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The diverse reactivity of hydroxymethylfuran (HMF) in Pd/C-catalyzed reactions is described with emphasis on the role of additives that affect selectivity. Three broad reactions are examined: decarbonylation, hydrogenation, and hydrogenolysis. Especially striking are the multiple roles of formic acid in hydrogenolysis / hydrogenation and in suppressing decarbonylation, as illustrated by the conversion of HMF to DMF. Hydrogenation of the furan ring is suppressed by CO₂ and carboxylic acids. These results emphasize the utility of Pd/C as a convenient catalyst for upgradation of cellulosic biomass.

Introduction

Methods for the conversion of lignocellulosic biomass to commodity chemicals have escalated due to the interest in carbon-neutral fuels, as well as energy security.¹-⁵ Cellulose and hemicelluloses are abundant precursors to liquid fuels and chemicals, and the principal pathway for this upconversion involves deoxygenation and hydrogenolysis, usually sequentially. Almost all routes from sugars to liquid fuels involve furanic intermediates. For glucose-based feedstocks, 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) is of central importance.⁶-⁹

Recent years have witnessed major advances in the production of HMF. The imminent availability of cheap HMF places greater emphasis on its process chemistry, i.e., conversion to liquid fuels and chemicals.¹⁰,¹¹ HMF can be oxidized to monomeric units that are useful precursors for polymers.¹²,¹³ It can also be deoxygenated to 2,5-dimethylfuran (DMF) and 2,5-dimethyltetrahydrofuran (DMTHF) with further hydrogenation, which are both suitable fuel additives owing to their high energy density, volatility and solubility.¹⁴-¹⁶ Hydrogenation of HMF produces bis-(hydroxymethyl)tetrahydrofuran (BHMTHF), which is a promising solvent and monomer.¹⁷ Heterogeneous catalysts have been used for decarbonylation, decarboxylation, hydrogenation, and hydrogenolysis.¹⁸

One of the key challenges for upgrading HMF and furfural is product selectivity. For example, hydrogenation of HMF results in a mixture of side chain- and ring-hydrogenated products along with ring-opening products.¹⁷-¹⁹ Although a large variety of catalysts have been investigated for the conversion of HMF,²⁰ we have found 5 weight % palladium on carbon (henceforth abbreviated Pd/C) to be especially versatile. Indeed, palladium-based catalysts have been widely examined to reduce oxygen content of HMF and carbohydrates under reducing conditions, but in most cases, a mixture of products is obtained.²¹-²⁴ This report describes new insights that allow the use of Pd/C for more selective transformations of HMF: decarbonylation, hydrogenolysis, and hydrogenation (Scheme 1). Furthermore, owing to the mild operating conditions permitted by Pd/C, formation of humins could be minimized if carbohydrates are used as precursors to HMF.

Decarbonylation of HMF, decarboxylation, and hydrogenation (Scheme 1). Furthermore, owing to the mild operating conditions permitted by Pd/C, formation of humins could be minimized if carbohydrates are used as precursors to HMF. Hydrogenolysis of the side chains of HMF is competitive with ring hydrogenation.¹³,¹⁸,²⁰,²⁵-²⁸ Decoupling those processes is highly relevant to the use of furans as fuels. Supercritical CO₂-H₂O mixture has been demonstrated to favor formation of DMF from HMF, although the product distribution is highly sensitive on the reaction parameters and involves the use of high pressure H₂ or CO₂.²⁹,³⁰

In parallel with hexose-derived HMF, furfural (FF) is the principal dehydration product of pentoses. FF is of interest as a precursor to furfuryl alcohol (FFA), which is used commercially.³¹ We were interested in developing an approach to produce FFA from the more abundantly available HMF. The decarbonylation of HMF was realized decades ago with Pd-based catalysts under harsh reaction conditions.³² Recently, the Leitner group reported the decarbonylation of HMF with iridium phosphine catalysts under high pressure CO₂ (50 bar).³³ Maiti and Fu carried out the same reaction using Pd(OAc)₂ and Pd/SBA-15 respectively, in the presence of molecular sieves.³⁴,³⁵ These decarbonylation reactions, however, required high temperatures, prolonged reaction times, and the presence of CO scavengers.

Scheme 1. Reaction of HMF catalyzed by Pd/C with and without additives. HMF: 5-hydroxymethylfurfural; FFA: furfuryl alcohol; DMF: dimethylfuran; FMF: 5-(formyloxymethyl)furfural; DMTHF: dimethyltetrahydrofuran.

Results

Decarbonylation of HMF. Decarbonylation experiments were carried out in an open flask in dioxane solution (Table 1). It has a relatively high boiling point and is useful in processing of lignocellulose.³⁶,³⁷ The effect of temperature on the decarbonylation of HMF is dramatic. When the reaction was conducted at 100 ºC, no conversion of HMF was observed. In contrast, the yield of FFA reached 90% when the temperature was elevated to 120 ºC. Conversion to FFA is inefficient (32%) in a sealed reactor, an effect attributed to catalyst poisoning by in-
excellent selectivity to afford yield. The formate ester of HMF (FMF) was decarbonylated with derivatives (Table 2).

The generality of this method was probed with diverse HMF substrates, substrate/Pd = 10 molar ratio, the mixture was refluxed in air. This reaction was conducted in a closed reactor.

Situ generated CO (Table 1, entry 6). Solvent is also necessary for this reaction to proceed with high efficiency (Table 1, entry 7). The generality of this method was probed with diverse HMF derivatives (Table 2), 5-Methylfurfural (5-MF), which can be derived from fructose, gave 2-methylfuran in nearly quantitative yield. The formate ester of HMF (FMF) was decarbonylated with excellent selectivity to afford the activated ester of FFA.

**Table 1. Decarbonylation of HMF to FFA with Pd/C (oil bath T = 120 ºC).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>T, ºC</th>
<th>t (h)</th>
<th>Conversion (%)</th>
<th>Selectivity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>dioxane (5 mL)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>dioxane (5 mL)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>dioxane (5 mL)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>dioxane (5 mL)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>dioxane (5 mL)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>dioxane (5 mL)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>no solvent</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Reaction conditions: HMF (1.0 mmol), substrate/Pd = 20 molar ratio, the mixture was refluxed in air. This reaction was conducted in a closed reactor.*

**Table 2. Pd/C-Catalyzed Decarbonylation of Biomass-derived Furfurals (oil bath T = 120 ºC).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Conversion (%)</th>
<th>Selectivity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>HOOC-CH=CHCOOH</td>
<td>H-MF</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>HOOC-CH=CHCOOH</td>
<td>HOOC-CH=CHCOOH</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>HOOC-CH=CHCOOH</td>
<td>HOOC-CH=CHCOOH</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>HOOC-CH=CHCOOH</td>
<td>HOOC-CH=CHCOOH</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>HOOC-CH=CHCOOH</td>
<td>HOOC-CH=CHCOOH</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Reaction conditions: substrate (1.0 mmol), substrate/Pd = 20 molar ratio, dioxane (5 mL), 15 h.*

This transformation is noteworthy because the ester of FFA cannot be synthesized directly from FFA. Instead FA induces oligomerization of FFA owing to the high reactivity of the 5-CH center. Further illustrating the protection afforded by the formyl group, 5-(mesitylmethyl)furfural, derived from the alkylation of mesitylene with HMF, also cleanly decarbonylated. In contrast, 5-chloromethylfurfural was unreactive (Table 2, entry 5), probably due to catalyst deactivation by this reactive alkyl chloride.

**Hydrogenolysis of HMF with Pd/C and Formic Acid.** In the presence of formic acid (FA), a commonly used reagent in lignocellulose processing, the Pd/C-catalyzed decarbonylation of HMF is suppressed. Instead, 2,5-dimethylfuran (DMF) is produced as the exclusive product (Scheme 2). For such reactions a closed reactor (glass autoclave) is required. Such conversion entails both hydrogenation of the formyl group and hydrogenolysis of the hydroxymethyl groups. Notably, the furan ring is not hydrogenated, which is commonly observed when H₂ is used as the hydrogen source.

**Figure 1.** Time course of hydrogenolysis of HMF (a) and FMF (b) to DMF over time. Reaction conditions (see Scheme 2): 0.5 mmol substrate, substrate/Pd = 10 molar ratio, FA (10 equiv), dioxane (5 mL), 120 ºC.

**Scheme 2.** Conversion of HMF to DMF with Pd/C and FA.

In terms of mechanism, the FA-promoted conversion of HMF to DMF was envisioned to proceed via either of two pathways, which differ in the sequence of hydrogenation of the formyl group and hydrogenolysis of the C-OH bond (Scheme 3). These two pathways would produce distinctive intermediates: bis(hydroxymethyl)furan (BHMF) for Path A and 5-methylfurfural (5-MF) for Path B. By ¹H NMR spectroscopy of the reaction mixtures, BHMF was indeed observed at a low (~5%) concentration during the reaction while no 5-MF was
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detected (Figure 1(a)). This result suggests that hydrogenation of the formyl group precedes hydrogenolysis, implicating Path A.

Control experiments starting with BHMF yielded DMF quantitatively under these reaction conditions.

We also investigated the role of formate esters in the conversion of HMF to DMF. 2-(Formoxymethyl)furfural (FMF) and 2,5-bis(formoxymethyl)furan (BFMF) can be efficiently generated by treatment of HMF and BHMF, respectively, with FA at room temperature. These esters are poised to undergo Pd/C-catalyzed conversion to DMF. Our experiments showed that in dioxane solution, neither FMF nor BFMF are reactive toward Pd/C, i.e., they do not undergo decarboxylation in contrast to literature claims. In the presence of FA and Pd/C, however, both FMF and BFMF readily convert to DMF (Conditions in Scheme 2).

Detailed studies revealed that the pathways for conversion of FMF and HMF under our standard conditions differ in the sequence of C-O bond hydrogenolysis vs C=O bond hydrogenation (Figure 1(b)). In the case of FMF, 5-MF was observed as the major intermediate, indicating that hydrogenolysis is faster for the RCH-OCHO bond than the hydrogenation of the formyl group. Under comparable conditions, HMF converts to BHMF, indicating that the hydrogenation of the formyl group is faster than hydrogenolysis of the RCH-OH bond.

The reactivity of the formate leaving group was further confirmed by comparing hydrogenolysis rates for BHMF vs BFMF (Figure 2). Under our conditions, DMF was generated ca. 3x faster from BFMF than from BHMF. The advantage of formate esters was further confirmed by the low reactivity of (acetoxyethyl)furfural (AcMF) toward hydrogenolysis. Under standard conditions (0.5 mmol substrate, substrate/Pd = 10, 10 equiv FA, 5 mL dioxane, 120 °C), AcMF converted to DMF at half the rate seen for FMF. The hydrogenolysis of formate esters using FA as a hydrogen source in principle is catalytic in FA since it regenerates FA (eq 1).

\[
\text{OC}_2\text{H}_2(\text{CH}_2\text{OCHO})_2 \rightarrow \text{OC}_2\text{H}_2(\text{CH}_3)_2 + 2 \text{CO}_2 \quad \text{(1)}
\]

The effect of catalyst loading varied with the furanic substrate (Supporting Information). BFMF was found to be an ideal substrate: with only 1% catalyst loading, it converted in 78% yield to DMF after 15 h.

Tuning the Selectivity of the Hydrogenolysis of HMF. In the preceding section, FA was shown to facilitate the conversion of HMF into DMF by (i) serving as a hydrogen source and (ii) inhibiting decarbonylation. Fruitful experiments were conducted to probe the influence of FA on the hydrogenation (using H2) of furanic substrates catalysed by Pd/C. Results are summarized in Table 3. Under 30 psi of H2, Pd/C catalyzes the conversion of HMF to a mixture of ring hydrogenated species, (bis-hydroxymethyl)tetrahydrofuran (BMTHF), dimethyldi(hydroxymethyl)furan (DMHDF), and dimethyltetrahydrofuran (DMTHF) (Table 3, Entry 1). DMF was not observed. These results are in accordance with literature reports that document the tendency of Pd/C to catalyze hydrogenation of the furan ring.

The selectivity of this reaction was, however, favorably affected by the addition of various acids. As shown in Table 3, addition of FA results in excellent yield of DMF, with only 12% of ring-hydrogenation products. Acetic acid (pKa 4.74, vs 3.77 for FA) shows similar favorable effect, although not as significantly (Table 3, entry 3). Monitoring of the time course of the reaction under H2 reveals the significant effect of acid (Figure 3). In the absence of acid, BHMF appears as the initial intermediate, followed by the formation of DMF. Under these conditions, DMF undergoes further hydrogenation to DMDHF and finally to DMTHF (Scheme 4). In contrast, with the addition of acid, DMF and BHMF accumulate, suggesting that ring-hydrogenation is inhibited by the acid.

More interestingly, the combination of CO2 and H2O strongly affects the product distribution. Selectivity to DMF increased from 0 to 38% in presence of CO2 (30 psi). A small amount of H2O is essential as a co-solvent, implicating a role for carbonic acid (Table 3, entries 4 and 5). Dimethylcarbonate ((CH3CO2)2O), a well known source of CO2, showed similar beneficial effect on the selective production of DMF from HMF (Table 3, entries 6 and 7). The influence of CO2+H2O on the reactivity of HMF has been observed previously.
Table 3. Effect of Additives on Hydrogenation and Hydrogenolysis of HMF.3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Additive</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>Conversion (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>BHMTF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>HCO₂H (5 mmol)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CH₃CO₂H (5 mmol)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CO₂ (30 psi)/H₂Oₐ</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CO₂ (30 psi)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>[(CH₃OC(O)]₂O (5mmol)/H₂Oₐ</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>[(CH₃OC(O)]₂O (5 mmol)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>trace</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3Reaction conditions: HMF (0.5 mmol), substrate/Pd =10 molar ratio, H₂ (30 psi), dioxane (5 mL), 120 °C, 15 h. 0.5 mL H₂O and 4.5 mL dioxane, total solvent volume = 5 mL.

Figure 3. Time course of the Pd/C catalyzed reaction of HMF under H₂ (30 psi). (a): w/o acid. (b): CH₃CO₂H (10 equiv).

Reaction conditions: substrate (0.5 mmol), substrate:Pd = 10 molar ratio, dioxane (5 mL), 120 °C.
Product distribution is also highly dependent on reactant concentration and catalyst loading. Increasing the concentration of HMF by 4-fold lead to a decrease in ring hydrogenation products and improved the selectivity of DMF under both \( \text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2\text{H} \) and \( \text{CO}_2/\text{H}_2\text{O} \) conditions (Table 4). In particular, DMF was produced in 85% yield with trace amount of ring hydrogenation products when \( \text{CO}_2/\text{H}_2\text{O} \) was used. Hydrogenation of HMF was equally facile with a decreased catalyst loading (Table 4, entries 5 and 6). Selectivity of hydrogenation/hydrogenolysis over ring hydrogenation was strongly dependent on the catalyst loading. In fact, it decreased the tendency for ring hydrogenation prior to hydrogenolysis due to the availability of less Pd catalytic sites. BHMTTHF was not observed with 5 mol% catalyst loading even in absence of additives, though DMDHF and DMTHF were the dominant products. Gratifyingly, a decreased catalyst loading in presence of additives resulted in absence of any ring hydrogenation product in the reaction mixture after 15 h (Figure 5).

Table 4. Effect of Catalyst Loading on Hydrogenation/Hydrogenolysis of HMF under \( \text{H}_2 \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Loading (mol %)</th>
<th>Additive</th>
<th>BHMF (%)</th>
<th>DMF (%)</th>
<th>BHMTTHF (%)</th>
<th>DMDHF (%)</th>
<th>DMTHF (%)</th>
<th>Conv (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>&gt; 95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2\text{H} ) (20 mmol)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>~ 95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>( \text{CO}_2/\text{H}_2\text{O} ) (0.5 mL)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&gt; 95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>&gt; 95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2\text{H} ) (20 mmol)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>&lt; 5%</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>~ 95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>( \text{CO}_2/\text{H}_2\text{O} ) (0.5 mL)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&gt; 95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Reaction conditions: HMF (2 mmol), \( \text{H}_2 \) (30 psi), dioxane (5 mL), the mixture was heated in a pressure reactor at 120 °C for 15 h. \textsuperscript{b} 5% unconverted HMF. \textsuperscript{c} Yields were determined by \( ^1\text{H} \) NMR with nitromethane as the internal standard.
This journal product yield was added to the reaction mixture as internal standard and the mixture was stirred at 120 ºC (100 mg, 10 mol%), dioxane (5 mL), and formic acid (190 mg). The reactor was refilled with H₂ (30 psi) and the closed system was stirred at 120 ºC (oil bath temperature). After 15 h, the mixture was cooled to room temperature. A known amount of MeNO₂ was added as internal standard and an aliquot was taken for analysis. Conversion and selectivity of the product mixture were determined by ¹H NMR.
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