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Abstract

Three D-π-A porphyrin sensitizers attached with different electronegative moieties (2,4,6-triphenyl-1,3,5-triazine, carbazole and triphenylamine) at the meso-position were designed and synthesized for monolithic dye-sensitized solar cells based on mesoscopic carbon counter electrodes. The effects of these different electronegative moieties on the photophysical, electrochemical and accordingly photovoltaic performance of the corresponding devices were investigated systematically. Electrochemical measurement indicates that the HOMO and LUMO energy levels could be tuned through introducing different electronegative groups onto the backbone of D-π-A porphyrin molecules. Current–voltage characteristics indicate that the \( J_{sc} \) and \( V_{oc} \) of the DSSCs based on WH-C3, WH-C4 and WH-C5 increase as electron-donating ability of their donors enhance in the order of WH-C3 < WH-C4 < WH-C5 and WH-C5-sensitized cell showed the best photovoltaic performance: a short circuit photocurrent density (\( J_{sc} \)) of 11.43 mA cm\(^{-2}\), an open
circuit voltage ($V_{oc}$) of 633.84 mV, and a fill factor ($FF$) of 0.69, corresponding to an overall conversion efficiency ($\eta$) of 5.00%.
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1. Introduction

With the depletion of fossil fuels and exacerbation of pollution problems, dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs) as a promising photovoltaic device have attracted extensive attention owing to their high power conversion efficiency, ease of fabrication and potential low cost compared with traditional silicon-based solar cells.\(^1\)-\(^5\) It is well known that DSSCs are principally composed of dye-sensitized working electrodes (WE), electrolytes, and counter electrodes (CEs), where the dyes play a crucial role in determining the power conversion efficiencies (PCEs) of the devices. In the past two decades, Rupolypyridyl sensitizers with PCEs of over 11%\(^6\)-\(^9\) have been demonstrated to be very efficient due to their broad absorption spectrum through metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT), longer exciton lifetime, and long-term chemical stability\(^2\),\(^5\),\(^10\). However, several drawbacks, which include the high cost of noble metal ruthenium, the requirement for careful synthesis, tricky purification steps and low molar extinction coefficient\(^10\)-\(^12\), and so on, restrict Rupolypyridyl sensitized DSSCs towards commercial viability. Seeking alternative sensetizers seems to be a solution to these problems, which is an intensive research
topic of high priority.

As a promising substitute for the Rupolypyridyl dye in DSSCs, porphyrins have been widely investigated because of their low cost, high molar extinction coefficients and structural diversity.\(^{13-19}\) Great progress has been made in the past few years and a record efficiency as high as 12.3\% has been achieved by molecular engineering methodology based on cosensitization of porphyrin\(\text{YD2-o-C8}\) with organic dye \(\text{Y123}\) and a Cobalt (II/III) redox electrolyte.\(^{20}\) Additionally, the solar cell cosensitized by organic dye \(\text{C1}\) and the porphyrin \(\text{XW4}\) with an extended conjugation framework and a carbazole donor exhibited a high PCE of 10.45\% with an improved current density \((J_{sc})\) and an open-circuit voltage \((V_{oc})\) values.\(^{21}\) Recently, through inserting a benzothiadiazole unit between the porphyrin ring and benzoic acid accepter, an structure-optimized dye \(\text{SM315}\) was obtained and produced a world record efficiency of 13\% in the field of DSSCs.\(^{22}\)

As similar as the structures of the most organic dyes, the porphyrin dyes are designed according to basic structures of Donor-\(\pi\)-conjugated bridge-Acceptor (simplified as D-\(\pi\)-A) which are beneficial to intramolecular electron transportation. In case of organic dyes, the donor is of great importance in determining the photovoltaic performance of DSSCs through influencing the photophysical and electrochemical properties of the sensitizers. Hence, various kinds of organic groups, such as triphenylamine,\(^{23}\) carbazole,\(^{25}\) coumarin,\(^{27}\) indoline,\(^{29}\) phenothiazine,\(^{31-33}\) and so on, have been extensively applied as donors in organic dyes for DSSCs over the past two decades. On the contrary, literature investigating the
influence of different donors on the photovoltaic performance of porphyrin-sensitized DSSCs was limited.\textsuperscript{34}

In order to improve further the performance of porphyrin sensitized solar cells, more insight should be devoted into the structure-property relationships of this kind of dye. Herein, we designed and synthesized a serials of porphyrin dyes through incorporating different electronegative organic moieties (2,4,6-triphenyl-1,3,5-triazine, triphenylamine and carbazole) onto the dye molecule. Accordingly, the influence of different donors on the photophysical and electrochemical characteristics of porphyrin sensitizers were systematically investigated. It was found that different electronegative organic moieties significantly influence the HOMO, LUMO levels and molar absorption ability of porphyrin molecules. To further scrutinize the relationship between the electronegativity of organic moieties and the photovoltaic performance of DSSCs sensitized by the corresponding porphyrin dyes, a fully printable low-cost monolithic architecture with mesoscopic carbon counter electrodes was utilized to fabricate the complete DSSC devices. Thereinto, utilizing the porphyrin dye molecule (coded as WH-C5) attached with triphenylamine as donor afforded DSCs exhibiting a open circuit voltage ($V_{oc}$) of 633.84 mV, short-circuit current density ($J_{sc}$) of 11.43 mA cm$^{-2}$, fill factor (FF) of 0.69 and the best PCE of 5%.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Synthesis

In the present work, three porphyrin dyes with different electronegative moieties (2,4,6-triphenyl-1,3,5-triazine, carbazole and triphenylamine) at the meso-position
opposite to the anchoring benzoic acid group were designed and synthesized for monolithic DSSCs based on carbon/graphite counter electrodes, coded as WH-C3, WH-C4 and WH-C5, respectively. The structures of the dyes and the synthetic scheme are shown in Fig. 1 and in Scheme 1, respectively. The detailed synthetic procedures are presented in the experimental section. The molecular structures of all target porphyrins and intermediates were confirmed by $^1$H NMR, $^{13}$C NMR and APCI/ESI-MS, which are given in the experimental section.

Fig. 1 Molecular structures of sensitizers in this study.
Scheme 1. Synthetic route of WH-C3, WH-C4 and WH-C5. Reaction conditions: a) KI, KIO₃, CH₃COOH, 118 °C; b) CuI, NaI, ethylenediamine, dioxane, 110 °C, 24 h; c) bromoethane, KOH, DMF; d) HCHO, CF₃COOH, RT, 15 min; e) 1-Bromoocetane, K₂CO₃, acetone, 60 °C, 4 d; f) (i) n-butyl lithium, TMEDA, THF, 0 °C, 3 h; (ii) DMF, RT, 2 h; g) (i) 5, CF₃COOH, CH₂Cl₂, RT, 4 h; (ii) DDQ, 1 h; h) NBS, pyridine, CHCl₃, 0 °C, 0.5 h; i) Zn(OAc)₂·2H₂O, Methanol/CH₂Cl₂, RT, 3 h; j) (triisopropylsilyl)acetylene, Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂, CuI, triethylamine, THF, 65 °C, 6 h; k) (i) TBAF in THF, THF, RT, 0.5 h; (ii) 1/2/4, 4-Iodobenzoic acid, Pd₂(dba)₃, AsPh₃, triethylamine, THF, 65 °C, 5-8 h.
2.2. Optical properties

The UV-vis absorption spectra of WH-C3, WH-C4 and WH-C5 in THF solution are depicted in Fig. 2. The corresponding peak positions and molar absorption coefficients (ε) of Soret and Q bands are listed in Table 1. As shown in Fig. 2, the three sensitizers exhibit typical porphyrin absorption characteristics with a strong Soret band appearing in the range of 400-500nm and mild Q bands appearing in the longer wavelength range of 600-700nm, which is attributed to π-π* charge transfer transitions of the conjugated molecule and intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) transitions of the D-π-A conjugated backbone.35 According to the absorption data in Table 1, it is observed obviously that the Soret band and Q band peak positions for WH-C4 and WH-C5 are red-shifted in comparison with WH-C3, which may be ascribed to stronger electron-donating ability of carbazole and triphenylamine corresponding to the band gap (E_{0-0}) of three porphyrin dyes. Compared with WH-C3 and WH-C5, it is clear that the maximum molar extinction coefficients of Soret band of WH-C4 is the highest reaching 444300 M⁻¹cm⁻¹ which is roughly double that of WH-C5. Meanwhile, Fig. 3 presents the UV-visible absorption spectra of the sensitizers adsorbed on TiO₂ films. In comparison with the absorption spectra in solution, the trend of the Soret and Q bands in the absorption spectra is similar to when the sensitizers are adsorbed on TiO₂ films. Nevertheless, the Soret and Q absorption bands are broadened and red-shifted conspicuously. This phenomenon is proposed to result from the formation of J-aggregation.13, 27, 36 Additionally, the fluorescent emission spectra were measured in THF and are shown in Fig. 4. For the
fluorescence spectra, major emission bands are observed at 668, 671 and 673 nm for WH-C3, WH-C4 and WH-C5, respectively, which are similar to the trend of the Soret band absorption spectra. Therefore, it can be concluded that porphyrin dye molecules with different kinds of donors possess significantly different optical properties.

**Fig. 2** UV-visible absorption spectra of sensitizers in THF solvent.

**Fig. 3** UV-visible absorption spectra of the sensitizers adsorbed on TiO2 films.
Fig. 4 Emission spectra of sensitizers in THF solvent.

Table 1. Photophysical and electrochemical properties of the sensitizers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dye</th>
<th>$\lambda_{\text{max}}$ a/nm</th>
<th>Emission $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ a/nm</th>
<th>$E_{\text{ox}}$ b/V (vs. NHE)</th>
<th>$E_{0-0}$ c/V (vs. NHE)</th>
<th>$E_{\text{LUMO}}$ d/V (vs. NHE)</th>
<th>Dye loading e/10$^{-7}$ mol/cm$^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WH-C3</td>
<td>453(26.35),660(4.21)</td>
<td>668</td>
<td>0.956</td>
<td>1.985</td>
<td>-1.029</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WH-C4</td>
<td>456(44.43),660(7.59)</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>0.861</td>
<td>1.920</td>
<td>-1.059</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WH-C5</td>
<td>457(22.31),661(4.39)</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>0.660</td>
<td>1.915</td>
<td>-1.255</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Absorption and emission data were measured in THF at 25 °C. Excitation wavelengths: 453 nm (WH-C3), 456 nm (WH-C4), 457nm (WH-C5).

b The first porphyrin-ring oxidation was performed at 25 °C with each porphyrin (0.5 mM) in THF containing TBAPF$_6$(0.1M) under N$_2$ condition with a GC working electrode, a Pt counter electrode, and a Ag/AgCl reference electrode with a scan rate of 50 mV s$^{-1}$.

c $E_{0-0}$ was estimated from the intersection wavelengths of the normalized UV/Vis absorption and fluorescence spectra.

d $E_{\text{LUMO}} = E_{\text{ox}} - E_{0-0}$.

e The amount of porphyrin dyes were carried out through the desorption of a 5 um thick TiO$_2$ film sensitized by
dye in the 0.05 M solution of NaOH in THF/EtOH/H2O(v/v/v, 1:1:1) for 2 days.

2.3. Electrochemical properties

In order to investigate the feasibility of electron injection and dye regeneration processes in DSSCs, Cyclic voltammetry measurements for the three dyes were performed in water-free THF containing 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (TBAPF6) as the supporting electrolyte at room temperature and cyclic voltammograms are presented in Fig. 5. It is observed that the electrochemical data for the three dyes displays quasi-reversible couples. The highest-occupied molecular orbitals (HOMOs) of dyes corresponding to the first redox potential were calculated to be 0.956, 0.861 and 0.660V for WH-C3, WH-C4 and WH-C5, respectively. Practically, it was confirmed that η_inj with unit efficiency requires approximately 0.2 V of over-potential (-ΔG) between the TiO2 conduction band (CB) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) level of the dye, and 0.3 V of -ΔG between the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) level of the dye and redox potential of the electrolyte, to create a sufficient driving forces for the electron injection from the dye to the CB of TiO2 and the regeneration of oxidized dye.5,37 It is observed that the HOMO levels of the three porphyrin dyes are sufficiently more positive than the iodine/iodide redox potential value (0.4 V vs. NHE),38 guaranteeing the efficient regeneration of oxidized dye through I- presented in the electrolyte of the DSSCs. The zero–zero excitation energies (E_{0,0}) were calculated to be 1.985V (WH-C3), 1.920V (WH-C4) and 1.915V (WH-C5) by the intersection of the normalized UV-vis absorption spectrum and steady-state fluorescence emission
spectrum. The lowest-unoccupied molecular orbitals (LUMOs) levels of all porphyrin sensitizers were determined according to the expression of LUMO = HOMO - E_{0-0} and the corresponding level values are summarized in Table 1. Obviously, the LUMO levels of all dyes are sufficiently more negative compared with the conduction band edge level (E_{cb}) of the TiO₂ electrode (-0.5 V vs. NHE), which means that electron injection from the excited dye into the conduction band of TiO₂ is thermodynamically feasible. Therefore, the three dyes are properly used as sensitizers for DSSCs.

![Cyclic voltammograms of sensitizers in THF at a scan rate of 50 mV/s at room temperature with 0.1 M tetra-n-butylammoniumhexafluorophosphate (TBAPF₆) as the supporting electrolyte. GC working electrode, Pt wire counter electrode, and Ag/AgCl reference electrode were used.](image)

**Fig. 5** Cyclic voltammograms of sensitizers in THF at a scan rate of 50 mV/s at room temperature with 0.1 M tetra-n-butylammoniumhexafluorophosphate (TBAPF₆) as the supporting electrolyte. GC working electrode, Pt wire counter electrode, and Ag/AgCl reference electrode were used.

2.4. Photovoltaic performance of DSSCs

In this work, we synthesized three porphyrin dyes with the incorporation of three different donors to investigate the effect of different donors on photovoltaic performance of monolithic dye-sensitized solar cells with mesoscopic carbon counter
electrodes. Compared with the conventional DSSC, this monolithic DSSC permits printing paste layer by layer on a single FTO glass substrate by screen-printing technique, which makes large scale commercial production possible. Furthermore, carbon black/graphite composite used as counter electrodes in this study is an abundantly available and low-cost material compared with high cost metallic CE, which have been applied successfully in DSSCs.39-41

Monochromatic incident photon-to-current conversion efficiency (IPCE) for DSSCs is expressed as follows:5, 42

$$\text{IPCE}(\lambda) = \eta_{\text{LHE}}\eta_{\text{inj}}\eta_{\text{cc}}$$

Where $\eta_{\text{LHE}}$ is the light harvesting efficiency, $\eta_{\text{inj}}$ is the electron injection yield from the photo-excited dye into TiO$_2$, and $\eta_{\text{cc}}$ is the charge collection efficiency at the electrodes. Among them, $\eta_{\text{LHE}}$ and $\eta_{\text{inj}}$ contribute to the improvement of IPCE greatly. In the past, it was demonstrated that the molecular structures of sensitzers have a significant influence on the photovoltaic performance of $\eta_{\text{LHE}}$ and $\eta_{\text{inj}}$ through influencing their Photophysical and electrochemical properties. The IPCEs for DSSCs based on the three dyes are presented in Fig. 6. As shown in Fig. 6, it is observed obviously that two peaks are located in the range of 400-500nm and 600-700nm, respectively, which is consistent with UV-visible absorption spectra of the three dyes.
Fig. 6 Action spectra of monochromatic incident photon-to-current conversion efficiency (IPCE) for DSSCs based on WH-C3, WH-C4 and WH-C5. Black: WH-C3, red: WH-C4, and blue: WH-C5.

It is clear that WH-C4 and WH-C5 possess two nearly strong IPCEs values corresponding to Soret and Q absorption bands. In contrast, the maximum IPCE value of the Soret band of WH-C3 is approximately three times as large as that of Q absorption band. It was explained by the fact that the introduction of strong electron-withdrawing 2,4,6-triphenyl-1,3,5-triazine is unfavourable to intramolecular charge transfer from HOMO to LUMO. As a matter of fact, the conclusion was demonstrated through utilizing a similar acceptor-\(\pi\)-donor-\(\pi\)-acceptor structure. LW12 and LW13 with the symmetrical acceptor-\(\pi\)-donor-\(\pi\)-acceptor structure showed inferior power conversion efficiencies compared to LD14 with D-\(\pi\)-A structure.\(^{43}\) Compared with WH-C3, the maximum IPCEs of Soret bands of WH-C4 and WH-C5 dye were increased by 291% and 229%, respectively. Additionally, although WH-C5 dye has the weakest absorption at Soret and Q absorption bands in comparison with WH-C3 and WH-C4, the DSSCs based on WH-C5 exhibit optimal
IPCE. It may be caused by the higher $\eta_{inj}$ resulting from a higher LUMO level.

The current density-voltage (I-V) characteristic of the DSSCs sensitized by WH-C3, WH-C4 and WH-C5 is displayed in Fig. 7 with the corresponding detailed photovoltaic performance parameters listed in Table 2. Under standard global air mass 1.5 solar conditions, the WH-C3 sensitized cell gave a short circuit photocurrent density ($J_{sc}$) of 2.50 mA cm$^{-2}$, an open circuit voltage ($V_{oc}$) of 514.57 mV, and a fill factor ($FF$) of 0.75, corresponding to an overall conversion efficiency ($\eta$) of 0.97%. The low $J_{sc}$ of WH-C3 might be attributed to the following three reasons: Firstly, the low dye absorbed amount for WH-C3 should be mainly responsible for the low IPCE and $J_{sc}$. Secondly, the WH-C3 molecule with planar 2,4,6-triphenyl-1,3,5-triazine moiety is liable to aggregate and form self-quenching, leading to the low $\eta_{LHE}$ and IPCE. Finally, acceptor- $\pi$-donor- $\pi$-acceptor structure of WH-C3 with electron-withdrawing groups on both sides of porphyrin ring was thought to be detrimental to intermolecular charge transfer and eventually influenced electron injection efficiency, which resulted in low IPCE and short circuit current. Actually, the fact that D- $\pi$-A structure is superior to A- $\pi$-A structure in porphyrin dye molecules has been demonstrated through theoretical calculations at the density functional B3LYP level.$^{44,45}$ Under the same conditions, the DSSCs for WH-C4 and WH-C5 with incorporation of carbazole and triphenylamine as additional donor at the meso-position opposite to the anchoring benzoic acid group showed $J_{sc}$ of 10.07 and 11.43 mA cm$^{-2}$, $V_{oc}$ of 609.81 and 633.84 mV, and $FF$ of 0.72 and 0.69, corresponding to $\eta$ of 4.40% and 5.00%, respectively. Apparently, $J_{sc}$ of DSSCs based on the three
sensitizers increases with electron-donating ability of groups suspending at the meso-position opposite to anchoring group in the order of WH-C3 < WH-C4 < WH-C5, which is consistent with the trend of IPCEs.\textsuperscript{46} In comparison with WH-C3, the $J_{sc}$ of WH-C4 and WH-C5-sensitized solar cells is enhanced by 303\% and 357\%, respectively. So it has been well documented that the incorporation of electron-donating donor is beneficial to intramolecular charge transfer and improves the short circuit current dramatically. At the same time, the higher dye loading amount of WH-C4 and WH-C5 could be another cause of their higher $J_{sc}$. In addition, as seen from Table 2 and Fig. 7, the $V_{oc}$ of the devices sensitized by the three porphyrin dyes follows the order: $V_{oc}(\text{WH-C5}) > V_{oc}(\text{WH-C4}) > V_{oc}(\text{WH-C3})$.

![Graph](image)

**Fig. 7** Current-voltage characteristics of DSSCs sensitized with WH-C3, WH-C4 and WH-C5 under AM 1.5 G simulated solar light (100 mW cm$^{-2}$). Black: WH-C3, red: WH-C4, and blue: WH-C5.
Table 2. The photovoltaic performance parameters of the DSSCs employing WH-C3, WH-C4 and WH-C5 under AM 1.5 G simulated solar light (100 mW cm\(^{-2}\)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dye</th>
<th>(V_{oc}/\text{mV})</th>
<th>(J_{sc}/\text{mA cm}^{-2})</th>
<th>(FF)</th>
<th>(\eta/%)</th>
<th>(R_{scr}/\Omega)</th>
<th>(\tau_e/\text{ms})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WH-C3</td>
<td>514.57</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>14.26</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WH-C4</td>
<td>609.81</td>
<td>10.07</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>17.75</td>
<td>27.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WH-C5</td>
<td>633.84</td>
<td>11.43</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>39.41</td>
<td>37.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the perspective of molecular structure, the different \(V_{oc}\) may be ascribed to vast differences between donor structures. Planar 2,4,6-triphenyl-1,3,5-triazine in WH-C3 not only easily aggregates, but also weakly suppresses charge recombination between the electron injected into the conduction band of TiO\(_2\) and I\(_3^-\) at the vicinity of TiO\(_2\). By contrast, carbazole and triphenylamine have a larger steric hindrance, preventing electron recombination which results in their higher \(V_{oc}\).

2.5. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS)

To further investigate and elucidate the photovoltaic results and obtain more interfacial charge transfer information of the DSSC with the different dyes, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was also performed in the dark under a forward bias of -0.6V. The Nyquist and Bode plots for WH-C3, WH-C4 and WH-C5 are shown in Fig. 8. From the Nyquist plots (Fig. 8(a)), in the high frequency range over \(10^6\) Hz, the impedance is dominated by the ohmic serial resistance (\(R_s\)) of the dummy cell owing to the square resistance of FTO glass substrate, where the phase is zero.\(^5\)\(^,\)\(^40\) As seen in Fig. 8(a), the first semicircle is assigned to impedances related to charge transport at the electrolyte/counter electrode interface. In the middle
frequency range, the large semicircle is ascribed to the electron recombination resistance \(R_{\text{rec}}\) and chemical capacitance at the working electrode /electrolyte.\(^{47,48}\) It is obvious that the semicircle based on different dyes is in the order of WH-C3 < WH-C4 < WH-C5, indicating that the electron recombination resistance augments from WH-C3 to WH-C5.\(^5\) It is well known that the photovoltage of a DSSC is intrinsically determined by the potential difference between the quasi Fermi level of \(\text{TiO}_2\) and the redox potential of the electrolyte.\(^{49}\) As a matter of fact, the \(V_{\text{oc}}\) can be affected by following two factors: a) the shift of the \(\text{TiO}_2\) conduction band edge; b) the degree of electron recombination reaction.\(^{49}\) Based on the above conclusions, the order of the \(V_{\text{oc}}(\text{WH-C3} < \text{WH-C4} < \text{WH-C5})\) could be attributed to different electron recombination resistances caused by different donors. The larger the semicircle is, the slower the recombination kinetics is. It is observed that the WH-C5 with classical triphenylamine donor presented the highest recombination resistance. In the Bode phase plots Fig. 8(b), the middle-frequency peak stands for the charge-transfer process of injected electrons in \(\text{TiO}_2\) corresponding to the first semicircle in Nyquist plots. The Bode phase plots likewise demonstrate the differences in the \(R_{\text{rec}}\). Electron lifetime calculated through the relation \(\tau_e = 1/(2\pi f)\) (\(f\) is the peak frequency of middle-frequency range in EIS Bode plot) \(^{50}\) can effectively explain the higher \(V_{\text{oc}}\) of WH-C5-sensitized solar cells compared with that of WH-C3 and WH-C4. Electron lifetime \(\tau_e\) was determined to be 2.04, 27.99 and 37.97 ms, for WH-C3, WH-C4 and WH-C5, respectively. The higher electron lifetime \(\tau_e\) observed from WH-C5 indicated more effective repress of the charge
recombination back reaction, which could be reflected in the improvements of $V_{oc}$, resulting in improved device efficiency. To summarise, it has been demonstrated that the incorporation of triphenylamine donor can act as blocking layer through suppression of recombination reaction between the electron injected into the conduction band of TiO$_2$ and I$_3^-$ at the vicinity of TiO$_2$.

**Figure 8.** Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) for DSSCs based on the sensitizers. (a) Nyquist plots; (b) Bode phase plots in the dark under a forward bias of -0.6 V.

**3. Conclusions**

In conclusion, three push-pull porphyrins with different electron-donating ability donors were designed and synthesized for monolithic dye-sensitized solar cells based on carbon/graphite counter electrodes. We have systematically investigated the effect of different electron-donating ability organic groups on the photovoltaic performance of the porphyrin-sensitized solar cells through photophysical, electrochemical, photocurrent-voltage curve, monochromatic incident photon-to-current conversion efficiency curve and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy characterization.
methods. The open circuit voltage and short circuit photocurrent density of the devices sensitized by the three porphyrin dyes follows the order: WH-C5 > WH-C4 > WH-C3. Under standard global air mass 1.5 solar conditions, the highest power conversion efficiency was achieved by the WH-C5 sensitized solar cell, which gave a short circuit photocurrent density ($J_{sc}$) of 11.43 mA cm$^{-2}$, an open circuit voltage ($V_{oc}$) of 633.84 mV, and a fill factor (ff) of 0.69, corresponding to an overall conversion efficiency ($\eta$) of 5.00%. In a word, it has been demonstrated that the incorporation of different donors can have a profound impact on the photovoltaic performance of the porphyrin-sensitized solar cells. The present study could provide guidance role for the rational design of highly efficient porphyrin sensitizers.

4. Experimental

4.1. Materials

All reagents and solvents were obtained from commercial sources and used without further purification unless otherwise noted. THF and toluene was dried over sodium/benzophenone and freshly distilled before use. DMF used for formylation was dried over enough P$_2$O$_5$ for several hours and distilled under reduced pressure. Column chromatography of all the products was performed on silica gel (Kanto, Silica Gel 60N, spherical, 200–300 mesh), and some were further purified by recrystallization.

4.2. Preparation of platinized carbon paste

Platinized carbon paste was prepared as described elsewhere.$^{40}$ 2 g carbon black powders (particle size: 30 nm) and H$_2$PtCl$_6$ (Sigma) with a predetermined Pt/carbon
black weight ratio were added into 20 ml isopropanol solution (Sigma) under continue stirring for 30 min, and then the paste was sintered for 30 min at 380 °C in furnace to thermally deposit the Pt nanoparticles on the surface of carbon black, followed by mixing with graphite powders (particle size: 1.3 μm) with a predetermined graphite/carbon black weight ratio. Finally, 1 g of 20 nm ZrO₂ nanopowders (Sigma) and 1 g of hydroxypropyl cellulose (Sigma) were added into a 30 ml terpineol solution. The platinized carbon material paste was obtained after stirring vigorously using ball milling for 2 h.

4.3. Synthesis

4-iodo-N,N-diphenylaniline (1)

Compound 1 was prepared according to literature procedure.⁵¹,⁵² To the solution of triphenylamine (1g, 4mmol) in 100mL HOAc were KI(0.332g, 2mmol) and KIO₃(0.428g, 2mmol) and was refluxed under dinitrogen for 14 h. The progress of the reaction was monitored with TLC. After finishing reacting, saturated NaHSO₃(aq) were added slowly into the reaction flask until the reaction solution turned from reddish brown to colourless. After extraction with DCM, The combined extracts were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel) using petroleum ether as eluent to give a white solid 1(1.128g, 76%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 600MHz) δ_H 7.49 (d, J = 8.82Hz, 2H), 7.25 (t, J = 7.89Hz, 4H), 7.07 (d, J = 7.62Hz, 4H), 7.03 (t, J = 7.35Hz, 2H), 6.82 (d, J = 8.76Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz) δ_C 147.3, 138.0, 129.4, 129.2, 125.3, 124.2, 123.3. APCI-MS: m/z calcd for C₁₈H₁₄IN 371,
found 371 [M]+.

2-(4-iodophenyl)-4,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-triazine (2)

Compound 2 was prepared according to literature procedure. A Schlenk tube was charged with CuI (11 mg, 0.058 mmol, 5.0 mol%), 2-(4-bromophenyl)-4,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-triazine (450 mg, 1.159 mmol), NaI (347 mg, 2.318 mmol), briefly evacuated and backfilled with argon. Ethylenediamine (8 µL, 0.116 mmol, 10 mol%) and dioxane (2.0 mL) were added under argon. The Schlenk tube was sealed with a Teflon valve and the reaction mixture was stirred at 110 °C for 24 h. The resulting suspension was allowed to reach room temperature, diluted with 30% aq ammonia (5 mL), poured into water (20 mL), and extracted with dichloromethane (3×20 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over Na2SO4 and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel) using petroleum ether as eluent to give a white solid 2 (356 mg, 70.6%). 1H NMR (CDCl3, 600 MHz) δH 8.77 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 4H), 8.65 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.72 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.65 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.60 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 4H). 13C NMR (CDCl3, 600 MHz) δC 171.7, 170.8, 136.0, 135.2, 132.7, 131.9, 130.5, 129.0, 128.7, 127.5. APCI-MS: m/z calcd for C21H14IN3 435, found 435 [M]+.

9-ethyl-9H-carbazole (3)

Compound 3 was prepared according to modified conditions of literature procedure. Potassium hydroxide (42 g, 750 mmol) was dissolved in DMF (200 mL) for 20 min and then carbazole (19.8 g, 120 mmol) was added into the above solution and stirred for 40 min. Subsequently, bromoethane (19.62 g, 180 mmol) in 50 mL DMF
was stepwise added into the above solution and stirred overnight at RT. After being poured into 1L deionized water, the white precipitate appeared, stilled for 30 min, filtered and washed several times with cool water. The resulting precipitate was subjected to recrystallization from ethanol, giving white solid (21.36 g, 92.4%).

$^1$HNMR (CDCl$_3$, 400MHz) δ$_H$ 8.06 (d, $J = 7.76$ Hz, 2H), 7.42 (t, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.33(d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.19 (t, $J = 7.88$ Hz, 2H), 4.25 (q, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 1.34 (t, $J = 7.24$ Hz, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz) δ$_C$ 140.1, 125.7, 123.1, 120.5, 118.9, 108.5, 37.6, 13.9. APCI-MS: m/z calcd for C$_{14}$H$_{13}$N 195, found 195 [M]$^+$. 

3-iodo-9-ethyl-9H-carbazole (4)

A procedure similar to 1 was employed for the synthesis of 4 (1.137g, 70.8%) from 3 (1g, 5mmol). $^1$HNMR (CDCl$_3$, 600MHz) δ$_H$ 8.40 (s, 1H), 8.04 (d, $J = 7.74$ Hz, 1H), 7.71 (d, $J = 8.52$ Hz, 1H), 7.49 (t, $J = 7.68$ Hz, 1H), 7.40 (d, $J = 8.22$ Hz, 1H), 7.24 (d, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.20 (d, $J = 8.52$ Hz, 1H), 4.34 (q, $J = 7.26$ Hz, 2H), 1.41 (t, $J = 7.29$ Hz, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 600 MHz) δ$_C$ 139.9, 139.0, 133.8, 129.3, 126.4, 125.5, 121.7, 120.6, 119.3, 110.5, 108.7, 81.2, 37.6, 13.8. APCI-MS: m/z calcd for C$_{14}$H$_{12}$IN 321, found 321 [M]$^+$. 

dipyrromethane (5)

Compound 5 was prepared by adapting the literature procedure. Solid paraformaldehyde (3g, 90mmol) was added to pyrrole (150 mL, 2.16mol) and the solution degassed by stirring under reduced pressure and flushing with N$_2$. TFA (0.81 mL, 10.9 mmol) was added with vigorous stirring, and the reaction was allowed to proceed for 15 min at room temperature before NaOH (0.2M×100 mL). The product
was extracted by DCM and washed with water (3×100 mL). The combined extracts were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄. After removal of DCM under diminished pressure, excess pyrrole was distilled out under reduced pressure. And then the crude product was purified by column chromatography eluted with ethyl acetate and petroleum ether mixture, giving the product as a colourless crystalline solid (6.97g, 53%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δH 7.54 (br. s, 7.54), 7.19 (s, 2H), 6.54 (m, 2H), 6.13 (m, 2H), 6.01 (m, 2H), 3.87 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δC 129.2, 117.5, 108.3, 106.6, 26.4. APCI-MS: m/z calcd for C₉H₁₀N₂ 146, found 146 [M]+.

1,3-Dioctoxybenzene (6)

Compound 6 was prepared according to literature procedure.²⁰ A mixture of resorcinol (11 g, 0.1 mol), 1-bromooctane (69.6mL, 0.4mol) and K₂CO₃ (69g, 0.5mol) was refluxed for 4 days in dry acetone (500mL). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and extracted with EtOAc (3×100mL). The combined extracts were washed with water and dried over anhydrous MgSO₄. After removal of solvent under reduced pressure, the product was purified by column chromatography eluting with hexanes to give 1,3-di(octyloxy)benzene (26.5g, 79%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δH 7.13 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.46 (m, 3H), 3.92 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 4H), 1.79-1.72 (m, 4H), 1.47-1.40 (m, 4H), 1.35-1.23 (m, 16H), 0.88 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δC 160.4, 129.7, 106.7, 101.5, 68.0, 31.8, 29.4, 29.3, 29.2, 26.1, 22.7, 14.1. APCI-MS: m/z calcd for C₂₂H₃₈O₂ 335, found 336 [M+1]⁺.

2,6-Dioctoxybenzenaldehyde (7)

Compound 7 was prepared according to literature procedure.²⁰ A three-neck flask
was equipped with an additional funnel and charged with compound 9 (10 g, 0.03 mol) and tetramethylethlenediamine (TMEDA) (1.15 mL) in 84 mL of tetrahydrofuran. The solution was degassed with dinitrogen for 15 min and cooled to 0°C, and then n-butyllithium (22.4 mL, 1.6 M solution in hexanes 0.036 mol) was added dropwise over 20 min and allowed to stir for 3 h. After warming to room temperature, dimethylformamide (DMF) (4.38 mL, 0.06 mol) was added dropwise, and the reaction was stirred for an additional 2 h. The reaction was quenched with water, and the mixture was extracted with ether (3×80 mL), dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The product was recrystallized from hexanes to yield a white solid (8.67 g, 80% yield). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δH 10.54 (s, 1H), 7.37 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (d, J = 8.5 Hz 2H), 4.01 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 4H), 1.85-1.78 (m, 4H), 1.50-1.43 (m, 4H), 1.36-1.28 (m, 16H), 0.88 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δC 189.2, 161.7, 135.5, 114.8, 104.5, 68.9, 31.8, 29.3, 29.2, 29.1, 26.0, 22.6, 14.1. APCI-MS: m/z calcd for C₂₃H₃₈O₃ 362, found 363 [M+1]+.

5,15-Bis(2,6-dioctoxyphenyl)porphyrin (8)

Compound 8 was synthesized according to literature procedure.²⁰ To a degassed solution of dipyrromethane (6.04 g, 41.4 mmol) and compound 7 (15 g, 41.4 mmol) in DCM (5.4 L) was added trifluoroacetic acid (2.75 mL, 37.3 mmol). After the solution was stirred at 23 °C under dinitrogen for 4 h, DDQ (14.1 g, 62.1 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred for an additional 1 h. The mixture was basified with Et₃N (7 mL) and filtered through silica. The solvent was removed under reduced
pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel) using DCM/hexanes = 1/2 as eluent. The product was recrystallized from MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ to give the product (6.07 g, 30.1%) as a purple powder. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ_H 10.12 (s, 2H), 9.24 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 4H), 8.96 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 4H), 7.68 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.00 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 4H), 3.82 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 8H), 0.95-0.78 (m, 8H), 0.66-0.59 (m, 8H), 0.56-0.50 (m, 28H), 0.48-0.40 (m, 8H), -2.99 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ_C 160.2, 147.7, 145.0, 130.8, 130.4, 130.0, 120.1, 111.6, 105.4, 103.9, 68.8, 31.3, 28.6, 25.3, 22.3, 13.8. APCI-MS: m/z calcd for C₆₄H₆₆N₄O₄ 975, found 976 [M+1]+.

5,15-Dibromo-10,20-bis(2,6-dioctoxyphenyl)porphyrin (9)

Compound 9 was synthesized according to literature procedure.⁵⁶,⁵⁷ Compound 8 (4.836g, 4.96 mmol) was dissolved in chloroform (300mL) with pyridine (2.6mL). A solution of NBS (1.768g, 9.92 mmol) in chloroform (100mL) and pyridine (1.4 mL) was added dropwise at 0°C over 30min. The reaction was stirred for 15min and then quenched with acetone (10mL). The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel) using DCM/hexanes = 1/4(volume ratio) as eluent. The product was recrystallized from THF/MeOH to give the product (5.18g, 92.2%) as a purple powder. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ_H 9.50 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 4H), 8.78 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 4H), 7.69 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.97 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 4H), 3.83 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 8H), 0.98–0.91 (m, 8H), 0.84–0.76 (m, 8H), 0.69–0.56 (m, 8H), 0.53–0.48 (m, 28H), 0.46–0.37 (m, 8H), -2.59 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ_C 160.0, 151.5, 149.8, 132.9, 130.1, 120.8, 115.0,
105.2, 104.0, 68.6, 31.3, 28.6, 25.3, 22.2, 13.8. APCI-MS: m/z calcd for C₆₄H₈₂Br₂N₄O₄ 1133, found 1133 [M⁺].

[5,15-Dibromo-10,20-bis(2,6-di-octoxyphenyl)porphinato] zinc(II) (10)

Compound 10 was prepared under modified conditions of literature procedure.⁰⁰ A suspension of 9 (4g, 3.52 mmol) and Zn(OAc)₂•2H₂O (6.476g, 35.2mmol) in a mixture of DCM (400mL) and MeOH (200 mL) was stirred at RT overnight. The reaction was quenched with water (100 mL), and the mixture was extracted with DCM (2×100mL). The combined extracts were washed with water and dried over anhydrous MgSO₄. The solvent was removed under reduce pressure to give the product (3.92g, 93.1%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δH 9.62 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 4H), 8.88 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 4H), 7.69 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.99 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 4H), 3.83 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 8H), 0.97–0.91 (m, 8H), 0.86–0.74 (m, 8H), 0.61–0.54 (m, 8H), 0.53–0.41 (m, 28H), 0.39–0.32 (m, 8H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δC 159.9, 151.4, 149.7, 132.8, 130.0, 120.7, 114.9, 105.2, 103.9, 68.6, 31.3, 28.6, 25.2, 22.2, 13.8. APCI-MS: m/z calcd for C₆₄H₈₂Br₂N₄O₄Zn 1197, found 1197 [M+1]⁺.

[5,15-Bis(2,6-di-octoxyphenyl)-10,20-Bis[(triisopropylsilyl)ethyl]-porphinato] zinc(II) (11)

Compound 11 was prepared according to literature procedure.⁰⁰ A mixture of the zinc complex of 10 (0.910g, 0.81 mmol), (triisopropylsilyl)acetylene (0.906mL, 4.08 mmol), Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (0.220g, 0.32 mmol), CuI (0.094g, 0.48 mmol), THF (60 mL) and NEt₃ (10mL) was refluxed at 65°C for 4 h under dinitrogen. The solvent was removed under vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatography(silica...
gel) using DCM/hexanes = 1/20 to as eluent to give the product (0.97 g, 85.7%) as a purple solid. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz) $\delta_H$ 9.66 (d, $J = 4.6$ Hz, 4H), 8.86 (d, $J = 4.6$ Hz, 4H), 7.66 (t, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 6.98 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 4H), 3.81 (t, $J = 6.4$ Hz, 8H), 1.48–1.41 (m, 42H), 0.99–0.86 (m, 8H), 0.79–0.70 (m, 8H), 0.58–0.51 (m, 8H), 0.51–0.45 (m, 28H), 0.44–0.33 (m, 8H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz) $\delta_C$ 159.9, 152.0, 150.7, 131.7, 130.9, 130.8, 121.0, 114.9, 110.1, 105.4, 100.2, 96.5, 68.8, 31.2, 28.5, 25.2, 22.1, 19.1, 13.7, 11.9. APCI-MS: m/z calcd for C$_{86}$H$_{124}$N$_4$O$_4$Si$_2$Zn 1399, found 1400 [M+1]$^+$.  

**Compound WH-C3**  

Compound WH-C3 was prepared according to literature procedure.$^{56-58}$ To a solution of porphyrin 11 (98 mg, 0.07 mmol) in dry THF (20 mL) was added TBAF (1M in THF, 0.57 mL, 0.57 mmol). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 0.5 h. The mixture was concentrated and then extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$/H$_2$O. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous MgSO$_4$ and the solvent was removed under vacuum. The residue, 2-(4-iodophenyl)-4,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-triazine (33.5 mg, 0.077 mmol, 1.1 eq), and 4-iodobenzoic acid (17 mg, 0.07 mmol, 1.0 eq) were dissolved in a mixture of THF (20 mL) and Et$_3$N (4 mL) and degassed with N$_2$ for 10 min, and then Pd$_2$(dba)$_3$ (33 mg, 0.036 mmol) and AsPh$_3$ (88 mg, 0.29 mmol) were added to the mixture. The solution was refluxed for 5 h under N$_2$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified on a column chromatography (silica gel) using CH$_2$Cl$_2$/CH$_3$OH = 20/1 as eluent. Recrystallization from CH$_2$Cl$_2$/EtOH gave a green solid (32.8 mg, 31%). $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$/pyridine-d$_5$, 600 MHz) $\delta_H$ 9.61
(d, J = 4.4 Hz, 4H), 8.89 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 2H), 8.87 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H), 8.86 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 2H), 8.84 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 4H), 8.30 (dd, J = 3.8 Hz, 4H), 8.02 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 4H), 7.95 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.73 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.71 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.63 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 4H), 7.60 (d, J=6.4 Hz, 2H), 7.50 (t, J=7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.04 (t, J=8.6 Hz, 8H), 3.87 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 8H), 1.33–1.19 (m, 8H), 0.98–0.86 (m, 8H), 0.74–0.72 (m, 8H), 0.65–0.56 (m, 28H), 0.46–0.42 (m, 8H). 13C NMR (CDCl3/pyridine-d5, 400 MHz) δc 159.9, 151.5, 151.3, 150.7, 150.6, 150.5, 136.1, 132.5, 131.6, 131.5, 131.3, 131.0, 130.9, 130.3, 130.1, 129.9, 129.8, 129.7, 129.6, 129.0, 128.9, 128.6, 128.5, 127.8, 124.7, 123.4, 121.3, 121.2, 115.3, 115.0, 105.1, 99.7, 94.9, 94.7, 93.9, 68.5, 31.4, 29.2, 28.7, 28.6, 25.2, 22.3, 13.8. ESI-MS: m/z calcd for C96H101N7O6Zn: 1514; found 1514 [M]+.

**Compound WH-C4**

Compound **WH-C4** was synthesized from 3-iodo-9-ethyl-9H-carbazole (25mg, 0.077mmol, 1.1eq) according to the procedure as described above for synthesis of **WH-C3**, giving a green solid (37.6mg, 38.4%). 1H NMR (CDCl3/pyridine-d5, 600 MHz) δH 9.70 (d, J = 4.3 Hz, 2H), 9.60 (d, J = 4.3 Hz, 2H), 8.84 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 4H), 8.70 (s, 1H), 8.52 (s, 1H), 8.29 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 8.24 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.08 (d, J = 8.2Hz, 1H), 8.02 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.71 (t, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.53 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.46 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 4H), 4.43 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.87 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 8H), 1.49 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.32–1.21 (m, 8H), 0.99–0.86 (m, 8H), 0.77–0.69 (m, 8H), 0.63–0.57(m, 28H), 0.48-0.46 (m, 8H). 13C NMR (CDCl3/pyridine-d5, 600 MHz) δc 160.0, 151.7, 151.4, 150.6, 150.4, 140.4, 139.5,
131.7, 131.3, 130.9, 130.4, 130.0, 129.9, 129.6, 129.3, 126.0, 123.8, 123.4, 122.7, 121.5, 120.7, 119.3, 114.9, 108.7, 105.2, 101.1, 98.2, 97.0, 96.7, 68.5, 37.7, 31.4, 28.7, 28.6, 25.3, 22.3, 17.8, 13.9. ESI-MS: m/z calcd for C\(_{89}H_{99}N_5O_6\)Zn: 1400; found 1400 [M]\(^+\).

**Compound WH-C5**

Compound **WH-C5** was synthesized from 4-iodo-N,N-diphenylaniline (29mg, 0.077 mmol, 1.1eq) according to the procedure as described above for synthesis of **WH-C3**, giving a green solid (41 mg, 40.6%). \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl\(_3\)/pyridine-d\(_5\), 600 MHz) \(\delta_H\) 9.60 (dd, \(J = 4.4, 4.3\) Hz, 4H), 8.85 (d, \(J = 4.4\) Hz, 2H), 8.83 (d, \(J = 4.3\) Hz, 2H), 8.28 (d, \(J = 7.7\) Hz, 2H), 8.00 (d, \(J = 7.7\) Hz, 2H), 7.80 (d, \(J = 8.1\) Hz, 2H), 7.71 (t, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 2H), 7.31 (t, \(J = 7.5\) Hz, 4H), 7.24 (d, \(J = 5.8\) Hz, 2H), 7.20 (d, \(J = 7.7\) Hz, 4H), 7.18 (d, \(J = 7.9\) Hz, 2H), 7.09 (t, \(J = 7.3\) Hz, 2H), 7.03 (d, \(J = 8.5\) Hz, 2H), 3.87 (t, \(J = 6.2\) Hz, 8H), 1.30–1.26 (m, 8H), 0.98–0.94 (m, 8H), 0.80–0.77 (m, 8H), 0.65–0.60 (m, 28H), 0.50–0.44 (m, 8H). \(^{13}\)C NMR (CDCl\(_3\)/pyridine-d\(_5\), 600 MHz) \(\delta_C\) 159.8, 151.5, 151.2, 150.5, 150.4, 149.6, 147.6, 147.2, 132.3, 130.8, 130.3, 129.8, 129.7, 129.4, 124.8, 123.4, 123.3, 122.6, 121.0, 115.0, 105.0, 100.5, 98.6, 94.9, 92.9, 68.4, 31.4, 28.7, 28.6, 28.5, 25.2, 22.3, 13.9. ESI-MS: m/z calcd for C\(_{93}H_{101}N_5O_6\)Zn: 1450; found 1450 [M]\(^+\).

**4.4. Spectral and electrochemical measurements**

UV-visible spectra were performed on PerKinElmer Lambda 950 spectrophotometer. \(^1\)H NMR and \(^{13}\)C NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker-AV400 spectrometers with 400MHz. The chemical shifts were recorded in parts per million.
(ppm) with TMS as the internal reference. ESI mass spectra were measured on Finnigan LCQ Advantage mass spectrometer. The cyclic voltammtries (CVs) were carried out with a three-electrode system in an argon-purged electrolyte solution on PARSTAT 2273 Electrochemical Workstation. Cyclic voltammograms for porphyrin dyes were conducted with a three-electrode cell equipped with a BAS glassy carbon (0.07 cm²) disk as the working electrode, a platinum wire as the auxiliary electrode, and a Ag/AgCl (saturated) reference electrode. The working electrode was polished with 0.03 µm alumina on felt pads (Buehler) and treated ultrasonically for 1 min before each experiment. The potential of the reference electrode was adjusted by recording the cyclic voltammogram for 0.01 M ferrocene in THF containing 0.1 M TBAPF₆.

4.5. Device fabrication

A compact layer of TiO₂ was deposited on the FTO-coated glass by spray pyrolysis deposition with di-isoproxytitanium bis(acetyl acetonate) solution. Subsequently the mesoporous TiO₂ (particle size, 20 nm, PASOL HPW-18NR TiO₂ nanopowders, JGC Catalysts and Chemicals Ltd., Japan) transparent electrodes, the spacer layer composed of 40 and 90-nm-sized zirconia particles, and a mesoscopic platinized graphite/carbon black electrodes were prepared by screen printing onto FTO-coated conducting glass layer by layer, which were sintered at 500°C, 500°C and 400°C for 30min, respectively. Then the working electrode was prepared by immersing TiO₂ film into the 0.2mM dye solution (in Toluene/EtOH = 1/1,v/v) containing chenodeoxycholic acid (CDCA, 0.4 mM) at 25°C overnight. The cell was
encapsulated by a 100μm thick spacer of the thermo-bonding polymer (Surlyn, DuPont) with sheet glass. After sealing, the liquid electrolyte was injected into the cell through the hole predrilled in the sheet glass, and then the hole was sealed with Surlyn polymer and cover glass. The liquid electrolyte consisted of 1.0 M 1,2-dimethylimidazolium iodide (DMPII), 0.03M iodine, 0.1M guanidinium thiocyanate and 0.5M tert-butylpyridine in a mixture of acetonitrile/vaeronitrile (85:15, v/v).

4.6. Photovoltaic measurements

Current-voltage (I-V) characteristics were measured with a Keithley 2400 source/meter and a Newport solar simulator (model 91160) giving light with AM 1.5 G spectral distribution, which was calibrated using a certified reference solar cell (Fraunhofer ISE) to an intensity 100 mW cm\(^{-2}\). A black mask with a slightly smaller circular aperture (0.07 cm\(^2\)) than the active area of the square solar cell (0.25 cm\(^2\)) was applied on top of the cell. The incident photon-to-current conversion efficiency (IPCE) was measured using a 150W xenon lamp (Oriel) fitted with a monochromator (Cornerstone 260) as monochromatic light source. The illumination spot size was chosen to be slightly smaller than the active area of the DSSC test cells. IPCE photocurrents were recorded under short-circuit conditions using a Keithley 2400 source meter. The monochromatic photon flux was quantified by means of a calibrated silicon photodiode. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) of the symmetric cell was measured using PARSTAT 2273 Electrochemical Workstation in the frequency range 0.1 to 10\(^6\) Hz with 10 mV AC amplitude. The electrolyte used
was the same as that used for the fully functional DSSCs. The distance between two electrodes was 45 μm and the active area was 0.8 cm².
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Graphical Abstract

Comparing with WH-C3 and WH-C4, WH-C5-sensitized device shows a significantly enhanced $V_{oc}$, $J_{sc}$ and power conversion efficiency ($\eta$).