|------------|--|------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| α | MT homopolymer | -36 | 2 | Viscous and sticky |
| β | PMT homopolymer | 18 | >4 | Dimensionally stable and deformable/rigid ^a |
| γ | ETBAA homopolymer | 59 | n.d. | Dimensionally stable and rigid |
| δ | <i>ring-poly</i> (MT- <i>b</i> -PMT) _n $\bar{P}_{n,MT}/\bar{P}_{n,PMT} = 22:50$ | 0 | 3 | Dimensionally unstable |
| ϵ | <i>ring-poly</i> (MT- <i>b</i> -PMT) _n $\bar{P}_{n,MT}/\bar{P}_{n,PMT} = 22:97$ | 14 | 2-3 ^b | Dimensionally stable and deformable |
| ζ | <i>ring-poly</i> (MT- <i>b</i> -PMT) _n $\bar{P}_{n,MT}/\bar{P}_{n,PMT} = 22:165$ | 16 | multiple ^c | Dimensionally stable and deformable |
| η | <i>ring-poly</i> (ETBAA- <i>b</i> -PMT) _n $\bar{P}_{n,ETBAA}/\bar{P}_{n,PMT} = 4:31$ | 20 | 2 | Dimensionally stable and rigid |

^aDepends strongly on actual room temperature.

^bCould not clearly be evaluated by SEC due to formation of a small shoulder (see Figure S1 in ESI).

^cHigh molar masses observed in SEC which could not be assigned to a distinct polymer species (see text and Figure S1).

two (AB)_n-multiblock-copolymers (samples δ and ϵ in Table 1) exhibit an appropriate dimensional stability with their T_g located between +10 and +16 °C, which is roughly 9 to 15 K below room temperature, where thus a rubber-elastic tensile behaviour (rubber plateau) occurs. In order to examine the impact of ETBAA on the glass transitions as well, an (AB)_n-multiblock-copolymer consisting of PMT blocks and short ETBAA blocks having only four ETBAA units (sample η) was produced. Interestingly, the glass transition increased only by 2 K compared to pure *ring-poly*(PMT) indicating that ETBAA has only a small impact on the T_g of PMT-homopolymer. Regarding the third issue, the observed maximum degree of

ring merging for each of the polymers was determined via SEC (see Table 1 for results, see Figure S2 in the ESI for SEC-chromatograms and method description). As already reported earlier by us, MT-homopolymers lead to doubled rings while PMT-homopolymers show formation of fourfold merged and greater rings.³⁰ Block-copolymerizations exhibited the same behaviour for each of these two monomers and MT-blocks led to doubled rings and the addition of PMT in the second step resulted in formation of up to threefold rings in samples δ and ϵ corresponding to cyclic (AB)_n-multiblock copolymers with up to six blocks. Sample ζ even showed a broad molar mass distribution with much higher degrees of merging which could not be assigned to exactly defined polymer species (see Figure S2). These results clearly show the ability of the cyclic block-copolymers to form doubly or multiply merged rings, which is mandatory and highly desired for our purpose.





Figure 3 Glass transition temperature T_g versus the molar amount X_{PMT} of PMT in *ring-poly*(PMT-*block*-MT) polymer. The regime of sufficient mechanical stability of the (AB)_n-multiblock-copolymer at room temperature showing absence of rigid fracture is marked by a red circle.

Synthesis of precursor ring polymers

For the synthesis of the precursor ring polymer samples CP-01, CP-02 and CP-03 (see Table 2), ETBAA was chosen as the first monomer, for reasons mentioned above, with a molar ETBAA-to-initiator ratio of 5:1, which was found to polymerize in a well controlled fashion. Afterwards, MT and PMT were introduced block-wise into the polymer and their block-lengths were varied in order to obtain small (CP-01 and CP-03) and large (CP-02) polymer rings. The complete three-step polymerization approach is depicted in Scheme 2. The reaction conditions, the block lengths, and properties of the resulting polymers are collated in Table 2.



Scheme 2 Synthesis of the cyclic (ABC)_n-multiblock-copolymer precursor polymers CP-01 to CP-03 via ring expansion polymerization of the 2,4-thiazolidinedione-type initiator prop-2-yn-1-yl-2-(2,4-dioxothiazolidin-5-yl) acetate **4** (PTZDA). The different monomer blocks are coloured for better distinction and labelled in their order of polymerization. Block A: ETBAA, block B: MT, block C: PMT. The alkyne-group used for later linkage is indicated in orange. Please note that the ring-merging reaction during the polymerization process leads to *n*-fold multiples of these ring polymer structures.

It turned out that ETBAA – in contrast to the small influence of the ETBAA-block in *ring*-poly(ETBAA-*block*-PMT)_n found in the preliminary experiments (sample η in Table 1) – had a great impact on the occurring interim *ring*-poly(ETBAA-*block*-MT)_n. ETBAA increased the T_g of *ring*-polyMT significantly leading to almost dimensional stable polymers. The last block added, consisting of PMT, was thus chosen to be only five units long in order to fine tune the mechanical properties. The final polymeric material was dimensionally stable yet deformable as desired, which shows the great influence even of a small ETBAA-block on the material properties. The final compositions of the resulting polymers slightly differ from the initial monomer composition, possibly due to incomplete conversion of the individual monomers.

Comparing the calculated number average molar masses of a single polymer ring \bar{M}_{RPi} to the experimentally measured \bar{M}_n -values (see Table 2), it is obvious that ring merging took place. This is confirmed by inspection of the molar mass distributions that show a multimodal pattern in each case (see Figure 4). By application of a multi-Gaussian fit, the molar amounts n_{RPi} of all the ring multiples could roughly be estimated using Equation (1):

$$n_{RPi} = \frac{I_{RPi}/\bar{M}_{RPi}}{\sum_{i=1}^n (I_{RPi}/\bar{M}_{RPi})} \quad (1)$$

with I_{RPi} being the integral area of the Gaussian fit and n being the maximum number of merged rings, which was determined from the highest observed maximum or shoulder assignable to a certain polymer species in the molar mass distribution. \bar{M}_{RPi} describes the number average molar mass of the ring species RPi , which was determined by the composition of the polymer obtained from ¹H-NMR data following Equation (2):

$$\bar{M}_{RPi} = i(kM_{ETBAA} + lM_{MT} + mM_{PMT}) \quad (2)$$

Table 2 Reaction conditions for the stepwise synthesis (upper part) and the properties (lower part) of the cyclic precursor polymers CP-01 to CP-03.

| | CP-01 | CP-02 | CP-03 |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Reaction conditions | | | |
| Initial molar amounts PTZDA/ETBAA /MT/PMT | 1:5:25:5 | 1:5:50:50 | 1:5:25:10 |
| ETBAA-block (c_{ETBAA} = 0.5 mol L ⁻¹) | 70 °C for 24 h | 80 °C for 21 h | 60 °C for 24 h |
| MT-block (c_{MT} = 1.0 mol L ⁻¹) | 70 °C for 24 h | 80 °C for 51 h | 70 °C for 48 h |
| PMT-block (c_{PMT} = 1.0 mol L ⁻¹) | 60 °C for 24 h | 80 °C for 24 h | 70 °C for 24 h |
| Properties | | | |
| Found molar amounts PTZDA/ETBAA /MT/PMT ^a | 1:5:16:5 | 1:6:35:38 | 1:5:20:10 |
| \bar{P}_n^b | 26 | 79 | 35 |
| (ABC) ₁ /(ABC) ₂ / (ABC) ₃ /(ABC) ₄ ^c | 77:23:0:0 | 51:28:16:5 | 63:22:15:0 |
| \bar{M}_n / g mol ^{-1 d} | 6 000 | 17 200 | 7 300 |
| \bar{M}_{RPi} / g mol ^{-1 e} | 3 700 | 11 700 | 4 800 |
| \bar{D} | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.4 |

^aDetermined by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy.

^bHere the values apply for unmerged rings only.

^cThe values indicate the molar fractions of ring species (ABC)₁ (i.e. unmerged) to (ABC)₄ (tetracycles).

^dDetermined by SEC via universal calibration using Mark-Houwink coefficients of *ring*-polyPMT.

^eDetermined by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy using Equation (2).

with k , l and m being the numbers of monomer units ETBAA, MT and PMT (see Scheme 2, as well) and M_{monomer} being their molar masses. Despite the occurrence of the merging reaction, most of the material in terms of molar amounts occurs as unmerged macrocycles, the consequences of which will be discussed later. Additionally, a very small fraction of residual AB-copolymer was observed in the distributions of CP-02 and CP-03 (see Figure 4b and 4c). It is an interesting observation that the extent of merging increases with longer PMT blocks (compare Figure 4a-c and Table 2). This indicates a strong influence of the polyPMT part on the merging process and is in accordance with the observations in the preliminary experiments (samples δ to ζ in Table 1). Rewardingly, the dispersities of the produced precursor ring-block-copolymers are not too high in the range of 1.4 to 1.7, despite the broadening effect caused by the presence of multiple polymer species. The dispersity of the single ring species, which directly reflects the molar mass control quality, must thus be much smaller. This demonstrates the excellent molar mass control of this optimized polymerization process.

Synthesis of the polycyclic modular polymers

In order to synthesize the desired polycyclic polymers from the designed precursor polymers, a polyaddition of the precursor ring-block-copolymers with a bifunctional linker was performed. This approach was realized utilizing click-chemistry via a copper-catalyzed Huisgen 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition, which is an easy and versatile method^{33–35} yielding high conversions quickly at mild reaction conditions. This is especially necessary for reactions of polymers, which exhibit reaction sites with steric hindrance.^{36,37}

In order to explore this polyaddition reaction, small scale experiments were performed from CP-01 and the bifunctional linker bis(3-azidopropyl) decandioate **5** (see Scheme 3), of which a system with 0.5 eq Cu^I/PMDETA in anhydrous THF proved to be the most appropriate one. The reaction proceeded slowly at room temperature, but could be accelerated significantly by heating to 35 °C, yielding a conversion of more than 95 % after 24 h (see Table 3). The reaction progress could easily be monitored by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy (see Figure 5).

After successful performance of the probing reaction yielding PC-01, the other precursor polymers CP-02 and CP-03 were converted on larger scales to polycyclic polymers in order to obtain enough material for the preparation of dog bone samples for tensile testing. The conversion of CP-03 could not be determined by means of ¹H-NMR, due to the formation of insoluble material during work-up which will be discussed later. However, by regarding the evolution of molar masses in the SEC before work-up (see Figure 6c, black and red curves) it could be assumed that monomer conversion was basically complete.





Figure 4 Molar mass distributions (SEC distributions) of samples (a) CP-01, (b) CP-02, and (c) CP-03, which were fitted by several Gaussian functions (orange filled curves) that estimated the individual multiples $(ABC)_n$ of the ring merging reaction and residual polymer from the previous polymerization step. Original data: blue curves, sum of Gaussian fits: orange dashed curves.



Scheme 3 Idealized polyaddition reaction of unmerged and doubled $(ABC)_n$ -ring-block-copolymers (CP) with the bifunctional linker bis(3-azidopropyl) decandioate **5** via copper-catalyzed 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition yielding polycyclic modular polymer (PC). The colors correspond to the Monomer units of PMT (green), MT (orange), and ETBAA (blue). The formation of intramolecular H-bonds is indicated by red dashed lines.

Due to the different ring species being present in the precursor material, a variety of possible products may emerge from the linking process. As the single rings provide only one linking site, they form 8-shaped structures consisting of two interconnected rings in the case they only react with each other. Alternatively, they form terminal groups of more elongated polycyclic chains. The doubled rings with two reaction sites lead to linear polycyclic chains, whereas triple or quadruple rings may lead to branched structures or even networks at high conversions. Regarding the sample PC-01 containing single and doubled rings only, as seen in the molar mass distribution of the precursor polymer CP-01 (see Figure 4a), only linear structures may form which are terminated with unmerged rings (see Scheme 3). The other samples having tripled and quadrupled rings, may show branching or even network formation. Under assumption of complete conversion and ideal stoichiometry, a maximum degree of polyaddition A_n^{max} depending on the molar

fraction of unmerged rings x_{RP1} can easily be calculated by Equation (3):

$$A_n^{max} = \frac{2}{x_{RP1}}. \quad (3)$$

The real number average degree of polyaddition \bar{A}_n was calculated using Equation (4)

$$\bar{A}_n = \frac{\bar{M}_n}{2\bar{M}_{RP1}} + 1. \quad (4)$$

The weight average degree of polyaddition \bar{A}_w could be calculated similarly via Equation (5)

$$\bar{A}_w = \frac{\bar{M}_w}{2\bar{M}_{RP1}} + 1. \quad (5)$$

As shown in Table 3, all samples have values of A_n^{max} that are





Figure 5 $^1\text{H-NMR}$ -spectra of the bifunctional linker (dark blue), precursor polymer CP-01 (green) and the polyaddition product of both, PC-01 (maroon). The prominent protons indicating the presence of alkyne- (4.68 and 2.53 ppm) and azide groups (3.37 and 1.89 ppm) marked in the reactants disappear or shift to 5.18 and 4.45 ppm, respectively, in the resulting polyadduct PC-01, clearly indicating the formation of a triazole ring.



Scheme 4 Photographs and corresponding molecular topology of physically crosslinked sample PC-03 before and after ultrasonic treatment in THF/water. The blue dashed lines display inter- and intramolecular H-bonds.

rather low with values ranging from 2.5 to 4.4. An inherent network formation during the polyaddition, originating from the multiply merged rings, can thus be excluded. PC-03 has an \bar{A}_n which is very similar to its A_n^{max} while PC-02 has an A_n^{max} of 3.92 which is more than the doubled value of its \bar{A}_n . This is not surprising regarding its incomplete conversion, and a high conversion is crucial for polyaddition reactions. Opposite to this, PC-01 shows a slightly higher value of \bar{A}_n than of A_n^{max} . This most likely occurs from the work-up method by precipitation of the polymer from diethyl ether, which shows a selective precipitation for higher molar masses. This effect is most pronounced with this sample, which contains many of the two interconnected unmerged rings, as mentioned before, which at the same time are relatively small ($7\,400\text{ g mol}^{-1}$). These smallest species are larger in PC-02 ($23\,400\text{ g mol}^{-1}$) and PC-03 ($9\,600\text{ g mol}^{-1}$) and are thus naturally less affected by this selective precipitation effect.

Table 3 Production conditions and properties of the polycyclic polymer samples.

| | PC-01 | PC-02 | PC-03 |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Utilized precursor polymer | CP-01 | CP-02 | CP-03 |
| Click conditions | 92 h, 25 °C +24 h, 35 °C | 65 h, 35 °C | 20 h, 35 °C |
| Conversion / % | 95.5 | 81.0 | n.d. ^a |
| A_n^{max} | 2.59 | 3.92 | 2.50 |
| \bar{A}_n | 4.40 | 1.75 | 2.78 |
| \bar{A}_w | 18.5 | 8.83 | 8.87 |
| $\bar{M}_n / \text{g mol}^{-1}$ | $25\,300^b$ | $17\,600^b$ | $17\,200^{b,c}$ |
| D | 5.1 | 10.4 | 4.4 |
| $T_g / \text{°C}$ | n.d. | 10 | 10 |
| Physical crosslinked | no | no, possibly branched | yes |
| Young's modulus / MPa | n.d. | 5.7 ± 1.0 | 13.3 ± 0.9 |
| Elongation at fracture / % | n.d. | $(4.6 \pm 2.1) \times 10^2$ | $(3.2 \pm 0.6) \times 10^2$ |
| Toughness / kJ dm^{-3} | n.d. | 1.2 ± 0.7 | 2.3 ± 0.6 |
| Shape regeneration ability | n.d. | partially | yes |
| Healing ability | n.d. | n.d. | yes |

^aThe conversion could not be determined by means of gravimetry due to insolubility of the dried sample (see text).

^bDetermined by SEC by means of universal calibration with Mark-Houwink coefficients for ring-polyPMT.

^cDetermined before drying and occurrence of physical crosslinking.

The generally small values of \bar{A}_n differ strongly from the corresponding \bar{A}_w -values, leading to large dispersities between 4.4 and 10.4. Although the number average values indicate small overall chain-lengths in all polycycles, the mass average value shows that more than half of the material mass and under the assumption of uniform density the same amount of the sample volume consists of polycycles with a length of eight rings or above. The rest, mainly consisting of connected unmerged ring polymer, can essentially be considered as not contributing to the desired material properties.

Although network formation during the linking reaction is not occurring to a considerable extent, polymer PC-03 became insoluble after complete drying of the sample. This finding was attributed to the formation of a physical network built up by hydrogen bonds between the ETBAA-blocks. To prove this, the insoluble sample was ultrasonicated in THF in the presence of a small amount of water in order to break up the hydrogen bonds and substitute them with polymer-water conjugates. After two hours of sonication, the sample could indeed be dissolved again (see Scheme 4) which lead to the conclusion that a physical network was present here. A comparison of the molar mass distribution in the non-crosslinked state before drying and after sonication (see Figure 6c) shows the appearance of very high molar weight components up to $3 \cdot 10^7\text{ g mol}^{-1}$ in the latter case, indicating that the disruption of the physical network was not complete and aggregates held together by hydrogen bonds still are present.

Mechanical properties of the polycyclic polymers

In order to characterize the synthesized polycyclic polymers with respect to their mechanical properties, uniaxial tensile testing was carried out on dog bone test specimen of PC-02 and PC-03. PC-01 was not tested as it was produced on milligram scale only and was used up for the optimization of the click-reaction conditions, as mentioned before. Due to limited availability of the other sample material, only three specimen per polymeric sample were produced via drop casting (for details see ESI, Figure S2). First, a simple tensile testing with elongation until break was conducted with two of the samples. The recorded stress-strain curves with the technical stress σ_{tech} (independent of cross section of specimen) plotted versus sample elongation ε gave information about the Young's modulus, the elongation and stress at break, as well as the toughness from the area below the curve (see Figure 7 and Table 4 for data from tensile-testing). Secondly, cyclic stress-




Figure 6 Evolution of molar mass distributions (SEC traces) during the polyaddition reactions of a) CP-01, b) CP-02, and c) CP-03 (black curves) with the bifunctional linker. The polyaddition products a) PC-01 and b) PC-02 are shown after precipitation from diethyl ether and drying (red curves). The molar mass distribution of c) PC-03 was recorded after dissolution of the precipitated material in THF before the drying process (red curve) and after ultrasonic treatment of the re-dissolved material which became insoluble after drying (blue dashed curve), see text for further information.

strain curves were recorded with the third specimen giving further information about the hysteresis behaviour between loading and unloading. These curves give information about the elasticity and the ability to dissipate energy. Multiple repetition of the cyclic process additionally provided information about the sample's ability to regenerate its shape in time (see Figures 8 and 9 and Table 4 for data of the cyclic measurements).

In Figure 7, the tensile measurements of PC-02 and PC-03 are depicted. As reference, a control sample made from non-functionalized ring-polymer consisting of PMT and MT only (sample ζ from the preliminary experiments section, see Table 1) was tested as well. The control specimen show a typical behaviour of thermoplastics including a yield point followed by a subsequent decrease of stress with increasing elongation. It could, however, not be fractured even by elongation up to the maximum deflection of the testing machine (see red curve in Figure 7 and Table 4). The polycyclic test specimens, on the other hand, clearly exhibit a completely different mechanical response behaviour, lacking a distinct yield point. They show a monotonically increasing curve upon elongation until the fracture length was reached. Polymer PC-02 was tested twice showing a Young's modulus of 5.7 ± 1.0 MPa, i.e., it is a rather soft material. It could be elongated to the 4.6 ± 2.3 -fold of its original length at 0.28 ± 0.05 MPa until it ruptured (see black curve in Figure 7 and Table 4). The physically crosslinked sample PC-03 exhibited a higher Young's modulus of about 13.3 ± 0.9 MPa, but could only be elongated to two-third the elongation of PC-02 until it ruptured. It, however, showed a higher fracture stress of 0.92 ± 0.09 MPa (see blue curve in Figure 7 and Table 4). The continuously rising stress-strain curves of both polycyclic samples differ strongly from the stress-strain behaviour of the control sample and may induced by the continuously occurring cleavage of hydrogen bonds that have formed in the material, which requires an increased energy uptake in order to elongate.

PC-03 shows a larger toughness with 2.3 ± 0.6 kJ·dm⁻³ than PC-02 with 1.2 ± 0.7 kJ·dm⁻³ (see table 4). The difference may be ascribed to two reasons: Firstly, the molar concentration of ETBAA-units is considerably higher in PC-03 than in PC-02 due to comparable block lengths but smaller ring sizes in PC-03. This leads to a higher concentration of hydrogen bonds that need to be broken in PC-03. Secondly, the presence of stronger physical crosslinks in PC-03 – as described above – hinder the macromolecules to plastically flow at greater elongations, which leads to a higher stress required for elongate the sample.




Figure 7 Stress-strain curves of polycyclic samples PC-02 and PC-03 and non-functionalized ring polymer ζ . For reasons of clarity only one curve per material is plotted.

Table 4 Material properties of samples PC-02 and PC-03 derived from linear and cyclic tensile testings. In the cyclic experiments, after each run the respective sample has been put to regeneration by heating to ca. 100 °C for several seconds.

| sample | tensile testing conditions | elongation / % | fracture stress / MPa | relative length after regen. / % | Young's modulus / MPa | toughness/dissipation at 1 st cycle / kJ dm ⁻³ | $\sigma_{20\%}/\sigma_{20\%}^0$ ^a |
|-------------------|---|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| ζ (control) | until fracture ^b | 1600 ^c | 0.08±0.06 | (---) | 15.4±0.9 | (---) | (---) |
| PC-02 | until fracture ^b | (4.6±2.3)×10 ² ^d | 0.28±0.05 | (---) | 5.7±1.0 | 1.2±0.7 ^e | (---) |
| | cyclic ^f , 1 st run | 50 | (---) | 100.0 | 1.7 | 0.069 | 100.0 |
| | 2 nd run | 150 | (---) | 109.8 | 1.3 | 0.195 | 90.7 |
| PC-03 | until fracture ^b | (3.2±0.6)×10 ² ^d | 0.92±0.09 | (---) | 13.3±0.9 | 2.3±0.6 ^e | (---) |
| | cyclic, 1 st run | 20 | (---) | 100.0 | 13.9 | 0.073 | 100.0 |
| | 2 nd run | 20 | (---) | 100.0 | 11.6 | 0.082 | 108.6 |
| | 3 rd run | 50 | (---) | 100.3 | 10.7 | 0.244 | 107.1 |
| | 4 th run | 150 | (---) | 102.4 | 10.7 | 0.805 | 111.0 |
| | 5 th run (fracture) | 276 ^d | 1.02 | 104.6 | 7.8 | 2.07 ^e | 86.2 |

^a $\sigma_{20\%}^0$ corresponds to the applied stress at 20 % elongation in the first cycle of the first run, whereas $\sigma_{20\%}$ corresponds to the corresponding value in the first cycle of any other run.

^bAverage of two runs.

^cThe specimens were elongated to the instruments maximum deflection without fracture.

^dElongation at fracture.

^eTotal energy dissipation until fracture (equals toughness).

^fMeasured values refer to first cycle of each run.




Figure 8 Cyclic stress-strain curves of a) polycyclic sample PC-02 before (black curve) and after (red curve) thermal regeneration and b) precursor polymer CP-02. 1 min pause between runs. The dashed lines in a) display the amount of stress applied at 20 % strain in the first run ($\sigma_{20\%}^0$) and in the second run ($\sigma_{20\%}$).

In Figure 8a, the cyclic tensile measurements of PC-02 are shown. In a first set of experiments, the sample was strained to 50 % elongation and then unloaded again. This cycle was performed five times within one run (see black curve). The

loading curve shows the same features as in the previously described tensile-testing, whereas the unloading curve shows a steep fall-off in the beginning, followed by a zero-stress region. In the second cycle, after one minute of regeneration at zero stress, the loading curve shifted, showing an elongation of about 15 % of the unloaded sample and a smoothed stress-strain-curve. The hysteresis behaviour indicates a very slow regeneration of the shape and thus no typical rubber-elastic relaxation. Instead, the difference between loading and unloading curve shows a certain energy dissipation by the sample indicated by the large area between both curves. In comparison, cyclic tensile measurements of the precursor polymer CP-02, which contains unlinked macrocycles only and acts as control here, shows no characteristic hysteresis curve but a permanent plastic deformation of the sample (see Figure 8b). It can be concluded that the polycyclic architecture is a critical feature of these high performance materials. In order to speed up the regeneration of PC-02, the sample was heated carefully to about 100 °C inside the testing machine for several seconds after the first five cycles which lead to a fast regeneration to about 110 % of its original length. This shows that the sample has the ability to recover its shape to a great extent and thus has a certain elasticity. This effect is obviously slowed down at ambient temperature, which is only 12 °C above the T_g , which hinders the quick reordering process of the macromolecules. A new tensile testing run with the almost fully regenerated sample up to 150 % elongation shows an increased maximum stress compared to the last three cycles before regeneration, however, with a slightly decreased Young's modulus (see red curve in Figure 8a and Table 4). This indicates a higher amount of dissipated energy in the hysteresis cycle after the thermal regeneration process and thus suggests the partial regeneration of the sample's hydrogen bonds that broke on the first straining.

PC-03 shows a similar loading and unloading behaviour in the first five cycles (see black curve in Figure 9a and Table 4) compared to PC-02, except the significant larger Young's

modulus of 13.9 MPa, which naturally is similar to the observation from the previously mentioned tensile-testing




Figure 9 Cyclic stress-strain curves of a) polycyclic sample PC-03 before (black curve) and after (red curve) first thermal regeneration (first and second run) and b) second to fifth run. Note that between each run the specimen was thermally regenerated. The dashed lines in a) indicate the stress applied at 20 % elongation in the first run ($\sigma_{20\%}^0$) and in the second run ($\sigma_{20\%}$). The small inset diagram in b) is a zoomed view of the initial stress-strain-region and shows the shift of the curves after each regeneration.

experiments conducted until break (Figure 7). As expected, heating of the specimen after five cycles of loading and unloading led again to a regeneration of the material. However, this sample recovered its original length completely after a few seconds. Afterwards, another run at the same elongation (red curve in Figure 9a) led to a 9 % higher amount of applicable stress at 20 % elongation ($\sigma_{20\%}$) compared to the first cycle in

the first run before regeneration ($\sigma_{20\%}^0$); the material was strengthened. However, the Young's modulus showed a slight decrease to 11.6 MPa. The same principle behaviour was observed in a second cycle. Adding a third and fourth run to higher elongations of 50 and 150 % (see Figure 9b, green and blue curves) showed a full recovery up to the third run while in the fourth run an elongation of 2.4 % and a decrease of the Young's modulus to 10.7 MPa was observed (see Table 4), whereas $\sigma_{20\%}/\sigma_{20\%}^0$ stayed in the range of 109 ± 2 %, just like in the previous runs. In a last, fifth run the sample could stand a maximum elongation up to 276 % until it ruptured and showed a slightly decreased Young's modulus, but again a constant $\sigma_{20\%}/\sigma_{20\%}^0$ value compared to all previously conducted runs. In general, these results indicate a strong ability to regenerate the broken hydrogen bonds by heat application as well as a good restoration of shape.

Shape regeneration and healing properties

In order to deeper investigate the shape regeneration property of the material, a further experiment was conducted by twisting a fragment of PC-03 and instantly cooling it to -20 °C. This specimen contained its novel shape for several days at a low temperature and recovered its original shape completely within minutes after exposure to 40 °C, which is evidence for a temperature sensitive shape memory behaviour of these polycyclic materials (see Figure 10). These special materials typically exhibit two states of shape, the original state and a deformed state which is metastable below the sample's glass transition temperature due to the transitionally hindered polymer chains.^{38–40} Here the shape memory effect is probably caused by the physical crosslinks in the sample which partially break on mechanical deformation of the sample, although a certain amount remains unaltered and thus gives the network a basic stability and structure memory. Thus it has the ability to regenerate its original shape just like in typical elastomers, with the difference of a much higher glass transition temperature, which on one hand leads to a slower regeneration compared to typical rubbers, but on the other hand stabilizes the deformed state at a considerable high temperature. In addition, these new materials are lacking permanent cross-links, which make them potentially processable like conventional thermoplastics. Along with the effective energy dissipation mechanism, which is due to the incorporated hydrogen bonds, this yields an interesting type of shape memory polymer.




Figure 10 Series of pictures showing the shape regeneration of a twisted specimen of sample PC-03. The sample was put in a petri-dish and heated to 40 °C in a water bath.

A thermodynamic transition corresponding to a ‘melting’ of the hydrogen bonds, which would lead to a permanent shape deformation, could not be identified in calorimetric DSC measurements (see Figure S4 in ESI). Considering the average amount of five ETBAA-monomers per block and thus an expected amount of five to ten hydrogen bonds between two blocks, the bond-dissociation energy may simply be too high to be overcome in the observed temperature range up to 200 °C. With a reasonably estimated value of approximately 30 kJ mol⁻¹ per ETBAA-dimer⁴¹ the total dissociation energy is supposed to be around 75 to 150 kJ mol⁻¹, which appears to be too high to be broken thermally up to 200 °C. The degradation temperature of the material is with 300 °C – due to the sulphur-containing polymer backbone of the macrocycles – relatively low (see Figure S5 in ESI), which restricts high temperature measurements, where melting of the H-bonds may be observable.

The ability of the material to regenerate its broken hydrogen




Figure 11 Healing of specimen made from PC-03 via application of heat: a) fractured specimen, b) aligning of the fragments in the mold, c) heating to about 100 °C for 15 s, d) removal of the specimen after 10 min of cooling to ambient temperature, e) partially healed specimen.

bonds was examined further by the attempt to heal a completely fractured test specimen by putting the two parts together and heating the fracture site. This was done in a first attempt by simply heating the combined fragments to 100 °C for 15 seconds and cooling down for ten minutes afterwards. The obtained specimen did indeed undergo a partial healing leaving only a small crack at the former fracture site (see Figure 11 for detailed procedure). Since the fragments did not undergo any visible melting process and completely kept their shape under heating, it can be concluded that the healing process in fact arises from the recombination of broken intermolecular hydrogen bonds. Tensile testing of the healed sample showed a stiffening compared to the unbroken sample (see Figure 12). The value for $\sigma_{20\%}/\sigma_{20\%}^0$ increased by about 5 %. Due to the remaining crack, the elongation at break decreased to only 26 %. A second healing attempt for 15 minutes at 120 °C also led to a partial healing with the sample breaking after 16 % of elongation and a slightly decreased stiffness of the sample compared to the run after the first healing process. In summary this material shows a great potential in its healing ability.

Conclusions

We successfully developed a novel modular synthesis of polycyclic polymers based on cyclic (ABC)_n-multiblock-copolymers, consisting of up to twelve separate blocks, by performing stepwise polymerization of three individual blocks and exploiting the ring merging reaction of these ring polymers in order to multiply the ring size and thus functionality. These precursor polymers were successfully interconnected via a Huisgen click reaction in order to form linear and branched polycyclic polymers. A small ETBAA-block within the rings with the ability to form self-complementary hydrogen bonds successfully lead to intra- and intermolecular physical links. The obtained polycyclic materials, which mimic nature’s paragon Titin, have some extraordinary material properties concerning elasticity and energy dissipation. Although both tested materials PC-02 and PC-03 are rather soft compared to




Figure 12 Stress-strain curves of PC-03 before rupture (black curve, 5th run of cyclic tensile testing described above) and after 1st and 2nd rupture including subsequent healing (blue and red curves).

natural fibres, they show a distinctive stress-strain behaviour that is similar to biological materials. Additionally, the physically crosslinked material PC-03 shows a shape-memory behaviour with good shape recovery after deformation and has healing abilities due to its inherent ability to form hydrogen bonds.

Acknowledgements

Financial support by the *Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft* (DFG) for the project VA226/5-2 is gratefully acknowledged. P.V. acknowledges receipt of a Heisenberg-Professorship (DFG).

Notes and references

Institut für Physikalische Chemie, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Tammannstr. 6, D-37077 Göttingen, Germany.

E-mail: pvana@uni-goettingen.de

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: instrumentation and experimental procedures as well as further characterization data of cyclic and polycyclic polymers. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- (1) Schwarzl, F. R. *Polymermechanik*; Springer-Verlag: Berlin Heidelberg, 1990; p. 416.
- (2) Meyers, M. A.; Chen, P.-Y.; Lin, A. Y.-M.; Seki, Y. *Prog. Mater. Sci.* **2008**, *53*, 1–206.
- (3) Guan, Z. *Polym. Int.* **2007**, *473*, 467–473.
- (4) Kushner, A. M.; Guan, Z. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **2011**, *50*, 9026–9057.
- (5) Becker, N.; Oroudjev, E.; Mutz, S.; Cleveland, J. P.; Hansma, P. K.; Hayashi, C. Y.; Makarov, D. E.; Hansma, H. G. *Nat. Mater.* **2003**, *2*, 278–283.
- (6) Porter, D.; Vollrath, F. *Adv. Mater.* **2009**, *21*, 487–492.
- (7) Guan, Z. B.; Roland, J. T.; Bai, J. Z.; Ma, S. X.; McIntire, T. M.; Nguyen, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 2058–2065.
- (8) Rief, M. *Science (80-)*. **1997**, *276*, 1109–1112.
- (9) Kellermayer, M. S. *Science (80-)*. **1997**, *276*, 1112–1116.
- (10) Li, H.; Oberhauser, A. F.; Fowler, S. B.; Clarke, J.; Fernandez, J. M. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2000**, *97*, 6527–6531.
- (11) Di Marzio, E. a.; Guttman, C. M. *Macromolecules* **1987**, *20*, 1403–1407.
- (12) Marszalek, P. E.; Lu, H.; Li, H.; Carrion-Vazquez, M.; Oberhauser, A. F.; Schulten, K.; Fernandez, J. M. *Nature* **1999**, *402*, 100–103.
- (13) Xu, M.; Lewis, R. V. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **1990**, *87*, 7120–7124.
- (14) Von Castelmur, E.; Marino, M.; Svergun, D. I.; Kreplak, L.; Ucurum-Fotiadis, Z.; Konarev, P. V.; Urzhumtsev, A.; Labeit, D.; Labeit, S.; Mayans, O. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2008**, *105*, 1186–1191.
- (15) Wang, K. *Adv. Biophys.* **1996**, *33*, 123–134.
- (16) Maruyama, K. *FASEB J.* **1997**, *11*, 341–345.
- (17) Lu, H.; Schulten, K. *Biophys. J.* **2000**, *79*, 51–65.
- (18) Lu, H.; Krammer, A.; Isralewitz, B.; Vogel, V.; Schulten, K. In *Elastic Filaments of the Cell*; Granzier, H. L.; Pollack, G. H., Eds.; Kluwer Academic / Plenum Publ: New York, 2000; Vol. 481, pp. 143–162.
- (19) Winningham, M. J.; Sogah, D. Y. *Macromolecules* **1997**, *30*, 862–876.
- (20) Rathore, O.; Sogah, D. Y. *Macromolecules* **2001**, *34*, 1477–1486.
- (21) Rathore, O.; Sogah, D. Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 5231–5239.
- (22) Nagapudi, K.; Brinkman, W. T.; Leisen, J.; Thomas, B. S.; Wright, E. R.; Haller, C.; Wu, X.; Apkarian, R. P.; Conticello, V. P.; Chaikof, E. L. *Macromolecules* **2005**, *38*, 345–354.
- (23) Guzmán, D. L.; Randall, A.; Baldi, P.; Guan, Z. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2010**, *107*, 1989–1994.
- (24) Beijer, F. H.; Sijbesma, R. P.; Kooijman, H.; Spek, A. L.; Meijer, E. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 6761–6769.
- (25) Ten Cate, a T.; Kooijman, H.; Spek, A. L.; Sijbesma, R. P.; Meijer, E. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 3801–3808.
- (26) Kushner, A. M.; Vossler, J. D.; Williams, G. A.; Guan, Z. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 8766–8768.
- (27) Kudo, H.; Makino, S.; Kameyama, A.; Nishikubo, T. *Macromolecules* **2005**, *38*, 5964–5969.
- (28) Kudo, H.; Sato, M.; Wakai, R.; Iwamoto, T.; Nishikubo, T. *Macromolecules* **2008**, *41*, 521–523.
- (29) Schuetz, J.-H.; Vana, P. *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *212*, 1263–1275.
- (30) Schuetz, J.-H.; Sandbrink, L.; Vana, P. *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *214*, 1484–1495.
- (31) Zhang, K.; Lackey, M. A.; Cui, J.; Tew, G. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 4140–4148.
- (32) Sugai, N.; Heguri, H.; Yamamoto, T.; Tezuka, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 19694–19697.
- (33) Rostovtsev, V. V.; Green, L. G.; Fokin, V. V.; Sharpless, K. B. *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 2596–2599.
- (34) Kolb, H. C.; Finn, M. G.; Sharpless, K. B. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **2001**, *40*, 2004–2021.
- (35) Gil, M. V.; Arévalo, M. J.; López, O. *Synthesis (Stuttg.)* **2007**, *11*, 1589–1620.
- (36) Binder, W. H.; Sachsenhofer, R. *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **2008**, *29*, 952–981.
- (37) Sumerlin, B. S.; Vogt, A. P. *Macromolecules* **2010**, *43*, 1–13.
- (38) Brochu, A. B. W.; Craig, S. L.; Reichert, W. M. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. A* **2011**, *96*, 492–506.
- (39) Herbst, F.; Döhler, D.; Michael, P.; Binder, W. H. *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **2013**, *34*, 203–220.
- (40) Greenland, B. W.; Fiore, G. L.; Rowan, S. J.; Weder, C. In *Healable Polymer Systems*; The Royal Society of Chemistry, 2013; pp. 92–125.
- (41) Lewis, C. L.; Stewart, K.; Anthamatten, M. *Macromolecules* **2014**, *47*, 729–740.

