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# Synthesis and biological evaluation of dual action cyclo-RGD/SMAC mimetic conjugates targeting $\alpha_{v} \beta_{3} / \alpha_{v} \beta_{5}$ integrins and IAP proteins 

M. Mingozzi, $\uparrow,{ }^{, a}$ L. Manzoni, $\uparrow{ }^{, b}$ D. Arosio, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ A. Dal Corso, ${ }^{a}$ M. Manzotti, ${ }^{a}$ F. Innamorati, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ L. Pignataro, ${ }^{a}$ D. Lecis, ${ }^{c}$ D. Delia,,${ }^{\text {c }}$ P. Seneci, ${ }^{*, a}$ and C. Gennari ${ }^{*,{ }^{, a}}$


#### Abstract

The rational design, synthesis and in vitro biological evaluation of dual action conjugates 1113, containing a tumour targeting, integrin $\alpha_{v} \beta_{3} / \alpha_{v} \beta_{5}$ ligand portion and a pro-apoptotic SMAC mimetic portion (cyclo-RGD/SMAC mimetic conjugates) are reported. The binding strength of the two separate units is generally maintained by these dual action conjugates. In particular, the connection between the separate units (anchor points on each unit; nature, length and stability of the linker) influences the activity of each portion against its molecular targets (integrins $\alpha_{v} \beta_{3} / \alpha_{v} \beta_{5}$ for cyclo-RGD, IAP proteins for SMAC mimetics). Each conjugate portion tolerates different substitutions while preserving the binding affinity for each target.


## Introduction

In the pharmaceutical industry inhibition of a molecular target sometimes leads to a bypass of the target itself by the diseased cellsorgans (e.g. penicillins and resistance to beta-lactamases ${ }^{1}$ ). Thus, there is an increasing need for multi-targeted therapies. ${ }^{2}$

Multi-targeting may be achieved by administering a cocktail of active ingredients, and cocktails active against HIV are an example of clinical success. ${ }^{3}$ However, effective drug combinations may require different administration routes, or different residence times in the human body. ${ }^{4}$ Well tolerated drugs may become harmful in combination with other active principles, due to drug-drug interactions. ${ }^{5}$

A dual action compound contains the chemical elements required to interact with two molecular targets. ${ }^{6}$ A connection is chosen for each pharmacophore unit, and a suitable spacer separates the two units without disturbing their biological activities.

Cancer implies a huge variety of pathologically altered mechanisms in the body, and cancer research works on hundreds of putative molecular targets. ${ }^{7}$ Cancer cells either mutate the drug target or transport the drug outside the cancer cell (imatinib ${ }^{8}$ and taxol ${ }^{9}$ are known examples of resistance to marketed drugs). Cancer cells are less likely to develop resistance against a dual action compound simultaneously directed against two essential molecular targets. We chose integrins/angiogenesis ${ }^{10}$ and Inhibition of Apoptosis Proteins (IAPs)/apoptosis ${ }^{11}$ because our research group has accumulated past significant experience on both target classes.

## Project rationale

Integrins $\alpha_{v} \beta_{3}, \alpha_{v} \beta_{5}$ and $\alpha_{5} \beta_{1}$ play a key role in angiogenesis and tumour development, and are accessible as cell surface receptors interacting with extracellular ligands. ${ }^{12}$ They are involved in angiogenesis, tumour progression and metastasis. ${ }^{13}$ The tripeptide sequence Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) is the common motif used by endogenous ligands to recognize and bind such integrins.

Cyclo[Arg-Gly-Asp-phe- $N(\mathrm{Me})$-Val] (Cilengitide) ${ }^{14}$ (1, Figure 1) reached phase III clinical trials against glioblastoma multiforme.

We reported cyclic RGD-based peptidomimetics, either built on 4substituted aza-bicyclo[4.3.0]nonanes (ABN) (2, Figure 1), ${ }^{15,16}$ or built on bifunctional diketopiperazines (DKP) (3, Figure 1), ${ }^{17}$ as potent inhibitors of the purified $\alpha_{v} \beta_{3}$ receptor.

Apoptosis is started by stimuli received from within the cell, or from the external environment. ${ }^{18}$ Degradation of cellular protein components is carried out by initiator and executioner Cysteine ASPartic acid-specific proteASEs (caspases). ${ }^{19}$ IAPs ${ }^{20}$ bind through their Baculovirus Inhibitor Repeat (BIR) domains to the initiator CASP-9 (BIR3 domain, primary binding site) and to the executioner CASP-3 and CASP-7 (linker-BIR2 domain, secondary site), block caspases in their inactive forms and antagonize apoptosis. ${ }^{21}$ Endogenous SMAC protein ${ }^{22,23}$ (Second Mitochondria-derived Activator of Caspases) binds to the BIR3/linker-BIR2 domains of IAPs $^{24}$ and prevents CASP-3/-7/-9 inactivation, restoring caspasedependent apoptosis.

Structural studies ${ }^{25,26}$ showed that the AVPI $N$-terminal sequence of SMAC binds to IAPs with nanomolar affinity. Pro-apoptotic AVPI mimetics such as 4 (Figure 1) are undergoing clinical evaluation as anticancer agents. ${ }^{27}$ We introduced 4 -substituted azabicyclo[5.3.0]decane (ABD) derivatives (e.g., 5-7, Figure 1), endowed with good cell-free potency against IAPs and moderate cytotoxicity. ${ }^{28,29}$

Since $\alpha_{\mathrm{V}}$ integrins are overexpressed on the surface of cancer cells, their ligands can be used as tumour-homing/anti-angiogenic peptidomimetics for site-directed delivery of cytotoxic drugs. ${ }^{30}$ For example, the cyclic RGD ligand-doxorubicin conjugate $\mathbf{8}^{31}$ (Figure 2 ) is highly cytotoxic against cancer cells overexpressing integrins $\alpha_{v} \beta_{3} / \alpha_{v} \beta_{5}$ on their membrane. We reported good stability and in vivo efficacy in a mouse model of human ovarian cancer for the cyclo[ABN-RGD]paclitaxel conjugate $\mathbf{9}^{32}$ and the cyclo[DKPRGD]paclitaxel conjugate $\mathbf{1 0}^{33}$ (Figure 2).

We reasoned that, by connecting the AVPI/SMAC mimetic unit such as 5-7 with a cyclic RGD ligand such as $\mathbf{2}$ and 3, dual action conjugates targeting both angiogenesis and apoptosis could be created.


Figure 1 Cyclic RGD ligands of $\alpha_{v} \beta_{3}$ integrin \{Cilengitide (1, 15-membered), cyclo[ABN-RGD] (2, 15-membered) and cyclo[DKP-RGD] (3, 17-membered) \} and pro-apoptotic SMAC mimetics 4 (GDC-0152), 5-7.

Herein we present the synthesis and biological evaluation of a small series of cyclic RGD ligand-ABD SMAC mimetic dual action conjugates (Figure 3). We explored (i) the influence of 4(compounds 11, 12), and C-terminus (compound 13) connections on the ABD SMAC mimetic unit, (ii) the influence of the ring size of




Figure 2 Cyclic RGD ligand-doxorubicin conjugate 8, cyclo[ABN-RGD]paclitaxel $\mathbf{9}$ and cyclo[DKP-RGD]paclitaxel $\mathbf{1 0}$.


Figure 3 Heterodimeric cyclic RGD ligand-ABD SMAC mimetic dual action conjugates: 4-connected cyclo[DKP-RGD]-ABD compounds 11-12 and C-terminusconnected cyclo[ABN-RGD]-ABD compound 13.

## Results and discussion

## Chemistry

Synthetic targets - rationale
Compounds 11 and $\mathbf{1 2}$ (Figure 3) are dual action conjugates where the cyclo[DKP-RGD] integrin ligand unit 3 is coupled with the ABD SMAC mimetic unit 6. The former unit bears a $p$-aminomethyl group on the DKP-nitrogen benzyl substituent, while the latter unit is functionalised either with a $p$-hydroxymethyl $(11, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O})$ or with a $p$-aminomethyl group (12, $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NH}$ ) on the 4-benzylaminoethyl substituent. Ideally, both substitutions on the cyclo[DKP-RGD] ligand $3^{33}$ and on the ABD SMAC mimetic $6^{29}$ should not affect their binding affinity to integrin $\alpha_{\mathrm{V}} \beta_{3}$ and to IAPs, respectively. 1,4Butanedioic acid is used as a relatively short linker, because the distance between the DKP and the ABD scaffolds (21 atoms, including two phenyl groups) is largely determined by the DKPnitrogen $p$-aminomethylbenzyl and the ABD 4- $(p-\mathrm{X}-$ methylbenzylaminoethyl) substitutions.

Compound 13 (Figure 3) is made by coupling a cyclo[ABNRGD] integrin ligand unit 2, where the original 4-hydroxymethyl substitution is replaced by 4 -aminomethyl group, with the ABD SMAC mimetic 5, where the pro- $(S)$ phenyl of the original Cterminal diphenylmethylamide is replaced by a carboxylic group. Once again, ideally both substitutions should be well tolerated in terms of binding affinities to the respective molecular targets. ${ }^{16,34} 11-$ Aminoundecanoic acid is used as a relatively long linker, to keep a suitable distance (18 atoms) between the ABN and ABD scaffolds.

## Cyclo[DKP-RGD]-ABD dual action compounds 11 and 12

Our synthetic strategy to the ester-connected conjugate 11 starts from previously reported $N$-Boc protected amine 16 (Scheme 1 ). ${ }^{28}$ Its C-terminal $\mathrm{CONH}-\mathrm{CHPh}_{2}$ amide contributes to BIR/IAP
binding, ${ }^{28,35}$ while its 4 -aminoethyl function is used as a connection for the linker, which is built as shown in Scheme 1.

Namely, amine $\mathbf{1 6}^{28}$ is submitted to a reductive alkylation (steps b, c, Scheme 1) with 4-hydroxymethyl benzaldehyde 15 (obtained by selective reduction with sodium borohydride of terephthalaldehyde 14 , step a). The resulting aminoalcohol 17 is chemoselectively $N$ Boc protected (step d), and finally bis- $N$-Boc protected benzyl alcohol 18 is acylated with succinic anhydride (step e, Scheme 1) to yield the ester-connected construct 19. The overall yield for the reaction steps from $\mathbf{1 6}$ to $\mathbf{1 9}$ is a rather good $62 \%$. A single direct phase chromatographic column (step c) is needed to purify the reaction products.

Construct 19 is then coupled to the previously reported cyclo[DKP-RGD] derivative $\mathbf{2 0}^{33}$ (steps a, b, Scheme 1), to give the bis- $N$-Boc protected, ester-connected conjugate 21 . The multifunctional nature of both coupling partners and the presence of potentially reactive functions (e.g., the guanidine and carboxylate functions in 20) requires the initial activation of the carboxylic group of $\mathbf{1 9}$ (step f), followed by its coupling with the amino group of $\mathbf{2 0}$ (step g) under carefully controlled conditions. Namely, coupling at pH 7.5 largely prevents side reactions involving the unprotected functionalities of $\mathbf{2 0}$, and provides an acceptable $40 \%$ overall yield. Finally, acidic hydrolysis of the $N$-Boc groups leads to quantitative deprotection and isolation of target cyclo[DKP-RGD]-ABD, esterconnected dual action conjugate 11 (Scheme 1). HPLC purification is required to obtain pure compounds 21 and 11.

Construct 19 is then coupled to the previously reported cyclo[DKP-RGD] derivative $\mathbf{2 0}^{33}$ (steps a, b, Scheme 1), to give the bis- $N$-Boc protected, ester-connected conjugate 21 . The multifunctional nature of both coupling partners and the presence of potentially reactive functions (e.g., the guanidine and carboxylate functions in 20) requires the initial activation of the carboxylic group of $\mathbf{1 9}$ (step f), followed by its coupling with the amino group of $\mathbf{2 0}$ (step g) under carefully controlled conditions. Namely, coupling at pH 7.5 largely prevents side reactions involving the unprotected functionalities of $\mathbf{2 0}$, and provides an acceptable $40 \%$ overall yield. Finally, acidic hydrolysis of the $N$-Boc groups leads to quantitative deprotection and isolation of target cyclo[DKP-RGD]-ABD, esterconnected dual action conjugate $\mathbf{1 1}$ (Scheme 1). HPLC purification is required to obtain pure compounds 21 and 11.

Our synthetic strategy to the amide-connected conjugate 12, and in particular to the key bis- N -Boc protected carboxylate 28, is shown in Scheme 2. Amine $\mathbf{1 6}^{28}$ is submitted to a reductive alkylation (steps c, d, Scheme 2) with ( $p$-aminomethyl)benzaldehyde 24, obtained in turn by chemoselective $N-\mathrm{Cbz}$ protection of $(p-$ aminomethyl)phenyl methanol 22 (step a), followed by partial oxidation with manganese dioxide of ( $p$-Cbz-aminomethyl)phenyl methanol 23 (step b). The resulting $N-\mathrm{Cbz}, N$-Boc protected diamine $\mathbf{2 5}$ is $N$-Boc protected on its free secondary amine (step e), its primary amine is deprotected by hydrogenolysis (step f), and finally bis- $N$-Boc protected diamine 27 is acylated with succinic anhydride (step g, Scheme 2) to yield the amide-connected construct 28. The overall yield for the reaction steps from $\mathbf{1 6}$ to $\mathbf{2 8}$ is an excellent $82 \%$. A direct phase chromatographic column (step d) and a reverse phase HPLC purification (step g) are required to obtain pure 28.





Scheme 1 Synthesis of cyclo[DKP-RGD]-ABD SMAC mimetic, ester-connected dual action compound 11. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{NaBH} 4, \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O},-5^{\circ}$ to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}$, $83 \%$; (b) 15, DIPEA, dry MeOH, rt, 5 h ; (c) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, rt, $30 \mathrm{~min}, 80 \%$ (two steps); (d) $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, DIPEA, dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $24 \mathrm{~h}, 87 \%$; (e) succinic anhydride, DIPEA, DMAP, dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 90 \%$; (f) 19, $N$-hydroxysulfosuccinimide, DIC, dry DMF, rt, $18 \mathrm{~h} ;(\mathrm{g}) \mathbf{2 0}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, phosphate buffer, $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}, 40 \%$ over two steps; (h) TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, $50 \mathrm{~min}, 99 \%$.

Construct 28 is then coupled to the previously reported cyclo[DKP-RGD] derivative $\mathbf{2 0}^{33}$ (steps h, i, Scheme 2), to give the bis- $N$-Boc protected, amide-connected conjugate 29. Final acidic $N$ Boc deprotection (step j, Scheme 2) leads to target cyclo[DKP-RGD]-ABD, amide-connected dual action conjugate 12 in a good $49 \%$ overall yield.

The experimental conditions and purification protocols for each reaction step in Scheme 4 leading to conjugate $\mathbf{1 2}$ are identical to the corresponding steps described in Scheme 1. A comparison between the yields of corresponding steps (steps b-e, Scheme 1, and steps c-g,

Scheme 2; steps a-c, Scheme 1 and 2) show better results for amideconnected conjugate 12. It is reasonable to hypothesize a lower stability of the ester bond in ester-connected conjugate 11, and in intermediates 19 and 21, when compared with the amide bond in amide-connected conjugate 12, and in intermediates 28 and 29. This may lead to lower overall yields either under the reaction conditions, or during the work up-purification protocols.

## Trans-cyclo[ABN-RGD]-ABD dual action compound 13

Our synthetic strategy to the amide-connected conjugate $\mathbf{1 3}$ requires the synthesis of $N$-Boc protected carboxylic acid $\mathbf{3 0}$ (Scheme 3). Its

4-(2-benzoylaminoethyl) substituent contributes to BIR/IAP binding. ${ }^{28}$ The C-terminal carboxylic acid is the anchoring point for the linker.

The synthesis of $N$-Boc protected carboxylic acid 30 starts from the already reported tricyclic ester 31. ${ }^{28}$ Namely, simultaneous hydrogenolytic isoxazolidine opening and benzyl deprotection (step a, Scheme 3) and chemoselective $N$-Boc protection (step b) provided $N$-protected alcohol 32. Mesylation (step c) and microwave-assisted nucleophilic substitution (step d) led to $N$-protected aminonitrile 33. Acidic deprotection (step e, aminonitrile 34) was followed by amidation of the 3 -amino group with $N$-protected/methylated ( $S$ )aminobutyric acid (step f), yielding nitrile 35. Nitrile reduction was carried out using the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Cube}^{\mathrm{TM}}$ continuous flow hydrogenation apparatus (step g), and the amino function of compound 36 was benzoylated (step h). Finally, methyl ester $\mathbf{3 7}$ was hydrolysed under basic conditions (step i, Scheme 3) to provide $N$-Boc protected carboxylic acid 30. The overall yield for the nine reaction steps from 31 to 30 is an acceptable $32 \%$ (average reaction yield $=89 \%$ ). Four direct phase chromatographic separations (steps b, d, f, h) are needed to purify the reaction products.

The synthetic strategy designed to prepare the amide-connected linker construct 38 is reported in Scheme 4. 11-Aminoundecanoic acid 39 was esterified (step a, Scheme 4) and the resulting aminoester 40 was coupled with $N$-Boc-( $S$ )-phenylglycine using classical peptide coupling conditions (step b). Acidic deprotection (step c) of $N$-Boc protected 41 led to the aminoester linker 42 as a hydrochloride salt. The linker was then coupled to $N$-Boc protected carboxylic acid 30 (step d) to provide ester 43. Hydrolysis of the methyl ester (step e) yielded the expected amide-connected construct 38. The ester 43 was also $N$-deprotected (step f, Scheme 4) to provide the standard compound 44 for biological purposes (vide infra, Table 1). The overall yield of the reaction steps involving ABD SMAC mimetic intermediates (steps d, e; Scheme 4) is a moderate $37 \%$. Two direct phase chromatographic separations (steps $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d})$ are required to purify the reaction products. The carboxylic acid construct 38 was then coupled to previously reported cyclo[ABNRGD]methylamine $\mathbf{4 5}^{16}$ (step g, Scheme 4), to give the $N$-Boc protected, amide-connected conjugate 46. Final acidic $N$-Boc deprotection (step h, Scheme 4) led to target cyclo[ABN-RGD]ABD , amide-connected dual action conjugate 13 in a low, unoptimized two-step 17\% yield.

## Biology

## Compound profiling - rationale

The cell-free potency of the dual action conjugates 11-13 on the BIR domains of IAPs (SMAC mimetic unit), and on integrins $\alpha_{v} \beta_{3}$ and $\alpha_{v} \beta_{5}$ (cyclic RGD ligand unit) was investigated to confirm the affinity for their molecular targets. Then, their cytotoxicity on tumour cell lines characterized by various levels of cytoplasmic IAPs and membrane integrins was investigated.

## Cell-free assays - BIR domains/IAPs

Three BIR domain-based IAP portions were used to test the affinity of the dual action conjugates 11-13. The primary BIR3 binding site/domain from XIAP and cIAP2 was selected to
measure the affinity for two of the most therapeutically relevant IAPs. ${ }^{28,29,36}$ The bi-functional linker-BIR2-BIR3 portion from XIAP was selected to determine any SMAC dimer-like behaviour of the dual action conjugates 11-13. ${ }^{37,38}$ The standard monomeric SMAC mimetic amides 5 and 6 (Figure 1), and esters 7 (Figure 1) and 44 (Scheme 4) were tested for comparison. Compound 5 bears a 11, 12-like 4benzylaminoethyl substituent, compound 6 bears a 13-like 4benzoylaminoethyl substituent, compound 7 bears a C-terminus phenylglycinamide ester, while compound 44 bears a Cterminus phenylglycinamido-linker ester substituent. Their $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are reported in Table 1. The results clearly show that the attachment of a linker and a cyclic RGD ligand onto the SMAC mimetic unit is not significantly affecting its affinity for IAPs. Indeed, 4-connected dual action conjugates 11 and 12 and reference compounds 5 and 6 show comparable affinity for mono- and bi-functional domains from XIAP. The same is true for C -terminus connected dual action conjugate 13 and reference compounds 7 and 44. As expected, cyclic RGD-based reference compounds 2 and $\mathbf{3}$ did not show affinity for any BIR construct from IAPs.

The higher affinity of dual action conjugates 11-13 for cIAP proteins is generally observed with monomeric SMAC mimetics. ${ }^{29}$

Table 1. $\mathrm{IC}_{50 \mathrm{~s}}$ of compounds 2, 3, 5-7, 11-13, 44 on BIR portions from IAPs.

| Compound | BIR3, XIAP <br> (nM) | I-BIR2-BIR3, <br> XIAP (nM) | BIR3, cIAP2 <br> (nM) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $52.0 \pm 4.5$ | $50.5 \pm 7.8$ | $1.33 \pm 0.3$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $25.5 \pm 1.7$ | $57.5 \pm 5.8$ | $0.73 \pm 0.1$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $66.0 \pm 5.4$ | $175.8 \pm 27.5$ | $1.48 \pm 0.2$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $120.0 \pm 18.6$ | $55.0 \pm 13.1$ | NT |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $110.0 \pm 26.4$ | $27.0 \pm 12.4$ | NT |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $760.0 \pm 99.2$ | $190.0 \pm 49.1$ | NT |
| $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $90.4 \pm 12.2$ | $109.8 \pm 27.1$ | $1.57 \pm 0.2$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $>10,000$ | $>10,000$ | NT |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $>10,000$ | $>10,000$ | NT |

## Cell-free assays $-\alpha_{v} \beta_{3} / \alpha_{v} \beta_{5}$ integrins

The dual action conjugates 11-13 were tested in vitro for their ability to inhibit biotinylated vitronectin binding to the purified $\alpha_{v} \beta_{3}$ and $\alpha_{v} \beta_{5}$ receptors. ${ }^{32,33}$ The standard monomeric, integrin ligands 2 and 3 (Figure 1), built respectively on a cyclo[ABN-RGD], 13-like scaffold, and on a cyclo[DKP-RGD], 11, 12-like scaffold, were tested for comparison. Their $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are reported in Table 2. The three dual action conjugates $\mathbf{1 1 - 1 3}$ show nanomolar affinity for both $\alpha_{v} \beta_{3}$ (stronger interaction) and $\alpha_{v} \beta_{5}$ integrin (weaker interaction), with a limited loss in binding strength with respect to the reference compounds 2 and 3. However, their residual affinity (nanomolar) should be sufficient to target them towards integrin-expressing tumour cells. As expected, reference SMAC mimetics 5-7 and 44 did not show affinity for the $\alpha_{v} \beta_{3}$ and $\alpha_{v} \beta_{5}$ receptors. Based on these
promising cell-free results, we decided to examine the cytotoxic activity of the three dual action conjugates 11-13 on two different


Scheme 2 Synthesis of cyclo[DKP-RGD]-ABD SMAC mimetic, amide-connected dual action compound $\mathbf{1 2}$. Reagents and conditions: (a) DIPEA, $\mathrm{Cbz}-\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{dry} \mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$, $71 \%$; (b) $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$, dry THF, rt, $18 \mathrm{~h}, 97 \%$; (c) 24, DIPEA, dry MeOH, rt, 5 h ; (d) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{rt}, 30 \mathrm{~min}, 83 \%$ over two steps; (e) Boc $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, DIPEA, dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $24 \mathrm{~h}, 100 \%$; (f) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C} 10 \%$, THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, rt, $18 \mathrm{~h}, 100 \%$; (g) succinic anhydride, DIPEA, DMAP, dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 99 \%$; (h) $N$-hydroxysulfosuccinimide, DIC, dry DMF, rt, 18 h ; (i) 20, $\mathrm{CH}{ }_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, phosphate buffer, $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}, 49 \%$ over two steps; (j) TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, $50 \mathrm{~min}, 100 \%$.

## Cytotoxicity - Tumour cells

The dual action conjugates 11-13 were tested in cellular assays for their ability to kill tumour cells, either expressing integrin receptors on their surface or relying on IAP proteins to impair the physiological apoptotic process. We selected ovarian IGROV-1 carcinoma cells as representatives for the former, and breast cancer MDA-MB-231 cells for the latter group. The standard monomeric, integrin ligands 2 and 3 (Figure 1) and IAP inhibitors 5-7 and 44 (Figure 1 and Scheme 4), were tested for comparison. Their $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are reported in Table 3. The results clearly show that dual action conjugates 11-13 are endowed with moderate cytotoxic
activity. Namely, 4-ester-connected conjugate $\mathbf{1 1}$ and reference SMAC mimetic 5 are similarly potent against MDA-MB-231 cells. The same is true for C-terminus-connected conjugate $\mathbf{1 3}$ and reference SMAC mimetic 6 . The loss of cytotoxicity for 4 -amideconnected conjugate $\mathbf{1 2}$ with respect to 4 -ester-connected conjugate 11, may be due to ester hydrolysis and SMAC mimetic unit release for the latter compound, as observed by us with cyclic RGD ligandpaclitaxel conjugates. ${ }^{33}$ Surprisingly, C-terminus-amide connected conjugate $\mathbf{1 3}$ shows moderate cytotoxicity on MDA-MB-231 cells, although no hydrolysis and SMAC unit release can easily take place.


Scheme 3 Synthesis of the $N$-Boc protected carboxylic acid intermediate 30. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{HCOONH}_{4}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 4 / 1,2 \% \mathrm{AcOH}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}$, then $\mathrm{rt}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, TEA, dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, $20 \mathrm{~h}, 59 \%$ over two steps; (c) MsCl, TEA, dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 2 h ; (d) $n \mathrm{BuN} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CN}$, dry DMF, MicroWave, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 84 \%$ over two steps; (e) AcCl, dry MeOH , rt, $5 \mathrm{~min}, 100 \%$; (f) $N$-Boc, $N$-Me-(S)-2-aminobutyric acid (Abu), EDC.HCl, HOBt, DIPEA, dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 22 \mathrm{~h}, 82 \%$; (g) H 2 , 60 bar, Ni-Ra cartridge, citric acid, $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}$, continuous flow, $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$; (h) BzCl, TEA, dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, $5 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$; (i) $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $5 \mathrm{~h}, 88 \%$.

Table 2. Inhibition of biotinylated vitronectin binding to $\alpha_{v} \beta_{3}$ and $\alpha_{v} \beta_{5}$ receptors by
compounds 2, 3, 5-7, 11-13, 44.
compounds 2, 3, 5-7, 11-13, 44.

| Compound | $\left.\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{v}} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{I C}_{50} \mathbf{( n M}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{v}} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{5}} \mathbf{I C}_{\mathbf{5 0}} \mathbf{( n M} \mathbf{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $70.1 \pm 0.1$ | $900 \pm 380$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $36.5 \pm 0.6$ | $1500 \pm 700$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $105.2 \pm 3.4$ | $649 \pm 25$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $20.2 \pm 1.9$ | $205 \pm 34$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $4.5 \pm 1.1$ | $149 \pm 25$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $>10,000$ | $>10,000$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $>10,000$ | $>10,000$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $>10,000$ | $>10,000$ |
| $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $>10,000$ | $>10,000$ |

As expected, reference cyclic RGD ligands 2 and 3 per se do not show any cytotoxicity against integrin-rich IGROV-1 cells. ${ }^{32,33}$ The same is true for reference SMAC mimetics 5-7 and 44. Thus, we assumed that dual action conjugates 11-13 should also be inactive against IGROV-1 cells. Surprisingly, C-terminus-amide-connected conjugate $\mathbf{1 3}$ shows cytotoxicity on IGROV-1 cells, possibly suggesting an advantage for Cterminus connected dual action compounds in terms of cellular activity.

Table 3. Cytotoxicity of compounds 11-13, 2-3, 5-7, 44.

| Compound | MDA-MB-231 <br> $(\boldsymbol{\mu M})$ | IGROV-1 <br> $(\boldsymbol{\mu M})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $9.7 \pm 1.6$ | $>25$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $>25$ | $>25$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $20.5 \pm 2.2$ | $11.5 \pm 2.5$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $>25$ | $>25$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $>25$ | $>25$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $13.5 \pm 1.6$ | $>25$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $8.1 \pm 0.9$ | $>25$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $>25$ | $>25$ |
| $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $>25$ | $>25$ |

## Conclusions

In this paper we report the rational design, synthesis and in vitro evaluation of cyclic RGD ligand-SMAC mimetic conjugates 11-13. We have successfully confirmed their affinity for the molecular target of each monomeric unit, and we have ascertained that the moderate cytotoxicity of their monomeric precursors can be maintained. Some preliminary results (e.g., the cytotoxic activity for C-terminus-amide connected conjugate $\mathbf{1 3}$ on IGROV-1 cells) indicate that the combination of a cyclic RGD ligand-based tumour targeting/anti-angiogenic unit with a SMAC mimetic-based proapoptotic unit may lead to synergistic/enhanced anticancer effects.


Scheme 4 Synthesis of cyclo[ABN-RGD]-ABD SMAC mimetic, amide-connected dual action compound 13. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{SOCl}{ }_{2}$, MeOH , rt to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 4 h, $98 \%$; (b) $N$-Boc-(S)-PheGly, EDC.HCl, HOBt, DIPEA, dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, $18 \mathrm{~h}, 72 \%$; (c) $3 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{dry} \mathrm{MeOH}$, rt, $18 \mathrm{~h}, 97 \%$; (d) 30, EDC•HCl, HOBt, DIPEA, dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 18 \mathrm{~h}, 63 \%$; (e) $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 5 \mathrm{~h}, 59 \%$; (f) $3 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{dry} \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$, quantitative; (g) HATU, HOAt, DIPEA, dry DMF, rt, 10 min, then 45 , rt, 72h, 30\%; (h): TFA/thioanisole/1,2-ethanedithiol/anisole 90:5:3:2, rt, 2h, 57\%.

More generally, we plan to investigate the influence of linker composition (e.g., PEG-based or amides) and hindrance (e.g., rigid/constrained linkers, short linkers), and of overall physico-chemical (e.g., lipophilicity) and electronic properties (e.g., 4-substituents on the ABD SMAC mimetic unit) on biological activity. We aim to establish a detailed SAR, in order to evaluate the potential for cyclic RGD ligand-SMAC mimetic conjugates as anticancer agents in vitro and in vivo.

## Experimental

## Materials and Methods

Reactions requiring anhydrous conditions were carried out in flame-dried glassware, with magnetic stirring and under a
nitrogen atmosphere. Commercially available reagents were used as received. Anhydrous solvents were purchased from commercial sources and withdrawn from the container by syringe, under a slight positive pressure of nitrogen. N -Boc, N -Me-(S)-2-aminobutyric acid, ${ }^{28}$ SMAC amine 16, ${ }^{28}$ cyclo[DKPRGD]benzylamine 20, ${ }^{33}$ SMAC tricyclic ester 31, ${ }^{28}$ and cyclo[ABN-RGD]methylamine $45{ }^{16}$ were prepared according to literature procedures. Their analytical data were in agreement with those already published. Reactions were monitored by analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) using silica gel 60 $\mathrm{F}_{254}$ pre-coated glass plates ( 0.25 mm thickness). Visualization was accomplished by irradiation with a UV lamp and/or staining with a potassium permanganate alkaline solution or ninhydrin. Flash column chromatography was performed
according to the method of Still and co-workers using Chromagel 60 ACC $(40-63 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ silica gel. Proton NMR spectra were recorded on a spectrometer operating at 400.16 MHz . Proton chemical shifts are reported in $\mathrm{ppm}(\delta)$ with the solvent reference relative to tetramethylsilane (TMS) employed as the internal standard. The following abbreviations are used to describe spin multiplicity: $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, q $=$ quartet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, $\mathrm{br}=$ broad signal, $\mathrm{dd}=$ doublet of doublet. Carbon NMR spectra were recorded on a spectrometer operating at 100.63 MHz , with complete proton decoupling. Carbon chemical shifts are reported in $\mathrm{ppm}(\delta)$ relative to TMS with the respective solvent resonance as the internal standard. HPLC purifications were performed on a Dionex Ultimate 3000 instrument equipped with a Dionex RS Variable Wavelenght Detector (column: Atlantis ${ }^{\circledR}$ Prep T3 OBD ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}} 5 \mu \mathrm{~m} 19 \times 100$ mm ). High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were performed on a Fourier Transform Ion Cyclotron Resonance (FT-ICR) Mass Spectrometer APEX II \& Xmass software (Bruker Daltonics) 4.7 T Magnet (Magnex) equipped with ESI source, available at CIGA (Centro Interdipartimentale Grandi Apparecchiature) c/o Università degli Studi di Milano. Low resolution mass spectra (MS) were recorded on a Waters Acquity ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ UPLC-MS instrument (ESI source).

## Chemistry

## General procedure for the synthesis of compound 11.

4-hydroxymethylbenzaldehyde 15. Terephthalaldehyde 14 (1.0 g, $7.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ eq.) was dissolved in 12.5 mL of $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (95:5), and then 17.5 mL of THF were added. To the resulting stirred solution, $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}\left(70 \mathrm{mg}, 1.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.25 \mathrm{eq}\right.$.) was added at $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in small portions over 30 minutes. The mixture was stirred for 6 hrs , while the temperature was maintained at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was then adjusted to $\mathrm{pH}=5$ with 2 M HCl . The solvent was evaporated at reduced pressure, then $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the solution was extracted three times with AcOEt. The resulting organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvent was evaporated at reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (n-hexane/AcOEt, 1:1 as eluant) to afford the pure desired product $\mathbf{1 5}$ as a white solid ( $840 \mathrm{mg}, 6.18$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 83 \%$ ).
$\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.5(\mathrm{Hex} / \mathrm{AcOEt}, 4: 6) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.82$ (s, 1H), 7.71 (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.65$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) $\delta$ 192.6, 148.8, 135.9, 130.2, 127.3, 64.5.
tert-butyl ((S)-1-(((3S,6S,7R,9aS)-3-(benzhydrylcarbamoyl)-7-(2-((4 (hydroxymethyl)benzyl) amino)ethyl)-5-oxooctahydro-1H-pyrrolo[1,2-a]azepin-6-yl)amino)-1-oxobutan-2-
$y l)\left(\right.$ methyl carbamate 17. A solution of SMAC amine $\mathbf{1 6}^{28}$ (50 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.074 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05 \mathrm{eq}$.$) , DIPEA ( 18 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.105 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ eq.) in dry $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a flask containing compound 15 ( $9.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.074 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ eq.) under nitrogen
atmosphere. The mixture was let stirring at room temperature for $5 \mathrm{hrs} . \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ ( $5.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ eq.) was then added stepwise ( 5 additions within 3 min ), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature under nitrogen for 30 minutes. The mixture was then concentrated under vacuum. 5 mL of a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ were added, then the mixture was extracted with AcOEt ( 7 mL , three times). The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvent was evaporated at reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9: 1\right.$ as eluant $)$ to afford the pure desired product 17 as a white foam $(41 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.059 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%$ yield).
$\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9: 1\right) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{THF}-d_{8}\right) \delta$ $8.27(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 6.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.81 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 4.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60-4.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $4.00-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{bs}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $1.47-1.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.32 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{THF}-d_{8}\right) \delta 172.3,171.5,170.6,157.0,143.5,143.3,142.7$, $129.2,129.0,128.9,128.2,128.1,127.6,127.5,127.0 ., 80.2$, 80.1, 79.7, 67.9, 67.7, 67.4, 67.2, 66.9, 66.7, 66.6, 64.6, 64.4, $53.4,53.1,49.3,48.4,47.1,35.0,34.9,34.6,34.4,34.3,33.9$, $33.7,33.5,31.8,27.7,27.4,27.1,25.5,25.3,25.1,24.9,24.7$, 22.4, 22.2, 22.2; MS (ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right]^{+}: 740.44$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; found: 739.75.
tert-butyl ((S)-1-(((3S,6S,7R,9aS)-3-(benzhydrylcarbamoyl)-7-(2-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)(4-
(hydroxymethyl)benzyl) amino)ethyl)-5-oxooctahydro-1H-
pyrrolo[1,2-a]azepin-6-yl)amino)-1-oxobutan-2-
yl)(methyl)carbamate 18. A solution of compound 17 ( 41 mg , $0.056 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$.) and DIPEA ( $19 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.112 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{eq}$.) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred in an ice bath under nitrogen atmosphere. A solution of $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}(17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.079 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4 \mathrm{eq}$.) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hr under nitrogen atmosphere, then stirring was continued overnight at room temperature. Then, additional $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(6.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5\right.$ eq.) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added, and stirring continued for 4 hrs . The solvent was removed at reduced pressure, and the crude product was passed through a plug of silica gel $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 95: 5\right.$ as eluant) to afford the desired pure product 18 as a white foam ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.049 \mathrm{mmol}, 87 \%$ yield).
$\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9: 1\right) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, acetone- $\left.d_{6}\right)$ $\delta 8.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.70 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 6.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.66 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.92 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.76 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.58-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{t}, J=5.76 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.58(\mathrm{~m}$, $7 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=7.38$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); MS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{8}\right]^{+}: 840.49$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; found: 840.45.

4-((4-)((2-((3S, 6S, 7R,9aS)-3-(benzhydrylcarbamoyl)-6-((S)-2-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)(methyl) amino)butanamido)-5-oxooctahydro-1H-pyrrolo[1,2-a]azepin-7-yl) ethyl)(tert-
butoxycarbonyl)amino)methyl)benzyl)oxy)-4-oxobutanoic acid
19. Compound $\mathbf{1 8}$ ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.020 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ eq.) was dissolved in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.12 \mathrm{~mL})$. Then DIPEA ( $4.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.024 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ eq.) was added and the mixture was stirred in an ice bath. DMAP ( $1.46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.6 \mathrm{eq}$.$) and succinic anhydride$ ( $3.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.8$ eq.) were added. The reaction was stirred at room temperature under nitrogen atmosphere for 2 hrs. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was then added and the solution was washed with a solution of $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KHSO}_{4}(7 \mathrm{~mL}$, twice). The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(7 \mathrm{~mL}$, once), then the collected organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated at reduced pressure to afford the desired product 19 as a white foam that was used without further purification ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%$ yield).
$\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9: 1\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, acetone $\left.-d_{6}\right)$ $\delta 10.72$ (bs, 1H), 8.19 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-6.98$ (m, $15 \mathrm{H}), 6.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63-4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.03-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.70-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-$ $2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$, $1.45-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (400 MHz , acetone- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 173.6,172.7,170.8,143.6,143.3,139.7$, $136.3,129.4,129.3,129.1,128.1,128 ., 127.9,79.8,66.3,62.1$, $60.7,59.2,57.3,55.8,49.6,45.4,34.6,34.0,33.6,30.1$ (overlapping with solvent signal), 29.8 (overlapping with solvent signal), 29.2, 28.6, 27.3, 22.2, 11.0; MS (ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{70} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{11}\right]^{+}$: $940.51[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; found: 940.33.

2-((1S, 5S, $11 S, 15 R)-18-(4-((4-)(4-(((2-((3 S, 6 S, 7 R, 9 a S)-3-$ (benzhydrylcarbamoyl)-6-((S)-2-((tert-
butoxycarbonyl)(methyl)amino)butanamido)-5-oxooctahydro-1H-pyrrolo[1,2-a]azepin-7-yl)ethyl)(tert-
butoxycarbonyl)amino)methyl)benzyl)oxy)-4-
oxobutanamido)methyl)benzyl)-11-(3-guanidinopropyl)-
4,7,10,13,17,19-hexaoxo-3,6,9,12,16,18-
hexaazabicyclo[13.2.2]nonadecan-5-yl)acetic acid 21. Compound 19 ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved with 1 mL of DMF under nitrogen atmosphere in a flame dried Schlenk tube. $N$-hydroxysulfosuccinimide ( $5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.023 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.24 eq.) and $N, N^{\prime}$-diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $4.18 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.027$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.52 \mathrm{eq}$.$) were then added at room temperature. The$ solution was stirred overnight, then DMF was removed under vacuum. The residue was then dissolved in the same Schlenk tube with 1.2 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, and a solution of compound $\mathbf{2 0}^{33}$ $(12.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0144 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.8$ eq.) in 1 mL of phosphate buffer solution (the pH was adjusted to 7.5 with 0.2 M NaOH ) was then added. The resulting mixture was cooled at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, adjusting the pH to 7.5 with 0.2 M NaOH , and stirring was continued overnight at room temperature. The reaction was then concentrated at reduced pressure and purified by HPLC (gradient: from $90 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+0.2 \% \mathrm{HCOOH} / 10 \%$ acetonitrile + $0.2 \% \mathrm{HCOOH}$ to $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+0.2 \% \mathrm{HCOOH} / 70 \%$ acetonitrile $+0.2 \% \mathrm{HCOOH}$ in 15 minutes). The purified product was then freeze-dried to give the desired pure compound 21 as a white foam ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.072 \mathrm{mmol}, 40 \%$ yield).
$\boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbf{R}}=12.7 \mathrm{~min} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34-8.15(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-$
$7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 6.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21-5.03(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $4.84-4.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58-4.27(\mathrm{~m}$, $7 \mathrm{H}), 4.24-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07-3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52$ (d, $J=17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45$ (dd, $J=14.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29-3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.14-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.74-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.16(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 33 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}$ (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 174.2,174.0,173.7,173.6,173.0,172.9$, $172.3,172.2,172.2,171.3,171.2,171.1,158.6,143.1,140.2$, 136.7, 135.9, 135.9, 129.7, 129.5, 129.3, 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, $128.3,81.4,67.1,62.8,62.2,60.6,59.7,58.3,56.9,54.3,53.2$, $51.3,50.6,48.1,46.0,43.9,43.8,42.2,40.1,40.1,39.9,37.8$, $34.0,33.6,31.4,31.1,31.0,30.8,30.4,28.9,28.8,27.7,26.5$, 23.0, 11.1; MS (ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{79} \mathrm{H}_{106} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{18}\right]^{+}: 1552.78$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; found: 1552.85 .

## 2-((1S, 5S, $11 S, 15 R)-18-(4-((4-)(4-(((2-((3 S, 6 S, 7 R, 9 a S)-3-$

(benzhydrylcarbamoyl)-6-((S)-2-(methylamino)butanamido)-5-oxooctahydro-1 H-pyrrolo[1,2-a]azepin-7-
yl)ethyl)amino)methyl)benzyl)oxy)-4-
oxobutanamido)methyl)benzyl)-11-(3-guanidinopropyl)-4,7,10,13,17,19-hexaoxo-3,6,9,12,16,18-
hexaazabicyclo[13.2.2]nonadecan-5-yl)acetic acid 11. Compound 21 ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0058 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ eq.) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. TFA ( $66 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.87 \mathrm{mmol}, 150$ eq.) was then added, and stirring was continued at room temperature for 50 minutes. The solvent and TFA were removed at reduced pressure, and the crude product was purified by HPLC (gradient: from $90 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+0.1 \% \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOH} / 10 \%$ acetonitrile $+0.1 \% \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$ to $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+0.1 \% \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOH} / 70 \%$ acetonitrile $+0.1 \% \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$ in 14 min ). The purified product was then freeze-dried to give the trifluoroacetate salt of the desired pure compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ as a white foam $(9.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0057$ mmol, $99 \%$ yield).
$\boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbf{R}}=8.7 \mathrm{~min} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H})$, $6.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.75(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.9,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31-4.23$ (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-3.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.89-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.0,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.07-2.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82-2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.65-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.55-2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.26-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.13-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}$, overlapping with solvent signal), $0.92(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 173.9,173.2$, $173.1,173.0,172.2,171.2,170.8,170.6,170.6,170.0,168.6$, $168.5,157.9,143.1,142.9,140.1,138.7,135.4,131.8,131.2$, $129.7,129.3,129.1,128.8,128.4,128.3,128.0,66.4,63.2$, $62.4,60.3,59.2,58.0,56.5,54.9,52.8,51.7,50.0,48.2,46.4$, $43.4,43.2,41.8,39.8,38.9,37.3,35.5,33.6,32.9,32.5,32.3$, 31.1, 30.2, 29.0, 28.6, 26.9, 26.0, 23.9, 9.1; MS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{69} \mathrm{H}_{90} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{14}\right]^{+}: 1352.68[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; found: 1353.41; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{69} \mathrm{H}_{90} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{14}\right]^{+}$: $1352.67862[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; found: 1352.68112 .

## General procedure for the synthesis of compound 12.

The general procedure for the synthesis of compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ is reported in the Electronic Supplementary Information.

## General procedure for the synthesis of compound 13.

(3S,6S,7S,9aS)-methyl 6-(tert-butoxycarbonylamino)-7-(hydroxymethyl)-5-oxooctahydro-1H-pyrrolo[1,2-a]azepine-3carboxylate 32. Compound $\mathbf{3 1}^{28}(1.06 \mathrm{~g}, 3.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$.$) ,$ ammonium formate ( $2.23 \mathrm{~g}, 34.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 11.2$ eq.) and palladium hydroxide on carbon ( $320 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \%$ ) were dissolved in a mixture of THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 4: 1+2 \%$ of acetic acid ( 300 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 hrs , then at rt overnight. The reaction mixture was then filtered over celite, washing with THF and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The solvent was then removed at reduced pressure, obtaining 1.12 g of crude aminoalcohol that was used without any further purification.
A solution of $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.73 \mathrm{~g}, 7.67 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ eq.) in 10 mL of dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was prepared and stirred at room temperature under nitrogen atmosphere. The previously prepared crude aminoalcohol (theoretical $3.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{e} . \mathrm{q}$ ) and dry TEA ( 1.1 $\mathrm{mL}, 7.89 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.6 \mathrm{eq})$ in 20 mL of dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were then added, and the reaction mixture was left under stirring at room temperature for 20 hrs . The reaction mixture was then diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed with $2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ of citric acid $5 \%$ solution, with $2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ of saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and with $2 \times 30$ mL of brine. The acqueous phase was washed with $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, the organic phases were dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent was removed at reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (nhexane/AcOEt 6:4 as eluant), yielding 643 mg of pure compound 32 as a white solid ( $1.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 59 \%$ yield over two steps).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.14(\mathrm{bd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=8.1), 4.25(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.95-$ $3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.55$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 173.1$, $171.4,158.3,81.3,65.3,61.3,59.6,55.9,53.0,42.5,34.2,33.5$, 31.8, 28.9, 28.4. MS (ESI): $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}: 356.19$; found: $257.0[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Boc}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
(3S,6S,7R,9aS)-methyl 6-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-7-(cyanomethyl)-5-oxooctahydro-1H-pyrrolo[1,2-a]azepine-3carboxylate 33. A 0.1 M solution of compound $32(210 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.59 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ eq.) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was prepared and stirred under nitrogen atmosphere; at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{MsCl}(101 \mathrm{mg}, 0.88$ mmol, 1.5 eq.) and dry TEA ( $246 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.76 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ eq.) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 2 hrs at room temperature. After reaction completion the solution was diluted in 20 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, washed with a $5 \%$ citric acid aqueous solution ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 50$ $\mathrm{mL})$ and brine ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated at reduced pressure. The crude mesylate ( 0.83 g , theoretical 1.91 mmol , quantitative yield) was used without any purification.

A 2 N solution of the crude mesylate in dry DMF ( 1 mL ) was prepared and $\mathrm{nBu}_{4}{ }^{+} \mathrm{CN}^{-}$( $770 \mathrm{mg}, 2.87 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added. The solution was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a microwave synthesizer for 2 hrs . The solvent was removed at reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography (n-hexane:EtOAc 4:6 as eluant). The desired pure product $33(0.59 \mathrm{~g}, 1.61 \mathrm{mmol})$ was obtained as a white powder (yield 84\%).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.83(\mathrm{bd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $7.9, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.93$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.42-2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $(100.6 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.4,169.6,80.4,60.6,58.8,56.3,52.6,37.8,34.1$, 33.5, 32.9, 28.4, 27.9, 21.0. MS (ESI): $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : 365.20 ; found: $366.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
(3S, 6S, 7R,9aS)-methyl 6-amino-7-(cyanomethyl)-5-oxooctahydro-1H-pyrrolo[1,2-a]azepine-3-carboxylate 34. Compound 33 ( $317 \mathrm{mg}, 0.87 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was dissolved in 2.8 mL of dry MeOH under nitrogen atmosphere at room temperature. Acetyl chloride ( $930 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 13.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 15 \mathrm{eq}$.$) was$ added one pot and under vigorous stirring. After 5 minutes a white solid started to precipitate. The solvent was then removed at reduced pressure, yielding 258 mg of desired pure compound 34 as a white solid ( 0.87 mmol , quantitative yield).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.58(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.19(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.80$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.61(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J$ $=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.01-1.92 (m, 2H), 1.92-1.74 (m, 3H). ${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( 100.6 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.1,120.8,70.4,64.7,64.2,63.0,62.0,59.5,55.5$, 43.7, 40.7, 38.0, 37.4, 36.6, 36.1, 35.6, 35.3, 31.2, 31.1, 24.2 . MS (ESI): $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}: 265.14$; found: 266.27 $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$.
(3S, $6 S, 7 R, 9 a S)$-methyl
6-((R)-2-( (tert-butoxycarbonyl)(methyl)amino)butanamido)-7-(cyanomethyl)-5-oxooctahydro-1H-pyrrolo[1,2-a]azepine-3-carboxylate 35. A solution of of $N$-methyl- $N$-Boc-aminobutyric acid ( 270 mg , $1.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4 \mathrm{eq})$, EDC. $\mathrm{HCl}(240.3 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4 \mathrm{eq})$ and HOBt ( $181 \mathrm{mg}, 1.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in 2.5 mL of dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was prepared under nitrogen atmosphere, then $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of dry DIPEA ( $1.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.9 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were added. The solution was left under stirring for 10 minutes. A solution of compound $34(258 \mathrm{mg}, 0.87 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ and $320 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of dry DIPEA ( 1.79 mmol, 2.1 eq ) in 6.2 mL of dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was then added dropwise, and the reaction mixture was left under stirring at rt for 22 hrs . The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed with 20 ml of a citric acid $5 \%$ solution, 20 mL of a $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ saturated solution and 20 mL of brine. The organic phase was then dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent was removed at reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (n-hexane/EtOAc $4: 6$ as eluant), yielding 330 mg of desired pure compound $\mathbf{3 5}$ as a white solid ( $0.71 \mathrm{mmol}, 82 \%$ yield).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.14(\mathrm{bd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-$ $2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $(100.6 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 173.1,169.8,79.8,60.4,58.7,54.4,52.5,37.4,33.8$, 33.3, 32.6, 28.2, 27.6, 21.4, 10.5. MS (ESI): $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}: 464.26$; found: $465.54\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$.
(3S,6S,7R,9aS)-methyl 7-(2-aminoethyl)-6-((R)-2-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)(methyl)amino)butanamido)-5-oxooctahydro-1H-pyrrolo[1,2-a]azepine-3-carboxylate 36. Hydrogenation of the nitrile $\mathbf{3 5}$ was performed using the H -Cube ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ continuousflow hydrogenation reactor. Compound $35(130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28$ mmol, 1 eq ) was dissolved in 50 mL of $90 / 10 \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and 1 M aqueous citric acid ( 0.28 mmol ) was added. The reaction mixture was flowed through a $\mathrm{Ni} / \mathrm{Ra}$ cartridge (hydrogen pressure: $60 \mathrm{bar} ; \mathrm{T}=60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; flow $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ). The reaction was completed after 24 hours. The solvent was removed at reduced pressure. The crude desired compound 36 ( 0.13 g , 0.277 mmol , quantitative yield) was used for the next step without any purification.
MS (ESI): $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : 468.29 ; found: 469.40 $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$.
(3S, 6S, 7R,9aS)-methyl 7-(2-benzamidoethyl)-6-((R)-2-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)(methyl)amino)butanamido)-5-oxooctahydro-1H-pyrrolo[1,2-a]azepine-3-carboxylate 37. Compound 36 ( $234 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was dissolved under inert atmosphere in 5.0 mL of dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, then $350 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of dry TEA were added ( $2.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{eq}$ ). Benzoyl chloride ( $70 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60$ mmol, 1.2 eq) was slowly added under vigorous stirring. The solution turned from yellow to dark red. After 2 hrs additional TEA ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.7 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and benzoyl chloride ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.86 \mathrm{eq})$ were added. After 3 hrs the reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed with 30 mL of a $5 \%$ citric acid solution, 30 mL of a saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and 30 mL of brine. The organic phase was then dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. A brownish oil residue was purified by flash chromatography (n-hexane/EtOAc 1:9), yielding 264 mg of pure desired compound 37 as a white solid ( $0.46 \mathrm{mmol}, 92 \%$ yield).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right]$ Acetone) $\delta 7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{bs}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58-4.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.47$ $(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.01-1.84 (m, 5H), $1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (100.6 $\mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right]$ Acetone) $\delta 173.2,171.4,170.8,167.0,135.8,131.3$, $128.7,127.6,79.5,60.2,58.1,55.0,51.4,37.4,37.3,33.0,32.5$, 31.7, 27.5, 27.3, 21.3, 10.0. MS (ESI): $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ : 572.32 ; found: $573.8\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$.
(3S, $6 S, 7 R, 9 a S)-7-(2-$ benzamidoethyl $)-6-((R)-2-(($ tert-butoxycarbonyl)(methyl)amino)butanamido)-5-oxooctahydro-

1H-pyrrolo[1,2-a]azepine-3-carboxylic acid 30. A 0.1 M solution of compound $37(35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.061 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ in 1 mL of THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 3 / 1$ was prepared at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 2 N aqueous $\mathrm{LiOH}(92$ $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.183 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{eq})$ was slowly added. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes, then at room temperature for 5 hrs . The reaction was then quenched with 1 mL of 1 M aqueous $\mathrm{KHSO}_{4}$ and the resulting mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated at reduced pressure. The crude desired product $30(0.03 \mathrm{~g}, 0.054 \mathrm{mmol}$, yield $88 \%$ ) was used for the next step without any purification.
${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{bd}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.48-7.32 (m, 4H), $4.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{bs}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-$ $1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 174.2, 173.0, 127.6, 135.3, 131.5, 128.8, 128.7, 128.1, 127.7, 81.1, 60.7, 60.1, 58.6, 54.7, 37.2, 36.7, 32.8, 32.7, 32.5, 31.4, 30.7, 29.5, 28.1, 27.4, 22.7, 10.8. MS (ESI): $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ : 558.31; found: $559.7\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$.

11-Aminoundecanoic acid methyl ester 40. A 0.5 M solution of 11-Aminoundecanoic acid $39(5.09 \mathrm{~g}, 25.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was prepared and $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(2.93 \mathrm{~mL}, 40.4 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.6 eq) was slowly added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 hrs , then refluxed at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for additional 2 hrs . The solvent was then evaporated at reduced pressure and the solid residue was washed with 10 mL of dry $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, then filtered. The desired compound $40(5.3 \mathrm{~g}, 24.6 \mathrm{mmol}$, quantitative yield) was obtained as a white powder and used for the next step without any purification.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.25(\mathrm{bs}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.90$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.40-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H})$.
(S)-methyl 11-(2-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-2phenylacetamido)undecanoate 41. A solution of (S)-N-BocPhenylglycine ( $573 \mathrm{mg}, 2.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ), HOBt ( $369 \mathrm{mg}, 2.74$ mmol, 1.2 eq$)$, EDC $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}(524 \mathrm{mg}, 2.74 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq})$ and dry DIPEA ( $1.39 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred under nitrogen atmosphere for 15 minutes at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then a solution of compound $40(491 \mathrm{mg}, 2.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}) \mathrm{in}$ dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ was slowly added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. Then the reaction mixture was diluted in 50 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed with a $5 \%$ citric acid aqueous solution ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated at reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (n-hexane:EtOAc $6: 4$ as eluant), and desired pure product $41(0.74 \mathrm{~g}, 1.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ was obtained as a white foam (yield 72\%).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.40-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.87$ (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.76$ (bs, 1H), 5.13 (bs, 1H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 3.23 (dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.32(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 100.6 MHz ,
$\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 174.3,169.9,155.2,138.8,129.0,128.3,127.2,80.0$, 58.7, 51.4, 39.8, 34.1, 29.3, 29.2, 29.1, 28.3, 26.6, 24.9. MS (ESI): $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : 448.29; found: 471.3 [M $\left.+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$.
(S)-methyl 11-(2-amino)-2-phenylacetamido)undecanoate 42. Compound 41 ( $298 \mathrm{mg}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was dissolved in a 3 N methanolic HCl solution ( $11 \mathrm{~mL} 33 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and stirred under inert atmosphere at room temperature overnight. The reaction was then quenched with 10 mL of saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated at reduced pressure. The desired compound $\mathbf{4 2}$ was obtained ( 0.22 $\mathrm{g}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}$, yield $97 \%$ ) and used for the next step without any purification.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.42-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.03$ (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{ddd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.31(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.35-1.23(\mathrm{~m}$, $12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 175.0,173.5,141.7$, $129.3,128.4,127.3,60.0,51.4,39.2,34.0,29.4,29.2,29.1$, 29.0, 26.7, 24.8. MS (ESI): $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}: 348.24$; found: $349.6\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$.

Methyl 11-((S)-2-((3S, 6S, 7R,9aS)-7-(2-benzamidoethyl)-6-((R)-2-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)(methyl)amino)butanamido)-5-oxooctahydro-1H-pyrrolo[1,2-a]azepine-3-carboxamido)-2-
phenylacetamido) undecanoate 43. Compound 30 ( 45.0 mg , $0.081 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ), HATU ( $47.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.124 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4 \mathrm{eq}$ ), HOAt ( $24.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.178 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and dry DIPEA ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{eq})$ were dissolved in dry DMF ( 0.6 mL ) under nitrogen atmosphere at room temperature. The solution was left under stirring for 10 minutes. Then a solution of compound 42 $(46.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.122 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4 \mathrm{eq})$ and dry DIPEA $(100 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.56$ mmol, 6 eq) in dry DMF ( 0.6 mL ) was prepared under nitrogen atmosphere, and was added dropwise. The resulting reaction mixture was left under stirring at rt for 43 hours. Then, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, the crude was dissolved in EtOAc ( 90 mL ) and washed with a $5 \%$ citric acid aqueous solution ( 25 mL ) anda saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 25 mL ). The organic phase was then dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent was removed at reduced pressure. The crude product ( 100 mg ) was purified by flash chromatography (EtOAc 100\% as eluant), and desired pure product $43(44.1 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.050 mmol , yield $61 \%$ ) was obtained as a white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}, \approx 1: 1 \mathrm{mixture}\right.$ of two conformers) $\delta 8.61(\mathrm{bd}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.33$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 7.88-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H})$, $7.52-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 5.36(\mathrm{~d}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.34(\mathrm{~d}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.59(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.04$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{td}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.57-$ $1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.26-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H})$, 0.81 (bt, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $100.6 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right]$ Acetone, $\approx 1: 1$ mixture of two conformers) $\delta 172.2,170.2,170.5,170.1,169.9$,
$167.0,140.1,139.5,135.8,131.3,129.0,128.8,128.7,128.6$, 128.2, 128.1, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, 61.2, 61.1, 58.3, 57.2, 57.0, $54.9,39.1,37.3,34.1,33.4,33.1,32.9,32.7,31.9,27.6,26.4$, 26.3, 24.7, 10.0. MS (ESI): $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{9}: 889.13$; found: $890.0\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$.

11-((S)-2-((3S, 6S, 7R,9aS)-7-(2-benzamidoethyl)-6-((R)-2-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)(methyl)amino)butanamido)-5-oxooctahydro-1H-pyrrolo[1,2-a]azepine-3-carboxamido)-2-
phenylacetamido) undecanoic acid 38. Compound 43 ( 34.1 mg , $0.038 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ was dissolved in THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 3 / 1(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, and 2 N aqueous $\mathrm{LiOH}(60 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.122 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{eq})$ was slowly added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 hrs . Then the reaction mixture was quenched with 1 M aqueous $\mathrm{KHSO}_{4}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, diluted with distilled water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted sequentially with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and EtOAc ( 3 x 15 mL ). The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated at reduced pressure. Desired compound 38 ( $0.0315 \mathrm{~g}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol}$, yield $95 \%$ ) was obtained as a white solid and used for the next step without any purification. MS (ESI): $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{70} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ : 874.52; found: 875.8 $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$.

Methyl 11-((S)-2-((3S,6S,7R,9aS)-7-(2-benzamidoethyl)-6-((S)-2-(methylamino)butanamido)-5-oxooctahydro-1H-pyrrolo[1,2-a]azepine-3-carboxamido)-2-phenylacetamido) undecanoate 44. Compound 43 ( $5.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0060 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was dissolved under inert atmosphere in 3 N methanolic $\mathrm{HCl}(200 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. The reaction mixture was left under vigorous stirring at room temperature for 4 hours, then the solvent was slowly removed under reduced pressure. The pure desired acid $44(5.0 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.0060 mmol , quantitative yield) was obtained as a white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right]\right.$ Acetone, $\approx 1: 1$ mixture of two conformers) $\delta 8.64(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 8.57(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 8.538 \mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H})$, $8.45(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 8.16-8.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2.5 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~m}$, $0.5 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 5.53(\mathrm{~d}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 5.50(\mathrm{~d}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.44$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{td}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J$ $=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2.5 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H})$, $2.02-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2.5 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2.5 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-$ $1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2.5 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-$ $1.19(\mathrm{~m}, ~ 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 100.6 MHz , $\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right]$ Acetone, $\approx 1: 1$ mixture of two conformers) $\delta 130.4,128.0$, $127.6,127.3,127.2,126.8,126.7,61.0,60.4,57.3,56.1,54.6$, $49.6,38.1,36.4,36.1,35.7,35.6,32.7,32.6,32.5,32.4,32.1$, $31.9,31.8,31.7,31.3,29.3,28.3,28.2,26.2,26.1,25.5,25.4$, 23.7, 21.1, 21.0, 7.1.

Compound 46. Compound $38(31.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$, HATU ( $20.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.053 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ), HOAt ( $10.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.073$ mmol, 2 eq ) and dry DIPEA ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.8 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were dissolved in dry DMF $(200 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and stirred for 10 minutes at room temperature under nitrogen atmosphere. Then a solution of compound $45^{16}(40.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.051 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4 \mathrm{eq})$ in dry DMF $(300 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was left
stirring at room temperature for 72 hrs . The solvent was thoroughly removed under reduced pressure, then the crude was re-dissolved in EtOAc ( 50 mL ) and washed with a $5 \%$ citric acid solution ( 30 mL ) and with a saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (Biotage ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$, reverse phase, from $5 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / 95 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to $100 \%$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ as eluent), yielding pure 46 as a white solid ( 18.1 mg , $0.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 30 \%$ yield).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right]$ Acetone, mixture of two conformers) $\delta 8.51-8.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16-8.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.59(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.51(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.47-3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.23-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.44-2.31 (m, 3H), $2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 52 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~m}$, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (75.4 MHz, $\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right]$ Acetone, mixture of two conformers) $\delta 174.7,172.2,171.0,170.8,158.8,157.3,137.0$, $135.9,131.7,129.3,129.0,128.5,128.0,124.7,112.4,80.9$, $63.3,62.0,59.1,57.8,56.6,55.8,54.3,52.0,43.6,41.1,40.0$, $38.1,37.4,36.8,35.8,34.2,33.6,32.8,30.5,30.3,29.8,29.3$, $29.0,28.5,28.2,27.3,26.8,26.3,24.2,22.4,18.6,14.3,12.1$, 11.1, 8.0. MS (ESI): $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{84} \mathrm{H}_{123} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{18} \mathrm{~S}: 1662.89$; found: $1685.9\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$.
cyclo-RGD/SMAC mimetic conjugate 13. Compound 46 ( 16.1 mg , $0.0097 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$.$) was dissolved in TFA/thioanisole/1,2-$ ethanedithiol/anisole 90:5:3:2 (2 mL) and stirred at room temperature for 2 h . After reaction completion, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, then the crude was dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with $i \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was evaporated under reduced pressure and the crude purified by HPLC (Waters Atlantis Prep T3 OBD $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 19 \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}$, column; solvents: A) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+0.1 \%$ TFA, B) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}+0.1 \%$ TFA gradient from $90 \% \mathrm{~A}-10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ to $30 \% \mathrm{~A}-70 \% \mathrm{~B}$ over 15 min .; flow rate 15 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=210 \mathrm{~nm}, R_{\mathrm{t}}=8.8 \mathrm{~min}$ ). The purified product was then freeze-dried to give the trifluoroacetate salt of the desired pure compound $\mathbf{1 3}$ as a white foam ( $8.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0059 \mathrm{mmol}, 57 \%$ yield).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta: 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{q}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) 7.38-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.55-4.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 4.25-4.15 (m, 2H), 4.06 (m, 1H), 3.98-3.83 (m, 2H), 3.48-3.29 (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.24-2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 2.76-2.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.74$ $(\mathrm{m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.22-$ $1.11(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.10-0.99(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.90(\mathrm{t}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}){ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta: 178.3,175.2,174.6$, $173.8,172.3,171.5,171.0,136.9,132.9,129.9,129.6,127.9,127.8$, $63.6,62.9,62.5,59.0,57.3,57.1,56.7,53.7,52.3,43.2,41.2,40.9$, $40.1,38.3,35.8,33.6,33.0,32.3,31.7,30.9,29.2,29.1,28.3,27.7$, 26.5, 26.1, 25.2, 24.3, 9.0. HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{65} \mathrm{H}_{95} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ : 1294.7301; found: $1294.73243\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$.

## Biology

## General procedure for the fluorescence polarization-based BIR/IAP binding assay.

The affinity of each tested compound for the BIR domains of XIAP and cIAP2 was evaluated as previously described. ${ }^{46,50,51}$ Recombinant XIAP ( 60 nM final concentration) and cIAP2 (25 nM) BIR3, or XIAP BIR23 ( 3 nM ) domains were diluted in the assay buffer containing 100 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.5 , $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ bovine $\gamma$-globulin and $0.02 \%$ sodium azide. Serial dilutions of each tested compound (concentrations ranging from $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to 0.2 nM ) were added to displace a fluorescent probe (FITC-SMAC for BIR3 domains; SMAC-1F for BIR23) and fluorescence polarization was measured with the Ultra plate reader (Tecan), at excitation and emission wavelengths of 485 nm and 530 nm , respectively. All experiments were performed in black, flat-bottom 96 well plates (Greiner Bio-One). Three independent experiments were performed in duplicates. The analyses were performed using Graphpad Prism v.5.02.

General procedure for the solid-phase integrin receptor binding assay.
Purified $\alpha v \beta 3$ and $\alpha v \beta 5$ receptors (Millipore Corporation, Billerica, MA, USA) were diluted to $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ in coating buffer containing 20 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.4), 150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MnCl}, 2 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$, and 1 mM MgCl . An aliquot of diluted receptors ( 100 $\mu \mathrm{L} /$ well) was added to 96 -well microtiter plates (NUNC MW 96F Maxisorp Straight) and incubated overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The plates were then incubated with blocking solution (coating buffer plus $1 \%$ bovine serum albumin) for an additional 2 h at room temperature to block nonspecific binding, followed by 3 h incubation at room temperature with various concentrations $\left(10^{-5}-10^{-12} \mathrm{M}\right)$ of test compounds in the presence of biotinylated vitronectin $(1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$. Biotinylation was performed using an EZ-Link Sulfo-NHSBiotinylation kit (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA). After washing, the plates were incubated for 1 hr at room temperature with biotinylated streptavidin-peroxidase complex (Amersham Biosciences, Uppsala, Sweden) followed by 30 min incubation with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well Substrate Reagent Solution (R\&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA) before stopping the reaction with the addition of $50 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Absorbance at 415 nm was read in a Synergy ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ HT Multi-Detection Microplate Reader (BioTek Instruments, Inc.). Each data point represents the average of triplicate wells; data analysis was carried out by nonlinear regression analysis with GraphPad Prism software. Each experiment was repeated in triplicate.

## General procedure for the measurement of cytotoxic activity.

Human breast MDA-MB231 and ovarian IGROV-1 carcinoma cell lines were cultured in vitro with RPMI plus $10 \%$ fetal calf serum. For cytotoxic experiments, $10^{4}$ cells were plated in 96 well plates and grown overnight before being treated with serial dilutions of the compounds (concentrations ranging from 25 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ to 10 nM$)$. Cell viability was determined after 72 hours
using the CellTiter-Glo (Promega) assay for ATP. Three independent experiments were performed in triplicates.
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