

Green Chemistry

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/greenchem

ARTICLE

Chemo-enzymatic synthesis of key intermediates (*S*)- γ -hydroxymethyl- α,β -butenolide and (*S*)- γ -hydroxymethyl- γ -butyrolactone *via* lipase-mediated Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of levoglucosenone

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received 00th January 2012,
Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

A. L. Flourat,^{§a,b,c} A. A. M. Peru,^{§a,b,c} A. R. S. Teixeira,^{a,d,e} F. Brunissen,^{a,b,c} and F. Allais*^{a,f,g}

Levoglucosenone (**LGO**), a valuable chiral platform chemical that can be efficiently produced from catalytic fast pyrolysis of cellulose, has been efficiently converted into optically pure (*S*)- γ -hydroxymethyl- α,β -butenolide (**HBO**) using a two-step sequence involving a lipase-mediated Baeyer-Villiger oxidation and an acid hydrolysis. In the same fashion, (*S*)- γ -hydroxymethyl- γ -butyrolactone (**2H-HBO**) was successfully obtained through a three-step sequence (Baeyer-Villiger, palladium-catalysed hydrogenation and acid hydrolysis). The use of solid buffers in the lipase-mediated Baeyer-Villiger oxidation has proved beneficial in two ways: not only the reaction time and the enzymatic load were both reduced four-fold (from 8 to 2 hours and 464 to 113 U/mmol) to reach conversions $\geq 83\%$, but solid buffers also kept lipase from denaturation, thus preserving its enzymatic activity and allowing its use for further oxidation cycles.

Introduction

Faced with the inevitable decline of fossil fuels, but also more and more drastic regulations such as REACH, there is growing interest in the use of renewable sources as substitutes to petro-based resources. In this context, in the last few decades, much effort has been made to develop efficient methods to produce valuable chemicals from biomass, thus offering novel sustainable procedures as alternative routes to traditional synthetic pathways.¹ In this context, lignocellulose, one of the most sustainable carbon sources for producing valuable synthons, is particularly of interest since its catalytic fast pyrolysis (CFP) has been deeply studied for the production of target products in high yields.² Levoglucosenone (**LGO**), one of the products that can be produced from CFP, is recognized as a valuable product for the pharmaceutical industry for two primary reasons: (i) the preservation of one of the natural chiral centers in cellulose that can be transferred into chiral therapeutic agents able to inhibit enzymatic reactions in viruses and other pathogens, (ii) the α,β -unsaturated ketone and

protected aldehyde functionality in the levoglucosenone molecule offers the organic chemist a wide range of synthetic opportunities. Following these considerations, **LGO** has been intensively used in the organic synthesis of several chemical compounds, such as natural products, nucleosides, anticancer drugs, and building blocks.³

Phosphoric acid-catalysed pyrolysis process proved to increase the selectivity towards **LGO** up to 30% when used on glucose, cellulose or birch and pine wood.⁴ Recently, the use of zeolites as acid catalysts improved **LGO** selectivity up to 40% of the produced oxygenated products, while increasing the production of other highly-valuable platform chemicals, such as furfural.⁵ Interestingly, a new and feasible procedure has been recently reported for recovering **LGO** from pyrolytic liquids by distillation.⁶

LGO is a synthon of choice for the production of several valuable products (Figure 1).^{3,7} Among the different chemical intermediates that have been synthesized from **LGO**, the

unsaturated chiral γ -lactone (*S*)- γ -hydroxymethyl- α,β -butenolide (aka **HBO**, Figure 1) is certainly the most interesting since many drugs (such as Burseran or Isostegane),⁸ flavors⁹ and antiviral agents against HIV or hepatitis B virus¹⁰ can be efficiently synthesized from **HBO**.





Figure 1. Some chemical intermediates obtainable from **LGO**

The most efficient methods described to date for the synthesis of **HBO** are the ones reported by Koseki¹¹ and Paris¹². In both cases, **HBO** is obtained from **LGO** through a two-step procedure involving a Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of **LGO** into a mixture of formate lactone (**FBO**) and **HBO**, followed by an acid hydrolysis of the latter mixture to convert **FBO** into **HBO** (Scheme 1). Though the two procedures provide **HBO** in similar overall yields (ca. 80-90%), the one developed by Paris, that uses metal-based zeolites as catalyst for the Baeyer-Villiger oxidation and Amberlyst-15 as acid-resins allows the obtention of **HBO** in only 4 hours when it took more than 48 hours with Koseki's procedure using peracids (such as peracetic acid or *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid) and HCl/MeOH. Even though the zeolite-mediated procedure provides the desired target in a timely fashion, it must be noted that it has some drawbacks. Indeed, the synthesis of these relatively costly catalysts requires harsh conditions (e.g., aqueous HF solution, autoclave at 140 °C for 14 days, calcination at 580 °C). In addition, these tin- and aluminium-based catalysts may have a potential toxicity that may prevent their use for the synthesis of **HBO** as precursor for drugs or food additives.




Koseki's procedure:¹¹ a) MCPBA or AcOOH, Me₂S, 48 h, rt
c) MeOH, HCl, 45 °C, overnight
Paris' procedure:¹² b) metal-zeolite, 100 °C, 4 to 48 h
d) Amberlyst-15, rt

Scheme 1. Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of **LGO** into **HBO** and **FBO**

In order to offer a cost-effective, low toxicity and greener transformation of **LGO** into **HBO**, the use of lipase as biocatalyst seemed to be a promising alternative to the metal zeolites. Indeed, recent works,¹³ particularly those of Kotlewska^{13d} and Chavez^{13f} proved that the use of *Candida antarctica* B lipase (aka CAL-B) in the presence of both hydrogen peroxide and an acyl donor allowed the efficient synthesis of lactones from cyclic ketones via a Baeyer-Villiger reaction (Scheme 2).

Having recently used CAL-B for the efficient synthesis of renewable bisphenols from ferulic acid and bio-based diols,¹⁴ we dedicated ourselves to its use towards the study and optimization of a sustainable lipase-mediated preparation of **HBO** (and its dehydro-derivative, (*S*)- γ -hydroxymethyl- γ -butyrolactone aka **2H-HBO**) from **LGO** in a timely fashion and under mild conditions.




Scheme 2. Lipase-mediated Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of cyclic ketones using AcOEt as acyl donor and H₂O₂ as oxidant

Results and Discussion

The efficiency of the lipase-mediated Baeyer-Villiger oxidation described in this paper has been evaluated either by following the conversion of **LGO** into **HBO** and its formate (**FBO**)¹⁵ by HPLC (see experimental section) or by calculating yields of pure **HBO** after acid hydrolysis and purification on silica gel.

The first stage of this study consisted in verifying the feasibility of the Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of levoglucosenone in presence of *Candida antarctica* type B (CAL-B) and an acyl donor. In this aim, we first applied the reaction conditions reported by Chavez^{13f} and used H₂O₂ as oxidizing agent and ethyl acetate as both solvent and acyl donor (Scheme 3). Indeed, in their study, among all the acyl donors tested, ethyl acetate, that is a green solvent,¹⁶ was the one that gave the best conversions and yields. We were pleased to observe that, in similar conditions (40 °C, [**LGO**] = 0.5 M in ethyl acetate, enzyme/**LGO** ratio 507 PLU/mmol, 1.2 equiv. H₂O₂), 80% of **LGO** was converted (into a mixture of **HBO** and **FBO**) in only 8 hours while it took more than 48 hours to convert the same amount of cyclic ketones^{13f} such as cyclopentanone, cyclohexanone or cyclooctanone. Such an increase in reactivity can be explained by the higher migratory ability of the acetal-substituent relatively to the alkyl ones. Indeed, the two oxygens of the acetal greatly stabilize the transient carbocation through +M mesomeric effect. To further explore the impact of the stability of such carbocation on the outcome of the lipase-mediated Baeyer-Villiger oxidation, **LGO** underwent palladium-catalysed hydrogenation to provide **2H-LGO** that

was then subsequently submitted to the lipase-mediated oxidation under the conditions above-mentioned followed by acid hydrolysis (HCl, MeOH) (Scheme 3). In such conditions, as previously described in Koseki's work¹⁷ on the peracetic acid-mediated oxidation of **2H-LGO**, Baeyer-Villiger reaction on **2H-LGO** in presence of CAL-B also proved highly regioselective and led, after acid hydrolysis, to the corresponding dehydro-**HBO** (**2H-HBO**) exclusively and in high yield (78%). It is noteworthy that the latter γ -lactone can also be readily obtained from **HBO** through palladium-catalysed hydrogenation.



Scheme 3. Chemo-enzymatic syntheses of **2H-HBO** from **LGO**

Acid hydrolysis of the **HBO** and its formate

The oxidation of **LGO** leading to a mixture of **HBO** and **FBO**, it is essential to achieve an acid hydrolysis step to convert the latter into **HBO**. Two different procedures have been tested.

The first one consisted in recovering the reaction mixture after oxidation and concentrating it under vacuum before diluting the resulting crude product in a methanol/hydrochloric acid solution and heating the solution to 45 °C until complete conversion of **FBO** (ca. 6-8 hours). The second method, that used acid resin Amberlyst-15 and 1,4-dioxane instead of HCl and methanol, respectively,¹² completely converted **FBO** in 6-8 hours at room temperature. It is noteworthy that replacing 1,4-dioxane by methanol or ethyl acetate resulted in longer reaction times. In the perspective of an industrial application, the procedure involving Amberlyst-15, performed at low temperature and allowing an easy recycling of the solid acid catalyst,^{12b-e} will be preferred over the hydrochloric acid method that requires the use of costly acid-resistant reactors and equipment.

Optimization of the lipase-mediated Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of levoglucosenone

In view of these promising early trials, we then optimized the reaction conditions by studying, successively, the effect of temperature, **LGO** concentration, enzyme load, as well as the nature, quantity and addition mode of the oxidizing agent.

The effect of reaction temperature on the optimal conversion and reaction kinetics was first studied by running **LGO** oxidation at 20, 40 and 60 °C under the following conditions: [**LGO**] = 0.5 M in ethyl acetate, enzyme/**LGO** ratio 464 PLU/mmol, 1.2 equiv. H₂O₂ (Figure 2). As expected, reaction

proceeded very slowly when conducted at room temperature. Warming the reaction mixture up to 40 or 60 °C significantly increased the initial reaction rate. Nevertheless, after 8 hours, conversions were quite similar whatever the temperature applied. It is noteworthy that, as shown in Figure 2, running the reaction for a longer time resulted in higher conversion up to 95% at 40 °C for 24 hours. In other words, an increase in yield of only 12% required a reaction time three times longer and thus an energy consumption three times higher, not to mention the greater risk of loss of enzyme activity. Furthermore, due to its acetal moiety, **LGO** degrades in slightly acidic aqueous solutions, therefore the shorter the residence time of **LGO** in the reaction medium, the better. Following these considerations, optimization experiments were conducted for reactions times of 8 hours in order to (i) prevent **LGO** degradation, (ii) preserve the activity of enzyme for potential further oxidation cycles, and (iii) reduce the energy and environmental impact of this lipase-mediated Baeyer-Villiger oxidation while maintaining high conversions of **LGO**. Furthermore, warming the reaction at 60 °C compromising the enzyme stability, increasing the risk of explosion linked to peracetic acid, and being more energy consuming than at 40 °C, the latter temperature was thus chosen for further experiments.




Figure 2. Effect of temperature on **LGO** oxidation kinetics

The effect of **LGO** was studied for concentrations ranging from 0.25 to 1 M with a constant **LGO**/H₂O₂ ratio (1:1.2) at 40 °C, the latter being a critical factor in the rate of biocatalysed reactions.¹⁸ As shown in Table 1, increasing the concentration of **LGO** in the reaction resulted in an increase of the yields from 65 to 83% (Entries 1-4). However, no increase was obtained for **LGO** concentration beyond 0.75 M (Entries 3-4).

The third reactant to be studied was the oxidizing agent. As shown in Table 1 (Entries 2 and 8), the use of 1.2 equivalent of H₂O₂ was sufficient to observe optimal yield (ca. 74%). The use of more equivalent of oxidizing agent (1.5 equivalent and above) resulted in lower yields due to enzyme deactivation (Entry 8). Indeed, previous studies demonstrated that high

concentration of hydrogen peroxide slowly oxidizes sensitive amino acids and disrupt disulfide bridges thus resulting in loss of structure and activity.¹⁹ H₂O₂-urea, considered as a milder oxidant,^{13b,13c} was also tested but did not improve the yield (Entry 11).

Finally, the effect of enzyme loading was studied by varying the quantity of CAL-B from 139 to 464 PLU/mmol with the following conditions: 40 °C, [LGO] = 0.75 M in ethyl acetate, 1.2 equiv. H₂O₂. While optimal yield (83%) was obtained with the highest catalyst loading (Table 1, entry 3), a loading three times less nonetheless achieved a respectable yield of 72% (Table 1, entry 9). The mode of addition of the enzyme (in one portion or in two portions at $t = 0$ and $t = 4$ hours) did not have any impact on the yield (Table 1, entries 6 and 7).

Table 1. Results of the oxidation of LGO (500 mg) using different conditions^a

Entry	[LGO] (mol.L ⁻¹)	[CAL-B] (PLU/mmol)	H ₂ O ₂ (equiv)	Yield HBO ^d (%)
1	0.25	464	1.2 ^b	65
2	0.5	464	1.2 ^b	74
3	0.75	464	1.2 ^b	83
4	1	464	1.2 ^b	83
5	0.5	695	1.2 ^b	72
6	0.5	927	1.2 ^b	75
7	0.5	2 x 464	1.2 ^b	75
8	0.5	464	1.5 ^b	70
9	0.75	139	1.2 ^b	72
10	0.75	232	1.2 ^b	72
11	0.75	676	1.2 ^c	70

^a Reaction time: 8 hours; ^b H₂O₂ 50% w/w; ^c H₂O₂-urea; ^d After acid hydrolysis and purification on silica gel

Influence of acetic and formic acid by-product on residual enzymatic activity

Under the following reaction conditions (40 °C, [LGO] = 0.75 M in ethyl acetate, enzyme/LGO ratio 219 PLU/mmol, 1.2 equiv. H₂O₂), determination of the residual enzyme activity of CAL-B during the course of oxidation revealed a relatively fast loss of bioactivity (e.g., 85% at 4 hours, 74% at 8 hours, Figure 3), which jeopardizes its recycling. This loss of CAL-B enzymatic activity was attributed to the acetic acid and formic acid co-product generated during the reaction. Indeed, it has been previously proven that an acid environment dramatically modifies protein conformation and cleaves disulfide bridges

thus impacting lipase bioactivity.^{13f,20} Enzyme thus loses its ability to form peracid and hence the quantity of HBO produced decreases. To overcome this problem, two solutions can be proposed: (i) reduce the reaction time by improving oxidation kinetics, or (ii) use buffer solutions/buffering salts in the reaction medium to neutralize acetic and formic acid formed.




Figure 3. Residual activity of CAL-B during the lipase-mediated oxidation of LGO (Measured using lauric acid method - see experimental section)

Influence of liquid and solid buffers on the lipase-mediated Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of LGO

CAL-B activity being optimal in a pH range of 7-10,²¹ oxidation of LGO was performed in presence of pH 7.2 aqueous phosphate buffer solution and resulted in only 47% yield after acid hydrolysis and purification on silica gel. Such a low yield can be attributed to the relatively high quantity of water in the reaction and its deleterious effect on enzyme activity.²² Indeed, though water is generally considered as the best solvent for enzymatic reactions, it can also affect the enzyme through the hydrolysis of peptide bonds, deamidation of amino acid chains or destruction of cysteine residues, thus, causing its inactivation. Furthermore, the higher flexibility of the enzymes due to the presence of water (which results in their characteristic higher activity in aqueous environments) promotes these undesired reactions.^{22b} Although organic solvents are able to stabilize enzymes by preventing such side-reactions, enzymes still require a certain level of water in their structures in order to maintain their natural conformation, allowing them to deliver their full functionality.^{22b,22c} It is found that regardless of the type of reaction, the functionality of enzyme itself is maximum at an optimum level of water (aka water activity), beyond which the enzyme performance is decreased due to lower enzyme stability.^{22a} Furthermore, up to a certain level, water can modify the solvent polarity/polarizability as well as the solubility of the reactants and the products that can cause mass transfer limitations and thus affect the enzyme performance at higher water levels. In addition, water can be a substrate or a product of the enzymatic reaction, influencing the enzyme turnover in different ways.

To avoid any extra water in the reaction media than the one coming from the aqueous hydrogen peroxide solution, we then turned to the use of solid buffers. For this study, three commercially available buffers (acid form and its corresponding sodium salt) have first been tested: MOPS ((4-morpholinepropanesulfonic acid), $pK_a = 7.2$), TAPS ((*N*-[tris(hydroxymethyl)methyl]-3-aminopropanesulfonic acid), $pK_a = 8.4$), CAPSO ((3-(cyclohexylamino)-2-hydroxy-1-propanesulfonic acid), $pK_a = 9.6$). Three oxidations have been performed under similar conditions (solid buffer and sodium salt 20 mg/mL each; 40 °C, [LGO] = 0.75 M in ethyl acetate, enzyme/LGO ratio 169 PLU/mmol, 1.2 equiv. H₂O₂) and followed by HPLC to determine LGO conversion and kinetics. As shown in Figure 4, in presence of TAPS and CAPSO, the oxidation proved very efficient and led to conversion up to 87% in only 2 hours, while it took 8 hours to reach 72% without solid buffer (Table 1, entry 9) or 83% with an enzyme loading ca. 3 times higher (Table 1, entry 3).²³ For comparison, conversion of 95% was achieved in 4 hours in presence of metal-containing zeolites at 100 °C.¹² With MOPS, initial reaction rate was similar but optimal yield remained lower (72%). This decrease can be attributed to the fact that a gel formed in the reaction media and trapped CAL-B beads, thus limiting its access to hydrogen peroxide and LGO. It is noteworthy that the use of solid buffer was also tested in the oxidation of H-LGO. In presence of HEPES, H-LGO led to H-HBO exclusively (75% yield; 40 °C, [LGO] = 0.75 M in ethyl acetate, enzyme/LGO ratio 113 PLU/mmol, 1.2 equiv., HEPES, 8 hours), demonstrating that the use of solid buffer did not impact the regioselectivity of the lipase-mediated Bayer-Villiger oxidation. Now that solid buffers proved to reduce

substantially oxidation time, their impact on the enzyme recyclability was investigated.




Figure 4. Effect of solid buffer on the lipase-mediated oxidation of LGO

Effect of solid buffer on CAL-B recyclability

The enzyme recyclability was evaluated by following the conversion of LGO after one, two, three and four 2- or 8-hour oxidation cycles at constant LGO concentration ([LGO] = 0.75 M in ethyl acetate, 40 °C, 1.2 equiv. H₂O₂) using the same CAL-B and solid buffer after adequate washing between each cycle (Figure 5 and Table 2). This procedure was selected to mimic how this process would be implemented at the industrial scale.

Table 2. Study of the oxidation of LGO (500 mg) after different catalytic cycles^a

Entry	Solid buffer	[CAL-B] (PLU/mmol)	Oxidation cycle	Reaction time (h)	LGO conversion (%)	Relative enzymatic activity ^b (%)
1	-	113	1 st	8	65	100
2	-	113	2 nd	8	6	9
3	HEPES	113	1 st	2	84	100
4	HEPES	113	2 nd	2	81	96
5	HEPES	113	3 rd	2	16	19
6	HEPES	113	4 th	2	17	19
7	HEPES	227	1 st	2	94	100
8	HEPES	227	2 nd	2	91	97
9	HEPES	227	3 rd	2	43	46
10	HEPES	227	4 th	2	29	31

^a [LGO] = 0.75 M in ethyl acetate, 40 °C, 1.2 equiv. H₂O₂; ^b Calculated percentage relatively to enzymatic activity at 1st cycle (See Experimental section)

ARTICLE




Figure 5. LGO conversion after repeated use of CAL-B with or without HEPES

These 2- or 8-hour oxidation cycles have been performed with two different enzyme loadings (113 and 227 PLU/mmol), with or without solid buffer. Since solid buffers with pK_a between 8 and 10 resulted in conversions as high as 90% with an enzyme loading at 169 PLU/mmol, and MOPS could not be used, we had to search for another solid buffer with a pK_a close to CAL-B optimal pH (ca. 7.6). Considering the above conditions, we turned to HEPES ((*N*-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperazine-*N'*-(2-ethanesulfonic acid)), pK_a 7.5) that, in contrast to MOPS, did not form a gel.

As depicted in Figure 5 and Table 2 – entries 1 & 2, in presence of only 113 PLU/mmol of LGO, without any buffer, a 8-hour oxidation cycle was required to convert 65% of LGO. Upon a second 8-hour oxidation cycle, conversion dropped down to 6%, thus proving that relative enzymatic activity in the second cycle was very low (9%) (see Experimental section for relative enzyme activity definition and calculations). In presence of HEPES (Table 2, entries 3-10), conversions up to 84 and 81% for the first and second cycles, respectively were obtained in only 2 hours and dropped to 16-17% for the third and fourth ones. In the second, third and fourth oxidation cycles, relative enzymatic activities were thus 96%, 19% and 19% respectively. It is noteworthy that in presence of a higher amount of enzyme (227 PLU/mmol), conversions and relative enzyme activities in the first, second, third and fourth cycles were even higher. In summary, the use of solid buffer not only improved the conversion rate, but also kept the enzyme from deactivation thus allowing its recycling for further oxidation cycles.

Scale up process

The last important point that has been evaluated is the feasibility of scaling up the process described in Table 2 -

entries 3 & 4 (Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of LGO in presence of CAL-B and HEPES, recycling of CAL-B/HEPES through filtration, second Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of LGO using recycled enzyme/buffer, acid hydrolysis of the combined reactions mixture with HCl/MeOH, and finally purification on silica gel). To do so, scale up of this process was performed with 10 g of LGO per oxidation cycle. In such conditions, conversions of LGO were comparable to those observed at 500 mg scale (1st cycle: 83% and 81 % respectively). The two crude reactions mixtures were then combined and submitted to the acid hydrolysis. Finally, after concentration to dryness, crude mixture was easily purified by column chromatography and provided pure HBO in 67% yield (overall yield for the two 2-hours oxidation cycles).

Conclusions

Lipase-mediated Baeyer-Villiger oxidation has been successfully applied to convert LGO into chiral lactones (*S*)- γ -hydroxymethyl- α,β -butenolide (HBO) and (*S*)- γ -hydroxymethyl- γ -butyrolactone (2H-HBO), two high-valuable chemical intermediates for the preparation of drugs or flavors. This enzymatic Baeyer-Villiger oxidation performed better on this peculiar cyclic ketone (+M mesomeric stabilization of the α -carbon) than on regular cyclic ones giving optimal yields after only 8 hours (vs. 48 hours). Furthermore, the use of solid buffers in the reaction mixture not only shortens the reaction time from 8 to 2 hours and cuts the enzymatic loading by four, but it also prevents the deactivation of enzyme thus allowing its use for a second cycle without significant loss of activity. Compared to the previously reported preparations of HBO from LGO, this lipase-mediated Baeyer-Villiger oxidation procedure offers a cost-effective, low toxicity and greener alternative through the use of resin supported enzyme, green oxidant, bio-based and low toxicity solvents/reactants under mild conditions.

Experimental Section

(-)-Levoglucosenone was kindly donated by CIRCA Group (Knoxfield Victoria, Australia). Novozym 435® (aka CAL-B, lot n° SLBF9301V, 9600 PLU/g), hydrogen peroxide (50% w/w), hydrogen peroxide-urea, Palladium on carbon (10%), MOPS, MOPS sodium salt, TAPS, TAPS sodium salt, CAPSO, CAPSO sodium salt, HEPES, HEPES sodium salt, lauric acid, 1-propanol and 12 N aqueous hydrochloric acid (36% w/w) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Ethyl acetate, methanol, ethanol, hexane and acetonitrile (HPLC grade) were purchased from Thermofisher Scientific and used as received. Ultra-pure laboratory grade water (MilliQ, 18.2 megaOhms, 25 °C) was employed for HPLC analysis. TLC analyses were performed on

aluminium strip coated with Silica Gel 60 F₂₅₄ from Merck, eluted with 20/80 cyclohexane/ethyl acetate, and revealed under UV-light (254 nm) then in presence of a 20% w/w ethanolic solution of phosphomolybdic acid; **LGO**: $R_f = 0.65$ (20/80 cyclohexane/ethyl acetate), black spot. All the reactants were used without further purification. FT-IR and UV-visible spectra were recorded on Agilent Cary 630 and Cary 60 respectively. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl₃ on a Bruker Fourier 300 MHz, reference in CDCl₃ was: 7.26 ppm in ¹H and 77.06 ppm in ¹³C; δ are given in ppm and J in Hz. Optical rotation values were measured using a 241 Perkin Elmer polarimeter. MS and HRMS were recorded on a Micromass GC-TOF. All reported yields are uncorrected and refer to the purified products.

(S)-5-(hydroxymethyl)furan-2(5H)-one (HBO)

Lipase-mediated Baeyer-Villiger reactions have been successfully performed with (-)-levoglucosenone amounts from 250 mg up to 10 g.

(-)-Levoglucosenone (**LGO**, 1 equiv) was dissolved in ethyl acetate ($C = 0.5$ -1 M). When specified, solid buffers, acid and salt forms, (20 mg/mL each) were added. A catalytic amount of CAL-B was added to the reaction mixture, and then hydrogen peroxide (1.2 equiv, 50% w/w) was added at once. Mixture was incubated (20-60 °C) for 2 to 24 hours under shaking (250 rpm). CAL-B was removed by filtration and washed with ethyl acetate (10 mL). The filtrate and the latter ethyl acetate layer were combined and concentrated to dryness


Hydrochloric acid-based hydrolysis

The resulting crude mixture was taken up in methanol ($C = 0.1$ M relatively to **LGO**). Concentrated hydrochloric acid (12 equiv. relatively to **LGO**) was added at rt and the reaction mixture was stirred at 45 °C until complete consumption of starting material.

Amberlyst-15-based hydrolysis

The resulting crude mixture was taken up in 1,4-dioxane ($C = 3$ M relatively to **LGO**). Amberlyst-15 (420 mg/mmol **LGO**) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt until complete consumption of starting material.

The crude mixture was evaporated to dryness with silica gel and purified by silica gel chromatography (elution with 75 to 100% ethyl acetate in cyclohexane) to yield pure **HBO**.



$R_f = 0.24$ (20/80 cyclohexane/ethyl acetate), light brown spot

FT-IR (neat, cm^{-1}): 3423 (OH), 1728 (C=O), 1329, 1162, 1050

UV (EtOH, nm): 221

$[\alpha]_D^{20} -112.0$ (c 0.01, CHCl₃). [(Lit.¹² -114.5 (c 0.1, CHCl₃))]


¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ_H 3.25 (s, 1H, H₆), 3.79 (dd, 1H, $J = 12$ and 3.6 Hz, H_{5a}), 3.99 (d, 1H, $J = 12$ Hz, H_{5b}), 5.17 (m, 1H, H₄), 6.20 (dd, 1H, $J = 5.7$ and 1.8 Hz, H₂), 7.53 (dd, 1H, $J = 5.7$ and 1.5 Hz, H₃).

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): δ_C 62.2 (t, C₁), 84.3 (d, C₄), 122.8 (d, C₂), 154.0 (d, C₃), 173.5 (s, C₁)

HRMS: m/z [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₅H₆O₃: 115.0395, found: 115.0396

(5R)-6,8-dioxabicyclo[3.2.1]octan-4-one (2H-LGO)

10% Pd/C (10% w/w, 500 mg) was added to a solution of (-)-Levoglucosenone **LGO** (5 g, 39.7 mmol) in ethyl acetate (50 mL, $C = 0.8$ M) at rt. The stirred suspension was degassed 3 times and put under nitrogen. The suspension was then hydrogenated under hydrogen atmosphere at rt until TLC showed complete consumption of starting material. The crude mixture was filtered over a pad of Celite® and the filtrate was concentrated to dryness with silica gel. The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography (elution with 10 to 60% ethyl acetate in cyclohexane) to yield pure **2H-LGO** (colorless oil, 4.4 g, 87%)



$R_f = 0.64$ (20/80 cyclohexane/ethyl acetate), violet spot

FT-IR (neat, cm^{-1}): 2965, 1739 (C=O), 1418, 1285, 1108

UV (EtOH, nm): 308, 211

$[\alpha]_D^{20} -253.6$ (c 0.01, CHCl₃)

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ_H 2.02 (m, 1H, H_{3b}), 2.34 (m, 2H, H_{2b,3a}), 2.62 (m, 1H, H_{2a}), 4.00 (m, 2H, H_{5a,4}), 4.70 (m, 1H, H_{5b}), 5.10 (s, 1H, H₆).

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): δ_C 29.9 (t, C₃), 31.1 (t, C₂), 67.5 (t, C₅), 73.1 (d, C₄), 101.5 (d, C₆), 200.3 (s, C₁).

HRMS: m/z [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₆H₉O₃: 129.0552, found: 129.0553

(S)-5-(hydroxymethyl)dihydrofuran-2(3H)-one (2H-HBO)

From (S)-5-(hydroxymethyl)furan-2(5H)-one (**HBO**)

10% Pd/C (10% w/w, 250 mg) was added to a solution of **HBO** (1.4 g, 12.3 mmol) in ethyl acetate (15 mL, $C = 0.8$ M) at rt. The stirred suspension was degassed 3 times and put under nitrogen. The suspension was then hydrogenated under hydrogen atmosphere at rt until TLC showed complete consumption of starting material. The crude mixture was

filtered over a pad of Celite® and the filtrate was concentrated to dryness with silica gel. The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography (elution with 100% ethyl acetate) to yield pure **2H-HBO** (1.30 g, 90%).

From (5R)-6,8-dioxabicyclo[3.2.1]octan-4-one (2H-LGO)

Lipase-mediated Baeyer-Villiger reactions have been successfully performed with **2H-LGO** amounts from 250 mg up to 10 g.

2H-LGO (1 equiv) was dissolved in ethyl acetate (C = 0.75 M). When specified, HEPES, acid and salt forms, (20 mg/mL each) were added. A catalytic amount of CAL-B was added to the reaction mixture, and then hydrogen peroxide (1.2 equiv, 50% w/w) was added at once. Mixture was incubated and shaken (250 rpm) at 40 °C until TLC showed complete consumption of starting material. At the end of the reaction, CAL-B was removed by filtration and washed with ethyl acetate (10 mL). The filtrate and the latter ethyl acetate layer were combined and concentrated to dryness.


Hydrochloric acid-based hydrolysis

The resulting crude mixture was taken up in methanol (C = 0.1 M relatively to **LGO**). Concentrated hydrochloric acid (12 equiv. relatively to **LGO**) was added at rt and the reaction mixture was stirred at 45 °C until complete consumption of starting material.

Amberlyst-15-based hydrolysis

The resulting crude mixture was taken up in 1,4-dioxane (C = 3 M relatively to **LGO**). Amberlyst-15 (420 mg/mmol **LGO**) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt until complete consumption of starting material.

The crude mixture was evaporated to dryness with silica gel and purified by silica gel chromatography (elution with 75 to 100% ethyl acetate in cyclohexane) to yield pure **2H-HBO** (CAL-B 507 PLU/mmol, no solid buffer, 8 hours, 78% yield; CAL-B 113 PLU/mmol, HEPES, 4 hours, 75% yield).



$R_f = 0.27$ (20/80 cyclohexane/ethyl acetate), black spot

FT-IR (neat, cm^{-1}): 3420 (OH), 2938, 1752 (C=O), 1353, 1181

UV (EtOH, nm): 207

$[\alpha]_D^{20} +52.9$ (c 0.01, CHCl_3). $[(\text{Lit.}^{24} +55.2$ (c 0.1, CHCl_3)

$^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3 , 300 MHz): δ_{H} 2.20 (m, 2H, H_3), 2.61 (m, 3H, $\text{H}_{2,6}$), 3.66 (dd, 1H, $J = 12.6$ and 4.5 Hz, H_{5a}), 3.92 (dd, 1H, $J = 12.6$ and 2.7 Hz, H_{5b}), 4.64 (m, 1H, H_4)

$^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (CDCl_3 , 75 MHz): δ_{C} 23.1 (t, C_2), 28.7 (t, C_3), 64.1 (t, C_5), 80.8 (d, C_4), 177.7 (s, C_1)

HRMS: m/z $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ calcd for $\text{C}_5\text{H}_8\text{NaO}_3$: 139.0371, found: 139.0379

Determination of residual enzyme activity - Lauric acid method

A solution of lauric acid (74.65% w/w) in 1-propanol (22.37% w/w) and water (2.98% w/w) was prepared and heated at 60 °C. CAL-B (ca. 14 mg) was weighted in a vial and put at 60 °C. After one hour, 5.0 g (ca. 6 mL) of the solution above was added to the enzyme and the mixture was stirred at 60 °C during 15 min. Samples (2 μL) were collected, weighted in a GC-vial and hexane (1 mL) was added. The vial was sealed and weighted again. This procedure was repeated three times for every single enzyme tested. Lauric acid conversion was determined by the following GC-MS method.

Unit definition: 1 PLU unit = 1 mmol of 1-propyl laurate formed per gram of enzyme per minute at 60 °C (reaction time: 15 minutes).

It is noteworthy that the mere fact of putting CAL-B suspended in ethyl acetate resulted in a loss of ca. 45% of the enzymatic activity reported in the specification sheet of CAL-B lot n° SLBF9301V. To determine the weight of CAL-B needed in the synthetic procedures, one must first determine enzymatic activity after suspension in ethyl acetate to faithfully reproduce the CAL-B-mediated Baeyer-Villiger oxidations reported herein.

Procedure for residual activity data reported in Figure 3

Six oxidation reactions have been performed using the same conditions (40 °C, $[\text{LGO}] = 0.75$ M in ethyl acetate, enzyme/**LGO** ratio 227 PLU/mmol, 1.2 equiv. H_2O_2) and were incubated at 40 °C for 2, 4, 6, 8, 12 and 16 hours respectively under shaking (250 rpm). CAL-B was then filtered and washed successively with ethyl acetate (10 mL), hexane (10 mL) and then dried in an oven at 40 °C for 1 hour, then kept in desiccators for 24 hours under vacuum before being submitted to the lauric acid-based test.

Procedure for data reported in Table 2 and Figure 5

Oxidation reactions have been performed using the same conditions (40 °C, $[\text{LGO}] = 0.75$ M in ethyl acetate, enzyme/**LGO** ratio 113 or 227 PLU/mmol, with or without HEPES, 1.2 equiv. H_2O_2) and were incubated at 40 °C for 2 hours under shaking (250 rpm). CAL-B and solid buffer were then filtered and washed with ethyl acetate (10 mL) before being used immediately for another oxidation cycle.

Relative enzymatic activities, which represent the overall enzyme activity of a given oxidation cycle comparatively to the first one, have been calculated using the following equations:

Relative enzymatic activity for 1st cycle = 100

Relative enzymatic activity for 2nd cycle = (LGO conversion (2nd cycle) x 100) / (LGO conversion (1st cycle))

Relative enzymatic activity for 3rd cycle = (LGO conversion (3rd cycle) x 100) / (LGO conversion (1st cycle))

and so on.

GC-MS method

The GC-MS system consisted of an Agilent GC 5975 coupled with MS 7890 in electron impact mode with electron energy set at 70 eV and a mass range at m/z (30-350 amu), and equipped with a HP5-MS (30 m × 0.25 mm i.d., 0.25 μm film thickness) capillary column. The injector and transfer line temperatures were both at 280 °C. GC was performed in split mode (40:1). The temperature program was as follows: from 60 °C hold for 1 min, then to 325 °C at 20 °C.min⁻¹, hold at 325 °C for 5 min. The flow rate of hydrogen was set at 1.2 mL.min⁻¹ and the injected volume was 1 μL. Mass detector was set as follows: source temperature = 230 °C, quad temperature = 150 °C. A calibration curve was performed each time, with pure lauric acid (0.2-4.5 mg.mL⁻¹) in hexane. t_r (lauric acid) = 7.22 min, t_r (1-propyl laurate) = 7.92 min.

HPLC method

HPLC analyses were performed on a Thermofisher Ultimate 3000 equipped with a DAD detector (220 nm) and a ThermoScientific Synchronis aQ (250 x 4.6mm, 5μm) column. Samples were prepared by diluting 10 μL of reaction mixture in 1.5 mL of acetonitrile. Following conditions were applied: injection 10 μL, oven temperature 30 °C, flow 0.8 mL/min, elution method (water/acetonitrile): 0-5 min isocratic 85/15, 5-10 min from 85/15 to 90/10, 10-15 min isocratic 90/10, 15-20 min 90/10 to 85/15. Retention times: t_r (LGO) = 8.40 min, t_r (HBO) = 3.73 min, t_r (FBO) = 3.87 min, t_r (2H-LGO) = 8.40 min and t_r (2H-HBO) = 3.61 min.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Circa Group for providing them with industrial grade levoglucosone, and to Région Champagne-Ardenne, Conseil Général de la Marne and Reims Métropole for financial support.

Notes and references

- ^a AgroParisTech, Chaire Agro-Biotechnologies Industrielles (ABI), 247 rue Paul Vaillant-Couturier F-51100 Reims, France.
^b AgroParisTech, UMR 1318 IJPB, Route de Saint-Cyr F-78026 Versailles, France.
^c INRA, UMR 1318 IJPB, Route de Saint-Cyr F-78026 Versailles, France.
^d AgroParisTech, UMR 1145 GENIAL, 1 avenue des Olympiades, F-91744 Massy, France.
^e INRA, UMR 1145 GENIAL, 1 avenue des Olympiades, F-91744 Massy, France.

^f AgroParisTech, UMR 782 GMPA, Site de Grignon, F-78850 Thiverval-Grignon, France.

^g INRA, UMR 782 GMPA, Site de Grignon, F-78850 Thiverval-Grignon, France.

^h these authors contributed equally to this work

* Prof. Florent Allais, florent.allais@agroparistech.fr

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: ¹H & ¹³C NMR spectra, and FT-IR spectra of LGO, HBO, 2-LGO and 2-HBO. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- 1 A. Corma, S. Iborra, A. Velty, *Chem. Rev.* 2007, **107**, 2411
- 2 G. W. Huber, S. Iborra, A. Corma, *Chem. Rev.* 2006, **106**, 4044
- 3 a) M. S. Miftakhov, F. A. Valeev, I. N. Gaisina, *Russ. Chem. Rev.* 1994, **63**, 869; b) A. V. Bridgwater, D. Meier, D. Radlein, *Org. Geochem.* 1999, **30**, 1479; c) Q. Lu, W. M. Xiong, W. Z. Li, Q. X. Guo, X. F. Zhu, *Bioresour. Technol.* 2009, **100**, 4871
- 4 a) F. Shafizadeh, R. H. Furneaux, T. T. Stevenson and T. G. Cochran, *Carbohydr. Res.* 1978, **61**, 519; b) G. Dobelev, T. Dizhbite, G. Rossinskaja, G. Telysheva, D. Mier, S. Radtke and O. Faix, *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis* 2003, **68-69**, 197; c) J. Zandersons, A. Zhurish, G. Dobelev, V. Jurkjane, J. Rizhikovs, B. Spince and A. Pazhe, *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis*, 2013, **103**, 222
- 5 J. Jae, G. A. Tompsett, A. J. Foster, K. D. Hammond, S. M. Auerbach, R. F. Lobo and G. W. Huber, *J. Catal.* 2011, **279**, 257
- 6 G. R. Court, C. H. Lawrence, W. D. Raverty, A. J. Duncan, WO2011/000030 January, 6th 2011
- 7 a) V. L. Budarin, P. S. Shuttlesworth, J. R. Dodson, A. J. Hunt, B. Lanigan, R. Marriott, K. J. Milkowski, A. J. Wilson, S. W. Breeden, J. Fan, E. H. K. Sin and J. H. Clark, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2011, **4**, 471; b) X. Hu, L. Wu, Y. Wang, D. Maurant, C. Lievens, R. Gunawan and C. Z. Li, *Green Chem.* 2012, **14**, 3087
- 8 a) K. Tomioka, T. Ishiguro and K. Koga, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* 1979, 652; b) D. Enders, V. Lausberg, G. Del Signore and O. M. Berner, *Synthesis* 2002, 515
- 9 E. Takashi, M. Katsuya, Y. Hajime, K. Koseki, H. Kawakami and H. Matsushita, *Heterocycles* 1990, **31**, 1585
- 10 a) H. Hawakami, T. Ebata, K. Koseki, K. Statsumoto, H. Matsushita, Y. Naoi and K. Itoh, *Heterocycles*, 1990, **31**, 2041; b) R. Flores, A. Rustullet, R. Alibes, A. Alvarez-Larena, P. de March, M. Figueredo and J. Font, *J. Org. Chem.* 2011, **76**, 5369; c) A. Diaz-Rodriguez, Y. S. Sanghvi, S. Fernandez, R. F. Schinazi, E. A. Theodorakis, M. Ferrero and V. Gotor, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2009, **7**, 1415
- 11 K. Koseki, T. Ebata, H. Kawakami, H. Matsushita, K. Itoh, Y. Naoi, US 4,994,585 Feb. 19th, 1991
- 12 a) C. Paris, M. Moliner, A. Corma, *Green Chem.* 2013, **15**, 2101; b) P. Rammohan, S. Taradas, K. Shampa, *ARKIVOC* 2012, 570; c) K. Tanabe, W. F. Hölderich, *App. Catal. A: Gen.* 1999, **181**, 399; d) J. H. Clark, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2002, **35**, 791; e) Y. C. Sharma, B. Singh, J. Korstad, *Biofuels, Bioprod. Bioref.* 2011, **5**, 69
- 13 a) P. Carlqvist, R. Eklund, K. Hult, T. Brinck, *J. Mol. Model.* 2003, **9**(3), 164; b) M. Y. Rios, E. Salazar, H. F. Olivo, *Green Chem.* 2007, **9**, 459; c) M. Y. Rios, E. Salazar, H. F. Olivo, *J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym.* 2008, **54**, 61; d) A. J. Kotlewska, F. van Rantwijk, R. A. Sheldon, I. W. C. E. Arends, *Green Chem.* 2011, **13**, 2154; e) A. Drozd, A. Chrobok, S. Baj, K. Szymanska, J. Mrowiec-Bialon, A. B. Jarzebski, *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* 2013, **467**, 163; f) G. Chavez, R. Hatti-Kaul, R. A. Sheldon, G. Mamo, *J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym.* 2013, **89**, 67
- 14 a) F. Pion, F. A. Reano, P.-H. Ducrot, F. Allais, *RSC Adv.* 2013, **3**, 8988; b) F. Pion, P.-H. Ducrot, F. Allais, *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* 2014, **5**, 431
- 15 Preliminary studies demonstrated that LGO was stable in the reaction medium (no degradation product) and that the only products obtained through its oxidation were HBO and FBO.

- 16 K. Alfonsi, J. Colberg, P. J. Dunn, T. Fevig, S. Jennings, T. Johnson, P. Kleine, C. Knight, M. A. Nagy, D. Perry, M. Stefaniak, *Green Chem.* 2007, **10**, 31-36
- 17 K. Koseki, T. Ebata, H. Kawakami, H. Matsushita, K. Itoh, Y. Naoi, US 5,112,994 May, 12th 1992
- 18 a) C. Orellana, S. Camacho, D. Adlercreutz, B. Mattiason, R. Hatti-Kaul, *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.* 2005, **107**, 864; b) S. Bhattachayra, A Drews, E. Lyagin, M. Kraume, M. B. Ansorge-Schumacher, *Chem. Eng. Technol.* 2012, **35**, 1
- 19 a) U. Törnvall, C. Melin, R. Hatti-Kaul, M. Hedström, *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* 2009, 2959; b) U. Törnvall, M. Hedström, K. Schillén, R. Hatti-Kaul, *Biochimie* 2010, **92**, 1867
- 20 A. R. Katrizky, N. G. Akhmedov, O. V. Denisko, *Magn. Reson. Chem.* 2003, **41**, 37
- 21 a) J. Sun, Y. Jiang, L. Zhou, J. Gao, *New Biotechnol.* 2010, **24**, 53; b) M. Adamczak, *Pol. J. Food Nutr. Sci.* 2003, **4**, 3
- 22 a) K. Rezaei, *Crit. Rev. Biotechnol.* 2007, **27**(4), 183; b) P. Adlercreutz, in *Organic Synthesis with Enzymes in Non-Aqueous Media*, eds G. Carrea and S. Riva, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co KGaA, Weinheim, Germany, 2008, pp. 3-24; c) A. M. Klibanov, *Nature* 2001, **409**, 241
- 23 Polarimetry analysis of the **HBO** obtained through solid buffer-based oxidation showed that the stereochemistry of **HBO** was retained (no racemization nor inversion of configuration)
- 24 a) I. Bonnaventure, A. B. Charette, *J. Org. Chem.* 2008, **16**, 6330; b) in such pH range, His224, Asp187 and Ser105 residues (the catalytic triad of the active site) are at the required protonation state to allow perhydrolysis of ethyl acetate.

Table of content entry

CAL-B mediated Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of levoglucosenone with solid buffers provided valuable lactones in high yields in only 2 hours while allowing enzyme recyclability