Nanoscale

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/nanoscale

Nanoscale

COMMUNICATION

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Nanostructures on Graphene using Supramolecule and Supramolecular Nanocomposites

Peter Bai^{*a*}, Joseph Kao^{*a*}, Jian-Hao Chen^{b^{\pm}_{t}}, William Mickelson^{*b*}, Alex Zettl^{*b,c*}, Ting Xu^{**a,c,d*}

Received 00th January 2012, Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Nanopatterning and functionalizing of graphene is often required to tune or enhance its unique physical properties. However, complex processes are needed to overcome the chemical incompatibilities between the patterning template, the functional small molecules or nanoparticles, and the underlying graphene. We present a block copolymer (BCP)based supramolecular thin film as a versatile platform for the generation of periodic patterns of small molecules and ordered assemblies of nanoparticles on top of a graphene substrate without chemical modification of any components. The present approach opens opportunities to readily pattern and functionalize graphene, and to investigate the structure-property correlations of graphene/nanoparticle and graphene/small molecule composite materials.

Graphene, a single layer of sp² bonded, hexagonally packed carbon atoms, has become a promising material for applications in electronics¹, sensing², catalysis^{3, 4}, photovoltaics^{5, 6} and nanocomposites⁷ due to its unique electrical^{8, 9} and mechanical properties¹⁰. Graphene can be nanostructured or decorated with small molecules or nanoparticles (NPs) to tune its optical/electronic properties or introduce new functionalities¹¹. Graphene nanodots¹², nano-ribbon¹³ and nanomesh^{14, 15} have been shown to have semiconducting properties not observed in unpatterned graphene sheets, which demonstrate semimetallic behaviour at room temperature. Typically, the patterning process requires an additional step of depositing a grafting layer, such as polydopamine¹⁶ or SiO_2^{14} , on top of graphene or a chemical modification step¹⁷ to increase its surface energy and prevent the dewetting of the sacrificial layer. Furthermore, the graphene surface energy must be precisely tailored to balance the polymer/surface interfacial interactions to control the macroscopic orientation of BCP microdomains. Decoration of graphene by small molecules is a common route to tune its bandgap or increase its chemical reactivity, which increases its competitive strength in the field of semiconductors and sensors¹¹. Graphene surfaces decorated with metal or semiconducting NPs act as efficient sensing, catalytic or photovoltaic device components in which the graphene acts as a conductive layer to deliver electrical charges across the device ³, ^{18-22 5}. The NPs can be placed onto the graphene surface via *in*-

situ reduction of precursors, which requires different synthetic chemistries for different types of NPs²³ ²⁴ ²⁵ ²⁶, or via adsorption of preformed NPs to the graphene surface, which requires chemical modification of the graphene or NP surface^{27, 28}. These modifications may affect the physical properties of the graphene and NPs. In addition, these approaches often cannot guarantee a desired spatial distribution of NPs on the graphene, which is important to generate reliable devices.

RSCPublishing

We present a simple, versatile approach to nanoscopically pattern graphene using BCP-based supramolecules and decorate graphene with small molecules or NPs without the need to modify the graphene surface, small molecule or NP chemistry as required in many previous studies. The supramolecule, comprised of a BCP hydrogen bonded with small molecules, has three main advantages to modify graphene surface in comparison to prior approaches with conventional BCP systems. molecules situated Firstlv. small close to the supramolecule/graphene interface can mediate the interfacial interaction energy²⁹, thus allowing for the generation of perpendicular nanostructures and preventing the supramolecule thin film from dewetting on top of the low surface energy graphene, which is commonly observed for other BCP systems^{15, 16}. Secondly, the non-covalently bonded small molecules can be removed via a selective solvent^{30, 31, 32}, thus generating an etching mask to pattern the underlying graphene. Additional functionalities can be readily introduced to the graphene surface by exchanging the small molecules with liquid crystalline³³, semiconducting³⁴, or external field³⁵⁻³⁷ responsive small molecules. Thirdly, the small molecule can be selected to interact favorably with the NP ligands and leads to the incorporation of NPs into one BCP microdomain.³⁸⁻⁴⁰ This enables the supramolecule to serve as a structure-directing scaffold for the self-assembly of NPs into 1-D arrays and 3-D networks on top of graphene over arbitrarily large areas. Thus, the supramolecular approach greatly simplifies the patterning and functionalization of graphene, and opens opportunities to explore the structure-property correlations of patterned graphene, graphene/small molecule, and graphene/NP nanocomposites.

The supramolecule is comprised of polystyrene-block-poly(4vinylpyridine) (PS-b-P4VP) with the P4VP block hydrogen bonded to a small molecule, as shown in Figure 1a. The supramolecule is denoted as $PS(x)-b-P4VP(y)(SM)_n$, where x and y represent the molar weight of the PS and P4VP blocks respectively in units of g/mol, SM represents the name of the small molecule, and the subscript *n* represents the molar ratio of small molecule to P4VP. An alkylated small molecule, 3pentadecylphenol (PDP), is readily incorporated into the block copolymer to form the supramolecule PS-b-P4VP(PDP). Figure 1b shows the AFM and optical microscopy image (inset) of graphene supported on a SiO₂/Si substrate, showing a smooth, uniform coverage of graphene. A thin film of PS(19,000)-b- $P4VP(5,200)(PDP)_{1.7}$ was spun cast onto the graphene layer and solvent annealed, forming a microphase separated morphology with no signs of dewetting (Figure 1c). The corresponding optical microscopy image shows a color change due to light interference effects from the thin film. Since the color change is dependent on film thickness, the uniformity of the color change indicates that a smooth supramolecule film is formed on graphene over large areas. A film thickness of 61 nm is measured by interferometry (SI 2).



Figure 1 a) Schematic of the PS-*b*-P4VP(*SM*) supramolecule construct. AFM and optical microscope (inset) images of a graphene coated Si substrate b) before and c) after being coated with a \sim 100 nm PS-b-P4VP(PDP) supramolecule thin film. Scale bars are 200 nm for the AFM images and 10µm for the optical microscope images.

Ordered nanostructure with reasonable long range order is generated by solvent annealing a ~30 nm thin film of PS(19,000)-b-P4VP(5,200)(PDP)_{1.7} supramolecule via exposure to 300 µL of chloroform for 15 min as described in the Experimental Details (SI 1). With a P4VP(PDP) volume fraction of 0.62, the cylinder morphology was observed with the PS cylinders embedded in the P4VP(PDP) matrix and oriented parallel to the underlying graphene surface. The PS cylinders form a fingerprint-like pattern with a periodicity of ~25 nm (Figure 2a). Control of microdomain orientation in BCP thin films over macroscopic distances, especially to obtain vertical alignment of microdomain, is challenging because it requires balances between the film/substrate and film/air interfacial energies^{41, 42}. In the supramolecule thin film, macroscopic alignment of BCP microdomains can be readily tailored by varying the solvent annealing conditions. A thin

Page 2 of 6

film of PS(24,000)-b-P4VP(9,500)(PDP)₂, with P4VP(PDP) volume fraction of 0.70, was solvent annealed at a lower vapor pressure with 200 µL chloroform for 12 minutes, an optimal annealing condition to stabilize perpendicular cylinder morphology, which can be clearly seen from AFM (Figure 2b). PDP can be selectively removed from the film by rinsing with isopropyl alcohol to generate line and dot patterns (Figure 2c,d). The removal of PDP causes a large volumetric shrinkage of the P4VP(PDP) microdomain, which results in a large thickness contrast between the PS and P4VP microdomains. These nanopatterned films can potentially be used as sacrificial masks for plasma etching and to pattern graphene.



Figure 2 AFM height images of solvent annealed thin films of a) PS(19,000)-*b*-P4VP(5,200)(PDP)_{1.7} and b) PS(24,000)-*b*-P4VP(9,500)(PDP)₂ supramolecules showing cylindrical morphology oriented parallel and perpendicular to the substrate, respectively. After solvent wash with isopropyl alcohol to remove PDP, thin films with parallel cylindrical morphology formed line patterns (c) and thin films with perpendicular cylindrical morphology formed dot patterns (d) with increased height contrast between the microdomains. The scale bars are 200 nm and color scales are 0-20 nm for all images.

The BCP supramolecule construct enables the formation of perpendicular BCP morphologies and prevents the dewetting of the BCP thin film on top of graphene, a phenomenon frequently observed due to the low surface energy of graphene^{15, 16}. The PDP small molecules in the supramolecule likely play an important role in mediating the graphene/supramolecule interfacial interactions. Grazing Incidence Small Angle X-ray Scattering (GISAXS) is used to investigate the spatial distribution of PDP small molecules within the thin film (SI 3). For both parallel and perpendicular cylindrical thin films, there exist a scattering peak at $q_y = 0.155$ Å⁻¹ signifying perpendicularly oriented PDP at the graphene/film interface. These PDP molecules could in effect form a brush layer to mediate the graphene/film interfacial interaction. This interfacial energy mediation is the underlying reason that dewetting is not observed with the BCP supramolecule thin film. This PDP layer was also observed in previous studies of supramolecular thin film assembly on a hydrophobic PS-coated substrate²⁹. The presence of this PDP brush layer thus serves as a built-in regulator of interfacial interaction that enables thin film and perpendicular morphology formation on the low surface energy graphene.

Another advantage of the supramolecular approach is that the side chain functionalities are readily modular since PDP can be replaced by other small molecules with hydrogen bond donor moieties. This allows semiconducting³⁴, liquid crystalline⁴⁴ and external field-responsive^{36, 45, 46} small molecules to be incorporated onto a graphene surface. As an example, a photoresponsive azobenzene-containing small molecule, 4-(4'butylphenyl diazenyl)phenol (4PAP) can be incorporated into the supramolecule (Figure 3a). Figure 3b shows the GISAXS PS(20,000)-b-P4VP(19,000)(4PAP)1 scattering of а supramolecule thin film annealed in 200uL chloroform for 12 minutes. A q_y linecut of the GISAXS pattern (inset) shows the $1:\sqrt{3}:\sqrt{7}$ peak ratios characteristic of perpendicularly oriented cylinders. A broader peak around $q_y = 0.17 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ corresponds to 4PAP scattering. This scattering peak is limited in the q_v direction, suggesting that the 4PAP molecules are highly oriented. Figure 3c shows the GISAXS scattering and q_v linecut (inset) of a PS(24,000)-b-P4VP(9,500)(4PAP)₂ supramolecule thin film annealed in 300uL chloroform for 15 min. The 4PAP scattering peak forms a ring instead of being confined in the q_v direction, suggesting random orientation of the 4PAP small molecules. The thin film morphologies are further investigated via AFM, which clearly shows the formation of perpendicular the cylindrical morphology PS(20,000)-bfor $P4VP(19,000)(4PAP)_1$ supramolecule (Figure 3d, e) and parallel cylindrical morphology for the PS(24,000)-b-P4VP(9,500)(4PAP)₂ supramolecule (Figure 3f, g). The close proximity and controllable orientation of 4PAP with respect to graphene may enable tunable electronic coupling between the 4PAP and graphene, which could lead to applications in photoswitching⁴⁷. In addition, azobenezene-containing block copolymers are known to undergo mechanical deformations upon UV light exposure due to the cis-trans isomerization of the azobenzene moiety³⁷, thus the thin films can potentially be used to mechanically strain graphene and engineer its electronic properties48.



Figure 3 a) Schematic of the azobenzene containing supramolecule PSb-P4VP(4PAP). 2D GISAXS pattern and 1D linecut (inset) of PS-b-P4VP(4PAP) with b) PS(20,000)-b-P4VP(19,000)(4PAP)₁ and c) PS(24,000)-b-P4VP(9,500)(4PAP)₂ supramolecule thin films on graphene, suggesting perpendicular cylinders with 4PAP molecules graphene aligned parallel to for the PS(20,000)-b-P4VP(19,000)(4PAP)1 supramolecule, and parallel cylinders with 4PAP molecules aligned randomly to graphene for the PS(24,000)-b- $P4VP(9,500)(4PAP)_2$ supramolecule. The thin film morphologies are confirmed via AFM and schematically illustrated for the perpendicular

(d, e) and parallel (f, g) cylindrical morphologies, detailing the orientation of the BCP cylinders and the 4PAP small molecules relative to the graphene substrate. Scale bars are 200 nm.

The supramolecular thin film also serves as a versatile framework to generate ordered arrays of NPs on graphene. NPs with alkyl ligands interact favorably with the PDP alkyl tails, and can be selectively incorporated into the P4VP(PDP) microdomain of the supramolecular framework. Figure 4 shows the AFM and GISAXS of a ~30 nm thin film comprised of PS(19,000)-b-P4VP(5,200)(PDP)₁₇ and 6 v% of ~4 nm Au NPs covered with dodecanethiol ligands on graphene. The NPs are observed to assemble into 1-D arrays along the darker P4VP(PDP) microdomain (Figure 4a,b). GISAXS patterns of the film at different incidence angles of $\alpha = 0.10^{\circ}$, 0.15° and 0.20° (Figure 4c) all show strong Bragg rods, suggesting a single layer of NPs on top of graphene. 3-D networks of NPs can be generated by increasing the thickness of the nanocomposite film. A ~180 nm film shows a GISAXS pattern with distinctive scattering peaks in the q_v and q_z directions with q_v peak ratios of 1:2:3 (Figure 4d,e), characteristic of a distorted hexagonal lattice of parallel oriented NP arrays. The crosssectional TEM (Figure 4f) confirms the formation of 3-D NP arrays organized into hexagonal networks on graphene, consistent with GISAXS. Besides Au NPs, previous studies have demonstrated the supramolecule-guided assembly of PbS and PbSe NPs³⁹, and CdSe nanorods⁴⁹ covered by the common alkyl native ligands. These assemblies can be readily adapted to a graphene substrate, thus establishing the versatility of this supramolecule to decorate graphene with ordered assemblies of functional NPs.



Figure 4 a) AFM image (a) and schematic (b) of a solvent annealed PS-b-P4VP(PDP)/Au NP nanocomposite thin film self-assembled into parallel line patterns. c) GISAXS at incidence angles of 0.10°, 0.15° and 0.20° all show strong Bragg rods at $q_y = 0.021$ Å⁻¹ and no peaks along the q_z direction, suggesting a monolayer of NP arrays with 30 nm inter-array spacing. For a ~180 nm thick film, the GISAXS pattern (d) shows a scattering pattern characteristic of a hexagonal lattice of NP arrays. A q_y linecut (e) reveals lateral periodicity of ~26nm with up to third order scattering peak visible. A

cross-sectional TEM image (f) of the film shows the Au NP arrays arranged into hexagonal networks as predicted by GISAXS. Scale bars are 200 nm.

Conclusions

In summary, we have demonstrated BCP-based supramolecular thin films as a versatile platform to pattern and functionalize graphene with several advantages over existing approaches. The interfacial energy mediation provided by the small molecules prevents film dewetting and enables the formation of thin films with both parallel and perpendicular cylindrical nanostructures on graphene surface. The small molecules can be selectively removed to potentially generate etching masks to pattern the underlying graphene, or replaced with other small molecules to introduce additional functionalities. Incorporation of NPs into this supramolecular nanostructure resulted in 1-D NP arrays and 3-D NP networks on top of graphene. This approach does not require modification of the NP, small molecule or graphene surface chemistries, thus circumventing complex chemical modification steps as required by previous processing methodologies. The decoration of graphene with patterned functional small molecules or ordered NP assemblies may open exciting opportunities to investigate the structure/property correlations underlying NP/graphene and small molecule/graphene nanocomposites. These properties would find numerous applications such as solar cells, sensors, and catalysts.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the COINS NSF award EEC-0832819. The Advanced Light Source is supported by the Director, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC02-05CH11231

Notes and references

^a Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA, USA.

- ^b Department of Physics, Univeristy of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA, USA.
- ^c Materials Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, CA, USA.
- ^d Department of Chemistry, Univeristy of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA, USA.

* Corresponding Author, Email: <u>tingxu@berkeley.edu</u>

[‡] Current Address: International Center for Quantum Materials, Peking University, 6th Floor, Science Building 5, No. 5 Yiheyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100871, China

†Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental details and GISAXS characterization of supramolecular nanocomposite thin films on graphene substrate. See DOI: 10.1039/c000000x/

- K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, Y. Zhang, S. V. Dubonos, I. V. Grigorieva and A. A. Firsov, *Science*, 2004, 306, 666-669.
- J. D. Fowler, M. J. Allen, V. C. Tung, Y. Yang, R. B. Kaner and B. H. Weiller, ACS Nano, 2009, 3, 301-306.
- G. M. Scheuermann, L. Rumi, P. Steurer, W. Bannwarth and R. Muelhaupt, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 8262-8270.
- 4. B. Seger and P. V. Kamat, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2009, 113, 7990-7995.
- L. G. De Arco, Y. Zhang, C. W. Schlenker, K. Ryu, M. E. Thompson and C. Zhou, ACS Nano, 2010, 4, 2865-2873.
- 6. J. K. Wassei and R. B. Kaner, Mater. Today, 2010, 13, 52-59.
- 7. B. Z. Jang and A. Zhamu, J. Mater. Sci., 2008, 43, 5092-5101.

- M. I. Katsnelson, K. S. Novoselov and A. K. Geim, *Nat. Phys.*, 2006, 2, 620-625.
- Y. B. Zhang, Y. W. Tan, H. L. Stormer and P. Kim, *Nature*, 2005, 438, 201-204.
- C. Lee, X. Wei, J. W. Kysar and J. Hone, *Science*, 2008, 321, 385-388.
- 11. V. Georgakilas, M. Otyepka, A. B. Bourlinos, V. Chandra, N. Kim, K. C. Kemp, P. Hobza, R. Zboril and K. S. Kim, *Chemical Reviews*, 112, 6156-6214.
- L. A. Ponomarenko, F. Schedin, M. I. Katsnelson, R. Yang, E. W. Hill, K. S. Novoselov and A. K. Geim, *Science*, 2008, 320, 356-358.
- X. Li, X. Wang, L. Zhang, S. Lee and H. Dai, *Science*, 2008, 319, 1229-1232.
- 14. J. Bai, X. Zhong, S. Jiang, Y. Huang and X. Duan, Nat. Nanotechnol., 2010, 5, 190-194.
- M. Kim, N. S. Safron, E. Han, M. S. Arnold and P. Gopalan, *Nano* Lett., 2010, 10, 1125-1131.
- 16. B. H. Kim, D. H. Lee, J. Y. Kim, D. O. Shin, H. Y. Jeong, S. Hong, J. M. Yun, C. M. Koo, H. Lee and S. O. Kim, *Adv. Mater.*, 2011, 23, 5618-+.
- 17. B. H. Kim, J. Y. Kim, S.-J. Jeong, J. O. Hwang, D. H. Lee, D. O. Shin, S.-Y. Choi and S. O. Kim, ACS Nano, 2010, 4, 5464-5470.
- 18. Y. Choi, H. S. Bae, E. Seo, S. Jang, K. H. Park and B.-S. Kim, J. Mater. Chem., 2011, 21, 15431-15436.
- 19. L. Cunci, C. V. Rao, C. Velez, Y. Ishikawa and C. R. Cabrera, *Electrocatalysis*, 2013, 4, 61-69.
- 20. Y. Li, X. Fan, J. Qi, J. Ji, S. Wang, G. Zhang and F. Zhang, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 2010, 45, 1413-1418.
- 21. Y. Li, X. Fan, J. Qi, J. Ji, S. Wang, G. Zhang and F. Zhang, *Nano Res.*, 2010, 3, 429-437.
- 22. Y.-H. Lu, M. Zhou, C. Zhang and Y.-P. Feng, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2009, 113, 20156-20160.
- 23. G. Goncalves, P. A. A. P. Marques, C. M. Granadeiro, H. I. S. Nogueira, M. K. Singh and J. Gracio, *Chem. Mater.*, 2009, 21, 4796-4802.
- 24. S. Mayavan, J.-B. Sim and S.-M. Choi, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 6953-6958.
- 25. S. Guo, D. Wen, Y. Zhai, S. Dong and E. Wang, ACS Nano, 2010, 4, 3959-3968.
- A. Gutes, B. Hsia, A. Sussman, W. Mickelson, A. Zettl, C. Carraro and R. Maboudian, *Nanoscale*, 2012, 4, 438-440.
- 27. P. V. Kamat, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2010, 1, 520-527.
- 28. W. Lu, Y. Luo, G. Chang and X. Sun, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2011, 26, 4791-4797.
- J. Kao, J. Tingsanchali and T. Xu, *Macromolecules*, 2011, 44, 4392-4400.
- 30. S.-H. Tung and T. Xu, Macromolecules, 2009, 42, 5761-5765.
- R. Maki-Ontto, K. de Moel, W. de Odorico, J. Ruokolainen, M. Stamm, G. ten Brinke and O. Ikkala, *Adv. Mater.*, 2001, 13, 117-121.
- A. Sidorenko, I. Tokarev, S. Minko and M. Stamm, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 12211-12216.
- J. T. Korhonen, T. Verho, P. Rannou and O. Ikkala, *Macromolecules*, 2010, 43, 1507-1514.
- 34. B. J. Rancatore, C. E. Mauldin, J. M. J. Frechet and T. Xu, Macromolecules, 2012, 45, 8292-8299.
- P. W. Majewski, M. Gopinadhan and C. O. Osuji, J. Polym. Sci., Part B: Polym. Phys., 2012, 50, 2-8.
- C. Osuji, P. J. Ferreira, G. P. Mao, C. K. Ober, J. B. Vander Sande and E. L. Thomas, *Macromolecules*, 2004, 37, 9903-9908.
- 37. H. Yu and T. Ikeda, Adv. Mater., 2011, 23, 2149-2180.
- 38. J. Kao, P. Bai, V. P. Chuang, Z. Jiang, P. Ercius and T. Xu, Nano Lett., 2012, 12, 2610-2618.
- 39. J. Kao, P. Bai, J. M. Lucas, A. P. Alivisatos and T. Xu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 1680-1683.
- 40. Y. Zhao, K. Thorkelsson, A. J. Mastroianni, T. Schilling, J. M. Luther, B. J. Rancatore, K. Matsunaga, H. Jinnai, Y. Wu, D. Poulsen, J. M. J. Frechet, A. P. Alivisatos and T. Xu, *Nat. Mater.*, 2009, 8, 979-985.
- 41. E. Huang, S. Pruzinsky, T. P. Russell, J. Mays and C. J. Hawker, Macromolecules, 1999, 32, 5299-5303.
- 42. E. Huang, T. P. Russell, C. Harrison, P. M. Chaikin, R. A. Register, C. J. Hawker and J. Mays, *Macromolecules*, 1998, 31, 7641-7650.
- W.-H. Huang, P.-Y. Chen and S.-H. Tung, *Macromolecules*, 2012, 45, 1562-1569.

Journal Name

Journal Name

- 44. P. Bai, M. I. Kim and T. Xu, *Macromolecules*, 2013, 46, 5531-5537.
- 45. H. Yu and T. Kobayashi, *Molecules*, 2010, 15, 570-603.
- 46. M. Gopinadhan, P. W. Majewski, E. S. Beach and C. O. Osuji, *Acs Macro Letters*, 2012, 1, 184-189.
- 47. X. Zhang, Y. Feng, P. Lv, Y. Shen and W. Feng, Langmuir, 26, 18508-18511.
- 48. J.-K. Lee, S. Yamazaki, H. Yun, J. Park, G. P. Kennedy, G.-T. Kim, O. Pietzsch, R. Wiesendanger, S. Lee, S. Hong, U. Dettlaff-Weglikowska and S. Roth, *Nano Lett.*, 13, 3494-3500.
- 49. K. Thorkelsson, A. J. Mastroianni, P. Ercius and T. Xu, *Nano Lett.*, 12, 498-504.

For Table of Contents

Nanostructures on Graphene using Supramolecule and Supramolecular Nanocomposites

Peter Bai^{*a*}, Joseph Kao^{*a*}, Jian-Hao Chen^{*b*^{*t*}}, William Mickelson^{*b*}, Alex Zettl^{*b*,*c*}, Ting Xu^{**a*,*c*,*d*}

Block copolymer and nanoparticle structures can be generated on graphene substrates in one step using a supramolecular nanocomposite approach.

