Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/obc

Journal Name

ARTICLE

Improving alkynyl(aryl)iodonium salts: 2-anisyl as a superior aryl group

David J. Hamnett and Wesley J. Moran*

Received ooth January 2012, Accepted ooth January 2012

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/xoxxooooox

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x


www.rsc.org/

The majority of alkynyl(aryl)iodonium salts reported in the literature are derived from iodobenzene. This article describes the effects of varying this iodoarene building block on the synthesis, reactivity and stability of these salts. Two procedures to synthesize a variety of known and novel alkynyl(aryl)iodonium tosylates directly from the iodoarene are reported. In the reactions of these salts, those derived from 2-iodoanisole gave superior results than the others tested in every reaction. Isothermal microcalorimetry indicated that these novel salts were significantly more stable and less prone to decomposition than all of the other derivatives.

Introduction

Interest in hypervalent iodine chemistry has surged in recent years with new iodine(III) and iodine(V) compounds being reported regularly alongside novel reactions using these species.¹ There are two main types of hypervalent organoiodine compounds: those with one carbon ligand and those with two. Compounds with one carbon ligand include iodobenzene diacetate (also called diacetoxyiodobenzene or phenyliodide diacetate) and Dess-Martin periodinane. Generally, these types of hypervalent iodine compounds are powerful oxidants. Hypervalent iodine compounds with two carbon ligands are commonly called iodonium salts and these include diaryliodonium salts, alkenyl(aryl)iodonium salts and alkynyl(aryl)iodonium salts. These compounds are not strong oxidants.


Iodonium salts are increasingly popular reagents in organic synthesis because of the range of useful reactivities that they exhibit.² The most investigated iodonium salts are the diaryliodonium salts, which can, in principal, donate either of their aryl groups in reactions with nucleophiles (Scheme 1).³ Whereas, in general, alkynyl(aryl)- and alkenyl(aryl)iodonium salts only donate the alkyne or alkenyl groups respectively. This means that the aryl group is, essentially, a spectator group in these two types of iodonium salts. However, the effect of changing this spectator group on the reactivity of these salts has not been studied. Indeed, the majority of research with these two types of salts has been with those derived from iodobenzene.⁴ Cyclic species such as triisopropylsilylethynyl-1,2-benziodoxol-3(1H)-one (TIPS-EBX) are known, but these have only been reported in alkynylation reactions.⁵



Scheme 1 General reactivities of iodonium salts with nucleophiles highlighting the "spectator" role of the aryl iodide in alkenyl(aryl)- and alkynyl(aryl)iodonium salts

Results and discussion

We identified two different reactions from the literature that alkynyl(aryl)iodonium salts undergo and decided to compare and contrast the effect of varying the aryl moiety on the reaction outcomes. The two reactions were (i) conjugate addition of a nucleophile followed by carbene formation and rearrangement to generate a new alkyne⁶ and (ii) conjugate addition of a nucleophile followed by carbene formation and intramolecular 1,5-insertion into a C-H bond (Scheme 2).⁷




Our first challenge was to prepare the requisite alkynyliodonium salts necessary for this study. There are several synthetic methods available; however, as alluded to earlier, their effectiveness with regards to preparing salts from different aryl iodides has not been reported. We elected to use the method reported by Merritt and Olofsson for direct formation of the salts from terminal alkynes and aryl iodides using 3-chloroperbenzoic acid and 4-toluenesulfonic acid in dichloromethane.⁸ This procedure was only reported for the preparation of salt 1a but its simplicity makes it an attractive method. However, our attempts to make pure samples of derivatives by this method failed in most cases, with the major impurity being the hydroxy(tosyloxy)aryliodine(III) compound. In 2012, Bouma and Olofsson published a new protocol using alkynyl boronates instead of terminal alkynes and the authors stated that this was a general route to high purity salts.⁹ Other methods have been reported which also require initial preparation of the corresponding alkynyl silanes,¹⁰ borons¹¹ or stannanes.¹² We wished to develop the method using terminal alkynes as this is more direct and atom efficient.

During our study, we noted that aryl iodides bearing electron-donating substituents behaved differently to those with electron-withdrawing groups; therefore, we optimized reaction conditions for these derivatives separately. For aryl iodides with electron-donating substituents, slow addition of the oxidant over several hours to the reaction mixture at 0 °C provided high purity iodonium salts upon crystallization from the reaction mixture (Table 1). This slow addition of the oxidant to a cold solution of the other components was found to effectively inhibit thermal decomposition of the intermediate iodine(III) species formed during this process. Additionally, by

using an excess of the alkyne it was found, as expected, that the amount of the major hydroxy(tosyloxy)aryliodine(III) impurity was reduced, leading to products of higher purity and in superior yield. If necessary, recrystallization effectively removed any trace impurities.

Table 1 Synthesis of alkynyl(aryl)iodonium tosylates with electron-donating aryl substituents^{*a*}



^a Yields are for pure isolated compounds

For aryl iodides with electron-withdrawing substituents, the hydroxy(tosyloxy)iodine(III) species were first prepared in-situ and then treated with the alkyne to generate the iodonium salts in high purity after crystallization from the reaction mixture (Table 2). Where the conditions reported by Merritt and Olofsson were applied to the synthesis of these aryl iodides it was found that the material obtained was of very low purity; as little as 50%. We assume, therefore, that the presence of electron withdrawing substituents reduces the rate of formation of the alkynyliodonium salts from the corresponding hydroxy(tosyloxy)iodine(III) species and that the low purities were a consequence of incomplete reactions. By forming the hydroxy(tosyloxy)iodine(III) species first, we reduce the amount of time for thermal decomposition of the product alkynyliodonium salts, while an excess of the alkyne results in further gains in both the yield and purity of the product alkynyliodonium salts obtained. Again, any impurities present could be removed by recrystallization, but this was rarely necessary. All of the alkynyliodonium salts synthesised could be stored indefinitely at -20 °C.

Journal Name




Table 2 Synthesis of alkynyl(aryl)iodonium tosylates with electron-

^{*a*} Yields are for pure isolated compounds.

With these salts in hand, the addition-rearrangement reaction with sodium phenylsulfinate was investigated (Table 3).⁵ The iodobenzene derived salt **1a** worked well delivering an average of 81% yield over three runs, with 74% yield of isolated compound (entry 1). The 2-methoxy derivative **1b** provided a better yield of 90%, with 83% yield of isolated compound (entry 2) whereas the 3- and 4-methoxy derivatives **1c** an **1d** both led to diminished yields (entries 3 and 4). This suggests that the improvement in yield for **1b** is not due to electronic effects. Indeed, **1b** appeared to show increased solubility compared to **1c** and **1d**. The nitro derivative **1f** delivered 73% product, *p*-tolyl **1g** gave a superior 88% and 4-chloro **1h** was similar to parent **1a** with 79%.

Table 3 Addition-rearrangement reaction					
	Ph	0.1 ed	iv PhSO₂Na → quiv TEBA /H₂O, rt, 1 h	SO ₂ Ph Ph	
	1a-h				
	Entry	R	Salt	Yield ^a (%)	
	1	Н	1a	81 (74)	
	2	2-O Me	1b	90 (83)	
	3	3-O Me	1c	49	
	4	4-O Me	1d	53	
	5	2-NO ₂	1e	44	
	6	3,5-di Me	1f	73	
	7	4-Me	1g	88	
	8	4-CI	1h	79	

^{*a*} Yields are an average of three runs and were determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixtures with reference to a standard. Yields of isolated compounds are given in parentheses.

These results suggest that 2-iodoanisole is the best "spectator" group of those tested in this reaction. To get further

insights into salt 1b a single crystal X-ray structure was obtained (Figure 1).¹³ This iodonium salt exists as a dimer in the solid state with the bond angles and lengths around the methoxy substituent being distorted somewhat suggesting some coordination to the I(III) center. The C(-I)-C-O bond angle is 116.62° compared to 120.83° for the C(-I)-C-H bond angle. Likewise, the C(-I)-C(-O)-C bond angle is 117.93° and the C(-I)-C(-H)-C bond angle is 118.27°. The distance between the iodine and oxygen atoms is 3.029 Å, which is reasonable for a Van der Waals interaction. Recently, Zhdankin and co-workers demonstrated the synthesis and chemistry of iodonium ylides with *ortho*-alkoxy groups bound to the aryl ring.¹⁴ The reported crystal structure shows similar distortions around the I(III) center and the ortho-n-propoxy oxygen I(III) distance is reported as 2.978 Å. The authors note that these species display superior solubility and reactivity in comparison with their phenyl analogs.






Figure 1. X-ray crystal structure of 1b

The addition-C-H insertion reaction was investigated next (Table 4).⁸ In our hands, this reaction proved difficult with only 12% yield being achieved with salt **2a** (entry 1). However, the 2-methoxy salt **2b** once again proved to be superior and 51% yield of product was obtained (entry 2). The other salts provided low amounts of products, with xylyl derivative **2e** furnishing <5% (entry 5).



^{*a*} Yields are an average of three runs and were determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixtures with reference to a standard. Yields of isolated compounds are given in parentheses.

In order to investigate the effect of the counterions on reaction yields, alkynyl(phenyl)iodonium salts were prepared from trimethyl(phenylethynyl)silane by reaction with iodosobenzene followed by treatment with aqueous solutions of a variety of lithium or sodium salts. The crude products were directly treated with sodium benzenesulfinate to effect the addition-rearrangement process (Table 5). The product **3** was formed in up to 50% yield from **7** (entry 1). The yields for the different salts were very close, with only a 5% difference, apart from for the mesylate which was 13% lower than the best (entry 4).



^{*a*} Yields are an average of three runs and were determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixtures with reference to a standard. Yields of isolated compounds are given in parentheses.

This process was then repeated but with variation of the iodosoarene and the tetrafluoroborate counterion was used (Table 6). The different iodosoarenes required were synthesized by hydrolysis of the corresponding iodoarene diacetates with 3

M NaOH solution.¹⁵ Yields varied from 0 - 92% for this process and the products were insoluble polymeric solids. Upon running the test reaction, a 50% overall yield of **3** was obtained for the phenyl parent and 36% yield was isolated (entry 1). The 2-iodosoanisole appeared to decompose upon addition of the Lewis acid at room temperature, therefore the Lewis acid was added dropwise at -78 °C to the solution of silylalkyne **7** and 2-iodosoanisole. The reaction mixture was then warmed to room temperature and the aqueous NaBF₄ solution added. Addition of the NaSO₂Ph to this salt at room temperature led to addition/insertion occurring in a remarkable 96% overall yield (entry 2). The other iodosoarenes tested gave moderate yields.

 Table 6 Conversion of silylalkyne 7 to sulfone 3 without isolation of the alkynyliodonium salt 1

Ph 7	$\begin{array}{c} 1.6 \text{ equiv ArIO} \\ 1.6 \text{ equiv BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2 \\ \text{CH}_2 \text{CI}_2, \text{ rt}, 4 \text{ h} \\ \end{array}$ then 2.5 M NaBF ₄ rt, 15 mins	Ph 1 BF4
Ph 3		/ NaSO ₂ Ph uiv TEBA rt, 20 mins
entry	Ar	overall yield ^a (%)
1 2 3 4 5 6	Ph 2-MeOC ₆ H ₄ 2-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄ 3,5-diMeC ₆ H ₃ 4-MeC ₆ H ₄ 4-ClC ₆ H ₄	50 (36) 96 ^b (87) 38 48 49 62

^{*a*} Yields are an average of three runs and were determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixtures with reference to a standard. Yields of isolated compounds are given in parentheses. ^{*b*} First stage of the iodonium salt formation performed at -78 °C.

In a similar fashion, the addition-insertion reaction was investigated starting from the silane **8** but without isolation of the intermediate alkynyliodonium salt **9** (Table 7). Upon running the reaction, a 30% overall yield of **10** was obtained for the phenyl parent (entry 1). The 2-iodoanisole derivative gave a superior 71% yield, 64% isolated, which was substantially better than all the other derivatives tested (entry 2). This result is particularly notable for a non-activated aliphatic C-H insertion reaction occurring at room temperature. A small amount of the 1,2-rearrangement product was also formed in this reaction (<20%).

100

80

60

20

0

-20

-40

Heatflow / µW 40

rganic & Biomolecular Chemistry Accepted Manu




Figure 2 IMC data for three alkynyl(aryl)iodonium salts in the solid state at 30 °C.

300 250 200 / hW 150 2-Anisy Phenyl leatfl 100 4-Anisyl 50 0 -50

Figure 3 IMC data for three alkynyl(aryl)iodonium salts in 1,2-dichloroethane at 30 °C.


Conclusions

preparation of alkynyl(aryl)iodonium tosylates directly from iodoarenes and terminal acetylenes. We have also demonstrated that the reactivity of alkynyl(aryl)iodonium salts vary considerably depending on which aryl iodide they are derived from.¹⁶ Thus, the aryl iodide is not merely a spectator group but has a profound impact on the reactivity. Importantly, the stability of the iodine(III) species has been shown to be critical for achieving high yields. Of those tested, 2-iodoanisole has been shown to be the optimum choice as it provided augmented yields of products in subsequent reactions compared to other derivatives. It is expected that the use of 2-iodoanisole derived alkynyl(aryl)iodonium salts, and other iodine(III) species, will deliver superior results in other reactions.¹⁴

Experimental

General methods

¹H NMR spectra were recorded at 400 MHz. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm from tetramethylsilane with the solvent resonance as the internal standard (CDCl₃: 7.26 ppm). ¹³C NMR were recorded with complete proton decoupling.



^a Yields are an average of three runs and were determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixtures with reference to a standard. Yields of isolated compounds are given in parentheses. ^b First stage of the iodonium salt formation performed at -78 °C.

In order to rationalize these results, we decided to analyze the alkynyl(aryl)iodonium salts by isothermal microcalorimetry (IMC). Holding the samples for seven days at 30 °C under an air atmosphere both as solids (Fig. 2) and in solution (Fig. 3) led to rapid decomposition of all of the salts apart from the 2iodoanisole derivative. This salt was unchanged after seven days, whereas the others decomposed within minutes. This remarkable stability is postulated to arise from coordination of the oxygen atom to the iodine(III) centre (vide supra) as the corresponding 4-iodoanisole derivative rapidly decomposed, suggesting that simple electronic effects are not responsible. Assuming that this effect is also present in the iodonium reaction intermediates, we can rationalize the observed yields in the reactions studied by consideration of the stabilities of the iodine(III) species and the likelihood of their undergoing unproductive decomposition before the desired reaction. These species are not sensitive to water or air and no decompositions have been detected by exposing them to daylight, although storage in the dark is recommended.

Chemical shifts are reported in ppm from tetramethylsilane with the solvent as the internal standard (CDCl₃: 77.4 ppm). Mass spectrometry (m/z) was performed in ESI mode, with only molecular ions being reported. Infrared (IR) spectra v_{max} are reported in cm⁻¹. Petroleum ether refers to the fraction boiling at 40-60 °C. All purchased reagents were used as received without further purification. All reactions were performed under a N₂ atmosphere.

Preparation of alkynyl(aryl)iodonium salts with electrondonating substituents: To a solution of iodoarene (4.90 mmol, 1 equiv), TsOHH₂O (0.93 g, 4.90 mmol, 1 equiv) and an aryl alkyne (9.80 mmol, 2 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL) at 0 °C, was added *m*-CPBA (70-75% purity, 1.22 g, 4.90 mmol, 1 equiv) slowly over a period of 1 hour. The resulting solution was slowly warmed to room temperature and stirred for 24 h after which it was reduced to half volume (approx. 12 ml) in vacuo at ambient temperature. Diethyl ether (12 mL) was added to this solution in a dropwise manner, with gentle swirling. The resulting homogeneous solution was again reduced to half volume in vacuo at ambient temperature, upon which time crystals started to be formed. Over a period of one hour, diethyl ether (75 mL) was added with gentle swirling, and the resulting suspension was allowed to stand for 1 h. The precipitate was filtered, washed with diethyl ether and dried under vacuum, yielding the product as a powder. Analytically pure material was obtained by recrystallization in CH₂Cl₂ and ether.

Preparation of alkynyl(aryl)iodonium salts with electrondonating substituents: To a solution of iodoarene (4.90 mmol, 1 equiv), TsOHH₂O (0.93 g, 4.90 mmol, 1 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL) was added *m*-CPBA (70-75% purity, 1.22 g, 4.90 mmol, 1 equiv) in one portion. The solution was allowed to stir for 24 hours, upon which time an aryl alkyne (9.80 mmol, 2 equiv) was added, followed by a further 24 hours stirring. Removal of the volatiles *in vacuo* at ambient temperature yielded a residue, which was dissolved in the minimum amount of CH₂Cl₂ and added dropwise to diethyl ether (75 mL). After 2 h, the precipitate was filtered, washed with diethyl ether and dried under vacuum, yielding the product as a powder. Analytically pure material was obtained by recrystallization in CH₂Cl₂ and ether.

General Synthesis of 2-(benzenesulfonyl)ethynylbenzene (3) from trimethyl(phenylethynyl)silane (1): To a suspension of a fine powder of iodosylarene (0.5 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) under atmosphere an inert was added trimethyl(phenylethynyl)silane 7 (0.052 g, 0.3 mmol), then, over a period of 5 min, boron trifluoride etherate (0.5 mmol). [For 2-(iodosyl)anisole, the suspension was cooled to -78 °C and boron trifluoride was added over a period of 1 h.] The reaction was allowed to stir for 16 h, which yielded a bright vellow homogenous solution. An aqueous solution of a sodium or lithium salt (2.5 M, 1.8 mmol) was added and the resulting emulsion was stirred vigorously for 15 min. The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 x 5 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated in vacuo to yield the crude alkynyl(aryl)iodonium salts as viscous yellow oils. The crude residue was dissolved in CH2Cl2 (2 mL) and a solution of benzenesulfinic acid sodium salt (0.019 g, 0.116 mmol) and triethylbenzyl ammonium chloride (TEBA) (0.0024 g, 0.011 mmol) in water (1 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 hour, after which time the aqueous layer was separated and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 x 5 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with water, then brine, and dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated in vacuo, which yielded a viscous yellow oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (9:1 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) provided a pale yellow solid. Melting point: 70-71 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.11 (dd, 2H, *J* = 7.3 Hz, J = 1.3 Hz), 7.73-7.70 (m, 1H), 7.64-7.61 (m, 2H), 7.55 (dd, 2H, J = 7.1 Hz, J = 1.3 Hz), 7.52-7.48 (m, 1H), 7.41-7.38 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 141.7, 134.1, 132.7, 131.6, 129.3, 128.7, 127.4, 117.8, 93.5, 85.3. HRMS: cald. for $C_{14}H_{10}NaO_2S[MNa]^+$ 265.0294; found 265.0303.

General Synthesis of 1-benzenesulfonyl-3-methyl-indene (4) from 1-ethyl-2-ethynylbenzene(aryl)iodonium tosylates (2): To a solution of 1-ethyl-2-ethynylbenzene(aryl)iodonium tosylate 2 (0.099 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) was added benzenesulfinic acid sodium salt (0.018 g, 0.011 mmol). The suspension was stirred at room temperature for one hour upon which time deionized water (2 mL) was added. After an additional 5 mins the aqueous layer was separated and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 x 5 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated in vacuo, which yielded a yellow oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (9:1 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) furnished a pale yellow liquid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.77 (d, 1H, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 7.41-7.35 (m, 3H), 7.26-7.15 (m, 4H), 6.97 (d, 1H, J = 6.3 Hz), 6.05 (s, 1H), 4.91 (s, 1H), 1.88 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 146.5, 145.6, 136.0, 135.0, 133.4, 129.1, 128.9, 127.8, 126.3, 125.6, 122.5, 119.5, 72.4, 12.8. HRMS: cald. for C₁₆H₁₄SO₂Na [MNa]⁺ 293.0607; found 293.0609.

General **Synthesis** of (3-methylcyclopenten-1yl)sulfonylbenzene (10) from alkynylsilane (8): To a suspension of an iodosylarene (0.5 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) under an inert atmosphere was added hex-1-yn-1yltrimethylsilane 8 (0.046 g, 0.3 mmol), then, over a period of 5 min, boron trifluoride etherate (0.5 mmol). [For 2-(iodosyl)anisole, the suspension was cooled to -78 °C and boron trifluoride was added over a period of 1 h.] The reaction was stirred for 16 h, which yielded a bright yellow homogenous solution. An aqueous solution of sodium tetrafluoroborate (2.5 M, 1.8 mmol) was added to the mixture and the resultant emulsion was stirred vigorously for 15 min. The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 x 5 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated in vacuo at ambient temperature, yielding a viscous yellow oil, which was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (3 mL). To this solution was added benzenesulfinic acid sodium salt (0.059 g, 0.36 mmol). The suspension was held at room temperature for 20 minutes upon which time Journal Name

ARTICLE

deionized water (3 mL) was added. After an additional five mins the aqueous layer was separated and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 x 5 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated *in vacuo*, which yielded a yellow oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (9:1 petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) furnished a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.92 (d, 2H, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 7.67-7.55 (m, 3H), 6.66 (d, 1H, *J* = 1.8 Hz), 3.01-2.92 (m, 1H), 2.62-2.56 (m, 1H), 2.54-2.45 (m, 1H), 2.30-2.22 (m, 1H), 1.59-1.53 (m, 1H), 1.11 (d, 3H, *J* = 7.0 Hz). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 148.1, 143.5, 139.6, 133.3, 129.1, 127.9, 40.6, 32.4, 30.4, 19.5. HRMS: cald. for C₁₂H₁₄NaO₂S [MNa]⁺ 245.0607; found 245.0618.

Acknowledgements

We thank Prof. Craig Rice for assistance with the X-ray crystal structures, Mr. James Rooney for assistance with the isothermal microcalorimetry experiments and the University of Huddersfield for funding. We also thank Miss Olivia Davis for experimental assistance and the Nuffield Foundation for a research placement bursary.

Notes and references

Department of Chemistry, University of Huddersfield, Queensgate, Huddersfield HD1 3DH, UK. E-mail: w.j.moran@hud.ac.uk

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [characterization data and copies of ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- For reviews on hypervalent iodine chemistry, see: (a) A. Parra and S. Reboredo, Chem. Eur. J., 2013, **19**, 17244; (b) V. V. Zhdankin, J. Org. Chem., 2011, **76**, 1185; (c) V. V. Zhdankin, Arkivoc, 2009, **i**, 1; (d) T. Dohi and Y. Kita, Chem. Commun., 2009, 2073; (e) V. V. Zhdankin and P. J. Stang, Chem. Rev., 2008, **108**, 5299; (f) T. Wirth, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, **44**, 3656; (g) D. Magdziak, S. J. Meek, T. R. R. Pettus, Chem. Rev. 2004, **104**, 1383; (h) A. N. French, S. Bissmire and T. Wirth, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2004, **33**, 354; (i) V. V. Zhdankin and P. J. Stang, Chem. Rev., 2002, **102**, 2523; (j) G. F. Koser, Aldrichimica Acta, 2001, **34**, 89; (k) V. V. Zhdankin and P. J. Stang, Chem. Rev., 1996, **96**, 1123.
- For reviews of iodonium salts in organic synthesis, see: (a) M. S. Yusubov, A. V. Maskaev and V. V. Zhdankin, *Arkivoc*, 2011, i, 370; (b) E. A. Merritt and B. Olofsson, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, 48, 9052; (c) T. Okuyama, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2002, 35, 12; (d) M. Ochiai, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2000, 611, 494; (e) V. V. Grushin, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2000, 29, 315; (f) N. S. Pirkuliev, V. K. Brel and N. S. Zefirov, *Russ. Chem. Rev.*, 2000, 69, 105; (g) V. V. Zhdankin and P. J. Stang, *Tetrahedron*, 1998, 54, 10927; (h) T. Umemoto, *Chem. Rev.*, 1996, 96, 1757.
- M. Fujita, E. Mishima and T. Okuyama, J. Phys. Org. Chem., 2007, 20, 241.
- Selected examples of reactions with alkynyl(phenyl)iodonium salts:
 (a) B. L. Williamson, P. J. Stang and A. M. Arif, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1993, 115, 2590;
 (b) B. L. Williamson, R. R. Tywinski and P. J.

Stang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 93; (c) M. Ochiai, K. Miyamoto, T. Suefuji, S. Sakamoto, K. Yamaguchi and M. Shiro, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 2191; (d) I. F. D. Hyatt and M. P. Croatt, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 7511. Selected examples of reactions with alkenyl(phenyl)iodonium salts: (e) M. G. Suero, E. D. Bayle, B. S. L. Collins and M. J. Gaunt, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 5332; (f) T. Okuyama and M. Fujita, Acc. Chem. Res., 2005, 38, 679.

- For a review of the chemistry of alkynyl 1,2-benziodoxolones see: J. P. Brand and J. Waser, *Chem Soc Rev.* 2012, **41**, 4165; for an example of their use as electrophilic alkynylating reagents see: J. P. Brand, C. Chevalley, R. Scopelliti, and J. Waser, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2012, **18**, 5655.
- 6. Z. Liu and Z. Chen, Synth. Commun., 1992, 22, 1997.
- (a) A. E. Koumbis, C. M. Kyzas, A. Savva and A. Varvoglis, *Molecules*, 2005, **10**, 1340. For other examples, see: (b) D. J. Wardrop and J. Fritz, *Org. Lett.*, 2006, **8**, 3659; (c) K. S. Feldman, A. L. Perkins and K. M. Masters, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2004, **69**, 7928; (d) K. S. Feldman, J. C. Saunders and M. L. Wrobleski, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2002, **67**, 7096; (e) R. R. Tykwinski, J. A. Whiteford and P. J. Stang, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1993, 1800; (f) M. Ochiai, M. Kunishima, Y. Nagao, K. Fuji, M. Shiro and E. Fujita, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1986, **108**, 8281.
- 8. E. A. Merritt and B. Olofsson, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2011, 3690.
- 9. M. J. Bouma and B. Olofsson, Chem. Eur. J., 2012, 18, 14242.
- (a) M. Ochiai, M. Kunishima, K. Sumi, Y. Nagao, E. Fujita, M. Arimoto, H. Yamaguchi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1985, 26, 4501; (b) L. Rebrovic and G. F. Koser, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1984, 49, 4700; (c) A. E. Koumbis, C. M. Kyzas, A. Savva and A. Varvoglis, *Molecules*, 2005, 10, 1340.
- (a) H.-J. Frohn and V. V.Bardin, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 2008, 634, 82; (b) M. Yoshida, K. Osafune and S. Hara, Synthesis, 2007, 1542.
- (a) P. J. Stang, B. L. Williamson and V. V. Zhdankin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, **113**, 5870; (b) K. S. Feldman, M. M. Bruendl, K. Schildknegt and A. C. Bohnstedt, J. Org. Chem., 1996, **61**, 5440.
- CCDC 940260 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this molecule. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data request/cif.
- (a) C. Zhu, A. Yoshimura, P. Solntsev, L. Ji, Y. Wei, V. N. Nemykin and V. V. Zhdankin, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 10108; (b) C. Zhu, A. Yoshimura, L. Ji, Y. Wei, V. N. Nemykin and V. V. Zhdankin, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, **14**, 3170.
- 15. H. Saltzman and J. G. Sharefkin, Org. Synth., 1963, 43, 60.
- 16. In a recent study by Carroll and co-workers the authors concluded that varying the aryl group in alkynyl(aryl)iodonium salts had little effect on reactivity in a formal cycloaddition reaction, however only four aryl derivatives were assessed (not 2-iodoanisole) and a 12% variation in yields was observed: L. I. Dixon, M. A. Carroll, T. J. Gregson, G. J. Ellames, R. W. Harrington and W. Clegg, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, 2334.