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#### Abstract

A stereocontrolled approach for the construction of a highly functionalized bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane derivative embodying the bridged lactone present in the diterpene bielschowskysin is reported. The key step involves a stereoselective $\mathbf{C u}$ (I)-catalyzed [2+2] photocycloaddition of a 1,6-diene embedded in a sugar derivative.


## ${ }_{10}$ Introduction

Bielschowskysin $\mathbf{1}^{1}$ (Figure 1) is a marine diterpene isolated from Caribbean gorgonian octocoral Pseudopterogorgia kallos. It exhibits antiplasmodium activity against Plasmodium falciparum and strong cyctotoxicity against human lung cancer and renal ${ }_{15}$ cancer cell lines. ${ }^{1}$ It possesses a highly oxygenated unprecedented novel [9.3.0.0 $0^{2,10}$ ] tetradecane ring system with eleven stereocenters, six of which are arranged in a contiguous fashion on the periphery of the bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane unit. The unique structural feature along with strong bioactivity profile made 20 bielschowskysin an attractive synthetic target. Several attempts ${ }^{2}$ have recently been reported mainly for constructing the bicyclo[3.2.0]hepane segment. A major disadvantage in some of the reported approaches ${ }^{2, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$ which were successful in the synthesis of bridged lactone will require an unfavorable aldol reaction at 25 the bridge-head lactone enolate for addition of the C-12 substituent. As part of our continued interest ${ }^{3}$ in intramolecular Cu (I)-catalyzed [2+2] photocycloaddition reaction in organic synthesis, we initiated a program towards the synthesis of bielschowskysin employing photocycladdition as the key step.
${ }_{30}$ Our synthetic plan is depicted in Scheme 1.
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Figure 1. Structure of bielschowskysin.
40 Coupling of the prebuilt bicyclo[3.2.0] unit 2 with the fragment $\mathbf{3}$ was envisaged as a practical route to $\mathbf{1}$. Thus our initial goal was to develop a route for the construction of the functionalized bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane derivative 2. Compound 2 can in principle be derived from the cyclobutane derivative 4.
${ }_{45}$ The C-1, C-2 sector of the glucose moiety in $\mathbf{4}$ is a latent carboxylic acid which can be employed to construct the lactone
unit while C-4 oxygen of the sugar ring will provide the C-13 acetoxy group of $\mathbf{1}$. Thus in the present approach the unfavorable aldol reaction at the bridge head lactone enolate can be avoided ${ }_{50}$ producing directly the lactone with the C-12 residue. Use of glucose will also provide the target in enantiomerically pure form. An intramolecular Cu (I)-catalyzed [2+2] photocycloddition ${ }^{4}$ in the sugar embedded diene 5 will provide the bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane core with appropriately functionalized 55 substituents for elaboration to $\mathbf{2}$. Herein the results of this investigation ${ }^{5}$ are described leading to the synthesis of the highly functionalized bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane core of bielschowskysin.
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Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic analysis.

## Results and Discussion

We initially paid attention to demonstrate the feasibility of this protocol for accessing the fragment $\mathbf{2}$. We chose the diene 9 as the photosubstrate (Scheme 2). The diene $\mathbf{9}$ was prepared from the known ${ }^{6}$ hydroxy-compound 6 as follows. Oxidation of 6 with ${ }_{55}$ Dess-Martin periodinane (DMP) provided the aldehyde 7 in excellent yield. Reaction of this aldehyde with 1-butenyl-4magnesium bromide



Scheme 2. Synthesis of bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane 16a. Reagents and conditions: i) $\mathrm{DMP}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 96 \%$; ii) $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Mg}$, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 65 \%$; iii) (a) $\mathrm{AcOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4: 1)$, rt; (b) $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$, $\mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2: 1), 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h},(70 \%$ in three steps); iv) hv, CuOTf, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 75 \%$; v) hv, CuOTf, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 65 \%$; vi) DDQ, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 88 \%$; vii) (a) $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PCH}_{3} \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{KHMDS}$, THF, $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h},\left(65 \%\right.$ in two steps); viii) (a) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, dioxane, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2: 1), 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h},(80 \%$ in two steps); (ix) Jones' reagent, acetone, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}, 88 \%$; x) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{HCl}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $1.5 \mathrm{~h}, 91 \%$; xi) 3,5 -dinitrobezoyl chloride, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, DMAP, rt, $5 \mathrm{~min}, 71 \%$.
provided a mixture of the dienes $\mathbf{8 a}$ and $\mathbf{8 b}$ in $c a .4: 1$ ratio in $82 \%$ yield. The pure dienes $\mathbf{8 a}$ and $\mathbf{8 b}$ were isolated by column 5 chromatography in $65 \%$ and $17 \%$ yields respectively. The stereochemical assignment to the newly generated stereocenter in the major diastereoisomer 8a is based on addition of the Grignard reagent to the carbonyl group of the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ chelated complex $\mathbf{7 c} .^{7}$ The assignment of stereochemistry was confirmed after its ${ }_{10}$ photocycloaddition to give the bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane derivative 10. The 5,6-acetonide moiety in 8a was then transformed to the hydroxy-methyl group to afford the diene $\mathbf{9}$ through a three-step protocol involving its selective deprotection ${ }^{8}$-periodate cleavage of the resulting vicinal diol followed by reduction of the 15 aldehyde. Irradiation of a solution of the diene 9 in diethyl ether with a 450W Hanovia mercury vapor lamp through a water cooled quartz immersion well in presence of $2 \mathrm{CuOTf} . \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ complex as catalyst for 3 h , afforded the cyclobutane derivative $\mathbf{1 0}$ in $75 \%$ yield. The presence of cyclobutane ring in the ${ }_{20}$ photoadduct 10 was indicated by shielding of one of the cyclobutane methylene hydrogens at $\delta 1.1$ (dd, $J=6.5$ and 13.3 Hz ) over the other appearing as a multiplet at $\delta$ 2.11-a
characteristic feature observed earlier in structurally analogous cyclobutane derivative. ${ }^{3 i}$ The relative stereochemistry of the 25 newly generated stereocenters was assigned as follows. It is well established ${ }^{9}$ that in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR hydrogen $\alpha$ to the hydroxyl group in exo-2-hydroxy bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane derivatives appears as a doublet with $J \approx 4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ while in endo-2-hydroxy bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane derivatives it appears as a quartet with $J \approx$ 8 Hz . Comparison of the splitting pattern (doublet) with $J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ observed for the hydrogen $\alpha$ to the hydroxyl group in $\mathbf{1 0}$ with the above literature report indicated that it is an exo-2-hydroxy bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane derivative. Thus the hydrogen $\alpha$ to the hydroxyl group in $\mathbf{1 0}$ was assigned anti to the ring junction 35 hydrogens. The exclusive formation of the adduct $\mathbf{1 0}$ can be attribited to cycloaddition occurring through the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$-complex 10x rather than the sterically crowded $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$-complex $\mathbf{1 0 n}$ which would lead to the endo-2-hydroxy analogue of $\mathbf{1 0}$. That the cycloaddition took place from the face syn to the hydroxymethyl 40 moiety was in conformity ${ }^{3 i}$ with the formation of the adduct $\mathbf{1 8}$ from photocycloaddition of the structurally analogous diene $\mathbf{1 7}$ (Scheme 3).

Photocycloaddition of the sterically crowded diene 8a under identical condition was also found to give the cyclobutane derivative $\mathbf{1 1}$ in $65 \%$ yield. Compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ was obtained as a mixture of two diastereoisomers due to transformation ${ }^{10}$ of the ${ }_{5} 5,6$-acetonide moiety of $\mathbf{8 a}$ to the acetal unit in $\mathbf{1 1}$ during photocycloaddition. The acetal moiety in $\mathbf{1 1}$ could be converted ${ }^{11}$ to the triol $\mathbf{1 2}$ as a single diastereoisomer in $88 \%$ yield on treatment with catalytic amount of DDQ. This demonstrates that the photoadduct $\mathbf{1 1}$ was a diastereoisomeric mixture due to the ${ }_{10}$ presence of the C-5, C-6 acetal. The hydrogen $\alpha$ to the hydroxyl group in $\mathbf{1 2}$ also appeared as a doublet with $J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ confirming the stereochemical assignment.
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Scheme 3. Reported [2+2] photocycloaddition of 17.

The hydroxyl group on the five-membered ring in bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane derivative $\mathbf{1 0}$ or $\mathbf{1 2}$ has the stereochemistry 25 opposite to that required for $\mathrm{C}-10$ oxygen functionality of bielschowskysin 1. It is obvious from the above investigation that photocycloaddition of the diene $\mathbf{8 b}$ would provide the bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane derivative with the desired stereochemistry of the hydroxyl group required for the $\mathrm{C}-10$ oxygen functionality
30 in $\mathbf{1}$. But the diene $\mathbf{8 b}$ on attempted photocycloaddition under identical condition led to an intractable mixture from which no cyclobutane adduct could be isolated. Thus we decided to proceed for synthesis of the bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane derivative embodying the bridged lactone using the diol $\mathbf{1 2}$ and invert the ${ }_{35}$ stereochemistry of the hydroxyl group in a subsequent step.

Cleavge of the vicinal diol in $\mathbf{1 2}$ with $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ followed by Wittig olefination of the resulting aldehyde produced the alkene 13 in $65 \%$ overall yield. Compound 13 was then transformed to the hydroxy-aldehyde $\mathbf{1 4}$ in $80 \%$ yield on treatment with $4 \%$ ${ }_{40}$ aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ followed by periodate cleavage of the resulting diol. At this stage inversion of the configuration of the hydroxyl group was required for lactonisation with the carboxylic acid to be generated during the subsequent steps. For this purpose an oxidation-reduction sequence was employed. Jones' oxidation of
45 the hydroxy-aldehyde $\mathbf{1 4}$ provided the unstable keto-acid $\mathbf{1 5}$ which without purification was treated with sodium borohydride to afford directly the bridged $\gamma$-butyrolactone 16a in $85 \%$ yield in two steps. The stereochemical assignment to 16a was initially based on 2D NMR spectroscopy (COSY, NOESY and HSQC)
${ }_{50}$ (Figure 2). Finally this structural assignment to the lactone 16a was confirmed by single crystal X-ray ${ }^{12}$ (Figure 3) of its 3,5dinitrobenzoate derivative 16b. This route thus allows direct construction of the bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane unit $2\left(R^{1}=R^{2}=R^{3}=H\right)$ embodying the bridged lactone with the $\mathrm{C}_{12}$ appendage having the ${ }_{55}$ stereodefined $\mathrm{C}_{13}$ hydroxyl group.


Figure 2. $\operatorname{COSY}(\Omega)$ and $\operatorname{NOESY}(\Omega)$ for 16a


Figure 3. ORTEP diagram of compound $\mathbf{1 6 b}$.
After successfully demonstrating the strategy delineated in ${ }_{60}$ Scheme 1 for the construction of the model tricyclic lactone, we focussed on the construction of an analogue of 16a having a substituent at C-6 (beilschowskysin numbering). The C-6, C-12 vicinal substituents will allow coupling with the fragment 3. To this end the aldehyde 7 was allowed to react with the Grignard ${ }_{5}$ reagent prepared from $(E)$-6-bromohexa-1,3-diene ${ }^{13}$ to afford the triene 19 in $75 \%$ yield as the major product (Scheme 4). The stereochemistry at the hydroxyl bearing stereocenter is based on analogy to the formation of $8 \mathbf{8 a}$ from 7. The compound $\mathbf{1 9}$ was then transformed to the trienol 20 through a three- step protocol ${ }_{70}$ involving selective deprotection of the 5,6 -acetonide moietyperiodate cleavage of the liberated diol and $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ reduction of the resulting aldehyde in $75 \%$ over all yield. Irradiation of 20 in diethyl ether in presence of copper (I) triflate provided an inseparable mixture of the cyclobutane derivative 21 along with ${ }_{75}$ its $\mathrm{C}_{6}$-diastereoisomer in $65 \%$ yield in $c a$. 2:1 ratio. In the major diastereoisomer the $\mathrm{C}_{10}-\mathrm{H}$ appeared at $\delta 4.65$ as doublet of
 with $J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ observed for $\mathbf{1 0}$ confirmed the assignment of stereochemistry at the newly generated stereogenic centers of 21.
${ }_{80}$ The assignment of stereochemistry at C-6 in the major isomer followed from its transformation to the tricyclic lactone 23 as detailed below. The mixture of $\mathbf{2 1}$ and its C-6 epimer was used for this transformation. Oxidation of the hydroxyl group in this mixture with DMP followed by Wittig olefination gave the 5 divinyl compound $\mathbf{2 2}$ along with its C-6 diastereoisomer in $65 \%$ yield in two steps.

Transformation of this mixture to the lactone 23 and its C-6 epimer with the former predominating was achieved in $65 \%$ over all yield in four steps following a protocol similar to that used for ${ }_{90}$ the synthesis of the lactone 16a. The stereochemical assignment
to the major lactone $\mathbf{2 3}$ was based on 2D NMR spectra. A strong correlation was observed between $\mathrm{C}-13 \mathrm{H}$ and the methine of the C-6 vinyl in NOESY thus indicating a syn relationship of the C-6 vinyl and C-12 carbon appendage.
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Scheme 4. Synthesis of Functionalized Bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane 23. Reagents and conditions: i) (E)-6-bromohexa-1,3-diene, Mg, THF, $75 \%$; ii) (a) $\mathrm{AcOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (4:1); (b) $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2:1), $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (c) $\mathrm{LiAH}_{4}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, ( $75 \%$ in three steps); iii) $h v, \mathrm{CuOTf}^{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 65 \%$; iv) (a) DMP, DCM; (b) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PCH}_{3} \mathrm{Br}$, ${ }^{\text {n }} \mathrm{BuL}$, THF ( $65 \%$ ); v) (a) $4 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, dioxane; (b) $\mathrm{NalO}_{4}$, THF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (c) Jones' oxidation, acetone; (d) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{HCl},(65 \%$ in four steps).
The tricyclic lactone $\mathbf{2 3}$ obtained in this way lacks C-8 Me and OH groups of bielschowskysin. Thus we next focussed on developing a route for incorporation of $\mathrm{C}-8$ functional groups. This required photocycloaddition of a diene with Me and OH ${ }_{10}$ groups. Synthesis of the diene and its subsequent transformation was accomplished in the following way (Scheme 5). Addition of allyl magnesium chloride to the aldehyde 7 afforded the hydroxycompound 24 in $70 \%$ yield as the major diastereoisomer. The stereochemistry at the newly generated stereocenter is based on 15 analogy to the formation of $\mathbf{8 a}$ from 7. The compound $\mathbf{2 4}$ was then transformed to the hydroxy-ketone $\mathbf{2 5}$ in $81 \%$ yield through Wacker process. ${ }^{14}$ Addition of vinyl magnesium bromide to the silyl ether 26, obtained on silylation of $\mathbf{2 5}$, produced the carbinol 27 along with its minor diastereoisomer in ca. 7:1 ratio in 73\% 20 yield. The formation of the major diastereoisomer 27 may be attributed to addition of the nucleophile from the least hindered face of the $\beta$-silyloxy chelated ${ }^{15}$ species $\mathbf{2 6 c}$. The hydroxy diene 27 was subjected to cross metathesis with crotonaldehyde in presence of Grubbs $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst G-II. The cross 25 metathesis product 28 was obtained in $79 \%$ yield as the only isolable product. Although a RCM path was expected, no RCM product was formed either during cross metathesis or even when the diene 27 was treated with G-II in the absence of crotonaldehyde. The failure of the diene 27 to undergo RCM may

30 be attributed as follows. Steric factor plays an important role on the reactivity of alkene metathesis and chemoselective metathesis in substrates having more than one alkene can be achieved by increasing steric bulk around one of the alkene units. ${ }^{16}$ It has been demonstrated ${ }^{17}$ that in dienes, steric shielding of one of the alkene 35 units by a bulky alkoxy group at the allylic position directs metathesis to take place on the alkene unit away from the bulky group. In the diene 27 shielding of the alkene attached to the sugar unit by the bulky tert-butyl dimethyl silyloxy group at the allylic position prohibits addition of the Ru-carbene formed from 40 the terminal alkene inhibiting RCM. Thus only cross metathesis proceeds to produce 28 . Reduction of the aldehyde 28 with DIBAL-H gave the dihydroxy compound 29 in $90 \%$ yield. The diacetonide $\mathbf{2 9}$ was then transformed to the diene $\mathbf{3 0}$ through the standard protocol involving selective removal of the 5,645 acetonide moiety of the sugar unit-periodate cleavage of the resulting vicinal diol followed by hydride reduction of the generated aldehyde. Irradiation of an ethereal solution of the diene $\mathbf{3 0}$ in presence of CuOTf afforded the cycloadduct $\mathbf{3 1}$ as a viscous mass in $66 \%$ yield.



Scheme 5. Reagents and conditions: i) $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{MgCI}, \mathrm{THF}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$, $70 \%$; ii) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}, \mathrm{CuCl}, \mathrm{O}_{2}$, DMF-H2O, rt, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 81 \%$; iii) TBSOTf, Lutidine, DCM, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ - rt, $78 \%$; iv) $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHMgBr}$, THF, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 73 \%$; v) crotonaldehyde, G-II, DCM, $3 \mathrm{~h}, 79 \%$; vi) DIBAL-H, DCM, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$, $90 \%$; vii) (a) $\mathrm{AcOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4: 1), 6 \mathrm{~h}, 65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 72 \%$; (b) $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2:1); (c) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, (83\% in two steps); viii) hv, CuOTf, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$, $66 \%$.


Figure 4. NOESY of compound 31.
The assignment of stereochemistry to the phtoadduct was made by combination of the coupling constant of proton $\alpha$ to the hydroxyl group ( $J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) and 2D NMR (NOESY) spectrum (Figure 4). The five-membered ring in the bicyclo[3.2.0]hepane derivative 31 has the required $\mathrm{C}-8$ and $\mathrm{C}-10$ functional groups. However, they have the configuration opposite to that required for beilschowskysin. Further transformations to invert configuration at these centres could not be pursued due to ${ }_{10}$ insolubility of the adduct 31. So we decided to prepare a simpler analogue of 31 (devoid of C-6 hydroxy-methyl group) to demonstrate how the stereochemistry could be inverted at the hrodoxyl bearing centres on the five membered ring.


Scheme 6. Reagents and conditions: i) (a) TBAF, DCM, 4 h ; (b) $\mathrm{AcOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (4:1), 6 h, $65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ( $83 \%$ in two steps); ii) (a) $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$, THF-H2O; (b) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O},(72 \%$ in two steps); iii) hv, CuOTf, Et ${ }_{2} \mathrm{O}, 78 \%$; iv) TBSCI, DCM, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMAP}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 73 \%$; v) DMP, DCM, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 90 \%$; vi) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, DMAP, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, rt, $5 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$; vii) $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 6$ (N) $\mathrm{NaOH}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 75 \%$; viii) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 66 \%$.

15 To this end the diene $\mathbf{2 7}$ on treatment with aqueous acetic acid at $65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ led deprotection of the 5,6 -acetonide unit in the sugar portion with concommitant desilylation to produce the tetrahydroxy compound 32 in $67 \%$ yield (Scheme 6). However, a two-step process involving sequential desilylation with TBAF ${ }_{20}$ followed by deprotection of the acetonide unit proceeded in much improved yield ( $83 \%$ ). Periodate cleavage of the vicinal diol unit
in 32 followed by $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ reduction of the resulting aldehyde gave the triol 33 in $72 \%$ yield. With the diene ready in hand, it was irradiated in diethyl ether in the presence of CuOTf catalyst 25 to afford the cyclobutane derivative $\mathbf{3 4}$ in $78 \%$ yield. The reaction was found to proceed with similar stereochemical outcome as evidenced by the coupling constant of the proton next to the silyloxy group of the silyl derivative 35. Stereochemical assignment to $\mathbf{3 4}$ followed from its NOESY specrum (Figure 5).


30
Figure 5. NOESY of compound 34.
The five-membered ring in the bicyclo[3.2.0]hepane derivative 35 is fully functionalized. But $\mathrm{C}-8$ and $\mathrm{C}-10 \mathrm{OH}$ groups have the configuration opposite to that required for beilschowskysin. The inversion of configuration at these centers was achieved in the 35 following way. The $\mathrm{C}-10 \mathrm{OH}$ group in 35 was oxidized with DMP to afford the cyclopentanone derivative $\mathbf{3 6}$ in $90 \%$ yield. Treatment of $\mathbf{3 6}$ with $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ afforded the cyclopentenone 37 in excellent yield.

The enone 37 on reaction with alkaline $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ gave the oxirane ${ }_{40} 38$ in $75 \%$ yield. As expected epoxidation took place from the least hindered convex face. Compound $\mathbf{3 8}$ on treatment with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ led to simultaneous reduction of the carbonyl group as well as the oxirane ring to produce the dihydroxy compound 39 in $66 \%$ yield. Comparison of the coupling constant $\left(J_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}}=4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ 45 observed for $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ in 35 with that ( $J_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) observed for $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ in 39 confirmed that in the transformation of 35 to 39 , there is an inversion of configuration at $\mathrm{C}-10$. The cross peak observed between $\mathrm{C}-7 \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}-8 \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ in NOESY spectrum of $\mathbf{3 5}$ was absent in the compound 39 (Figure 6) confirming inversion of 50 configuration at C-8. The bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane derivative 39 have the desired configuration at $\mathrm{C}-8$ and $\mathrm{C}-10$ stereogenic centers for synthesis of beilschowskysin 1. Trnsformation of 39 for the construction of the bridged lactone required removal of the acetonide unit. All attempts to deprotect the 1,2-acetonide of 55 the glucose unit failed.


Figure 6. NOESY of compound 39.

## Conclusion

We have developed a stereocontrolled route for the synthesis of a highly functionalized bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane derivative embodying the bridged lactone present in the diterpene ${ }_{5}$ bielschowskysin 1. The attractive feature of this route is that it directly provides the bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane moiety with stereoselective generation of the C-12 quaternary center with stereodefined C-13 hydroxyl group through an intramolecular stereoselective $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$-catalyzed [2+2] photocycloaddition of 1,610 diene embedded in a sugar derivative. CuOtf catalyzed [2+2] photocycloaddition strategy can be extended for the construction of bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane derivatives fully functionalized on the five membered ring.

## 15 Experimental

## General experimental methods

All reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of argon. PE refers to the fraction of petroleum ether having bp 60-80 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }_{20}$ EA refers to ethyl acetate. Column chromatography was carried out with silica gel (100-120 mesh). NMR spectra were recorded unless otherwise stated at 500 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and 125 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ using residual chloroform as an internal standard. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ peaks assignment is based on DEPT experiment. Optical rotation values
25 are given in $10^{-1} \mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$. Melting points are uncorrected. High Resolution Mass spectra (HRMS) were measured in a QTOF I (quadrupole-hexapole-TOF) mass spectrometer with an orthogonal Z-spray-electrospray interface. Infrared spectra for liquids were recorded as thin film.
30
(Z)-2-((3aR,5S,6aR)-5-((R)-2,2-Dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl)-2,2-dimethylfuro[2,3-d][1,3]dioxol-6(3aH,5H,6aH)ylidene)acetaldehyde (7)

A solution of the alcohol $6(3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 10.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 35 dichloromethane ( 30 mL ) and DMP ( $4.9 \mathrm{~g}, 11.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction mixture was quenched by $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ solution doped with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and was stirred vigorously. After usual work up the residual mass was purified by column chromatography [PE-diethyl ether (5:1)] to give the ${ }_{40}$ compound $7(2.86 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%)$ as a viscous liquid; $v_{\max }$ (neat) 2990 , $1686 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{23}+134.4\left(c 2.8, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $10.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.0)$, $5.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.04-$ $3.99(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.49(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.43(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.42(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.34(3 \mathrm{H}$, ${ }_{45} \mathrm{~s}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 191.6(\mathrm{CO}), 160.3(\mathrm{C}), 127.0(\mathrm{CH}), 113.7$ (C), $110.4(\mathrm{C}), 105.3(\mathrm{OCHO}), 80.8(\mathrm{OCH}), 78.2(\mathrm{OCH}), 76.9$ $(\mathrm{OCH}), 67.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 27.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$, 307.1158; found, 307.1157.
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(R,Z)-1-((3aR,5S,6aR)-5-((S)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl)-2,2-dimethylfuro[2,3-d][1,3]dioxol-6(3aH,5H,6aH)-ylidene)hex-5-en-2-ol (8a) and (S,Z)-1-((3aR,5S,6aR)-5-((S)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl)-2,2-dimethylfuro[2,3-
${ }_{55}$ d][1,3]dioxol-6(3aH,5H,6aH)-ylidene)hex-5-en-2-ol (8b)

To the Grignard reagent [prepared from 4-bromo-1-butene (2.7 $\mathrm{mL}, 26.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and magnesium ( $838 \mathrm{mg}, 34.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ )] cooled at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of the aldehyde $7(6.2 \mathrm{~g}, 21.83$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 601 h at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 10 mL ) and the precipitated solid was allowed to settle. The clear solution was decanted and concentrated to give a light yellow liquid which was chromatographed using PE-diethyl ether (5:1) as the eluent to 65 afford the major diastereomer $\mathbf{8 a}(4.8 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%)$ as a light yellow oil; $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{25}+25.6\left(c \quad 0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.90-5.79$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=1.0,16.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=1.0,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.45$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6.5), 4.05-3.98(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, ${ }_{70}$ 2.19-2.13 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 1.79-1.73 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 1.68-1.61 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 1.48 $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.41(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.38(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.34(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 140.9(\mathrm{C}), 138.2(\mathrm{CH}), 131.8(\mathrm{CH}), 115.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 112.8$ (C), $110.0(\mathrm{C}), 105.1(\mathrm{OCHO}), 80.5(\mathrm{OCH}), 79.1(\mathrm{OCH}), 77.9$ $(\mathrm{OCH}), 69.2(\mathrm{OCH}), 66.6\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 35.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.6$ $75\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 363.1783$; found, 363.1780 and $\mathbf{8 b}(1.25 \mathrm{~g}, 17 \%)$ as a pale yellow liquid; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}+106.9$ (c 4.5, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.89-5.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.21-$ $5.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=1.0,17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $\left.{ }_{80} 10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6.0), 4.06-$ $3.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}), 2.23-2.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.74-1.66(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 1.45(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.41(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.35(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.33(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 138.9(\mathrm{C}), 138.5(\mathrm{CH}), 131.4(\mathrm{CH}), 115.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 112.4(\mathrm{C}), 110.0(\mathrm{C}), 105.1(\mathrm{OCHO}), 80.1(\mathrm{OCH}), 78.8$ $85(\mathrm{OCH}), 77.7(\mathrm{OCH}), 69.6(\mathrm{OCH}), 66.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 36.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.6$ (CH2), $27.5\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 363.1783$; found, 363.1784.

## (R,Z)-1-((3aR,5S,6aR)-5-(Hydroxymethyl)-2,2- <br> ${ }_{90}$ dimethylfuro[2,3-d][1,3]dioxol-6(3aH,5H,6aH)-ylidene)hex-5-

 en-2-ol (9)Compound 8a ( $2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 7.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with $80 \%$ aqueous acetic acid ( 8 mL ) at room temperature for 10 h . After removing acetic acid the residual mass was purified by column ${ }_{95}$ chromatography [1:1 PE-EA] to provide the corresponding vicinal diol ( 1.8 g ).

A solution of this diol ( $1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 5.99 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2:1) $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was stirred with $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(1.93 \mathrm{~g}, 9.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ for 1.5 h . After usual workup the crude aldehyde ( 1.60 g ) thus 100 obtained was used for the next reaction without purification. A solution of the aldehyde ( 1.60 g .5 .97 mmol ) in diethyl ether ( 30 mL ) was added to a suspension of LAH ( $226 \mathrm{mg}, 5.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in diethyl ether $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 1.5 h , the reaction mixture was quenched by sequential addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$, ${ }_{105} 15 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ solution $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was allowed to settle and filtered through sintered glass funnel. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure followed by column chromatography (1:1 PE-EA) afforded the compound $9(1.35 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%)$ as colorless liquid; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}+131.3\left(c 1.4, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; ${ }_{110}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.87-5.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $5.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J$
$=1.5,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.46(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3.0,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $4.5,11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.19-2.07(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.98(2 \mathrm{H}$, brs $), 1.80-1.73(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), 1.67-1.60 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $1.49(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.40(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.{ }_{5} \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 140.1(\mathrm{C}), 138.1(\mathrm{CH}), 130.6(\mathrm{CH}), 115.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 112.6$ (C), $105.1(\mathrm{OCHO}), 81.2(\mathrm{OCH}), 78.9(\mathrm{OCH}), 69.0(\mathrm{OCH}), 64.5$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 35.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $29.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $27.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $27.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$, 293.1365; found, 293.1366.
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## (1S,3a'S,4R,5S,5'R,6R,6a'S)-5'-(Hydroxymethyl)-2',2'-dimethyldihydro-3a'H spiro[bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane-6,6'-furo[3,2-d][1,3]dioxol]-4-ol (10)

A solution of the diene $9(500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.85 \mathrm{mmol})$ in diethyl ether ${ }_{15}(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ was poured into a pyrex cell. The ethereal solution was then degassed by bubbling Ar gas through it for 30 min . Freshly prepared $2 \mathrm{CuOTf} . \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(107 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the reaction mixture. The reaction mixture was then irradiated internally under a positive pressure of Ar with a Hanovia 450 W ${ }_{20}$ medium pressure mercury vapor lamp through a water cooled quartz immersion well for about 2 h . After completion (TLC), the reaction mixture was poured into ice cold ammonia solution (10 mL ) in a separating funnel. The ether layer was separated, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuum. The residual mass was ${ }_{25}$ purified through column chromatography [PE-EA (1:1)] as the eluent to afford the cyclobutane derivative $\mathbf{1 0}(374 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%)$. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}+52.6\left(c 1.2, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$ IR $v_{\max } 3428,2937,1373,1217 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.12-4.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.97-3.88(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.{ }_{30} \mathrm{~m}\right), 2.90-2.65(2 \mathrm{H}$, brs $), 2.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.11(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.02-1.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.93-1.86(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.48$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.28\left(3 \mathrm{H}\right.$, s) $1.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 112.3(\mathrm{C}), 104.0(\mathrm{OCHO}), 86.1(\mathrm{OCH}), 83.6(\mathrm{OCH})$, $74.7(\mathrm{OCH}), 61.7\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 49.3(\mathrm{CH}), 46.1(\mathrm{C}), 34.5(\mathrm{CH}), 33.6$ ${ }_{35}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$, 293.1365; found, 293.1365.
(1S,3a'R,4R,5S,5'S,6R,6a'R)-2',2'-Dimethyl-5'-(2-methyl${ }_{40}$ 1,3-dioxolan-4-yl)dihydro-3a'H-spiro[bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane-6,6'-furo[2,3-d][1,3]dioxol]-4-ol (11)

A solution of the diene $\mathbf{8 a}(300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol})$ in diethyl ether ( 120 mL ) was irradiated for 3 h in presence of $(\mathrm{CuOTf})_{2} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the above ${ }_{45}$ procedure. Work up of the reaction mixture followed by column chromatography (PE-diethyl ether (4:1) as the eluent afforded the cyclobutane derivative $11(180 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%)$ as a $1: 1$ diastereoisomeric mixture. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}+58.2\left(c 2.7, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ (for the mixture) $\delta 5.54-5.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.13(\mathrm{q}, J=4.6$ $\left.{ }_{50} \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ and $5.03(\mathrm{q}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz})($ total 1 H$), 4.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 4.30-4.22 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 4.01-3.81 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 2.89-2.84 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 2.65$2.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.21-2.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.05-1.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.92-1.80$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.65-1.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.47(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8)$ and $1.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8)($ total 3 H$), 1.27(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.18-1.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$
${ }_{55}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) (for mixture) $\delta 112.2$ (C), 111.0 (C), 103.8 (OCHO), 103.7 (OCHO), 102.4 (OCHO), 102.0 (OCHO), 86.5
$(\mathrm{OCH}), 86.3(\mathrm{OCH}), 83.1(\mathrm{OCH}), 82.5(\mathrm{OCH}), 76.6(\mathrm{OCH}), 74.9$ $(\mathrm{OCH}), 74.5(\mathrm{OCH}), 73.5(\mathrm{OCH}), 69.9\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 69.3\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)$, $50.1(\mathrm{CH}), 49.8(\mathrm{CH}), 46.9(\mathrm{C}), 46.8(\mathrm{C}), 34.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $6033.7(\mathrm{CH}), 33.6(\mathrm{CH}), 30.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.9$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 349.1627$; found, 349.1629.

65
(S)-1-((1S,3a'S,4R,5S,5'R,6R,6a'S)-4-Hydroxy-2',2'-dimethyldihydro-3a'H-spiro[bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane-6,6'-furo[3,2-d][1,3]dioxole]-5'-yl)ethane-1,2-diol (12)
70 To a stirred solution of the cyclobutane derivative $\mathbf{1 1}$ ( 200 mg , $0.64 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(9: 1)(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added (DDQ) ( 15 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After stirring the reaction mixture for 2 h at 65 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ it was cooled in ice bath. The reaction mixture was then quenched with slow addition of saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 0.5 75 mL ). The resulting mixture was concentrated in a rotary evaporator. The residual mass was diluted water, extracted with diethyl ether ( 3 X 10 mL ), washed with brine. The organic extract was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The residual mass after removal of solvent was purified by column chromatography [PE-
${ }_{80}$ EA (1:1)] to afford the triol 12 ( $162 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ); $v_{\text {max }}$ (neat) 3370 , 2957, $1217 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{23}+15.5\left(c 2.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $5.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.0), 4.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.5), 4.12-4.10$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.98-3.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.91-3.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s})$, $3.52(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}), 2.90-2.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.77-2.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.21-2.17$ ${ }_{85}(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.05-2.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.94-1.87(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.48(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.28$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,13.0) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 112.2$ (C), $103.5(\mathrm{OCHO}), 86.2(\mathrm{OCH}), 81.7(\mathrm{OCH}), 75.0(\mathrm{OCH}), 70.9$ $(\mathrm{OCH}), 65.5\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 50.0(\mathrm{CH}), 46.9(\mathrm{C}), 34.7(\mathrm{CH}), 33.9$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS 90 (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$, 323.1471; found, 323.1470 .
(1S,3a'R,4R,5S,5'R,6R,6a'R)-2',2'-Dimethyl-5'-vinyldihydro-3a'H-spiro[bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane-6,6'-furo[2,3-d][1,3]dioxol]-4${ }_{95}$ ol (13)

A solution of the triol $\mathbf{1 2}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2:1) $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was stirred with $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(285 \mathrm{mg}, 1.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ for 1 h . After usual work up the crude aldehyde ( 170 mg ) thus obtained was used for the next step. To a magnetically stirred 100 suspension of methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide ( 790 mg , 2.21 mmol ) in anhydrous THF ( 20 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Ar atmosphere was added dropwise a solution of KHMDS ( 3.5 mL , $1.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene) and stirred for 10 min . A solution of the aldehyde ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as obtained in THF ( 5 mL ) 105 was then added to the reaction mixture. After stirring for 2 h the reaction mixture was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution. Usual work up of the reaction mixture afforded after column chromatography (8:1 PE-diethyl ether) the cyclobutane derivative $\mathbf{1 3}$ ( $115 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ) as light yellow oil. ${ }_{110}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{27}+65.8\left(c 2.25, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.00(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=6.4,10.7,17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=$ $1.5,17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=1.4,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.1$
$\mathrm{Hz}), 4.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.63-2.57$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.09-2.00(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.94-1.83$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s), $1.49(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.49-1.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.30$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.3,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 132.6$ $5(\mathrm{CH}), 119.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 112.1(\mathrm{C}), 104.0(\mathrm{OCHO}), 85.5(\mathrm{OCH}), 83.7$ $(\mathrm{OCH}), 75.0(\mathrm{OCH}), 49.3(\mathrm{CH}), 47.7(\mathrm{C}), 34.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.2(\mathrm{CH})$, $30.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$, 289.1416; found, 289.1417.

## $10 \quad(\boldsymbol{R})-1-((\mathbf{1 S}, 4 R, 5 S, 6 R)$-6-Formyl-4-

## hydroxybicyclo[3.2.0]heptan-6-yl)allyl formate (14)

To a solution of the alkene $\mathbf{1 3}(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane $(0.4 \mathrm{~mL}) 4 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The reaction mixture was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . After usual work up the residual 15 mass was purified through column chromatography [PE-EA (2:3)] to afford the corresponding triol ( 18 mg ).

A solution of this triol ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2: 1)$ $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated with $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was then stirred for $1 \mathrm{~h} 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. On completion 20 (TLC), the reaction mixture was extracted with diethyl ether (3 X 5 mL ). The extract was washed with water, brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Removal of solvent afforded the compound $\mathbf{1 4}(16 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%)$ as yellow oil. $v_{\max }$ (neat) $3418,2949,1732$, $1714 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{23}+44.9\left(c 2.1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.64$ $25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=17.0,10.5,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.74$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.86-2.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.65(1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.95-1.72(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $1.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.24-1.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ${ }_{30}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 201.0(\mathrm{CO}), 160.1(\mathrm{CO}), 130.6(\mathrm{CH}), 121.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $76.9(\mathrm{OCH}), 73.3(\mathrm{OCH}), 53.6(\mathrm{CH}), 52.2(\mathrm{C}), 33.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.9$ (CH), $29.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 247.0946$; found, 247.0947.

## 35 Synthesis of the lactone 16a

To a solution of the aldehyde $\mathbf{1 4}(18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Jones' reagent was added dropwise till orange colour of the reagent persisted. After stirring for 30 min the reaction mixture was quenched by adding isopropanol ( 0.5 ${ }_{40} \mathrm{~mL}$ ). On evaporation of solvents in vacuum, the residual mass was extracted with diethyl ether to afford the corresponding ketoacid $\mathbf{1 5}(18 \mathrm{mg})$. A solution of this crude acid ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was reduced by adding $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ ( $5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). On completion of the reaction ( 1.5 h ) it was ${ }_{45}$ diluted with diethyl ether and quenched by adding $5 \% \mathrm{HCl}(0.5$ mL ) at rt . After usual work up the crude compound was purified through column chromatography [PE-diethyl ether (4:1)] as eluent to afford the lactone $\mathbf{1 6 a}(13.5 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%)$ as a light yellow oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{27}-53.9\left(c 0.56, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; $v_{\max }$ (neat) $3445,2926,1746$ ${ }_{50} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.96(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=6.5,10.5,17.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J$ $=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.75$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.5,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.70-2.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $6.5,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.20-2.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.87-1.60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.27-1.24$ $55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 181.1(\mathrm{CO}), 135.2(\mathrm{CH}), 118.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 85.3(\mathrm{OCH}), 72.7(\mathrm{CHO}), 49.4(\mathrm{C}), 45.8(\mathrm{CH}), 36.3$
$\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.6(\mathrm{CH}), 32.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$, 217.0841; found, 217.0842.

## ${ }_{60}$ Synthesis of the lactone 16b

To a solution of lactone $\mathbf{1 6 a}(7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methylene chloride ( 1.5 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added triethylamine (2 equiv, 0.01 $\mathrm{mL}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3,5-dinitrobenzoyl chloride ( 2.0 equiv, 16 mg , $0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$, and DMAP ( 0.25 mg ) sequentially. The reaction ${ }_{65}$ mixture was stirred at that temperature for 5 min , and then quenched with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$. After usual work up followed by column chromatography [5:1 PE-ether] afforded the compound $\mathbf{1 6 b}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%)$ as a white solid which was recrystallized $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give colorless 70 crystals, m.p. $162-164{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{28}-3.3\left(c 0.56, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.26-9.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=7.5$, $10.5,17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=17.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 5.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.4,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.84-2.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.6$, $\left.{ }_{75} 12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.36-2.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3.0,12.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 1.84-1.65 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $1.30-1.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 178.0(\mathrm{CO}), 161.7(\mathrm{CO}), 149.0(\mathrm{C}), 133.7(\mathrm{C}), 130.3$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 129.5(\mathrm{CH}), 127.0(\mathrm{C}), 122.8(\mathrm{CH}), 121.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 84.9$ $(\mathrm{OCH}), 78.0(\mathrm{CHO}), 48.8,(\mathrm{C}), 46.6(\mathrm{CH}), 36.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.5$ ${ }_{80}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.5(\mathrm{CH}), 31.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 411.0804$; found, 211.0805.

## (R,1Z,5Z)-1-((3aR,5S,6aR)-2,2-Dimethyl-5-(2,2-dimethyl${ }_{85}$ 1,3-dioxolan-4-yl)furo[2,3-d][1,3]dioxol-6(3aH,5H,6aH)-ylidene)octa-5,7-dien-2-ol (19)

To the Grignard reagent [prepared from the reaction of $(E)$-6-bromohexa-1,3-diene ( $2.86 \mathrm{~g}, 17.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with $\mathrm{Mg}(473 \mathrm{mg}$, $19.70 \mathrm{mmol})]$ at rt , a solution of the aldehyde $7(2.80 \mathrm{~g}, 9.86$
$90 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 20 mL ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1.5 h then quenched by saturated aqueous of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The clear solution was decanted and concentrated. The residual mass was chromatographed by using PE-diethyl ether (5:1) as the eluent to afford the alcohol $19(2.70 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%)$ as a light yellow oil ${ }_{95}$ as the major diastereomer; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}+84.4\left(c 3.13, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR $v_{\text {max }}$ 3480, 2988, 1373, $1067 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.28$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=10.1,10.2,17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.09-6.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.88-5.82$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.76-5.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1004.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) 4.04-3.94(2 \mathrm{H}$, m), $3.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.5,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.51(1 \mathrm{H}$, brs $), 2.18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.81-1.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 1.46(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.39(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 1.36(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ $1.32(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 140.8(\mathrm{C}), 137.1$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 134.3(\mathrm{CH}), 131.8(\mathrm{CH}), 131.6(\mathrm{CH}), 115.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 112.8$ $105(\mathrm{C}), 110.0(\mathrm{C}), 105.2(\mathrm{OCHO}), 80.4(\mathrm{OCH}), 79.0(\mathrm{OCH}), 77.8$ ( OCH ), $69.1(\mathrm{OCH}), 66.6\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 36.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.7$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $27.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 389.1940$; found, 389.1943.

[^0]The triene $19(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $80 \%$ aqueous acetic acid ( 3 mL ) for 4 h at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. On completion of the reaction (TLC), acetic acid was removed under vacuum. Column chromatography of the residual mass with 1:1 PE-EA as the 5 eluent gave the corrosponding triol ( 780 mg ) as a viscous liquid.

A solution of this triol $(780 \mathrm{mg}, 2.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2: 1)$ $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was stirred with $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(767 \mathrm{mg}, 3.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ for 1.5 h . Usual work up afforded the corresponding aldehyde (700 mg ). This was used for the next step without further purification. ${ }_{10} \mathrm{~A}$ solution of the aldehyde $(700 \mathrm{mg}, 2.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a suspension of LAH ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 2.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in diethyl ether ( 30 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 1.5 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with sequential addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1 \mathrm{~mL}), 15 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ solution $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was 15 allowed to settle and filtered through sintered glass funnel. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure followed by column chromatography [1:1PE-EA] afforded the compound 20 ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ) as colorless liquid; $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{25}+114.2$ (c 2.25, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR $v_{\text {max }} 3408,2928,1379,1011 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ ${ }_{20} \delta 6.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=10.2,10.2,16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.09-6.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.89$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.72-5.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) 3.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J$ $=2.8,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.6,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.10-3.40(2 \mathrm{H}$, 25 brs), 2.21-2.16 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 1.76-1.72 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $1.48(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.37$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 139.9(\mathrm{C}), 137.1(\mathrm{CH})$, $134.2(\mathrm{CH}), 131.7(\mathrm{CH}), 130.4(\mathrm{CH}), 115.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 112.6(\mathrm{C})$, $105.1(\mathrm{OCHO}), 80.1(\mathrm{OCH}), 78.8(\mathrm{OCH}), 68.9(\mathrm{OCH}), 64.4$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 36.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS $30\left(\right.$ ESI ) m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$, 319.1521; found, 319.1523.

## (1S,3a'S,4R,5S,5'R,6R,6a'S,7S)-5'-(Hydroxymethyl)-2',2'-dimethyl-7-vinyldihydro-3a'H-spiro[bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane-6,6'-furo[2,3-d][1,3]dioxol]-4-ol (21)

${ }_{35}$ Irradiation of the triene $20(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol})$ in diethyl ether $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ in the presence of $(\mathrm{CuOTf})_{2} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13$ mmol ) was accomplished following the procedure described for the preparation of the cyclobutane derivative 9 to afford after chromatography the cyclobutane derivative $21(180 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%)$
40 along with its $\mathrm{C}-6$ epimer in $2: 1$ ratio as a light yellow oil. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{27}$ $+90.66\left(c 0.50 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR $v_{\max } 3428,2938,1373,1051,1645$, 1217, $1051 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ (for the mixture) $\delta 5.94-5.83$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $5.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz})($ total 1 H$)$, 5.09-4.96 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 4.72-4.71 (m) and $4.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$
$45($ total 1 H$), 4.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $4.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz})($ total 1 H$)$, 4.25-4.19 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $4.13(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $4.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ (total 1H), 3.84-3.79 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $3.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.91(\mathrm{q}, J$ $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $2.76(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz})($ total 1 H$), 2.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $2.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz})($ total 1 H$), 2.38(\mathrm{~m})$ and 2.05-1.97 (m) 9 ${ }_{50}($ total 2 H$), 1.90-1.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.75-1.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s})$ and 1.50 (s) (total 3 H$), 1.32(\mathrm{~s})$ amd $1.30(\mathrm{~s})($ total 3 H$) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ (for the mixture) $\delta 137 .(\mathrm{CH}), 134.2(\mathrm{CH}), 119.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 114.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 112.2(\mathrm{C}), 111.9(\mathrm{C}), 104.3$ (OCHO), $104.1(\mathrm{OCHO}), 87.4$ $(\mathrm{OCH}), 85.9(\mathrm{OCH}), 83.7(\mathrm{OCH}), 81.8(\mathrm{OCH}), 75.2(\mathrm{OCH}), 75.1$
$55(\mathrm{OCH}), 61.6\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 61.3\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 51.6(\mathrm{C}), 51.5(\mathrm{C}), 47.8$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 47.4(\mathrm{CH}), 39.2(\mathrm{CH}), 39.0(\mathrm{CH}), 37.1(\mathrm{CH}), 36.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$,
$35.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.8(\mathrm{CH}), 26.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$, 319.1521; found, 319.1521.

60
(1S,3a'S,5S,5'S,6R,6a'S,7S)-2',2-Dimethyl-5',7-divinyldihydro-3a'H-spiro[bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane-6,6'-furo[2,3-
d][1,3]dioxol]-4-one (22)

A solution of the diol 21 ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry ${ }_{65}$ dichloromethane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and DMP $(473 \mathrm{mg}, 1.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ was stirred at rt for 2 h . It was quenched by addition of saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ solution doped with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was then diluted with ether ( 10 mL ) and vigorously stirred for 1.5 h at rt . Organic layer was separated and the
70 aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether ( 2 X 10 mL ). The combined organic extract was dried and concentrated to afford the corresponding keto-aldehyde ( 110 mg ). Without further purification and characterization, this was used directly for Wittig reaction.
75 To a suspension of methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide $(366 \mathrm{mg}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane) was added drop wise and stirred for 30 min . A solution of the above aldehyde $(100 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.34 mmol ) in THF ( 5 mL ) was then added to the reaction ${ }_{80}$ mixture and was stirred for 2 h . The reaction mixture was quenched by addition of water. After usual work up, the crude mass was purified through column chromatography [PE-diethyl ether (4:1)] to afford the divinyl compound $\mathbf{2 2}(70 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%)$ as light yellow oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{26}-53.69\left(c 2.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$ IR $v_{\max } 2936,1732$, ${ }_{85} 1373,1217 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ (of the mixture of two diastereomers) $\delta 6.21$ (ddd, $J=7.5,10.1,17.0$ ) and 6.10 (ddd, $J=$ $6.0,10.8,17.0 \mathrm{~Hz})($ total 1 H$), 6.00-5.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0$ $\mathrm{Hz})$ and $5.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz})($ total 1 H$), 5.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $5.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz})($ total 1 H$), 5.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and 5.30
$90(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz})($ total 1 H$), 5.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $5.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $9.5 \mathrm{~Hz})($ total 1 H$), 5.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $5.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ (total 1H), 4.60-4.55 (m) and $4.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz})($ total 2 H$), 2.96$ (q, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) and $2.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=2,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz})($ total 1 H$), 3.19(\mathrm{t}, J=$ 9.5 Hz ) and $2.70-2.61(\mathrm{~m})($ total 2 H$), 2.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and ${ }_{95} 2.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz})($ total 1 H$), 2.41-2.36(\mathrm{~m})$ and $2.20-2.15(\mathrm{~m})$ (total 1 H ), 2.10-1.97 (m) and 1.93-1.89 (m) (total 1 H$), 1.70-1.64$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s})$ and $(\mathrm{s})($ total 3 H$), 1.30(\mathrm{~s})$ and $1.27(\mathrm{~s})$ (total $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ (mixture of two diastereomers) $\delta 217.7$ (CO), $217.3(\mathrm{CO}), 136.5(\mathrm{CH}), 133.6(\mathrm{CH}), 133.3(\mathrm{CH}), 132.1$ $100(\mathrm{CH}), 120.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 120.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 119.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 115.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 112.7$ (C), 112.3 (C), 104.2 (OCHO), 103.9 (OCHO), $86.1(\mathrm{OCH}), 84.4$ $(\mathrm{OCH}), 83.4(\mathrm{OCH}), 81.3(\mathrm{OCH}), 55.3(\mathrm{C}), 55.3(\mathrm{C}), 48.1(\mathrm{CH})$, $45.2(\mathrm{CH}), 44.3(\mathrm{CH}), 40.4(\mathrm{CH}), 39.0(\mathrm{CH}), 38.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.8(\mathrm{CH}), 27.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.4$ $105\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 313.1416$; found, 313.1415.

## Synthesis of the lactone 23

A solution of the diene $22(16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane ( 0.2 110 mL ) was heated with $4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction mixture, on cooling, was diluted with diethyl ether. After usual work up, the residual mass was purified through column
chromatography [PE-EA (1:1)] to afford the corresponding vicinal diol ( 13 mg ). Without further purification and characterization, this was used directly for the next step.

A solution of this diol ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2: 1)$ $5(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was stirred with $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ for 4 h. Usual workup of the reaction mixture afforded the corresponding aldehyde ( 12 mg ) which was directly used for oxidation. A solution of this aldehyde ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetone ( 0.5 mL ) was oxidized with Jones' reagent at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The 10 reaction mixture after stirring for 1 h was quenched by isopropanol and worked up in the usual way to afford the corresponding keto-acid ( 11 mg ).

To a solution of this keto-acid ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in MeOH $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. 15 After stirring for 1.5 h , the reaction mixture was diluted with diethyl ether ( 3 mL ). To it $5 \% \mathrm{HCl}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture was stirred for 10 min . Usual work up and column chromatography [PE-diethyl ether (5:1)] as eluent afforded the lactone $23(8 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%)$. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{26}-25.6$ ( $c 0.27, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR $v_{\max }$ $203460,2963,1747,1352, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ (for the mixture) $\delta 6.19(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.0,9.9,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $6.12(\mathrm{ddd}, J=6.0,10.8$, $17.0 \mathrm{~Hz})($ total 1 H$), 5.84-5.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.39-5.11(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.01$ ( $\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ for the major isomer) and $4.96(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ for the minor isomer) (total 1 H ), 4.47 (d, $J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ for the major ${ }_{25}$ isomer), 4.38-4.34 (m, for the minor isomer) (total 1 H ), $3.49(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ for the minor isomer) and $3.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ for the major isomer) (total 1 H$), 3.17-2.66(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.35-2.13(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, 1.89-1.62 (2H, m); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 179.3(\mathrm{CO}), 137.3(\mathrm{CH})$, $135.6(\mathrm{CH}), 118.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 117.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 85.1(\mathrm{OCH}), 71.5(\mathrm{CHO})$, $3053.4,(\mathrm{C}), 51.3(\mathrm{CH}), 44.1(\mathrm{CH}), 39.4(\mathrm{CH}), 36.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.1$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$, 243.0997; found, 243.0994.
(R,Z)-1-((3aR,5S,6aR)-5-((S)-2,2-Dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4$\left.{ }_{35} y l\right)$-2,2-dimethylfuro[2,3-d][1,3]dioxol-6(3aH,5H,6aH)-ylidene)pent-4-en-2-ol (24)

To a solution of the aldehyde $7(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 7.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added allyl magnesium chloride $(2.0 \mathrm{M}$ solution in THF, $5.28 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise. The reaction ${ }_{40}$ mixture was stirred at that temperature for 1 h and quenched by addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 2 mL ). The precipitated solid was allowed to settle. The clear solution was decanted and concentrated to give 24 and its diastereoisomer (4:1) as a light yellow liquid which was chromatographed using ${ }_{45}$ PE-diethyl ether (5:1) as the eluent to afford the major diastereomer $24(1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%)$ as a light yellow oil; $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{28}+114.6$ (c $\left.1.8, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.93-5.71(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, 5.23-5.12 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 4.68-4.66 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 4.05-3.97 $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.91-3.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.42-2.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.50$ so ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}$ ), $1.49(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.41(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.38(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.34(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 140.8$ (C), $134.0(\mathrm{CH}), 131.3(\mathrm{CH})$, $118.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 112.8(\mathrm{C}), 110.0(\mathrm{C}), 105.3(\mathrm{OCHO}), 80.5(\mathrm{OCH})$, $79.1(\mathrm{OCH}), 77.9(\mathrm{OCH}), 69.0(\mathrm{OCH}), 66.6\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 41.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $27.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) $55 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 349.1627$; found, 349.1624.

## (R,Z)-5-((3aR,5S,6aR)-5-((S)-2,2-Dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl)-2,2-dimethylfuro[2,3-d][1,3]dioxol-6(3aH,5H,6aH)-ylidene)-4-hydroxypentan-2-one (25)

${ }_{60}$ To a solution of $\mathrm{CuCl}(345 \mathrm{mg}, 3.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 4 mL DMF$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3: 1), \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room-temperature and oxygen was bubbled. After 10 min , the solution of alkene $\mathbf{2 4}(750 \mathrm{mg}, 2.30$ mmol ) in 2 mL DMF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1) was added and the resulting ${ }_{65}$ solution was allowed to stir for 2 h . On disappearance (TLC) of the starting material, DMF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was removed in vacuum. The residual mass was diluted with 50 mL diethyl ether and 5 mL water. The organic layer was separated, washed with water, brine and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Evaporation of solvent in 70 vacuo and purification of the crude residue by column chromatography (1:1 $\left.\quad \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{PE}\right)$ afforded the keto-methyl compound 25 ( $635 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%$ ) as yellow oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{26}+105.6$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR $v_{\max }($ film $) 1713 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $5.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J=1.8,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.30-5.28$ $75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.95-4.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.06-3.87$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.90-2.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.70-2.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.20(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.49$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.41(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.38(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.33(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 207.6(\mathrm{CO}), 141.2(\mathrm{C}), 129.8(\mathrm{CH}), 112.8(\mathrm{C})$, $110.0(\mathrm{C}), 105.2(\mathrm{OCHO}), 80.3(\mathrm{OCH}), 78.9(\mathrm{OCH}), 77.8(\mathrm{OCH})$, ${ }_{80} 66.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 66.0(\mathrm{OCH}), 49.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $27.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 365.1576$; found, 365.1575.

## ${ }_{85} \quad(R, Z)-4-(($ tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-5-((3aR,5S,6aR)-5-((S)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl)-2,2-dimethylfuro[2,3-d][1,3]dioxol-6(3aH,5H,6aH)-ylidene)pentan-2-one( 26)

To a magnetically stirred solution of the alcohol 25 ( $3.2 \mathrm{~g}, 9.36$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ 2,6-lutidine was added dropwise at 0 $90^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 5 min , tert-butyldimethylsilyl triflate ( $4.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.72$ mmol ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 0.5 h . After completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was quenched with water ( 2 mL ) and was extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extract was washed with brine and ${ }_{95}$ dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{NaSO}_{4}$. Removal of solvent in vacuo followed by column chromatography [PE-diethyl ether (12:1)] afforded compound $26(3.28 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%)$ as a viscous light yellow oil. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{29}+83.3\left(c 4.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR $v_{\max }($ film $) 1712 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.73-5.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.89$ ${ }_{100}(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $6.0,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,15.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.39(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.34(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.28(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.24$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.78(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.01(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-0.03(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ${ }_{105}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 207.0(\mathrm{CO}), 138.2$ (C), $130.9(\mathrm{CH}), 112.7$ (C), 109.9 (C), $105.3(\mathrm{OCHO}), 79.6(\mathrm{OCH}), 78.4(\mathrm{OCH}), 77.8(\mathrm{OCH}), 67.5$ $(\mathrm{OCH}), 66.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 51.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.9$ (three $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ 's of t-butyl group merged together), $25.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.1(\mathrm{C}),-4.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right),-5.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;$ HRMS $110(\mathrm{ESI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{SiNa}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 479.2441$; found, 479.243.


#### Abstract

(3R,5R,Z)-5-((tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-6-((3aR,5S,6aR)-5-((S)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl)-2,2-dimethylfuro[2,3-d][1,3]dioxol-6(3aH,5H,6aH)-ylidene)-3-methylhex-1-en-3-ol 5 (27)


To a solution of the ketone $\mathbf{2 6}(4.5 \mathrm{~g}, 9.87 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise vinyl magnesium bromide ( 1.0 M solution in THF, $14.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at that temperature for 1 h . The temperature ${ }_{10}$ of the reaction mixture was slowly raised to rt . The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated ammonium chloride solution ( 2 mL ). The clear solution was decanted and concentrated to give 27 and its diastereo isomer (6:1) as a light yellow liquid. Chromatography of this mass with PE-diethyl ether $15(12: 1)$ as the eluent afforded the alcohol $27(2.70 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%)$ as a light yellow oil as the major diastereomer; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{28}+83.0(c 0.5$, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.98-5.89(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=1.0,17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=1.5$, $10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.5), 4.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=2.0,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, ${ }_{20} 4.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.11-4.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.99-$ $3.94(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.49$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.43(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.38(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.37(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.26(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.91$ $(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.14(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.07(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $144.0(\mathrm{CH}), 136.7(\mathrm{C}), 131.9(\mathrm{CH}), 113.0(\mathrm{C}), 112.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 109.9$
$25(\mathrm{C}), 105.1(\mathrm{OCHO}), 79.3(\mathrm{OCH}), 78.0(\mathrm{OCH}), 77.5(\mathrm{OCH}), 73.4$ (C), $70.2(\mathrm{OCH}), 66.9\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 47.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.4$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.0$ (three $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ 's of t-butyl group merged together), $25.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.8(\mathrm{C}),-3.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right),-5.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{SiNa}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$, 507.2754; ${ }_{30}$ found, 507.2752.

## (2E,4R,6R,7Z)-6-((tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-7-

((3aR,5S,6aR)-5-((S)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl)-2,2-
${ }_{35}$ dimethylfuro[2,3-d][1,3]dioxol-6(3aH,5H,6aH)-ylidene)-4-hydroxy-4-methylhept-2-enal (28)

To a degassed (on purging with argon) solution of compund 27 $(830 \mathrm{mg}, 1.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry dichloromethane $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added Grubbs $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst G II ( $145 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 40 crotonaldehyde ( $0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under Ar-atmosphere. After refluxing for 3 h , the reaction mixture was concentrated under vacou. Purification of the residue by column chromatography [PE-diethyl ehter (9:1)], afforded the conjugated aldehyde 28 ( $691 \mathrm{mg}, 79 \%$ ) as light yellow oil. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{28}+50.6$ (c 1.3, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ );
${ }_{45}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.91(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.0), 4.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.4), 4.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}$, $J=2.8,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=1.6,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.07-4.01(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), 3.99-3.90 $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.8,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.72$ ${ }_{50}(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.4,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}), 1.46(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.42(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $1.35(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.91(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.06(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 193.3(\mathrm{CO}), 162.8(\mathrm{CH}), 137.6(\mathrm{C}), 131.4$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 131.0(\mathrm{CH}), 112.9(\mathrm{C}), 110.0(\mathrm{C}), 105.2(\mathrm{OCHO}), 79.6$ ( OCH ), $78.1(\mathrm{OCH}), 77.6(\mathrm{OCH}), 73.5(\mathrm{C}) .70 .1(\mathrm{OCH}), 67.0$
$55\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 46.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.0$ (three $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ 's of t-butyl group merged together), 25.5
$\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.8(\mathrm{C}),-3.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right),-5.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;$ HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{SiNa}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 535.2703$; found, 535.2701.
${ }_{60} \quad(2 E, 4 R, 6 R, 7 Z)-6-(t e r t-B u t y l d i m e t h y l s i l y l o x y)-7-$ ((3aR,5S,6aR)-5-((S)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl)-2,2-dimethylfuro[2,3-d][1,3]dioxol-6(3aH,5H,6aH)-ylidene)-4-methylhept-2-ene-1,4-diol (29)

To a solution of the aldehyde 28 ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 1.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ${ }_{65}$ dichloromethane ( 20 mL ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added DIBAL-H (6.5 $\mathrm{mL}, 9.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and was stirred for 2 h at the same temperature. After completion of the reaction (TLC), it was quenched with addition of saturated Rochelle salt solution ( 13 mL ) and was stirred vigorously at rt for 2 h . The aqueous phase was extracted 70 with diethyl ether $(4 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic phases were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaCl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated to give a yellow oil. After column chrmatography of the crude mass using 7:1 diethyl ether/PE as the eluent afforded the diol 29 ( $900 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ) as ${ }_{75}$ viscous liquid. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{29}+81.8$ (c $3.7, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $6.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J=5.5,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.82-$ $5.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=10.0), 4.56$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.5), 4.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.5), 4.05-4.01(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), 3.96-3.93 $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.59$ ${ }_{30}(1 \mathrm{H}$, brs $), 1.53-1.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.48(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.42(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.39(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $1.35(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.27(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.91(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.13(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.08(3 \mathrm{H}$, s); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 138.0(\mathrm{CH}), 136.9(\mathrm{C}), 132.5(\mathrm{CH})$, $128.3(\mathrm{CH}), 112.8(\mathrm{CH}), 110.0(\mathrm{C}), 105.3(\mathrm{OCHO}), 79.7(\mathrm{OCH})$, $78.3(\mathrm{OCH}), 77.7(\mathrm{OCH}), 72.9(\mathrm{C}) .70 .1(\mathrm{OCH}), 66.9\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)$, ${ }_{85} 63.3\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 47.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $27.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.0$ (three $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ 's of t-butyl group), $25.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.9$ (C), -3.5 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, -5.0 ( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{SiNa}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$, 537.2859; found, 537.2856.

## $90 \quad(2 E, 4 R, 6 R, 7 Z)-7-((3 a R, 5 S, 6 a R)-5-(H y d r o x y m e t h y l)-2,2-$ dimethylfuro[2,3-d][1,3]dioxol-6(3aH,5H,6aH)-ylidene)-4-methylhept-2-ene-1,4,6-triol (30)

Compound 29 ( $800 \mathrm{mg}, 1.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with $80 \%$ aqueous acetic acid ( 4 mL ) at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . On disappearance 95 (TLC) of the starting material acetic acid was removed in vacuum. The residual mass was purified by column chromatography [1:4 PE-EA] to provide the corresponding triol ( $403 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ). $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{27}+89.8\left(c 1.3, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.83-5.74(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.79-4.70$
$100(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.09-4.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 3.63-3.52$ $(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.90-1.68(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.44(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.36(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.29(3 \mathrm{H}$, s) ; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 139.4(\mathrm{C}), 138.4(\mathrm{CH}), 132.1$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 128.4(\mathrm{CH}), 113.6(\mathrm{C}), 106.7(\mathrm{OCHO}), 82.6(\mathrm{OCH}), 80.3$ $(\mathrm{OCH}), 75.6(\mathrm{OCH}), 73.8(\mathrm{C}), 68.3(\mathrm{OCH}), 63.7\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 63.2$ ${ }_{105}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 48.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$, 383.1682; found, 383.1685

The triol ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 2 mL ) and water ( 1 mL ) was cooled in ice-bath and $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to it. After stirring for 2 h , it was diluted with ethyl acetate.
110 The reaction mixture was allowed to settle and filtered through sintered glass funnel. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated. The residual mass was
used for the next step without further purification. The crude aldehyde ( 95 mg ) in diethyl ether $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and LAH ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 1.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to it. On completion of the reaction the reaction mixture was quenched by sequential 5 addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.05 \mathrm{~mL}), 15 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ solution $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by dilution with ethyl acetate. The clear solution was decanted, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated. Purification of the residual mass by column chromatography (1:4 PE-EA) afforded the tetraol $\mathbf{3 0}$ ( $84 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ 10 in two steps) as a viscous liquid. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{28}+72.17\left(c 2.2, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.94-5.75(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.64-5.78(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), $5.22-5.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=3.0,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ 4.19-4.14 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $3.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3.0,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J=3.9,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.30(3 \mathrm{H}$, brs $), 2.05-1.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.74-1.64$ ${ }_{15}(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.53(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.45(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.35(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(75$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 138.8(\mathrm{C}), 136.3(\mathrm{CH}), 129.5(\mathrm{CH}), 126.9(\mathrm{CH})$, $111.7(\mathrm{CH}), 104.2(\mathrm{OCHO}), 80.2(\mathrm{OCH}), 77.8(\mathrm{OCH}), 72.1(\mathrm{C})$. $66.6(\mathrm{OCH}), 63.2\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 62.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 46.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $26.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $26.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{Na}$ ${ }_{20}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 353.1576$; found, 353.1579.
(1S,2R,3a'S,4R,5S,5'R,6R,6a'S,7S)-5',7-bis(Hydroxymethyl)-2,2',2'-trimethyldihydro-3a'H-spiro[bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane-6,6'${ }_{25}$ furo[2,3-d][1,3]dioxole]-2,4-diol (31)

Irradiation of the diene $\mathbf{3 0}(45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ in diethyl ether $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ in the presence of $(\mathrm{CuOTf})_{2} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ was accomplished to afford after chromatography (1:5 PE-EA) the cyclobutane derivative $\mathbf{3 1}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%)$. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{28}+17.8$ (c 0.6 ,
${ }_{30} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 5.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}), 4.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.2,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.91-3.89(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.69-3.53$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.38(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.34-2.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.47(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.40(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, ${ }_{35} 1.31(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.25-1.18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta$ 111.9 (C), 104.2 (OCHO), $87.0(\mathrm{OCH}), 85.7(\mathrm{OCH}), 78.2(\mathrm{C})$, $72.8(\mathrm{OCH}), 62.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 60.9\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 50.5(\mathrm{C}), 49.8(\mathrm{CH})$, $47.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.8(\mathrm{CH}), 43.0(\mathrm{CH}), 28.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$, ${ }_{40}$ 353.1576; found, 353.1575.
(2R,4R,Z)-1-((3aR,5S,6aR)-5-((S)-1,2-Dihydroxyethyl)-2,2-dimethylfuro[2,3-d][1,3]dioxol-6(3aH,5H,6aH)-ylidene)-4-methylhex-5-ene-2,4-diol (32)

To solution of the compound 27 ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 1.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry ${ }_{45}$ dichloromethane ( 20 mL ) under Ar atmosphere at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of tetrabutylammonium fluoride in THF ( $2.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.50$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{M})$. After stirring for 4 h , the reaction mixture was quenched by water ( 1 mL ) and extracted with ether ( 3 X 20 mL ). The combined extract was washed with brine and dried over ${ }_{50}$ anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The residual mass on removal of the solvent in vaccuo was purified by column chromatography (PE-diethyl ehter 2:1) to afford the corresponding desilylated product (440 mg ) as light yellow oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{28}+117.8$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.95-5.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=1.5$, $\left.{ }_{55} 17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 5.29-5.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.69-4.60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.04-4.84(4 \mathrm{H}$, m), $3.25(1 \mathrm{H}$, brs $), 1.98-1.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.69-1.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.46$
(3H, s), $1.39(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.37(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.27(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.24(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 144.0(\mathrm{CH}), 140.8(\mathrm{C}), 131.4(\mathrm{CH})$, $113.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 112.8(\mathrm{C}), 110.0(\mathrm{C}), 105.1(\mathrm{OCHO}), 80.4(\mathrm{OCH})$, ${ }_{60} 78.9(\mathrm{OCH}), 77.7(\mathrm{OCH}), 73.7(\mathrm{C}), 68.0(\mathrm{OCH}), 66.7\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)$, $46.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$, 393.1889; found, 393.1886.

The desilylted product obtained as above ( 440 mg , ${ }_{65} 1.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with $80 \%$ aqueous acetic acid ( 2 mL ). After stirring the reaction mixture for 6 h at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, acetic acid was removed in vacuo. The residual mass was purified by column chromatography using PE-EA (1:4) to afford the tetraol 32 (340 $\mathrm{mg}, 83 \%) .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{27}+99.6$ (c 3.1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.{ }_{70} \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.96-5.77(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=1.5,17.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 5.17-5.13 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 4.70-4.64 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $4.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}), 3.65-3.62$ $(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.30(1 \mathrm{H}$, brs $), 1.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.67$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.7,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.46(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.38(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.27(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 144.0(\mathrm{CH}), 140.0(\mathrm{C}), 131.0(\mathrm{CH})$, $75113.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 112.9(\mathrm{C}), 105.1(\mathrm{OCHO}), 80.8(\mathrm{OCH}), 78.8$ $(\mathrm{OCH}), 74.1(\mathrm{C}), 73.8(\mathrm{OCH}), 67.9(\mathrm{OCH}), 63.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 46.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 353.1576$; found, 353.1575.

## ${ }_{80} \quad(2 R, 4 R, Z)-1-((3 a R, 5 S, 6 a R)-5-(H y d r o x y m e t h y l)-2,2-$ dimethylfuro[2,3-d][1,3]dioxol-6(3aH,5H,6aH)-ylidene)-4-methylhex-5-ene-2,4-diol (33)

A solution of the tetraol $32(600 \mathrm{mg}, 1.82 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2:1) $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was stirred with $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(584 \mathrm{mg}, 2.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ ${ }_{85}$ for 1.5 h . On full consumption of the starting material (TLC), the reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate ( 50 mL ). The clear solution was separated from precipitated solid by filtration. The organic layer was concentrated and the crude aldehyde (500 mg ) thus obtained was used for the next reaction without ${ }_{90}$ purification. A solution of the aldehyde ( 500 mg .1 .68 mmol ) in diethyl ether ( 20 mL ) was added to a suspension of LAH ( 60 mg , $2.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in diethyl ether ( 30 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring the reaction mixture for 1.5 h it was quenched with sequential addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.06 \mathrm{~mL}), 15 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ solution $(0.06 \mathrm{~mL})$ and ${ }_{95} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.18 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was allowed to settle and filtered through sintered glass funnel. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure followed by column chromatography [1:3 PE-EA] afforded the compound 33 ( $392 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ) as colorless liquid, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{28}+96.5\left(c 5.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.96-5.87$ $100(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.20-$ $5.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.08(1 \mathrm{H}$, brs), $3.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.62-3.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.50(1 \mathrm{H}$, brs $)$, $1.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.46$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.38(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.26(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $105144.0(\mathrm{CH}), 139.9(\mathrm{C}), 130.1(\mathrm{CH}), 113.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 112.7(\mathrm{C}), 105.1$ (OCHO), $81.1(\mathrm{OCH}), 78.7(\mathrm{OCH}), 73.7(\mathrm{C}), 67.8(\mathrm{OCH}), 64.2$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 46.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$, 323.1471; found, 323.1470 .
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(1S,2R,3a'S,4R,5S,5'R,6R,6a'S)-5'-(Hydroxymethyl)-2,2',2'-trimethyldihydro-3a'H-spiro[bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane-6,6'-furo[2,3-d][1,3]dioxole]-2,4-diol (34)

A solution of the diene $\mathbf{3 3}(400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ in diethyl 5 ether ( 120 mL ) was poured into a pyrex cell. The ethereal solution was then degassed by bubbling Ar gas through it for 30 min. Freshly prepared $2 \mathrm{CuOTf} . \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(58 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the reaction mixture. The reaction mixture was then irradiated internally under a positive pressure of Ar with a
${ }_{10}$ Hanovia 450 W medium pressure mercury vapor lamp through a water cooled quartz immersion well for about 2 h . After completion (TLC), the reaction mixture was poured into ice cold ammonia solution ( 5 mL ) in a separating funnel. The ether layer was separated, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuum.
${ }_{15}$ The residual mass was purified through column chromatography [PE-EA (1:4)] as the eluent to afford the cyclobutane derivative 34 ( $312 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ) $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}+19.5$ (c 1.6, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 5.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.91(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.{ }_{20} \mathrm{dd}, J=3.0,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.51-2.49$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.86-1.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.46(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $1.40(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.33-1.31(5 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ of methyl group and two hydroxyl protons), $1.25-1.18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta$ ${ }_{25} 113.3(\mathrm{C}), 105.3(\mathrm{OCHO}), 87.2(\mathrm{OCH}), 84.8(\mathrm{OCH}), 80.0(\mathrm{C})$, $74.0(\mathrm{OCH}), 62.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 50.2(\mathrm{CH}), 48.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 47.3(\mathrm{CH})$, $45.6(\mathrm{CH}), 30.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$, 323.1471; found, 323.1470.
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## (1S,2R,3a'S,4R,5S,5'R,6R,6a'S)-5'-((tert-

Butyldimethylsilyloxy)methyl)-2,2',2'-trimethyldihydro-3a'H-spiro[bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane-6,6'-furo[2,3-d][1,3]dioxole]-2,4diol (35)
35 To a solution of the photoadduct $34(400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ acooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, triethylamine ( $0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.74$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, DMAP ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and were added followed by t-butyldimethylsillyl chloride solution ( $50 \%$ in toluene, 0.6 mL , 1.99 mmol ) dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h 40 and then quenched with cold water $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was diluted with diethyl ether, washed with brine and dried over andhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Evaporation of the solvent followed by column chromatography [PE-diethyl ether (3:1)] of the residual mass afforded the compound $\mathbf{3 5}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%)$ as a 45 viscous yellowish liquid. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{29}+38.4\left(c \quad 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.41$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $5.0,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.57-2.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.5,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.00-1.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $\left.{ }_{50} J=3.0,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.80-1.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.62(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}), 1.51(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $1.45(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.27(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.91(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.10(6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 112.1(\mathrm{C}), 103.7(\mathrm{OCHO}), 86.6(\mathrm{OCH}), 82.2$ $(\mathrm{OCH}), 78.6(\mathrm{C}), 74.1(\mathrm{OCH}), 61.9\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 50.4(\mathrm{CH}), 48.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.8(\mathrm{C}), 44.7(\mathrm{CH}), 30.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, ${ }_{55} 26.0$ (three $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ 's of t-butyl group merged together), $22.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$,
$18.4(\mathrm{C}),-5.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right),-5.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{SiNa}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 437.2336$; found, 437.2335.

## (1S,2R,3a'S,5S,5'R,6R,6a'S)-5'-(( tert-

## ${ }_{60}$ Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-2-hydroxy-2,2',2'-trimethyldihydro-3a'H-spiro[bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane-6,6'-furo[2,3-d][1,3]dioxol]-4-one (36)

A solution of the alcohol 35 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane 10 mL and DMP ( $307 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was ${ }_{65}$ stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction mixture was quenched by $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ solution doped with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and was stirred vigorously for 0.5 h . The aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times$ 15 mL ) and the combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to dryness to ${ }_{70}$ give a pale yellow oil. It was purified by column chromatography [PE-diethyl ether (4:1)] to give the compound $\mathbf{3 6}$ ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ) as colorless oil. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{28}-5.0\left(c 1.7, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ ); IR $v_{\text {max }}($ film $) 1728 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.35(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.4,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $754.8,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.07-2.97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.45-2.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=$ $2.4,9.2,13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.60(1 \mathrm{H}$, brs $)$, $1.53(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.27(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.89(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.10(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 211.9(\mathrm{CO}), 112.8(\mathrm{C}), 103.6(\mathrm{OCHO}), 85.9$ 80 $(\mathrm{OCH}), 81.2(\mathrm{OCH}), 74.3(\mathrm{C}), 61.3\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 51.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $50.6(\mathrm{CH}), 46.7(\mathrm{C}), 43.4(\mathrm{CH}), 28.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.4$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.9$ (three $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ 's of t-butyl group merged together), 23.8 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 18.2(\mathrm{C}),-5.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right),-5.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;$ HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{SiNa}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 413.2354$; found, 413.2357.
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## (1S,3a'S,5S, $\left.5^{\prime} R, 6 R, 6 a^{\prime} S\right)-5^{\prime}-((($ tert -

## Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-2,2',2'-trimethyl-5',6a'-dihydro$3 a^{\prime}$ H-spiro[bicyclo[3.2.0]hept[2]ene-6,6'-furo[2,3-d][1,3]dioxol]-4-one (37)

${ }_{90}$ To the solution of the compound $36(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, triethylamine ( $0.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), acetic anhydride ( $0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMAP ( 2 mg ) were sequentially added at rt . The resulting solution was stirred for 5 h . After completion of the reaction (TLC), it was quenched with water (1 $\left.{ }_{95} \mathrm{~mL}\right)$ and diluted with diethyl ether ( 20 mL ) and the aqueous layer was separated. The organic layer was washed thrice with water, once with brine and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Evaporation of the solvent in rotary evaporator followed by purification of the crude mass through column chromatography (5:1 PE/diethyl ${ }_{100}$ ether) provided the conjugate ketone $37(88 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%)$ as light yellow oil. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{28}+2.0\left(c 3.8, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR $v_{\text {max }}$ (film) $1697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.02$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.27$ $105(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=4.5,4.8,9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.55(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=9.6,12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.12(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.54(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.37-1.33(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), $1.26(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.90(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.09(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 207.4(\mathrm{CO}), 178.0(\mathrm{C}), 133.0(\mathrm{CH}), 112.9(\mathrm{C}), 103.6$ $(\mathrm{OCHO}), 86.8(\mathrm{OCH}), 80.8(\mathrm{OCH}), 62.2\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 48.6(\mathrm{C})$, $11048.0(\mathrm{CH}), 40.2(\mathrm{CH}), 27.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.0$ (three $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ 's of t-butyl group merged together), 18.4 (C), 16.9
$\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right),-5.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right),-5.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{SiNa}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 417.2073$; found, 417.2076.

5 (1'S,2'R,3aS,4'R,5R,6R,6aS,6'S)-5-(((tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-2,2,2'-trimethyldihydro-3aH-3'-oxaspiro[furo[2,3-d][1,3]dioxole-6,7'-tricyclo[4.2.0.02,4]octan]5 '-one (38)

Compound 37 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( 0.5 mL ) was 10 stirred with $6(\mathrm{~N}) \mathrm{NaOH}$ solution $(0.01 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, the reaction mixture was treated with $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ solution $(0.04 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ and sirring was continued for 0.5 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After completion (TLC), methanol was removed in vacuo. Usual workup of the filtrate afforded the epoxide $\mathbf{3 8}$ ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ ${ }_{15} \mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.23-4.20$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.5,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.1$, $10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.09-3.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.97-2.94$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=2.7,8.7,12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.55(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.54$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.32(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.90(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.06(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 $\left.{ }_{20} \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 207.6(\mathrm{CO}), 113.0(\mathrm{C}), 103.3$ (OCHO), 85.9 $(\mathrm{OCH}), 80.7(\mathrm{OCH}), 67.2(\mathrm{C}), 63.4(\mathrm{OCH}), 61.3\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)$, $48.0(\mathrm{C}), 46.1(\mathrm{CH}), 38.1(\mathrm{CH}), 27.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.1$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.0$ (three $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ 's of t-butyl group merged together), 18.3 (C), $13.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right),-5.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right),-5.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd ${ }_{25}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{SiNa}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 433.2022$; found, 433.2025.

## (1S,2S,3a'S,4S,5S,5'R,6R,6a'S)-5'-((tert-

butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-2,2',2'-trimethyldihydro-3a'H${ }_{30}$ spiro[bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane-6,6'-furo[2,3-d][1,3]dioxole]-2,4diol (39)

The epoxy-ketone $\mathbf{3 8}$ ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in diethyl ether ( 15 mL ) was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and LAH ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to it. As the reaction was completed (TLC), it was quenched by ${ }_{35}$ sequential addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.02 \mathrm{~mL}), 15 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ solution ( 0.02 $\mathrm{mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.06 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was allowed to settle and filtered through sintered glass funnel.and worked up as usual. The crude residue was then purified through column chromatography to afford the diol 39 ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ) as a viscous ${ }_{40}$ liquid. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{28}+28.8\left(c 6.3, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 5.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.76-4.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.4,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.96-$ $3.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=2.1,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=$ $1.5,7.8,15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.27-2.12 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 2.02-1.94 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 1.53 ${ }_{45}(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.33(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.24(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.90(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.06(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 112.2$ (C), 103.7 (OCHO), 86.1 $(\mathrm{OCH}), 83.1(\mathrm{OCH}), 79.1(\mathrm{C}), 73.5(\mathrm{OCH}), 61.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)$, $47.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.3(\mathrm{CH}), 46.3(\mathrm{C}), 43.7(\mathrm{CH}), 26.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.7$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.0$ (three $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ 's of t-butyl group merged 50 together), $23.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.4(\mathrm{C}),-5.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right),-5.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{SiNa}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 437.2336$; found, 437.2339 .
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