Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/nanoscale

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

In-situ preparation of N-TiO₂/graphene nanocomposite and its enhanced photocatalytic hydrogen production by H₂S splitting under solar light

Ashwini P. Bhirud,^a Shivaram D. Sathaye,^b Rupali P. Waichal,^c Jalindar D. Ambekar^a, Chan -J. Park^c* and ⁵ Bharat B. Kale^{a,*}

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

Highly monodispersed nitrogen doped TiO₂ nanoparticles were successfully deposited on graphene (N-TiO₂/Gr) by facile in-situ wet to chemical method for the first time. N-TiO₂/Gr has been further used for the photocatalytic hydrogen production using naturally occurring abundant source of energy i.e. solar light. The N-TiO₂/Gr nanocomposite composition was optimized by varying the concentrations of dopant nitrogen and graphene (using various concentration of graphene) for utmost hydrogen production. The structural, optical and morphological aspects of nanocomposites were studied using XRD, UV-DRS, Raman, XPS, FESEM, and TEM. The structural study of nanocomposite shows existence of anatase N-TiO₂. Further, the details of the components present in the composition were confirmed with Raman and XPS. The morphological study shows very tiny, 7-10 nm sized N-TiO₂ nanoparticles are deposited on graphene sheet. The optical study reveals drastic change in absorption edge (3.19-2.41 eV) and consequent total absorption due to nitrogen doping and presence of graphene. Considering the extended absorption edge to visible region, further these nanocomposites were used as a photocatalyst to transform hazardous H₂S waste into eco-friendly hydrogen using solar light. The N-TiO₂/Gr nanocomposite with 2% graphene exhibits enhanced photocatalytic stable hydrogen production i.e. ~5941 µmole h⁻¹ under solar light irradiation using just 0.2 gm ²⁰ nanocomposite, which is much higher as compared to P25, undoped TiO₂ and TiO₂/Gr nanocomposite. The enhancement in the

photocatalytic activity is attributed to 'N' doping as well as high specific surface area and charge carrier ability of graphene. The recycling of the photocatalyst shows the good stability of the nanocomposites. This work may provide new insights to design other semiconductor deposited graphene novel nanocomposite as a visible light active photocatalyst.

25 1 Introduction

With the global depletion of fossil-based energy resources, naturally occurring abundant source i.e. solar light has been considered to be a promising route to generate alternative source of energy for production of hydrogen.^{1,2} Thus, the ³⁰ hydrogen is produced via H₂O or H₂S splitting using various semiconductor photocatalysts. It would be important to point out that H2 generation can also be achieved by H2O splitting; either by photocatalysis or by electrolytic process. In the electrolytic process, some electrical energy inputs are necessary to generate

- ³⁵ H2. As against this, H2S splitting utilizes solar energy which otherwise is not being used creatively as proposed in the present work. Therefore, saving of energy and offering alternative energy
- ⁴⁰ source makes H2S splitting to generate H2 has significance and as a preferred topic of research at present. Toxic H₂S gas is available abundantly as a waste from coal and petroleum industries, natural gas, oil wells and geothermal plants.³ H₂S can be effectively decomposed by photocatalytic process under solar ⁴⁵ light irradiation to produce hydrogen, a clean fuel. Therefore, H₂S splitting will not only effectively harness the abundant solar

It is quite understood that solar light driven photocatalysis by semiconductor has immense importance in the ⁵⁰ area of water purification and catalysis. Among various semiconductor photocatalysts such as TiO₂, ZnO, CdS, MoS₂⁴, titania has attracted much attention due to its fascinating

energy but also clean up the environment.

properties namely, biological and chemical inertness, costeffectiveness, and long-term stability against photocorrosion and chemical corrosions.⁵ The band gap of TiO₂ is 3.2 eV and hence not effective for visible light driven photocatalysis. Therefore, ⁵ using pure TiO₂, the solar energy conversion would be

- unreasonable. Additionally, fast recombination rate of the photoinduced electron-hole pairs in pure TiO_2 reduces the efficiency of the photocatalytic reactions significantly, thus limiting its practical applications. Hence, many researchers tried to dope
- ¹⁰ TiO₂ with transition metals and investigated photocatalytic activity.⁶ However, it is found that such doping created a number of defect-states which act as the recombination centers of photogenerated electrons and holes which becomes detrimental for the photocatalysis process. Therefore, creating suitable defect
- 15 states that would be favorable in three different ways, namely, 1) enhancing visible light absorption 2) improving charge separation and 3) improving overall photocatalytic reaction by facilitating charge carrier-substrate interaction which is a challenging problem. Considering the drawbacks of metal doping, anionic
- $_{20}$ doping in TiO₂ has received much attention. The anionic doping is expected to tune the band gap of TiO₂ well within the visible region of solar spectrum, which enhances the absorption in visible light and consequently producing maximum number of charge carriers. Additionally, it is reported that 'p' orbitals of
- ²⁵ these dopants significantly overlap with the valence band O2p orbitals in TiO₂ which suppress the recombination of charge carriers (electron-holes) and enhancing the photocatalytic activity.⁷ Nevertheless, still the progress in enhancing quantum yield and suppression of recombination of photogenerated
- ³⁰ electron-hole pairs is not satisfactory.⁸ Another common method for enhancing the photocatalytic efficiency of TiO₂ is by preventing the agglomeration of catalyst nanoparticles. A method of achieving this is by confining the particle growth process in the pores or at the interface of co-adsorbents such as mesoporous
- ³⁵ materials, zeolites, alumina, silica or carbon-based or carbonaceous materials.⁹ Such immobilization increases the surface area available for the catalytic process. Among these, carbonaceous materials are of great interest due to their unique pore structure, electronic properties, absorption capacity and 40 charge carrier mobility. These materials include carbon nanotubes, activated carbon and graphene.¹⁰⁻¹²

As it is well known that graphene is an attractive and significant material due to its two-dimensional nanostructure with

remarkable properties specifically, superior mechanical strength, 45 excellent mobility of charge carriers, high thermal conductivity and large specific surface area.¹³⁻¹⁶ These outstanding features attracted graphene to be utilized as a promising support material to disperse and stabilize N-TiO₂ nanoparticles which in turn enhance the photocatalytic hydrogen production by water 50 splitting.¹⁷ One of the most critical parameters that affect the photocatalytic properties of N-TiO2/Gr composite is the interfacial contact between the graphene sheet and N-TiO₂ nanoparticles. Although, there are many reports on TiO₂/ Gr composite as photocatalyst¹⁸ many of these associated to dye 55 degradation using UV/Vis light. H₂ generation using UV/Vis light by photocatalysis or electro-catalysis through water/H₂S splitting need more specific parameters as compared to dye degradation. Therefore the comparison of these works would be superfluous. The present study reports the use of TiO2/Gr 60 composite as a solar light photocatalyst for H₂ production through H₂S splitting.

To the best of our knowledge, there are few reports on N-TiO₂/Gr composites used for photocatalytic and other applications. Shengang Xu and Shaokui Cao¹⁷ synthesized 65 nanocomposites of graphene oxide with nitrogen doped TiO₂ via hydrothermal method for photocatalytic hydrogen production by water splitting. N. R. Khalid and Zhanglian Hong¹⁹ prepared nitrogen doped TiO₂ nanoparticles decorated on graphene sheets by low temperature hydrothermal method for methyl orange dye 70 degradation. Jingquan Liu, Dongjiang Yang and Ziyang Huo20 prepared nitrogen doped TiO₂/Gr nanohybrids for dye-sensitized solar cell (DSSC). Considering the very limited study on N-TiO₂/Gr composites synthesis and its applications for hydrogen production in general and by H₂S splitting in particular, we have 75 attempted an in-situ preparation of N-TiO₂ graphene nanocomposite and its photocatalytic application for hydrogen production under solar light.

In the present investigation, in-situ preparation of deposition of highly mono-dispersed tiny nanoparticles of N-⁸⁰ TiO₂ on multi-layer of graphene sheets using facile wet chemical method has been demonstrated which is hitherto unattemped. The structural and optical properties of these nanostructures have been studied thoroughly. The prepared N-TiO₂/Gr nanocomposites showed wider spectral response and higher ⁸⁵ photocatalytic activity in the visible-light region than P25, undoped TiO₂ and TiO₂/Gr composite. We are reporting for the first time the enhanced photocatalytic activity of N-TiO₂/Gr composites for photodecomposition of H_2S under solar light illumination for hydrogen generation.

5 2 Exprimental part

Preparation of Graphene oxide (GO)

Graphene oxide was prepared from graphite powder (99.99% S d fine) by a Hummers and Offeman method.²¹

- Preparation of Nitrogen doped TiO₂/graphene (N-TiO₂/Gr)
 N-TiO₂/Gr was prepared by using a simple wet chemical method.
 Analytical grade Titanium tetrachloride (TiCl₄), urea and absolute ethanol were purchased from the local chemical manufacturer (Qualigene chemicals) and used as received. In a typical
 synthesis, the as prepared GO, (0.5%, 1%, 2% and 4%) was dispersed in a absolute ethanol and ultrasonicated for half an hour [Solution A]. A solution of 0.05 mole of TiCl₄ and 0.2 mole urea
- (1:4 w/w of TiCl₄:urea) was prepared in absolute ethanol with constant stirring until a homogeneous solution was achieved ²⁰ [solution B]. Then, solution B was slowly added into solution A with constant stirring. The stirring was continued for additional half an hour. This final solution was then kept in oven at 150°C to obtained the black product i.e Ti-urea-graphene intermediate
- complex, which was found to be hygroscopic. The hygroscopic ²⁵ product was preserved in a desiccator and then further subdivided for heat treatment at 300°C, 400°C, 500°C and 600°C for 3h to obtain the desired products, which were no longer hygroscopic. Simulteneously, undoped TiO₂, N-TiO₂ and TiO₂-Gr were prepared for comparison, following the same procedure. The
- ³⁰ undoped TiO₂ was synthesized by preparing a solution of 0.05 mole TiCl₄ in absolute ethanol (without any additives like urea) followed by heating it at 150°C to obtained the product which was further annealed at 300°C for 3h and denoted as T1. We synthesized N-TiO₂ samples using different TiCl₄:urea ratios i.e.
- ³⁵ 1:2, 1:4 and 1:6 (w/w) were also prepared and the better results are obtained for 1:4 ratio. The N-TiO₂ was prepared by heat treating homogeneous 0.05 mole TiCl₄ and 0.2 mole urea (1:4 w/w of TiCl₄:urea) solution in absolute ethanol at 150°C to obtained an intermediate product (Ti-urea complex) which was
- ⁴⁰ further annealed at 300°C, 400°C and 500°C for 3h. The product annealed at 300°C is named as T2. We discuss the results of the final product i.e. N-TiO₂/Gr with that of T2. The TiO₂/Gr sample was prepared by heat treating 0.05 mole TiCl₄ and as prepared

GO (with 2% loading) in absolute ethanol (without any additives, ⁴⁵ i.e. urea) at 150°C to obtained the intermediate product which was further annealed at 300°C for 3h and denoted as T3. The other products with dopants and graphene composites with 0.5%, 1% and 2% loading of GO were annealed at 300°C for 3h and denoted as T4, T5 and T6, respectively. After annealing, all the ⁵⁰ samples were washed with copious amounts of hot distilled water until all chlorine is removed and these products were then subjected to XRD, UV-DRS, Raman, XPS, FTIR, FESEM, TEM and BET, PL analysis for their characterization.

55 Photodecomposition of H₂S

The cylindrical quartz photochemical reactor was filled with 200 ml 0.25 M aqueous KOH and purged with argon for 1 h. Hydrogen sulphide (H₂S) was bubbled through the solution for about 1 h at the rate of 2.5 ml min⁻¹ at 299 K. Undoped TiO₂, N-⁶⁰ TiO₂, TiO₂/Gr and N-TiO₂/Gr (0.2 g) was introduced into the reactor and irradiated with a solar light source Xe-lamp (300 W, LOT ORIEL GRUPPE, EUROPA, LSH302) with constant stirring. The escaped hydrogen sulfide was trapped in a NaOH solution. The amount of hydrogen gas evolved was collected in a ⁶⁵ graduated cylinder and measured. The evolved hydrogen was then analyzed for its purity using a gas chromatograph (Model Shimadzu GC-14B, MS-5 Å column, TCD, Ar carrier). All the samples (T1–T6) were tested for their catalytic activity under identical conditions. In an experiment, a composite was tested as ⁷⁰ a catalyst for a continuous period of 420 minutes (7 hrs.).

Characterization

The crystalline phases and the crystallite size of the photocatalyst was identified using an X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) ⁷⁵ technique (XRD-D8, Advance, Bruker-AXS) with Cu Ka radiation. The optical properties of the powder samples were studied using an UV-visible-near infrared spectrometer (UV-VIS-NIR, Perkin Elmer Lambda-950). Room temperature micro Raman scattering (RS) was performed using a HR 800-Raman ⁸⁰ Spectroscopy, Horiba Jobin Yvon, France, with an excitation at 632.81 nm by a coherent He–Ne ion laser and a liquid nitrogen cooled CCD camera to collect and process the scattered data. The nature of chemical bonds formed in N-TiO₂ and reduction of GO to graphene were examined using X-ray photoelectron ⁸⁵ spectroscopy (XPS, ESCA-3000, VG Scientific Ltd, England) with a base pressure greater than 1.0×10^{-9} Pa and Mg Ka radiation (1253.6 eV) was used as an X-ray source operated at 150 W. FTIR spectra's were recorded with a Nicolet Magna 550 spectrometer. The morphologies of the N-TiO₂/ Gr composites nanostructures were characterized by field emission scanning ⁵ electron microscopy (FESEM, Hitachi, S-4800) and high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM, JEOL, 2010F). For HRTEM studies, samples were prepared by dispersing the powder in acetone, followed by sonication in an ultrasonic bath for 2 min and then drop-casting the dispersion on

- ¹⁰ a carbon coated copper grid and by subsequent drying in a vacuum. The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) specific surface area (S_{BET}) of the powders was analyzed by nitrogen adsorption in a Micro-meritics ASAP 2020 nitrogen adsorption apparatus (U.S.). All of the prepared samples were degassed at 180°C prior
- ¹⁵ to nitrogen adsorption measurements. Photoluminecence (PL) emission spectra were recorded using HORIBAJOBINYVON, F-3 Fluorescence spectrophotometer.



3 Results and discussion

Fig. 1 XRD spectrum of samples (a) undoped TiO₂ (T1) treated at 300°C for 3h (b) N-TiO₂ treated at 300°C for 3h (T2) (c) TiO₂/Gr composite with 2% loading which treated at 300°C for 3h (T3) and N-TiO₂/Gr composites treated at 300°C for 3h with different ²⁵ GO loading (d) 0.5% (T4), (e) 1% (T5) and (f) 2% (T6)

Fig. 1 shows the XRD pattern for the N-TiO₂/Gr nanocomposites (T4-T6) synthesized with different content of graphene which is compared with the N-TiO₂ (T2), TiO₂/Gr (T3) and TiO₂ (T1). The peaks observed at 25.3°, 37.8°, 48.0°, 55.1°, 62.7°, 70.3° and ³⁰ 75.0° are indexed as (101), (004), (200), (211), (204), (220) and (215) planes respectively, clearly reveals the formation of anatase (JCPDS no. 21-1272) crystallites. No peaks were observed for carbon species (multi-layer graphene) in the composite samples T3-T6, which may be due to low amount of graphene.²² Further, 35 observation indicates that the full width at half maximum (FWHM) is more for the anatase peaks of N-TiO₂ (T2) which is attributed to the induction of nitrogen into the TiO₂ lattice. In case of samples (T3-T6), the presence of increasing amount of graphene may play an additional role in restricting the degree of 40 crystallization.²³ Further, the existence of graphene and its consequential effects is supported by Raman and XPS analysis.

Generally, the overall photocatalytic activity of a semiconductor is mainly related to the optical absorption ability in the desired spectral region, efficient separation of 45 photogenerated electrons, holes and fast transport of the photogenerated electrons and holes to the surface which ultimately decreases the recombination.

Fig. 2 shows the UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectra (UV-DRS) of undoped TiO₂ (T1), N-TiO₂ (T2), TiO₂/Gr (T3) and 50 N-TiO₂/Gr (T4-T6) composite catalysts. The spectra shows the absorption edge at 388 nm (3.19 eV) for undoped TiO₂ (T1) as commonly observed for anatase TiO₂. The band gap energies of samples T2-T6 were determined to be 2.93 (423 nm), 2.60 (476 nm), 2.56 (485 nm), 2.53 (490 nm), 2.41 (515 nm) eV, 55 respectively. The optical absorption shows a red-shift with the incorporation of 'N' dopant.²⁴ In addition, the extended visible light absorption edge was observed with increasing the graphene content in composite samples.^{24a} It is obvious that N-TiO₂/Gr shows much stronger absorption of visible light than TiO₂/Gr 60 composite. When 'N' was introduced into TiO₂/Gr composite, the absorption edge of T6 sample is extended to 515 nm (2.41eV).²⁵ These observations are comparable to TiO₂-CNT and C-doped TiO₂.²⁶ Also, it is reported that "black" TiO₂ with defect structure shows absorption in visible region. Additionally, the extension of 65 the absorption edge to the visible region may also be due to 'O' deficiencies occurred during in-situ preparation where carbon may be acting as a reducing agent at high temperatures.²⁷



Fig. 2 UV-DRS spectra of samples (a) undoped TiO₂ (T1) treated at 300°C for 3h (b) N-TiO₂ treated at 300°C for 3h (T2) (c) TiO₂/Gr composite with 2% loading which treated at 300°C for 3 h (T3) and N-TiO₂/Gr composites treated at 300°C for 3h with different GO loading (d) 0.5% (T4), (e) 1% (T5) and (f) 2% (T6)

Raman spectroscopy is one of the most useful techniques for analyzing the ordered/disordered crystalline structures of carbonaceous and titanium oxide-based materials.



10

Fig. 3(A, B, C) Raman spectrum of samples (a) undoped TiO_2 (T1) treated at 300°C for 3h (b) N-TiO₂ treated at 300°C for 3h (T2) (c) TiO_2/Gr composite with 2% loading which treated at 300°C for 3h (T3) and N-TiO₂/Gr composites treated at 300°C for

¹⁵ 3h with different GO loading (d) 0.5% (T4), (e) 1% (T5) and (f) 2% (T6). (B) shows the magnified range from 1100-2000 cm⁻¹. (C) shows the magnified range from 2000-3000 cm⁻¹.

Fig. 3(A) shows a comparison of the Raman spectra of undoped TiO₂ (T1), N-TiO₂ (T2), TiO₂/Gr (T3) and N-TiO₂/Gr 20 (T4-T6) samples (composites). In case of GO (Fig. S1), Raman spectrum shows two typical bands located at 1325 and 1591 cm⁻¹, which correspond to disordered sp2 carbon (D-band) and well ordered graphite carbon structures (G-band), respectively.²⁸ As for undoped TiO₂ (T1), several characteristic bands at 140, 392, $_{25}$ 512, and 635 cm⁻¹ which correspond to the Eg (1), B1g(1), A1g+B1g(2) and Eg(2) modes of anatase, respectively.^{28b, 29} For the N-TiO₂ (T2), TiO₂/Gr (T3) and N-TiO₂/Gr (T4-T6) composites, all the above mentioned Raman bands for anatase are observed. Additionally, for samples T3-T6, Raman spectrum ³⁰ shows the presence of D (1325 cm⁻¹) and G (1603 cm⁻¹) bands of graphene, indicating successful incorporation of graphene in the composites TiO₂/Gr (T3) and N-TiO₂/Gr (T4-T6) respectively. Fig. 3(B) shows the Raman spectrum of the undoped TiO_2 (T1), N-TiO₂ (T2), TiO₂/Gr (T3) and N-TiO₂/Gr (T4-T6) ³⁵ nanocomposites exclusively in the range of 1100-2000 cm⁻¹. It can be clearly seen that two prominent peaks centered at about 1325 cm⁻¹ (D band) and 1603 cm⁻¹ (G band) suggesting that the structure of graphene is maintained in the composite. In addition, the G band for samples (T3-T6) is broadened and red shifted to $_{40}$ 1603 cm⁻¹ after the reduction of graphene oxide (1591 cm⁻¹). This

- ⁴⁰ 1003 cm⁻ after the reduction of graphene oxide (1591 cm⁻). This can be understood by considering Ti-O-C bond formation which implies a close interaction between TiO₂ and graphene,³⁰ and highlighting a real integration across the interface between graphene and anatase TiO₂.
- Generally, 2D peak of Raman spectrum, observed at ~2700 cm-1, is a reliable test to confirm the presence of a monolayer or few layer graphene³¹. Therefore, we tried to find the presence of 2D peak in the expanded range of 2000-3000 cm⁻¹ Fig. 3(C) shows the Raman spectrum of the undoped TiO₂ (T1), 50 N-TiO₂ (T2), TiO₂/Gr (T3) and N-TiO₂/Gr (T4-T6) nanocomposites in the range of 2000-3000 cm⁻¹. 2D peak is not observed in the expanded range also. It is known that with increasing number of layers of graphene, 2D peak becomes broader and less intense. Beyond 5-6 layers of graphene, 2D peak
 so is not observed. In these cases, it is reported that increased D/G intensity ratio as compared to that of GO is an indicator of the presence of graphene.³²

A further observation reveals that the samples T3 and T4-T6 composite show an increased D/G intensity ratio 1.17 and 1.1, 1.23, 1.78 respectively in comparison to that of GO (0.93) (Fig. S1). This change suggests decrease in the average size of ⁵ the sp2 domains and enhancement in the number of defects during reduction of the exfoliated GO to graphene in the synthesis process.^{28b, 33} 'N' doping in TiO₂, the Raman spectra shows a shift in the TiO₂ peak to the higher wavenumbers i.e. from 140 to 154 cm⁻¹ for samples T2, T4-T6 indicating an ¹⁰ increase in the surface oxygen vacancies.³⁴

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were performed to further investigate the chemical changes that occurred on the surface during composite formation by monitoring the signals of N, Ti, O and C to elucidate the 15 interaction between graphene, TiO₂ and N-TiO₂ nanoparticles.



Fig. 4 XPS patterns of $N-TiO_2/Gr$ composite with 2% GO loading synthesized at 300°C for 3h (T6) (a) C(1s), (b) N(1s), (c) O(1s), (d)Ti(2p).

Fig. 4 shows the high resolution XPS spectra for C (1s), N (1s), O (1s) and Ti (2P) core levels of the N-TiO₂/Gr composite. The XPS spectrum of C1S from GO (Fig. S2) is deconvoluted into three peaks which are ascribed to the following functional groups: Sp2 bonded carbon (C-C, 284.8 eV),

- ²⁵ epoxyl/hydroxyls (C-O, 286 eV), carbonyls (C=O, 287.8 eV).³⁵ While the first peak indicates the presence of 2D carbon structure, the latter two peaks indicate the presence of high percentage of oxygen-containing functional groups. In comparison, the XPS spectrum of C(1s) from N-TiO₂/Gr (Fig. 4a)
- 30 shows that the peak intensity for oxygen containing functional groups substantially lowered as compared to the intensity for oxygen containing functional groups in the spectrum of GO,

suggests that GO has been converted to graphene. Furthermore, the band located at 283.6 eV can be assigned to the presence of ³⁵ the Ti-C bond.³⁶ Formation of the Ti-C bond can be shown by peak deconvolution of the Ti(2p) core level, as investigated in the Fig. 4 b shows the N(1s) core level spectrum where the peak at 397.5 eV can be attributed to the anionic N in O-Ti-N linkage³⁷ while a peaks at 400.4 and 401.9 eV are ascribed to the 40 molecularly chemisorbed y-N2 and N-Ox surface species respectively.³⁸ These peaks clearly indicate that nitrogen was interstitially/substitutionally doped into TiO₂ lattice.³⁹ Fig. 4 c shows O(1s) narrow scan spectrum. The peaks around 530.6 eV and 532.2 eV are attributed to the Ti-O-Ti i.e. lattice oxygen and 45 Ti-OH adsorbed on the sample surface, respectively.⁴⁰ As for Ti 2p (Fig. 4d) core level photoelectron spectrum, the peaks located at 458.4 eV and 464.2 eV are assigned to Ti (2p3/2) and Ti (2p1/2), respectively.⁴¹ The splitting between the two peaks is 5.8 eV, indicating predominant formation of Ti⁴⁺ chemical state in 50 the N-TiO₂/Gr composite. Furthermore, there are two other deconvoluted peaks at binding energies of 457.6 and 463.1 eV relating to the Ti (2p3/2) and Ti (2p1/2), respectively. These two peaks can be assigned to the presence of Ti³⁺ chemical state in addition to the Ti⁴⁺.⁴² The peak deconvolution of the Ti (2p) core 55 level indicated two other peaks centered at 465.9 and 460.3 eV (relating to the Ti (2p1/2) and Ti(2p3/2) peaks) confirms the formation of Ti-C bond.³⁶ It should be noted that, the XPS results also suggest the presence of Ti-O-C bonds in the N-TiO2/Gr composite. Since graphene sheets are excellent electron 60 acceptors, the Ti-C and Ti-O-C bonds can act as effective channels in electron transferring from the photoexcited N-TiO₂ into the graphene sheets. Based on the peak areas of the Ti(2p), C(1s) and O(1s) core levels, the Ti/C and O/C atomic ratio obtained in the N-TiO₂/Gr (T6) composite was around 0.20 and 65 0.98, respectively which is compared with the literature.⁴³ The presence of small amount of hydrophilic groups on the surface of graphene, such as hydroxyl and carboxyl groups, can enhance the photocatalytic activity.44

The reduction of oxigen-containg groups in GO and 70 interaction between the TiO₂ and graphene during the synthesis process was also shown by FTIR spectroscopy.



Fig. 5(A, B) FTIR spectra of samples (A) GO, (B) (a) undoped TiO₂ (T1) treated at 300°C for 3h (b) N-TiO₂ treated at 300°C for 3h (T2) (c) TiO₂/Gr composite with 2% loading which treated at s 300°C for 3h (T3) and N-TiO₂/Gr composites treated at 300°C for 3h with different GO loading (d) 0.5% (T4), (e) 1% (T5) and (f) 2% (T6).

It could be seen that the initial GO (Fig. 5 A) displayed several characteristic absorption bands of oxygen containing groups. The ¹⁰ IR absorption at 1725 cm⁻¹ could be attributed to the C=O stretching vibration. The peak at around 962 cm⁻¹, 1059 cm⁻¹, 1250 cm⁻¹ orresponds to the epoxy stretching, alkoxy C-O stretching and C-O-C stretching peaks, respectively.^{44, 45} The broad band at 1560 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to in-plane vibrations of ¹⁵ aromatic C=C Sp² hybridized carbons. As against the evidencce of these oxygen-containing groups of GO, the absence/ negligibly

small intensity of bands of oxygen containing functional groups (C-O, C-O-C) in samples (T3-T6) was noteworthy (Fig. 5B).^{45b} Of course T1 and T2 samples show pressnce of sharp Ti-O-Ti

- ²⁰ bands in their IR studies at 650 cm⁻¹. obviously, oxygen containing groups mentioned above must have reacted with the species which are in close contact with GO, namely Ti. However, the broadening of Ti-O-Ti peak suggests the presence of a peak due to Ti-O-C bond. Thus, Ti-O-Ti bonding is well understood.
- ²⁵ However, it is reported that Ti-O-C bond also forms during the low temperature synthesis at 200°C which is lower than the processing temperature in present procedure.⁴⁶ However, separate existance of Ti-O-C bond is not reflected in FTIR spectra which is reported to appear at 798 cm⁻¹. Thus, broad bands due to Ti-O-
- ³⁰ Ti and Ti-O-C overlap and do not show their separate existance. The existance of Ti-O-C bonds suggests the chemical bonds were firmly built between graphene and N-TiO₂ nanostructures⁴⁷ which is supported by the XPS study.

The morphology and microstructure of the GO, ³⁵ undoped TiO₂ (T1), N-TiO₂ (T2), TiO₂/Gr (T3) and N-TiO₂/Gr (T4-T6) samples were investigated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM).

Fig. S3 (a, b) shows the FESEM image of GO with smooth surface. Fig S3 (c, d) shows the FESEM image of 40 undoped TiO₂ (T1) with size 30-150 nm and Fig. S3 (d, e) shows the tiny nanoparticles of N-TiO₂ (T2). TiO₂/Gr composite (T3) sample (Fig. 6 a, b) shows the TiO₂ nanoparticles with size ~ 10 nm are well dispersed on graphene sheet. The important observations are 1) pure TiO₂ particle size is largest among the 45 composites, 2) during the process of doping, particle size is lowered, 3) with the inclusion of graphene in the composite, particle size is ~7-10 nm, 4) the particles on multi-layers of graphene sheets are well dispersed and remain firmly adhered to it. Fig. 6 c-h shows that the N-TiO₂/Gr composite with increasing 50 percentage of graphene (T4-T6) shows well dispersed N-TiO₂ nanoparticles on graphene sheet. The cross section of samples T3-T6 are shown in inset Fig. 6 (b, d, f, h) which clearly shows that ~7-10 nm N-TiO₂ nanoparticles confirms the same observation. The absence of any agglomeration suggests that such 55 process is prevented by firm bonding of N-TiO₂ particles to graphene. This intimate interaction becomes important to facilitate photogenerated electron to get transferred from TiO₂ or N-TiO₂ nanoparticles to graphene sheets during the photoexcitation process which will be discussed later.



Fig. 6 FESEM of samples (a,b) TiO₂/Gr composite with 2% loading which treated at 300°C for 3h (T3) and N-TiO2/Gr composites treated at 300°C for 3h with different GO loading 5 (c,d) 0.5% (T4), (e,f) 1% (T5) and (g,h) 2% (T6).

The microstructure of N-TiO₂ (T2) and N-TiO₂/Gr (T6) samples was further studied by TEM and HRTEM. Fig. 7 shows the TEM images of the N-TiO₂ (T2) and Fig.8 shows the typical TEM images of N-TiO₂/Gr (T6) sample.



Fig. 8 TEM of N-TiO₂/Gr composite with 2% GO loading which 20 treated at 300°C for 3h (T6)

А large number of monodispersed N-TiO₂ nanoparticles with an average size of 7-10 nm were observed on the graphene sheet (Fig 8 a, b). This can be attributed to the interaction between the hydrophilic functional groups (e.g. -OH, -25 COOH) on graphene and the hydroxyl groups on N-TiO2. The



Fig. 7 TEM of N-TiO₂ which treated at 300°C for 3h (T2)

The TEM images (Fig. 7 a, b) we observed \sim 5-10 nm sized nanoparticles. The HRTEM image (Fig. 7c) shows clear lattice fringes of N-TiO₂ nanoparticles with 'd' spacing of ca. 0.355 nm 15 which corresponds to (101) lattice plane of the anatase TiO₂. The electron diffraction (Fig. 7d) shows (004), (101) and (200) planes of the anatase TiO₂.



HRTEM image (Fig. 8c) shows clear lattice fringes of individual N-TiO₂ particle with 'd' spacing of ca. 0.357 nm which corresponds to (101) lattice planes of the anatase TiO₂. The selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern (Fig. 8d) ⁵ indicates that the composite consists of crystalline phases. The three inside diffraction spots are assigned correspond to the (004), (200) and (204) planes of the anatase TiO₂. These observations are in close agreement with the XRD results (Fig.1).

The substantial role of graphene sheets in the $_{10}$ formation mechanism of the N-TiO₂/Gr nanocomposite is illustrated in four steps in Fig. 9.



Fig. 9 Schematic representation of formation mechanism of TiO_2/Gr and N-TiO_2/Gr composites

Briefly, GO is prepared using the Hummer's method via pristine graphite (Fig. 9b) and titanium tetrachloride were mixed in an absolute ethanol solvent for the formation of TiO₂/Gr composite (T3). For the synthesis of N-TiO₂/Gr composites (T4-T6), the GO 20 prepared using the Hummer's method and titanium tetrachloride along with urea were mixed in an absolute ethanol solvent. During ultrasonic pretreatment, (Fig. 9c) strong van der Waals interactions among the GO layers is overcome which leads to the separation of GO sheets. Simultaneously, titanium tetrachloride 25 and urea which are present in the same solvent interact with oxygen containing species of GO, and the resulting complex species gets anchored on oxygen site of GO possibly through hydrogen bonding or via chemisorptions.⁴⁸ Also, GO is reduced to graphene by ammonia released from urea at moderately high ³⁰ temperatures.⁴⁹ Further, raising the temperature, titanium tetrachloride reacts with urea and form Ti(OH)₄ through hydrolysis of Ti precursor by ammonia.

At the same time, urea and urea decomposed products are formed along with Ti(OH)₄ (Fig. 9d, e). Since, alcoholic ³⁵ hygroscopic urea is the reaction medium, adsorbed atmospheric moisture facilitates the reactions mentioned above (formation of Ti(OH)₄). Simultaneously, a complex consisting of Ti containing species and urea based species decompose to form TiO₂ on subsequent heating at temperatures >150° C. However, nitrogen ⁴⁰ species from urea is in close proximity to Ti species in the said complexes. Concomitantly, surrounding gaseous medium of ammonia also may contribute for nitrogen to be a part of TiO₂ lattice leading to the formation of N-TiO₂ nuclei (Fig. 9g). In absence of urea, obviously, only TiO₂ nuclei are formed (Fig. ⁴⁵ 9f). Thus, the TiO₂ or N-TiO₂ nuclei are formed on graphene and as the reaction continue to 300°C, the TiO₂ or N-TiO₂ nuclei grow which suppress agglomeration (Fig. 9h, i).

N-TiO₂ nanocrystals anchored on the surface of graphene sheets look like a thin film and have a larger surface area. This ⁵⁰ can offer more active adsorption sites and photocatalytic reaction centers. Therefore, it is expected to offer an enhanced photocatalytic activity.

The effect of graphene on the BET surface area of the as prepared composite samples was also investigated. As shown in 55 Table 1, N-TiO₂/Gr composite samples (T4-T6) show higher BET surface area $(207.53 - 219.98 \text{ m}^2\text{g}^{-1})$ than undoped TiO_2 (T1) (109.75 m²g⁻¹), N-TiO₂ (T2) (157.70 m²g⁻¹) and TiO₂/Gr (T3) (118.89 m²g⁻¹). In the composite samples, BET surface area (SBET) increases with the increase of graphene 60 content, which is associated with the average densities of samples.⁵⁰ The planar density of graphene is 0.77 mg cm⁻², and the density of TiO₂ is 4.26 g cm⁻³. Therefore, the average densities of composite samples decrease with increasing graphene content, resulting in the increase of the BET surface area. 65 However, the catalytic reaction is expected to occur on TiO₂ particles only. In the absence of graphene, TiO₂ particles would have agglomerated with a rise in density and lowered specific surface area for reaction to occur. This process is completely circumvented by graphene, making greater specific 70 surface area. Another important feature of graphene is the recombination of separated charge carriers can be suppressed if any of the charge carriers is transported away from the photoactive material. Graphene's high electronic conductivity suits for this process leading to an enhancement of the 75 photocatalytic performance.⁵¹

photoluminescence (PL) spectra of semiconductors are useful to investigate the migration, transfer and recombination processes of photogenerated electron-hole pairs. The PL emission

65

mainly originates from the recombination of the excited electrons and holes.⁵²



Fig. 10 PL spectra of samples (a) undoped TiO₂ (T1) treated at s 300°C for 3h (b) N-TiO₂ treated at 300°C for 3h (T2) (c) TiO₂/Gr composite with 2% loading which treated at 300°C for 3h (T3) and N-TiO₂/Gr composites treated at 300°C for 3h with different GO loading (d) 0.5% (T4), (e) 1% (T5) and (f) 2% (T6)

- Fig. 10 illustrates PL spectra of undoped TiO₂ (T1), N-TiO₂ (T2), ¹⁰ TiO₂/Gr (T3) and N-TiO₂/Gr (T4-T6) monitored at an excitation wavelength of 325 nm. One peak at around 435 nm was observed for these samples, which is attributed to the recombination of holes and electrons in the valence band and conduction band. We observed that the position of peak is at 435 nm for all composites.
- ¹⁵ The PL intensity of undoped TiO_2 (T1) is the highest among all the samples indicates the high probability of electron and hole recombination. The emission intensities were significantly weakened with N doping and graphene introduction, which implies that the recombination of charge carriers was effectively
- 20 decreased, since, carriers have an alternative path, for example photocatalytic reaction. Among all the samples the lowest photoluminescence intensity was observed for T6 sample, indicating that the electron-hole recombination on the surface of catalysts is largely inhibited to generate more photoelectrons and
- $_{25}$ holes to participate in the photocatalytic reactions. We therefore conclude that the enhanced photocatalytic activity of T6 sample is mainly attributed to more effective charge separation through trap formation in TiO₂ and transportation to graphene.
- The photocatalytic activity of freshly prepared undoped ³⁰ TiO₂ (T1), N-TiO₂ (T2), TiO₂/Gr (T3) and N-TiO₂/Gr (T4-T6) samples were performed for hydrogen generation via photodecomposition of H₂S using solar light.

Table 1 shows the hydrogen evolution rate from the photodecomposition of H₂S using undoped TiO₂ (T1), N-TiO₂ 35 (T2), TiO₂/Gr (T3) and N-TiO₂/Gr (T4-T6). Control experiments indicated that no appreciable hydrogen is produced in the absence of irradiation or photocatalyst samples, implying that hydrogen is produced only through photocatalytic reaction. Sample P25 and undoped TiO₂ (T1) shows hydrogen evolution rate ~1583 µmole $_{40}$ h⁻¹ and ~1910 µmole h⁻¹ respectively, which is lower than the other samples. N-TiO₂/Gr (T6) shows highest hydrogen evolution rate ~ 5941 µmole h^{-1} for 420 minutes i.e. 7 hours. N-TiO₂ (T2) sample (without graphene) shows hydrogen evolution rate of around ~ 4219 μ mole h⁻¹. Thus, it can be inferred that N doping $_{45}$ in TiO₂ enhances hydrogen evolution rate by ~2.2 times. Further improvement is observed by incorporating graphene in the composition i.e. sample N-TiO₂/Gr (T6) which is optimized for graphene addition (Fig. S4), shows the enhanced hydrogen evolution rate which is ~ 3.1 times that of undoped TiO₂ (T1). It is 50 also observed that the hydrogen evolution increases with increasing graphene content (see Table 1). However, further increasing the amount of graphene affects the hydrogen production which is unfavorable beyond 2%. We suggest that active sites of N-TiO₂ may get covered by excess graphene. 55 Indeed, it is also reported that the excessive addition of black color graphene will lead to shielding of the active sites on the catalyst surface and also rapidly decrease the intensity of light through the depth of the reaction solution, thus resulting in the decreased photoactivity.⁵³ Because of the rapid recombination 60 between conduction band (CB) electrons and valence band (VB) holes resulting in small number of "active" electrons/holes for the desired reaction in case of N-TiO2. Hence, lower activity obtained in case of N-TiO2 without graphene is quite understood.



Sample code	Graphene content (%)	S _{BET}	H ₂ (µmole h ⁻¹)
T1	-	109.75	1910
T2	-	157.70	4219
T3	2	118.89	2543
T4	0.5	207.53	5520
T5	1	210.74	5645
T6	2	219.98	5 941

Table1: Summary of graphene content, surface area and hydrogen evolution for 420 minutes i.e. 7 hours of synthesized samples

s undoped TiO₂ (T1) treated at 300°c for 3h, N-TiO₂ treated at 300° C for 3h (T2), TiO₂/Gr composite treated at 300° C for 3h (T3) and N-TiO₂/Gr composites treated at 300° C for 3h with different GO loading (T4), (T5), (T6)



¹⁰ **Fig. 11** Time verses volume of H_2 (µmole) evolution of samples (a) undoped TiO₂ (T1) treated at 500°C for 3h (b) N-TiO₂ treated at 300°C for 3h (T2) (c) TiO₂/Gr composite with 2% loading which treated at 300°C for 3h (T3) and N-TiO₂/Gr composites treated at 300°C for 3h with different GO loading (d) 0.5% (T4), ¹⁵ (e) 1% (T5) and (f) 2% (T6). (The weight of the photocatalyst use for each run is 0.2gm)

As seen from Fig. 11, the nitrogen doping (T2) and the graphene content (T3) has a significant influence on the photocatalytic activity of TiO₂. Taking advantage of these ²⁰ conclusions, it is further shown that N-TiO₂/Gr (T6) shows higher activity as compared to P25, undoped TiO₂, N-TiO₂. We observed good photocatalytic performance of hydrogen generation by H₂S splitting for N-TiO₂/Gr (T6) as compared to

the performance of other metal oxides⁵⁴ and sulfide ²⁵ semiconductors⁵⁵ is reported so far. This enhancement is attributed to two factors: (1) As compared to TiO₂ (T1), N-TiO₂ and TiO₂/Gr (T3) samples, the larger specific surface area of N-TiO₂/Gr (T6) sample offers more active adsorption sites and hence more photocatalytic reaction centers. (2) In the N-TiO₂/Gr ³⁰ system, graphene serves as an acceptor of the electrons generated in the N-TiO₂ semiconductor and effectively decreases the recombination probability of the photoexcited electron- hole pairs, leaving more charge carriers for hydrogen generation.

To explain the above results, a tentative mechanism is ³⁵ proposed for the higher H_2 production activity of the N-TiO₂/Gr (T6) sample as illustrated in Fig. 12.



Fig. 12 Schematic representation of photocatalytic mechanism of ⁴⁰ N-TiO₂/Gr composite

In Fig. 13, N-TiO₂ nanoparticles are in intimate contact with graphene sheets. The intimate contact can be visualized from the synthesis procedure. During the synthesis reactions, GO gets ⁴⁵ reduced to graphene and simultaneously, there is a formation of N-TiO₂. Therefore, the possibility of bond formation between TiO₂ and graphene can be believed to occur. There are numerous instances in the literature wherein such bond formation has been shown to occur and has also been confirmed by FTIR and XPS ⁵⁰ study.⁵⁶ The nitrogen doping in TiO₂ occurs when N substitutes O in the lattice. Thus, mixing of N(2p) states with O(2p) states takes place, forming a narrow impurity band near valance band of TiO₂. The optical band gap is effectively narrowed, extending the absorption in the visible region of the spectrum also.

Another important role of graphene in the composite is as an electron acceptor and transporter. Graphene has been reported to be competitive candidate as an acceptor material due to its π -conjugation structure and has excellent mobility of charge carriers and large specific surface area. Therefore, rapid transport of charge carriers could be achieved and an effective charge separation consequently accomplished. Overall, both the electron accepting and transporting properties of graphene in the composite could contribute to the enhancement of photocatalytic ⁵⁷ Additionally, as per the PL study, the lower intensity peaks observed in graphene doped samples indicating that the electron-hole recombination on the surface of catalysts is largely inhibited to generate more free photoelectrons and holes. Hence, the enhanced photocatalytic activity of T6 sample is mainly ¹⁰ attributed to more effective charge transportation and separation arisen from the strong chemical bonding of N-TiO₂ and graphene. The major reaction steps in this mechanism under visible-light

irradiation are described in following equations.

	$H_2S + OH \longrightarrow HS + H_2O$	(1)
	$\label{eq:semicanductor} \begin{array}{c} \text{Semicanductor N-TiO_2/graphene} & \xrightarrow{hv} & \text{N-TiO_2}\left(h^{\dagger}\right)_{VB} + \text{Graphene}\left(e^{\dagger}\right)_{CB} \end{array}$	(2)
	Oxidation reaction : $2HS^{+} + N - TiO_{2} (2h^{+})_{VB} \longrightarrow 28 + 2H^{+}$	(3)
5	Reduction reaction : $2H'$ + Graphene (2e') $_{CB} \longrightarrow H_2$	(4)

When the as-prepared samples were irradiated by a photon of sufficient energy equal or larger than band gap, the valence electrons (e^{-}) of anatase are excited to the CB, creating holes (h^{+})

- ²⁰ in the valence band VB. Normally, these charge carriers quickly recombine and only a fraction of electrons and holes participate in the photocatalytic reaction. This recombination of photoinduced electrons and holes in TiO₂ can be effectively lowered in the composite. The lowering of recombination effect can be
- $_{25}$ explained by considering the energy level diagram of N-TiO₂/graphene composite, as shown in Fig. 13. The conduction band of TiO₂ can be shown at -4.2 eV while valence band would be at -7.4 eV (vs. vacuum).⁵⁸ The work function of graphene is -4.42 eV.⁵⁹ Thus, transport of electrons from conduction band of
- $_{30}$ TiO₂ to graphene is energetically a favorable process. Thus, efficiently separation of photo-induced charge carriers leads to lowering of charge recombination and effectively enhance the photocatalytic performance.⁶⁰ These electrons are accessible to the adsorbed H⁺ ions (reaction 3) to form H₂. Therefore, the
- ³⁵ synergistic effect of H₂ production on catalyst surface is because of extended visible light absorption due to 'N' doping, graphene content and efficient charge separation. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report showing that an inexpensive carbon (graphene) material can be used as an effective co-catalyst
- ⁴⁰ for photocatalytic H₂S splitting for H₂ production.

It has been reported that TiO₂/graphene composite degrades on exposure to UV light and the composition changes from the original one.³⁴ On this background, as well as, usual practice in catalyst tasting, it was necessary to test the catalyst for 45 repeated reactions. Accordingly, the stability of N-TiO₂/Gr composite (T6) was evaluated by performing the recycle experiments of the photocatalyst under similar conditions. After three recycles (1260 minutes i.e. 21 hours) of reaction, H₂ evolution did not decrease (supporting information Fig. S5). This 50 observation indicates good stability of the catalyst for hydrogen generation for a limited period reported here. The XRD, Raman and XPS of recycled catalyst (T6) did not show any change in the phase purity and amount of graphene content, which further supports good stability of the catalyst. (Fig. S6, S7 and S8) After 55 performing three cycles (1260 minutes i.e. 21 hours) of photocatalytic study we observed the presence of elemental 'S' and absence of 'SO₄' in XPS studies (Fig. S9). The present study shows that the N-TiO₂ nanocomposite with graphene gives very stable photocatalytic activity and can also be used in water

4 Conclusion

60 purification under sunlight.

Novel composite photocatalysts were prepared by depositing N-TiO₂ nanoparticles on graphene sheets by a facile in-situ wet 65 chemical method The well defined 7-10 nm N-TiO₂ nanoparticles were deposited on graphene sheet, sucessfully. Considering the absorption edge of N-TiO₂/Gr well within visible region, the photocatalyic hydrogen production from H₂S under solar light has been performed. Use of the developed catalyst 70 demonstrated an efficient solar hydrogen production from copious, abundantly avilable H₂S which is hitherto unattemted. The prepared N-TiO₂/Gr sample showed excellent photocatalytic activity (~5941 µmoleh⁻¹) for the hydrogen production under solar light irradiation. A suitable mechanism of the catalytic 75 process is proposed. This study opens a new possibility in the investigation of N-TiO2/Gr composites and promotes their practical applications in environmental issues. The method investigated will also be useful for the formation of other semiconductor/graphene nanocomposite.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Dr. D. P. Amalnerkar, Executive Director, C-MET for encouragement and nanocrystalline

materials group C-MET, Pune for their support. Ashwini P. Bhirud would like to thank Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) for financial support. Bharat Kale and Chen Park is also thankful for the support by MSIP (ministry of

- ⁵ science, ICT and future planning), Korea through Brain Pool Program. The authors would also like to thanks Dr. C. V. Rode and Dr K. R. Patil, NCL, Pune for BET and XPS analysis respectively. The authors are very grateful and also wish to express their gratitude to the nanocrystalline materials group C-
- ¹⁰ MET, Pune for their support.

Notes

^aCentre for Materials for Electronic Technology, Panchawati, Off Pashan Road, Pune 411008, India. E-mail: *<u>kbbb1@yahoo.com</u>

15 ^b759/83 Deccan Gymkhana, Pune 411004, India.

^cNational Chemical Laboratory (NCL), Dr. Homi Bhabha Road, Pune-411008, India.

^dDepartment of Materials Science and Engineering, Chonnam National

University 77, Yongbongro, Bukgu, Gwangju, Korea.

20 E-mail: * parkcj@jnu.ac.kr

†Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Raman of GO, XPS of GO, FESEM of GO, undoped TiO₂ and N-TiO₂, graph of % graphene *vs* H₂ production, hydrogen evolution of recycled T6 sample, XRD of sample (T6) after three cyles of photocatalytic study, Raman

25 spectrum of sample T6 after three cycles of photocatalytic study, XPS of sample (T6) after three cycles of photocatalytic study. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

References

- 1 A. Kudo, Y. Miseki, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2009, 38, 253-278.
- 2 A. Iwase, W. Y. Teoh, R. Amal, Chem. Lett., 2011, 40, 108-110.
- 3 a) M. Barbeni, E. Pelizzetti, E. Borgarello, N. Serpone, M. Gratzel and L. Balducci, *Int. J. of Hydrogen Energy*, 1985, **10**, 249-253. (b) N.
- 35 Buhler, K. Meier and J. P. Reber, *J. Phy. Chem.*, 1984, **88**, 3261-3268.
- 4 H. Chang and H. Wu, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2013, **6**, 3483-3507.
 5 a) Q. Li, B. D. Guo, J. G. Yu, J. R. Ran, B. H. Zhang, H. J. Yan, J. R. Gong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 10878-10884. (b) S. W. Liu, J. G. Yu, M. Jaroniec, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 11914-11916. (c) Q. J.
- ⁴⁰ Xiang, J. G. Yu, M. Jaroniec, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 782-796. (d)
 A. L. Linsebigler, G. Q. Lu, J. T. Yates, *Chem. Rev.*, 1995, **95**, 735–758.
 (e) L. G. Devi, N. Kottam, S. G. Kumar, *J. Phy. Chem. C*, 2009, **113** 15593-15601.
 - 6 (a) T. Umebayashi, T. Yamaki, H. Itoh, K. Asai, Journal of Physics and
- ⁴⁵ Chem. Solids, 2002, **63**, 1909-1920. (b) D. Dvoranova, V. Brezova, M. Mazur, M.A. Malati, *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, 2002, **37**, 91-105. (c) Z. Ambrus, N. Balazs, T. Alapi, G. Wittmann, P. Sipos, A. Dombi, A. mogyorosi, *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, 2008, **81**, 27-37.

- ⁵⁰ 7 (a) D. Tafen, J. Wang, N. Q. Wu, J. P. Lewis, *Appl. Phy. Lett.*, 2009, 94, 09310-093103. (b) H. Irie, Y. Watanabe, K. Hashimoto, *J. Phy. Chem. B*, 2003, 107, 5483-5486. (c) N. Serpone, *J. Phy. Chem. B*, 2006, 110, 24287-24293. (d) J. Wang, D. N. Tafen, J. P. Lewis, Z. Hong, A. Manivannan, M. Zhi, M. Li, N. Q. Wu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 55 12290-12297.
- 8 (a) M. D. Hernandez-Alonso, F. Fresno, S. Suarez and J. M. Coronado, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2009, 2, 1231-1257. (b) L. W. Zhang, H. B. Fu and Y. F. Zhu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2008, 18, 2180-2189.

9 (a) S. Fukahori, H. Ichiura, T. Kitaoka, H. Tnaka, *Energy Environ. Sci.*,

- 60 2003, **37**, 1048-1051. (b) A. M. Turek, I. E. Wachs, E. DeCanio, *J. Phy. Chem.*, 1992, **96**, 5000-5007. (c) J. Aguado, R. Van Grieken, M.J. Lopez-Munos, J. Marugan, *J. Appl. Catal. A*, 2006, **312**, 202-212. (d) M. Li, S. Zhou, Y. Zhang, G. Chen, Z. Hong, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2008, **254**, 3762-3766.
- ⁶⁵ 10 (a) G. An, W. Ma, Z. Sun, Z. Liu, B. Han, S. Miao, Z. Miao and K. Ding, *Carbon*, 2007, **45**, 1795–1801. (b) W.-J. Ong, M. M. Gui, S. -P. Chai and A. R. Mohamed, *RSC Advances*, 2013, **3**, 4505–4509.
 - 11 G. Xue, H. Liu, Q. Chen, C. Hills, M. Tyrer and F. Innocent, J. Hazard. Mater., 2011, 186, 765–772.
- 70 12 Y. Zhang, N. Zhang, Z. R. Tang and Y. J. Xu, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2012, 14, 9167-9175.

13 C. Lee, X. D. Wei, J. W. Kysar, J. Hone, *Science*, 2008, **321**, 385-388.
14 K. I. Bolotin, K. J. Sikes, Z. Jiang, M. Klima, G. Fudenberg, J. Hone,
P. Kim, H. L. Stormer, *Solid State Comm.*, 2008, **146**, 351-355.

⁷⁵ 15 A. A. Balandin, S. Ghosh, W. Z. Bao, I. Calizo, D. Teweldebrhan, F. Miao, C. N. Lau, *Nano Lett.*, 2008, **8**, 902-907.

16 M. J. McAllister, J. L. Li, D. H. Adamson, H. C. Schniepp, A. A. Abdala, J. Liu, M. Herrera-Alonso, D. L. Milius, R. Car, R. K. Prud'homme, I. A. Aksay, *Chem. Mater.*, 2007, **19**, 4396-4404.

80 17 F. Pei, Y. Liu, S. Xu, J. Lu, C. Wang, S. Cao, Int. J. of Hydrogen Energy, 2013, 38, 2670-2677.

18 (a) G. Williams, B. Seger, P.V. Kamat, Acs NANO, 2008, 2, 1487–1491. (b) H. Zhang, X. Lv, Y. Li, Y. Wang, J. Li, Acs NANO, 2010, 4, 380–386. (c) Y. Zhang, Z. -R. Tang, X. Fu, Y. -J. Xu, Acs NANO, 2010,

- 85 4, 7303–7314. (d) Y. Zhang, Z. -R. Tang, X. Fu, Y. -J. Xu, *Acs NANO*, 2011, 5, 7426–7435. (e) Q. Huang, S. Tian, D. Zeng, X. Wang, W. Song, Y. Li, W. Xiao, C. Xie, *ACS Catal.*, 2013, 3, 1477–1485. (f) Q. Xiang, J. Yu, M. Jaroniec, *Nanoscale*, 2011, 3, 3670–3678. (g) L. Sun, Z. Zhao, Y. Zhou, L. Liu, *Nanoscale*, 2012, 4, 613–620. (h) J. G. Radich, A. L.
- 90 Krenselewski, J. Zhu, P. V. Kamat, *Chem. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 4662–4668.
 19 N. R. Khalid, E. Ahmed, Z. Hong, Y. Zhang, M. Ahmad, *Current Applied Physics*, 2012,12, 1485-1492.
- 20 R. Wang, Q. Wu, Y. Lu, H. Liu, Y. Xai, J. Liu, D. Yang, Z. Huo and X. Yao, *Appl. Mater. and Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 2118-2124.
- ⁹⁵ 21 W. S. Hummers, R. E. Offeman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1958, **80**, 1339.
 22 H. Zhang, X. Lv, Y. Li, Y. Wang and J. Li, *ACS Nano*, 2010, **4**, 380–386.

23 S. Yoon, B. H. Ka, C. Lee, M. Park, and S. M. Oh, *Electrochem. and Solid-State Lett.*, 2009, **12**, A28-A32.

24 (a) Y. Zhang, Z.-R. Tang, X. Fu and Y.-J. Xu, ACS Nano, 2010, 4, 7303-7314. (b) Q. Zhai, T. Bo and G. Hu, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2011, **198**,

5 78-86. (c) Z. Jiang, L. Kong, F. S. Alenazey, Y. Qian, L. France, T. Xiao and P. P. Edwards, *Nanoscale*, 2013, **5**, 5396-5402.

25 H. Zhang, X. Lv, Y. Li, Y. Wang, J. Li, ACS Nano, 2009, 4, 380–386.
26 (a) N. L. Yang, J. Zhai, D. Wang, Y. S. Chen, L. Jiang, ACS Nano, 2010, 4, 887-894. (b) Y. Su, Y. Xiao, Y. Li, Y. Du, Y. Zhang, Mater.
10 Chem. Phys., 2011, 126, 761-768.

27 (a) N. D. Petkovich, B. E. Wilson, S. G. Rudisill, and A. Stein, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2014, 6, 18215–18227 (b) T. Yu, Y. Deng, L. Wang, R. Liu, L. Zhang, B. Tu, and D. Zhao, Adv. Mater., 2007, 19, 2301–2306 (c) C. –H. Huang, D. Gu, D. Zhao, and R. –A. Doong, Chem. 15 Mater., 2010, 22, 1760–1767.

28 (a) Q. J. Xiang, J. G. Yu, M. Jaroniec, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, **115**, 7355-7363 (b) Q. J. Xiang, J. G. Yu, M. Jaroniec, *Nanoscale*, 2011, **3**, 3670-3678.

29 J. G. Yu, T. T. Ma, G. Liu, B. Cheng, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, **40**, 6635-20 6644.

30 J. Yu, T. Ma and S. Liu, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **13**, 3491-3501.

31 (a) O. Akhavan, *Carbon*, 2015, **81**, 158-166 (b) I. Calizo, A. A. Balandin, W. Bao, F. Miao, C. N. Lau, *Nano Lett.*, 2007, **7**, 2645-2649.

- ²⁵ (c) K. N. Kudin, B. Ozbas, H. C. Schniepp, R. K. Prud'homme, I. A. Aksay, R. Car, *Nano Lett.*, 2008, **8**, 36-41. (d) K S. Kim, Y. Zhao, H. Jang, S. Y. Lee, J. M. Kim, K. S. Kim, J. -H. Ahn, P. Kim, J. -Y. Choi, B. H. Hong, *Nature*, 2009, **457**, 706-710. (e) A. C. Ferrari, J. C. Meyer, V. Scardaci, C. Casiraghi, M. Lazzeri, F. Mauri, S. Piscanec, D. Jiang, K. S.
- ³⁰ Novoselov, S. Roth, A. K. Geim, *Phy. Rev. Lett.*, 2006, **97**, 187401-187404.

32 (a) S. Liu, C. Liu, W. Wang, B. Cheng, J. Yu, *Nanoscale*, 2012, **4**, 3193-3200. (b) W. Wang, J. Yu, Q. Xiang, B. Cheng, *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, 2012, **119-120**, 109-116 (c) N. Farhangi, R. Roy

35 Chowdhury, Y. M. Gonzalez, M. B. Ray, P. A. Charpentier, *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental* 2011, 110, 25-32.

33 E. Gao, W.Z. Wang, M. Shang, J.H. Xu, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **13**, 2887-2893.

34 S. Kelly, F. H. Pollak, M. Tomkiewicz, J. Phys. Chem. B, 1997, 101, 40 2730–2734.

35 O. Akhavan, M. Abdolahad, A. Esfandiar and M. Mohatashamifar, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, **114**, 12955-12959.

36 O. Akhavan, E. Ghaderi, Nanoscale, 2013, 5, 10316-10326.

37 M. satish, , B. Viswanathan, R. P. Viswanath, C. S. Gopinath, *Chem.* 45 *Mater.*, 2005, **17**, 6349-6353.

38 (a) R. Asahi, T. morikawa, T. ohwaki, K. aoki, Y. taga, *Science*, 2001,
293, 269-271 (b) X. Wang, J. C. Tu, J. Wu, X. Fu, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2006, 40, 2369-2374.

39 M. Popa, D. Macovie, E. Indrea, *Microp. Mesop. Mater.*, 2010, **132** 50 80-86.

40 N. P. Zschoerper, V. Katzenmaier, U. Vohrer, M. Haupt, C. Oehr, T. Hirth, *Carbon*, 2007, **47**, 2174–2185.

41 F. B. Su, X. S. Zhao, Y. Wang, J. H. Zeng, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2005, 109, 20200–20206.

⁵⁵ 42 (a) G. An, W. Ma, Z. Sun, Z. Liu, B. Han, S. Miao, Z. Miao, K. Ding, *Carbon*, 2007, **45**, 1795–801. (b) H. Liu, W. Yang, Y. Ma, J. Yao, *Appl. Catal. A Gen.*, 2006, **299**, 218–23.

43 (a) O. Akhavan, E. Ghaderia, K. Rahimi, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 23260–23266. (b) Y. Zhao, D. Zhao, C. Chen, X. Wangb, J. Colloid
60 Interf. Sci., 2013, 405, 211–217.

44 Q. Li, B. Guo, J. Yu, J. Ran, B. Zhang, H. Yan, and J. R. Gong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 10878–10884.

45 (a) J. Ding, M. Wang, J. Deng, W. Gao, Z. Yang, C. Ran, X. Zhang, Journal of Alloys and Compounds, 2014, **582**, 29–32. (b) W. -S. Wang,

65 D. -H. Wang, W. -G. Qu, L. -Q. Lu, A. -W. Xu, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, 116, 19893–19901.

46 X. Pan, Y. Zhao, S. Liu, C. L. Korzeniewski, S. Wang, and Z. Fan, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2012, 4, 3944–3950.

47 (a) T. D. Nguyen-Phan, V. H. Pham, E. W. Shin, H. D. Pham, S. Kim,

⁷⁰ J. S. Chung, E. J. Kim, S. H. Hur, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2011, 170, 226–232. (b) A. A. Ismail, R. A. Geioushy, H. Bouzid, S. A. Al-Hajry, D. W. Bahnermann, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, 2013, 129, 62–70.

48 Y. B. Tang, C. S. Lee, J. Xu, Z. T. Liu, Z. H. Chen, Z. B. He, Y. L. Cao, G. D. Yuan, H. S. Song, L. M. Chen, L. B. Luo, H. M. Cheng, W. J.

⁷⁵ Zhang, I. Bello, S. T. Lee, ACS Nano, 2010, 4, 3482–3488.
49 Z. Lei, L. Lu and X. S. Zhao, Energy Environ. Sci., 2012, 5, 6391–

6399. 50 Q. Li, B. Guo, J. Yu, J. Ran, B. Zhang, H. -J. Yan and J. R. Gong, J.

So Q. LI, B. Guo, J. Yu, J. Kan, B. Zhang, H. -J. Yan and J. K. Gong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 10878-10884.

⁸⁰ 51 J. G. Yu, H. G. Yu, B. Cheng, M. H. Zhou, X. J. Zhao, *J. Mol. Catal. A*, 2006, **253**, 112-118.

52 J. Tang, Z. Zou, J. Ye, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2003, 107, 14265-14269. (b)
G. Eda, Y. -Y. Lin, C. Mattevi, H. Yamaguchi, H. -A. Chen, I. S. Chen,
C.-W. Chen, M. Chhowalla, Adv. Mater., 2010, 22, 505-509.

⁸⁵ 53 Q. Xiang, J. Yu, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2013, **4**, 753–759.
54 (a) A. P. Bhirud, S. D. Sathaye, R. P. Waichal, L. K. Nikam and B. B. Kale, *Green Chem.*, 2012, **14**, 2790-2798. (b) N. S. Chaudhari, S. S. Warule, S. A. Dhanmane, M. V. Kulkarni, M. Valant and B. B. Kale, *Nanoscale*, 2013, **5**, 9383-9390.

⁹⁰ 55 (a) B. B. Kale, J. O. Baeg, S. M. Lee, H. Chang, S. J. Moon and C. W. Lee, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2006, **16**, 1349-1354. (b) A. P. Bhirud, N. S. Chaudhari, L. K. Nikam, R. S. Sonawane, K. R. Patil, B. J. Ook and B. B. Kale, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2011, **36**, 11628-11639. (c) S. k. Apte, S. N. Garje, G. P. Mane, A. Vinu, A. D. Naik, D. P. Amalnerkar and B. B.

⁹⁵ Kale, *Small*, 2011, **7**, 957-964. (d) S. K. Apte, S. N. Garaje, S. D. Naik, R. P. Waichal, J. -O. Baeg, B. B. Kale, *Nanoscale*, 2014, **6**, 908-915.

56 G. Lui, J. -Y. Liao, A. Duan, Z. Zhang, M. Flowler, A. Yu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 12255-12262.

57 N. L. Yang, J. Zhai, D. Wang, Y.S. Chen, L. Jiang, ACS Nano, 2010, 4, 887-894.

5 58 G. Zhu, L. K. Pan, T. Xu, Q. F. Zhao and Z. Sun, J. Alloys Compd., 2011, 509, 7814-7818.

59 N. L. Yang, J. Zhai, D. Wang, Y. S. Chen and L. Jiang, ACS Nano, 2010, 4, 887-894.

60 G. Zhu, T. Xu, T. A. Lv, L. K. Pan, Q. F. Zhao and Z. Sun, J. 10 *Electroanal. Chem.*, 2011, **650**, 248-251.