Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/obc

Reactivity in the Nucleophilic Aromatic Substitution Reactions of Pyridinium Ions

Jeannette T. Bowler[†], Freeman M. Wong[†], Scott Gronert^{‡,*}, James R. Keeffe^{†,*} and

Weiming Wu^{†,*}

[†]Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, San Francisco State University, San Francisco, CA

94132

[‡]Department of Chemistry, Virginia Commonwealth University, 1001 W. Main Street,

Richmond, Virginia 23284.

*Corresponding Authors: keeffe@sfsu.edu, wuw@sfsu.edu, sgronert@vcu.edu

rganic & Biomolecular Chemistry Accepted Manuscript

Abstract: The "element effect" in nucleophilic aromatic substitution reactions (S_NAr) is characterized by the leaving group order, $L = F > NO_2 > Cl \approx Br > I$, in activated aryl substrates. A different leaving group order is observed in the substitution reactions of ring-substituted *N*methylpyridinium compounds with piperidine in methanol: 2-CN \ge 4-CN > 2-F \sim 2-Cl \sim 2-Br \sim 2-I. The reactions are second-order in [piperidine], the mechanism involving rate determining hydrogen-bond formation between piperidine and the substrate-piperidine addition intermediate followed by deprotonation of this intermediate. Computational results indicate that deprotonation of the H-bonded complex is probably barrier free, and is accompanied by simultaneous loss of the leaving group (E2) for L = Cl, Br, and I, but with subsequent, rapid loss of the leaving group (E1cB-like) for the poorer leaving groups, CN and F. The approximately 50-fold greater reactivity of the 2- and 4-cyano substrates is attributed to the influence of the electron withdrawing cyano group in the deprotonation step. The results provide another example of β -elimination reactions poised near the E2-E1cB mechanistic borderline.

INTRODUCTION

The nucleophilic aromatic substitution (S_NAr) reactions of activated substrates follow a twostep, addition-elimination mechanism and have been well studied.¹⁻⁸ They also continue to draw attention as a synthetic method.⁹ An order of halide leaving group (L) abilities, $F > Cl \approx Br > I$, is often found in studies of rates of S_NAr reactions of activated aryl halides. This is commonly referred to as the "element effect", and is considered evidence for a mechanism in which the first step, addition of the nucleophile, is rate controlling.¹⁰⁻¹² The factors that can account for the element effect and for S_NAr reactivity in general are manifold, and have been thoroughly discussed in the literature.¹⁰⁻¹⁴ These factors include polar, polarizability, solvation, and negative hyperconjugative effects as well as polarity reversal of the C–L bond from reactant to transition state in the case of ArCl and ArBr compared to ArF.

In related studies, we have observed that 2-substituted pyridinium compounds are good substrates for S_NAr reactions due to their electron-deficient nature.^{15,16} In this report, we examine experimentally the S_NAr reactions of ring-substituted *N*-methylpyridinium substrates with piperidine.¹⁷ In addition, intermediates and transition states associated with the rate determining step were explored computationally.



Figure 1. The overall result for reaction of piperidine with 2-substituted-*N*-methylpyridinium⁺ substrates.

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Third-order rate constants and activation parameters for the reaction of nucleophilic piperidine

with 2-cyano-, 4-cyano-, 2-fluoro-, 2-chloro-, 2-bromo- and 2-iodo-N-methylpyridinium

substrates in methanol are listed in Table 1. All the reactions are first-order in substrate and

second-order in piperidine, and yield the corresponding piperidino-N-methylpyridinium ions as

the only products.

Table 1. Kinetic parameters for nucleophilic aromatic substitution reactions of 2-substituted *N*-methylpyridinium substrates with piperidine in methanol.^a

Substrates	Overall third-	Relative rate	ΔG^{\ddagger}	ΔH^{\ddagger}	ΔS^{\ddagger}
	order rate	at 25 °C	(kcal/mol)	(kcal/mol)	(cal/mol K)
	constant (25°				
	$C, M^{-2}s^{-1})$				
2-cyano	1.26 x 10 ⁻³	~50	20.6	18.4	-7.5
2-fluoro	2.02 x 10 ⁻⁵	~1	22.5	19.8	-9.1
2-chloro	2.10 x 10 ⁻⁵	~1	22.4	16.3	-20.6
2-bromo	2.67 x 10 ⁻⁵	~1	22.3	20.9	-4.8
2-iodo	2.62 x 10 ⁻⁵	~1	22.3	15.7	-22.2
4-cyano	8.30 x 10 ⁻⁴	~30	20.9	20.0	-2.9

^aPiperidine concentration is 0.5 M whereas initial substrate concentration is 10 mM. Kinetic parameters were calculated from temperature dependent studies using the Eyring equation. Estimated uncertainties in ΔH^{\ddagger} and ΔS^{\ddagger} are

Negative entropies of activation are found throughout the series, but are seen to be quite variable in magnitude, the $T\Delta S^{\ddagger}$ term contributing from 0.9 to 6.6 kcal/mol to the free energy of activation. The source(s) of the observed activation entropies are complex, and probably include differential solvation of reactants and transition states. For comparison we call attention to the

Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

fact that ΔS^{\ddagger} values for a host of S_N2 processes are known to be highly variable.¹⁸ The rate constant *differences* for the halide substrates are very small, and are associated with irregular changes in the enthalpies and entropies of activation. The cyano compounds are exceptional in that their reactivities are greater than those of the halides. Unlike the reactions of piperidine with 2,4-dintrophenyl halides there is no evidence of an "element effect".

Reactivity. From Table 1 we see that reactivity is fairly high although less so than for the reactions of piperidine with 2,4-dinitrophenyl halides for which experimental activation enthalpies are much lower, but are partly compensated by more negative activation entropies.¹ The reactivity of the cyanide substrates is noteworthy. There is precedent for this result in our previous study of the basic hydrolysis of ring-substituted *N*-methylpyridinium ions.¹⁵ Additionally Thompson and Huestis have recently observed loss solely of cyanide in S_NAr reactions even in the presence of halides at reactive positions.¹⁹ We were surprised by these results, and considered the possibility that the cyano substrates react by a radical mechanism, e.g., the $S_{RN}1$ pathway, since the cyano group is well known to stabilize free radicals.^{20,21} We examined the effect of two different radical scavengers, 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine oxide (TEMPO) and 2,6-di-t-butylphenol, on the rate of reaction of piperidine with all substrates, but found no inhibition at two or more scavenger concentrations. Hence, if radical intermediates are formed they are paired or otherwise inaccessible to the scavengers. However, the greater reactivity of the cyano substrates in these S_NAr reactions is can perhaps be understood in a qualitative way on the basis of the greater total electron withdrawing effect of the cyano group relative to halogens as determined by NMR studies on ring-substituted N-methylpyridinium ions.²² This effect must be present in the rate-controlling step, shown below to be hydrogenbond formation and proton transfer from the addition complex to a second piperidine molecule, activated by the electron-withdrawing cyano group.

Mechanism. The reaction mechanism could, in principle, be termolecular, but it is much more likely that more than one bimolecular step is involved. Given the second-order dependence of the rate on piperidine concentration we conclude that step 1, nucleophilic addition forming intermediate **I-1** is *not* rate controlling for these S_NAr reactions, but that a subsequent step, deprotonation of **I-1** with or without departure of nucleofuge L⁻, both paths requiring a second piperidine molecule, determines the overall rate (see Figure 2). The results presented so far also explain the absence of the element effect, an effect identified with the addition step.¹⁰⁻¹⁴ An additional pertinent result is that there is no observation of an intermediate species at zero-time as monitored by ¹HNMR or by UV spectrometry. Thus we treat the addition intermediate, **I-1**, as a reactive intermediate subject to the steady-state approximation, and formulate the rate law as equation (1). Taking $k_{-1} >> k_2$ [pip] then leads to equation (2)

$$k_{\rm exp} = k_1 k_2 [\rm{pip}]^2 / (k_{-1} + k_2 [\rm{pip}])$$
(1)

$$k_{\exp} = k_1 k_2 [\text{pip}]^2 / k_{-1}$$
 (2)

Loss of the leaving group could occur from **I-1** in a one-step E2 process, or in two steps: deprotonation of the piperidinium NH⁺ moiety giving intermediate **I-2**, followed by loss of the leaving group in step 3. Step 2, deprotonation to form intermediate **I-2**, could be rate controlling. Alternatively, the rate controlling step could be expulsion of the leaving group from **I-2** (step 3).



Figure 2. Proposed mechanism for reaction of piperidine with pyridinium substrates. A hydrogen-bonded complex between **I-1** and the second piperidine is implied, but not shown.

Bernasconi has presented a thorough and persuasive analysis of the kinetic behavior of reactive tetrahedral intermediates.⁴ He concludes that in protic solvents the general-base catalyzed breakdown of such intermediates proceeds by rate-controlling deprotonation of the addition intermediate, **I-1** in the reaction studied here. It might seem counter-intuitive that proton transfer between electronegative atoms, two nitrogens in this case, can be rate controlling. However, as Bernasconi points out, all that is necessary is that the subsequent step be much faster than the reverse of the deprotonation step. He also provides evidence that in general the secondary amine moiety in addition intermediate **I-2** is less basic than the amine itself, hence that the reverse of step 2 will be endoergic. Finally, loss of L⁻ is accompanied by re-aromatization of the heterocyclic ring, further accelerating the product-forming elimination in step 3. Thus we favor the pathway shown in Figure 2 with step 2, hydrogen-bond formation between **I-2** and piperidine and/or deprotonation of the addition intermediate, rate controlling, whether accompanied by leaving group departure (E2) or not (E1cB-like) and in the latter case with step 3, loss of the

leaving group, fast compared with step –2. Figure 2 is a summary of this proposal. The activating effect of the cyano group can now be understood as strengthening the acidity of the NH proton in the addition complex, **I-1**, thereby favoring formation of the hydrogen-bonded complex preceding the proton-transfer event, step 2. These details and the mechanism of the elimination step(s) will be further considered below.

In summary we propose that as the second, basic piperidine reactant approaches **I-1** it undergoes hydrogen-bond formation with the δ -positive NH proton of the nucleophilic piperidine, that nitrogen becoming thereby more nucleophilic, thus bonding more strongly to electrophilic carbon-2. Proton transfer to the basic piperidine leads directly and irreversibly to a hydrogen-bonded complex between piperidinium cation and neutral intermediate **I-2**, thence to rapid loss of the leaving group and ultimate product formation. Thus, the mechanism is an example of catalysis by preassociation,²³⁻²⁵ and the greater reactivity of the cyano substrates is then associated with this set of events.

COMPUTATIONAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.

The Elimination Step(s). Computation provides useful information regarding the details of the elimination step(s). The calculated structures for **I-2** differ in significant ways as the leaving group is changed. For the 2-cyano, 4-cyano, and 2-fluoro versions of **I-2** the heterocyclic rings are puckered, the C-L bonds are slightly longer than normal length for sp³ carbon, especially the C-F bond, and, importantly, the N-C2 distances *within* the heterocycle are longer (1.460, 1.506, and 1.492 Å, respectively) than those in the original aromatic substrates (1.352, 1.340, and 1.329 Å, respectively). The latter lengths reflect the degree of aromaticity in the ring, and tell us that in these three cases **I-2** is an ordinary molecule, not aromatic, albeit with some "hyperaromatic"

Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

character, particularly for $L = F^{26}$ In contrast I-2 for the 2-chloro and 2-bromo versions show flat heterocyclic rings, very long C-L bonds (2.949 and 3.045 Å, respectively) and short N-C2 bonds within the heterocycle: 1.365 and 1.367 Å, respectively; compare with 1.342 and 1.343 Å for the substrates. In fact for L⁻ = chloride and bromide I-2 can be considered an ion-pair complex between product and L⁻, formed by departure of L⁻ from I-2, and not a stable covalent neutral. This assessment is supported by the large negative npa charges on the chlorine and bromine in I-2: -0.95 in both cases. Structures constrained to have shorter C-Cl and C-Br bonds were of higher energy than those with the long C-L bonds.

On the basis of these differences we propose that the elimination stage for the poorer leaving groups, CN and F, uses an E1cB-like mechanism (probably the E1cB irreversible variant) while for the chloro, bromo and iodo leaving groups the elimination mechanism is E2, enforced by the instability of **I-2** in those cases. We do not have experimental evidence regarding the exclusion of a reversible E1cB mechanism. A classic isotopic exchange experiment is not feasible for two reasons, either of which obviates the experiment. In the first place the N-H proton of piperidine exchanges essentially "immediately" with solvent methanol. Secondly, the pertinent intermediate, **I-2**, is too short-lived to be observed.²⁷

Transition states and their precursor hydrogen-bonded complexes were also found for the deprotonation of **I-1** by piperidine (see supporting information). The energies of the transition states and the H-bonded complexes are so similar as to suggest that the exothermic proton transfer event does not have a significant enthalpic barrier. Thus, we propose that the elimination stage of the overall reaction includes the critical free energy barrier (i.e., is in fact rate controlling), starting with hydrogen bond formation and continuing with a facile proton

transfer and leaving group departure, the latter either concurrent with proton transfer (L = Cl, Br, I) or subsequent to it (L = F, CN). The loss of the leaving group from **I-2** is expected to be rapid for F and CN, relative to reprotonation,⁴ supporting our suggestion that the elimination mechanism is probably the E1cB_{IRR} variant in those two cases. Figure 3 shows calculated structures for two of the proton-transfer transition states and the corresponding neutral deprotonation products, **I-2**. We see that in **I-2**, L = Br, that the C-Br distance is very large compared with that in the ts. This result suggests that proton transfer and loss of leaving group, though very likely concerted for the better leaving groups, are not closely coupled.

These results add an example to the study of the mechanistic borderline in β -elimination reactions, a topic of continuing interest and activity.^{28,29} It is easy to imagine that a leaving group, intermediate in nucleofugacity between fluoride and chloride, would provide a mechanistically ambiguous case with, perhaps, a metastable neutral intermediate (**I-2**) and an almost barrier-free departure of the leaving group.



Figure 3. (a) proton-transfer transition state for deprotonation of the 2-bromo hydrogen-bonded complex; (b) the transition state for the 2-fluoro complex; (c) the neutral deprotonaed adduct (I-2) for the 2-bromo reaction; (d) the neutral I-2 for the 2-fluoro reaction.

SUMMARY

The nucleophilic aromatic substitution reactions of 2-substituted *N*-methylpyridinium ions with piperidine in methanol do not proceed through rate controlling addition of the nucleophile, but by rate controlling deprotonation of the addition intermediate by a preassociation mechanism. Thus, the "element effect"^{10,11} commonly observed for activated S_NAr reactions in which

nucleophilic addition is rate controlling, is not observed. The reactivity order is 2-cyano \geq 4cyano > 2-fluoro \sim 2-chloro \sim 2-bromo \sim 2-iodo. The elimination of the leaving group, L⁻, is proposed to occur by a piperidine-catalyzed E1cB_{IRR}-like mechanism for L = F and CN, but by a concerted E2 mechanism for the better leaving groups, L = Cl, Br and I. The data suggest that the cyano group's ability to enhance the stability of the preassociation complex is a key driver in the higher substitution rates for these substrates.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2-Cyano-*N*-methylpyridinium iodide as well as the 4-cyano-, 2-fluoro-, 2-chloro-, and 2iodo iodides as well as 2-bromopyridinium bromide were prepared according to literature procedures.^{22, 30-32} The rate constants of the reactions were determined under pseudo-first order conditions (large excess of piperidine) by following the disappearance of substrate and appearance of products using NMR spectroscopy. The concentrations of the substrates and products were measured by the integration of signals of the aromatic protons.

COMPUTATIONAL METHODS

Structures were built and optimized at lower levels using the MacSpartan Plus software package,³³ then optimized at HF/6-31+G* then MP2/6-31+G* using the Gaussian 03 quantum mechanical programs.³⁴ Frequency and zero-point energy values were calculated at the HF/6-31+G* level, and the ZPVE values scaled as recommended by Scott and Radom.³⁵ All structures reported here represent electronic energy minima, and all structures identified as transition states (tss) have one imaginary frequency, that corresponding to the reaction coordinate for the reaction event. The optimized MP2 geometries for substrates and transition states were used to obtain

energies with the Polarizable Continuum Model (PCM), solvent = methanol (see Supporting Information).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This investigation was supported by the National Institutes of Health, Grant SC1 GM095419 and by a grant from the Center for Computing for Life Sciences at SFSU (WW). J.T.B. was supported by a Beckman Scholarship. We thank Dr. Robert Yen for obtaining the mass spectra. The Mass Spectrometry Facility was funded by National Science Foundation (CHE-1228656). The NMR facility was funded by the National Science Foundation (DUE-9451624 and DBI 0521342). SG acknowledges funding from the National Science Foundation (CHE-1300817).

SUPPORTING INFORMATION: Full reference 34; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data as well as mass Spectrometry (MS) data for characterization of *N*-methyl-2-piperidinopyridinium products; calculated electronic energies and zero point vibrational energies for substrates are given in Table S1; calculated electronic energies, zero point vibrational energies and imaginary frequencies for the transition states and products for the addition of piperidine to substrates are in Table S2; calculated enthalpies of isomerization of 2-substituted to 4-substituted substrates and addition transition states are in Table S3; calculated enthalpies of solvation for substrates and transition states for the addition of piperidine to 2- and 4-substituted *N*-methylpyridinium ions, Table S4; calculated C-L and C-Nu distances in the transition states for addition of piperidine to 2- and 4substituted *N*-methylpyridinium ions are in Table S5; calculated activation enthalpies for addition of piperidine to 2- and 4-substituted *N*-methylpyridinium ions, Table S4; substituted *N*-methylpyridinium ions are in Table S5; calculated activation enthalpies for addition of piperidine to 2- and 4-substituted *N*-methylpyridinium ions are in Table S6; calculated npa calculated energies and zero point vibrational energies for the hydrogen-bonded complexes formed by addition of piperidine to 2-substituted *N*-methylpyridinium ions and electronic energies for the subsequent proton transfer event, Table S8; enthalpies of association forming the H-bonded complexes between piperidine and the addition intermediate are in Table S9 along with activation enthalpies for proton transfer within this complex; enthalpies of association forming the H-bonded complexes from initial substrates and *two* piperidines are in Table S10, and Cartesian coordinates for computed structures in this study are in Table S11.

REFERENCES

- (1) Bunnett, J. F.; Zahler, R. E. Chem. Rev. 1951, 49, 273-412.
- (2) Bunnett, J. F. Quart. Rev. (London) 1958, 12, 1-16.
- (3) Miller, J. Aromatic Nucleophilic Substitution; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1968, p 94. See also Nicholls, B.; Whiting, M. C. J. Chem. Soc. 1959, 551; Brown, D. A. J. Chem. Soc. 1963, 4389; Brown, D. A.; Raju, J. R. J. Chem. Soc., A 1966, 40.
- (4) Bernasconi, C. F. Acc. Chem. Res. 1978, 11, 147-152.
- (5) Terrier, F. Nuclear Aromatic Displacement: The Influence of the Nitro Group; VCH: New York, 1991.
- (6) Terrier, F. Nucleophilic Aromatic Substitution; Wiley: Singapore, 2013.
- (7) Makosza, M. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 2855-2868.
- (8) Buncel, E.; Dust, J. M.; Terrier, F. Chem. Revs. 1995, 95, 2261-2280.
- (9) Walsh, K.; Sneddon, H.F.; Moody C. J. ChemSusChem. 2013, 6, 1455-1460.
- (10) Bunnett, J. F.; Garbisch, Jr., E. W.; Pruitt, K. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1957, 79, 385-391.
- (11) Bunnett, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1957, 79, 5969-5974.
- (12) Bunnett, J. F.; Merritt, W. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1957, 79, 5967.

- (13) Bartoli, G.; Todesco, P. E. Acc. Chem. Res. 1977, 10, 125.
- (14) Senger, N. A.; Bo, B.; Cheng, Q.; Keeffe, J. R.; Gronert, S.; Wu, W. J. Org. Chem.
 2012, 77, 9535-9540.
- (15) Huang, S.; Wong, F. M.; Gassner, G. T.; Wu, W. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2011, *52*, 3960-3962.
- (16) Tan, R. C.; Vien, J. Q. T.; Wu, W. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2012, 22, 1224-1225.

(17) The 4-halopyridinium substrates were not investigated due to the instability of 4-halopyridines: Roe, A.; Hawkins, G. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1947**, *69*, 2443-2444.

(18) Activation entropies in nucleophilic substitutions ($S_N 2$) have been shown to be sensitive to a variety of reaction conditions. See Bordwell, F. G.; Brannen, W. T.,Jr. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1964**, *86*, 4645-4650.

- (19) Thompson, A. D.; Huestis, M. P. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 762-769.
- (20) The bond dissociation energy of the C-H bond in acetonitrile is smaller than that in methane by ten kcal/mol. See Berkowitz, J.; Ellison, G. B.; Gutman, D. *J. Phys. Chem.*1994, 98, 2744-2764.
- (21) Pirnot, M. T.; Rankic, D. A.; Martin, D. B. C.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Science 2013, 339, 1593-1596.
- (22) Huang, S.; Wong, J. C. S.; Leung, A. K. C.; Chan, Y. M.; Wong, L.; Fernendez,
- M.R.; Miller, A. K.; Wu, W. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 5018-5020.
- (23) Jencks, W. P.; Salvesen, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 1419-1427.
- (24) Schowen, R. L.; Kershner, L. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 2014-2024.
- (25) Jencks, W. P. Acc. Chem. Res. 1976, 9, 425-432.

(26) Kudavalli, J. S.; Rao, S. N.; Bean, D. E.; Sharma, N. D.; Boyd, D. R.; Fowler, P.

W.; Gronert, S.; Kamerlin, S. C. L.; Keeffe, J. R.; More O'Ferrall, R. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 14056-14069.

(27) A reaction solution, less the substrate, shows no trace of the N-H proton at times less than ten minutes. This is the expected result: see Grunwald, E.; Eustace, D. *Proton Transfer Reactions*. Gold; V. and Caldin, E. F., Eds.; Chapman and Hall: London, 1975, ch. 4.

- (28) Kim, Y.; Mohrig, J. R.; Truhlar, D. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 11071-11082;
 Mohrig, J. R. Acc. Chem. Res. 2013, 46, 1407-1416.
- (29) Duarte, G.; Gronert, S.; Kamerlin, S. C. L. J. Org, Chem. 2013, 78, 1280-1288.
- (30) Huang, S.; Miller, A. K.; Wu, W. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 6584-6585.
- (31) Muscio, O. J., Jr.; Rutherford, D. R. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 5194-5198.
- (32) Ammon, H. L.; Erhardt, W. D. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 1914-1918.
- (33) Wavefunction, Inc., 18401 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 370, Irvine, CA 92612.
- (34) GAUSSIAN 03, Revision B.04, Frisch, M. J, et al., Gaussian, Inc., Pittsburgh PA,
- 2003. See Supporting Information for full reference.
- (35) Scott, A. P.; Radom, L. J. Phys. Chem. 1996, 100, 16502-16513.