Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/obc

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

Regioselective 1,4- over 1,2-Addition of 3,3-Bis(silyl) Allyloxy Lithium to Enals, Eones and Enoates. The Remarkable α-Effect of Silicon[†]

Xincui Ye,^a Xianwei Sun,^a Zhenggang Huang,^a Na Yang,^c Zhishan Su,^c Changwei Hu^c and Zhenlei Song^{*a},

s Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

A remarkable α-effect of silicon has been discovered that results in soft nucleophilicity at the Cγ of 3,3-bis(silyl) allyloxy lithium 1. The addition of 1 to α,β-unsaturated carbonyl compounds, including enals, proceeds in a 1,4- over 1,2-manner with medium to good regioselectivity, whereas the parent allyloxy lithium 4 undergoes complete 1,2-addition. The results from DFT calculations 10 of HMPA-complexed 1 and 4 provide the rationale to explain this different regioselectivity.

Addition of organometals to enals and enones is one of the most fundamental transformations in organic synthesis.¹ Organolithium of typical reactivity normally undergoes complete or predominant 15 1,2-addition over 1,4-addition. To increase the synthetic usefulness of organolithium addition, extensive efforts² have been made to reverse the regioselectivity in favor of 1,4-addition. Although these approach have allowed reasonably efficient addition of lithiodithianes to enones, achieving selective 1,4-20 addition in favor of 1,2-addition with more reactive enals remains a significant challenge.

regioselective 1,4- over 1,2-addition of 1 to enals, enones and enoates



Scheme 1. Regioselective 1,4-addition over 1,2-addition of 3,3bis(triethylsilyl) allyloxy lithium **1** to α,β -unsaturated carbonyl 25 compounds.

Recently, we launched a series of investigations into structurally novel geminal bis(silanes).³ We wondered whether the presence of two silicons might provide a path to shifting the regioselectivity of organolithium addition to enals and enones. ³⁰ Silicon-substituted carbanions⁴ usually possess different reactivity from their parent carbanions. These differences are due in part to the steric effect of the bulky silyl group, but primarily they arise from the electronic effects of silicon. Silicon is thought to stabilize the α -carbanion through a p-d π -bonding interaction

- ³⁵ or hyperconjugation, known as the *a-effect of silicon*.⁵ If this effect were doubled by incorporating two silyl groups in the same molecule, such as in the case of geminal bis(silanes), would it alter the reactivity of carbanions enough to shift an organolithium addition away from a 1,2-mechanism toward a 1,4-mechanism?
- ⁴⁰ Here we report that the α -effect of silicon leads to soft nucleophilicity at the sterically more accessible C γ of 3,3bis(triethylsilyl) allyloxy lithium **1**. The addition of **1** to α , β -

unsaturated carbonyl compounds, including to highly reactive enals, proceeds in a predominant 1,4-manner to give **2** with ⁴⁵ medium to good regioselectivity (Scheme 1).

Table 1 Screening of Reaction Conditions.



^a Reaction conditions: 0.13 mmol of 3, 0.39 mmol of HMPA and 0.39 mmol of *t*-BuLi (1.3 M in pentane) in 1.0 mL of THF, -78 °C, 1.5 h; then 50 0.26 mmol of cinnamaldehyde, 10 min. ^b Isolated yields after purification by silica gel column chromatography. ^c The *anti/syn* ratios were determined using ¹H NMR spectroscopy. The *anti-stereochemistry* was assigned based on X-ray analysis of the di(3,5-dinitro benzoate) of 2a. ^d [1,4]:[1,2] ratios were determined using ¹H NMR spectroscopy. ^e Isolated 55 yield of product generated by [1,2]-Wittig rearrangement of 1. ^f 3.0 equiv of CuCN was added after generation of 1.

3,3-Bis(triethylsilyl) allyloxy lithium **1** was generated from the corresponding *Z*-benzyl enol ether **3** through sequential regioselective deprotonation and [1,5]-anion relay.^{6,7,8} The subsequent addition to cinnamaldehyde proceeded at -78 °C predominantly in a 1,4-manner ([1,4]:[1,2] = 70:30), giving aldehyde **2a** in 64% yield with ≥95:5 diastereoselectivity (Table 1, entry 1). Even though HMPA is believed to favor solvent-separated ion pair (SSIP) formation and thereby promote attack at the 4-position,^{2e} increasing its loading from 3.0 to 12.0 equiv lowered the yield without altering the product distribution (entry 2). Similar results were obtained at -98 °C, even though low temperature has been also proposed to favor 1,4-addition (entry 3).^{2h} Nevertheless, temperature did affect the stability of allyllithium **1**: conducting ⁷⁰ the reaction at -55 °C led to severe [1,2]-Wittig rearrangement before addition to the enal (entry 4). We were unsuccessful in our

Page 2 of 4

attempt to convert **1** into the corresponding lithium organocuprate: the reaction in the presence of 3.0 CuCN gave a [1,4]:[1,2] ratio of 66:34, comparable to the ratio in entry 1 (entry 5).

Table 2 Scope of α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds





⁵ ^{*a*} Isolated yields after purification by silica gel column chromatography. ^{*b*} The *dr* was determined using ¹H NMR spectroscopy. ^{*c*} [1,4]:[1,2] ratios were determined using ¹H NMR spectroscopy. ^{*d*} 1,3-*Syn* stereochemistry was assigned based on NOE experiments with the γ -lactone of **2e**. ^{*c*} 1,2-10 *anti* stereochemistry was assigned based on X-ray analysis of the di(3,5-dinitro benzoate) of **2j**.

Next the scope of α , β -unsaturated compounds was tested with **1**. Reaction of 3-methyl-2-butenal, which shows increased steric hindrance at the 4-position (Table 2, entry 4), gave a lower yield ¹⁵ and lower [1,4]:[1,2] ratio than did 4-mono-substituted aldehydes (entries 1-3). In contrast to the high 1,2-*anti* diastereoselectivity in entries 1 and 2, a more challenging 1,3-*syn* stereochemical control using 2-methyl propenal was achieved to give aldehyde **2e** in 56% yield with 95:5 diastereoselectivity (entry 5).

²⁰ Switching from enals to less reactive enones (entries 6-10) and enoates (entries 11 and 12) reduced diastereoselectivity, however, increased [1,4]:[1,2] selectivity in most cases.

Whereas the reaction of 1 with crotonaldehyde gave a [1,4]:[1,2] ratio of 70:30, the reaction of the parent allyloxy 25 lithium 4 under the same reaction conditions led to the complete 1,2-adduct 5 in 84% yield (Figure 1).9 Apparently, the nature of the organolithium plays a key role in determining the mode of addition, in conjunction with several other factors that also influence regioselectivity, such as the metal counterion, 30 temperature, and solvents. In an attempt to get deeper insights that how much the α -effect of silicon influences the negative charge distribution, we performed DFT calculations of HMPAcomplexed allyloxy lithium 1 and 4 at the B3LYP/6-31G* level. NBO analysis indicates that the negative charge is distributed 35 more towards Ca in **1-COM** (Ca: -1.497 and Cy: -0.073) than in 4-COM (C α : -0.853 and C γ : -0.152). This most likely reflects the α -effect of silicon, which means that Cy accumulates less electron density in 1-COM than in 4-COM, making it a softer nucleophilic center.¹⁰ Based on the Pearson concept of hard and 40 soft acids and bases (HSAB)¹¹ and the Klopman-Salem concept of charge and orbital control of organic reactions,¹² we predict that the HOMO of 1-COM is at higher energy than that of 4-COM, favoring attack at the C4 of crotonaldehyde, which is softer than the carbonyl C2 and has a larger LUMO coefficient.¹³ 45 This soft-soft interaction controlled by frontier orbitals contrasts with the addition of 4-COM to C2 of crotonaldehyde, which is probably favored by a charge-controlled hard-hard interaction.



Figure 1. NBO analysis of allyloxy lithium-HMPA complexes **1-COM** ⁵⁰ and **4-COM** based on DFT calculations performed at the B3LYP/6-31G* level.

То provide a mechanistic basis for probing the stereochemistry of this reaction, two "open" transition states 6a and **6b** were proposed for the addition of **1** to cinnamaldehyde 55 (Scheme 2). We predict that **6a** is favored over **6b**, which suffers a severe gauche interaction between geminal bis(triethylsilyl) and phenyl groups, and that this preference for 6a leads to the observed 1,2-anti diastereoselectivity. On the other hand, to interpret the 1,3-syn diastereoselectivity in the addition with 2-60 methyl propenal, we initiated the reaction and quenched it with Et₃SiCl. E-silyl enol ether 7 was obtained in 45% yield, suggesting that the lithium enolate that forms after addition is in an E-configuration and probably adopts a gauche conformation as in 8, such that the bulky geminal bis(triethylsilyl) group is 65 antiperiplanar to the enolate in order to minimize the nonbonded interaction. Protonation of 8 from the sterically more accessible β -face would then give **2e** with high 1,3-syn diastereoselectivity.



Scheme 2 Model to explain 1,2-anti and 1,3-syn diastereoselectivity.

In order to extend the synthetic usefulness of our addition approach, anionic silyl migration was utilized to functionalize the ⁵ geminal bis(silyl) group in **2a** (Scheme 3). Reduction and deprotection of **2a** gave rise to 1,4-diol **9** with an overall yield of 65%. In the presence of CuCN and *t*-BuOLi, a [1,4]-Csp² to O silyl migration of **9** occurred regioselectively on the secondary hydroxyl group to generate vinyl anion.¹⁴ Subsequent alkylation ¹⁰ with allyl and propargyl bromide provided trisubstituted *E*-vinylsilanes **10a** and **10b**, respectively, in yields of 89% and 77%. In this way, the second electrophile was added to the α -position of benzyl enol ether **3**.



15 Scheme 3 Anionic [1,4]-silyl migration of 9 to synthesize *E*-vinylsilanes 10a and 10b.

In summary, we have described the 1,4- over 1,2-addition of 3,3-bis(triethylsilyl) allyloxy lithium **1** to α , β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds, including highly reactive enals. ²⁰ Experimental and computational results suggest that the unusual

regioselectivity is because the α -effect of silicon makes 1 soft nucleophilic at the C γ . Further studies into the mechanism of this unique α -effect and its synthetic applications are underway.

Notes and references

25 ^a Key laboratory of Drug-Targeting and Drug Delivery System of the Education Ministry, West China School of Pharmacy, Sichuan University, Chengdu, 610041 (China) E-mail: <u>zhenleisong@scu.edu.cn</u>

^b State Key Laboratory of Biotherapy, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, 610041(China)

30 ^c Key Laboratory of Green Chemistry & Technology, Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry Sichuan University, Chengdu, 610041(China)

 † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures, characterisation data for new compounds, CCDC (974929, 35 974934). See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- We are grateful for financial support from the NSFC (21172150, 21321061, 21290180), the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program, 2010CB833200), the NCET (12SCU-NCET-12-03), and the Sichuan University 985 project.
- ⁴⁰ 1 For reviews, see: (a) E. D. Bergman, D. Ginsburg, R. Pappo, Org. React. 1959, **10**, 179; (b) P. Perlmutter, Conjugate Addition Reactions in Organic Synthesis, Pergamon, Oxford, 1992; (c) P.

Knochel, N. Millot, A. Rodriguez, C. E. Tucker, Org. React. 2001, 58, 417.

- ⁵⁰ 7923; (f) K. Maruoka, M. Ito, H. Yamamoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 9091; (g) T. Ooi, Y. Kondo, K. Maruoka, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1997, 36, 1183; (h) W. H. Sikorski, H. J. Reich, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 6527.
- For selected recent advances, see: (a) L. J. Yan, X. W. Sun, Z. L.
 Song, H. Z. Li, Z. J. Liu, Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 1104; (b) L. J. Li, X. C.
 Ye, Y. Wu, L. Gao, Z. L. Song, Z. P. Yin, Y. J. Xu, Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 1068; (c) L. Gao, J. Lu, Z. L. Song, X. L. Lin, Y. J. Xu, Z. P. Yin, Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 8963; (d) K. Groll, S. M. Manolikakes, X.
 M. du Jourdin, M. Jaric, A. Bredihhin, K. Karaghiosoff, T. Carell, P.
 Knochel, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 6776; (e) L. Gao, Y. B.
- Knocher, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 52, 6776, (e) L. Gao, T. B. Zhang, Z. L. Song, Synlett 2013, 24, 139.
 Ensuring and Chem. Synlett Total Learn 1080, 26, 2521, (b) E.
- For reviews, see: (a) A. Krief, *Tetrahedron* 1980, 36, 2531; (b) E.
 Colvin, Silicon. In Organic Synthesis; Butterworth, London, 1981; (c)
 W. P. Weber, *Silicon Reagents for Organic Synthesis*; Springer-
- Verlag: New York, 1983; (d) T. H. Chan, D. Wang, Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 1279; (e) I. Fleming, A. Barbero, D. Walter, Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 2063; (f) L. F. van Staden, D. Gravestock, D. J. Ager, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2002, 31, 195; (g) D. M. Hodgson, E. Gras, Synthesis 2002, 1625; (h) E. Schaumann, A. Kirschning, Synlett, 2007, 177; (i) Z. F. Xi, Acc. Chem. Res. 2010, 43, 1342; (j) H. J. Reich, J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 5471.
- 5 For a review, see: J. S. Panek, Silicon Stabilization. In *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*; Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Eds.; Pergamon: New York, 1991; Vol. 1, p 579.
- For reviews of anion relay chemistry (ARC) based on anionic silyl migration, see: (a) A. G. Brook, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1974, **7**, 77; (b) W. H. Moser, *Tetrahedron* 2001, **57**, 2065; (c) A. B. Smith III, C. M. Adams, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2004, **37**, 365; (d) A. B. Smith III, W. M. Wuest, *Chem. Commun.* 2008, **44**, 5883.
- X. W. Sun, J. Lei, C. Z. Sun, Z. L. Song, L. J. Yan, *Org. Lett.* 2012, 14, 1094. Reaction of 11 with allyl bromide under the optimal conditions provided 12 in 99% yield with ca.75% of deuterium labeled at the benzylic position. This result unambiguously confirmed that formation of 1 proceeds predominantly by [1,5]-anion relay based on an intramolecular proton transfer.



8 Deprotonation of **3** and the subsequent addition to D₂O and MeI, respectively, occurred exclusively at the α -position to afford **11** and **13** in 82% and 67% yield with the exclusive *Z*-configuration. These results combined with those in Table 2 show a sterically-dependent shift in regioselectivity, suggesting that the α -position of **1** has greater electron density than the γ -position, but that the γ -position is sterically more accessible than the α -position of *Z*-enol ethers a bulky geminal bis(triethylsilyl) group. Formation of *Z*-enol ethers also suggests that allyllithium **1** adopts an *endo*-orientation, probably promoted by coordination of an internal lithium ion with the OBn group. For references, see: ref. 4d and 9.



- 9 W. C. Still, T. L. Macdonald, *J. Org. Chem.* 1976, **41**, 3620. In addition, as previously reported, **4-COM** reacts with proton regioselectively at Ca. This result is consistent with the present calculation that Ca accumulates more electron density than C γ .
 - 10 The role of geminal bis(silane) is reminiscent of 1,3-dithiane group, which has been recognized to stabilize the adjacent allyllithium,

therefore creating a soft nucleophilicity at C γ to undergo 1,4-addition to enone. For references, see: (*a*) F. E. Ziegler, J.-M. Fang, *J. Org. Chem.* 1981, **46**, 825; (*b*) T. Cohen, M. Myers, *J. Org. Chem.* 1988, **53**, 457.

- ⁵ 11 For seminal publications on HSAB, see: (a) R. G. Pearson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, **85**, 3533; (b) R. G. Pearson, Science 1966, **151**, 172; (c) R. G. Pearson, J. Songstad, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, **89**, 1827; (d) R. G. Pearson, J. Chem. Educ. 1968, **45**, 581; (e) R. G. Pearson, J. Chem. Educ. 1968, **45**, 643; For the selected reviews, see:
- (f) R. G. Pearson, *Chemical Hardness*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1997.
 (g) T.-L. HO *Chem. Rev.* 1975, **75**, 1. (*h*) H. Mayr, M. Breugst, A. R. Ofial, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2011, **50**, 6470.
- 12 (a) G. Klopman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 223; (b) L. Salem, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 543; (c) G. Klopman, Chemical Reactivity
- and Reaction Paths, Wiley, 1974; (d) I. Fleming, Frontier Orbitals and Organic Chemical Reactions, Wiley, Chichester, 1976; (e) I. Fleming, Molecular Orbitals and Organic Chemical Reactions, Student Edition, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 2009;
- 13 (a) O. Eisenstein, J. M. Lefour, C. Minot, N. T. Anh, G. Soussan, C.
- R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. C 1972, 1310; (b) B. Deschamps, N. T. Anh,
 J. Seyden-Penne, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1973, 14, 521. (c) K. N. Houk, R.
 W. Strozier, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973. 95, 4094; (d) J.-M. Lefour, A.
 Loupy, *Tetrahedron* 1978, 34, 2597.
- (a) H. Taguchi, K. Ghoroku, M. Tadaki, A. Tsubouchi, T. Takeda,
 Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3811; (b) A. Tsubouchi, S. Enatsu, R. Kanno, T. Takeda, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 7089.