

# Lab on a Chip

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

*Accepted Manuscripts* are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

# Optofluidic lasers with a single molecular layer of gain

Qiushu Chen,<sup>a</sup> Michael Ritt,<sup>b</sup> Sivaraj Sivaramakrishnan,<sup>a,b</sup> Yuze Sun<sup>c</sup> and Xudong Fan<sup>\*a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Michigan,

Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

<sup>b</sup>Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, University of Michigan,

Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

<sup>c</sup>Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Texas at Arlington,

Arlington, TX 76019, USA

\*xsfan@umich.edu

**Abstract**

We achieve optofluidic lasers with a single molecular layer of gain, in which green fluorescent protein, dye-labeled bovine serum albumin, and dye-labeled DNA are respectively used as the gain medium and attached to the surface of a ring resonator via surface immobilization biochemical methods. It is estimated that the surface density of the gain molecules is on the order of  $10^{12}/\text{cm}^2$ , sufficient for lasing under pulsed optical excitation. It is further shown that the optofluidic laser can be tuned by energy transfer mechanisms through biomolecular interactions. This work not only opens a door to novel photonic devices that can be controlled at the level of a single molecular layer, but also provides a promising sensing platform to analyze biochemical processes at the solid-liquid interface.

## Introduction

The optofluidic laser is an emerging technology that integrates microcavity, microfluidic channel and gain medium in liquid.<sup>1-5</sup> It has a broad range of applications in novel photonic devices, such as on-chip tunable coherent light source<sup>6-10</sup> and bio-controlled laser,<sup>11-16</sup> and in sensitively analyzing biomolecules.<sup>2,3,5,17-22</sup> The optofluidic laser exhibits great versatility. Various microcavities have been developed, including Fabry-Pérot cavities,<sup>6,15,18,22</sup> ring resonators,<sup>11,13,14,16,19,21</sup> distributed feedback cavities<sup>8,23</sup> and photonic crystals.<sup>10</sup> Many types of materials, such as organic dyes,<sup>6,8,10,11,13,19,20</sup> biomaterials (fluorescent proteins,<sup>16,18,21</sup> vitamins,<sup>15</sup> luciferins<sup>14</sup>) and products of enzyme-substrate reaction,<sup>22</sup> can be used as the gain medium. To date, nearly all optofluidic lasers are demonstrated with the gain medium dispersed in bulk solution. Consequently, the entire lasing mode present in liquid interacts with the gain medium. For example, for the optofluidic laser based on Fabry-Pérot cavities,<sup>6,15,18</sup> droplets<sup>15,16</sup> and distributed feedback cavities,<sup>8</sup> most of the lasing mode (>90%) is in the gain medium. For those based on ring resonators and photonic crystals, the entire evanescent field (characteristic decay length of approximately 100 nm) resides in the gain medium. Accordingly, bio-control of and bio-analysis with the optofluidic laser need to be accomplished in bulk solution.

Here, we achieve optofluidic lasers with a single molecular layer of gain medium placed at the interface of a solid substrate and liquid. The motivation behind this work is three-fold.

First, lack of precise control on gain medium position may significantly deteriorate the lasing performance. For instance, in the ring resonator case, only 0.1-1% of gain molecules in bulk solution participates in the lasing action. The rest simply contributes to the fluorescence background that affects the quality of laser emission or sensitivity of the bioanalysis.<sup>12,19,20,22</sup> Placing the gain medium at the optimal position for the maximal light-matter interaction will not

only improve the lasing efficiency and threshold, but also reduce fluorescence background and enable better control of the laser.

Second, the optofluidic laser has been used for bioanalysis,<sup>3,5,12,19-22</sup> which complements the conventional fluorescence based technologies. There are two generic schemes in fluorescence based detection - bulk solution detection and surface detection. In bulk solution detection case, biomolecules move freely in sample solution. Typical examples include detection with molecular beacons<sup>24</sup> and intercalating dyes.<sup>25</sup> In surface detection case, biomolecules are immobilized or captured on a solid/liquid interface such as using microbeads.<sup>26</sup> While the optofluidic laser has been quite successful in bulk solution based detection,<sup>2,3,5,18-22</sup> its surface based detection capability has not been explored. Demonstration of an optofluidic laser with a single molecular layer on the solid/liquid interface would be a critical step towards a plethora of studies analogous to those using fluorescence.

Third, the laser with a single molecular layer of gain, which is similar to a semiconductor laser such as VCSEL (vertical-cavity surface-emitting laser) that consists of a single quantum-well layer as the gain medium,<sup>27,28</sup> is fundamentally interesting, as it provides a means to ultimately test the capability and limit of a laser. Indeed, lasing from a thin fluorophore-doped film coated on the resonator surface using various coating methods (*e.g.*, spin-, dip-, or drop-coating) has recently been demonstrated.<sup>29-33</sup> However, those methods result in a coating thickness of approximately 100 nm, equivalent to hundreds of molecular layers. Lasing from a single molecular layer of gain has never been realized.

In this work, using surface immobilization biochemistry we are able to attach a single layer (or even a sub-layer) of gain molecules on the surface of an optical fiber, whose circular cross section serves as the ring resonator (Fig. 1(A)). The whispering gallery modes (WGMs)

supported by the ring resonator interact with the gain molecules and provide the optical feedback for lasing. We demonstrate strong lasing emission from enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) and dye-labeled bovine serum albumin (BSA) with a surface density of approximately  $10^{12}$  /cm<sup>2</sup>. Control of the lasing emission is also achieved using energy transfer via DNA hybridization on the surface.

## Experimental

An optical fiber (SMF-28, 125  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter) is chosen to serve as the ring resonator in this work due to its easy preparation, predictable WGM evanescent field distribution near the surface and consistent quality along the axis.<sup>34</sup> The Q-factor of this type of ring resonator exceeds  $10^6$ .<sup>35,36</sup> To functionalize the ring resonator surface, the fiber is first sonicated in acetone, ethanol and deionized (DI) water in series, each for 15 minutes. Then the cleaned fiber is immersed in HCl/ethanol (v:v=1:1) for 30 minutes. After rinsed in DI water and dried under air flow, the fiber is silanized with (3-aminopropyl)-trimethoxysilane (3-APTMS, 5% in methanol) for 30 minutes and rinsed with ethanol. Finally, the fiber is cured at 110 °C overnight and stored in a refrigerator at 4 °C for future use. For subsequent attachment of a single layer of gain molecules on the fiber surface, the silanized fiber is activated with freshly prepared homofunctional amine-to-amine cross-linker bis(sulfosuccinimidyl) suberate (BS<sup>3</sup>) (0.1 mg/mL in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)) for 30 minutes and rinsed with PBS before incubation with the molecules of interest.

The optofluidic laser setup is illustrated in Fig. 1(B). The fluidic chamber is made of PDMS (polydimethylsiloxane). It has an inlet/outlet for liquid delivery. The fiber is suspended inside the chamber with no contact with the chamber wall. A confocal setup is used to excite the

ring resonator with a pulsed optical parametric oscillator (OPO) (repetition rate: 20 Hz, pulse width: 5 ns) and collect the laser emission via a multi-mode fiber.

## Results and Discussion

We first test the lasing capability of a single layer of eGFP on the surface. The eGFP sample is purchased from BioVision. Each eGFP has a molecular weight of 32.7 kDa and is shaped like a barrel with a length of 4.2 nm and diameter of 2.4 nm and a single emission center. The BS<sup>3</sup> activated fiber is inserted into the chamber, which is later filled with 1  $\mu$ M eGFP in PBS. After 30 minutes of incubation, which covalently binds eGFP molecules to the resonator surface (inset of Fig. 2(A)), 1 mL PBS solution is flowed through the chamber to wash away the unbound molecules. As a result, only those eGFPs that are cross-linked by BS<sup>3</sup> remain on the surface and form a single or an even sub-layer (considering only partial surface coverage) of gain molecules.

Figure 2(A) shows the eGFP lasing spectrum with multiple lasing peaks due to the multi-mode nature of the WGMs. As nearly all gain molecules participate in the lasing action, the fluorescence background is negligible. The lasing threshold derived from Fig. 2(B) is approximately 23  $\mu$ J/mm<sup>2</sup>. These results demonstrate that even a single (or sub-) layer of gain molecules is sufficient for lasing. The lasing threshold is on par with that for the bulk solution based optofluidic laser using much higher concentrations of eGFP (typically >10  $\mu$ M).<sup>21</sup> Note that in Fig. 2(B), each measurement in the threshold curve is performed on a different portion of the fiber slightly shifted along its longitudinal axis to eliminate the photo-bleaching effect on the emission intensity. Overall, the gain medium coverage on the fiber is homogenous. Deviation

from linear fit observed for the data point may be attributable to the local inhomogeneity of the gain medium.

Theoretical analysis is carried out to understand the laser process (see details in the Supplementary Information). Lasing threshold can be written as:<sup>19</sup>

$$I_{th} = \frac{\gamma}{\Gamma - \gamma}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the fraction of gain molecules that participate in lasing action ( $\Gamma = 1$  in eGFP case).  $\gamma$  is the fraction of gain molecules in the excited state at the threshold,

$$\gamma = \frac{A_1}{A} = \frac{2\pi m_1 L}{\lambda_0 \eta Q_0 \sigma_e(\lambda_0) A}, \quad (2)$$

where  $A_1$  is the surface density of the excited molecules.  $A$  is the total surface density.  $\eta$  is the fraction of mode energy in the evanescent field.  $\sigma_e(\lambda_0)$  is the eGFP emission cross section at lasing wavelength.  $m_1$  is the effective refractive index of the circulating optical mode,  $Q_0$  the quality factor of the cavity mode.  $L$  is the penetration depth of the evanescent field. Thus,  $A/L$  is the effective bulk solution concentration. The surface density of eGFP for a fully packed surface is about  $10^{13}/\text{cm}^2$ . Considering the steric hindrance issues, the surface density of eGFP is estimated to be on the order of  $10^{12}/\text{cm}^2$ , which is similar to the maximal surface coverage of proteins cross-linked on a ring resonator surface obtained in optical label-free measurement.<sup>37</sup> Assuming 100 nm as the evanescent field penetration depth, we can calculate the effective local concentration of eGFP (*i.e.*,  $A/L$ ) to be approximately 170  $\mu\text{M}$ . Therefore, despite low concentration (1  $\mu\text{M}$ ) used in the experiment, surface immobilization process results in a much higher local concentration, which is critical for laser operation. Accordingly,  $\gamma = 4.3\%$ , similar to that obtained by other dye laser systems ( $\sim 1\% - 10\%$ ).<sup>35,38</sup>



In the above experiment, the eGFPs are attached to the surface non-specifically. We also demonstrate that the lasing can be achieved from a single layer of molecules attached to the surface specifically. To achieve specificity, anti-BSA and dye-labeled BSA (Alexa Fluor®-488) (both from Life Technologies) are used. The BS<sup>3</sup> activated fiber is first incubated with 3  $\mu$ M anti-BSA PBS solution for 30 minutes. After rinsing by PBS buffer, 1  $\mu$ M BSA is injected into the chamber and incubates for another 30 minutes. Finally, the fiber is rinsed by and filled with PBS buffer before laser tests. Figure 3(A) is the lasing spectrum of a control fiber on which BSA molecules are cross-linked non-specifically as in the eGFP case. Figure 3(B) shows the lasing spectrum of specifically bound BSA through anti-BSA. Strong laser emission is observed in both cases. However, specifically bound BSA requires approximately ten times higher pump intensity to achieve the same emission intensity. The difference can be accounted for by the reduced coverage of gain medium on the surface due to multiple immobilization steps, steric hindrance and non-functional anti-BSA on the surface. Based on the theoretical analysis, we can compare Fig. 3(A) and (B) to estimate the BSA/anti-BSA binding. The coverage of BSA through binding with anti-BSA is calculated to be 14% of that through direct non-specific cross-linking (see details in the Supplementary Information).

Going one step further, we show that lasing through a single layer of gain medium can not only be achieved but also be tuned by the fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) mechanism as in the bulk solution case,<sup>12,13</sup> which is a critical to biological and biomedical applications, as well as photonic devices. We use DNA for this demonstration due to its simple and robust hybridization mechanism. Two 40 bases long single-stranded DNA sequences are purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies. One is designated as the probe DNA, which is biotinylated at the 5' end and labeled with Cy3 (donor) at the 3' end. The other is designated as

the target DNA, which is complementary to the probe DNA and labeled with Cy5 (acceptor) at the 5' end (see Table S1 in the Supplementary Information). The DNA stock solutions are prepared by dissolving the corresponding samples in 500  $\mu\text{L}$  1X TAE (Tris-Acetate-EDTA)/12.5 mM  $\text{MgCl}_2$ , which are further diluted in subsequent experiments. As in the previous experiments, the fiber is activated by  $\text{BS}^3$  first. Then 0.5 mg/mL streptavidin in PBS is introduced into the chamber and incubated with the fiber for 30 minutes, followed by sequential PBS, DI water and TAE/ $\text{MgCl}_2$  buffer rinsing. Finally, 1  $\mu\text{M}$  probe DNA solution is injected and incubated for 30 minutes before TAE/ $\text{MgCl}_2$  buffer rinsing. Through the well-known biotin-streptavidin interaction, the probe DNA and hence Cy3 molecules are attached to the ring resonator surface.

Figure 4(A) shows the typical Cy3 lasing spectrum, as expected. Then, 10 nM target DNA solution is injected into the chamber and incubated for 20 minutes, followed by TAE/ $\text{MgCl}_2$  buffer rinsing. Due to the hybridization of the probe and target DNAs, a fraction of Cy3 molecules on the surface are brought to close proximity of Cy5, resulting in highly efficient (nearly 100%) energy transfer between Cy3 and Cy5 that quenches Cy3 emission. As shown in Fig. 4(B), the laser emission from Cy3 is significantly reduced compared to Fig. 4(A), despite doubled pump intensity. For further Cy3 lasing suppression, 50 nM Cy5-labeled target DNA is introduced. No Cy3 lasing can be observed even with the pump intensity as high as 1000  $\mu\text{J}/\text{mm}^2$ . The presence of the target DNA (and hence Cy5) on the surface can be directly verified by Cy5 lasing in Fig. 4(C) during which time Cy3 lasing is suppressed. Alternatively, the reduction of Cy3 lasing emission can also be achieved by hybridizing the probe and target DNA strands in free solution first before immobilizing them on the surface (see Fig. S2 in the Supplementary Information). Quantitative comparison of Cy3 lasing characteristics before and after hybridization with the 10 nM target DNA is plotted in Fig. 4(D). As shown in Fig. S3(A),

reduction of the laser emission in the presence of the target DNA ranges from 100% (when the pump intensity is below the lasing threshold) to 65% (when pump intensity is well above the lasing threshold). In contrast, only 20% reduction is obtained with regular fluorescence based measurement (Fig. S3(B)). Significant differences between the lasing with and without the target DNA are also evident in lasing threshold and efficiency (Figs. 4(E) and (F)), attesting to the tuning and possible sensing capability of the laser with a single layer of gain on the surface. A simple calculation shows that based on the 3 times difference in Cy3 lasing threshold before and after 10 nM target DNA incubation, 64% of the immobilized probe DNA is hybridized with the target DNA.

In summary, we have successfully achieved optofluidic lasers with only a single (or even sub-) molecular layer of gain medium. Clean laser emission is observed with virtually no fluorescent background, thanks to well-controlled molecule's positions. In addition, due to the maximal light-matter interaction at the solid/liquid interface and the pre-concentration nature of the surface immobilization, only 1  $\mu\text{M}$  of biomolecules (and hence the fluorophores) is needed to achieve the lasing, 10-1000 fold lower than the typical fluorophore concentration used in bulk solution based optofluidic lasers.<sup>6,8,35,36</sup> Furthermore, the optofluidic laser can be tuned using FRET through biomolecular bindings. Finally, threshold analysis based on the laser theory provides a simple means for us to estimate biomolecule surface coverage.

Since all the gain molecules are accessible from outside in the laser with a single layer of gain, any external stimuli are expected to cause a rapid and drastic change in the laser gain and hence the laser output characteristics. Therefore, we envision the work presented here will lead to the development of novel photonic devices that can be sensitively controlled at the level of a single molecular layer. Meanwhile, the potential of biosensing can also be explored to

complement the traditional fluorescence based bioanalysis. Currently, the total number of gain molecules participating in the laser action is about 10 million (assuming a surface area of 1000  $\mu\text{m}^2$ ). With further reduction of the resonator dimension and surface using nanophotonic technologies, it may be possible to achieve detection of a small number of molecules, in which changes in only one or a few gain molecules may cause an appreciable change in the laser output characteristics.

The authors thank the financial support from the National Institutes of Health (1R21EB016783) and discussion from David Burke and Rizal Hariadi.

**Captions:**

Figure 1 (A) Cross-sectional view of the ring resonator laser in the liquid environment. WGM: whispering gallery mode. Inset, illustration of a single molecular layer of gain medium attached on the fiber surface through cross-linking chemistry. (B) Schematic of the experimental setup. OPO: optical parametric oscillator; ATT: attenuator; L1/L2/L3: lenses; BS: beam splitter; F: filter; D: detector. Inset, top view of the fluidic chamber. Chamber dimension: 35 mm × 3 mm × 0.5 mm.

Figure 2 Lasing characteristics of a single layer of eGFP. (A) Lasing spectrum. Pump intensity is approximately 120  $\mu\text{J}/\text{mm}^2$  per pulse. Excitation wavelength: 488 nm. (B) Spectrally integrated eGFP laser output as a function of pump intensity. Spectral integration takes place between 507 nm and 542 nm. Lasing threshold is approximately 23  $\mu\text{J}/\text{mm}^2$  per pulse. Solid line is the linear fit above the threshold. Error bars are obtained with 3 measurements.

Figure 3 Lasing spectra from Alexa Fluor®-488 labeled BSA. (A) BSA is immobilized on the ring resonator surface using a cross-linker. Pump intensity: 100  $\mu\text{J}/\text{mm}^2$  per pulse. Excitation wavelength: 488 nm. (B) BSA is immobilized on the ring resonator surface via binding with anti-BSA. Pump intensity: 1000  $\mu\text{J}/\text{mm}^2$  per pulse. Excitation wavelength: 488 nm. Cartoons above the figures illustrate the corresponding immobilization schemes.

Figure 4 Comparison of donor (Cy3) laser emission in the absence and presence of acceptor (Cy5). (A) Laser emission from Cy3 in the absence of Cy5. Pump intensity:  $108 \mu\text{J}/\text{mm}^2$  per pulse. Excitation wavelength: 518 nm. (B) Laser emission from Cy3 in the presence of Cy5. Pump intensity:  $220 \mu\text{J}/\text{mm}^2$  per pulse. Excitation wavelength: 518 nm. (C) Laser emission from Cy5. Pump intensity:  $106 \mu\text{J}/\text{mm}^2$  per pulse. Excitation wavelength: 625 nm. Cartoons above the (A)-(C) illustrate the corresponding immobilization schemes. (D) Spectrally integrated Cy3 laser output as a function of pump intensity in the absence (triangles) and presence (squares) of Cy5. Spectral integration takes place between 563 nm and 637 nm using the laser emission spectra similar to those shown in (A) and (B). Solid lines are the curve fit above the respective thresholds, showing a threshold of  $28 \mu\text{J}/\text{mm}^2$  and  $84 \mu\text{J}/\text{mm}^2$ , and a lasing slope of  $25 \text{ mm}^2/\mu\text{J}$  and  $12 \text{ mm}^2/\mu\text{J}$ , respectively, for Cy3 laser in the absence and presence of Cy5. (E) and (F) Lasing threshold and slope obtained with the corresponding curves similar to those plotted in (D). Error bars are generated with 5 measurements.

**References:**

1. Z. Li, and D. Psaltis, "Optofluidic dye lasers," *Microfluid. Nanofluid.* **4**, 145–158 (2007).
2. Y. Chen, L. Lei, K. Zhang, J. Shi, L. Wang, H. Li, X. M. Zhang, Y. Wang, and H. L. W. Chan, "Optofluidic microcavities: Dye-lasers and biosensors," *Biomicrofluidics* **4**, 043002 (2010).
3. X. Fan, and I. M. White, "Optofluidic Microsystems for Chemical and Biological Analysis," *Nature Photon.* **5**, 591-597 (2011).
4. H. Schmidt, and A. R. Hawkins, "The photonic integration of non-solid media using optofluidics," *Nature Photon.* **5**, 598-604 (2011).
5. X. Fan, and S.-H. Yun, "The potential of optofluidic biolasers," *Nature Methods* **11**, 141 - 147 (2014).
6. B. Helbo, A. Kristensen, and A. Menon, "A micro-cavity fluidic dye laser," *J. Micromech. Microeng.* **13**, 307–311 (2003).
7. Q. Kou, I. Yesilyurt, and Y. Chen, "Collinear dual-color laser emission from a microfluidic dye laser," *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **88**, 091101 (2006).
8. Z. Li, Z. Zhang, T. Emery, A. Scherer, and D. Psaltis, "Single mode optofluidic distributed feedback dye laser," *Opt. Express* **14**, 696-701 (2006).
9. S. K. Y. Tang, Z. Li, A. R. Abate, J. J. Agresti, D. A. Weitz, D. Psaltis, and G. M. Whitesides, "A multi-color fast-switching microfluidic droplet dye laser," *Lab Chip* **9**, 2767-2771 (2009).
10. B. Zhen, S.-L. Chua, J. Lee, A. W. Rodriguez, X. Liang, S. G. Johnson, J. D. Joannopoulos, M. Soljačić, and O. Shapira, "Enabling enhanced emission and low-threshold lasing of organic molecules using special Fano resonances of macroscopic photonic crystals," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **110**, 13711-13716 (2013).
11. Y. Sun, S. I. Shopova, C.-S. Wu, S. Arnold, and X. Fan, "Bioinspired optofluidic FRET lasers via DNA scaffolds," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **107**, 16039-16042 (2010).
12. X. Zhang, W. Lee, and X. Fan, "Bio-switchable optofluidic lasers based on DNA Holliday junctions," *Lab Chip* **12**, 3673-3675 (2012).
13. Q. Chen, H. Liu, W. Lee, Y. Sun, D. Zhu, H. Pei, C. Fan, and X. Fan, "Self-assembled DNA tetrahedral optofluidic lasers with precise and tunable gain control," *Lab Chip* **13**, 3351–3354 (2013).
14. X. Wu, Q. Chen, Y. Sun, and X. Fan, "Bio-inspired optofluidic lasers with luciferin," *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **102**, 203706 (2013).
15. S. Nizamoglu, M. C. Gather, and S. H. Yun, "All-Biomaterial Laser using Vitamin and Biopolymers," *Adv. Mater.* (2013, DOI: 10.1002/adma201300818).
16. A. Jonáš, M. Aas, Y. Karadag, S. Manioğlu, S. Anand, D. McGloin, H. Bayraktarc, and A. Kiraz, "In vitro and in vivo biolasing of fluorescent proteins suspended in liquid microdroplet cavities," *Lab Chip* **14**, 3093-3100 (2014).
17. J. C. Galas, C. Peroz, Q. Kou, and Y. Chen, "Microfluidic dye laser intracavity absorption," *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **89**, 224101 (2006).
18. M. C. Gather, and S. H. Yun, "Single-cell biological lasers," *Nature Photon.* **5**, 406-410 (2011).
19. Y. Sun, and X. Fan, "Distinguishing DNA by Analog-to-Digital-like Conversion by Using Optofluidic Lasers," *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **51**, 1236-1239 (2012).
20. W. Lee, and X. Fan, " Intracavity DNA Melting Analysis with Optofluidic Lasers," *Anal. Chem.* **84**, 9558–9563 (2012).

21. Q. Chen, X. Zhang, Y. Sun, M. Ritt, S. Sivaramakrishnan, and X. Fan, "Highly sensitive fluorescent protein FRET detection using optofluidic lasers," *Lab Chip* **13**, 2679-2681 (2013).
22. X. Wu, M. K. Khaing Oo, K. Reddy, Q. Chen, Y. Sun, and X. Fan, "Optofluidic laser for dual-mode sensitive biomolecular detection with a large dynamic range," *Nature Commun.* **5**, 3779 (2014).
23. W. Song, A. E. Vasdekis, Z. Li, and D. Psaltis, "Optofluidic evanescent dye laser based on a distributed feedback circular grating," *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **94**, 161110 (2009).
24. S. Tyagi, and F. R. Kramer, "Molecular beacons: probes that fluoresce upon hybridization," *Nature Biotechnol.* **14**, 303-308 (1996).
25. L. Zhou, L. Wang, R. Palais, R. Pryor, and C. Wittwer, "High-resolution DNA melting analysis for simultaneous mutation scanning and genotyping in solution," *Clin. Chem.* **51**, 1770-1777 (2005).
26. S. Brenner, M. Johnson, J. Bridgham, G. Golda, D. H. Lloyd, D. Johnson, S. Luo, S. McCurdy, M. Foy, M. Ewan, R. Roth, D. George, S. Eletr, G. Albrecht, E. Vermaas, S. R. Williams, K. Moon, T. Burcham, M. Pallas, R. B. DuBridge, J. Kirchner, K. Fearon, J.-i. Mao, and K. Corcoran, "Gene expression analysis by massively parallel signature sequencing (MPSS) on microbead arrays," *Nature Biotechnol.* **18**, 630-634 (2000).
27. M. C. Larson, M. Kondow, T. Kitatani, Y. Yazawa, and M. Okai, "Room temperature continuous-wave photopumped operation of 1.22  $\mu\text{m}$  GaInNAs/GaAs single quantum well vertical-cavity surface-emitting laser," *Electron. Lett.* **33**, 959-960 (1997).
28. W. Li, T. Jouhti, C. S. Peng, J. Konttinen, P. Laukkanen, E.-M. Pavelescu, M. Dumitrescu, and M. Pessa, "Low-threshold-current 1.32- $\mu\text{m}$  GaInNAs/GaAs single-quantum-well lasers grown by molecular-beam epitaxy," *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **79**, 3386-3388 (2001).
29. V. Bulović, V. G. Kozlov, V. B. Khalfin, and S. R. Forrest, "Transform-Limited, Narrow-Linewidth Lasing Action in Organic Semiconductor Microcavities," *Science* **279**, 553-555 (1998).
30. A. Rose, Z. Zhu, C. F. Madigan, T. M. Swager, and V. Bulovic, "Sensitivity gains in chemosensing by lasing action in organic polymers," *Nature* **434**, 876 - 879 (2005).
31. U. Bog, T. Laue, T. Grossmann, T. Beck, T. Wienhold, B. Richter, M. Hirtz, H. Fuchs, H. Kalt, and T. Mappes, "On-chip microlasers for biomolecular detection via highly localized deposition of a multifunctional phospholipid ink," *Lab Chip* **13**, 2701-2707 (2013).
32. C. Dang, J. Lee, C. Breen, J. S. Steckel, S. Coe-Sullivan, and A. Nurmikko, "Red, green and blue lasing enabled by single-exciton gain in colloidal quantum dot films," *Nature Nanotechnol.* **7**, 335-339 (2012).
33. V. D. Ta, R. Chen, and H. D. Sun, "Tuning Whispering Gallery Mode Lasing from Self-Assembled Polymer Droplets," *Sci. Rep.* **3**, 1362 (2013).
34. A. Boleininger, T. Lake, S. Hami, and C. Vallance, "Whispering Gallery Modes in Standard Optical Fibres for Fibre Profiling Measurements and Sensing of Unlabelled Chemical Species," *Sensors* **10**, 1765 - 1781 (2010).
35. H.-J. Moon, Y.-T. Chough, and K. An, "Cylindrical Microcavity Laser Based on the Evanescent-Wave-Coupled Gain," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 3161-3164 (2000).
36. S. I. Shopova, H. Zhu, X. Fan, and P. Zhang, "Optofluidic ring resonator based dye laser," *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **90**, 221101 (2007).



37. H. Zhu, I. M. White, J. D. Suter, P. S. Dale, and X. Fan, "Analysis of biomolecule detection with optofluidic ring resonator sensors," *Opt. Express* **15**, 9139-9146 (2007).
38. S. Lacey, I. M. White, Y. Sun, S. I. Shopova, J. M. Cupps, P. Zhang, and X. Fan, "Versatile opto-fluidic ring resonator lasers with ultra-low threshold," *Opt. Express* **15**, 17433-17442 (2007).




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4