Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/obc

Journal Name

COMMUNICATION

Copper catalyzed C-O bond formation via oxidative cross-coupling reaction of aldehydes and ethers

Quan Wang,^a Hao Zheng,^a Wen Chai,^a Dianyu Chen,^a Xiaojun Zeng,^a Renzhong Fu,*^a and Rongxin Yuan*^a

Received ooth January 2012, Accepted ooth January 2012

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/xoxxooooox

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

A practical and efficient construction of C-O bonds via oxidative cross-coupling reaction of aldehydes and ethers has been realized under open air. When 2 mol % copper was used as the catalyst, various α -acyloxy ethers were obtained with up to 93% isolated yield.

In the past decades, the direct activation and cleavage of C-H bonds has been a very intense research field in synthetic organic chemistry.¹ Traditional formation of C-C bonds usually needs the prefunctionalization of the reactants. By comparison, the direct activation of C-H bonds and subsequent coupling reaction avoids this laborious process and can be a more atom-economical and potent strategy.² Most of the researches reported have concentrated on the activation of C-H bond adjacent to an amine nitrogen atom.³ However, only relatively few studies involved the activation of C-H bond adjacent to an ethereal oxygen atom.⁴

 α -Acvloxy ethers are ubiquitous structural unit in many natural products and functional molecules, such as antimalarial drug artemisinin (a), heterocyclic cage compounds (b) and the ellagitannin natural product sanguiin H-5 (c) (Scheme 1).⁵ The C-O bonds in these important building blocks were usually produced by the methods of the esterification of a hemiacetal,⁶ the nucleophilic substitution of a carboxylic acid and an $\alpha\text{-halo}$ substituted ether, $^{7a,\,7b}$ or the addition of a carboxylic acid to an alkenyl ether.^{7c} Besides the traditional methods (Scheme 2a), very few effective synthesis method for it has be reported.⁸ Recently, wan and co-workers reported a Bu₄NI catalyzed oxidative esterification reaction between acids and ethers for the construction of various α -acyloxy ethers with Bu₄NI (20 mol %) as the catalyst (Scheme 2b).^{8a} Although metalfree catalysts have many advantages such as higher activity and excellent selectivity, the search for more effective and inexpensive catalyst remains a considerable challenging task.







Scheme 2 Different pathways for the synthesis of α-acyloxy ethers

As an inexpensive and easily obtainable catalyst, copper has been increasingly applied in many oxidative reactons and showed high catalytic activity.⁹ In 2004, Li and co-worker reported an efficient CuBr catalyzed CDC reaction of tertiary aliphatic amines and terminal alkynes for the formation of C-C bonds.^{3a} Recently, Nicholas and co-worker developed a Cu(OAc)₂ catalyzed amidation of 2-phenylpyridine for the formation of C–N bonds with molecular oxygen as the oxidant.¹⁰ However, there is no precedent of effective C-O bond formation from aldehydes and ethers catalyzed by metal catalyst has been reported to date. We herein present an

Journal Name

Page 2 of 5

efficient copper catalyzed C-O bond formation for the synthesis of α -acyloxy ethers (Scheme 2c). The reactions proceeded through double C-H activations of ethers and aldehydes, and subsequent cross-coupling reactions.

We began our investigation by the reaction of benzaldehyde (1 equiv) with 1,4-dioxane (20 equiv) catalyzed by Cu(OAc)₂.2H₂O (20 mol %) and used aqueous TBHP (4 equiv) as the oxidant. This approach gave the expected product (1a), but the yield was very poor (18%, entry 1, Table 1). At the same time a great deal of benzoic acid as the byproduct was also detected. When the 1,4-dioxane quantity was increased to 40 equiv, a complete disappearance of benzaldehyde and the byproduct benzoic acid was observed with an isolated 92% vield (entry 2). In addition we found that Cu(OAc)₂.H₂O was not completely dissolved in the reaction solvent, and perhaps, a smaller amount of catalyst worked with the same result. When we decreased catalyst amount to 2 mol %, the solution of reactants became transparently blue and up to 91% yield was obtained in the end (entry 3). When the experiments were carried out with either a copper catalyst or TBHP alone, no desired product could be detected (entry 12 and 13). Other divalent copper(II) salts such as CuBr₂, CuCl₂, CuSO₄, and Cu(ClO₄)₂ were also tried, but led to relatively low yields of (1a) (34-65%, entry 5-8). In particular, no desired product was obtained when copper(I) salts such as CuBr, CuCl was used as catalyst (entry 9 and 10). This result indicated that the use of Cu(II) salts seems to be critical for efficient transformation. When H₂O₂ was used in place of TBHP as the oxidant, no expected product was detected (entry 11). If the aqueous TBHP quantity was further decreased, the product yield declined dramatically. All the reactions were conducted under open air. Contrary to most traditional transition-metal-catalyzed reactions, the approach was unaffected by the presence of moisture.

 Table 1 Optimization of the reaction conditions^a

	$\begin{pmatrix} H \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} H \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} cat \\ cat \end{pmatrix}$	alyst, oxidant 80) , 24h	
Entry	Catalyst	Oxidant	Yield $(\%)^e$
1 ^b	Cu(OAc) ₂ .H ₂ O	TBHP	18
2^c	$Cu(OAc)_2.H_2O$	TBHP	92
3	$Cu(OAc)_2.H_2O$	TBHP	91
4	$Cu(OAc)_2$	TBHP	90
5	CuCl ₂	TBHP	36
6	CuBr ₂	TBHP	34
7	$CuSO_4$	TBHP	51
8	$Cu(ClO_4)_2$	TBHP	65
9	CuBr	TBHP	nd
10	CuCl	TBHP	nd
11	$Cu(OAc)_2.H_2O$	H_2O_2	nd
12	_	TBHP	nd
13	$\overline{Cu(OAc)_2}$.H ₂ O	_	nd
14^d	$Cu(OAc)_2.H_2O$	TBHP	90

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: benzaldehyde (1 mmol), 1,4-dioxane (40 mmol), catalyst (2 mol %), and TBHP (4 equiv, 70% aqueous solution) at 80°C for 24 h unless otherwise noted. ^{*b*} Catalyst (20 mol %), 1,4-dioxane (20 mmol). ^{*c*} Catalyst (20 mol %), 1,4-dioxane (40 mmol). ^{*d*} 100 mmol of benzaldehyde was used. ^{*e*} Yield of isolated product.

After screening different solvents and temperature we got the optimal reaction conditions (entry 3, Table 1). Then a variety of aldehydes and ethers were subjected to the optimal conditions to explore the applicability of this protocol. Gratifyingly, 1,4dioxane smoothly underwent reactions with most of aldehydes to give the corresponding α -acyloxy ethers in satisfactory yields 1a-15a, Scheme 3). Among them, 4-(63-93%, methylbenzaldehyde gave the best yield (93%, 1b, Scheme 3). The aldehydes with electron-donating substituents provided higher yields of the a-acyloxy ethers (2a, 8a, 9a and 10a, Scheme 3) than those with electron-withdrawing substituents (5a, 6a, 7a and 13a, Scheme 3). We suspected that electrondonating substituents in the aryl ring enhanced the stability of the radical intermediates and easily led to homolytic cleavage reaction than electron-withdrawing substituents. The sterically hindered effect of the substituents in benzaldehydes hardly affected the product yields. No matter what substitution positions, ortho-, meta-, and para-methoxy substituted benzaldehydes reacted with 1,4-dioxane to give the similar good results (8a, 9a and 10a, Scheme 3). Aliphatic aldehydes were also examined and moderate yields of 63-70% were obtained (15a, 16a and 17a, Scheme 3). In addition, this methodology was also applicable to the reactions of heteroarenes, such as furan and thiophene with 78-80% yields (11a, 12a, Scheme 3).

To further determine the scope of application, other specific ethers were also explored. Most ethers underwent coupling reactions easily to offer the desired products (**1b-10e**, Scheme 3) in 58-89% yields. However, tetrahydrofuran (**1c**, **2c**, **10c**, Scheme 3) showed slightly lower activity than other ethers, which may be attributed to the instability of five-membered ring radical intermediate. Notably, when acyclic ether, such as 1-butoxybutane(**d**) or 1-(2-chloroethoxy)-2-chloroethane(**e**) was used as a substrate, *ortho*-methoxybenzaldehyde showed quite negligible activity compared to *meta* or *para*-methoxybenzalde under the same reaction conditions. The phenomenon can be ascribed to the larger steric effect of *ortho*-methoxy group on benzaldehyde when acyclic ethers were used as coupling partners.







Scheme 3 Substrate scope for α -acyloxy ethers. Reaction conditions: aldehyde (1 mmol), ether (40 mmol), Cu(OAc)₂.H₂O (2 mol %), TBHP (4 equiv, 70% aqueous solution), 80°C, 24 h.

To further understand these coupling reactions, we carried out several elementary experiments to probe the reaction mechanism. The yield of (1a) declined sharply to 6% when 2,6di-*tert*-butyl-4-methylphenol (BHT) was introduced into the reaction solution (Scheme 4a). This result suggested that the reaction may proceed through a radical intermediate. Then benzoic acid instead of benzaldehyde was used directly as the coupling partner and the identical product (1a) was obtained in 92% yield (Scheme 4b).^{8a} No expected product was found when sodium benzoate was brought into the reaction system (Scheme 4c).



Scheme 4 Investigation into the reaction mechanism.

We suspected that the coupling reaction proceeded *via* an acyloxy radical intermediate, generated *in situ* from benzaldehyde or benzoic acid. We employed benzoyl peroxide which can offer acyloxy radicals to react with 1,4-dioxane. This reaction afforded the anticipated product (**1a**) in 33% yield (Scheme 5a).¹¹ Besides we found trace *tert*-butyl peroxy ester by LC-MS in the template reaction, which may been provided by the reaction of the acyl radical with the *tert*-butyl peroxy

radical (Scheme 5b). Moreover, deuterated 1,4-dioxane was employed to test the kinetic isotopic effect (KIE) of the experiment under the optimized conditions (Scheme 5c). A significant $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$ of 9.0 was detected, implying that the rate determining step of this conversion should be the cleavage of C-H bond adjacent to an ethereal oxygen atom.



Scheme 5 Investigation into the reaction mechanism.

In consideration of these control experiments, we proposed a plausible reaction pathway as shown in Scheme 6. Initially, both *tert*-butoxyl radical **A** and *tert*-butylperoxy radical **B** were generated in the catalytic cycle by the aid of copper (Scheme 6a).¹² Then **A** extracts H from the C-H bond adjacent to an oxygen atom to produce the intermediate **C** (Scheme 6b). On the aldehyde, **A** traps H to form the acyl radical **D**. Subsequently **D** bonds with **B** to afford the *tert*-butyl perester **E** (Scheme 6c). **E** decomposes to the acyloxy radical **F** and *tert*-butoxyl radical **A** (Scheme 6d). Finally the coupling of **F** and **C** affords the expected product (Scheme 6e). A similar radical pathway can be applied to explain the reaction of carboxylic acid with 1,4-dioxane (Scheme 4b). The acyloxy radical **F** was formed by the reaction of *tert*-butoxyl radical **A** and benzoic acid (Scheme 6f).



Scheme 6 Proposed reaction mechanism.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have disclosed a convenient and efficient construction of C-O bonds *via* oxidative cross-coupling reaction of aldehydes and ethers. When 2 mol % copper catalyst was used, the expected product α -acyloxy ether was obtained with up to 93% yield. Moreover, these reactions proceeded smoothly under open air and the presence of moisture did not affected the yields. This is very different from traditional transition-metal-catalyzed reactions. Ongoing investigations focus on the extension of substrate scopes and asymmetric versions of the reaction in our laboratory.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from National Nature Science Foundation of China (No. 21302013), Nature Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (No. BK2012207) and Nature Science Foundation of Jiangsu Educational Department (No. 12KJA150001 and 12KJB150001).

Notes and references

- For reviews on this topic, see: (a) S. S. Stahl, J. A. Labinger, J. E. Bercaw, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 1998, 37, 2180; (b) K. Godula, D. Sames, Science, 2006, 312, 67; (c) B. J. Li, S. D. Yang, Z. J. Shi, Synlett, 2008, 949; (d) H. M. L. Davies, J. R. Manning, Nature, 2008, 451, 417; (e) O. Daugulis, H. Q. Do, D. Shabashov, Acc. Chem. Res., 2009, 42, 1074; (f) X. Chen, K. M. Engle, D. H. Wang, J. Q. Yu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 5094; (g) L. Ackermann, R. Vicente, A. R. Kapdi, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 9792; (h) T. W. Lyons, M. S. Sanford, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 1147; (i) G. E. Dobereiner, R. H. Crabtree, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 681; (j) D. A. Colby, R. G. Bergman, J. A. Ellman, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 624; (k) I. A. I. Mkhalid, J. H. Barnard, T. B. Marder, J. M. Murphy, J. F. Hartwig, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 890.
- 2 For representative examples on C-C or C-X bond formation by using a CDC reaction, see: (a) Y. Zhang, C. J. Li, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 1949; (b) Y. Zhang, C. J. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 4242; (c) O. Basle, C. J. Li, Green Chem., 2007, 9, 1047; (d) Z. Li, L. Cao, C. J. Li, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 6505; (e) Z. Li, H. Li, X. Guo, L. Cao, R. Yu, H. Li, S. Pan, Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 803; (f) Z. Li, R. Yu, H. Li, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 7497; (g) L. Wang, H. Fu, Y. Jiang, Y. Zhao, Chem. Eur. J., 2008, 14, 10722; (h) Z. Wang, Y. Zhang, H. Fu, Y. Jiang, Y. Zhao, Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 1863; (i) C. J. Li, Acc. Chem. Res., 2009, 42, 335; (j) Z. Shi, C. Zhang, S. Li, D. Pan, S. Ding, Y. Cui, N. Jiao, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 4572; (k) Y. Z. Li, B. J. Li, X. Y. Lu, S. Lin, Z. J. Shi, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 3817; (1) O. Basle, C. J. Li, Chem. Commun., 2009, 4124; (m) W. J. Yoo, C. A. Correia, Y. Zhang, C. J. Li, Synlett, 2009, 138; (n) Y. Shen, M. Li, S. Wang, T. Zhan, Z. Tan, C. Guo, Chem. Commun., 2009, 953; (o) P. P. Pradhan, J. M. Bobbitt, W. F. Bailey, J. Org. Chem., 2009, 74, 9524; (p) F. Benfatti, M. G. Capdevila, L. Zoli, E. Benedetto, P. G. Cozzi, Chem. Commun., 2009, 5919; (q) H. Richter, O. G. Mancheno, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2010, 4460; (r) A. Pinter, A. Sud, D. Sureshkumar, M. Klussmann, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 5004; (s) C. J. Scheuermann, Chem. Asian J., 2010, 5, 436.
- 3 For representative oxidative coupling reactions of amines, see: (a) S. I. Murahashi, N. Komiya, H. Terai, T. Nakae, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003,

125, 15312; (b) Z. Li, C. J. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 11810;
(c) Z. Li, C. J. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 3672; (d) S. I. Murahashi, T. Nakae, H. Terai, N. Komiya, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 11005; (e) D. Sureshkumar, A. Sud, M. Klussmann, Synlett, 2009, 1558; (f) S. Singhal, S. L. Jain, B. Sain, Chem. Commun., 2009, 2371; (g) F. Yang, J. Li, J. Xie, Z. Z. Huang, Org. Lett., 2010, 12, 5214; (h) D. P. Hari, B. Konig, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 3852; (i) E. Boess, D. Sureshkumar, A. Sud, C. Wirtz, C. Fares, M. Klussmann, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 8106; (j) E. Boess, C. Schmitz, M. Klussmann, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 5317.

- For representative oxidative couplings of ethers, see: (a) Y. Zhang and C. J. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 4242; (b) C. A. Correia, C. J. Li, *Heterocycles*, 2010, **82**, 555; (c) M. Ghobrial, M. Schnurch, M. D. Mihovilovic, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, **76**, 8781; (d) S. J. Park, J. R. Price, M. H. Todd, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, **77**, 949; (e) D. J. Clausen, P. E. Floreancig, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, **77**, 6574; (f) X. G. Liu, B. Sun, Z. Y. Xie, X. J. Qin, L. Liu, H. X. Lou, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **78**, 3104; (g) Y. Cui, L. A. Villafane, D. J. Clausen, P. E. Floreancig, *Tetrahedron*, 2013, **69**, 7618.
- 5 (a) China cooperative research group on qinghaosu, Kexue Tongbao, 1977, 22, 142; (b) M. C. de La Torre, G. Domnguez, B. Rodrlguez, A. Perales, M. S. J. Simmonds, W. M. Blaney, Tetrahedron, 1994, 50, 13553; (c) H. J. Wu, S. H. Tsai, J. H. Chern, H. C. Lin, J. Org. Chem., 1997, 62, 6367; (d) R. K. Haynes, H. W. Chan, M. K. Cheung, W. L. Lam, M. K. Soo, H. W. Tsang, A. Voerste, I. D. Williams, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2002, 113; (e) X. Su, D. S. Surry, R. J. Spandl, D. R. Spring, Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 2593; (f) C. Singh, S. Chaudhary, S. K. Puri, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2008, 18, 1436; (g) L. Cirillo, E. Bedini, M. Parrilli, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2008, 5704; (h) H. Takamura, S. Kikuchi, Y. Nakamura, Y. Yamagami, T. Kishi, I. Kadota, Y. Yamamoto, Org. Lett., 2009, 11, 2531; (i) M. A. A. Orabi, S. Taniguchi, M. Yoshimura, T. Yoshida, K. Kishino, H. Sakagami, T. Hatano, J. Nat. Prod., 2010, 73, 870.
- 6 M. A. Huffman, J. H. Smitrovich, J. D. Rosen, G. N. Boice, C. Qu, T. D. Nelson, J. M. McNamara, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2005, **70**, 4409.
- 7 (a) C. D. Hurd, F. O. Green, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1941, **63**, 2201; (b) R.
 K. Summerbell, H. E. Lunk, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1958, **80**, 604; (c) B.
 B. Corson, H. E. Tiefenthal, W. J. Heintzelman, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1956, **21**, 371.
- 8 (a) L. Chen, E. Shi, Z. Liu, S. Chen, W. Wei, H. Li, K. Xu, X. Wan, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 4085; (b) Z. Liu, L. Zhao, X. Shang, Z. Cui, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, **14**, 3218; (c) S. Zhang, L. Guo, H. Wang, X. Duan, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2013, **11**, 4308; (d) S. Priyadarshini, P. J. Amal Joseph, M. Lakshmi Kantam, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 18283; (e) S. K. Rout, S. Guin, W. Ali, A. Gogoi, B. K. Patel, *Org. Lett.*, Article ASAP, DOI: 10.1021/ol5011906.
- 9 (a) S. F. Yip, H. Y. Cheung, Z. Zhou, F. Y. Kwong, Org. Lett., 2007,
 9, 3469; (b) H. Q. Do, O. Daugulis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 1128; (c) L. Zhao, C. J. Li, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 7075;
 (d) D. Monguchi, T. Fujiwara, H. Furukawa, A. Mori, Org. Lett., 2009, 11, 1607; (e) C. Zhang, C. Tang, N. Jiao, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 3464.
- 10 A. John, K. M. Nicholas, J. Org. Chem., 2011, 76, 4158.
- 11 W. E. Cass, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1947, 69, 500.
- 12 (a) T. K. M. Shing, Y. Yeung, P. L. Su, *Org. Lett.*, 2006, 8, 3149; (b)
 N. Turra, U. Neuenschwander, A. Baiker, J. Peeters, I. Hermans,

Chem. Eur. J., 2010, **16**, 13226; (c) E. Spier, U. Neuenschwander, I. Hermans, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 1581.

^a School of Chemistry and Materials Engineering, Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Advanced Functional Materials, Changshu Institute of Technology, 99 South 3rd Ring, Changshu, 215500, P. R. China. Email: renzhongfujs@126.com; yuanrx@cslg.edu.cn

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c000000x/