Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/obc

Fluorophores displaying sensitive response to pH are reported. Structural variations allow fine tuning of pK_a and ratiometric intracellular pH imaging.



Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

Fluorescent Push-pull pH-responsive Probes for Ratiometric Detection of Intracellular pH

Martin Ipuy,^a Cyrielle Billon,^b Guillaume Micouin,^a Jacques Samarut,^b Chantal Andraud,^a and Yann Bretonnière^{*,a}

45

s Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

A family of fluorescent push-pull pH-responsive probes based on 2-dicyanomethylene-3-cyano-4,5,5trimethyl-2,5-dihydrofurane as strong electron acceptor group is described. Small structural variations allow obtaining pK_a ranging from 4.8 to 8.6 underlining the role of the substituent in modulating the

¹⁰ acidic properties. Remarkable changes in the optical properties (in particular the fluorescence intensity ratios) were observed as a function of pH. The most interesting probes with pK_a close to neutrality were used for ratiometric imaging of intracellular pH.

Introduction

Phenolic compounds are amongst the most important class of dyes ¹⁵ characterized by the acidity of the hydroxy group. The pK_a of phenol is 9.98, but when electron-withdrawing groups that can stabilize the phenoxide ion are present, a substantial change in acidity of the OH group can be noted.¹ For example, the ionization constants of *o*- and *p*-nitrophenol are several hundred times greater

- ²⁰ than that of phenol with pK_a of 7.23 and 7.15 respectively. Moreover, the hydroxy group OH is a weak electron donating group whereas the deprotonated O⁻ is a much stronger donating group with a negative charge than can delocalize along a conjugated path. As a consequence, the phenol and the corresponding phenolate ion
- ²⁵ display very different optical properties in absorption and emission, with the latter being usually much more emissive. This unique property has been intensively exploited in the design of fluorescent indicator for intracellular pH.² Most of the pH indicators developed so far (Fig. 1), such as 1,4-DHPN (1,4-dihydroxyphthalonitrile),³
- ³⁰ HPTS (1-hydroxypyrene-3,6,8-trisulfonate),⁴ BCECF (2',7'-Bis-(2-carboxyethyl)-5-(and-6-)carboxyfluorescein),⁵ or the long-wavelength fluorescent SNAFL (seminaphthofluoresceins),⁶, SNAFR (seminaphthofluorones),⁷ and SNARF (seminaphthorhodafluors),⁸ are phenol derivatives spanning the
- ³⁵ physiological pH from the different organelle (4.50-6.00 range) to the cytosol (6.80-7.40 range) and covering a wide range of absorption and emission wavelength. These indicators are often used as ratiometric (or dual) excitation or emission pH indicator because the absorption or emission profile of the fluorophore
- ⁴⁰ changes significantly with the pH. Given the importance of modulating the pK_a of phenol derivatives, finding new simple fluorescent structures containing a phenol group is of prime interest.



Fig. 1 Examples of commonly used phenol-based pH sensitive fluorophores.

2-dicyanomethylene-3-cyano-4,5,5-trimethyl-2,5-dihydrofurane (TCF) is a strong electron-withdrawing group that has been extensively used in the design of highly active nonlinear optical materials.⁹ In comparison, only very few examples described its ⁵⁰ used for the design of red fluorescent probes for bioimaging, only in combination with *N*,*N*-dialkylanilino groups as electron-donating group.¹⁰ Strong absorption, relatively high fluorescence quantum yield, and sensitivity of their fluorescence to local environment make them interesting for single molecule imaging^{10a,10e}, pH¹¹, ⁵⁵ thiol^{10d} or K⁺ sensors.^{10f} TCF dyes containing other electrondonating group such as methoxy have seldom been described. And yet, besides their highly environment sensitive optical properties, they have been be shown to be more photostable than their *N*,*N*dialkylanilino equivalents.¹² In this article we report the design, the

ARTICLE TYPE

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxx

Table 1 Spectroscopic properties of compounds 2a-2j.

			Phenoxide					
	$\lambda_{abs}(\epsilon)^{a}$	λ_{em}^{a}	$\Delta\lambda^{c}$	$\Phi^{d}(\mathfrak{s}.\Phi)$	$\lambda_{abs}(\epsilon)^{b}$	$\lambda_{em}^{\ b}$	$\Delta\lambda^{c}$	$\Phi^{e}(\epsilon.\Phi)$
	nm (L.mol ⁻¹ .cm ⁻¹)	nm	nm		nm (L.mol ⁻¹ .cm ⁻¹)		nm	
2a	448 (48 500)	563	115	0.011 (530)	588 (181 000)	614	26	0.002 (360)
2b	436 (39 400)	565	129	0.017 (670)	586 (238 000)	618	32	0.004 (950)
2c	438 (44 000)	560	122	0.015 (660)	584 (234 000)	619	35	0.007 (1640)
2d	414 (60 000)	542	128	0.015 (900)	537 (203 000)	614	77	0.053 (10760)
2e	428 (43 200)	550	122	0.005 (215)	570 (201 000)	611	41	0.012 (2410)
2f	423 (31 600)	-	-	-	574 (254 000)	626	52	0.012 (3050)
2g	465 (56 600)	595	130	0.01 (570)	605 (165 000)	650	45	< 0.005 (< 825)
2h	462 (60 800)	598	136	0.05 (3040)	610 (134 000)	644	34	< 0.002 (< 270)
2i	512 (52 300)	634	122	0.035 (1830)	632 (101 000)	655	23	0.003 (300)
2i	465 (51 500)	648	183	0.099 (5100)	-	-	-	-

^{*a*} in water at pH=2. ^{*b*} in water at pH=10. λ_{exc} was set at the wavelength of the isobestic point of the absorption-based pH titration. ^{*c*} Stokes shift. ^{*d*} Measured in DMSO using Coumarin 153 in methanol (Φ =0.54) as reference. ^{*e*} Measured in DMSO using cresyl violet in methanol (Φ =0.57) as reference.

⁵ synthesis and study of the physical and optical properties of a new series of simple push-pull dipolar phenol derivatives 2a-2j (Scheme 1) containing the 2-dicyanomethylene-3-cyano-4,5,5-trimethyl-2,5-dihydrofurane (TCF) ring. These pH responsive dyes are fluorescent in both the acidic (neutral) and basic (anionic) form and behave as
 ¹⁰ selective fluorescent pH receptor in aqueous solutions.

Results and discussion

The synthesis of **2a-g** (Scheme 1) involved a simple Knoevenagel condensation between TCF and the corresponding aldehyde. This reaction was performed by controlled microwave irradiation in othered and in presence of simplifying as established.

¹⁵ ethanol and in presence of piperidine as catalyst. The synthesis of TCF derivatives by microwave heating is well established and has been shown to give better yield than traditional heating.¹³ Using this simple procedure allowed recovering the compounds in good yield by simple filtration from the reaction mixture in average to good ²⁰ yields (39 to 87%).

Table 1 contains the spectroscopic data for compounds **2a-2i**. The absorption spectra of **2a-2j** in DMSO-water mixtures (1/5 v/v) at low pH where only the phenol forms are present display a broad band characteristic of a charge transfer transition. Absorption

- ²⁵ maxima ranged from 414 nm for 2d to 512 nm for 2i. When compared to the simplest compound 2a, introducing an electron-withdrawing groups in ortho position with respect to that of the phenol induced a hypsochromic shift in the absorption maxima (up to 34 nm for the nitro group in 2d). However, elongation of the
- ³⁰ conjugated path (2i-2j) or introduction of electron-donating group in ortho position (2g-2h) was characterized by a bathochromic shift in the absorption maximum wavelength (up to 64 nm for compound 2i).

The dramatic change in the absorption spectra (Fig. 2a) and 3a)) ³⁵ observed when the pH is increased was accounted for the formation of the phenoxide at higher pH (Scheme 2). The band centred around

'n HO 1a (R¹=H, R²=H) 2a (R¹=H, R²=H) 66% 1b (R¹=F, R²=H) 2b (R1=F, R2=H) 39% 1c (R¹=Cl, R²=H) 2c (R1=CI, R2=H) 45% 1d (R¹=NO₂, R²=H) 2d (R¹=NO₂, R²=H) 51% 1e (R¹=COOMe, R²=H) 2e (R1=COOMe, R2=H) 51% 1f (R¹=Cl, R²=Cl) 2f (R¹=Cl, R²=Cl) 60% 1g (R¹=OH, R²=H) 2g (R¹=OH, R²=H) 59% 1h (R¹=OCH₃, R²=H) 2h (R1=OCH3, R2=H) 55% piperidine cat. EtOH MW CN см ċм HC 2i 1i 73% CN ĊΝ ċΝ 1j 2j 87% Scheme 1 Synthesis of compounds 2a-2j.

460 nm gradually decreased and a new narrow absorption peak 40 appeared whose maximum was considerably red-shifted compared to the neutral form. The absorption maxima now ranged from 537 nm for **2d**, 588 nm for the simplest compound **2a** and up to 632 nm for **2i** with a naphthalene ring. The two bands interconverted with an isobestic point. The influence of the 45 substituent on the absorption of the phenoxides was less pronounced than for the neutral forms. Based on the absorption of **2a** only small hypsochromic shifts were observed. It has to be noted that for all



Fig. 2 a) Absorption spectra of 2a at different pH in Hepes buffer. b) Change of the absorbance at 590 nm ($A_{590 nm}$) over the pH range 2-10 with non-linear least-square fit of the experimental data c) Emission spectra of 2a at different pH in Hepes buffer (λ_{exc} =500 nm). d) Emission intensity ratio change ($F_{554 nm}/F_{614 nm}$) over the pH range 2–10.

compounds the molar absorption coefficient of the deprotonated form was much higher (around 5 times) than the protonated form (Table 1). The switch between the phenol and the phenoxide (Scheme 2) was fully reversible. The absorption of the phenol re-¹⁰ appeared upon re-acidification of the solution and no noticeable changes in the absorption of **2a** could be observed after 10 cycles between pH=4 and pH=10. ¹H NMR experiment confirmed the reversibility of the proton exchange (see SI).

Recording the absorption at various pH enabled determining the pK_a values of all compound by fitting by non linear regression the sigmoid response of absorption value *vs* pH according to equation (1):

$$A = \frac{K_a \times A_F + 10^{-pH} \times A_0}{K_a + 10^{-pH}}$$
(1)

were A_F and A₀ are respectively the final (high pH) and the initial ²⁰ (low pH) absorption. The pK_a values obtained (Table 2) varied from 4.2 for **2f** to 8.9 for **2j**, spanning the intracellular pH range. As expected, installation of electro-withdrawing group ortho to the hydroxy group induced a decrease of the pK_a value,¹⁴ the nitro substituted compound **2d** being almost 1000 time more acidic than

- ²⁵ the parent **2a**. Surprisingly, introduction of OR (OH and OCH₃) electro-donating group ortho to the hydroxy group also induced a small decrease in the pK_a probably due to the inductive withdrawing effect of the O atom. Indeed a good correlation was found when plotting the pK_a value of all phenyl compounds (i.e. **2a-2h**, Fig. 4)
- $_{30}$ vs the Hammet σ_m value (Table 2) characteristic of the inductive effect of the substituent. 15 The deviation observed for compound 2e bearing an ester group can be explained by the presence of a five members hydrogen bonding between the OH group and the C=O bond of the ester that stabilizes the phenol and decreases its acidity.
- The fluorescence properties of all compounds were also studied in water; first at low pH where only the neutral form was present, then the pH was increased. Significant differences were observed depending on the nature of the substituent group. For the four compounds having the highest pK_a (2a, 2g, 2h and 2j), as well as 2i,
- ⁴⁰ at low pH excitation at the wavelength of the isobestic point of the absorption-based *pH* titration gave rise to a single emission (Fig.2c),



Fig. 3 a) Absorption spectra of **2d** at different pH in Hepes buffer. b) Change of the absorbance at 540 nm ($A_{540 nm}$) over the pH range 2-10 10 with non-linear least-square fit of the experimental data. c) Emission spectra of **2d** at different pH in Hepes buffer (λ_{exc} =455 nm). d) Emission intensity ratio change ($F_{533 nm}/F_{617 nm}$) over the pH range 2–10.



Scheme 2 pH modulated switching of 2a.

- ⁵⁰ and SI-5 to SI-8) attributed to the phenol. This emission was characterized by a large band with a red shift in the maxima and a large Stokes' shift increasing from 115 nm for **2a** and up to 183 nm for **2j** with the presence of ortho-electron-donating group. When the pH was increased, the emission of the phenoxide appeared as a narrow band with a small Stokes' shift. The only exception was **2j** for which the phenoxide was hardly fluorescent. The introduction of an electron donating group ortho to the phenol induced a considerable red shift in the emission of the phenoxide (up to 40 nm for **2g**).
- ⁶⁰ For the other compounds with lower pKa (i.e. 2b-2f), excitation of the neutral form at low pH resulted in dual emission of phenol and phenoxide (Fig. 3c), and SI-1 to SI-4). The emission of the phenol was also characterized by a large band and a large Stokes' shift, whereas the emission of the phenoxide ion was narrow and ⁶⁵ displayed a smaller Stokes' shift. The presence of electronwithdrawing group ortho to the phenol induced a blue shift in the emission maxima of the phenol compared to 2a, but a small increase in the Stokes' shift.

Contrary to what was recently reported for other phenol based ⁷⁰ fluorophores,¹⁶ for which two inflexion points corresponding to the ground state pK_a and the excited state pK_a (pK_a *) were observed, for all compounds **2a-2j** plot of the maximum intensities *vs* pH displayed only one inflexion point (data not shown). Fitting gave pK_a values closed to what was obtained by fitting the absorption ⁷⁵ data. This is surprising because estimation of the excited state pK_a (pK_a^* , Table 2) using the Förster cycle equation¹⁷ (2):

10



Fig. 4 Plot of pKa as a function of σ_m for phenyl compounds 2a-2h showing the good correlation between the pKa value and the inductive effect of the substituent. The solid line is the linear fit (r=0.971).

Table 2 Hammet σ_m constant, pK_a and excited state pK_a^* of compounds 5 **2a-2j**.

	Substituent group	$\sigma_m{}^a$	pK _a ^b	$pK_a * c$
2a	Н	0	7.6	0.5
2b	F	0.34	6.4	-1.3
2c	Cl	0.37	6.0	-1.8
2d	NO_2	0.71	4.8	-3.3
2e	COOH	0.37	7.2	-0.8
2f	Cl, Cl	0.74	4.2	/
2g	OH	0.12	6.9	0.2
2h	OCH ₃	0.12	7.1	0.3
2i	/	/	5.3	0.9
2j	/	/	8.9	/

^{*a*} Substituent inductive value taken from ref ¹⁵. ^{*b*} Values obtained from equation (1) by non-linear least-square fitting of experimental data. ^{*c*} Values calculated according to equation (2) and the experimental data from Table 1.

$$pKa - pKa^{*} = \frac{hc(\tilde{v}_{Abs}^{ArOH} - \tilde{v}_{Abs}^{ArO} + \tilde{v}_{Em}^{ArOH} - \tilde{v}_{Em}^{ArO})}{2(2.303 \, kT)}$$
(2)

where the terms $\tilde{\nu}$ represent the energy of the electronic transitions for the species ArOH and ArO⁻ respectively, obtained from the maxima of the absorption and emission spectra at low and high pH given in Table 1, clearly differentiated strong photoacids (with 15 pK_a *<0 for **2b-2e**) and weaker photoacids (pK_a *>0 for **2a**, **2g-2i**).

For all compounds, with the notable exception of 2d, the fluorescence quantum yield of the neutral form was higher than the anionic form. The fluorescence quantum yields are rather low (the maximum is 2j with 9.9%), but the overall brilliance ε . Φ of these

- ²⁰ objects reaches 500 to 4000 L.mol⁻¹.cm⁻¹. Moreover, study of the variation of fluorescence or fluorescence intensity ratios as a function of pH showed a good response around physiological pH, especially for probes 2a, 2g and 2h. With an excitation at the wavelength of the isobestic point, large ratio increase of the
- ²⁵ fluorescence intensity ratios R (R=70 for the best compound **2a**) were determined between the two extreme pH. As shown in Fig. 2d) and 3d), the highest variation occurs around pH=7, indicating that these dyes are well suited for imaging of intracellular pH with a ratiometric response in emission.



Fig. 5 Confocal images of HeLa cells incubated at pH=7.4 and with 1 μ M 2a for 5 minutes at 37°C (λ_{exc} =488 nm): a) bright field; b) green emission channel (500-550 nm); c) red emission channel (600-650 nm).; scale-bar: 25 μ m.



Fig. 6 a) Confocal images of HeLa cells incubated at different pH and with 1 μ M **2a** for 5 minutes at 37°C (λ_{exc} =488 nm). From left to right: bright field, green emission channel (500-550 nm), red emission channel (600-650 nm); scale bar: 25 μ m. b) Evolution of the emission ratio $R=F_{green}/F_{red}$ as a function of pH. The black squares represent the emission ratio $F_{554 nm}/F_{614 nm}$ obtained from the titration (figure 1d).

To clarify whether these new dyes can be used in intracellular pH imaging, preliminary experiments were carried out with probes 2a and 2g using laser confocal scanning microscopy. These probes were excited at 488 nm or 514 nm respectively with argon ion laser 45 and showed well separate emissions from the protonated and deprotonated forms of the fluorophores for ratiometric emission experiments. Specifically, HeLa cells were incubated with 1 µM compound 2a (resp. 2g) for 5 minutes. Good quality images were obtained upon excitation at 488 nm (resp. 514 nm) revealing that the 50 two probes enter the cells immediately after loading (Fig. 5). Brightfield transmission measurements after dye incubation confirmed that the cells were viable. At physiological pH (pH=7.4), the fluorescence could be detected in the green (500/550 nm for 2a and 535/590 nm for 2g) and the red (600/650nm for 2a and 650/710 nm 55 and 2g resp.) emission channels proving that the two form exist in agreement with the measured pK_a . We then applied **2a** and **2g** to HeLa cells grown at different pH (6.8 to 7.4) to observe the change in fluorescence on the two channels. Bright-field transmission images confirmed the viability of cell after incubation even at lower 60 pH (Fig. 6). Different areas of interest (ROI) were defined. The fluorescence intensity in the two channels in those ROI was measured to give the values of F_{green} and F_{red} . Like this, fluorescence intensity ratios $R = F_{Green}/F_{Red}$ of 4 for 2a and 2.7 for 2g were obtained between pH=6.8 and pH=7.4 (Fig. 6b) and SI-9) 65 closely matching the values obtained in solution (Fig. 2 and SI-5). The data displayed that these new probes strongly depend on pH

and could distinguish near-neutral minor pH fluctuations in cells.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we developed a family of fluorescent push-pull pHresponsive probes based on TCF as strong electron acceptor group. Small structural variations allowed a fine tuning of the pK_a between

- ⁵ 4.8 and 8.9. In aqueous solution, the fluorescence displayed remarkable changes in a ratiometric response to small pH variation around neutrality with large emission shift. The simple structure of these fluorophores allows further chemical functionalization for targeting of specific sub cellular compartment. Compound **2h**, in
- ¹⁰ particular, having a pK_a of 7.1 and a methoxy group that can easily be modified, look rather interesting in that view.

Experimental section

Commercially available materials were used as received. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out on Merck 60 F_{254}

- ¹⁵ precoated silica gel plate (0.2 mm thickness). Visualization was performed using a UV lamp. Microwave syntheses were conducted in 20 mL sealed tube on a Biotage Initiator 2.5 single-mode reactor using external IR temperature control. **TCF** (2-dicyanomethylidene-3-cyano-4,5,5-trimethyl-2,5-dihydrofuran) was synthesized
- ²⁰ according to a literature procedure.¹⁸ Melting points were recorded on a differential scanning calorimeter operating between 30 and 400°C. NMR spectra were recorded at ambient temperature on a standard spectrometer operating at 500 MHz for ¹H and 125 MHz for ¹³C. Chemical shifts are given in parts per million (δ/ppm) and
- ²⁵ are reported relative to tetramethylsilane (¹H, ¹³C) using the residual solvent peaks as internal standard. ¹H NMR splitting patterns are designated as singlet (s), doublet (d), triplet (t), quartet (q), dd (doublet of doublets) or m (multiplets). Infrared spectra (IR) were recorded on a FT-IR spectrophotometer and are reported as
- ³⁰ wavelength numbers (v/cm⁻¹). Low resolution mass spectra were taken on a LC-MS instrument and high resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on an IF-TOF spectrometer.

Spectroscopy

Absorption spectra (UV-Vis) were recorded on a dual beam Jasco 35 670 spectrometer. Data are reported as absorption maximum wavelength (λ_{max} /nm) and molar extinction coefficient at the absorption maximum wavelength (ϵ /L.mol⁻¹.cm⁻¹). Fluorescence spectra were performed on a Horiba Jobin-Yvon Fluorolog-3® spectrofluorimeter equipped with a red-sensitive Hamamatsu R928

⁴⁰ photomuliplier tube. Spectra were reference corrected for both the excitation source light intensity variation (lamp and grating) and the emission spectral response (detector and grating).

Fluorescence quantum yields Φ were measured in diluted water solutions. Coumarin-153 in methanol (Φ =0.54)¹⁹ or Cresyl Violet

⁴⁵ in methanol (Φ =0.57)¹⁹ were used as reference for the emission range 500-700 nm and 575-750 nm respectively. Sample and reference are excited at the same wavelength (λ_{exc}). The quantum yield relative to the reference is given by equation (3):

$$\phi^{S} = \phi^{Ref} \frac{s^{S}}{s^{ref}} \times \left(\frac{n_{d}^{Ref}}{n_{d}^{S}}\right)^{2}$$
(3)

⁵⁰ where *S* is the slope obtained by plotting the integrated area under the fluorescence emission spectrum *vs* the absorbance at λ_{exc} and n_d the refractive index of the solvents. Superscript *Ref* and *s* correspond to the reference and the sample respectively. For each experiment 5 points were recorded, all corresponding to an $_{ss}$ absorbance at λ_{exc} below 0.1

General Procedure for the Knoevenagel Reaction

The aldehyde **1** (1 mmol) and **TCF** (1.15 mmol, 230 mg) were dissolved in 10 mL of anhydrous ethanol in a 20 mL microwave vial. 2 drops of piperidine were added. The vial was sealed with a ⁶⁰ pressure septum and the mixture was irradiated by focused microwave at 100 °C for 15 minutes by controlling the temperature. After cooling, the precipitate was filtered off and washed with ethanol and cyclohexane to give the pure desired product.

2a. Yield: 66 % (200 mg), orange solid. m.p.>260°C. ¹H NMR ⁶⁵ (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ /ppm 10.57 (s, 1 H, OH), 7.86 (d, 1 H, J=16.4 Hz), 7.77 (d, 2 H, J=8.4 Hz), 6.98 (d, 1 H, J=16.4 Hz), 6.86 (d, 1 H, J=8.4 Hz), 1.74 (s, 6 H, -CH₃). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ /ppm 177.9, 176.5, 162.9, 148.9, 132.9 (2 C), 126.3, 117.0 (2 C), 113.5, 112.7, 112.3, 111.8, 99.6, 97.3, 53.8, 25.9 (2 C). ⁷⁰ Elemental analysis calcd for C₁₈H₁₃N₃O₂: C 71.28, H 4.32, N 13.85; found: C 71.92, H 4.46, N 13.88. MS (ES): *m/z* 302.0 for [M-H]⁻. IR (KBr): *v/cm⁻¹* 3366, 2224, 1554, 1524, 1380, 1281, 1211, 1162.

2b. Yield: 39 % (125 mg), brown solid. m.p.>260°C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ/ppm 11.02 (s, 1 H, -OH), 7.89 (dd, 1 H, *J*=12.6 Hz, *J*=1.5 Hz), 7.85 (d, 1 H, *J*=16.3 Hz), 7.58 (dd, 1 H, *J*=8.4 Hz, *J*=1.5 Hz), 7.08 (d, 1 H, *J*=16.3 Hz), 7.05 (t, 1 H, *J*=8.4 Hz), 1.77 (s, 6 H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ/ppm 177.8, 175.9, 151.5 (d, 1 C, *J*_{C-F}=240 Hz), 150.5 (d, 1 C, *J*_{C-F}=15 Hz), 147.5, 128.8, 126.8 (d, 1 C, *J*_{C-F}=7 Hz), 118.8 (d, 1 C, *J*_{C-F}=15 Hz), 117.3 (d, 1 C, *J*_{C-F}=18 Hz), 113.7, 113.4, 112.6, 111.7, 99.7, 97.9, 54.2, 25.8 (2 C). Elemental analysis calcd for C₁₈H₁₂FN₃O₂: C, 67.29; H, 3.76; N, 13.08; found: C 67.28; H 4.10; N 13.00. MS (ES⁻): *m/z* 320.0 (100 %), 321.0 (20.6 %) for [M-H]⁻. IR (KBr): *v/cm⁻¹* 3420, 2222, 1563, 1531, 1516, 1300, 1282, 1180, 85 1165, 1101.

2c. Yield: 45 % (150 mg), orange solid. m.p.>260°C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ/ppm 11.28 (s, 1 H, -OH), 8.03 (d, 1 H, J=1.5 Hz), 7.80 (d, 1 H, J=16.0 Hz), 7.70 (dd, 1 H, J=8.4 Hz, J=1.5 Hz), 7.05 (d, 1 H, J=16.0 Hz), 7.03 (d, 1 H, J=8.4 Hz), 1.74
⁹⁰ (s, 6 H, -CH₃). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ/ppm 177.8, 176.1, 157.7, 147.2, 132.2, 130.8, 127.4, 121.5, 117.7, 113.8, 113.4, 112.6, 111.6, 99.8, 98.4, 54.4, 25.8 (2 C). Elemental analysis calcd for C₁₈H₁₂ClN₃O₂: C 64.01, H 3.58, N 12.44; found: C 64.18, H 3.69, N 12.11. MS (ES[¬]): m/z 336.0 (100%), 337.0 (19%), 338.0 (30
⁹⁵ %) for [M-H][¬]. IR (KBr): w/cm⁻¹ 3307, 2237, 2225, 1556, 1513, 1414, 1385, 1298, 1278.

2d. Yield: 51 % (178 mg), brown solid. m.p.>260°C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ /ppm 11.96 (s, 1 H, -OH), 8.50 (d, 1 H, J=1.8 Hz), 8.08 (dd, 1 H, J=9.0 Hz, J=1.8 Hz), 7.86 (d, 1 H, 100 J=16.6 Hz), 7.16 (d, 1 H, J=9.0 Hz), 7.12 (d, 1 H, J=16.6 Hz), 1.76 (s, 6 H, -CH₃). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ /ppm 177.6, 175.6, 155.9, 146.1, 138.2, 135.2, 128.3, 126.1, 120.6, 115.0, 113.3, 112.5, 111.3, 99.9, 99.6, 54.7, 25.8. Elemental analysis calcd for C₁₈H₁₂N₄O₄: C 62.07, H 3.47, N 16.09; found: C 61.92, H 3.62, N 105 16.11. MS (ES⁻): *m/z* 347.0 for [M-H]⁻. IR (KBr): *v/cm⁻¹* 3257, 2233, 1579, 1544, 1531, 1311, 1168.

2e. Yield: 51 % (184 mg), red solid. m.p.>260°C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆): *δ/ppm* 11.12 (s, 1 H, -OH), 8.29 (d, 1 H, *J*=2.3 Hz), 8.15 (dd, 1 H, *J*=8.4 Hz, *J*=2.3 Hz), 7.94 (d, 1 H, *J*=16.5 Hz), 7.12 (d, 1 H, *J*=16.5 Hz,), 7.11 (d, 1 H, *J*=8.4 Hz), 3.92 (s, 3 H, -O-CH₃), 1.80 (s, 6 H, -CH₃). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-

d₆): δ/ppm 177.7, 176.1, 168.4, 163.2, 147.2, 135.1, 134.5, 126.6, 119.4, 114.1, 115.6, 113.3, 112.5, 111.5, 99.9, 99.1, 54.5, 53.2, 25.8 (2 C). Elemental analysis calcd for $C_{20}H_{15}N_3O_4$: C 66.48, H 4.18, N 11.63; found: C 65.95, H 4.23, N 11.71. MS (ES⁻): *m/z* 360.1 for ⁵ [M-H]⁻. IR (KBr): *w/cm⁻¹* 3448, 3235, 2231, 2215, 1685, 1575, 1529, 1319, 1216.

2f. Yield: 60 % (223 mg), orange solid. m.p.>260°C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ /ppm 8.08 (s, 2 H), 7.76 (d, 1 H, J=16.6 Hz), 7.21 (d, 1 H, J=16.6 Hz), 1.77 (s, 6 H, -CH₃). ¹³C NMR

- ¹⁰ (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ/ppm 177.7, 175.5, 145.5, 130.5 (2 C),
 127.9, 123.4 (2 C), 115.4, 113.3, 112.8, 112.5, 111.3, 101.9, 99.8,
 54.8, 25.7 (2 C). Elemental analysis calcd for C₁₈H₁₁Cl₂N₃O₂: C
 58.08, H 2.98, N 11.29; found: C 57.92; H 3.45; N 11.22. MS (ES⁻):
 m/z 370.0 (100 %), 371.0 (19 %), 372.0 (67 %) for [M-H]⁻. IR
 ¹⁵ (KBr): *w/cm⁻¹* 3364, 2233, 2212, 1573, 1561, 1525, 1411, 1286.
- 2g. Yield: 59 % (188 mg), dark red solid. m.p.>260°C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆): ∂/ppm 10.26 (s, 1 H), 9.46 (s, 1 H), 7.83 (d, 1 H, *J*=16.2 Hz), 7.31 (d, 1 H, *J*=2.0 Hz), 7.28 (dd, 1 H, *J*=8.0 Hz, *J*=2.0 Hz), 6.90 (d, 1 H, *J*=16.2 Hz), 6.86 (d, 1 H, *J*=8.0 Hz), 1.77
- ²⁰ (s, 6 H, -CH₃). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ/ppm 177.8, 176.3, 152.1, 149.3, 146.7, 126.8, 125.4, 116.8, 115.6, 113.6, 112.7, 112.1, 111.9, 99.5, 97.0, 53.5, 25.9 (2 C). Elemental analysis calcd for C₁₈H₁₃N₃O₃: C 67.71, H 4.10, N 13.16; found: C 67.60, H 4.11, N 13.15. MS (ES⁻): *m/z* 318.0 [M-H]⁻. IR (KBr): *v/cm⁻¹* 3444, 3324, 25 2242, 2224, 1572, 1519, 1444, 1287, 1192, 1149.

2h. Yield: 55 % (183 mg), brown solid. m.p.>260°C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ/ppm 10.30 (s, 1 H), 7.88 (d, 1 H, *J*=16.2 Hz), 7.50 (s, 1 H), 7.42 (d, 1 H, *J*=8.2 Hz), 7.04 (d, 1 H, *J*=16.2 Hz), 6.90 (d, 1 H, *J*=8.2 Hz), 3.87 (s, 1 H, -OCH₃), 1.79 (s, 6

³⁰ H, -CH₃). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ/ppm 177.9, 176.4, 152.7, 149.4, 148.8, 126.8, 126.1, 116.7, 113.5, 113.3, 112.7, 112.5, 111.8, 99.6, 96.9, 56.4, 53.7, 25.9 (2 C). Elemental analysis calcd for C₁₉H₁₅N₃O₃: C 68.46, H 4.54, N 12.61; found: C 68.81, H 4.66, N 12.65. MS (ES⁻): *m/z* 332.1 for [M-H]⁻. IR (KBr): *ν/cm⁻¹* 3405, 35 2224, 1568, 1506, 1437, 1301, 1287.

2i. Yield: 73 % (258 mg), violet solid. m.p.>260°C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ/ppm 11.56 (s, 1 H, -OH), 8.84 (d, 1 H, *J*=15.8 Hz), 8.34 (d, 1 H, *J*=8.4 Hz), 8.26 (d, 1 H, *J*=7.8 Hz), 8.22 (d, 1 H, *J*=7.8 Hz), 7.72 (t, 1 H, *J*=7.8 Hz), 7.58 (t, 1 H, *J*=7.8 Hz),

- ⁴⁰ 7.22 (d, 1 H, *J*=15.8 Hz), 7.07 (d, 1 H, *J*=8.4 Hz), 1.80 (s, 6 H, -CH₃). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ /ppm 178.1, 176.3, 160.3, 144.0, 133.8, 130.5, 129.4, 126.2, 125.1, 123.8, 122.8, 122.0, 113.7, 113.3, 112.9, 112.8, 110.2, 99.6, 95.3, 53.4, 25.7 (2 C). Elemental analysis calcd for C₂₂H₁₅N₃O₂: C 74.68, H 4.28, N 11.89; found: C
- ⁴⁵ 73.62, H 4.41, N 11.97. MS (ES⁻): *m/z* 352.1 for [M-H]⁻. IR (KBr): *v/cm⁻¹* 3280, 2228, 22209, 1540, 1510, 1377, 1354, 1262, 1218, 1189.

2j. Yield: 87 % (307 mg), brown solid. m.p.>260°C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆): *δ/ppm* 10.27 (s, 1 H, -OH), 8.27 (s, 1 H),

- ⁵⁰ 8.05 (d, 1 H, *J*=16.4 Hz), 7.92 (d, 1 H, *J*=8.6 Hz), 7.86 (d, 1 H, *J*=8.7 Hz), 7.75 (d, 1 H, *J*=8.6 Hz), 7.21 (d, 1 H, *J*=16.4 Hz) 7.15 (d, 1 H, *J*=2.5 Hz), 7.13 (dd, 1 H, *J*=8.7 Hz, *J*=2.5 Hz), 1.78 (s, 6 H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ/ppm 177.8, 176.0, 158.8, 148.8, 137.4, 133.7, 131.8, 129.6, 127.9, 127.8, 124.6, 120.3, 114.4,
- ⁵⁵ 113.4, 112.6, 111.8, 109.9, 99.9, 98.3, 54.2, 25.7 (2 C). Elemental analysis calcd for $C_{22}H_{15}N_3O_2$: C 74.68, H 4.28, N 11.89; found: C 73.92, H 4.30, N 11.73. MS (ES⁻): *m/z* 352.1 for [M-H]⁻. IR (KBr): ν/cm^{-1} 3357, 2225, 1560, 1525, 1478, 1375, 1294, 1160.

Determination of pK_a by Spectrophotometric pH Titration

 $_{60}$ 10⁻³ M stock solutions in DMSO of compounds **2a-2j** were prepared and kept in the dark in the fridge. 0.1 M NaCl - 0.1 M HEPES buffer solutions were prepared by adjusting the pH to a given value with either 1 M HCl (for pH=2 to 7.4) or 1 M NaOH (for pH 7.6 to 10). Titration solution were prepared by mixing 20 µL of compound

⁶⁵ **2a-2j** stock solution, 500 μ L DMSO to ensure total solubility and 2.0 mL of the buffered solution to obtain a final concentration of 8x10⁻⁶ M. The absorption spectrum of each batch was then recorded. The variation of the absorbance *A* at the wavelength of the maximum of absorption of the phenolate ($A_{max}^{Aro^-}$) was plotted as a

⁷⁰ function of pH. The sigmoid curve obtained was fitted using a least square nonlinear regression analysis according to equation (1). The only adjustable parameter in equation (1) was K_{a} .

The same experiment was done recording the emission of fluorescence. The excitation wavelength was set at the wavelength ⁷⁵ of the isobestic point obtained in the absorption titration. Full titration data (absorption and emission) are given in Fig. 2, Fig.3 and Fig. SI-1 to SI-8.

Cellular confocal imaging at various pH

Fluorescence imaging was performed using a Zeiss LSM510 so confocal microscope and an argon laser at 488 nm for excitation of

compound **2a** and at 514 nm for excitation of compound **2g**. Light was reflected by a dichroic mirror (HFT488/561 for **2a** and 458/514 for **2g**), and directed toward the sample using an A-Plan 40×Zeiss objective (NA=0.65). The emitted fluorescence was so collected by the objective, passed through the dichroic mirror, a long-pass filter (490nm for **2a** and **2g**) and an emission band-pass filter defining the "green" (500-550 nm for **2a** and 535-590 nm for **2g**) and red channel (600-650 nm for **2a** and 650-710 nm for **2g**) before the detector. Each fluorescence image is an average of four 90 pictures and was recorded with the LSM5 software.

Cell culture. Hela (ATCC-CL-2) cells were maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's medium (DMEM, Life technologies, Courtaboeuf, France) supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS, Life technologies) and maintained at 37°C with 5% CO₂ ⁹⁵ atmosphere. Cells were seeded on Labetch (10000 per chamber) 12 hours before experiment. Four hours before imaging, medium was removed and replaced by DMEM without red phenol (Life technologies) at appropriate pH (ie. 7.4, 7.2, 7 or 6.8). Probe **2a** or **2g** (1 μM) was added 1 minute before imaging and fluorescence ¹⁰⁰ images were acquired without washing.

Notes and references

 ^a Laboratoire de Chimie de l'ENS de Lyon, CNRS UMR 5182, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, Université Lyon I, 46 allée d'Italie, 69364 Lyon cedex 07, France. Fax: +33-4-7272-8860; Tel: +33-4-7272-8399;
 ¹⁰⁵ E-mail: yann.bretonniere@ens-lyon.fr

^b Institut de Génomique Fonctionnelle de Lyon, Université de Lyon, Université Lyon 1, CNRS, INRA, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, 46 allée d'Italie, 69364 Lyon cedex 07, France.

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: include additional 110 figures, full spectroscopic properties of compounds 2a-2j and complete NMR data for compounds 2a-2j. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- M. D. Liptak, K. C. Gross, P. G. Seybold, S. Feldgus and G. C. Shields, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 6421-6427.
- 115 2 J. Han and K. Burgess, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 2709–2728.
- 3 I. Kurtz and R. S. Balaban, Biophys. J., 1985, 48, 499-508.

- 4 Z. Zhujun and W. R. Seitz, Anal. Chim. Acta, 1984, 160, 47–55.
- 5 T. I. Rink, R. Y. Tsien and T. Pozzan, J. Cell Biol., 1982, 95, 189-196.
- 6 J. E. Whitaker, R. P. Haugland and F. G. Prendergast, *Anal. Biochem.*, 1991, **194**, 330–344.
- ⁵ 7 Y. Yang, M. Lowry, X. Xu, J. O. Escobedo, M. Sibrian-Vazquez, L. Wong, C. M. Schowalter, T. J. Jensen, F. R. Fronczek, I. M. Warner and R. M. Strongin, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A*, 2008, **105**, 8829–8834.
- 8 E. Nakata, Y. Nazumi, Y. Yukimachi, Y. Uto, H. Maezawa, T. Hashimoto, Y. Okamoto and H. Hori, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2011, 10
 21, 1663-1666.
- 9 C. Zhang, L. R. Dalton, M.-C. Oh, H. Zhang and W. H. Steier, *Chem. Mater.*, 2001, **13**, 3043-3050.
- 10 (a) K. A. Willets, O. Ostroverkhova, M. He, R. J. Twieg and W. E. Moerner, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 1174-1175; (b) S. Y.
- Nishimura, S. J. Lord, L. O. Klein, K. A. Willets, M. He, Z. Lu, R. J. Twieg and W. E. Moerner, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2006, **110**, 8151-8157; (*c*)
 S. J. Lord, N. R. Conley, H.-I. D. Lee, R. Samuel, N. Liu, R. J. Twieg and W. E. Moerner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 9204–9205; (*d*) J. Bouffard, Y. Kim, Timothy M. Swager, R. Weissleder and S. A.
- Hilderbrand, Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 37–40; (e) S. J. Lord, N. R. Conley, H.-I. D. Lee, S. Y. Nishimura, A. K. Pomerantz, K. A. Willets, Z. Lu, H. Wang, N. Liu, R. Samuel, R. Weber, A. Semyonov, M. He, R. J. Twieg and W. E. Moerner, *ChemPhysChem*, 2009, 10, 55-65; (f) X. Zhou, F. Su, Y. Tian, C. Youngbull, R. H. Johnson and D. R. Meldrum, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, 133, 18530-18533.
- 11 (a) Y. Jin, Y. Tian, W. Zhang, S.-H. Jang, A. K.-Y. Jen and D. R. Meldrum, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2010, **398**, 1375-1384; (b) Y.-A. Son, S.-Y. Gwon, S.-Y. Lee and S.-H. Kim, *Spectrochim. Acta A*, 2010, **75**, 225-229.
- 30 12 E. Font-Sanchis, R. E. Galian, F. J. Céspedes-Guirao, Á. Sastre-Santos, L. R. Domingo, F. Fernández-Lázaro and J. Pérez-Prieto, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **12**, 7768-7771.
- (a) D. Villemin and L. Liao, Synth. Commun., 2001, 31, 1771-1780; (b)
 S. Liu, M. A. Haller, H. Ma, L. R. Dalton, S.-H. Jang and A. K.-Y. Jen,
 Adv. Mater., 2003, 15, 603-607.
- 14 M. Baruah, W. Qin, N. Basarić, W. M. De Borggraeve and N. Boens, J. Org. Chem., 2005, 70, 4152-4157.
- 15 C. Hansch, A. Leo and R. W. Taft, Chem. Rev., 1991, 91, 165-195.
- M. S. Baranov, K. A. Lukyanov, A. O. Borissova, J. Shamir, D.
 Kosenkov, L. V. Slipchenko, L. M. Tolbert, I. V. Yampolsky and K. M.
 Solntsev, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 6025-6032.
 - 17 A. Weller, Z. Elektrochem., 1952, **56**, 662-668
- 18 G. Koeckelberghs, S. Sioncke, T. Verbiest, A. Persoons and C. Samyn, Polymer, 2003, 44, 3785-3794.
- ⁴⁵ 19 (a) N. Boens, W. Qin, N. Basarić, J. Hofkens, M. Ameloot, J. Pouget, J.-P. Lefèvre, B. Valeur, E. Gratton, M. vandeVen, N. D. J. Silva, Y. Engelborghs, K. Willaert, A. Sillen, A. J. W. G. Visser, A. van Hoek, J. R. Lakowicz, H. Malak, I. Gryczynski, A. G. Szabo, D. T. Krajcarski, N. Tamai and A. Miura, *Anal. Chem.*, 2007, **79**, 2137-2149; (b) K.
- 50 Rurack and M. Spieles, Anal. Chem., 2011, 83, 1232-1242.