Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/nanoscale

Direct evidence for an interdiffused intermediate layer in bi-magnetic core-shell nanoparticles^{\dagger}

Amélie Juhin*^a, Alberto López-Ortega*^{bc}, Marcin Sikora^d, Claire Carvallo^a, Marta Estrader^{be},

Sònia Estradé^{fg}, Francesca Peiró^f, Maria Dolors Baró^h, Philippe Sainctavit^a, Pieter Glatzelⁱ and Josep Nogués bhj

^aInstitut de Minéralogie, de Physique des Matériaux et de Cosmologie (IMPMC), Sorbonne Universités, UMR CNRS 7590, UPMC Univ Paris 06, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, IRD UMR 206, 4 Place Jussieu, F-75005 Paris, France.

^bICN2 – Institut Catala de Nanociencia i Nanotecnologia, Campus UAB, 08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain.

^cINSTM and Dipartimento di Chimica "U. Schiff", Università degli Studi di Firenze, Via della Lastruccia 3, Sesto Fiorentino, I-50019 Firenze, Italy.

^dAGH University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science & Academic Centre for Materials and Nanotechnology, Al. Mickiewicza 30, 30-059 Kraków, Poland. ^eDepartament de Química Inorgànica, Universitat de Barcelona, Diagonal 647, 08028, Barcelona, Spain.

^fLENS-MIND-IN2UB, Departament d'Electrónica, Universitat de Barcelona, Martí i Franquès 1, E-0828 Barcelona, Spain.

^gTEM-MAT, SCT, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.

^hDepartament de Física, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain.

¹European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, BP220, 38043 Grenoble Cedex, France.

^JInstitució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats (ICREA), Barcelona, Spain.

*E-mails Amelie.Juhin@impmc.upmc.fr; Corresponding authors: lopezortega.alberto@gmail.com

[†]Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Supplementary figures of the morphological-structural and magnetic characterization, analysis of the resonant inelastic xray scattering combined with magnetic circular dichroism and quantitative modeling of the CS internal structure.

Abstract

Core-shell nanoparticles meet a continuously growing interest due to their numerous applications, which are driven by the possibility to tune their functionalities by adjusting structural and morphological parameters. However, despite the critical role interdiffused interfaces may have in the properties, these are usually only estimated in indirect ways. Here we directly evidence the existence of a 1.1 nm thick (FeMn)₃O₄ interdiffused intermediate shell in nominally γ -Fe₂O₃/Mn₃O₄ core-shell nanoparticles using resonant inelastic x-ray scattering spectroscopy combined with magnetic circular dichroism (RIXS-MCD). This recently developed magneto-spectroscopic probe exploits the unique advantages of hard x-rays (i.e., chemical selectivity, bulk sensitivity, and low self-absorption at the K pre-edge) and can be advantageously combined with transmission electron microscopy and electron energy loss spectroscopy to quantitatively elucidate the buried internal structure of complex objects. The detailed information on the structure of the nanoparticles allows understanding the influence of the interface quality on the magnetic properties.

Introduction

In recent years core-shell (CS) nanoparticles have become increasingly appealing to develop efficient ways to stabilize, functionalize and improve the properties of single-phase nanoparticles. Traditionally shells were developed as protecting layers for the nanoparticles, but it was soon realized that, in fact, they could be used as an active part of the novel structure. This initiated a new, rapidly expanding, field in CS nanoparticle synthesis.¹⁻⁶ Thus, novel families of CS nanoparticles are continuously emerging that exhibit the combination of diverse materials (oxides, metals, organic, semiconductors and so on) with dissimilar properties (*e.g.*, magnetic, optical, catalytic, or biomedical).⁷⁻¹² The synergetic combination of materials characteristics usually leads to multifunctional systems with original or enhanced properties which frequently depend critically on the morphological parameters of the structure. Moreover, the interactions between the core and the shell can be used to further tune the functionalities of the CS systems. Notably, a particularly active field in CS nanoparticles is the study of bi-magnetic CS nanoparticles,^{13,14} where applications^{7,10,18-20} are currently being developed.

Given the critical role of the CS morphology (*i.e.*, core diameter, shell thickness and shape), the constituting materials and their interface in establishing the final properties of the nanoparticles, the precise determination of these parameters is crucial for the understanding and fine tailoring of the functionalities of CS systems. However, this type of characterization is far from simple and often it is only the combination of several characterization techniques that allows solving the CS internal structure. High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM) images, in particular in combination with electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) or energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy, provide precise local morphological information.^{21,22} However, typically only a small number of particles can be analyzed, so that averaged properties can be hard to determine. In contrast, conventional

diffraction techniques (e.g., small angle x-ray and neutron scattering, SAXS²³ and SANS²⁴) allow macroscopic amounts of sample (*i.e.*, millions of nanoparticles) to be studied, but they have only limited chemical selectivity (unless more complex anomalous-SAXS is used²⁵). Xray spectroscopic techniques such as soft x-ray absorption (XAS), particularly in conjunction with x-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD) for ferro- and ferri-magnetic systems, can potentially complement the information obtained from other techniques and provide insight into the electronic and magnetic structure of the systems.²⁶⁻²⁸ Remarkable illustrations are given in, for example, Refs. 29-35, where accurate information on site and valence distribution was obtained from soft XAS/XMCD in single-phase ferrite nanoparticles. For multi-phased systems with complex internal structure such as CS nanoparticles, a quantitative analysis using soft XAS and XMCD may however be more challenging since (i) in fluorescence yield detection mode, severe spectral distortion due to self-absorption effects, which are difficult to correct for, often limits a detailed quantitative analysis; *(ii)* in total electron yield detection mode the probing depth is rather small (60% of the signal comes from the top 2 nanometers)³⁶ and consequently the signal is strongly depth dependent. Nevertheless, valuable internal information can be obtained from soft XAS-XMCD measurements in transmission for sufficiently thin, homogenous structures,³⁷ and even using total electron yield detection by a thorough and careful analysis procedure.³⁸

Recently, it was shown that resonant inelastic x-ray scattering spectroscopy (RIXS) combined with magnetic circular dichroism (RIXS-MCD) in the hard x-ray range can be a valuable alternative to soft x-ray XMCD, when using demanding sample environments (such as liquid or gas cells), or when investigating materials whose surface may not be representative of bulk properties.³⁹ RIXS is a two-photon spectroscopy, which probes the same final state configuration as the $L_{2,3}$ absorption edges when it is performed over the *K* pre-edge range, however using hard x-ray photons.⁴⁰ Thus, RIXS-MCD is expected to yield information about magnetic moments similar to soft XMCD combined with the advantages of a hard X-ray

probe.^{39,41} In this work, we investigate the structure of bimagnetic (Fe,Mn)-based CS nanoparticles with appealing magnetic properties that depend on the interdiffusion,^{26,27} using a combination of structural, imaging, electronic and magnetic probes. RIXS-MCD used at the Fe and Mn *K* pre-edge combines *(i)* the chemical selectivity of core spectroscopies, *(ii)* the bulk sensitivity of hard x-rays, *(iii)* the possibility to measure a large amount of CS particles, and *(iv)* the high energy resolution of RIXS spectroscopy.⁴² RIXS-MCD elucidates the structure of the CS nanoparticles demonstrating unambiguously, not only the presence of a magnetic γ -Fe₂O₃ core and a Mn₃O₄ shell, but also evidencing directly the existence of an intermediate interdiffused magnetic shell of Fe-Mn oxide. Further quantitative analysis is made using the particle size obtained from TEM-EELS measurements, which allows concluding on the bulk-average thickness of the core as well as the inner and outer shells. Elucidating the detailed internal structure of the particles provides a thorough understanding of the bulk magnetic properties measured by magnetometry and First-Order Reversal Curves (FORC), in particular of the influence of the interface quality and the origin of magnetic anisotropy.

Results and Discussion

Structural and morphological characterization

The CS nanoparticles were synthesized by a seeded-growth approach, where a Mn-oxide layer was grown on pre-synthesized Fe-oxide nanoparticles (seeds). As evidenced by TEM (Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure S1) both the CS nanoparticles and the seeds are roughly spherical, although they exhibit some facets and the overall shape of the CS nanoparticles appears more irregular than for the seeds. This procedure leads to seeds and CS nanoparticles with a high degree of monodispersity (particle size distribution less than 10%) and with an

average diameter of 10.9(8) nm and 12.8(8) nm, respectively, which allows estimating the thickness of the outer manganese oxide layer to be ~1nm.



Figure 1. (a) Schematic representation of the irregular shape of a CS nanoparticle (the arrow indicates the direction of the EELS measurements); (b) EELS spectra at three different positions of the particle: core, inner and outer shells; (c) elemental quantification along the particle diameter: Fe (triangles), Mn (circles) and O (squares).

The structural characterization of the CS nanoparticles using Fast Fourier Transform – HR-TEM (Supplementary Figure S2a-b) and powder x-ray diffraction (Supplementary Figure S2c), reveals the presence of a cubic spinel phase, which is compatible with magnetite Fe_3O_4

or maghemite γ -Fe₂O₃.⁴³ Additionally, a tetragonal spinel phase is observed, which can be assigned to Mn_3O_4 or γ - Mn_2O_3 (Ref. 43) and whose relative proportion is small with respect to the cubic phase. The results of the quantitative chemical analysis performed with EELS and averaged across the diameter of a CS nanoparticle (Figure 1a-c) confirm that the particles are irregular in shape, which can likely be assigned to a discontinuous growth of the shell (Figure 1b-c). The CS system is probably formed by three different phases. The outer shell, which is about 2 nm thick (where thickest) and highly irregular in shape, maintains a stoichiometry which is closer to manganese oxide (Mn_3O_4) than to γ -Mn₂O₃. This is in line with the results of TEM-FFT analysis and XRD. In the central region of the particle, where the composition is roughly constant over a thickness of 6 nm, the spectrum is dominated by the presence of iron ions although a weaker signal attributed to manganese atoms is also detected. This is unavoidable since the electron beam goes through the whole particle, *i.e.*, both the core and the shell(s). Thus two possibilities can be considered for the composition of the core: either the core is formed by solely iron oxide Fe_3O_4 or γ -Fe₂O₃ (e.g., the iron cubic spinel phase - in this case, the Mn signal would arise only from the shell), or the core is formed by a mixed manganese iron-rich oxide ($Mn_xFe_{3-x}O_4$), where diffusion of Mn ions from the shell must have taken place. In both cases, unfortunately, the shape of the shell is too irregular to allow even a semi-quantitative analysis by assuming a spherical symmetry of each layer.^{21,44} Indeed, the apparent composition of the inner shell covering the entire core depends strongly on its thickness: its chemical composition shows a gradient of iron and manganese ions, with a higher concentration of iron ions close to the core surface, and a higher concentration of manganese ions close to the shell. From the overall analysis, the CS nanoparticles can be described as a core of $Mn_xFe_{3-x}O_4$ or of iron oxide $Fe_{3-z}O_4$ of about 3 nm in radius (Figure 1c) surrounded by two different shells: an inner shell formed by a Mn_xFe_yV_{3-x-y}O₄ layer (V stands for a vacancy in the octahedral subnetwork) with about 3 nm in thickness and an outer shell of varying thickness (0-2 nm) and composition close to pure Mn₃O₄.

RIXS-MCD analysis

In order to identify the phases building the inner structure of the particles, RIXS and RIXS-MCD 2D-spectra were measured at the Fe and Mn *K*-edge on the CS particles. Additionally, we recorded RIXS and RIXS-MCD spectra at fixed emission energy while scanning the incident energy, *i.e.*, XAS and XMCD one-dimensional spectra using so-called High Energy Resolution Fluorescence Detection (HERFD). Note that although HERFD-XAS (HERFD-XMCD) spectra might show strong similarities with XAS (XMCD) spectra, significant differences may be observed.⁴²



Figure 2. (a) HERFD-XAS spectra measured at the Fe *K*-edge using the maxima of $K\alpha_1$ emission lines for the CS nanoparticles (dashed line) and the seeds (dashed line with triangles- Note that symbols are plotted every twenty experimental points). (b) $K\alpha_1$ -detected HERFD-XMCD spectra measured at the Fe *K* edge for the CS particles and the seeds. The spectra are normalized to the HERFD-XAS pre-edge maximum. (c) HERFD-XAS spectra

measured at the Mn *K*-edge using the maximum of Ka_1 emission for the CS particles (dashed line) and reference samples: Mn₃O₄ (dash dotted line), Mn_{0.5}Zn_{0.5}Fe₂O₄ nanoparticles (solid line) and bulk γ -Mn₂O₃ (solid line with circles). (d) HERFD-XMCD spectra measured at the Mn *K*-edge for the CS nanoparticles and the Mn_{0.5}Zn_{0.5}Fe₂O₄ sample. The spectra are normalized to the HERFD-XAS pre-edge maximum.

Compared to the TEM-FFT analysis, the added value of EELS and x-ray spectroscopies is obviously the chemical selectivity. However, due to the irregular particle shape, the data available in EELS is not sufficient to allow for a quantitative analysis of the chemical state. Thus, there is a strong motivation for using RIXS and HERFD (further combined with XMCD), which will provide valuable average element selective structural and magnetic information on the CS particles. In Figure 2a are shown the HERFD-XAS data measured at the Fe K-edge on the CS particles and on the seeds used as starting materials. Both spectra are typical for Fe^{3+} -bearing compounds, with a white line located at 7132 eV and a pre-edge at 7113.8 eV. The two broad features visible in the edge are found at the same energy (at ~7140 eV and ~7148 eV) for the CS particles and for the seeds. This indicates that Fe ions occupy similar sites in either the CS or the seed, which is compatible with the scenario of the CS particle core being built from the seed. However, the existence of spectral differences, such as, for the CS, the absence of the two shoulders below and above ~7125 eV, also shows that a fraction of the core has undergone structural transformations, leading to a new phase not present in the seeds. For both samples, the pre-edge region shows a well-resolved pronounced single feature, as in the case of Fe₃O₄ and γ -Fe₂O₃,⁴⁵ in which the dominant contribution arises from high spin tetrahedral Fe^{3+} due to the existence of intense electric dipole allowed transitions.⁴⁶ This suggests that in the seed and CS samples, the dominant contribution arises also from high spin tetrahedral Fe^{3+} . However, an analysis based solely on the HERFD-XAS spectra may be misleading, since the relative spectral intensities are highly

dependent on the emission energy chosen.⁴² The RIXS-MCD plane measured at the Fe K edge in the seeds (Figure 3a) provides a more complete view, since it is a 2D plot of the XMCD features as a function of both the incident energy and the energy transfer. Two peaks with opposite signs are visible in the region of the $K\alpha_1$ emission (*i.e.* with incident energy of ~7113.6 eV and energy transfer of ~709 eV and ~710 eV respectively) and with a normalized peak-to-peak intensity of ~16%, which is the spectral signature of tetrahedral $Fe^{3+.39}$ Comparison with the RIXS-MCD plane measured for Fe_3O_4 (Supplementary Figure S5) unambiguously evidences the absence of Fe^{2+} in the seeds (no features are visible around \sim 707 eV energy transfer and \sim 7112 eV incident energy), which are therefore mainly composed of γ -Fe₂O₃. The HERFD-XMCD spectrum (Figure 2b) is a cut of the RIXS-MCD plane along the diagonal direction with the emission energy fixed here at 6403.7 eV; it is a derivative-like signal obtained from the 1D projection of the double feature visible on the RIXS-MCD plane. The existence of a detectable MCD signal for the CS particles evidences that they contain Fe-bearing ferrimagnetic phases. The similarity of the HERFD-XMCD spectra measured in the same conditions for the CS particles and the seeds, both in shape and intensity (in particular, the absence of a shoulder at 7112 eV), indicates that maghemite γ -Fe₂O₃ is most likely the major Fe-bearing, magnetic phase building the CS particles (*i.e.*, the core). The slight decrease in MCD intensity for the CS with respect to the seeds might be explained by the presence of a magnetic mixed Fe-Mn oxide with less tetrahedral Fe^{3+} than in the seeds, or by the presence of a non-magnetic Fe-bearing phase. However, from the Fe Kedge data alone quantification is difficult, thus the composition of the inner / outer shell cannot be accurately determined.

Further insight into the structure is provided by the data measured at the Mn *K*-edge. In Figure 2c the HERFD-XAS spectra measured for the CS particles is compared to that of three reference samples, Mn_3O_4 , γ - Mn_2O_3 and (Mn,Zn) ferrite nanoparticles with composition close to $Mn_{0.5}Zn_{0.5}Fe_2O_4$ and mean diameter of 18.7 nm (see Supplementary Information). The

latter are in line with previously published Mn K-edge spectra in similar systems.^{47,48} Therefore Mn_{0.5}Zn_{0.5}Fe₂O₄ was used as a reference for tetrahedral Mn in magnetic ferrite nanoparticles, consistent with the findings of Ref. 47 where divalent Mn ions essentially occupy the spinel tetrahedral sites. Our results evidence that the main Mn-bearing phase in the CS has a composition close to Mn_3O_4 , which is in agreement with the EELS results, suggesting that the outer shell is composed of Mn₃O₄. Additionally, the intensity ratio between the peaks at 6552 eV and 6559 eV is slightly higher for the CS particles compared to the $Mn_0 {}_5Zn_0 {}_5Fe_2O_4$ sample, which suggests the possible existence of another phase. This is confirmed by the clear RIXS-MCD signal that is observed for the CS particles at the Mn Kedge (Figure 3b). Indeed, the fact that a signal is detected evidences directly and unambiguously that a Mn-bearing magnetic phase is present in the sample, and that it is sufficiently abundant, crystallized and magnetically ordered to be detected at room temperature. Considering that Mn_3O_4 and γ - Mn_2O_3 are paramagnetic at room temperature $(T_c = 43 \text{ K for } \text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4 \text{ and } T_c = 40 \text{ K for } \gamma \text{-Mn}_2\text{O}_3)$,⁴³ the existence of a mixed Fe-Mn spinel is thus strongly supported. The RIXS-MCD plane for the CS is compared to the one measured for $Mn_{0.5}Zn_{0.5}Fe_2O_4$ (Figure 3c), which contains only tetrahedral Mn^{2+} . Apart from the difference in intensities, both planes exhibit at the same energies two MCD features with opposite sign, which indicates that Mn^{2+} is present in the spinel phase building the inner shell of the CS particle.

Nanoscale Accepted Manuscript



Figure 3. (a) RIXS-MCD spectrum measured at room temperature at the Fe *K* pre-edge for the seed. RIXS-MCD spectra measured at room temperature at the Mn *K* pre-edge for (b) CS particles and (c) $Mn_{0.5}Zn_{0.5}Fe_2O_4$ particles.

In addition to the clear identification of the presence of a new phase, we now exploit the intensity measured in RIXS-MCD to quantify the respective size of the different layers building the CS particle. More precisely, we use the peak-to-peak intensity of the HERFD-XMCD measured at the Fe *K*-edge (Figure 2b) and at the Mn *K*-edge (Figure 2d) for the CS particles and the other compounds. We consider a simplified model (Supplementary Figure S6) to obtain an estimate of the morphology where we assume that *(i)* all particles are identical (thus, average quantities will be extracted from the analysis), *(ii)* the three layers (core of maghemite γ -Fe₂O₃, inner shell of (Mn_xFe_yV_{3-x-y})O₄, and outer shell Mn₃O₄) are arranged in a spherical "onion-like" structure with respective volume V_{core}, V_{inner} and V_{outer}, and *(iii)* the intensity of HERFD-XAS and HERFD-XMCD is dominated by the tetrahedral sites, whose individual contribution is assumed to be ~4-5 times more intense than the one of the octahedral sites, due to the existence at the *K* pre-edge region the contribution of tetrahedral symmetry.⁴⁹ Indeed, in the *K* pre-edge region the contribution of

centre in T_d symmetry allows electric dipole transitions, which are more intense than electric quadrupole contributions. The formation of an intermediate layer can be justified as a way to stabilize the cubic-tetragonal lattice parameters (see Supplementary Information).^{26,43} The composition of the inner shell is very likely to be a gradient between Mn₃O₄ and γ -Fe₂O₃ due to cation interdiffusion between both oxides, rather than having a definite composition. This is all the more likely as the conditions of its formation are far from equilibrium. For further quantitative analysis, we therefore assume that the inner shell has an average intermediate composition of (Mn,Fe)₃O₄, where Fe and Mn ions are both distributed amongst the crystallographic sites, *i.e.*, with 0.5 ion in tetrahedral site versus 1 ion in octahedral site.

The peak-to-peak intensity of the HERFD-XMCD data measured at the Mn edge for the CS and $Mn_{0.5}Zn_{0.5}Fe_2O_4$ particles provides a relation between V_{inner} and V_{outer} (Equation (S3) in Supplementary Information), while the peak-to-peak intensity of the HERFD-XMCD data measured at the Fe edge for the CS and the maghemite $[Fe^{3+}]_{tetra}$ $[Fe^{3+}_{5/3}]_{octa}$ O₄ particles provides a relation between V_{core} and V_{inner} (Equation (S6) in Supplementary Information). Solving these equations using the size of the CS particle determined from the particle size histogram (Radius = 6.4 nm, see Figure 1c), one derives the radial distribution of the three layers between the center and 6.4 nm: $0 \le core \le 5.0$ nm $\le inner shell \le 6.1$ nm $\le outer shell \le 6.4$ nm. This implies a 5.0 nm radius γ -Fe₂O₃ core, a 1.1 nm thick (MnFe)₃O₄ inner shell and a 0.3 nm thick Mn₃O₄ outer shell.

TEM-EELS and RIXS-MCD shall be regarded as complementary techniques to obtain the structure of core/shell nanoparticles. On the one hand, it should be taken into account that due to the time consuming measuring process, the EELS analysis is commonly based on a small number of particles, while RIXS-MCD gives a microscale average picture which might slightly differ from that obtained at the nanoscale. Consequently, RIXS-MCD achieves a more direct assessment of the overall average composition of the sample. In addition, it directly evidences the existence of the inner shell due to its sensitivity to phases showing a net

magnetic moment such as ferri/ferromagnetic phases. On the other hand, the quantitative analysis of RIXS-MCD relies on TEM-EELS results: *(i)* the average size of the particles is obtained from TEM analysis and *(ii)* constraints on the composition of the inner shell are provided by the EELS profile averaged over a few particles. In that prospect, the combination of RIXS-MCD and EELS appears highly valuable, providing complementary pictures of the same object, though at different scales.

Temperature and field dependence of the magnetization

The three-layer onion internal structure of the CS particles elucidated by TEM-EELS and RIXS-MCD enables to interpret the results obtained on the bulk magnetic properties from magnetometry and FORC measurements. At high temperatures the zero field cooled (ZFC) / field cooled (FC) magnetization curves of the CS particle (**Figure 4**a) exhibit the typical features of superparamagnetic (SP) blocking temperature, $T_B = 195$ K, while at low temperature, a second transition at about T = 40 K is also observed. On the other hand, the seeds (Figure 4a) exhibit a single blocking transition at $T_B = 155$ K. The kink at low temperatures can be related to the known Curie temperature of Mn₃O₄, $T_C \sim 40$ K,⁴³ while the high temperature features correspond to core-inner shell magnetism.



Figure 4. (a) Temperature dependence of the magnetization in FC and ZFC conditions for the CS and the seed nanoparticles. FORC diagrams measured at 20 K for: (b) Seed sample; (c) CS sample. In order to help comparison, the horizontal and vertical scales are identical for both samples.

Since $T_B = KV/25k_B$,⁵⁰ (where *K* is the magnetic anisotropy constant, *V* the volume and k_B the Boltzman constant), the increase of T_B of the CS nanoparticles with respect to the seeds can be attributed either to the volume increase due to the growth of the inner shell and / or to the possible increase of *K*. Interestingly, the downturn of *M* at low temperatures (Figure 4a) implies an antiferromagnetic coupling between the core and the shell magnetizations.²⁷ However, when compared with nanoparticles with similar size and morphology but with sharp interfaces,²⁷ it can be seen that the drop of *M* at $T_C(Mn_3O_4)$ is smaller and T_B is larger. Both features are consistent with some Fe-Mn intermixing at the interface. Namely, the Mn₃O₄ counterpart (with low M_S and low T_C) is reduced, creating a FeMn-oxide with higher M_S and T_C . On the other hand, similar CS nanoparticles but with fully interdiffused interface exhibit a ferromagnetic interface quality,²⁷ the magnetic results indicate that the current particles should have only a rather limited interdiffusion, as shown by the RIXS-MCD analysis.

The hysteresis loops measured for both CS and seed nanoparticles (Supplementary Figure S3) show that the coercive field for the seeds is around two thirds of the one for the CS, which indicates that the CS nanoparticles are magnetically harder than the seeds. The saturation magnetization for the seeds is larger than the one for the CS, which can be quantitatively understood from the structural model previously determined. Indeed, from the saturation magnetization M_S measured from the seeds (65 emu/g) and for the Mn₃O₄ reference samples

(35 emu/g) and assuming the nominal structure γ -Fe₂O₃ (5.4 nm radius) / Mn₃O₄ (1.0 nm thickness), one would obtain a M_S value of 53 emu/g for the CS sample. Since the measured $M_{\rm S}$ value of the CS sample is actually smaller (43 emu/g), this implies that the γ -Fe₂O₃ core (with a large M_S) should be smaller, which is consistent with the presence of an intermixed intermediate layer with lower M_S . More quantitatively, using an estimate of the contribution from the (MnFe)₃O₄ shell (see Supplementary Information) and the quantitative model extracted from RIXS-MCD, one computes that the saturation magnetization should be in between 46 ± 5 emu/g for the CS nanoparticles, which is in line with what is in fact measured for the CS sample. Moreover, comparing the current CS nanoparticles with similar ones with sharp interfaces,²⁵ it can be seen that the coercivity H_C of the latter is considerably larger $(H_C(\text{sharp-interface}) \sim 600 \text{ Oe})$ than the one of the current CS nanoparticles $(H_C(\text{CS}) \sim 340 \text{ CS})$ Oe), as expected for core-shell nanoparticles with slightly interdiffused interface. This is once more consistent with the thickness reduction of the Mn_3O_4 shell, since H_C in strongly exchange coupled hard-soft systems is directly linked to the volume fraction of the hard phase, $H_C \approx 2(f_{hard}K_{hard}+f_{soft}K_{soft})/(f_{hard}M_{hard}+f_{soft}M_{soft})$, where f is the volume fraction of the soft and hard phases, with $f_{hard} = 1 - f_{soft}$.⁵¹

First order reversal curve diagrams

FORC diagrams were measured at 20 K on the CS and the seed samples in order to provide information about the coercivity distribution and interaction field distribution.⁵²⁻⁵⁴ The FORC diagram measured at 20 K for the CS sample (Figure 4b) is characterized by two peaks, one close to the origin of the diagram, corresponding to SP grains, and the other one on the horizontal axis, centered at a coercivity H_c around 40 mT, corresponding to the grains that are stable single-domain (SSD). The main difference in the FORC diagrams is the position of the SSD peak, centered at higher H_c for the CS (40 mT) than for the seeds (~20 mT), as evidenced also in the hysteresis loops (Supplementary Figure S3). As discussed above, this

arises from the strong exchange coupling between the soft core and the hard shell which leads to a H_C determined by the relative amount of hard/soft phases.⁵¹ However, the small increase in H_C with respect to the seeds indicates that the hard shell is rather thin, which is consistent with the formation of an intermediate shell with somewhat low anisotropy. Moreover, the SSD peak of the CS sample is considerably broader along the abscissa than the one of the seeds. This is also an indication of a broader distribution of switching fields as expected from a hard-soft coupling. In fact in continuously graded anisotropy thin films the FORC switching fields distributions are known to be rather broad.^{55,56} Hence, the core/shell nanoparticles may be thought of as a gradient anisotropy material with a soft-core/intermediate-inner-shell/hardouter-shell.

Interestingly, the FORC distribution along the ordinate axis is also different for the two samples: the contours for the CS sample are more spread around the vertical axis than for the seed sample, which indicates stronger magnetic interactions (Figure 4c). This is even more evident when the FORC distributions are normalized (Supplementary Figure S4).⁵⁷ Namely, the full width at half maximum of the FORC distribution of the CS sample (FWHM = 35 mT) is about twice that of the seed sample (FWHM = 15 mT), independently of the coercivity field at which the profile is taken. Thus, the strong magnetic interactions between the core and the shells of individual CS particles dominate the FORC diagram.

Conclusion

We have combined the recently developed RIXS-MCD magneto-spectroscopic technique, in combination with TEM-EELS, magnetometry and FORC measurements, to elucidate the detailed composition, morphology and magnetic properties of Fe-oxide/Mn-oxide core/shell nanoparticles. The individual signature of an interdiffused inner shell was directly evidenced, for the first time, by RIXS-MCD. Our combined TEM-EELS, RIXS-MCD approach

concludes on a multilayered (onion) γ -Fe₂O₃ (5.0 nm) / (Mn,Fe)₃O₄ (1.1 nm) / Mn₃O₄ (0.3 nm) structure, which enables a quantitative understanding of the bulk magnetic measurements. The simplicity of the RIXS-MCD experiment, where samples can be investigated "as is", and of the corresponding analysis demonstrate its great potential as a novel, highly sensitive way to provide bulk-type magnetic information in multi-phased systems. In fact, given the increasing complexity of materials for magnetic devices involving multiple layers composed of dissimilar materials (*e.g.*, read / write heads, sensors, magnetic random access memories) or materials with graded compositions for improved performance (*e.g.*, gradient anisotropy for magnetic recording) RIXS-MCD may prove to be a very powerful tool not only for core/shell nanoparticles but for other advanced magnetic materials exhibiting buried layers.

Methods

Synthesis of the CS nanoparticles.

The CS nanoparticles were synthesized by a seeded-growth approach, where pre-synthesized Fe-oxide nanoparticles were used as seeds for the growth Mn-oxide layer.⁵⁸ Following Park et al.,⁵⁹ the Fe-oxide seeds were prepared by adding a given amount of iron (III) oleate and 1 mmol of oleic acid into 36 mL of 1-octadecene. The mixture was heated in Ar under magnetic stirring, with a heating rate of 3°C/min, up to 320°C and kept for 30 min. The slurry was removed from the heating source and allowed to cool down to room temperature. The heterogeneous growth of the manganese oxide layer on the Fe-oxide seeds was carried out by adding 42 mg of initial seeds in a solution containing 0.6 mmol of manganese (II) acetylacetonate, 0.6 mmol of 1,2-hexadecanediol, 0.3 mmol of oleylamine, 0.3 mmol of oleic acid and 40 mL of dibenzyl ether.^{26,27} The slurry was mechanically stirred and heated, under Ar controlled atmosphere, with a heating rate of 10°C/min, until 200°C and kept for one hour. The flask was removed from the heating source and cooled down in Ar to room temperature.

Both the seeds and the CS nanoparticles were washed by several cycles of coagulation with ethanol, centrifugation at 2000 xg, disposal of supernatant solution and re-dispersion in hexane.

Structural and morphological characterization.

TEM images were obtained using a Jeol-JEM-2010 microscope with a LaB₆ filament and a Jeol-JEM-2010F microscope with a field-emission gun operated at 200 kV. The nanoparticles were dispersed in hexane and then placed dropwise onto a holey carbon supported grid. The particle size of the seeds and the CS nanoparticles and its standard deviation were obtained by calculating the number average by manually measuring the equivalent diameters of more than 200 particles from TEM micrographs. EELS spectra were acquired at about every 0.5 nm along the diameter of the nanoparticles at an energy range containing the O- *K*, Mn- $L_{2,3}$ and Fe- $L_{2,3}$ edges, with an energy resolution of 0.8 eV. Mn/O and Fe/O quantification was carried out using the Gatan Digital Micrograph commercial software. The composition profile shown in Figure 1c is averaged over the data measured for three different particles. XRD patterns were collected using a PANalytical X'Pert Pro diffractometer with Cu $K\alpha$ radiation. The measurements were carried out in a range of 10-100 20 in steps of 0.012° and collection time of 300s.

As shown in Supplementary Figure S1, both the CS nanoparticles and the seeds are roughly spherical, although they exhibit some facets and the overall shape of the CS nanoparticles appears more irregular than for the seeds. As shown in Supplementary Figure S2, the analysis of the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) image reveals the presence of a cubic spinel phase, which is compatible with magnetite Fe₃O₄ or maghemite γ -Fe₂O₃. Additionally, a tetragonal spinel phase, which can be assigned to Mn₃O₄ or γ -Mn₂O₃, is observed. Note that in the Fe₃O₄-Mn₃O₄ phase diagram the Fe₃O₄-MnFe₂O₄ range has a cubic spinel structure, while the FeMn₂O₄-Mn₃O₄ range corresponds to a tetragonal spinel structure.⁴³ From the powder X-ray

Nanoscale Accepted Manuscript

diffraction pattern, it can be observed that the relative proportion of the tetragonal phase is small with respect to the cubic phase, as expected from a thin tetragonal spinel shell grown on a cubic spinel core.

The composition of the (Mn,Zn) ferrite nanoparticles was analyzed using the Cameca SX50 electron microprobe at the CAMPARIS Facility (Université Pierre et Marie Curie, France) using a 15kV voltage with a 40 nA beam current. X-ray intensities were corrected for deadtime, background and matrix effects using the PAP correction procedure. The standards used were α -Fe₂O₃, MnO and ZnO. The structural formula obtained for the (Mn,Zn) ferrite particles is Mn_{0.45}Zn_{0.47}Fe_{2.05}O₄, very close to the expected composition Mn_{0.5}Zn_{0.5}Fe₂O₄. In ferrites, Mn²⁺ is known to have a strong preference for tetrahedral position.⁶⁰ In bulk samples it was found to be 100 % tetrahedral.⁶¹ In (Mn,Zn) ferrite nanoparticles, divalent Mn ions were found to essentially occupy the spinel tetrahedral sites.⁶² Therefore in the present work, we assume that Mn²⁺ is fully tetrahedral in the Mn_{0.45}Zn_{0.47}Fe_{2.05}O₄ nanoparticles. We have checked numerically that a small inversion on the Mn²⁺ ions has only a limited impact on the results presented in this work. For an inversion degree of 20%, the thicknesses of the inner shell and the core change only by 0.06 nm and 0.1 nm respectively.

Resonant inelastic x-ray scattering combined with magnetic circular dichroism.

The RIXS and RIXS-MCD experiments were carried out at beamline ID26 of the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (Grenoble, France). Measurements were performed at room temperature at the Fe *K*- and Mn *K*- edge. In the case where the empty *3d* orbitals of the absorber are not hybridized with empty *p* levels, the two steps involved in the *1s2p* RIXS process are the following: *(i)* the absorption step has a pure electric quadrupole origin, *i.e.*, it starts from the initial state $1s^22p^63d^n$ to the intermediate state $1s^12p^63d^{n+1}$, and *(ii)* it is

followed by an electric dipole emission, from $1s^{1}2p^{6}3d^{n+1}$ to the final state $1s^{2}2p^{5}3d^{n+1}$. The incident energy was selected using a pair of Si(311) crystals. Higher harmonics were suppressed by three Si mirrors operating in total reflection. The intensity of Mn and Fe $K\alpha$ emission lines (inelastically scattered beam) was analyzed using a set of four spherically bent Ge(333) and Ge(440) crystals, respectively, arranged with an avalanche photo diode in the Rowland Geometry. The sample was set at 45° with respect to the incoming beam with a scattering angle covering 72-99° in the horizontal plane. The overall resolution was measured as 1.1 eV. For both Fe and Mn, the 1s2p RIXS planes were recorded as a set of constant emission energy scans over the energies of the $K\alpha_1$ and $K\alpha_2$ lines. Additionally, absorption spectra were measured by setting the emission energy to the maximum of the $K\alpha$ line (6403.7 eV for Fe, 5899.0 eV for Mn), namely HERFD-XAS. The HERFD-XAS spectra were corrected for self-absorption effects with the program FLUO.⁶³

The RIXS-MCD experiments were carried out with the same setup as for HERFD and RIXS, the differences being that *(i)* the incident beam is circularly polarized (instead of linearly polarized in the case of HERFD/RIXS), *(ii)* samples are kept in magnetic saturation using a Nd₂Fe₁₄B permanent magnet. The circular polarization was obtained using a 500 μ m thick diamond (111) quarter wave plate set downstream the Si(311) monochromator, with a polarization degree of about 75 %. The magnetic field (H = 0.3 T) was generated using a permanent NdFeB magnet. The RIXS-MCD planes were recorded by reversing the photon helicity after every two constant emission energy scans. The RIXS-MCD and HERFD-MCD spectra were recorded in the region of the Fe and Mn *K* pre-edge. They were normalized to 100% incoming circular polarization degree and to the pre-edge maximum. As self-absorption corrections were found to be weak in the pre-edge range and that all MCD spectra are normalized to the pre-edge maximum, we assume that self-absorption effects do not impact our analysis.

Magnetic measurements.

The temperature dependence of the magnetization, M(T), was measured in field cooled and zero field cooled conditions in H = 20 Oe using a SQUID magnetometer. Hysteresis loops, up to 70 kOe maximum field, were measured at 10 K after field cooling in H = 20 kOe from room temperature using a SQUID magnetometer.

The saturation magnetization at 10 K and 4 T are found to be 35 emu/g for Mn_3O_4 , 62 emu/g for $Zn_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}Fe_2O_4$ and 65 emu/g for the seeds. The intermediate shell has a composition of $(Mn,Fe)_3O_4$ where the tetrahedral sites are all occupied by either Mn(II) or Fe(III) ions. From comparison with $Mn_{0.5}Zn_{0.5}Fe_2O_4$, where half of tetrahedral sites are occupied by Zn(II) ions, one expects that the saturation magnetization for this shell would be approximated by 62 emu/g * (5 / 7.5) \approx 41 emu/g. However this value is likely to be overestimated: indeed, for $Mn_{0.5}Zn_{0.5}Fe_2O_4$, a simple Heisenberg model gives 7.5 Bohr magneton / formula unit (i.e. 177 emu/g), which is approximately a factor of 2.85 larger than what is actually measured (i.e. 62 emu/g). Therefore for the (Mn,Fe)_3O_4 shell, we estimate its contribution to be in between 41 emu/g and 15 (\approx 41/2.85) emu /g.

First-Order Reversal Curve diagrams were measured on the CS and the seed samples at the Centre Européen de Recherche et d'Enseignement des Géosciences de l'Environnement in Aix-en-Provence, France, using a Princeton Measurements Corporation Vibrating Sample Magnetometer (VSM), at 20 K and 60 K. One hundred FORCs were used to calculate the FORC diagrams using the FORCInel program.⁶⁴ Diagrams were plotted with a smoothing factor of 5.

Acknowledgements

AJ thanks T. Allard for help with the microprobe. A.J. and C. C. acknowledge P. Rochette (CEREGE) for help during the FORC measurements. The ESRF is acknowledged for provision of beamtime. C. Mazzoli and ID26 staff are thanked for their help in setting up the

Quarter Wave Plate. This work has been supported by the 2014-SGR-1015 and 2009-SGR-35 projects of the Generalitat de Catalunya, by the MAT2010-20616-C02 and MAT2010-16407 projects of the Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (MINECO). MS acknowledges support from the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education. ME acknowledges the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation through the Juan de la Cierva Program. MDB acknowledges partial financial support from an ICREA-Academia Award.

References

- ^{1.} A. Imhof, *Langmuir*, 2001, **17**, 3579-3585.
- ^{2.} S.H. Sun, H. Zeng, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, **124**, 8204-8205.
- ^{3.} M. Okaniwa, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 1998, 68, 185-190.
- ^{4.} G. Hota, S. Jain, K.C. Khilar, *Colloid Surf. A-Physicochem. Eng. Asp.*, 2004, 232, 119-127.
- J.H. Son, H.Y. Park, D.P. Kang, D.S. Bae, *Colloid Surf. A-Physicochem. Eng. Asp.*, 2008, **313**, 105-107.
- ^{6.} S. Srivastava, N.A. Kotov, Accounts Chem. Res., 2008, **41**, 1831-1841.
- ^{7.} I.S. Lee, N. Lee, J. Park, B.H. Kim, Y.W. Yi, T. Kim, T.K. Kim, I.H. Lee, S.R. Paik, T. Hveon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 10658-10659.
- ^{8.} P. Reiss, M. Protière, L. Li, *Small*, 2009, **5**, 154-168.
- ^{9.} W. Tang, G. Henkelman, J. Chem. Phys., 2009, **130**, 194504.
- ^{10.} J.H. Lee, J.T. Jiang, J.S. Choi, S.H. Moon, S.H. Noh, J.W. Kim, J.G. Kim, I.S. Kim,
 K.I. Park, J. Cheon, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2011, 6, 418-422.
- ^{11.} D.J. Irvine, Nat. Mater., 2011, **10**, 342-343.
- ^{12.} H. Zeng, S. Sun, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2008, **18**, 391-400.
- ^{13.} J. Nogués, J. Sort, V. Langlais, V. Skumryev, S. Suriñach, J.S. Muñoz, M.D. Baró, *Phys. Rep.*, 2005, **422**, 65-117.
- ^{14.} O. Iglesias, A. Labarta, X. Batlle, J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol., 2008, 8, 2761-2780.
- V. Skumryev, S. Stoyanov, Y. Zhang, G. Hadjipanayis, D. Givord, J. Nogués, *Nature*, 2003, 423, 850-853.
- ^{16.} H. Zeng, J. Li, Z.L. Wang, J.P. Liu, S.H. Sun, *Nano Lett.*, 2004, 4, 187-190.
- ^{17.} L. Xi, Z. Wang, Y. Zuo, X.N Shi, *Nanotechnol.*, 2011, **22**, 045707.
- ^{18.} H. Lee, T.J. Yoon, R. Weissleder, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 5657-5660.

- ^{19.} T.J. Yoon, H. Lee, H. Shao, R. Weissleder R, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 4663-4666.
- ^{20.} M. Barbic, A. Scherer, Sol. State Nucl. Magn. Res., 2005, 28, 91-105.
- ^{21.} S. Estradé, L. Yedra, A. López-Ortega, M. Estrader, G. Salazar-Alvarez, M.D. Baró, J. Nogués, F. Peiró, *Micron*, 2012, 43, 30-36.
- ^{22.} K.S. Lee, R.M. Anisur, K.W. Kim, W.S. Kim, T.J. Park, E.J. Kang, I.S. Lee, *Chem. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 682-687.
- ^{23.} B.R. Pauw, J. Phys. Condens. Matter, 2013, 25, 383201.
- ^{24.} K.L. Krycka, J.A. Borchers, M. Laver, G. Salazar-Alvarez, A. López-Ortega, M. Estrader, S. Suriñach, M.D. Baró, J. Sort, J. Nogués, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2013, **113**, 17B531.
- ^{25.} K.L. Krycka, J.A. Borchers, G. Salazar-Alvarez, A. López-Ortega, M. Estrader, S. Estradé, E. Winkler, R.D. Zysler, J. Sort, F. Peiró, M.D. Baró, C.C. Kao, J. Nogués, *ACS Nano*, 2013, 7, 921-931.
- ^{26.} A. López-Ortega, M. Estrader, G. Salazar-Alvarez, S. Estrade, I.V. Golosovsky, R.K. Dumas, D.J. Keavney, M. Vasilakaki, K.N. Trohidou, J. Sort, F. Peiró, S. Suriñach, M.D. Baró, J. Nogués, *Nanoscale*, 2012, 4, 5138-5147.
- ^{27.} M. Estrader, A. López-Ortega, S. Estradé, I.V. Golosovsky, G. Salazar-Alvarez, M. Vasilakaki, K.N. Trohidou, M. Varela, D.C. Stanley, M. Sinko, M.J. Pechan, D.J. Keavney, F. Peiró, S. Suriñach, M.D. Baró, J. Nogués, *Nat. Commun.*, 2013, **4**, 2960.
- ^{28.} C. Binns, M.T. Qureshi, D. Peddis, S.H. Baker, P.B. Howes, A. Boatwright, S.A. Cavill, S.S. Dhesi, L. Lari, R. Kröger, S. Langridge, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 3334-3339.
- ^{29.} S. Brice-Profeta, M.A. Arrio, E. Tronc, N. Menguy, I. Letard, C. Cartier dit Moulin, M. Noguès, C. Chaneac, J.P. Jolivet, P. Sainctavit, *J. Magn. Magn. Mat.*, 2005, 288, 354-365.

- ^{30.} V.S. Coker, N.D. Telling, G. van der Laan, R.A.D. Pattrick, C.I. Pearce, E. Arenholz,
 F. Tuna, E.P. Winpeny, J.R. Lloyd, *ACS Nano*, 2009, 3, 1922-1928.
- ^{31.} V.S. Coker, J.A. Bennett, N.D. Telling, T. Henkel, J.M. Charnock, G. van der Laan, R.A.D. Pattrick, C.I. Pearce, R.S. Cutting, I.J. Shannon, J. Wood, E. Arenholz, I.C. Lyon, J.R. Lloyd, *ACS Nano*, 2010, 4, 2577–2584.
- ^{32.} V.S. Coker, C.I. Pearce, R.A.D. Pattrick, G. van der Laan, N.D. Telling, J.M. Charnock, E. Arenholz, J.R. Lloyd, *Am. Mineral.*, 2008, **93**, 1119–1132.
- ^{33.} C. Carvallo, P. Sainctavit, M.A. Arrio, N. Menguy, Y. Wang, G. Ona-Nguema, S. Brice-Profeta, *Am. Mineral.*, 2008, **93**, 880–885.
- ^{34.} C.I. Pearce, C.M.B. Henderson, R.A.D. Pattrick, G. van der Laan, D.J. Vaughan, *Am. Mineral.*, 2006, **91**, 880–893.
- ^{35.} N.D. Telling, V.S. Coker, R.S. Cutting, G. van der Laan, C.I. Pearce, R.A.D. Pattrick,
 E. Arenholz, J.R. Lloyd, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2009, **95**, 163701.
- ^{36.} J. Byrne, N.D. Telling, V.S. Coker, R.A.D. Pattrick, G. van der Laan, E. Arenholz, F. Tuna, J.R. Lloyd, *Nanotechnol.*, 2011, **22**, 455709.
- ^{37.} F. Radu, R. Abrudan, I. Radu, D. Schmitz, H. Zabel, *Nat. Commun.*, 2012, **3**, 715.
- ^{38.} T. J. Regan, H. Ohldag, C. Stamm, F. Nolting, J. Lüning, J. Stöhr, R. L. White, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2001, **64**, 214422.
- ^{39.} M. Sikora, A. Juhin, T.C. Weng, P. Sainctavit, C. Detlefs, F.M.F. de Groot, P. Glatzel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2010, **105**, 037202.
- ^{40.} W.A. Caliebe, C.C. Kao, J.B. Hastings, M. Taguchi, A. Kotani, T. Uozumi, F.M.F. de Groot, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1998, **58**, 13452-13458.
- ^{41.} M. Sikora, A. Juhin, G. Simon, M. Zajac, K. Biernacka, C.Z. Kapusta, L. Morellon, M.R. Ibarra, P. Glatzel, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2012, **111**, 07E301.
- ^{42.} P. Glatzel, U. Bergmann, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2005, **249**, 65-95.
- ^{43.} V. Baron, J. Gutzmer, H. Rundlöf, R. Tellgren, *Am. Mineral.*, 1998, **83**, 786-793.

- ^{44.} A. López-Ortega, D. Tobia, E. Winkler, I.V. Golosovsky, G. Salazar-Alvarez, S. Estradé, M. Estrader, J. Sort, M.A. Gonzalez, S. Suriñach, J. Arbiol, F. Peiró, R.D. Zysler, M.D. Baró, J. Nogués, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 9398-9407.
- ^{45.} M. Wilke, F. Farges, P.E. Petit, G.E. Brown Jr, F. Martin, *Am. Mineral.*, 2001, 86, 714-730.
- ^{46.} T.E. Westre, P. Kennepohl, J.G. DeWitt, B. Hedman, K.O. Hodgson, E.I. Solomon, J. *Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 6297-6314.
- ^{47.} S. Sakurai, S. Sasaki, M. Okube, H. Ohara, T. Toyoda, *Physica B*, 2008, **403**, 3589-3595.
- ^{48.} D. Makovec, A. Kodre, I. Arcon, M. Drofenik, J. Nanopart. Res., 2009, **11**, 1145-1158.
- ^{49.} M.A. Arrio, S. Rossano, C. Brouder, L. Galoisy, G. Calas, *Europhys. Lett.*, 2000, **51**, 454-460.
- ^{50.} M. Knobel, W.C. Nuenes, L.M. Socolovsky, E. De Biasi, J.M. Vargas, J.C. Denardin, *J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.*, 2008, **8**, 2836-2857.
- ^{51.} R. Skomski, J.M.D. Coey, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1993, **48**, 15812-15816.
- ^{52.} C. Carvallo, Ö. Özdemir, D.J. Dunlop, J. Geophys. Res., 2004, 109, B04105.
- ^{53.} C.R. Pike, A.P. Roberts, K.L. Verosub, J. Appl. Phys., 1999, **85**, 6660-6667.
- ^{54.} J.E. Davies, O. Hellwig, E.E. Fullerton, J.S. Jiang, S.D. Bader, G.T. Zimanyi, K. Liu, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2005, 86, 262503.
- ^{55.} R.K. Dumas, Y. Fang, B.J. Kirby, C. Zha, V. Bonanni, J. Nogués, J. Åckerman, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2011, **84**, 054434.
- ^{56.} V. Bonanni, Y. Fang, R.K. Dumas, C. Zha, S. Bonetti, J. Nogués, J. Åckerman, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2010, **97**, 202501.
- ^{57.} R. Egli, J. Geophys. Res., 2006, **111**, B12S17.

- ^{58.} G. Salazar-Alvarez, H. Lidbaum, A. López-Ortega, M. Estrader, K. Leifer, J. Sort, S. Suriñach, M.D. Baró, J. Nogués, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, **133**, 16738-16741.
- ^{59.} J. Park, K. An, Y. Hwang, J.G. Park, H.J. Noh, J.Y. Kim, J.H. Park, N.M. Hwang, T. Hyeon, *Nat. Mater.*, 2004, **3**, 891-895.
- ^{60.} R.C. O'Handley, *Modern Magnetic Materials:Principles and Applications,* Wiley Interscience, New York, USA, 1999.
- ^{61.} K. Matsumoto, F. Saito, T. Toyoda, K. Ohkubo, K. Yamawaki, T. Mori, K. Hirano, M. Tanaka, S. Sasaki, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* 2000, **39**, 6089-6093.
- ^{62.} S. Sakurai, S. Sasaki, M. Okube, H. Ohara, T. Toyoda, *Physica B* 2008.
- ^{63.} D. Haskel, <u>http://www.aps.anl.gov/xfd/people/haskel/fluo.html</u>.
- ^{64.} R.J. Harrison, J.M. Feinberg, Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst., 2008, 9, Q05016.