Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/obc

Journal Name

ARTICLE

Received 00th January 2012, Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Jan-Hendrik Lamm,^{*a*} Johanna Glatthor,^{*a*} Jan-Henrik Weddeling,^{*a*} Andreas Mix,^{*a*} Jasmin Chmiel,^{*a*} Beate Neumann,^{*a*} Hans-Georg Stammler^{*a*} and Norbert W. Mitzel*^{*a*}

A series of bis- and tris[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]anthracenes (1,5-, 1,8-, 9,10- and 1,8,10-) has been synthesised by multistep (cross coupling) reactions and the behaviour of the SiMe₃-functionalised alkynylanthracene derivatives towards UV irradiation was qualitatively studied by NMR spectroscopy. In case of 9,10-bis[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]anthracene we observed a photodimerisation upon UV irradiation; the third example reported for a symmetrically 9,10-difunctionalised anthracene derivative, besides those with small fluorine- and methyl-substituents. The anthracene dimerisation is completely thermally reversible and the temperature dependency of the cycloelimination reaction was studied using ¹H VT-NMR experiments. The (deprotected) 1,5- and 1,8-diethynylanthracenes were converted with (dimethylamino)trimethyl-stannane to gain the corresponding SnMe₃-functionalised alkynes, potentially useful as highly conjugated building blocks in Stille cross coupling reactions. The new anthracene compounds were completely characterised by multinuclear NMR spectroscopy, (high resolution) mass spectrometry and –in most cases– by X-ray diffraction experiments.

Introduction

Anthracenes and their substituted derivatives undergo cycloaddition reactions upon irradiation with UV light.¹ In case of functionalised anthracenes, like 1,8-substituted ones, a mixture of so-called *head to head-* (**A**) and *head to tail-* (**B**) photodimers is obtained. Owing to steric interactions of the substituents, the *head to tail* isomer is the preferred product in most cases.²



Scheme 1 Photodimerisation products of 1,8-substituted anthracene derivatives.

(Di-) Substituted anthracenes find various applications as photoswitchable units e.g. in supramolecular systems,³ as their photodimerisation reactions are mostly found to be similar to unsubstituted anthracene, i.e. [4+4] cycloaddition leading to the 9,10:9',10' photodimer.⁴ However, other types of (mono-) substituted anthracene dimerisation, called *non-classical photodimers*, have been observed, e.g. in the case of 9-(phenylethynyl)anthracene ([4+2] cycloaddition) or *trans*-1-(9-anthryl)-2-phenylethylene ([6+6] cycloaddition).^{1b,5} Due to steric repulsion of the substituents, the photodimerisation of 9,10-disubstituted anthracenes is scarcely explored. Nevertheless, some examples are known. Some unsymmetrically substituted anthracene derivatives have been found to undergo photodimerisation yielding [4+4] cycloaddition products, which are thermally labile in most cases.^{1b} Until now, symmetrically 9,10-disubstituted anthracenes are known to be unwilling to dimerise, except 9,10-difluoro-⁶ and 9,10-dimethylanthracene.⁷

Results and discussion

Syntheses and Characterisations of the Anthracene Derivatives

The bis[(trimethylsily])ethynyl]-functionalised anthracenes **3**, **4** and **10** were obtained by Kumada and Sonogashira-Hagihara cross coupling reactions using 1,5- (1) and 1,8-dichloroanthracene (2) as well as 9,10-dibromoanthracene (9), respectively (Scheme 2).^{8,9} After cleaving the SiMe₃ groups, the deprotected dialkynes **5** and **6** were converted with (dimethylamino)trimethylstannane and the SnMe₃-functionalised compounds **7** and **8** were obtained in quantitative yield. These terminally SnMe₃-functionalised dialkynyl anthracenes might be applicable as highly conjugated (photoswitchable) building blocks e.g. when two functionalities should be linked by Stille cross coupling reactions.¹⁰ All compounds were characterised by multinuclear NMR spectroscopy as well as (high resolution) mass spectrometry. Tables 1 and 2 provide the ¹H NMR spectroscopic shifts of the 1,5- and 1,8-substituted derivatives for comparison.



Scheme 2 Syntheses of the 1,5-, 1,8- and 9,10-dialkynylsubstituted anthracene derivatives. Reagents and conditions: i) 1. Me₃SiC=CMgBr (7 eq.), Ni(acac)₂, PPh₃, THF, reflux; 2. aq. workup, 82% (3), 92% (4); ii) K₂CO₃, MeOH, r.t., 93% (5), 82% (6), 25% (11); iii) Me₃SnNMe₂, THF, 60 °C, 4h, quant. (7 and 8); iv) 1. Me₃SiC=CH (2 eq.), CuI (10 mol%), PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ (5 mol%), (*i*-Pr)₂NH, reflux, 3d; 2. aq. workup, 38%.

Table 1 ¹H NMR shifts of the 1,5-dialkynylsubstituted compounds **3** (E = Si), **5** and **7** (E = Sn) in CDCl₃ (500 MHz, 298 K). For NMR spectroscopic assignments see Scheme 7.

	H9/H10	H4/H8	H2/H6	H3/H7	С≡С–Н	E(CH ₃) ₃
3	8.88	8.06	7.74	7.44	-	0.39
5	8.93	8.10	7.79	7.46	3.60	-
7	8.93	8.04	7.72	7.42	-	0.48

Table 2 ¹H NMR shifts of the 1,8-dialkynylsubstituted compounds 4 (E = Si), 6 and 8 (E = Sn) in CDCl₃ (500 MHz, 298 K). For NMR spectroscopic assignments see Scheme 7.

	H9	H10	H4/H5	H2/H7	H3/H6	С≡С–Н	$E(CH_3)_3$
4	9.32	8.42	7.98	7.79	7.42	-	0.39
6	9.44	8.45	8.03	7.80	7.45	3.62	-
8	9.43	8.40	8.95	7.76	7.40	-	0.46

We also tried to convert 9,10-diethynylanthracene (11) into the corresponding $SnMe_3$ substituted species. However, the brownish product was found to be insoluble in common organic solvents and could not be analysed so far.

The molecular structures in the crystalline state of compounds **3**, **4**, **5**, **7** and **8** are displayed in Figures 1, 2, 3 and 4 (the structure of **6** was previously published by us^{11}). They were determined by X-ray diffraction experiments of single crystals grown from solutions by slow evaporation of the solvent (see Experimental Section for details). Due to the fact that similar structural parameter values are observed for compounds **3** and

7, as well as for compounds 4 and 8, their molecular structures are described together.

The terminally SiMe₃- and SnMe₃-substituted 1,5-diethynylanthracenes 3 and 7 exhibit an inversion centre in the middle of the central anthracene ring. The aromatic systems are planar with C–C distances ranging from 1.367(2) Å [C(5)-C(6), 3]and 1.364(3) Å [C(5)–C(6), 7] to 1.447(1) Å [C(1)–C(2), 3] and 1.452(3) Å [C(1)–C(2), 7], respectively. The alkynyl substituents are nearly in the same plane as the anthracene backbone; this is manifest from the surrounding angles of C(1), which are close to 120° [maximum deviations are 0.9° (3) and 1.7° (7)]. The angles C(1)–C(8)–C(9) $[175.5(2)^{\circ}$ (3) and $175.2(2)^{\circ}$ (7)] and C(8)–C(9)–E(1) [171.9(2)° (3) and 166.2(2)° (7)] show the (trimethylelement)ethynyl groups to be slightly bent out of linearity and they are found to be not in-plane with the anthracene units as is indicated in the dihedral angles C(6)-C(7)-C(1)-C(8) at $175.3(1)^{\circ}$ (3) and $177.0(2)^{\circ}$ (7), respectively. The silicon and tin atoms are tetrahedrally coordinated with E(1)- C_{Me} distances in the expected range.¹² The C=C bond lengths of compounds **3** [1.209(2) Å] and **7** [1.210(3) Å] are identical within experimental error and with the standard triple bond length of 1.20 Å.¹²



Fig. 1 Molecular structures of compounds **3** (above) and **7** (below) in crystalline state. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability level, hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths and angles are listed in Table 3.

	3 (E = Si)	7 (E = Sn)
C(1)–C(2)	1.447(1)	1.452(3)
C(1)–C(7)	1.376(1)	1.380(3)
C(1)–C(8)	1.437(1)	1.434(3)
C(2)–C(3)	1.397(1)	1.393(3)
C(2)–C(4)	1.436(1)	1.433(3)
C(8)–C(9)	1.209(2)	1.210(3)
C(9)–E(1)	1.844(1)	2.113(2)
C(10)–E(1)	1.866(1)	2.131(2)
C(1)–C(2)–C(3)	122.4(1)	122.4(2)
C(1)-C(2)-C(4)	118.5(1)	118.6(2)
C(1)-C(8)-C(9)	175.5(1)	175.2(2)
C(2)-C(1)-C(7)	120.0(1)	119.7(2)
C(2)-C(1)-C(8)	119.1(1)	118.6(2)
C(8)–C(9)–E(1)	171.9(1)	166.2(2)

The molecular structure of the deprotected 1,5-diethynylanthracene (5) depicted in Figure 2 exhibits no unexpected bond lengths and angles. Carbon atoms C(1) and C(8) are trigonalplanar coordinated with the three surrounding angles being close to 120°. The alkynyl substituents are found to be in-plane with the planar anthracene skeleton. With "aromatic" C–C distances between 1.367(1) Å [C(5)–C(6)] and 1.436(1) Å [C(4)– C(9)], the structural parameters are well comparable with those of 1,8-diethynylanthracene (6).¹¹



Fig. 2 Molecular structure of compound **5** in crystalline state. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability level, hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: C(1)-C(2) 1.445(1), C(1)-C(14) 1.378(1), C(1)-C(15) 1.435(1), C(2)-C(3) 1.396(1), C(2)-C(11) 1.436(1), C(15)-C(16) 1.195(1); C(1)-C(2)-C(3) 122.3(1), C(1)-C(2)-C(11) 118.4(1), C(1)-C(15)-C(16) 178.5(1), C(2)-C(1)-C(14) 120.2(1), C(2)-C(1)-C(15) 119.5(1).

The SiMe₃-substituted 1,8-dialkynylanthracene **4** is depicted in Figure 3 and important structural parameters of both molecules are listed in Table 4.

After recrystallisation from *n*-hexane, the molecular structure of the SnMe₃ derivative **8** was also determined by X-ray diffracttion experiments (Figure 4). In contrast to the corresponding 1,5-substituted compound 7, four molecules of 1,8-bis[(trimethylstannyl)ethynyl]anthracene (**8**) and one *n*-hexane molecule are found in the asymmetric unit. Selected value ranges of the corresponding bond lengths and angles of **4** and **8** are listed in Table 4 for comparison. A few remarkably different values were measured demonstrating the variability of structural parameters of independent molecules for the same compound, a fact, that has also been observed in the X-ray diffraction results of 1,8-diethynylanthracene (6).¹¹ Nevertheless, the bond lengths and angles determined for compounds 4 and 8 are in the expected ranges and the values are comparable to those of the corresponding 1,5-substituted derivatives 3 and 7 described above in more details. Exact values for certain molecules are given in the captures of Figures 3 and 4.

Table 4 Selected value ranges (minimum and maximum) of bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] of compounds 4 and 8.

	4 (E	= Si)	8 (E	= Sn)	
	min.	max.	min.	max.	
$C_{Ar} - C \equiv$	1.437(2)	1.440(2)	1.427(3)	1.438(3)	
-C≡C-	1.205(2)	1.209(2)	1.200(3)	1.210(3)	
≡С–Е	1.842(1)	1.847(1)	2.105(2)	2.123(2)	
Е-Сме	1.849(2)	1.864(2)	2.126(2)	2.137(2)	
$C_{Ar} - C_{Ar}$	1.356(2)	1.443(2)	1.355(3)	1.449(2)	
$C_{Ar} - C_{Ar} - C_{Ar}$	117.9(1)	122.6(1)	118.0(2)	122.4(2)	
$C_{Ar} - C_{Ar} - C \equiv$	118.9(1)	121.1(1)	119.2(2)	121.5(2)	
$C_{Ar} - C \equiv C$	174.4(1)	178.5(1)	174.9(2)	179.6(2)	
С≡С−Е	173.9(1)	177.1(1)	170.2(2)	176.3(2)	
$\equiv C - E - C_{Me}$	106.3(1)	109.4(1)	103.1(1)	109.0(1)	
Сме-Е-Сме	109.5(2)	112.1(1)	108.9(1)	117.7(1)	



Fig. 3 Molecular structure of compound **4** in crystalline state. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted and only one molecule is shown for clarity. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: C(1)–C(2) 1.443(2), C(1)–C(14) 1.377(2), C(1)–C(15) 1.440(2), C(2)–C(3) 1.396(2), C(2)–C(11) 1.438(2), C(5)–C(20) 1.437(2), C(6)–C(7) 1.416(2), C(16)–Si(1) 1.842(1), C(17)–Si(1) 1.864(2); C(1)–C(2)–C(3) 122.6(1), C(1)–C(2)–C(11) 118.3(1), C(1)–C(15)–C(16) 176.0(1), C(2)–C(1)–C(15) 120.5(1), C(5)–C(20)–C(21) 178.5(1), C(15)–C(16)–Si(1) 173.9(1), C(20)–C(21)–Si(2) 177.1(1).

Journal Name



Fig. 4 Molecular structure of compound 8 in crystalline state. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted and only one molecule is shown for clarity. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: C(1)–C(2) 1.448(2), C(1)–C(14) 1.381(2), C(1)–C(15) 1.431(3), C(2)–C(3) 1.400(2), C(2)–C(11) 1.430(2), C(5)–C(20) 1.433(3), C(6)–C(7) 1.415(3), C(16)–Sn(1) 2.114(2), C(17)–Sn(1) 2.127(2); C(1)–C(2)–C(3) 122.1(2), C(1)–C(2)–C(11) 118.6(2), C(1)–C(15)–C(16) 177.5(2), C(2)–C(1) 171.5(2), C(2)–C(21)–Sn(2) 174.7(2).

Starting from 10-bromo-1,8-dichloroanthracene (12),¹³ the tris-[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]-substituted species 14 was synthesised in a two-step reaction *via* 1,8-dichloro-10-[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]anthracene (13), as displayed in Scheme 3. 13 can also be prepared (in lower yields) by conversion of 1,8-dichloroanthracene-10-(9H)-one with [(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]magnesium bromide in THF.¹⁴ Deprotection of the alkynyl units of 14 led to the formation of 15 which was converted with (dimethylamino)trimethylstannane to afford 1,8,10-tris[(trimethylstannyl)ethynyl]anthracene (16).



Scheme 3 Syntheses of the 1,8,10-trialkynylsubstituted anthracene derivatives. Reagents and conditions: i) 1. Me₃SiC=CH (2 eq.), CuI (10 mol%), PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ (5 mol%), (*i*-Pr)₂NH, reflux, 3d; 2. aq. workup, 85%; ii) 1. Me₃SiC=CMgBr (10 eq.), Ni(acac)₂, PPh₃, THF, reflux; 2. aq. workup, 83%; iii) K₂CO₃, MeOH, r.t., 86%; iv) Me₃SnNMe₂, THF, 60 °C, 4h.

However, compared to the 1,5- and 1,8-disubstituted compounds 7 and 8, the obtained product was found to be unstable towards air and moisture. Anyway, we found some evidence for the successful synthesis of the desired threefold $SnMe_3$ -substituted compound 16 stemming from ¹H NMR data of the raw product. As expected for 1,8,10-trisubstituted anthracenes, the ¹H NMR spectrum recorded at ambient temperature (298 K) in CDCl₃ shows one downfield-shifted singlet at δ = 9.44 ppm (H9), two doublets at 8.58 ppm (H4/H5) and 7.78 ppm (H2/H7), as well as one doublet of doublets at 7.50 ppm (H3/H6). Two singlets at 0.49 ppm and 0.46 ppm (integral ratio 9:18) show typical ¹¹⁷Sn- and ¹¹⁹Sn-satellites, indicating the availability of two different trimethylstannyl groups.

Photodimerisation Reactions

To investigate the influence of the (trimethylsilyl)ethynyl substituent positions on the svn-anti ratio of the anthracene dimerisation, the corresponding compounds were irradiated with UV light (365 nm) at ambient temperature in CDCl₃. For an exploratory qualitative assessment of the kinetics of these reactions simple NMR spectroscopic investigations were performed and one example was explored in more detail (see below). For this purpose, small amounts of compounds 3, 4, 10, 13 and 14 were dissolved in CDCl₃ and irradiated in an NMR tube for several hours, so that the reaction progress could be easily monitored by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. UV irradiation of the 1,5-(3), 1,8- (4) led to a complete conversion into the corresponding [4+4] cycloaddition products 17 and 18, respectively. In the case of **3** only one photodimerised species was observed and ¹H NMR spectroscopically identified as the head to tail-isomer, whereas a 49:51-mixture of the head to head (svn) and head to tail (anti) photodimers was obtained, when a CDCl₃ solution of 1,8-bis[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]anthracene (4) was irradiated with UV light (Scheme 4). This is in accordance with the literature for the photodimerisation of 1,8-dichloroanthracene (2) in various solvents.² We did not observe the formation of a syn-anti mixture of photodimers, when the corresponding 1,5substituted species 3 was irradiated, although a syn-anti mixture was reported for 1,5-dichloroanthracene (1).²



Scheme 4 Photodimerisation of the monomers 3 and 4 to the dimeric species 17 and 18 by UV irradiation in CDCl₃.

As expected, UV irradiation of the 1,8,10-substituted compound 14 led to a complete conversion into the corresponding *anti*-[4+4] cycloaddition products 20 (Scheme 5). An increased repulsive interaction of the bulky (trimethylsilyl)ethynyl substituents might be the reason for the selective *anti*-dimerisation reaction. In contrast to that, a *syn-anti* mixture (32:68) of 19 was obtained when a solution of 1,8-dichloro-10-[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]anthracene (13) was irradiated under the same conditions indicating a weaker interaction of the substituents in positions 1, 8 and 10 of the *syn*-**19** isomer.



Scheme 5 Photodimerisation of the monomers 13 and 14 to the dimeric species 19 and 20 by UV irradiation in CDCl₃.

In the case of the photodimerisation of 1,8-bis[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]anthracene (4), as well as 1,8-dichloro-10-[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]anthracene (13), we determined the molecular structures in crystalline state of the corresponding *head-to-tail* isomers *anti*-18 (Figure 5) and *anti*-19 (Figure 6), respectively.



Fig. 5 Molecular structure of the *anti*-photo dimer of 1,8-bis[(trimethyl-silyl)ethynyl]anthracene (*anti*-18). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability level, hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: C(1)–C(2) 1.398(2), C(1)–C(14) 1.406(2), C(1)–C(15) 1.445(2), C(2)–C(3) 1.517(2), C(2)–C(11) 1.407(2), C(3)–C(4) 1.519(2), C(3)–C(10') 1.623(2), C(5)–C(20) 1.443(3), C(15)–C(16) 1.207(2), C(16)–Si(1) 1.845(2), C(20)–C(21) 1.208(2), C(21)–Si(2) 1.842(2); C(1)–C(2)–C(3) 122.4(1), C(1)–C(2)–C(11) 119.6(1), C(1)–C(15)–C(16) 179.0(1), C(2)–C(10') 112.2(1), C(4)–C(5)–C(20) 119.8(1), C(5)–C(20)–C(21) 173.4(1), C(15)–C(16)–Si(1) 175.4(1), C(20)–C(21)–Si(2) 173.9(1).

Due to the [4+4] cycloaddition reaction, anti-18 contains four isolated aromatic rings and an aliphatic tricyclic system. The aromatic rings are planar and the dihedral angle between their mean planes is 137.6(1)°. The phenyl C-C bond lengths and angles are ranging from 1.390(2) Å [C(6)–C(7)] to 1.407(2) Å [C(2)-C(11)] and from $119.2(2)^{\circ}$ [C(5)-C(4)-C(9)] to $120.6(2)^{\circ}$ [C(2)–C(11)–C(12)], respectively. As expected for sp^{3} carbon atoms, the angles around C(3) and C(10) are close to tetrahedral geometry $\{107.9(2)^{\circ} [C(9)-C(10)-C(11)]$ to 112.2(2)° [C(2)–C(3)–C(10')]}. The C(sp³)–C_{Ph} distances are between 1.512(2) Å [C(10)-C(11)] and 1.519(2) Å [C(3)-C(4)], which is slightly longer than a standard $C(sp^3)-C(sp^2)$ bond (1.50 Å¹²). The C(3)–C(10') [1.623(2) Å] bond is found to be remarkably longer than a standard $C(sp^3)-C(sp^3)$ bond (1.54) $Å^{1}$). A repulsive interaction between the TMS-substituted alkynyl units results in an angle between the C(1)-Si(1)- and C(5)-Si(2)-vectors [15.5(1)°]. Like in case of 4 and 8, one of the alkynyl substituents is nearly in plane with the aryl ring it is bonded to [the C(1)-bonded in anti-18], whereas the second alkynyl unit is slightly bended, as denoted by the torsion angles C(5)-C(20)-C(21) $[173.4(2)^{\circ}]$ and C(20)-C(21)-Si(1)[173.9(2)°].

Like in case of *anti*-18, the photodimer of the 10-(trimethyl-silyl)ethynyl-substituted 1,8-dichloroanthracene (*anti*-19) crystallises in the triclinic space group $P\overline{1}$ with one centrosymmetric molecule in the unit cell.



Fig. 6 Molecular structure of the *anti*-photo dimer of 1,8-bis[(trimethyl-silyl)ethynyl]anthracene (*anti*-**19**). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability level, hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: C(1)-C(2) 1.391(3), C(1)-C(14) 1.391(3), C(1)-C(11) 1.746(2), C(2)-C(3) 1.512(2), C(2)-C(11) 1.398(3), C(3)-C(4) 1.512(3), C(3)-C(10') 1.643(2), C(10)-C(15) 1.471(3), C(15)-C(16) 1.209(3), C(16)-Si(1) 1.840(2), C(18)-Si(1) 1.864(2); C(1)-C(2)-C(3) 123.1(2), C(1)-C(2)-C(1) 117.9(2), C(2)-C(3)-C(10') 112.2(1), C(10)-C(15)-C(16) 176.7(2), C(11)-C(10)-C(15) 112.3(2), C(15)-C(16)-Si(1) 171.2(2).

The former anthracene units are linked by their central rings, whereby the C(3)–C(10')/C(10)–C(3') bonds measure 1.634(2) Å, slightly longer than the corresponding ones in *anti*-**18** [1.623(2) Å] and remarkably longer than the standard C–C bond (1.54 Å¹²). The quaternary carbon atom C(10) adopts a somewhat distorted tetrahedral coordination geometry, as is in-

dicated by its surrounding angles ranging from $106.5(2)^{\circ}$ [C(15)–C(10)–C(3')] to $112.3(2)^{\circ}$ [C(15)–C(10)–C(11)]. As is indicated by the angles C(10)–C(15)–C(16) [176.7(2)^{\circ}] and C(15)–C(16)–Si(1) [171.2(2)^{\circ}], the alkynyl substituents deviate little from linearity. The chlorine atoms are found to be in-plane with the aromatic rings they are bonded to. The dihedral angle between these aromatic mean planes is $135.5(1)^{\circ}$, slightly smaller than the corresponding one in compound *anti*-18 [137.6(1)^{\circ}].

In all cases mentioned above, a quantitative conversion into the photodimers **17**, **18**, **19** and **20** was observed and they are found to be thermally stable at ambient temperature for several weeks. A completely different behaviour was observed, when 9,10-bis-[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]anthracene (**10**) was irradiated under the same conditions. Although a [4+4] cycloaddition reaction occurs (indicated in a new set of resonances in the ¹H NMR spectra), a quantitative conversion of **10** into its photodimer **21** could not be achieved (Scheme 6). Solely a maximum of 25% dimer **21** was formed after the sample was irradiated with UV light for ca. 4 h at ambient temperature. Anyhow, a proceeding irradiation (up to 5 hours) did not lead to an increase of the dimer-to-monomer ratio under the given circumstances.



Scheme 6 Photodimerisation of 9,10-bis[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]anthracene (10) to the thermally (r.t.) unstable compound 21 by UV irradiation in CDCl₃.

Interestingly, we found that dimer compound 21 is much more thermally labile, compared to the other (trimethylsilyl)ethynylsubstituted photodimers 17, 18, 19 and 20, which were synthesised in this work. We investigated the kinetics of this cycloelimination reaction by a series of ¹H NMR experiments. Therefore, a NMR sample of 9,10-bis[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]anthracene (10) in CDCl₃ was irradiated with UV light for at least 3.5 hours at ambient temperature (298 K), only interrupted for ca. 3 minutes by recording proton NMR spectra. After irradiation, the sample was left in the magnet at that temperature and the decay of the dimer concentration was monitored by recording ¹H NMR spectra. As shown in Figure 7, a complete cycloelimination reaction of 21 into the corresponding monomer 10 was observed after ca. 6.5 hours, when the sample was exposed to ambient temperature without further UV irradiation.



Fig. 7 Content of the photodimer 21 [%] vs. (irradiation-) time [min] at 298 K.

In order to monitor the influence of the temperature on the monomerisation $(21 \rightarrow 10)$ we performed kinetic NMR experiments at 298 K, 303 K and 313 K. As can be concluded from Figure 8, a faster decay of the dimer concentration can be observed at higher temperatures.



Fig. 8 Content of the photodimer **21** [%] vs. time after stopping the UV irradiation [min] at 298 K, 303 K and 313 K.

Starting with a photodimer-to-monomer ratio of 19.5%, a complete conversion into the monomer **10** was observed after more than 6 hours at 298 K. However, the reaction rate is remarkably increased, when the sample is held at 303 K (ca. 2.5 hours) or 313 K (ca. 1.5 hours), respectively (Figure 8). From the data shown in Figure 8 we could calculate an estimate for the barrier of activation E_A of 94 kJ mol⁻¹. These results suggest, that 9,10-dialkynylanthracene derivatives might be applicable as molecular thermo-reversible photo switching units.

Due to this interesting behaviour in solution we further assumed to dimerise 9,10-bis[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]anthracene (10) in the solid state, according to experiments with e.g. di-

Journal Name

arylethene derivatives performed by Irie and coworkers.¹⁵ For this purpose, we fixed a single crystal of **10** on a glass fibre onto the goniometer of the diffractometer. After collecting the monomer data, we used a blue-violet laser (402 nm) to irradiate the crystal (the ability of this light source for dimerisation reactions was tested by irradiating a NMR sample of **10** in CDCl₃). However, no photoreaction was observed by X-ray diffraction investigations, also not when the irradiation experiments were repeated several times between 243 K and 363 K. This might be due to the fact that the 9,10-disubstituted anthracenes **10** are perpendicularly orientated to each other and no π - π interaction are found in its molecular structure in the solid state.¹⁶

Conclusions

Journal Name

Anthracenes with (trimethylsilyl)ethynyl substituents in 1,5-(3), 1,8-(4) and 9,10-positions (10), as well as 1,8-dichloro-10-[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]-(13) and 1,8,10-tris[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]anthracene (14) were synthesised by cross coupling reactions. In addition, 1,5-(7) and 1,8-bis[(trimethylstannyl)ethynyl]anthracene (8) have been quantitatively synthesised by conversion of the corresponding ethynyl-substituted anthracene derivatives with (dimethylamino)trimethylstannane. The SnMe₃-substituted dialkynes might be useful substrates in Stille type cross coupling reactions.

CDCl₃ solutions of the highly conjugated SiMe₃-substituted systems were irradiated with UV light whereby [4+4] cycloaddition reactions were observed. The anti-isomers were selectively and quantitatively formed in case of 3 and 14. Irradiation of the 1,8- and 1,8,10-substituted species 4 and 13 gave synanti mixtures [49:51 (4); 32:68 (13)] of the photodimerised products. Interestingly, 9,10-bis[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]anthracene (10) was found to dimerise to afford 21, although symmetrically 9.10-substituted anthracenes with substituents, except fluorine atoms or methyl groups, are known for 28 years to be stable towards UV irradiation.^{1b,6} The influence of the temperature upon monomerisation (cycloelimination) was qualitatively investigated by NMR experiments. Due to the fact that ambient temperature is sufficient for the thermally induced reaction of the photodimer 21, 9,10-dialkynylanthracenes might be interesting objects of study e.g. for detailed kinetic investigations.

Experimental

General. 1,5- $(1)^{17}$ and 1,8-dichloroanthracene (2),¹⁸ as well as 1,8-bis[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]anthracene (4),⁸ 1,8-diethynylanthracene (6),⁸ 9,10-bis[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]anthracene $(10)^9$ and 10-bromo-1,8-dichloroanthracene $(12)^{13}$ were synthesised according to literature protocols. All reactions using organometallic reagents were carried out under an anhydrous, inert atmosphere of nitrogen using standard Schlenk techniques in dry THF (dried over potassium) or n-hexane (dried over LiAlH₄). The solvents were freshly distilled before being used for the reactions. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel 60 (0.04 - 0.063 mm mesh). NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance III 300, a Bruker DRX 500, a Bruker Avance III 500 and a Bruker Avance 600 instrument at room temperature (298 K). The chemical shifts (δ) were measured in ppm with respect to the solvent (CDCl₃: ¹H NMR δ = 7.26 ppm, ¹³C NMR δ = 77.16 ppm) or referenced externally (²⁹Si: SiMe₄, ¹¹⁹Sn: SnMe₄). EI mass spectra were recorded using an Autospec X magnetic sector mass spectrometer with EBE

geometry (Vacuum Generators, Manchester, UK) equipped with a standard EI source. Samples were introduced by a push rod in aluminium crucibles. Ions were accelerated by 8 kV. The numbering scheme for NMR assignments (Scheme 7) is based on IUPAC guidelines.



Scheme 7 Numbering scheme exemplarily shown for a 1,8-substituted anthracene derivative and its corresponding *anti*-photodimer.

1,8-Dichloro-10-[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]anthracene (13). 10-Bromo-1,8-dichloroanthracene (200 mg, 0.61 mmol) and (trimethylsilyl)acetylene (0.7 mL, 1.22 mmol) were dissolved in diisopropylamine (40 mL). The solution was degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles and CuI (12 mg, 10 mol%) and PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ (22 mg, 5 mol%) were added. After heating to reflux for 3 d, the dark brownish mixture was filtered, washed with *n*-pentane and added to water (50 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with *n*-pentane (3 × 25 mL) and the combined organic phases were washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was evaporated and the crude yellow brownish solid was purified by column chromatography ($\emptyset = 3$ cm, l = 20 cm, eluent: *n*-pentane). Yield: 147 mg (71%). For analytical data see ref. 14 (different synthetic protocol).

9,10-Bis[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]anthracene (10). Synthesis according to ref. 9; for ¹H and ¹³C NMR data see ref. 9. ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (99 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -17.17$ ppm. MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z [assignment] = 370 [M]⁺, 355 [M–CH₃]⁺, 297 [M–Si(CH₃)₃]. HRMS: calculated for C₂₄H₂₆Si₂⁺: 370.15676; measured: 370.15800.

General Procedure for Kumada Coupling Reactions. Trimethylsilyl acetylene (Me₃SiC=CH, ca. 7 eq.) was added dropwise to a freshly prepared solution of ethylmagnesium bromide in THF at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h and gas evolution was observed. The [(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]magnesium bromide suspension was transferred into a dropping funnel, fitted with glass wool for simultaneous filtering and slowly added to a solution of the dichloroanthracene derivative, Ni(acac)₂ and PPh₃ in THF at room temperature, whereby the colour of the solution changed from yellow to dark red. The mixture was heated to reflux and then quenched with a saturated aqueous solution of NH₄Cl. The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane for several times. The combined organic phases were washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was evaporated and the crude yellow brownish solid was purified by column chromatography using different eluents (see below).

1,5-Bis[(trimethylsily])ethynyl]anthracene (3). Synthesis according to the general procedure for Kumada coupling reactions using 1,5-dichloroanthracene (1.60 g, 6.47 mmol), PPh₃ and Ni(acac)₂ (one spatula tip of each compound), reflux for 113 h. Column chromatography ($\emptyset = 3 \text{ cm}$, l = 25 cm, eluent: *n*-pentane/dichloromethane 8:1) afforded **3** as bright yellow crystals. Yield: 1.96 g (82%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 8.88$ (s, 2H, *H9/H*10), 8.06 (d, ³J_{H,H} = 8.5 Hz, 2H, *H4/H8*), 7.74 (d,

 ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 6.8 \text{ Hz}, 2H, H2/H6$), 7.44 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 7.0, 8.5 \text{ Hz}, 2H, H3/H7$), 0.39 [s, 18H, Si(CH₃)₃] ppm. ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ } NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 131.65, 131.45, 131.20$ (C2/C6), 130.01 (C4/C8), 125.94 (C9/C10), 125.12 (C3/C7), 120.87, 103.27 (C=C-Si), 100.34 (C=C-Si), 0.30 [Si(CH₃)₃] ppm. ${}^{29}Si{}^{1}H$ } NMR (99 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -17.36$ ppm. MS (EI, 70 eV): *m/z* [assignment] = 370 [M]⁺, 355 [M-CH₃]⁺. HRMS: calculated for C₂₄H₂₆Si₂⁺: 370.15676; measured: 370.15590.

1,8-Bis[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]anthracene (4). Synthesis according to ref. 8. Complete analytical data: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 9.32 (s, 1H, H9), 8.42 (s, 1H, H10), 7.98 (d, ³J_{H,H} = 8.5 Hz, 2H, H4/H5), 7.79 (d, ³J_{H,H} = 6.9 Hz, 2H, H2/H7), 7.42 (dd, ³J_{H,H} = 7.0, 9.0 Hz, 2H, H3/H6), 0.39 [s, 18H, Si(CH₃)₃] ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 132.48 (C2/C6), 131.50, 131.37, 129.40 (C4/C5), 127.80 (C10), 125.09 (C3/C6), 124.02 (C9), 121.43, 103.67 (C=C-Si), 99.94 (C=C-Si), 0.56 [Si(CH₃)₃] ppm. ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (99 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = -17.47 ppm. MS (EI, 70 eV): *m/z* [assignment] = 370 [M]⁺, 355 [M-CH₃]⁺, 267 [M-Si(CH₃)₃-2 CH₃]. HRMS: calculated for C₂₄H₂₆Si₂⁺: 370.15676; measured: 370.15651.

1,8,10-Tris[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]anthracene (14). Synthesis according to the general procedure for Kumada coupling reactions using 1,8-dichloro-10-[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]anthracene (210 mg, 0.62 mmol), PPh₃ and Ni(acac)₂ (one spatula tip of each compound), reflux for 5 d. Column chromatography ($\emptyset = 3$ cm, l = 25 cm, eluent: n-pentane) afforded 14 as bright yellow crystals. Yield: 217 mg (83%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 9.35$ (s, 1H, H9), 8.55 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 8.7$ Hz, 2H, *H*4/*H*5), 7.81 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 6.9$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 0.7$ Hz, 2H, *H*2/*H*7), 7.53 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 7.0$, 8.7 Hz, 2H, *H*3/*H*6), 0.41 [s, 9H, Si(CH₃)₃], 0.38 {s, 18H, [Si(CH₃)₃]₂} ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 132.82$ (C2/C7), 132.70, 130.80, 128.01 (C4/C5), 126.34 (C3/C6), 125.40 (C9), 121.95, 118.69, 107.37 [C=C-Si(CH₃)₃], 103.47 {[$C \equiv C - Si(CH_3)_3$]₂}, 101.28 [$C \equiv C - Si(CH_3)_3$], 100.52 { $[C=C-Si(CH_3)_3]_2$ }, 0.52 $[Si(CH_3)_3]$, 0.34 { $[Si(CH_3)_3]_2$ } ppm. ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (99 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -17.18 [Si(CH_3)_3]$, $-17.35\{[Si(CH_3)_3]_2\}$ ppm. MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z [assignment] = 466 $[M]^+$, 451 $[M-CH_3]^+$, 394 $[M-Si(CH_3)_3]^+$. HRMS: calculated for C₂₉H₃₄Si₃⁺: 466.19628; measured: 466.19757.

General Procedure for the Syntheses of the Ethynyl-Substituted Compounds 5, 6, 11 and 15. The (trimethylsilyl)ethynyl substituted compounds were dissolved in an adequate amount of boiling methanol. After cooling to ambient temperature, K_2CO_3 (ca 1.2 eq./alkyne unit) was added to the mixture stirred overnight. The solvent was evaporated and the crude products were purified by column chromatography.

1,5-Diethynylanthracene (5). Synthesis according to the general procedure using 1,5-bis[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]anthracene (1.70 g, 4.59 mmol) and K₂CO₃ (1.14 g, 8.25 mmol) in MeOH (450 mL). Column chromatography ($\emptyset = 3 \text{ cm}$, l = 11 cm, eluent: *n*-pentane) afforded **5** as bright yellow crystals. Yield: 0.96 g (93%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 8.93$ (s, 2H, *H9/H*10), 8.10 (d, ³*J*_{H,H} = 8.6 Hz, 2H, *H4/H*8), 7.79 (d, ³*J*_{H,H} = 6.8 Hz, 2H, *H2/H*6), 7.46 (dd, ³*J*_{H,H} = 7.0, 8.4 Hz, 2H, *H3/H7*), 3.60 (s, 2H, C≡C–*H*) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 131.74$ (C2/C6), 131.70, 131.51, 130.20 (C4/C8), 125.78 (C9/C10), 125.15 (C3/C7), 119.92, 82.72 (C≡C–H), 81.94 (C≡C–H) ppm. MS (EI, 70 eV): *m/z* [assignment] = 226 [M]⁺, 200 [M–CCH]⁺. HRMS: calculated for C₁₈H₁₀⁺: 226.07770; measured: 226.07662.

1,8-Diethynylanthracene (6). Synthesis according to ref. 8. Complete analytical data: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 9.44 (s, 1H, *H*9), 8.45 (s, 1H, *H*10), 8.03 (d, ³J_{H,H} = 8.6 Hz, 2H, *H4/H5*), 7.80 (d, ³J_{H,H} = 6.8 Hz, 2H, *H2/H7*), 7.45 (dd, ³J_{H,H} = 7.0, 8.5 Hz, 2H, *H3/H6*), 3.62 (s, 2H, C≡C-*H*) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 131.72 (*C*2/*C*6), 131.47, 129.61 (*C*4/*C*5), 127.67 (*C*10), 125.14 (*C*3/*C*6), 123.90 (*C*9), 120.49, 82.78 (C≡C-H), 81.81 (*C*≡C-H) ppm. MS (EI, 70 eV): *m/z* [assignment] = 226 [M]⁺. HRMS: calculated for C₁₈H₁₀⁺: 226.07770; measured: 226.07599.

9,10-Diethynylanthracene (11). Synthesis according to the general procedure using 9,10-bis[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]anthracene (0.45 g, 1.2 mmol) and K₂CO₃ (0.42 g, 3.0 mmol) in MeOH (250 mL). Column chromatography ($\emptyset = 3 \text{ cm}$, l = 9 cm, eluent: *n*-pentane) afforded **11** as a yellow-brownish solid. Yield: 71 mg (25%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 8.62 \text{ (m, 6H, H1/H4/H5/H8), 7.63 (m, 6H, H2/H3/H6/H7), 4.07 (s, 2H, C=C-H) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃): <math>\delta = 132.62, 127.19, 127.17, 117.96, 90.01 (C=C-H), 80.35 (C=C-H) ppm. MS (EI, 70 eV):$ *m/z*[assignment] = 226 [M]⁺, 198 [M-CCH]⁺. HRMS: calculated for C₁₈H₁₀⁺: 226.07770; measured: 226.07663.

1,8,10-Triethynylanthracene (15). Synthesis according to the general procedure using 1,8,10-tris[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]anthracene (0.48 g, 1.03 mmol) and K₂CO₃ (0.24 g, 1.75 mmol) in MeOH (80 mL). Column chromatography ($\emptyset = 3 \text{ cm}$, l = 8 cm, eluent: *n*-pentane) afforded **15** as a bright yellow solid. Yield: 0.22 g (86%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 9.52$ (s, 1H, H9), 8.61 (d, ³*J*_{H,H} = 8.8 Hz, 2H, H4/H5), 7.83 (d, ³*J*_{H,H} = 6.8 Hz, 2H, H2/H7), 7.56 (dd, ³*J*_{H,H} = 7.0, 8.7 Hz, 2H, H3/H6), 4.02 (s, 1H, C≡C-H), 3.63 [s, 2H, (C≡C-H)₂] ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 132.79$, 131.80 (C2/C7), 127.94 (C4/C5), 126.18 (C3/C6), 125.80 (C9), 120.74, 89.04 (C≡C-H), 83.10 [(C≡C-H)₂], 81.32 [(C≡C-H)₂], 79.86 (C≡C-H) ppm. MS (EI, 70 eV): *m*/*z* [assignment] = 250 [M]⁺, 224 [M–CCH]⁺. HRMS: calculated for C₂₀H₁₀⁺: 250.07770; measured: 250.07795.

General Procedure for the Syntheses of the Trimethylstannyl-Substituted Compounds 7, 8 and 16. The SnMe₃ functionalisation of the ethynyl substituted compounds was carried out analogous to a procedure described by Wrackmeyer and coworkers.¹⁹ The corresponding ethynylanthracene derivatives were dissolved in a small amount of dry THF. (Dimethylamino)trimethylstannane (ca. 2 eq./ alkyne unit) was added dropwise to the solution and the mixture was heated to 60 °C for 4 h. After cooling to ambient temperature, all volatile compounds were removed in vacuo and the desired species were quantitatively obtained as yellow solids. Single crystals of 7 and 8, suitable for X-ray diffraction experiments were afforded after recrystallisation from dry *n*-hexane.

1,5-Bis[(trimethylstannyl)ethynyl]anthracene (7). Synthesis according to the general procedure using 1,5-diethynylanthracene (20 mg, 0.09 mmol), (dimethylamino)trimethylstannane (0.08 mL, 0.49 mmol) and THF (6 mL). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 8.93$ (s, 2H, *H9/H*10), 8.04 (d, ³J_{H,H} = 8.7 Hz, 2H, *H4/H*8), 7.72 (m, 2H, *H2/H*6), 7.42 (dd, ³J_{H,H} = 6.9, 8.5 Hz, 2H, *H3/H7*), 0.48 [s, 18H, Sn(CH₃)₃] ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 131.69$, 131.53, 130.84 (C2/C6), 129.54 (C4/C8), 126.04 (C9/C10), 125.11 (C3/C7), 121.36, 107.04 (C=C-Sn), 99.77 (C=C-Sn), -7.30 [Sn(CH₃)₃] ppm. ¹¹⁹Sn{¹H} NMR (186 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -63.15$ ppm. MS (EI, 70 eV): *m/z* [assignment] = 552 [M]⁺, 537 [M–CH₃]⁺, 226 [M–2(SnCH₃)₃]⁺. HRMS: calculated for C₂₄H₂₆Sn₂⁺: 554.00730; measured: 554.00711.

Journal Name

1,8-Bis[(trimethylstannyl)ethynyl]anthracene (8). Synthesis according to the general procedure using 1,8-diethynylanthracene (26 mg, 0.11 mmol), (dimethylamino)trimethylstannane (0.11 mL, 0.70 mmol) and THF (6 mL). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 9.43 (s, 1H, H9), 8.40 (s, 1H, H10), 7.95 (d, ³J_{H,H} = 8.4 Hz, 2H, H4/H5), 7.76 (dd, ³J_{H,H} = 6.9 Hz, ⁴J_{H,H} = 1.0 Hz, 2H, H2/H7), 7.40 (dd, ³J_{H,H} = 6.9, 8.5 Hz, 2H, H3/H6), 0.46 [s, 18H, Sn(CH₃)₃] ppm. ¹³C {¹H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 131.77 (C2/C7), 131.57, 131.51, 128.92 (C4/C5), 127.58 (C10), 125.10 (C3/C6), 124.44 (C9), 107.43 (C≡C-Sn), 99.27 (C≡C-Sn), -6.99 [Sn(CH₃)₃] ppm. ¹¹⁹Sn {¹H} NMR (186 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = -63.98 ppm. MS (EI, 70 eV): *m/z* [assignment] = 552 [M]⁺, 537 [M-CH₃]⁺, 390 [M-Sn(CH₃)₃]⁺, 345 [M-Sn(CH₃)₃-3CH₃]⁺, 224 [M-2(SnCH₃)₃]⁺. HRMS: calculated for C₂₄H₂₆Sn₂⁺: 554.00730; measured: 554.00760.

1,8,10-Tris[(trimethylstannyl)ethynyl]anthracene (16). Synthesis according to the general procedure using 1,8,10-triethynylanthracene (20 mg, 0.08 mmol), (dimethylamino)trimethyltin (0.1 mL, 0.6 mmol) and THF (19 mL). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 9.44 (s, 1H, H9), 8.58 (d, ³*J*_{H,H} = 8.7 Hz, 2H, H4/H5), 7.78 (d, ³*J*_{H,H} = 6.5 Hz, 2H, H2/H7), 7.49 (dd, ³*J*_{H,H} = 7.0, 8.7 Hz, 2H, H3/H6), 0.49 [s, 9H, Sn(CH₃)₃], 0.46 {s, 18H, [Sn(CH₃)₃]₂} ppm. Due to rapid decomposition to the reactant **15** under the experimental conditions, no further characterisation of the product could be performed.

General Procedure for Photodimerisation Reactions. Small amounts of the (trimethylsilyl)ethynyl substituted compounds 3, 4, 10, 13 and 14 were dissolved in CDCl₃ (ca. 0.55 mL) and irradiated with UV light (365 nm, UVP, UVGL-25 Compact UV Lamp 254/365 nm, 4 W) in an NMR tube for several hours.

Photodimerisation of 1,5-Bis[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]anthracene. Synthesis according to the general procedure. Analytical data: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): *δ* = 7.38 (m, 8H, *H4/H8/H2/H6*), 7.44 (dd, ³*J*_{H,H} = 7.2, 8.1 Hz, 4H, *H3/H7*), 6.38 (s, 4H, *H9/H10*), 0.31 [s, 36H, Si(CH₃)₃] ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): *δ* = 139.93, 137.81, 131.17, 127.80 (*C*3/*C*7), 124.03, 118.87, 100.74 (*C*≡*C*−Si), 99.81 (*C*≡*C*−Si), 77.00 (*C*9/*C*10), 0.12 [Si(*C*H₃)₃] ppm. ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (99 MHz, CDCl₃): *δ* = −17.03 ppm.

Photodimerisation of 1,8-Bis[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]anthracene. Synthesis according to the general procedure gives a syn-anti mixture (49:51) of the photodimerised species. Analytical data for the syn isomer: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): $\delta = 7.43$ (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 7.9$ Hz, 4H, H2/H7), 7.35 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 7.2$ Hz, 4H, H4/H5), 7.22 (m, 4H, H3/H6), 6.79 (s, 2H, H9), 5.96 (s, 2H, H10), 0.38 [s, 36H, Si(CH₃)₃] ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 138.30, 132.78 (C2/C7), 127.78 (C3/C6), 123.66 (C4/C5), 119.49, 101.28 (C≡C-Si), 98.14 (C≡C-Si), 78.95 (C10), 75.27 (C9), 0.63 [Si(CH₃)₃] ppm. One signal missing due to overlap or line broadening. ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (99 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -17.08$ ppm. Analytical data for the *anti*-isomer: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): $\delta = 7.03$ (d, ³J_{HH} = 7.3 Hz, 4H, *H*4/*H*5), 6.97 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 8.0 Hz, ${}^{4}J_{H,H}$ = 0.8 Hz, 4H, H2/H7), 6.80 (m, 4H, H3/H6), 5.54 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 11.0$ Hz, 2H, H9), 4.54 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 10.9$ Hz, 2H, H10), 0.31 [s, 36H, Si(CH₃)₃] ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 144.14, 143.37, 130.25 (C2/C7), 126.40 (C4/C5), 125.74 (C3/C6), 121.83, 104.52 (C=C-Si), 99.67 (C≡C-Si), 52.23 (C9), 48.74 (C10), 0.35 [Si(CH₃)₃] ppm. One signal missing due to overlap or line broadening. ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (99 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -17.83$ ppm.

Photodimerisation of 9,10-Bis[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]anthracene. Synthesis according to the general procedure. Analytical data: ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 7.69 (m, 8H,

*H*1/*H*4/*H*5/*H*8), 7.37 (m, 8H, *H*2/*H*3/*H*6/*H*7), 0.38 [s, 36H, Si(CH₃)₃] ppm; Due to the fast cycloelimination reaction, ¹³C NMR shifts of the photodimerised compound **21** were determined using ¹H, ¹³C HMBC and HSQC experiments at 278 K in CDCl₃. δ = 136.86, 128.06, 122.82, 101.53, 79.08 (*C*9/*C*10) ppm. Signals missing due to overlap or line broadening.

Photodimerisation of 1,8-Dichloro-10-[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]anthracene. Synthesis according to the general procedure gives a syn-anti mixture (32:68) of the photodimerised species. Analytical data for the syn isomer: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 7.59 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\rm H,H}$ = 7.3 Hz, 4H, H4/H5), 7.35 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\rm H,H}$ = 7.5 Hz, 4H, H2/H7), 7.29 (m, 4H, H3/H6), 6.89 (s, 2H, H9), 0.37 [s, 18H, Si(CH₃)₃]. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 139.97, 134.66, 129.48, 129.22 (C3/C6), 129.05 (C2/C7), 121.56 (C4/C5), 102.52 $(C \equiv C - Si)$, 92.58 $(C \equiv C - Si)$, 73.61 (C9), -0.13 $[Si(CH_3)_3]$ ppm. C10 signal missing due to overlap or line broadening. ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (99 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -15.21$ ppm. Analytical data for the *anti*-isomer: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): $\delta = 7.70$ (dd, ${}^{3}J_{\text{H,H}} = 7.4$ Hz, ${}^{4}J_{\text{H,H}} = 1.0$ Hz, 4H, *H*4/*H*5), 6.95 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{\text{H,H}} = 8.0$ Hz, ${}^{4}J_{\text{H,H}} = 1.2$ Hz, 4H, *H*2/*H*7), 6.91 (m, 4H, *H*3/*H*6), 5.99 (s, 2H, *H*9), 0.38 [s, 18H, Si(CH₃)₃]. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 143.74, 136.89, 133.33, 127.68 (C3/C6), 127.52 (C2/C7), 124.04 (C4/C5), 106.61 94.96 (C=C-Si), 56.08 (C9), 53.75 (C10), 0.26 $(C \equiv C - Si)$ $[Si(CH_3)_3]$ ppm. ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (99 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -17.18$ ppm.

Photodimerisation of 1,8,10-Tris[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]anthracene. Synthesis according to the general procedure. Analytical data: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 7.63 (d, ³*J*_{H,H} = 7.2 Hz, 4H, *H2/H7*), 7.45 (dd, ³*J*_{H,H} = 1.1, 7.9 Hz, 4H, *H4/H5*), 7.27 (m, 4H, *H3/H6*), 6.81 (s, 2H, *H*10), 0.36 {s, 18H, [Si(CH₃)₃]₂}, 0.30 {s, 36H, [Si(CH₃)₃]₄} ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 138.37, 137.50, 133.16 (C4/C5), 127.72 (C3/C6), 122.83 (C2/C7), 119.22, 101.24 {[C=C-Si(CH₃)₃]₂}, 99.74 {[C=C-Si(CH₃)₃]₄}, 75.72 (C10), 0.35 {[Si(CH₃)₃]₄}, 0.09 {[Si(CH₃)₃]₂} ppm. Signals missing due to overlap or line broadening. ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (99 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = -15.45 [*Si*(CH₃)₃], -17.08 {[*Si*(CH₃)₃]₂} ppm.

Crystal Structure Determination. Suitable crystals of the compounds **3**, **4**, **5**, **7**, **8**, *anti*-1**8**, and *anti*-1**9** were obtained by recrystallisation of dry *n*-hexane (7 and **8**), by slow evaporation of saturated solutions of *n*-pentane (5 and *anti*-1**9**), *n*-pentane/dichloromethane (**3** and **4**) and chloroform (*anti*-1**8**). They were selected, coated with *paratone-N* oil, mounted on a glass fibre and transferred onto the goniometer of the diffractometer into a nitrogen gas cold stream solidifying the oil. Data collection was performed on a *SuperNova*, *Dual*, *Cu at zero*, *Atlas* diffractometer (**3**, **7** and **8**), a *SuperNova*, *Single Source at Offset, Eos* diffractometer (**5**), a *Nonius KappaCCD* diffractometer (**4** and *anti*-1**9**) and a *Bruker AXS X8 ProspectorUltra with APEX II* diffractometer (*anti*-1**8**).

The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by fullmatrix least-squares cycles (program SHELX-97).²⁰ Crystal and refinement details, as well as CCDC numbers are provided in Table 5. CCDC 994028 – 994034 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.ac.uk/data request/cif.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Klaus-Peter Mester and Gerd Lipinski for recording NMR spectra, as well as Jens Sproß and Heinz-Werner Patruck for measuring mass spectra. We gratefully acknowledge financial support from Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG).

Table 5	Crystallographic	data for 3, 4, 5,	, 7, 8, anti-18 and anti-19.
---------	------------------	-------------------	------------------------------

	3	4	5	7	8	anti-18	anti-19
Emp. formula	$C_{24}H_{26}Si_2 \\$	$C_{24}H_{26}Si_2 \\$	$C_{18}H_{10}$	$C_{24}H_{26}Sn_2 \\$	$C_{102}H_{118}Sn_8$	$C_{48}H_{52}Si_4$	$C_{38}H_{32}Cl_4Si_2 \\$
M_r	370.63	370.63	226.26	551.83	2293.48	741.26	686.62
λ [Å]	0.71073	0.71073	0.71073	0.71073	0.71073	1.54178	0.71073
T [K]	100.0	100(2)	100.0(2)	100.0(1)	100.0(1)	100(2)	100(2)
<i>F</i> (000)	396	1584	472	540	2260	396	356
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	triclinic	triclinic	triclinic
Space group	$P2_{1}/n$	$P2_{1}/c$	$P2_{1}/c$	$P2_{1}/n$	$P\overline{1}$	$P\overline{1}$	$P\overline{1}$
a [Å]	11.26970(2)	23.6928(2)	10.93715(2)	11.4064(2)	15.3809(3)	8.564(3)	7.5761(6)
<i>b</i> [Å]	5.81937(7)	8.6313(1)	11.26203(2)	6.07068(1)	15.4149(3)	10.244(4)	10.0339(8)
<i>c</i> [Å]	16.56046(2)	24.1592(2)	9.96535(2)	16.7481(4)	21.7315(4)	12.957(5)	12.7454(8)
α [°]	90	90	90	90	80.698(2)	79.45(3)	110.973(5)
β[°]	99.6391(1)	117.0424(5)	105.9353(2)	94.669(2)	74.637(2)	82.01(2)	99.303(5)
γ[°]	90	90	90	90	86.960(2)	76.34(1)	101.673(4)
V [Å ³]	1070.74(2)	4400.40(7)	1180.31(3)	1122.46(4)	4902.7(2)	1080.5(7)	856.5(1)
Z	2	8	4	2	2	1	1
$ ho_{ m calcd.} [m gcm^{-3}]$	1.150	1.119	1.273	1.633	1.554	1.139	1.331
μ [mm ⁻¹]	0.170	0.166	0.072	2.229	2.045	1.502	0.442
θ_{\max} [°]	30.30	27.49	30.03	30.00	30.00	72.42	25.00
Index ranges h	$-15 \le h \le 15$	$-30 \le h \le 30$	$-15 \le h \le 15$	$-16 \le h \le 16$	$-21 \le h \le 21$	$-10 \le h \le 10$	$-9 \le h \le 9$
Index ranges k	$-8 \le k \le 8$	$-11 \leq k \leq 11$	$-15 \le k \le 15$	$-8 \le k \le 8$	$-21 \le k \le 21$	$-12 \le k \le 12$	$-11 \le k \le 11$
Index ranges l	$-23 \le l \le 23$	$-31 \le l \le 31$	$-14 \leq l \leq 14$	$-23 \le l \le 23$	$-30 \le l \le 30$	$-15 \le l \le 15$	$-15 \le l \le 14$
Refl. collected	59469	93358	67142	47899	85614	20419	11464
Indep. refl.	3116	10078	3436	3274	28567	4051	2930
$R_{\rm int}$	0.0343	0.034	0.0433	0.0393	0.0256	0.0300	0.044
Observed refl., I>2o(I)	2870	8795	2987	2966	24838	3821	2605
Parameters	121	481	163	121	1017	241	202
$R_1, I > 2\sigma(I)$	0.0327	0.0335	0.0428	0.0225	0.0238	0.0335	0.0347
$wR_2, I > 2\sigma(I)$	0.0937	0.0936	0.1229	0.0532	0.0465	0.0901	0.0876
R_1 (all data)	0.0352	0.0395	0.0489	0.0260	0.0311	0.0350	0.0398
wR_2 (all data)	0.0963	0.0980	0.1277	0.0558	0.0490	0.0912	0.0915
GoF	1.063	1.039	1.068	1.068	1.032	1.062	1.039
$ ho_{ m max}/ ho_{ m min}$ [$e~{ m \AA}^{-3}$]	0.43/-0.19	0.33/-0.30	0.43/-0.18	1.07/-0.60	0.53/-0.54	0.41/-0.20	0.28/-0.33
Remarks	-	-	-	-	a)	-	-
CCDC number	994028	994029	994030	994031	994032	994033	994034

Remarks: a) Four molecules of 8 and one molecule of *n*-hexane are found in the asymmetric unit.

Notes and references

^{*a*} Universität Bielefeld, Fakultät für Chemie, Lehrstuhl für Anorganische Chemie und Strukturchemie, Universitätsstraße 25, D-33615 Bielefeld, Germany. E-mail: mitzel@uni-bielefeld.de; Fax: +49 521 106 6026; Tel: +49 521 106 6128

† CCDC 994028–994034.

- (a) H. D. Becker, *Chem. Rev.* 1993, **93**, 145 (b) H. Bouas-Laurent, A. Castellan, J.-P. Desvergne, R. Lapouyade, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2000, **29**, 43; (c) H. Bouas-Laurent, A. Castellan, J.-P. Desvergne, R. Lapouyade, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2001, **30**, 248.
- 2 J.-P. Desvergne, F. Chekpo, H. Bouas-Laurent, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1978, 84.

- 3 e.g. S. Bringmann, R. Brodbeck, R. Hartmann, C. Schäfer, J. Mattay, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 7491.
- 4 F. Fages, J.-P. Desvergne, I. Frisch, H. Bouas-Laurent, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1988, 1413.
- 5 H. D. Becker, K. Andersson, K. Sandros, J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 3913.
- 6 D. A. Dougherty, C. S. Choi, G. Kaupp, A. B. Buda, J. M. Rudziński, E. Ōsawa, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1986, 1063.
- 7 H. Bouas-Laurent, A. Castellan, J. Chem. Soc. D 1970, 1648.
- 8 F. Vögtle, H. Koch, K. Rissanen, Chem. Ber. 1992, 125, 2129.
- 9 e.g. W. Fudickar, T. Linker, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 15071.
- e.g. (a) J. K. Stille, Angew. Chem. 1986, 98, 504; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1986, 25, 508; (b) P. Espinet, A. M. Echavarren, Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 4808; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 4704.

Page 11 of 11

Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

Journal Name

- 11 J. Chmiel, B. Neumann, H.-G. Stammler, N. W. Mitzel, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2010, **16**, 11906.
- 12 A. F. Holleman, E. Wiberg, Lehrbuch der Anorganischen Chemie, Vol. 91-100, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1985, p. 133.
- 13 J.-H. Lamm, Yu. V. Vishnevskiy, E. Ziemann, T. A. Kinder, B. Neumann, H.-G. Stammler, N. W. Mitzel, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2014, 941.
- 14 J. Chmiel, I. Heesemann, A. Mix, B. Neumann, H.-G. Stammler, N. W. Mitzel, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2010, 3897.
- 15 F. Terao, M. Morimoto, M. Irie, Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 925; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 901.
- J. C. Malecki, *Cambridge Crystallographic Database*, 2012, CCDC-Nr. 913136.
- S. Bringmann, S. A. Ahmed, R. Hartmann, J. Mattay, *Synthesis* 2011, 14, 2291.
- 18 H. O. House, J. A. Hrabie, D. VanDerveer, J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 921.
- 19 B. Wrackmeyer, G. Kehr, J. Süß, Chem. Ber. 1993, 126, 2221.
- 20 G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 2008, 64, 112.