Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/loc



Microfluidic-based hands-on activities for introducing the notion of waterborne pathogen separation to children.

1	Angry pathogens, how to get rid of them: introducing microfluidics for
2	waterborne pathogen separation to children
3	Melanie Jimenez ¹ and Helen L. Bridle ^{1*}
4	1. Heriot-Watt University, Microfluidic Biotech Group, Institute of Biological Chemistry, Biophysics
5	and Bioengineering (IB3), Riccarton, Edinburgh, United Kingdom
6	
7	* Corresponding author:
8	Dr Helen L. Bridle
9	Heriot-Watt University, Riccarton, Edinburgh, United Kingdom
10	Email: <u>H.L.Bridle@hw.ac.uk</u>
11	Tel: +44 131 4513355
12	
13	Keywords: microfluidics, drinking water, pathogens, public engagement
14	
15	List of abbreviations:
16	DLD: Deterministic Lateral Displacement
17	IMS: Immuno-Magnetic-Separation
18	LED: Light-Emitting Diode
19	STEM: science, technology, engineering and mathematics
20	USEPA: US Environmental Protection Agency
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

28 Abstract

29 The purpose of this paper is to present a new approach for introducing to a non-scientific 30 audience a major public health issue: access to safe drinking water. Access to safe drinking water is a privilege in developed countries and an urgent need in the third world, which 31 32 implies always more efficient and reliable engineering tools to be developed. As a major 33 global challenge it is important to make children aware of this problem for understanding (i) 34 what safe drinking water is, (ii) how ingenious techniques are developed for this purpose and 35 (iii) the role of microfluidics in this area. This paper focuses on different microfluidic-based 36 techniques to separate and detect pathogens in drinking water that have been adapted to be 37 performed by a young audience in a simplified, recreational and interactive way.

38

39 I. Introduction

40 Diarrhoea is often considered in developed countries as a classical gastrointestinal symptom, 41 while not enjoyable nor usually too serious. However, this illness results in 1.5 million deaths 42 each year, most of which involving children, and is mainly due to the ingestion of pathogens 43 through water, food or unclean hands. The observation in (Prüss-Üstün et al., 2008) [1] 44 highlights the high privilege in developed countries to have access to specific water 45 treatments, resulting in the delivery of safe drinking water. However, and despite these 46 treatments, several outbreaks are reported every month. The Drinking Water Inspectorate [2] 47 reports around 60 significant events caused by pathogens in water supplies in England and 48 Wales in 2012 whose sources are not always clearly identified. The main difficulties when 49 dealing with pathogens are first to deal with the large variety of existing harmful pathogens 50 (viruses, bacteria and protozoa) and second to detect their presence as they are flowing at 51 extremely small concentrations in large volumes of water. Their separation and detection are

52 thus time-consuming tasks (days are typically needed) that require an experienced staff [3]. 53 As a consequence, only three microbiological parameters are set by the European regulation 54 to reflect the water quality: E. coli, Enterococci and Pseudomonas aeruginosa, all set to 0 bacteria per 100 mL of sample (per 250 mL for bottle water) [4]. Current limitations are thus 55 the correlation between these parameters and the concentration of all waterborne pathogens 56 57 and the delay of detection of a pathogen than can be long enough to affect a significant part 58 of the population. One could easily imagine how serious the situation could be in the 59 presence of dangerous pathogens resistant to treatment. Cryptosporidium for instance has 60 already been detected in water despite the absence of these indicators [3,5], and is routinely 61 tested for in UK waters. The development of new approaches is thus a growing and necessary 62 research area leading to several new national, European and international projects. For 63 instance, Aquavalens (http://aquavalens.org/) is a European project launched in April 2013 64 that "is centred on the concept of developing suitable platforms that harness the advances in 65 new molecular techniques to permit the routine detection of waterborne pathogens and 66 improve the provision of hygienically safe water for drinking and food production that is appropriate for large and small systems throughout Europe". Some of the techniques adapted 67 68 in this paper for the comprehension of children are funded by this project, which highlights 69 how the proposed public engagement is close to current laboratory techniques under 70 investigation. Both within this project and other research initiatives, many different detection 71 schemes have been proposed [3] and sample processing research is also developing. 72 Microfluidics has recently been applied to both sample processing and detection within 73 waterborne pathogen monitoring [6;7] with promising results. This paper focuses on how to 74 introduce the existing approach and microfluidic alternatives to children in an interactive and 75 recreational way.

76

77 II. Teaching objectives and workflow

There are a lot of different techniques that can be used for pathogen separation and detection.
Detection can be based on growing cultures or highly specific biosensors for instance [3].
Presenting all the existing techniques would be a tedious task beyond the scope of this
activity, and we here focus on emerging microfluidic approaches.

Laursen *et al.*[8] evaluated the impact of scientists in a classroom and features that enhance positive student outcome regarding a specific activity. These features include: (i) equipment and materials that enable science learning experiences, (ii) interesting science topics and (iii) style of presentation with hands-on and inquiry approaches. The proposed activity tries thus to encompass these parameters by selecting some specific separation and detection techniques that can be reproduced easily and handled by children in a recreational but educational approach.

89 As presented in Figure 1, this paper focuses on the introduction to waterborne pathogen 90 detection through a set of different modules dedicated to the standardized Immuno-Magnetic-91 Separation (IMS), two microfluidic based separation techniques (IMS and Deterministic 92 Lateral Displacement) and then to pathogen detection by fluorescent labelling. All or a 93 selection of modules could be delivered according to the age of participants, learning 94 objectives, time available, cost, *etc.* in either schools or as an outreach activity at science 95 festivals. Indicative costs are given for each module independently, however some materials are common to multiple modules, thus reducing total costs. Each module employs familiar 96 97 and widely available materials. To highlight the feasibility of these modules, each activity 98 has been performed "in-house" without laboratory facilities. Cartoons are also proposed 99 throughout the paper to help understand of the different topics introduced here and to broaden 100 the spectrum of the audience to a non-scientific arena.

101



- 102
- 103

Figure 1. Workflow of the proposed activity.

105 On one hand, the immuno-magnetic-separation is a well-known and efficient technique to 106 separate and concentrate specific biological matters. This technique is part of the standard 107 protocol (USEPA Method 1623) developed for recovery and detection of protozoa. To the 108 best of the authors' knowledge, there is no activity relating this technique for public 109 engagement. On the other hand, microfluidics is an increasingly growing research area whose 110 applications for drinking water are quite scarce, though increasing in recent years [7]. Due to 111 its success in research laboratories, literature for introducing microfluidics to students is flourishing as well [9-13]. However, most of these papers are targeting middle school, high 112 113 school or undergraduate students. The audience of the proposed activity is young children, to enhance their interest in science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM) and to 114

promote the next workforce generation. By coupling microfluidics to waterborne pathogen detection, an interesting approach is proposed to children for understanding what microfluidics is and how relevant it could be for a concrete application.

118

119 III. How it works in research labs...

Figure 2 shows a standardised method for separating and detecting *Cryptosporidium*, a wellknown and highly resistant pathogen encountered in water systems. This method incorporates five concentration steps with two stages of filtration and elution followed by centrifugation, to minimize the volume of liquid and thus concentrate particles.



125 Figure 2. Overview of the USEPA 1623 method for the detection of Cryptosporidium. Extracted from

126

Bridle et al. [6].

127

The filtration steps rely on the size of particles to remove them from the water sample. All particles larger than the pore size of the filter will be trapped while the smaller particles will remain in water. As a consequence, a mix of different particles can be present after the concentration steps as long as they present a diameter larger than the filter pore size, only some of which will be pathogenic. Specific techniques are therefore needed to identify which particles are present to evaluate the water quality and if consumers can safely use this water.

- The next paragraph presents one of them, namely the Immuno-Magnetic Separation. The 134
- 135 accompanying support poster proposed for introducing in a simplified manner notions of
- 136 waterborne pathogens and their separation is shown in Figure 3.
- 137



138

140

young audience.

141

142 A. Standardized Immuno-Magnetic Separation (IMS)

The principle of the Immuno-Magnetic-Separation (IMS) is schematically represented in 143 Figure 4. It relies on the addition of specific magnetic beads coated with antibodies [14] (e.g. 144 145 anti-Cryptosporidium if the presence of Cryptosporidium needs to be confirmed). Particles in 146 the sample are only captured if they correspond to the specific anti-bodies coated on the magnetic beads and can then easily be removed using a strong magnet. Although IMS is a 147

powerful technique for separating specific biological particles such as pathogens, the standard protocol is usually limited to small volumes of samples and requires the intervention of experienced staff. Microfluidic-based techniques are a growing topic for proposing smart alternatives to water issues, and one approach that has been taken is to perform on-chip IMS [152 [15-18].

153

STEP 3. SEPARATION ... BY IMMUNO-MAGNETIC SEPARATION



After concentration, specific particles called ANTIBODIES are added to the sample. These antibodies are attracted by one specific kind of pathogen, the red ones here.



Actually, the antibodies are coated on magnetic beads. Using a magnet, antibodies and red pathogens can be "trapped", allowing the removal of all the other particles.



By removing the magnet, the trapped pathogens can then be collected.

DO IT YOURSELF! IMMUNO-MAGNETIC SEPARTION WITH FIMO AND MAGNETS



Fimo is used to model "good" and "bad" particles. Red and brown particles are here pathogens while yellow and green ones are non harmful.



Small white particles (=antibodies) with magnets inside are added to the sample and attracted to the red pathogens only.



Using a strong magnet, all the red pathogens and their antibodies are trapped and separated from the sample.



155

Figure 4. Module 2 support poster. Top: Schematic representation of separation by Immuno-Magnetic-Separation (IMS). Bottom: hands-on activity to reproduce standard IMS.

- 156
- 157

158 *B. Microfluidic based separation techniques*

Microfluidics is defined as "the science and technology of systems that process or manipulate small $(10^{-9} \text{ to } 10^{-18} \text{ litres})$ amounts of fluids, using channels with dimensions of tens to hundreds of micrometres" [19]. Figure 5 proposes a cartoon for introducing the notion of

- microfluidics and the manufacturing procedure of microchannels. The module related to 162
- 163 microfluidics (Module 3) is of prime importance for allowing children to have a better
- 164 representation of systems that are presented in the following modules (Module 4 and 5).
- 165

HOW MICROFLUIDICS CAN BE USED FOR PATHOGENS SEPARATION?





MICROFLUIDICS is like plumbing adapted to the micrometric world, with channels the width of one human hair.

A specific liquid (ex: PDMS) is poured in a mold. After being heated, the liquid is hardened.

A transparent layer (ex: alass) is then used to "close" the channel. Holes and tubes are added for the inlet/outlet of the liquid.

DO IT YOURSELF! A MICROCHANNEL WITH FIMO AND PLEXIGLAS





Fimo molded with a "Y" wooden letter. Inlet and outlets made with toothpick.

After baking the bottom of the channel (Y- Squash is injected through one hole with a shaped Fimo), silicon is used to bond it with needle-tip bottle. You can then play with the a Plexiglas layer.

shape of your channel !

166

167 Figure 5. Module 3 support poster. Top: Schematic representation of a microfluidic system and the 168 manufacturing procedure. Bottom: hands-on activity to produce a "micro" channel.

169

170

B.1. Microfluidic based Immuno-Magnetic Separation

171 Microfluidics can offer several advantages to the standardized IMS, which explains the wide 172 range of publications related to this topic [15-18]. A microfluidic-based IMS is more 173 automated, can deal with larger volumes of sample than standard IMS and miniaturize the procedure into one on-chip unit. One other main advantage is the possibility to integrate 174 175 several other procedures within the same device such as the detection of trapped particles.

ab on a Chip Accepted Manuscript

Techniques based on fluorescence detection are for instance proposed in the literature foridentifying on-chip the presence of pathogens [18].

In order to introduce simply the notion of "multitask" chip, a microfluidic-based IMS is 178 coupled with a piezoelectric sensor (Figure 6). For pathogen detection, antibodies are usually 179 180 immobilized onto the surface of a piezoelectric sensor. When pathogens are trapped, a shift in 181 the resonance frequency of the sensor is detected and correlated to the mass of pathogens 182 blocked at the surface [20-21]. This approach is here extended to the detection of antibody-183 coated magnetic beads and pathogens onto the magnet. For a simple realisation, the piezoelectric sensor will detect the vibration due to the impact of particles onto the magnet 184 185 that will turn on a red LED.

186







187

detection of trapped pathogens.

ab on a Chip Accepted Manuscript

191 Immuno-magnetic separation provides excellent recovery rates but remains specific to one 192 particle/antibody combination. This procedure has to be iterated if different particles have to 193 be detected and requires the corresponding specific antibodies, which are not always readily 194 available and can be very expensive. When applied to drinking water purposes, this iterative 195 procedure is a limiting step to the fast detection of all the potential harmful pathogens. 196 Moreover, smaller pathogens such as viruses are not concentrated by the centrifugation step 197 (Step 5 in Figure 2), they will remain in the supernatant and require further specific and 198 expensive steps to be separated such as ultracentrifugation.

- 199
- 200
- 201

B.2. Deterministic Lateral Displacement (DLD)

202 Different techniques have been developed in the literature for sorting particles using 203 microfluidic devices [7;22]. This paper only focuses on one of these techniques, referred to as Deterministic Lateral Displacement (DLD), initiated by the work of Huang et al. in 2004 [23] 204 and easily reproducible at a macroscopic scale with LEGO[®] [24-26], thus highly suitable for 205 206 manipulation/visualisation by children. The basic idea of DLD is to separate particles by 207 changing their trajectory within a channel depending on their size. "Large" particles (*i.e.*, 208 particles larger than a critical diameter defined below) are deviated from their initial position 209 due to the presence of posts placed in the microchannel.

These posts are designed within a specific geometry and periodicity in order to separate particles above a desired critical diameter D_c [27]:

212

213 $D_c = 1.4G\varepsilon^{0.48}$ (1)



216
$$\varepsilon = \frac{d}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{N} = \tan \theta, \qquad (2)$$

217

where d is the shift between two successive vertical posts, λ is the centre-to-centre distance between two successive horizontal posts (see Figure 7), N is the periodicity of the post array and θ is the angle of deviation of the posts.

221



Figure 7. Example of microfluidic channel for particle separation based on DLD. Left: Schematic
representation of DLD principle extracted from Davis et al.[28]. Right: Magnified view of a DLD
device used for separating bacteria from blood – IB3 and MISEC group at Heriot Watt University.

226

227 Due to the specific fluid motion present in devices containing posts, particles above the 228 critical diameter are deviated while small particles follow an ultimately straight path. This 229 technique is relevant for introducing the safe drinking water challenge since pathogens 230 present different characteristic sizes, depending on their kingdom (nanometres for viruses, 231 around a micrometre for bacteria and several micrometres for protozoa). Although studies 232 focusing on the separation of non-spherical biological particles are limited and need further 233 investigation before this method can be fully applied to waterborne pathogens, DLD devices can be produced at a macroscopic scale with LEGO[®]. This offers an excellent interactive 234

- approach to introduce current research aims to children and is easy to implement in schools
- 236 or during outreach activities for example. The support poster proposed for introducing the
- 237 notion Deterministic Lateral Displacement is proposed in Figure 8.



- Figure 8. Module 5 support poster. Top: Schematic representation of the DLD principle. Bottom:
 hands-on activity to mimic a size-based separation using DLD.
- 242

243 *C. Detection*

The last step of the process to be introduced to children is the detection of the separated pathogens. This process usually relies on the labelling of pathogens with specific fluorescent antibodies. Using a fluorescent microscope, pathogens conjugated with fluorescent antibodies can then easily be detected and counted (Figure 9).

ab on a Chip Accepted Manuscript

STEP 4. DETECTION

After separating the pathogens, specific techniques are used to identify them. Antibodies are used again (see IMS). But this time, they are fluorescent!







Because pathogens are really small, a MICROSCOPE is used to see this fluorescence.

DO IT YOURSELF! A FLUORESCENT MICROSCOPE



An insect magnifier is placed in a cardboard box painted in black. Red pathogens (with magnets inside) separated by STEP 3 are mixed with antibodies (also with magnet inside) made of a fluorescent FIMO. When placed in the dark, the fluorescent beads can be observed.

IT LOOKS ACTUALLY LIKE THIS...



Real pathogens observed using a microscope and fluorescent antibodies.

Figure 9. Module 6 support poster. Top: Schematic representation of the fluorescence phenomenon.
Bottom: hands-on activity to visualize fluorescent particles using a low-cost microscope. Image with
real pathogens reproduced from Bouzid et al.[29].

253

249

254 IV. How it works with children...

Now that the challenge of pathogen separation and detection has been introduced, this paper presents an easy and interactive way to reproduce and illustrate these different techniques with children. Detailed explanations to reproduce all the experiments are proposed in the supplementary information.

259

260 *A. Immuno-Magnetic Separtion with FIMO*[®]

Pathogens and other biological particles are represented in a simplified and magnified manner
using FIMO[®] clay (Figure 4- Bottom). FIMO[®] is a soft polymer clay, available in a large

range of colours, that can be easily shaped and then hardened after baking for 30 minutes inan oven at 110°C.

In this paper and for ease of children's understanding, two kinds of particles have beenrepresented:

"Bad" particles, red and brown particles in Figures 4, 6 and 8. "Bad" particles 267 268 represent waterborne pathogens, defined by the Environmental Agency as 269 microorganisms capable of causing disease that may be transmitted via water and 270 acquired through ingestion, bathing or by other means. The size of red and brown 271 particles is roughly the same (diameter around 1.6 cm). In order to let children 272 identify which are these "bad" particles, they are represented with angry faces. Note 273 that faces could be directly painted on baked polymer clay by children. In this paper, 274 angry faces are also made with the polymer clay. To reproduce the immuno-magnetic 275 separation, small magnetic beads are incorporated inside the red "bad" particles 276 (Figure 4-Bottom) before baking.

277

"Good" particles defined as non-harmful for humans. These particles are the yellow
and green ones throughout the paper. These particles are smaller than the bad ones to
be then separated using DLD which, as mentioned previously, is a separation
technique considering the particle size as the sorting parameter.

282

Note that this representation of "good" and "bad" particles with different sizes is obviously largely simplified in comparison to the reality. Even within a same "family" of pathogens, some are harmful while some others are not. Challenges for researchers are still to define the pathogenic characteristics of these particles, a problematic far too complex to be introduced within minutes to children.

h	o	o
/	ŏ	ň

Assuming this simplification, the Immuno-Magnetic separation focuses here on the removal of red particles. The magnetic antibodies are represented in Figure 4 by small fluorescent beads made also with FIMO[®] (fluorescent FIMO[®] no. 04). Small magnetic beads are also incorporated in these fluorescent beads before baking to be attracted toward the red particles. Children only have to incorporate these fluorescent beads in the sample and observe that they are directly attracted by the red "bad" particles. A strong magnet is then used to remove all (and only) the red "bad" particles.

296

297 B. Microfluidics

298 In order to understand the notion of microfluidics and its relevance to waterborne pathogen separation, a simple procedure based again on FIMO[®] is proposed. Using a block of FIMO[®] 299 300 that is flattened with a book or a rolling pin, a channel is created by using a mould, a Y 301 wooden letter for example here. A Y-channel is produced to complement the Y-channel 302 proposed for the microfluidic-based IMS (Module 4), although this approach allows an 303 infinite number of designs to be created (see angry pathogen device bottom right of Figure 4). 304 Three smaller channels are then produced using a toothpick to allow the liquid to enter and exit the device. To close the channel, a piece of Plexiglas is used. After baking the FIMO[®] 305 306 block, transparent silicon for bathrooms is finally used to bond it to the Plexiglas layer. Using 307 a needle-tip bottle, red liquid (e.g. squash or food dye) is incorporated through one of the 308 hole.

Yang *et al.* [8] proposed in their paper an interactive and hands-on activity for manufacturing
magnified microfluidic devices with Jell-O[®] dessert. This fun and simplified approach, closer
to the actual procedure of manufacturing, can directly be related to the proposed activity if

time is available. However, the FIMO[®] approach allows children to easily touch and mould
their own device during an outreach activity for instance.

314

315 B.1. Microfluidic based Immuno-Magnetic Separation

316 For the microfluidic-based IMS, a Y-shaped channel (29 cm in length, 5 cm in width and 3.5 317 cm in height) made of Plexiglas is inclined. A similar device made with a plastic bottle is also 318 proposed in the supplementary information for reducing the costs of the activity. The outlets 319 of the channels (two branches of the Y-channel) can be let opened to allow the fluid and 320 particles to be collected in two different cups. A small support is fixed on the wall of the 321 channel to hold the magnet while being easily removable by children. A piezoelectric sensor 322 is then placed next to the magnet with transparent blue tack to detect the shock of trapped 323 particles against the magnet. For safety reasons, the magnet and piezoelectric sensor are placed outside the channel to avoid any contact with water. A small piece of foam (see Figure 324 325 6) is placed at the bottom of the channel inlet to absorb the shock when particles are entering 326 the channel and to avoid false detections by the piezoelectric sensor. Each shock detected by 327 the sensor propagates a current through an electrical circuit (cf. supplementary information) 328 to finally here turn on a light (LED). Extensions of this system can easily be imagined by 329 placing a buzzer, several LEDs to know the force of the impact against the magnet, etc. At 330 the beginning of the experiment, a set of particles is poured in the device just above the foam 331 (Figure 6). Since the device is inclined, particles will roll down by gravity. The outlet on the 332 left of the channel is initially closed, here by a piece of flexible plastic from a plastic bottle. 333 All the particles will then flow in the right outlet of the device. A second experiment is 334 performed with, this time, magnetic beads incorporated inside the red bad pathogens and by 335 adding antibodies also with magnets (similarly to the standard IMS). Red pathogens and 336 antibodies are attracted to each other and, while flowing in the device, will be deviated by the

magnet. When trapped, the piezoelectric sensor will detect the shock that will then turn on the
light to warn of pathogen presence. Once pathogens are detected, the right outlet of the
channel is closed, the left one opened and the magnet removed. All the trapped particles will
finally flow in the left outlet thus are separated from the other particles.

- 341
- 342

B.2. Separation of all the "bad" particles using DLD and $LEGO^{\textcircled{R}}$

343 After this first separation and detection step, children should notice that other "bad" particles 344 (brown particles in Figures 4 and 6) remain in the water sample and cannot be separated by 345 IMS since they don't have the corresponding antibodies in this activity. The last step of this 346 experience thus consists of trying to remove all the "bad" particles with another technique, 347 the Deterministic Lateral Displacement (DLD) presented previously. Microchannels and posts used in our laboratory are here represented by a rectangular vase (IKEA[®], Rektangel) 348 and LEGO[®] board with cylindrical LEGO[®] posts of diameter D = 7.8 mm to shape the 349 350 obstacles (Figure 11).

351

The positions of the posts are crucial to separate "good" from "bad" particles. In this paper, the following configuration is proposed:

- Gap between two posts G=1.7 cm

ε=0.37. This parameter can easily be determined by measuring the angle θ between
the first blue line with the vertical axis. ε can be deduced given θ and based on
Equation (2).

Based on Equation (1), the critical diameter of this system is thus 1.47 cm. As presented in Figure 8, red and brown particles with a diameter around 1.6 cm are larger than the critical diameter and are thus deviated in the device to follow the blue path. Yellow (1.1 361 cm in diameter) and green (0.8 cm in diameter) particles are smaller than the critical diameter and follow a straight path within the LEGO[®] device. 362

363

It can be noted that such macroscopic experiments cannot be performed in water. 364 Microfluidics is characterized by laminar flow and thus slow fluid motion. To reproduce 365 366 this phenomenon, viscous media have to be considered. While glycerol is considered in 367 some studies [24-26], in the present paper and for safety reasons, diluted shower gel is used. Depending on the product used and especially its viscosity, it can be used pure, 368 369 without dilution, but if the viscosity is too high, particles will need a very long time to pass through the LEGO[®] device. If so, a slight dilution with tap water can solve the 370 371 problem. The shower gel should be carefully introduced in the vase to avoid air bubbles 372 to be trapped in the liquid. Due to the high viscosity of the solution, air bubbles require a 373 long time to rise and hinder any visualization in the vase. The liquid should be carefully introduced by using for instance the LEGO[®] board to pour the liquid against and avoid 374 375 bubble formation. Finally, it is important to mention the higher the device, the larger the 376 displacement between large and small particles.

- 377
- 378

C. Detection with insect magnifier

379 After separation, the number of "bad" particles trapped by IMS are counted by fluorescence. 380 All the trapped particles are placed within a fake fluorescent microscope composed of an 381 insect magnifier for children placed in a black-painted cardboard box to see the fluorescence 382 of the fluorescent magnetic beads (the fluorescence of beads is hardly visible with daylight) 383 (Figure 9).

Even though simplified in comparison with the real process for labelling pathogens, this approach allows children to be introduced to complex notions such as antibodies, fluorescence, microscopy while being able to run the whole experiment on their own.

387

388 V. Conclusion

389 This paper presents a new and original approach to introduce children major scientific challenges. A recreational and interactive procedure is proposed to define notions of safe 390 391 drinking water, pathogens, separation, detection and microfluidics. By simplifying and 392 magnifying laboratories procedures, the next work-force generation can enjoy being part of 393 the research world by visualizing, testing, running experiments and analysing results related 394 to this water problem. The procedure has been developed as a story, starting from the 395 presence of particles in water that require magnifying techniques to be visualized, then a first 396 separation procedure (Immuno-Magnetic-Separation) specific to one particle/antibody 397 combination. The several advantages offered by microfluidics are then introduced in the 398 context of waterborne pathogen separation. Once all the components containing in this activity are completed (FIMO[®] beads, LEGO[®] board, etc.), the duration of this "story" is 399 400 about 30 minutes. The activity can easily be shortened by not presenting all the modules 401 proposed in the paper. The total cost of each module is kept as low as possible (around £15 for the vase, £20 for the LEGO[®], £10 for FIMO[®], £20 for the magnetic beads, £20 for the 402 403 shower gel, £10 for the cardboard box and the insect magnifier, £25 for the piezoelectric 404 sensor). The activity presented in this paper is easy to run and can involve children from the 405 beginning (particle modelling, etc.) to introduce complex notions in a fun and interactive 406 manner. Such activities are of prime interest to master children with the science world, 407 interesting and increasingly growing research topics and perhaps promote scientific 408 vocations.

Acknowledgements HB would like to acknowledge The Royal Academy of Engineering/EPSRC for her research fellowship. Both HB and MJ would like to acknowledge EU funding for the project "AQUAVALENS: protecting the health of Europeans by improving methods for the detection of pathogens in drinking water and water used in food preparation". **Conflict-of-interest statement** The authors declare no commercial or financial conflict of interest.

436	References
437	[1]. Prüss-Üstün, R. Bos, F. Gore, J. Bartram J. Safer water, better health: costs, benefits and
438	sustainability of interventions to protect and promote health. World Health Organization,
439	Geneva, 2008.
440	[2]. Drinking Water Inspectorate (2012). Drinking Water 2012.
441	[3]. Bridle, H. Waterborne Pathogens: Detection Methods and Applications. <i>Elsevier Science</i> . 2013.
442	[4]. World Health Organisation. Guidelines for drinking-water quality. 2011.
443	[5]. Zuccheri, G. and Asproulis, N. Detection of Pathogens in Water Using Micro and Nano-
444	Technology. IWA Publishing. 2012.
445	[6]. Bridle, H., Kersaudy-Kerhoas, M., Miller, B., Gavriilidou, D., Katzer, F., Innes, EA. et al.
446	Detection of Cryptosporidium in miniaturised fluidic devices. Water Research. 2012,
447	46(6):1641–61.
448	[7]. Bridle, H., Miller, B. and Desmulliez, M. P. Application of microfluidics in waterborne pathogen
449	monitoring: A review. Water Research. 2014, 55(0):256-271.
450	[8]. Laursen, S., Liston, C., Thiry, H. and Graf, J. What good is a scientist in the classroom?
451	Participant outcomes and program design features for a short-duration science outreach
452	intervention in K-12 classrooms. CBE-Life Sciences Online.2007, 6, 49-64.
453	[9]. Berkowski, K. L., Plunkett, K. N., Yu, Q. and Moore, J. S. Introduction to photolithography:
454	Preparation of microscale polymer silhouettes. Journal of Chemical Education. 2005,
455	82(9):1365.
456	[10].Yang, C. W. T., Ouellet, E. and Lagally, E. T. Using inexpensive jell-o chips for hands-on
457	microfluidics education. Analytical chemistry. 2010, 82(13):5408-5414.
458	[11].Nguyen, D., McLane, J. Lew, V., Pegan, J. and Khine, M. Shrink-film microfluidic education
459	modules: Complete devices within minutes. <i>Biomicrofluidics</i> . 2011, 5 (2).
460	[12].Hemling, M., Crooks, J. A., Oliver, P. M., Brenner, K., Gilbertson, J., Lisensky, G. C. and
461	Weibel, D. B. Microfluidics for high school chemistry students. Journal of Chemical Education.
462	2014, 91(1):112-115.

22

463	[13].Piunno, P. A. E., Zetina, A., Chu, N., Tavares, A. J., Noor, M. O., Petryayeva, E., Uddayasankar,
464	U. and Veglio, A. A comprehensive microfluidics device construction and characterization
465	module for the advanced undergraduate analytical chemistry laboratory. Journal of Chemical
466	Education. 2014, 91(6):902-907.
467	[14].Lee, JiYoung, and Deininger, R.A. Detection of E. coli in beach water within 1 hour using
468	immunomagnetic separation and ATP bioluminescence. Luminescence. 2004, 19, 31-36.
469	[15].Laczka, O., Maesa, J. M., Godino, N., del Campo, J., Fougt-Hansen, M., Kutter, J. P., &
470	Baldrich, E. Improved bacteria detection by coupling magneto-immunocapture and amperometry
471	at flow-channel microband electrodes. Biosensors and Bioelectronics. 2011, 26(8), 3633-3640.
472	[16].Ramadan, Q., Christophe, L., Teo, W., ShuJun, L., & Hua, F. H. Flow-through immunomagnetic
473	separation system for waterborne pathogen isolation and detection: Application to Giardia and
474	Cryptosporidium cell isolation. Analytica chimica acta. 2010, 673(1), 101-108.
475	[17].Rotariu, O., Ogden, I. D., MacRae, M., Udrea, L. E., & Strachan, N. J. (2005). Multiple sample
476	flow through immunomagnetic separator for concentrating pathogenic bacteria. Physics in
477	medicine and biology. 2005, 50(12), 2967.
478	[18].Qiu, J., Zhou, Y., Chen, H., & Lin, J. M. Immunomagnetic separation and rapid detection of
479	bacteria using bioluminescence and microfluidics. Talanta, 2009, 79(3), 787-795.
480	[19]. Whitesides, G. M. The origins and the future of microfluidics. <i>Nature</i> . 2006, 442, 368-373.
481	[20].Campbell, G. A., & Mutharasan, R. A method of measuring Escherichia coli O157: H7 at 1
482	cell/mL in 1 liter sample using antibody functionalized piezoelectric-excited millimeter-sized
483	cantilever sensor. Environmental science & technology. 2007, 41(5), 1668-1674.
484	[21].Su, X. L., & Li, Y. A self-assembled monolayer-based piezoelectric immunosensor for rapid
485	detection of Escherichia coli O157: H7. Biosensors and Bioelectronics. 2004, 19(6), 563-574.
486	[22].Pamme, N. Continuous flow separations in microfluidic devices. Lab on a Chip. 2007, 7(12),

- 487
 1644-1659.
- 488 [23].Huang, L. R., Cox, E. C., Austin, R. H. and Sturm, J. C. Continuous particle separation through
 489 deterministic lateral displacement. *Science*. 2004, 304(5673):987-990.

- 490 [24].Balvin, M., Sohn, E., Iracki, T., Drazer, G. and Frechette, J. Directional locking and the role of
 491 irreversible interactions in deterministic hydrodynamics separations in microfluidic devices.
 492 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2009, 103:078301.
- 493 [25].Frechette, J. and Drazer, G. Directional locking and deterministic separation in periodic arrays.
 494 *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*. 2009, 627, 379-401.
- 495 [26].Bowman, T. J., Drazer, G. and Frechette, J. Inertia and scaling in deterministic lateral
 496 displacement. *Biomicrofluidics*. 2013, 7(6).
- 497 [27].Davis, J.A. Microfluidic separation of blood components through deterministic lateral
 498 displacement: Princeton University. 2008.
- 499 [28].Davis, J. A., Inglis, D. W., Morton, K. J., Lawrence, D. A., Huang, L. R., Chou, S. Y., Sturm, J.
- 500 C., and Austin, R. H. Deterministic hydrodynamics: Taking blood apart. Proceedings of the
 501 National Academy of Sciences. 2006, 103(40):14779-14784.
- 502 [29].Bouzid, M., Steverding, D., Tyler, K. M. Detection and surveillance of waterborne protozoan
 503 parasites. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*. 2008,19, 3, 302-306.