Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/nanoscale

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

Platinum Nanocatalysts Loaded on Graphene Oxide-Dispersed Carbon Nanotubes with Greatly Enhanced Peroxidase-Like Catalysis and Electrocatalysis Activities

Hua Wang^a*, Shuai Li^a, Yanmei Si^a, Ning Zhang^a, Zongzhao Sun^a, Hong Wu^b, Yuehe Lin^b*

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

A powerful enzymatic mimetics has been fabricated by employing graphene oxides (GO) nanocolloids to disperse conductive carbon supports of hydrophobic carbon nanotubes (CNTs) before and after the loading of Pt nanocatalysts. The resulting GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites could present improved aqueous dispersion stability and Pt spatial distribution. Unexpectedly, they could show greatly enhanced peroxidase-like catalysis and electrocatalysis activities in water, as evidenced in the colorimetric and electrochemical investigations in comparison to some inorganic nanocatalysts commonly used. Moreover, it is found that the new enzyme mimetics could exhibit peroxidase-like catalysis activity comparable to natural enzyme; yet, they might circumvent some of their inherent problems in terms of catalysis efficiency, electron transferring, environmental stability, and cost effectiveness. Also, sandwiched electrochemical immunoassays have been successfully conducted using the GOCNT-Pt as enzymatic tags. Such a fabrication avenue of noble metal nanocatalysts loaded on well-dispersed conductive carbon supports should be tailored for the design of different enzyme mimics promising the extensive catalysis

²⁰ applications in environmental, medical, industrial, and particularly aqueous biosensing fields.

1. Introduction

Enzymes have been widely used in industrial, environmental, and medical fields, such as the catalytic oxidation of various organic ²⁵ toxicants in wastewater treatments,^{1, 2} and enzyme-based sensing of numerous biomarkers of clinical and environmental importance.^{3, 4} Natural enzymes, however, can suffer from some serious disadvantages regarding easy thermal denaturation, catalytic activity inhibition, and protease digestion of enzymes.

- ³⁰ An additional challenge can be associated with the enzymatic protein shells that can electrically insulate the redox center of natural peroxidases.⁴ Alternatively, considerable efforts have been contributed to the studies on artificial enzyme mimics,^{5, 6} mostly known as peroxidase mimics including haematin,⁷ s hemin,⁸ cytochrome,⁹ and other organic and inorganic mimics.¹⁰⁻
- ¹² However, they may somewhat achieve limited success in terms of catalysis efficiency, aqueous dispersion, and conductivity especially for electrochemical applications.

Materials on a nanoscale can present unique physical and 40 chemical properties different from what they exhibit on a macroscale. As the most known one, noble metals like platinum (Pt) may be chemically inert at bulk scales but can serve as catalysts at nanoscales. Most known as Pt nanoparticles (Pt Nanos) that can present enzyme-like catalysis activity for sensing 45 H₂O₂ or for scavenging superoxide free radicals ^{13, 14} In practical catalysis applications, however, the catalysis performances of Pt Nanos are generally limited by their low dispersive stability and partly blocked electron-transfer pathways or catalysis-active sites by the surface modifiers necessary for stabilizing high-energy 50 surfaces of Pt nanomaterials in the synthesis procedure. Moreover, carbon nanotubes (CNTs) as an important group of conductive nanomaterials have received considerable interests of applications.^{15, 16} Due to their high surface area, mechanical strength and excellent electric conductivity, CNTs have been 55 used as conductive electrode materials and catalyst supports in constructing fuel cells,¹⁷⁻¹⁹ or as adsorption matrices and electron mediators for electrochemical sensor designs.²⁰⁻²³ Particularly, CNTs are widely employed to support Pt nanocatalysts for heterogeneous electrocatalysis in fuel cells.^{17, 18, 24} They have 60 also been combined to fabricate the electrochemical platforms or load various enzymes to facilitate the electrocatalysis detections of glucose and/or H₂O₂ at a low potential.²⁵⁻²⁷ Unfortunately, few investigations have been systematically conducted on the potential of CNT-supported Pt Nanos with peroxidase-like 65 catalysis activity.

Moreover, most of the catalysis reactions with enzymes or their mimics are carried out in aqueous system. The aqueous catalysis performances and the large-scale catalysis applications

35

of CNT-supported Pt nanocatalysts, however, may be challenged by the poor aqueous dispersion of hydrophobic carbon supports and unfavorable distribution density of Pt nanocatalyst loaded generally by highly thermal treatments. Therefore, increasing ⁵ attentions have been drawn to the improvement of the spatial

- distribution and dispersion stability of CNT supports in water, most known as the oxidation treatment ²⁸ and surfactant addition.²⁹ Gracefully successful as these works are, such a dispersion (or distribution) problem of CNT supports (or Pt
- ¹⁰ nanocatalysts) remains far from well solved to date. Recent years have witnessed the rapid development of another amazing carbon material of graphene in the modern materials science and biotechnology.^{12, 30-33} Graphene has been used to attach Pt Nanos but to achieve only enhanced electrocatalytic activities,^{34, 35} while
- ¹⁵ graphene oxides (GO) nanosheets might exhibit peroxidase-like catalysis.¹² Particularly, GO nanocolloids may serve as a wonderful "surfactant" for CNTs to create a stable dispersion nanocolloids in water,³¹⁻³³ due to they are amphiphilic with an edge-to-center distribution of hydrophilic and hydrophobic ²⁰ domains.³¹

In the present work, a new enzyme mimics has been fabricated by using GO nanocolloids to well disperse CNT supports before and after loading Pt Nanos. Unexpectedly, the resulting GOCNT-Pt nanoscomposites could display high ²⁵ aqueous dispersion and desirable distribution density of nanocatalysts, and especially greatly enhanced peroxidase-like catalysis and electrocatalysis activities. To the best of our knowledge, this finding was reported and systemically studied for the first time. The powerful catalysis performances of GOCNT-Pt

³⁰ nanoscomposites, here, were characterized by colorimetric H₂O₂ sensing and direct H₂O₂ electrochemistry, in comparison to CNT- and GO-supported Pt Nanos and natural protein enzyme commonly used, including the practical application as enzymatic labels for the sandwiched electrochemical immunoassays.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Synthesis and characterization of GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites

The GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites have been fabricated by using 40 the impregnation method. GO nanocolloids were first employed to well disperse CNTs to form the stable GOCNT suspension. Then, Pt precursors were physically adsorbed onto the GOCNTs followed by thermal treatment under an H₂ environment, achieving GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites with 20 wt % Pt re-45 dispersed in GO nanocolloids. Fig. 1 shows the topological structures and dispersions of the as-prepared GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites characterized by transmission electron microscope (TEM), in comparison to the CNT-Pt nanocomposites fabricated accordingly without GO nanosheets. One can note that 50 Pt Nanos could be well loaded onto the pristine CNTs (Fig. 1A) and the GO nanosheets (Fig. 1B) dispersed ones to yield the CNT-Pt (Fig. 1C) and the GOCNT-Pt (Fig. 1D), respectively. As manifested in the TEM images, however, the GOCNT-Pt could enable better spatial distribution density of Pt nanocatalysts on 55 the carbon supports than the CNT-Pt. Also, the inserted

photographs of their suspension solution show that GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites showed higher dispersion and stability than CNT-Pt ones that seemed to be partly coagulated and stacked in water. Furthermore, comparable investigations of dispersion 60 stabilities were carried out for the GOCNT-Pt and CNT-Pt



Fig. 1. Typical TEM images of (A) CNTs, (B) GO, (C) CNT-Pt nanocomposites (Inset: the photograph of suspension solution (right top)), and (D) GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites in GO nanocolloids (Inset: the amplified part of GOCNT-Pt (left top) and the photograph of suspension solution (right top))

Nanoscale Accepted Manuscript

nanocomposites by using dynamic light scattering (DLS) at a 90° scattering angle (**Fig. S1A, ESI**). It is found that the hydrodynamic diameters of the GOCNT-Pt and CNT-Pt concentrated at ~ 175 nm and ~ 188 nm, respectively, showing

- ⁵ the difference in size distribution of GO-dispersed nanotube bundles in water. Moreover, the time-dependent dispersion of the GOCNT-Pt and CNT-Pt suspensions was evaluated by using DLS and UV/vis spectrometry, where the sample supernatants were taken out and monitored at different time intervals (Fig. S1B,
- ¹⁰ ESI). As can be seen from the DLS intensities and optical absorbance values (250 nm) over time, the GOCNT-Pt could display much higher dispersion stability than the CNT-Pt, confirming the vital role of GO "surfactant" in dispersing carbon supported Pt nanocomposites. In addition, the high magnification ¹⁵ view of the GOCNT-Pt (the inset of Fig. 1D) clearly verifies that

a single CNT bundle was decorated Pt Nanos of 3.0 \pm 0.5 nm

with high dispersion and favorable distribution density. Herein, high dispersion of Pt nanocatalysts may help to improve their electrocatalytic activities as recognized in fuel cell ²⁰ technologies.³⁶⁻³⁸ Better mass transports of electrons and reactants also enable rapid electron shuttling and easy access of the reactants to their catalysis-active sites.¹⁷ The synergetic effects of well dispersed carbon supports of high conductivity and Pt Nanos of intrinsic catalysis activity were thereby expected to facilitate ²⁵ both enhanced peroxidase-like catalysis and electrocatalysis of GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites.

2.2. Colorimetric investigations of peroxidase-like catalysis activities of GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites

The peroxidase-like catalysis activities of GOCNT-Pt $_{30}$ nanoscomposites were investigated by colorimetric tests with the 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB)-H₂O₂ substrate (Fig. 2A).



Fig. 2. Colorimetric investigations of peroxidase-like catalysis activities of GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites. (A) Comparison of catalytic redox reactions for TMB-H₂O₂ substrate among CNTs, GO, Pt Nanos, and the nanoscomposites of GO-Pt, CNT-Pt, and GOCNT-Pt consisting of 0.05 mg mL⁻¹ CNT or GO and/or 0.01 mg mL⁻¹ Pt Nanos. (B) Catalytic oxidization of various organic substrates (1.0 mM) of TMB, DAB, PPD, AP and HQ using 0.05 mg mL⁻¹ GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites with 4.0 mM H₂O₂. (C) Comparison of colorimetric r₀ H₂O₂ detection results among Pt Nanos, HRP, and GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites using 1.0 mM TMB and different H₂O₂ concentrations.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

Herein, CNTs, GO, Pt Nanos, CNT-Pt, and GO-Pt nanocomposites with the same carbon and/or Pt concentrations were utilized for comparison. It was observed that the GOCNT-Pt could catalyze H₂O₂-induced oxidization of TMB to yield a ⁵ visible deep blue color within 15 min, resulting in color density much higher than those obtained for the Pt Nanos, CNT-Pt, and GO-Pt. Herein, GO and CNTs might present a little catalysis

behavior to TMB-H₂O₂ substrate, which might be comparably neglected. The results indicate that GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites 10 could exhibit greatly enhanced peroxidase-like catalysis activity in contrast to the component materials, of which the supported Pt

Nanos should be mainly responsible for the catalysis event.

Furthermore, the enzymatic catalysis capabilities of GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites were examined for the H_2O_2 -induced

- ¹⁵ oxidization of several peroxidase substrates, including 3,3'diaminobenzidine (DAB), *p*-phenylenediamine (PPD), *p*aminophenol (PAP), hydroquinone (HQ), and TMB. **Fig. 2B** illustrates the typical photographs of the colorful products of reactions. For example, the DAB solution changed to a brown
- ²⁰ color with a little of resultant precipitate in catalysis reactions, and the PPD solution became a deep orange color. It is thereby believed that the GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites can show strong enzyme-catalytic behavior toward typical peroxidase substrates, indicating that they can be harnessed for catalytic degradation of ²⁵ organic toxicants so as to reduce their toxicity in environment.
- Moreover, colorimetric detections of H_2O_2 were performed by using the GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites, comparing to Pt Nanos and natural enzyme of horseradish peroxidase (HRP) commonly used (**Fig. 2C**). One can find that the color densities of the
- ³⁰ reaction products for both GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites and HRP can depend proportionally on H_2O_2 concentrations ranging from 10^{-7} to 10^{-2} M, which is much more sensitive than the H_2O_2 detections using Pt Nanos. Moreover, the peroxidase-like activities of GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites were characterized by
- ³⁵ the kinetic parameters, in comparison to GO-Pt and CNT-Pt, including HRP documented.¹¹ Typical Michaelis-Menten curves were plotted accordingly (**Fig. S2, ESI**). With the Lineweaver-

Burk equation, the Michaelis constant (K_m) and the maximal reaction velocity (V_{max}) were obtained and shown in **Table S1**, ⁴⁰ **ESI**. The apparent K_m value of the GOCNT-Pt (1.82 mM) with H₂O₂ substrate is lower than those of GO-Pt (4.24 mM), CNT-Pt (6.24 mM), and HRP (3.7 mM) reported elsewhere,¹¹ so is the apparent K_m of the GOCNT-Pt (0.075 mM) with TMB substrate. The data suggest that the GOCNT-Pt could present the higher ⁴⁵ affinity for H₂O₂ and TMB. Therefore, the as-developed inorganic nanocatalysts can present catalysis performances comparable to natural peroxidase; yet, they may circumvent some inherent problems of natural enzymes.

Although many possible catalysis mechanisms have been ⁵⁰ proposed for Pt-catalytic H_2O_2 decomposition, the radical chain mechanism is herein assumed with the initiation reaction of OHradical production catalyzed by Pt Nanos of a zero valence.^{39, 40} Herein, the proposed mechanism is detailed in **Scheme S1**, **ESI**. Pt Nanos on carbon supports were thought to catalytically break ⁵⁵ the oxygen–oxygen bond of H_2O_2 to give OH radicals, which were stabilized at the surface of Pt Nanos.³⁹ The resulting OH radicals would further react with TMB to yield the blue reaction products. Obviously, GOCNTs-supported Pt nanocatalysts might also benefit from GOCNTs-enhanced electron-transferring ability

and improved surface for easier accessing to the substrates, thus facilitating the stronger catalysis performances. In addition, colorimetric investigation of oxidase-like activity of GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites was performed using TMB in presence and absence of oxygen (data not shown). Also, they could catalyze the TMB oxidization to yield blue products in the presence of dissolved oxygen serving as the electron acceptor, showing the great potential oxidase-like functions of nanocatalysts.

2.3. Electrochemical investigations of electrocatalysis activities of GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites

The electrocatalysis characteristics of the GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites were investigated using H_2O_2 in the presence of TMB, taking the component materials of CNTs and Pt Nanos, CNT-Pt, and GO-Pt nanocomposites for comparison (**Fig. 3**).



⁸⁵ **Fig. 3.** Electrochemical responses to H_2O_2 in the presence of TMB. (A) Comparison of typical voltammograms among the electrodes coated with bare, CNTs, Pt Nanos, GO-Pt, CNT-Pt, and GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites in the PBS solutions containing 2.0 mM H_2O_2 and 0.4 mM TMB, scanning from - 0.6 to + 0.4 V at a scan rate of 0.10 V s⁻¹. (B) Voltammograms at the GOCNT-Pt electrode for successive addition of 1.0 mM H_2O_2 in the presence of 0.4 mM TMB (red line for blank).

Fig. 3A describes the typical voltammograms for detecting 2.0 mM H_2O_2 . A well-defined pair of quasi-reversible redox peaks were obtained for the GOCNT-Pt electrode, with the oxidization and reduction potentials peaking at ~+ 0.11 V and ~+ 0.021 V,

- ⁵ respectively. Notably, the redox currents for the GOCNT-Pt electrode is much higher than those of electrodes modified with Pt Nanos, CNT-Pt, and GO-Pt, although they might share the close peaking potentials presumably due to the existence of Pt Nanos catalysts. By comparison, the CNT-modified electrode
- ¹⁰ exhibited a more positive shift of the redox peak potentials in addition to significantly low current signals. More importantly, the GOCNT-Pt electrode could allow the electrochemical detection of H_2O_2 at a low potential, with voltammetric responses well proportional to H_2O_2 concentrations (**Fig. 3B**). Accordingly, ¹⁵ the GOCNT-Pt catalysts can possess high electrocatalysis
- towards H₂O₂ reduction and organic substrate oxidation.
- The direct electroanalysis to H_2O_2 using the GOCNT-Pt modified electrode was also tested, in comparison with the CNTs, Pt Nanos, CNT-Pt, and GO-Pt modified electrodes. Fig. 4A
- ²⁰ depicts the typical voltammograms at different electrodes for the direct H₂O₂ electrochemistry. As expected, a great difference in voltammetric responses is obtained among these electrodes in the absence of an electronic mediator. The GOCNT-Pt modified electrode displayed the largest redox response to H₂O₂, which is ~
- ²⁵ 10 times more than that of the CNTs modified electrode commonly used. Particularly, a sharp H_2O_2 reduction peak was witnessed for the GOCNT-Pt electrode at ~ - 0.18 V when detecting 2.0 mM H_2O_2 . In contrast, the potential peaks of other electrodes are much more negative, for example, it could peak at $_{30} \sim - 0.45$ V for the CNTs-modified one. **Fig. 4B** manifests the
- $_{30} \sim -0.45$ V for the CN15-modified one. Fig. 4B manifests the voltammetric characteristics of the GOCNT-Pt electrode with direct responses to successive additions of H₂O₂, where the H₂O₂ reduction currents can increase proportionally with H₂O₂ levels.

In addition, the comparison of electrocatalysis capacity with the ³⁵ natural enzyme (i.e., HRP) was also conducted. The electrode

modified with GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites was evaluated in parallel with the electrode modified with pure HRP and CNTsloaded HRP (CNT-HRP), where H2O2 was detected in the presence and absence of TMB mediator (Fig. S3A and B, ESI). 40 Again, much higher current responses and better redox peaks were obtained for the GOCNT-Pt electrode. The evidences indicate that the GOCNT-Pt might possess higher electrontransferring and electrocatalysis abilities than the natural enzyme, in electrochemical H₂O₂ reduction, even though it was loaded on 45 conductive CNT supports. The exact mechanism underlying for the enhanced electrocatalysis of GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites has not been well-understood so far, although Pt Nanos have been well established as super catalysts to exert high electrocatalysis effects on H_2O_2 or glucose.^{41, 42} Nevertheless, it is likely related ⁵⁰ to some synergistic factors involving the unique structures of the carbon supports of CNTs and GO nanosheets, and improved spatial distribution and electron-transferring ability of Pt Nanos on GOCNTs ⁴³⁻⁴⁶ Significantly, it confirms that metal-support interactions can largely affect the activity of metal nanocatalysts 55 so supported. Due to GO-dispersed CNTs have better organized graphitic structure, which is more ordered than that of carbon black, the Pt-CNT interactions can change the crystal structures of Pt nanocatalysts on GOCNTs towards greatly enhanced electrocatalysis activity.44 One the one hand, the Pt products 60 formed on CNTs are defined as "interconnected" Pt Nanos, which are more active than original Pt Nanos.⁴⁵ On the other hand, upon deposition, the finely dispersed Pt Nanos can bind to carbon supports via bonding with ester and carbonyl O atoms in the forms of COO(Pt) and C(=O)CO(Pt), and the Pt-CNT distribution 65 density and surface structures can play a key role in improving their electrocatalysis.⁴⁶ Also, carbon supports with delocalized π electrons of graphite sheets might help to improve Pt surface properties and promote electrons shuttling to and from its catalysis active sites as aforementioned.



⁸⁵ **Fig. 4.** Direct electrochemical responses to H_2O_2 (A) Comparison of typical voltammograms (the first scanning circle) among the electrodes modified with bare, CNTs, Pt Nanos, CNT-Pt, GO-Pt, and GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites separately in the PBS buffer containing 2.0 mM H_2O_2 under the same scanning conditions as in Fig. 3; (B) Voltammograms of direct responses of GOCNT-Pt electrode to successive addition of H_2O_2 at a step of 1.0 mM (red line for 0.0 mM H_2O_2).

Vanoscale Accepted Manuscript

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]



Fig. 5. (A) Typical current-time responses of GOCNT-Pt electrode to H_2O_2 successively added with a step of 0.2 mM at an applied potential of - 0.2 V. (B) The steady-state calibration curve of amperometric responses of the GOCNT-Pt sensor to H_2O_2 with different concentrations in (A).

5 2.4. Preliminary catalysis-based sensing applications of GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites

To further explore the application feasibility of the new enzyme mimics, a H₂O₂ sensor was fabricated with the GOCNT-Pt nanocatalysts for the direct electrochemical H₂O₂ sensing **Fig. 5A** ¹⁰ manifests a current-time response curve recorded at an applied potential of - 0.2 V by successive additions of H₂O₂. The current

- responses reached a steady-state signal within ~ 5 s. The steadystate calibration curve of H₂O₂ responses for the amperometric enzyme sensor is shown in **Fig. 5B**. Accordingly, a linear range 15 from 2.5×10^{-6} to 1.0×10^{-2} M H₂O₂ was achieved for the direct
- H_2O_2 detection. Moreover, the interferential experiments of H_2O_2 sensor were conducted with the results shown in **Table S2**, **ESI**. It was found that most of co-existing common molecules or ions might show no interference for the determination of H_2O_2 . But
- $_{20}$ obvious interferences were observed for glucose, ascorbic acid and S²⁻ with considerably high concentrations, presumably originating from that those interferents might inhibit the catalysis activity of the Pt catalysts or take part in the redox reactions. Also, 2.0 mM H₂O₂ was measured by the electrode once a week
- ²⁵ for 10 weeks, and a relative standard deviation of 6.2 % was obtained, showing a favorable reproducibility of the analysis. In addition, the GOCNT-Pt electrode could work well at different temperatures such as 40 °C, 60 °C and 90 °C, as well as pH values of 1.0 to 14 (data not shown). The data prove that GOCNT-Pt ³⁰ nanocomposites at the electrodes can retain high catalysis stability over extreme operational environments.

Moreover, the coupling of natural peroxidases as amplifying biocatalytic labels is a common paradigm in developing biosensing devices. In this work, GOCNT-Pt catalysts were

³⁵ applied as the enzyme labels for secondary antibodies for electrochemical immunoassay of immunoglobulin G (IgG) by static amperometric measurements (Fig. 6). Fig. 6A shows the results of electrochemical IgG immunoassays, with bovine serum albumin (BSA) serving as a control. One can note that the ⁴⁰ sandwich immunoassays can illustrate static currents proportional to IgGs with concentrations ranging from 5.0 to 625 µg mL⁻¹. Moreover, high detection specificity of the sandwich IgG immunoassay is evident by the significantly low response to BSA, as also reflected in the real-time static amperometric ⁴⁵ responses (**Fig. 6B**). Therefore, the GOCNT-Pt nanocatalysts can be potentially employed as new catalytic labels of recognition molecules for wide enzyme-based electrochemical and optical sensing assays.

3. Experimental section

50 3.1. Materials and reagents

Graphene oxides (GO) nanosheets were obtained from Graphene Supermarket (USA). Multiwalled carbon nanotubes (CNTs) with ~ 95 % purity were obtained from NanoLab (Brighton, MA). Mouse IgGs, anti-mouse IgG antibodies (IgGAbs), IgGAbs ⁵⁵ labeled with horseradish peroxidase (HRP), bovine serum albumin (BSA), Nafion (5.0 %), ethyl(dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide (EDC), *N*-Hydroxysuccinimide (NHS), HRP (EC 1.11.1.7), hexachloroplatinic acid, DL-dithiothreitol (DDT), gold nanoparticles (10 nm in diameter), and peroxidase substrate ⁶⁰ solution were the products of Sigma-Aldrich, including organic substrates of 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB), 3,3'diaminobenzidine (DAB), *p*-phenylenediamine (PPD), *p*aminophenol (PAP), and hydroquinone (HQ). Other reagents were of analytical reagent grade.

65 3.2. Synthesis of GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites

The GO-dispersed CNTs (GOCNTs) were prepared with the mass ratio of GO nanosheets to CNTs of 1:10. An appropriate amount of CNTs were ultra-sonicated in deionized water for 30 min, and then GO solution was added into the CNT solution to be further 70 sonicated until a visually homogeneous dispersion was formed. The resulting mixture was sonicated for 16 h (60 s on and 5 s off cycles) and then centrifuged and washed to form GOCNTs.

Furthermore, GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites were synthesized with the impregnation method using H_2 as the reducing agent.



Fig. 6. (A) Electrochemical sandwich immunoassays for IgGs of different concentrations using GOCNT-Pt labeled IgGAbs, taking 1.0 mg mL⁻¹ BSA as a control (IgG-a to d corresponding to 5.0, 25, 125 and 625 μ g mL⁻¹). (B) Comparison of real-time static amperometric responses between 5.0 μ g mL⁻¹ IgG and 1.0 mg mL⁻¹ BSA when adding 8.8 mM H₂O₂.

- ²⁰ Typically, an appropriate amount of hexachloroplatinic acid was dissolved in acetone. GOCNTs with the required dosage were dispersed in an appropriate amount of Pt precursor solution under mild stirring. The mixture was then incubated in the oven overnight at 100 °C. The mixture was further treated under a H_2
- ²⁵ environment for 2 h at 300 °C with a ramping rate of 0.5 °C min⁻¹. The GOCNT-Pt catalysts (20 wt% Pt) so obtained were collected and further were re-dispersed in GO nanocolloids at the same ratio above. Moreover, for the purpose of comparison, pre-acidized GO, and CNT powders were treated by the same way
- ³⁰ under H₂ environment for 2 h at 300 °C, so did the CNT-Pt and GO-Pt nanocomposites. TEM measurements (Hitachi H-7000, Japan) were conducted for different materials dispersed in 2-propanol. Moreover, the as-prepared GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites were diluted to 1.0 mg mL⁻¹ in 2-propanol containing 0.25 % ³⁵ Nafion to be stored at 4 °C.

3.3 Evaluation of dispersion stabilities of GOCNT-Pt and CNT-Pt nanocomposites

The dispersion stabilities of GOCNT-Pt and CNT-Pt nanocomposites were investigated comparably by using dynamic ⁴⁰ light scattering (DLS) with a Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern Instruments, UK) setup equipped with a helium-neon laser ($\lambda = 632.8$ nm, 4 mW) and a thermoelectric temperature controller. The samples were diluted to a certain concentration and sonicated for 1 h. DLS measurements were then performed at a 90°

- $_{45}$ scattering angle in a 3 × 3 mm quartz cuvette, in which 18 runs of 10 seconds were carried out for each of samples to obtain the averaged nanotube sizes (hydrodynamic diameters). Moreover, the time-dependent dispersion of the GOCNT-Pt and CNT-Pt suspensions was monitored by DLS as well as UV/vis
- ⁵⁰ measurements (UV-3600 spectrophotometer, Shimadzu, Japan). The samples were well dispersed and placed over time. The sample supernatants were carefully taken out at different time intervals (2.0, 5.0, 10, 24, 48, 72, 120, and 192 h) for DLS and UV/vis measurements separately. The DLS intensities of
- 55 meaningful size range of nanotubes and optical absorbance values

at 250 nm were recorded over time.

3.4. Preparation of CNT-HRP and GOCNT-Pt-labeled IgGAb conjugates

The as-prepared CNTs and GOCNT-Pt powders were separately 60 dispersed to 1.0 mg mL-1 in PBS buffer (pH 7.2) containing 0.50 % Triton X-100, 100 mM EDC, and 80 mM NHS to be chemically activated for 1 h, and then washed twice. To prepare CNT-HRP conjugate, the CNT suspensions were mixed with 20 mg mL-1 HRP to be incubated overnight at 4 °C. Then, the 65 mixture was centrifuged and washed twice with PBS buffer. Subsequently, the resulting CNT-HRP conjugate was diluted to 1.0 mg mL-1 CNTs to be stored at 4 °C. Following the same procedure, the GOCNT-Pt-labeled IgGAb conjugates were prepared except for using 2.0 mg mL-1 secondary IgGAbs.

70 3.5. Colorimetric catalysis tests

Colorimetric investigation of peroxidase-like activities of GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites was performed using commerciallyavailable TMB-H₂O₂ substrate, comparing to CNTs, GO, Pt Nanos, CNT-Pt, and GO-Pt nanocomposites of certain ⁷⁵ concentrations indicated. Moreover, the catalytic oxidization of some organic substrates including TMB, DAB, PPD, PAP and HQ was conducted by using GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites. Each of the organic substrates (1.0 mM) was mixed with 0.05 mg mL⁻¹ GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites and 4.0 mM H₂O₂ in N₂-saturated ⁸⁰ PBS buffer to be reacted for 15 min to produce different colors.

The colorimetric detections for H_2O_2 with different concentrations (1.0 x10⁻⁷ to 1.0 x 10⁻² M) were conducted in N₂saturated PBS buffer in the presence of 1.0 mM TMB separately using 0.05 mg mL⁻¹ GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites, 0.01 mg mL⁻¹ ⁸⁵ Pt Nanos, and 0.01 mg mL⁻¹ HRP. Moreover, the dynamics parameters (i.e., K_m and V_{max}) of GOCNT-Pt, CNT-Pt, and GO-Pt nanocomposites were measured accordingly to plot Michaelis-Menten curves with different concentrations of H₂O₂ and TMB.

3.6. Electrochemical H₂O₂ analysis

Electrochemical voltammetric measurements for the electrocatalytic responses of the GOCNT-Pt modified electrodes to H_2O_2 were performed in the presence and absence of TMB using an electrochemical analyzer CHI 760 (CH Instruments,

- ⁵ Austin, TX), which is connected to a personal computer. A threeelectrode configuration (CH Instruments) consisting of a glass carbon (GC) working electrode, an Ag/AgCl reference electrode and a platinum counter electrode was employed. Herein, the GC working electrodes were polished and further coated with
- ¹⁰ GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites, compared with those modified separately with CNTs, Pt Nanos, GO-Pt, and CNT-Pt nanocomposites or CNT-loaded HRP conjugates. In brief, each of the stock nanomaterials (except for Pt Nano) was first diluted to 1.0 mg mL⁻¹ in PBS buffer containing 0.25 % Nafion. After being
- ¹⁵ dispersed by sonication, 5.0 μ L of each of the nanomaterial suspensions was dropped separately onto the polished GCE electrodes to be incubated overnight at 4°C. Following that, 5.0 μ L of 0.05 % Nafion was added onto each of the electrodes and left for 1 h at room temperature. The preparation of the Pt Nano
- $_{20}$ electrode was conducted accordingly but for using 0.2 mg mL $^{-1}$ Pt Nano. Subsequently, the as-prepared electrodes were used separately to detect 2.0 mM $\rm H_2O_2$ in a 5-mL voltammetric cell with N_2-saturated PBS buffer in the presence and absence of 0.4 mM TMB, scanning from 0.6 to + 0.4 V at a scan rate of 0.10 V
- $_{25}$ s⁻¹. Moreover, the current-time responses of the GOCNT-Pt electrode toward successive additions of 0.2 mM H₂O₂ were performed at an applied potential of 0.2 V. All potentials were referred to the Ag/AgCl reference electrode.

3.7. Electrochemical immunoassays

- ³⁰ Electrochemical sandwich immunoassays for IgGs with different concentrations were conducted on gold electrodes (2-mm diameter, CH Instruments) using GOCNT-Pt catalysts and secondary IgGAbs. Here, the gold electrodes were first dipped into 2.0 mg mL⁻¹ DDT for 2 h at room temperature and then
- $_{35}$ washed twice with PBS buffer. Furthermore, 5.0 μL of gold nanoparticles were dropped onto it overnight, and then washed twice. Following that, 5.0 μL IgGAbs (1.0 mg mL⁻¹) was injected onto the electrodes to be incubated overnight at 4 °C, followed by two washings. After being blocked by 10 mg mL⁻¹ BSA, each 5.0
- ⁴⁰ μL of IgG samples was introduced onto the electrodes to be incubated for 1 h at 37 °C and then washed twice. Following that, 5 μL of GOCNT-Pt-labeled IgGAbs was introduced onto the electrodes to recognize the captured IgGs in the same way. Subsequently, static amperometric measurements for the resulting
- ⁴⁵ immunosensors were performed at an applied potential of 0.2 V in PBS buffer.

4. Conclusions

Platinum (Pt) nanocatalysts supported on carbon nanotubes ⁵⁰ (CNTs) have been well established to present high electrocatalysis and power density. However, few investigations have been conducted systematically on their peroxidase-like catalysis activities especially their catalysis performances in water, which could be challenged by the poor aqueous dispersion

⁵⁵ of hydrophobic carbon supports and the undesirable spatial distribution of Pt nanocatalysts loaded. In this work, hydrophobic

CNTs have been well dispersed in GO nanocolloids to achieve a stable aqueous suspension as conductive supports for loading Pt nanocatalysts. The resulting GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites were re-60 dispersed in GO nanocolloids so as to obtain high aqueous dispersion stability and good spatial distribution density of Pt nanocatalysts. Importantly, colorimetric and electrochemical investigations indicate that the developed inorganic nanocatalysts could display much higher peroxidase-like catalysis and 65 electrocatalysis activities than some inorganic nanocatalysts commonly used. Also, the so prepared enzyme mimic could exhibit aqueous catalysis performances comparable to protein peroxidase; yet, they may have some advantages over natural enzymes in higher catalysis efficiency, electron transferring, 70 aqueous stability, environmental robustness, and cost effectiveness. They could catalyze the H2O2-induced oxidation of typical organic substrates, indicating the applications for catalytic degradation of organic toxicants (i.e., phenols) so as to reduce their toxicity in environment. Moreover, electrochemical 75 measurement results demonstrate that the GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites could present stronger electrocatalysis than natural enzymes (i.e., HRP) in the reduction of H₂O₂ as well as the oxidation of organic substrates like TMB. In addition, sandwich-based immunoassays have been successfully performed 80 for model proteins of IgGs using GOCNT-Pt catalysts as the enzymatic tags, indicating they may promise very attractive applications for enzyme-based aqueous assays and biosensors, including the catalysis reactions that are mostly carried out in water. Although more efforts will be necessarily made to better 85 understand at the molecular scale the catalysis mechanism

⁸⁵ understand at the indicedral scale the catalysis mechanism underlying for the carbon-supported Pt nanocatalysts, such a preparation method for inorganic nanocatalysts combining noble metal nanocatalysts and well-dispersed conductive carbon supports may open a new door toward the design of different ⁹⁰ "artificial enzymes".

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Institutes of Health Counter ACT Program by the National Institute of Neurological ⁹⁵ Disorders and Stroke (award [#] NS058161-01), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21375075), and the Taishan Scholar Foundation of Shandong Province, P. R. China.

Notes and References

- ¹⁰⁰ ^a Shandong Province Key Laboratory of Life-Organic Analysis, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Qufu Normal University, Qufu City 273165, P. R. China.
 - ^b Pacific Norwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA 99352, USA.
- * E-mail addresses: <u>yuehe.lin@pnnl.gov</u> 105 <u>huawangqfnu@126.com;</u>

Tel: +01 509 371 6241; Fax: +01 509 371 6242.

- † Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: The catalysis mechanism, catalytic dynamic parameters, the interferent effects on H₂O₂ detections, investigations of time-dependent dispersion stabilities, double-reciprocal plots of catalysis activities, and electrocatalysis
- comparison between GOCNT-Pt nanocomposites and HRP. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

37.

40

41.

44.

90

100

1.	P. Nannipieri and J. M. Bollag, Journal of Environmental	35.
	Quality, 1991, 20, 510-517.	
2.	H. Park and Y. K. Choung, Human and Ecological Risk	75 36.

- H. Park and Y. K. Choung, *Human and Ecological Risk 7* Assessment, 2007, 13, 1147-1155.
 A. Mueller, *Mini-Reviews in Medicinal Chemistry*, 2005, 5,
- 231-239.
- 4. J. Wang, Analyst, 2005, 130, 421-426.
- A. J. Kirby, Angewandte Chemie-International Edition, 1994, 80 38. 33, 551-553.
- 10 6. V. Nanda and R. L. Koder, *Nature Chemistry*, 2010, 2, 15-24.
 - Z. Genfa and P. K. Dasgupta, *Analytical Chemistry*, 1992, 64, 517-522.
 L. Fruk and C. M. Niemever, *Angewandte Chemie*- 85
- 8. L. Fruk and C. M. Niemeyer, *Angewandte Chemie-International Edition*, 2005, 44, 2603-2606.
- 15 9. R. Breslow, X. J. Zhang and Y. Huang, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 1997, 119, 4535-4536.
- W. C. Ellis, C. T. Tran, M. A. Denardo, A. Fischer, A. D. Ryabov and T. J. Collins, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2009, 131, 18052-18053.
- 20 11. L. Z. Gao, J. Zhuang, L. Nie, J. B. Zhang, Y. Zhang, N. Gu, T. H. Wang, J. Feng, D. L. Yang, S. Perrett and X. Yan, *Nature Nanotechnology*, 2007, 2, 577-583.
- 12. Y. J. Song, K. G. Qu, C. Zhao, J. S. Ren and X. G. Qu, 95 45. Advanced Materials, 2010, 22, 1-5.
- 25 13. T. Hamasaki, T. Kashiwagi, T. Imada, N. Nakamichi, S. Aramaki, K. Toh, S. Morisawa, H. Shimakoshi, Y. Hisaeda and S. Shirahata, *Langmuir*, 2008, 24, 7354-7364.
- 14. M. Ma, Y. Zhang and N. Gu, *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical Engineering Aspects*, 2011, 373, 6-10.
- ³⁰ 15. R. H. Baughman, A. A. Zakhidov and W. A. de Heer, *Science*, 2002, 297, 787-792.
- 16. M. C. Hersam, *Nature Nanotechnology*, 2008, 3, 387-394.
- W. Z. Li, C. H. Liang, W. J. Zhou, J. S. Qiu, Z. H. Zhou, G. Q. Sun and Q. Xin, *Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 2003, 107, 6292-6299.
- G. Girishkumar, M. Rettker, R. Underhile, D. Binz, K. Vinodgopal, P. McGinn and P. Kamat, *Langmuir*, 2005, 21, 8487-8494.
- 19. M. Kaempgen, M. Lebert, N. Nicoloso and S. Roth, *Applied* 40 *Physics Letters*, 2008, 92, 094103.
- 20. J. Wang and Y. H. Lin, *Trac-Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 2008, 27, 619-626.
- M. Trojanowicz, *Trac-Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 2006, 25, 480-489.
- 45 22. J. Yan, H. J. Zhou, P. Yu, L. Su and L. Q. Mao, *Advanced Materials*, 2008, 20, 2899-2906.
- 23. G. D. Liu and Y. H. Lin, *Analytical Chemistry*, 2006, 78, 835-843.
- 24. C. Wang, M. Waje, X. Wang, J. M. Tang, R. C. Haddon and 50 Y. S. Yan, *Nano Letters*, 2004, 4, 345-348.
- 25. S. Hrapovic, Y. L. Liu, K. B. Male and J. H. T. Luong, *Analytical Chemistry*, 2004, 76, 1083-1088.
- 26. M. H. Yang, Y. Yang, H. F. Yang, G. L. Shen and R. Q. Yu, *Biomaterials*, 2006, 27, 246-255.
- 55 27. J. N. Me, S. Y. Wang, L. Aryasomayajula and V. K. Varadan, Journal of Materials Research, 2008, 23, 1457-1465.
- M. S. Saha and A. Kundu, *Journal of Power Sources*, 2010, 195, 6255-6261.
- 29. J. Wang, M. Musameh and Y. H. Lin, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2003, 125, 2408-2409.
- X. I. Li, X. R. Wang, L. Zhang, S. Lee and H. J. Dai, *Science* 2008, 319, 1229-1232.
- 31. L. J. Cote, J. Kim, V. C. Tung, J. Luo, F. Kim and J. Huang, Pure Applied Chemistry, 2011, 83, 95-110.
- 65 32. J. Ma, L. Zhou, C. Li, J. H. Yang, T. Meng, H. M. Zhou, M. X. Yang, F. Yu and J. H. Chen, *Journal of Power Sources*, 2014, 247, 999-1004.
- L. L. Tian, M. J. Meziani, F. S. Lu, C. Kong, Y. L. Cao, T. J. Thorne and Y. P. Sun, ACS Applied materials and Interfaces, 2010, 2, 3217-3222.
- S. C. Sahu, A. K. Samantara, B. Satpati, S. Bhattacharjee and B. K. Jena, *Nanoscale*, 2013, 5, 11265-11274.

- B. P. Vinayan, R. Nagar and S. Ramaprabhu, *Journal of Materials Chemistry*, 2012, 22, 25325-25334
- S. H. Joo, S. J. Choi, I. Oh, J. Kwak, Z. Liu, O. Terasaki and R. Ryoo, *Nature*, 2001, 412, 169-172.
- H. Tang, J. H. Chen, Z. P. Huang, D. Z. Wang, Z. F. Ren, L. H. Nie, Y. F. Kuang and S. Z. Yao, *Carbon*, 2004, 42, 191-197.
- J. N. Wang, Y. Z. Zhao and J. J. Niu, *Journal of Materials Chemistry*, 2007, 17, 2251-2256.
- M. Ma, Y. Zhang and N. Gu, Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects, 2011, 373, 6-10.
 - A. Harriman, G. R. Millward, P. Neta, M. C. Richoux and J. M. Thomas, *Journal of Physical Chemistry*, 1988, 92, 1286-1290.
 - T. Y. You, O. Niwa, M. Tomita and S. Hirono, *Analytical Chemistry*, 2003, 75, 2080-2085.
- 42. J. H. Yuan, K. Wang and X. H. Xia, *Advanced Functional Materials*, 2005, 15, 803-809.
- Y. Y. Mu, H. P. Liang, J. S. Hu, L. Jiang and L. J. Wan, Journal of Physical Chemistry B, 2005, 109, 22212-22216.
 - L. Li and Y. C. Xing, Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 2007, 111, 2803-2808.
 - S. Y. Wang, S. P. Jiang, T. J. White, J. Guo and X. Wang, Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 2009, 113, 18935-18945.
- R. V. Hull, L. Li, Y. C. Xing and C. C. Chusuei, *Chemistry of Materials*, 2006, 18, 1780-1788.