# Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/greenchem

1	Efficient one-step Preparation of γ-Aminobutyric Acid from Glucose						
2	without Exogenous Cofactor by the Designed Corynebacterium						
3	glutamicum						
4	Rongzhen Zhang <sup>1,2</sup> , Taowei Yang <sup>1</sup> , Zhiming Rao <sup>1,2</sup> *, Hongmei Sun <sup>1</sup> , Meijuan						
5	Xu <sup>1</sup> , Xian Zhang <sup>1</sup> , Zhenghong Xu <sup>3</sup> , Shangtian Yang <sup>4</sup>						
6	<sup>1</sup> The Key Laboratory of Industrial Biotechnology, Ministry of Education, School						
7	of Biotechnology, Jiangnan University, Wuxi, 214122, P. R. China						
8	<sup>2</sup> National Laboratory for Food Science, Jiangnan University, Wuxi 214122, P.						
9	R. China						
10	<sup>3</sup> School of Medicine and Pharmaceuticals, Jiangnan University, Wuxi, 214122,						
11	P. R. China						
12	<sup>4</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Ohio State University, 140 West 19th						
13	Avenue, Columbus, OH 43210, USA						
14							
15	*Author for correspondence;						
16	Zhiming Rao						
17	Tel: +86-510-85916881;						
18	E-mail: raozhm@jiangnan.edu.cn						
19	Present address: School of Biotechnology, Jiangnan University, 1800 Lihu						
20	Avenue Wuxi Jiangsu 214122 P. R. China						

#### 21 Abstract

Lactobacillus plantarum CCTCC M209102 efficiently produces y-aminobutyric 22 acid (GABA) from L-glutamate, in which glutamate decarboxylase and 23 pyridoxal kinase are involved in the transformation. Pyridoxal kinase catalyzes 24 25 ATP-dependent phosphorylation of pyridoxal to produce pyridoxal-5'-phosphate, which is the cofactor required for glutamate 26 decarboxylase to biotransform GABA from L-glutamate. Corynebacterium 27 glutamicum G01 is a good producer of L-glutamate from glucose. However, it 28 29 can not yield GABA from L-glutamate due to the absence of glutamate decarboxylase and pyridoxal kinase. In this work, to realize the efficient 30 one-step preparation of GABA from glucose without exogenous 31 32 pyridoxal-5'-phosphate, the metabolic module from L-glutamate to GABA basing on glutamate decarboxylase and pyridoxal kinase in L. plantarum was 33 grafted into C. glutamicum. To further improve the GABA production, the 34 pathways to by-product pools of L-arginine, L-proline and L-lysine were 35 blocked using insertional mutation technique. The engineered C. glutamicum 36 APLGGP carrying argB::tacgad, proB::tacgad and dapA::tacplk could 37 efficiently convert glucose into GABA in one-step without exogenous co-factor. 38 In fed-batch cultures, the recombinant C. glutamicum APLGGP produced 70.6 39 g.L<sup>-1</sup> GABA at 30°C and 70 h through a two-stage pH control strategy. To our 40 knowledge, this is the highest reported GABA production using glucose as 41 substrate, and this designed C. glutamicum should be an excellent candidate 42

for producing GABA on an industrial scale. This work is hoped to pave the way
to redesign the bioreactor for efficient one-step biosynthesis of GABA from
glucose without exogenous co-factor.

46

Keywords: Corynebacterium glutamicum; glucose; y-aminobutyric acid; 47 efficient one-step preparation; two-stage pH control strategy; reactor design 48 Abbreviations: argB, N-acetyl-L-glutamate kinase 49 gene; dapA, dihydropicolinate synthase gene; DHDPS, dihydropicolinate synthase; GABA, 50 y-aminobutyric acid; GAD, glutamate decarboxylase; gad, glutamate 51 decarboxylase gene; GAK, glutamate kinase; NAGK, N-acetyl-L-glutamate 52 kinase; PLK, pyridoxal kinase; *plk*, pyridoxal kinase gene; *proB*, glutamate 53 54 kinase gene.

55

## 56 Introduction

Gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA), a non-protein amino acid, functions as a 57 major inhibitory neurotransmitter in animals, and it has many physiological 58 properties related to anti-anxiety and hypotension as tranquilizers, diuretics, 59 and analgesics <sup>1</sup>. GABA can be used as a bioactive component in the food, 60 feed, and pharmaceutical fields. Although there have been many attempts for 61 the GABA synthesis chemically or biologically, recent special attention is 62 focused on GABA preparation by employing "green" and efficient bioprocesses 63 since they have a simple reaction procedure, high catalytic efficiency, mild 64 reaction condition and environmental compatibility<sup>2</sup>. 65

In eukaryotes and prokaryotes, including bacteria, fungi and yeasts, glutamate decarboxylase (GAD, EC 4.1.1.15) catalyzes the conversion of L-glutamate to GABA <sup>3</sup>. However, GAD is a pyridoxal-5'-phosphate-dependent enzyme that catalyzes irreversible  $\alpha$ -decarboxylation of L-glutamate to GABA with pyridoxal-5'-phosphate as cofactor <sup>4</sup>. Very recently, Rowley and Whisstock's group have done excellent jobs about GAD structure determination and kinetic studies for regulation of GABA production <sup>5</sup>.

Using lactic acid bacteria harboring GAD to produce GABA-enriched food has attracted great attention since they are safe and recognized as probiotics <sup>6</sup>. During the GABA production by lactic acid bacteria, glutamate is added as a precursor into the fermentation medium, and some expensive nitrogen sources are supplemented for microorganism cultivation <sup>7, 8</sup>. The fermentation method

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

#### **Green Chemistry**

is not cost-effective, so, a new approach is required for the sustainable industrial application<sup>9</sup>. Kook et al. reported the maximum GABA production of 27.3 g.L<sup>-1</sup> by expressing *Lactobacillus plantarum* GAD gene in *Lactobacillus* Sakei<sup>10</sup>. Corvnebacterium glutamicum is an important industrial producer of various amino acids with great potential. Takahashi et al. successfully produced GABA from glucose in one-step using the recombinant C. glutamicum expressing Escherichia coli GadB<sup>11</sup>. As known, the enzyme GAD is the key enzyme for the reaction from L-glutamate to GABA, the introduction of one extra copy will promote the GABA production improvement. C. glutamicum is an important industrial producer of various amino acids with great potential for the production of other metabolites. Shi et al. improved GABA production using the recombinant C. glutamicum coexpressing two GAD genes gadB1 and gadB2 from Lactobacillus brevis Lb85<sup>12</sup>. Through the fermentation condition optimization, they further increased GABA production to a maximum level of 27.13 g.L<sup>-1</sup> after 120-h flask cultivation and 26.32 g.L<sup>-1</sup> after 60-h fed-batch fermentation <sup>13</sup>. However, the expensive pyridoxal 5'-phosphate is required for GABA production. The reported methods and productivity of GABA were summarized in Table 1.

96 Insert Table 1

<sup>97</sup> The enzyme GAD is active to produce GABA with pyridoxal 5'-phosphate as <sup>98</sup> cofactor. Pyridoxal kinase (PLK) was reported to catalyze the ATP-dependent <sup>99</sup> phosphorylation reaction of pyridoxal to produce pyridoxal 5'-phosphate <sup>4</sup>.

#### **Green Chemistry**

Although the fermentation medium, such as corn steep liquor and yeast extract, contains pyridoxal, the precursor of pyridoxal 5'-phosphate, it is not enough to support the quick biotransformation of GABA. So the introduction of the enzyme PLK involved in the formation of pyridoxal 5'-phosphate will be beneficial to the GABA biosynthesis.

In our previous work, we reported that a modified strain through 105 multi-mutagenesis, L. plantarum GB 01-21, showed high GAD activity, and the 106 engineered E. coli BL21 harboring L. plantarum GAD gene (gad, accession No: 107 JN248358) yielded 204.5 g.L<sup>-1</sup> GABA from L-glutamate at 24 h. The mole 108 conversion rate of the substrate reached 97.9%<sup>14</sup>. By analysis of the 109 metabolic pathways, L-arginine and L-proline are the main by-products during 110 111 the biotransformation of GABA from L-glutamate in L. plantarum GB 01-21 (Figure 1). We also isolated a GRAS (generally regarded as safe) strain, C. 112 glutamicum G01, which could accumulate about 106 g.L<sup>-1</sup> L-glutamate from 113 glucose <sup>13</sup>. L-lysine is the main by-product during the biosynthesis of 114 L-glutamate from glucose in C. glutamicum G01 (Figure 1). 115

116 Insert Figure 1

In this work, to realize the efficient production of GABA from glucose in one-step without exogenous pyridoxal-5'-phosphate, we grafted the metabolic modular from L-glutamate to GABA basing on GAD and PLK in *L. plantarum* GB 01-21 to *C. glutamicum* G01. To further improve the GABA production, we not only knocked out the genes involved the by-products pathways of

L-arginine, L-proline and L-lysine through insertional inactivation, but also introduced two copies of GAD genes into the engineered *C. glutamicum*. Finally, GABA production reached 70.6 g.L<sup>-1</sup> after 70 h of fermentation under a two-stage pH control strategy.

## 126 **Results and discussion**

## 127 Construction of the engineered *C. glutamicum*

Lactobacillus plantarum GB 01-21 catalyzes the biotransformation of 128 y-aminobutyric acid (GABA) with an excellent performance. The glutamate 129 decarboxylase (GAD) and pyridoxal kinase (PLK) are the key enzymes 130 involved in the conversion of L-glutamate to GABA. The enzyme GAD 131 catalyzes L-glutamate to GABA with pyridoxal-5'-phosphate as cofactor. 132 Pyridoxal kinase catalyzes ATP-dependent phosphorylation of pyridoxal to 133 produce pyridoxal-5'-phosphate, which is required by GAD<sup>4</sup>. Although 134 Corynebacterium glutamicum G01 is an excellent producer of L-glutamate 135 from glucose, it can not yield GABA due to the absence of GAD and PLK. In 136 order to produce GABA from glucose in one-step by C. glutamicum, the coding 137 genes of GAD and PLK from L. plantarum were cloned into C. glutamicum. The 138 GAD gene (qad) from the L. plantarum was inserted into the plasmid pDXW-10 139 to generate pDXW-gad. In the same way, the PLK gene (plk) from L. plantarum 140 was cloned into the plasmid pDXW-10 to create pDXW-plk. The recombinant 141 plasmids were verified by DNA sequencing. 142

143 Since L-arginine and L-proline are the main by-products during the

biotransformation of GABA from L-glutamate by C. glutamicum G01, the 144 pathway to by-product pools need to be blocked to increase the carbon flux 145 from glucose to L-glutamate. Lazcano's group has 146 reported that N-acetyl-L-glutamate kinase (NAGK) is involved in the arginine biosynthesis 147 pathway, and the three enzymes, glutamate kinase (GAK), 148 glutamate-y-semialdehyde dehydrogenase and pyrroline-5-carboxylate 149 reductase catalyzes the proline synthesis in bacteria <sup>15</sup>. L-lysine is the other 150 by-product during the production of L-glutamate from glucose in *C. glutamicum* 151 G01. Dihydropicolinate synthase (DHDPS) is a key enzyme for lysine 152 biosynthesis <sup>15</sup>. As described in Experimental, the recombination plasmids 153 pMD-RargB, pMD-RproB and pMD-RdapA containing flanks of argB (RargB), 154 proB (RproB) and dapA (RdapA) were constructed <sup>16</sup>. So, by homologous 155 recombination technique, the coding genes of NAGK, GAK and DHDPS (argB, 156 proB and dapA) were expected to be knocked out. 157

The gad gene with the tac promoter from the plasmid pDXW-gad was 158 inserted into pMD-RargB and pMD-RproB to create the recombinant 159 pMD-RargB::tacgad and pMD-RproB::tacgad, respectively. The gene plk with 160 the tac promoter from pDXW-plk was cloned into the pMD-RdapA to create the 161 plamid pMD-dapA::tacplk. Then the RargB::tacgad, RproB::tacgad and 162 dapA::tacplk fragments were inserted into the suicide plasmid pK18mobsacB, 163 respectively, to construct the recombinant plasmids pK18-RargB::tacgad, 164 pK18-RproB::tacgad and pK18-dapA::tacplk. After verified by restriction 165

analysis and DNA sequencing, the recombinant plasmids 166 enzymes pK18-RargB::tacgad, pK18-RproB::tacgad and pK18-dapA::tacplk were 167 introduced into C. glutamicum G01 one by one using electroporation method <sup>17</sup>. 168 The recombinant C. glutamicum AG carrying pK18-RargB::tacgad, C. 169 glutamicum APGG carrying pK18-RargB::tacgad and pK18-RproB::tacgad, C. 170 glutamicum APLGGP carrying pK18-RargB::tacgad, pK18-RproB::tacgad and 171 pK18-dapA::tacplk were constructed. In C. glutamicum AG, the gad gene was 172 introduced and the gene argB was deleted. In C. glutamicum APGG, the gad 173 gene with two copies was introduced and the argB and proB genes were 174 knocked out. In C. glutamicum APLGGP, the targets genes gad and plk 175 involved in the biotransformation of GABA from L-glutamate were introduced, 176 177 and the genes argB, proB and dapA involved in the by-product pathways of L-arg, L-pro and L-lys were knocked out. More important, glutamate 178 decarboxylase gene was integrated into C. glutamicum APLGGP genome with 179 two copies. 180

## 181 Identification of correct insertional mutation by enzyme

182 **assays** 

*C. glutamicum* is an important industrial producer of various amino acids with great potential for the production of other metabolites. In order to identify if the insertion mutation was correctly integrated into the *C. glutamicum* genome, the specific activities of enzymes were determined using cell-free extracts of the recombinant strain *C. glutamicum* AG, APGG and APLGGP. As shown in Table

**Green Chemistry Accepted Manuscript** 

188	2, in the recombinant strain <i>C. glutamicum</i> AG, NAGK activity was observed,
189	while in the parent strain <i>C. glutamicum</i> G01, GAD activity was 2.13 U.mg <sup>-1</sup> ,
190	but no any NAGK activity was detected. The result suggested the enzyme
191	GAD was expressed, while NAGK involved in the L-arg biosynthesis was
192	successfully knocked out in the recombinant C. glutamicum AG strain. In the
193	engineered C. glutamicum APGG, the activities of NAGK and GAK were not
194	observed, while the GAD activity was almost improved 2-fold (Table 2) due to
195	the introduction of an extra copy of gad and the deletion of NAGK and GAK
196	genes involved in the synthesis of L-arg and L-pro. In the recombinant C.
197	glutamicum APLGGP, GAD and PLK were active, but NAGK, GAK, and
198	DHDPS involved in the by-product pathways of L-arg, L-pro and L-lys were
199	inactive. More important, C. glutamicum APLGGP showed 4.2-fold PLK activity
200	higher than the other strains: wild-type, C. glutamicum AG and C. glutamicum
201	APGG, making it possible biotransformation of GABA without
202	pyridoxal-5'-phosphate. These above results indicated that the key enzymes,
203	GAD and PLK catalyzing L-glutamate to GABA were expressed, while the
204	NAGK, GAK, and DHDPS involved in the by-products L-arg, L-pro and L-lys
205	were successfully knocked out.
206	Insert Table 2
207	The insertional mutation had little effect on cell growth

208 Since L-arg, L-pro and L-lys have separate and distinct roles in the 209 microbial metabolism, the deletion of genes involved in their synthesis maybe

210	affect on cell growth of the recombinant C. glutamicum. Meanwhile, the effects
211	of introduction of GAD and PLK into C. glutamicum on the cell-growth were
212	investigated. The fermentation characteristics of the recombinants had been
213	compared with the data from flask experiments under the same conditions.
214	Cell growth was determined by measuring the turbidity of the culture at $OD_{560}$
215	using a UV-visible spectroscopy system. Based on the three-stage division of
216	cell growth curves (Figure 2), the engineered <i>C. glutamicum</i> strains AG, APGG,
217	and APLGGP grew at a similar rate as compared to the parent strain. The
218	results suggested that the knock out of genes involved in L-arg, L-pro and L-lys
219	biosynthesis and introduction of the key genes of gad and plk related to the
220	biotransformation of GABA had nearly no effect on cell growth properties. The
221	insertion mutation in C. glutamicum did not inhibit cell growth. The insertion
222	mutation system based on the five enzymes, GAD, PLK, NAGK, GAK, and
223	DHDPS would be preferable for further studies of bioconversion of GABA from
224	glucose without exogenous cofactor.

Insert Figure 2

## **One-step preparation of GABA from glucose without**

# 227 exogenous cofactor was realized by C. glutamicum APLGGP

By fermentation with glucose, GABA production was compared between the engineered *C. glutamicum* and parental strain. The results are summarized in Table 3. The strain *C. glutamicum* AG accumulated 45.8 g.L<sup>-1</sup> GABA with a productivity of 0.48 g·L<sup>-1</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup> in the fermented broth, while the wild-type *C.* 

#### **Green Chemistry**

glutamicum did not produce GABA after 80 h of fermentation (Table 3). 232 However, the strain C. glutamicum AG did not secrete L-arg into the 233 fermentation liquid, while the parental strain C. glutamicum G01 did (Table 3). 234 These results further confirmed that *L. plantarum* GAD was active, but NAGK 235 was inactive in the recombinant C. glutamicum AG. These above results 236 demonstrated that GAD expression is a key factor for enhancing the GABA 237 production, which is similar with the other reports  $^{12}$ . More interesting, in the C. 238 glutamicum AG harboring one copy of GAD coding gene, 40.7 g.L<sup>-1</sup> 239 L-glutamate was detected in the broth, suggesting that much L-glutamate was 240 not transformed to GABA. 241

Since the GAD enzyme is a key factor for biotransformation of GABA from 242 glucose, one extra copy of GAD gene was introduced into the recombinant C. 243 glutamicum APGG. In this recombinant strain, the by-product pathways of 244 L-arg and L-pro were also blocked to further improve GABA yield. As shown in 245 Table 3, the production of GABA reached 69.9 g.L<sup>-1</sup> in the broth of C. 246 glutamicum APGG after 80-h fed-batch cultivation. Compared to C. 247 *alutamicum* AG, the GABA production was further improved from 45.8 g.L<sup>-1</sup> to 248 69.9 g.L<sup>-1</sup>, and L-glutamate was almost consumed by *C. glutamicum* APGG. 249 Meanwhile, L-arg and L-pro were not detected. The enhanced GABA yield is 250 due to the two reasons. First, the introduction of an extra copy of GAD induced 251 the higher GAD activity for the transformation of GABA from L-glutamate. 252 Second, the inactive NAGK and GAK by insertional mutation redistributed 253

carbon flux of glucose to the GABA pathway. Shi *et al.* also improved GABA production (27.13 g.L<sup>-1</sup> at 120 h) by co-expressing *gadB*1 and *gadB*2 in *C. glutamicum* ATCC13032 <sup>13</sup>.

As the GAD requires pyridoxal-5'-phosphate as a cofactor for its activity <sup>4</sup>. 257 PLK involved in the generation of pyridoxal-5'-phosphate and two copies of 258 GAD were introduced into the recombinant C. glutamicum APLGGP, in which 259 the relative genes involved in by-product pathways of L-arg, L-pro and L-lys 260 were knocked out. The fermentation results showed that C. glutamicum 261 APLGGP produced 70.6 g.L<sup>-1</sup> GABA from glucose without addition of 262 pyridoxal-5'-phosphate. Compared with the fermentation results of C. 263 glutamicum APGG with addition of pyridoxal-5'-phosphate, C. glutamicum 264 APLGGP slightly improved GABA production without exogenous cofactor. 265 Thus, the one-step biotransformation of GABA from glucose was realized by 266 the recombinant strain C. glutamicum APLGGP. 267

268 Insert Table 3

269 Enhanced production of GABA through a two-stage pH control

270 strategy

During the biotransformation of GABA from glucose, L-glutamate is the precursor of GABA. It is very important that maintain the neutral conditions to steadily biosynthesize GABA from L-glutamate. Ammonia not only can take on this responsibility, but also can be used as the nitrogen source to promote the GABA production. Moreover, the L-glutamate dehydrogenase, which is critical

for L-glutamate biosynthesis, exhibits the highest activity at pH 7.5, whereas at 276 pH 6.5, half of its activity is lost <sup>18</sup>. So ammonia supplementation has a great 277 influence on the biosynthesis of GABA from glucose. In this work, since 278 L-glutamate is rapidly synthesized within 48 h of fermentation, ammonia was 279 supplemented during 0-48 h of fermentation to remain the pH values at 7.3. As 280 shown in Figure 3A, it was found that time profiles of GABA production could 281 be divided into two stages. At the first 48 h, pH values were constant at about 282 7.3, and L-glutamate was guickly accumulated, while GABA was produced 283 very slowly. When ammonia supplement was stopped, the pH value drop 284 rapidly to about 5.4, GABA began to secret to the fermentation broth. 285

It was reported that the enzyme GAD shows activity in a relatively acid 286 condition<sup>4, 13</sup>. Ma et al. observed that GAD exhibited a stringent pH 287 dependence for substrate transport and functions at acidic pH, without activity 288 above pH 6.5<sup>12</sup>. Seo et al. found that the optimal pH of GAD activity was 5.2<sup>19</sup>. 289 We further determined the effects on GABA conversion in the range of pH 290 4.0-5.8. As shown in Figure 4, GAD showed the highest activity was at about 291 pH 4.8, and the conversion rate of GABA was highest at pH 4.6-5.0. Basing on 292 the above pH preference, a two-stage control strategy was proposed in favour 293 of GABA formation. At the first 48 h, the pH value was controlled at about 7.3 294 for L-glutamate formation, and then pH was adjusted to 4.8 to promote GABA 295 accumulation. As expected, through the strategy, the GABA production was up 296 to 70.6 g·L<sup>-1</sup> after 70 h fed-batch cultivation, and the GABA formation rate was 297

up to 1.04 g·L<sup>-1</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 3B), which is the highest production report of GABA
with glucose as substrate in one-step. Thus, an excellent GABA producer from
glucose was redesigned through combining the metabolic modules (from
L-glutamate to GABA) in *L. plantarum* and *C. glutamicum* (from glucose to
L-glutamate), and blocking the by-product pathways of L-arg, L-pro and L-lys.
The engineered *C. glutamicum* directly conversed glucose to GABA without
exogenous cofactor through a two-stage pH control strategy.

- 305 Insert Figure 3
- 306 Insert Figure 4
- 307 **Conclusions**

An excellent producer of GABA was successfully redesigned by grafting the 308 309 metabolic modular of L-glutamate from glucose in L. plantarum into C. glutamicum, making the engineered C. glutamicum highly yield GABA from 310 glucose in one-step. Furthermore, the by-product pathways of L-arg, L-pro and 311 L-lys were blocked to redistribute the metabolic flux to GABA, and a two-stage 312 pH control strategy was proposed basing on the pH preference of the key 313 enzymes involved in the GABA synthesis. The production of GABA reached up 314 to 70.6 g·L<sup>-1</sup> after 70 h. More important, C. glutamicum is a GRAS 315 microorganism and it engineered strain can grow in no-selective medium. So 316 the newly designed C. glutamicum system is an excellent GABA producer from 317 glucose in food and pharmaceutical industry. This work is hoped to pave the 318 way to redesign the bioreactor for efficient one-step preparation of GABA from 319

320 glucose.

## 321 **Experimental**

## 322 General information

All chemicals, *i.e.*, glutamate, N-Acetyl-L-glutamate and pyridoxal *et al.* were purchased from the Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. Inc (Shanghai, China), and used without further purification. The precise determination of the amino acids was carried out on an automatic amino acid analyzer by high-performance liquid chromatography.

## 328 **Organisms and growth conditions**

*C. glutamicum* G01 has been deposited in the China Center for Type Culture Collection (CCTCC) under collection number CCTCC M2013418. *E. coli* JM109 strain was grown at 37 °C in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium with ampicillin (50  $\mu$ g·mL<sup>-1</sup>) and/or kanamycin (50  $\mu$ g·mL<sup>-1</sup>). *C. glutamicum* was grown in LBG medium (LB medium supplemented with 5 g·L<sup>-1</sup> glucose) at 30°C with 30  $\mu$ g·mL<sup>-1</sup> kanamycin if necessary. The competent cells of *C. glutamicum* were cultured in the LB medium with 30 g·L<sup>-1</sup> glycine and 1 g·L<sup>-1</sup> Tween 80.

## 336 Culture conditions for L-glutamate and GABA fermentation from glucose

*C. glutamicum* strains were subcultured weekly and stored at 4 °C or frozen at -70 °C in 15 % (v/v) glycerol. Stock and inoculum cultures were grown at 30°C with shaking at 160 rpm in seed medium (25 g·L<sup>-1</sup> glucose, 20 g·L<sup>-1</sup> corn steep liquor, 10 g.L<sup>-1</sup> yeast extract, 6 g.L<sup>-1</sup> urea, 1.5 g.L<sup>-1</sup> K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.4 g.L<sup>-1</sup> MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, pH 7.5) for 12 h. The incubated seed culture was then

#### **Green Chemistry**

342	inoculated (4% v/v) into the fermentation medium (160 g.L <sup>-1</sup> glucose, 30 g.L <sup>-1</sup>
343	corn steep liquor, 10 g.L <sup>-1</sup> yeast extract, 5.5 g.L <sup>-1</sup> urea, 1.5 g.L <sup>-1</sup> K <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> ·3H <sub>2</sub> O,
344	0.8 g.L <sup>-1</sup> MgSO <sub>4</sub> ·7H <sub>2</sub> O, 0.02 g.L <sup>-1</sup> MnSO <sub>4</sub> ·4H <sub>2</sub> O, 0.02 g.L <sup>-1</sup> FeSO <sub>4</sub> ·7H <sub>2</sub> O, 200
345	$\mu$ g.L <sup>-1</sup> vitamin B <sub>1</sub> , 0.1 mmol.L <sup>-1</sup> pyridoxal-5'-phosphate, pH 7.5). Only for the
346	recombinants carrying pyridoxal kinase gene, 0.1 mmol.L <sup>-1</sup>
347	pyridoxal-5'-phosphate was not added into the fermentation medium. For all
348	the recombinant strains, 1 $\mu$ mol.L <sup>-1</sup> Isopropyl $\beta$ -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside was
349	added to fermentation medium to induce GAD expression. Fed-batch
350	fermentation was carried out in a 5-L bioreactor (BIOTECH-2002, Baoxing
351	Biological Equipment Co., Shanghai, China) containing 2.0-L initial medium at
352	30 °C, 350 rpm and airflow rate 0.66 vvm. Ammonia (NH <sub>4</sub> OH) was added
353	during the first 48 h of fermentation to maintain the alkaline environment. And
354	then, the addition of ammonia was stopped to form an acid fermentation
355	environment, which was suitable for the GABA production. Glucose at 80 %
356	(w/v) was fed into the bioreactor to maintain the glucose concentration
357	between 20 and 30 g.L <sup>-1</sup> from 16–44 h.

#### Plasmid construction and gene deletion 358

The glutamate decarboxylase gene (gad) from L. plantarum GB 01-21 was 359 amplified by PCR using primers gadP1 and gadP2. The amplified gad gene 360 was inserted into the Nhe I and Bgl II sites of the pDXW-10 plasmid to create 361 pDXW-gad. For deletion of argB and proB in C. glutamicum G01 by 362 homologous recombination, the recombination flanks of argB (RargB) and 363

proB (RproB) were constructed and individually cloned into pMD18-T vector 364 (Takara Co., Dalian, China), resulting in the vectors pMD-RargB and 365 pMD-*R*proB. Recombination flanks of argB and proB were individually 366 amplified from the C. glutamicum G01 chromosome by PCR as follows: flank A 367 (445 bp) of argB and flank A (646 bp) of proB were generated with the primer 368 pairs argBP1/argBP2 and proBP1/proBP2, respectively; flank B (529 bp) of 369 argB and flank B (613 bp) of proB were created with the primer pairs 370 argBP3/argBP4 and proB3/proBP4, respectively; the recombination flanks 371 372 were then constructed by splicing of the corresponding flanks A and B. The Bgl Il restriction site was introduced into the splicing site of flanks A and B. The gad 373 gene, containing the tac promoter from the pDXW-gad plasmid, was then 374 PCR-amplified using the primers tacgadP and gadP2. The amplified tac-gad 375 gene was inserted into the pMD-RargB and pMD-RproB plasmids to create the 376 pMD-RargB::tacgad and pMD-RproB::tacgad plasmids, respectively. The 377 pyridoxal kinase gene (plk) from L. plantarum GB 01-21 was amplified using 378 primers plkP1 and plkP2. The amplified plk gene was inserted into the Pst I 379 and Hind III sites of the pDXW-10 plasmid to create the pDXW-plk plasmid. 380 The *plk* gene, containing the *tac* promoter from the pDXW-*plk* plasmid, was 381 then amplified using the primers tacplkP and plkP2. The dihydropicolinate 382 synthase gene (dapA) from C. glutamicum G01 was amplified using primers 383 dapAP1 and dapAP2. The amplified dapA gene was cloned into pMD18-T 384 vector to create pMD-dapA. The amplified tac-plk gene was inserted into the 385

pMD-dapA plasmid to create the plasmid pMD-dapA::tacplk.

The pK18-RargB::tacgad, pK18-RproB::tacgad and pK18-dapA::tacplk 387 vectors were created by inserting a RargB::tacgad, RproB::tacgad and 388 dapA::tacplk fragments of pMD-RargB::tacgad digested by Xba I and Hind III. 389 pMD- RproB::tacgad digested by Xba I and Hind III and dapA::tacplk digested 390 by Xba I and Sal I (agarose-purified, Gel DNA Purification Kit, Takara) into 391 same restriction enzymes linearized pK18mobsacB, respectively. The deletion 392 of argB, proB and dapA and introduction of gad and plk genes in C. 393 glutamicum were preformed by homologous recombination <sup>20</sup>. The genetic 394 modifications were verified by enzyme assays and gene sequencing. The 395 primers (restriction sites were underlined) used in this work are listed in Table 396 397 S1 in the Supporting information. All the oligonucleotide primers were synthesized at Takara Co. (Dalian, China). 398

## 399 Construction of the recombinant C. glutamicum strains

By electroporation, the plasmid pK18-RargB::tacgad was introduced into the 400 competent cells of C. glutamicum G01 to generate the strain C. glutamicum AG, 401 in which the gad gene was introduced and argB was knocked out. The 402 recombinant pK18-RargB::tacgad and pK18-RproB::tacgad were 403 both introduced into C. glutamicum G01 to construct C. glutamicum APGG, in which 404 two copies of gad genes were introduced, and argB and proB were deleted. 405 recombinant pK18-RargB::tacgad, pK18-RproB::tacgad 406 The and pK18-dapA::tacplk were simultaneously introduced into C. glutamicum G01 to 407

408 create *C. glutamicum* APLGGP, in which *plk* gene and two copies of *gad* genes
409 were introduced, and *arg*B, *pro*B and *dap*A were knocked out.

## 410 Enzyme Assays

The activities of GAD, NAGK, GAK, PLK and DHDPS were assayed according
 to previously reported methods <sup>21, 22</sup>. All mesurements were repeated at least
 three times.

414

## 415 **Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in 416 University (NCET-10-0459, NCET-13-0833), the National Basic Research 417 Program of China (973 Program) (2012CB725202), the National Natural 418 Science Foundation of China (21276110 and 31370100), the Research Project 419 of Chinese Ministry of Education (113033A), the Fundamental Research Funds 420 for the Central Universities (JUSRP51306A and JUSRP21121), the 111 Project 421 (111-2-06) and a Project Funded by the Priority Academic Program 422 Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institution. 423

424

## 425 Notes and references

- 1 (a) I. Mody, Y. De Koninck, T. Otis and I. Soltesz, *Trends Neurosci.*, 1994,
- 427 **17**, 517-525; (*b*) C. Wong, T. Bottiglieri and O. Snead, *Ann. Neurol.*, 2003,
- 428 **54**, S3–S12; (*c*) Y. Cao and H. Li, *Amino Acids*, 2010, **29**, 1107-1116.
- 429 2 (a) S. I. Choi, J. W. Lee, S. M. Park, M. Y. Lee, G. J.I, M. S. Park and T. R.

<ul> <li>Heo, <i>J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.</i>, 2006, <b>16</b>, 562-568; (<i>b</i>) J. Huang, L. Mei, H.</li> <li>Wu and D. Lin, <i>World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.</i>, 2007, <b>23</b>, 865-871; (<i>c</i>) K.</li> <li>Inoue, T. Shirai, H. Ochiai, M. Kasao, K. Hayakawa, M. Kimura and H.</li> <li>Sansawa, <i>Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.</i>, 2003, <b>57</b>, 490-495; (<i>d</i>) H. Ueno, <i>J. Mol. Catal.</i></li> <li><i>B: Enzym.</i>, 2000, <b>10</b>, 67-79.</li> <li>(<i>a</i>) G. Capitani, D. De Biase, C. Aurizi, H. Gut, F. Bossa and G. Gruetter,</li> <li><i>EMBO J.</i>, 2003, <b>22</b>, 4027-4037; (<i>b</i>) Y. Su, J. Wang, T. Lin and T. Pan, <i>J. Ind.</i></li> <li><i>Microbiol. Biotechnol.</i>, 2003, <b>30</b>, 41-46; (<i>c</i>) K. Masuda, Xf. Guo, N. Uryu, T</li> <li>Hagiwara and S. Watabe, <i>Biosci, Biotech. Bioch.</i>, 2008, <b>72</b>, 3265-3272.</li> <li>(<i>b</i>) P. Cheung, C. Fong, K. Ng, W. Lam, Y. Leung, C. Tsang, M. Yang and M</li> <li>Wong, <i>J. Biochem.</i>, 2003, <b>134</b>, 731-738.</li> <li>G. Fenalti, R. H. P. Law, A. M. Buckle, C. Langendorf, K. Tuck, C. J. Rosado,</li> <li>N. G. Faux, K. Mahmood, C. S. Hampe, J. P. Banga, M. Wilce, J.</li> <li>Schmidberger, J. Rossjohn, O. El-Kabbani, R. N. Pike, A. I. Smith, I. R.</li> <li>Mackay, M. J. Rowley and J. C. Whisstock, <i>Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.</i>, 2007, <b>14</b>,</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Wu and D. Lin, <i>World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.</i>, 2007, 23, 865-871; (c) K.</li> <li>Inoue, T. Shirai, H. Ochiai, M. Kasao, K. Hayakawa, M. Kimura and H.</li> <li>Sansawa, <i>Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.</i>, 2003, 57, 490-495; (d) H. Ueno, <i>J. Mol. Catal.</i></li> <li><i>B: Enzym.</i>, 2000, 10, 67-79.</li> <li>(a) G. Capitani, D. De Biase, C. Aurizi, H. Gut, F. Bossa and G. Gruetter,</li> <li><i>EMBO J.</i>, 2003, 22, 4027-4037; (b) Y. Su, J. Wang, T. Lin and T. Pan, <i>J. Ind.</i></li> <li><i>Microbiol. Biotechnol.</i>, 2003, 30, 41-46; (c) K. Masuda, Xf. Guo, N. Uryu, T</li> <li>Hagiwara and S. Watabe, <i>Biosci, Biotech. Bioch.</i>, 2008, 72, 3265-3272.</li> <li>(b) P. Cheung, C. Fong, K. Ng, W. Lam, Y. Leung, C. Tsang, M. Yang and M</li> <li>Wong, <i>J. Biochem.</i>, 2003, 134, 731-738.</li> <li>G. Fenalti, R. H. P. Law, A. M. Buckle, C. Langendorf, K. Tuck, C. J. Rosado,</li> <li>N. G. Faux, K. Mahmood, C. S. Hampe, J. P. Banga, M. Wilce, J.</li> <li>Schmidberger, J. Rossjohn, O. El-Kabbani, R. N. Pike, A. I. Smith, I. R.</li> <li>Mackay, M. J. Rowley and J. C. Whisstock, <i>Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.</i>, 2007, 14,</li> </ul>	430		Heo, J. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 2006, 16, 562-568; (b) J. Huang, L. Mei, H.
<ul> <li>Inoue, T. Shirai, H. Ochiai, M. Kasao, K. Hayakawa, M. Kimura and H.</li> <li>Sansawa, <i>Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.</i>, 2003, 57, 490-495; (<i>d</i>) H. Ueno, <i>J. Mol. Catal.</i></li> <li><i>B: Enzym.</i>, 2000, 10, 67-79.</li> <li>(<i>a</i>) G. Capitani, D. De Biase, C. Aurizi, H. Gut, F. Bossa and G. Gruetter,</li> <li><i>EMBO J.</i>, 2003, 22, 4027-4037; (<i>b</i>) Y. Su, J. Wang, T. Lin and T. Pan, <i>J. Ind.</i></li> <li><i>Microbiol. Biotechnol.</i>, 2003, 30, 41-46; (<i>c</i>) K. Masuda, Xf. Guo, N. Uryu, T</li> <li>Hagiwara and S. Watabe, <i>Biosci, Biotech. Bioch.</i>, 2008, 72, 3265-3272.</li> <li>(<i>a</i>) G. Battaglioli, H. Liu and D. Martin, <i>J. Neurochem.</i>, 2003, 86, 879-887;</li> <li>(<i>b</i>) P. Cheung, C. Fong, K. Ng, W. Lam, Y. Leung, C. Tsang, M. Yang and M</li> <li>Wong, <i>J. Biochem.</i>, 2003, 134, 731-738.</li> <li>G. Fenalti, R. H. P. Law, A. M. Buckle, C. Langendorf, K. Tuck, C. J. Rosado,</li> <li>N. G. Faux, K. Mahmood, C. S. Hampe, J. P. Banga, M. Wilce, J.</li> <li>Schmidberger, J. Rossjohn, O. El-Kabbani, R. N. Pike, A. I. Smith, I. R.</li> <li>Mackay, M. J. Rowley and J. C. Whisstock, <i>Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.</i>, 2007, 14,</li> </ul>	431		Wu and D. Lin, World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 2007, 23, 865-871; (c) K.
<ul> <li>Sansawa, <i>Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.</i>, 2003, <b>57</b>, 490-495; (<i>d</i>) H. Ueno, <i>J. Mol. Catal.</i></li> <li><i>B: Enzym.</i>, 2000, <b>10</b>, 67-79.</li> <li>(<i>a</i>) G. Capitani, D. De Biase, C. Aurizi, H. Gut, F. Bossa and G. Gruetter,</li> <li><i>EMBO J.</i>, 2003, <b>22</b>, 4027-4037; (<i>b</i>) Y. Su, J. Wang, T. Lin and T. Pan, <i>J. Ind.</i></li> <li><i>Microbiol. Biotechnol.</i>, 2003, <b>30</b>, 41-46; (<i>c</i>) K. Masuda, Xf. Guo, N. Uryu, T</li> <li>Hagiwara and S. Watabe, <i>Biosci, Biotech. Bioch.</i>, 2008, <b>72</b>, 3265-3272.</li> <li>(<i>a</i>) G. Battaglioli, H. Liu and D. Martin, <i>J. Neurochem.</i>, 2003, <b>86</b>, 879-887;</li> <li>(<i>b</i>) P. Cheung, C. Fong, K. Ng, W. Lam, Y. Leung, C. Tsang, M. Yang and M</li> <li>Wong, <i>J. Biochem.</i>, 2003, <b>134</b>, 731-738.</li> <li>G. Fenalti, R. H. P. Law, A. M. Buckle, C. Langendorf, K. Tuck, C. J. Rosado,</li> <li>N. G. Faux, K. Mahmood, C. S. Hampe, J. P. Banga, M. Wilce, J.</li> <li>Schmidberger, J. Rossjohn, O. El-Kabbani, R. N. Pike, A. I. Smith, I. R.</li> <li>Mackay, M. J. Rowley and J. C. Whisstock, <i>Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.</i>, 2007, <b>14</b>,</li> </ul>	432		Inoue, T. Shirai, H. Ochiai, M. Kasao, K. Hayakawa, M. Kimura and H.
<ul> <li>B: Enzym., 2000, 10, 67-79.</li> <li>(a) G. Capitani, D. De Biase, C. Aurizi, H. Gut, F. Bossa and G. Gruetter,</li> <li><i>EMBO J.</i>, 2003, 22, 4027-4037; (b) Y. Su, J. Wang, T. Lin and T. Pan, <i>J. Ind.</i></li> <li><i>Microbiol. Biotechnol.</i>, 2003, 30, 41-46; (c) K. Masuda, Xf. Guo, N. Uryu, T</li> <li>Hagiwara and S. Watabe, <i>Biosci, Biotech. Bioch.</i>, 2008, 72, 3265-3272.</li> <li>(a) G. Battaglioli, H. Liu and D. Martin, <i>J. Neurochem.</i>, 2003, 86, 879-887;</li> <li>(b) P. Cheung, C. Fong, K. Ng, W. Lam, Y. Leung, C. Tsang, M. Yang and M</li> <li>Wong, <i>J. Biochem.</i>, 2003, 134, 731-738.</li> <li>G. Fenalti, R. H. P. Law, A. M. Buckle, C. Langendorf, K. Tuck, C. J. Rosado,</li> <li>N. G. Faux, K. Mahmood, C. S. Hampe, J. P. Banga, M. Wilce, J.</li> <li>Schmidberger, J. Rossjohn, O. El-Kabbani, R. N. Pike, A. I. Smith, I. R.</li> <li>Mackay, M. J. Rowley and J. C. Whisstock, <i>Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.</i>, 2007, 14,</li> </ul>	433		Sansawa, Eur. J. Clin. Nutr., 2003, 57, 490-495; (d) H. Ueno, J. Mol. Catal.
<ul> <li>3 (a) G. Capitani, D. De Biase, C. Aurizi, H. Gut, F. Bossa and G. Gruetter, <i>EMBO J.</i>, 2003, 22, 4027-4037; (b) Y. Su, J. Wang, T. Lin and T. Pan, <i>J. Ind.</i> <i>Microbiol. Biotechnol.</i>, 2003, 30, 41-46; (c) K. Masuda, Xf. Guo, N. Uryu, T Hagiwara and S. Watabe, <i>Biosci, Biotech. Bioch.</i>, 2008, 72, 3265-3272.</li> <li>4 (a) G. Battaglioli, H. Liu and D. Martin, <i>J. Neurochem.</i>, 2003, 86, 879-887; (b) P. Cheung, C. Fong, K. Ng, W. Lam, Y. Leung, C. Tsang, M. Yang and M Wong, <i>J. Biochem.</i>, 2003, 134, 731-738.</li> <li>5 G. Fenalti, R. H. P. Law, A. M. Buckle, C. Langendorf, K. Tuck, C. J. Rosado, N. G. Faux, K. Mahmood, C. S. Hampe, J. P. Banga, M. Wilce, J. Schmidberger, J. Rossjohn, O. El-Kabbani, R. N. Pike, A. I. Smith, I. R. Mackay, M. J. Rowley and J. C. Whisstock, <i>Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.</i>, 2007, 14,</li> </ul>	434		<i>B: Enzym</i> ., 2000, <b>10</b> , 67-79.
<ul> <li><i>EMBO J.</i>, 2003, 22, 4027-4037; (<i>b</i>) Y. Su, J. Wang, T. Lin and T. Pan, <i>J. Ind.</i></li> <li><i>Microbiol. Biotechnol.</i>, 2003, 30, 41-46; (<i>c</i>) K. Masuda, Xf. Guo, N. Uryu, T</li> <li>Hagiwara and S. Watabe, <i>Biosci, Biotech. Bioch.</i>, 2008, 72, 3265-3272.</li> <li>4 (a) G. Battaglioli, H. Liu and D. Martin, <i>J. Neurochem.</i>, 2003, 86, 879-887;</li> <li>(<i>b</i>) P. Cheung, C. Fong, K. Ng, W. Lam, Y. Leung, C. Tsang, M. Yang and M</li> <li>Wong, <i>J. Biochem.</i>, 2003, 134, 731-738.</li> <li>5 G. Fenalti, R. H. P. Law, A. M. Buckle, C. Langendorf, K. Tuck, C. J. Rosado,</li> <li>N. G. Faux, K. Mahmood, C. S. Hampe, J. P. Banga, M. Wilce, J.</li> <li>Schmidberger, J. Rossjohn, O. El-Kabbani, R. N. Pike, A. I. Smith, I. R.</li> <li>Mackay, M. J. Rowley and J. C. Whisstock, <i>Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.</i>, 2007, 14,</li> </ul>	435	3	(a) G. Capitani, D. De Biase, C. Aurizi, H. Gut, F. Bossa and G. Gruetter,
<ul> <li>Microbiol. Biotechnol., 2003, 30, 41-46; (c) K. Masuda, Xf. Guo, N. Uryu, T</li> <li>Hagiwara and S. Watabe, <i>Biosci, Biotech. Bioch.</i>, 2008, 72, 3265-3272.</li> <li>(a) G. Battaglioli, H. Liu and D. Martin, <i>J. Neurochem.</i>, 2003, 86, 879-887;</li> <li>(b) P. Cheung, C. Fong, K. Ng, W. Lam, Y. Leung, C. Tsang, M. Yang and M</li> <li>Wong, <i>J. Biochem.</i>, 2003, 134, 731-738.</li> <li>5 G. Fenalti, R. H. P. Law, A. M. Buckle, C. Langendorf, K. Tuck, C. J. Rosado,</li> <li>N. G. Faux, K. Mahmood, C. S. Hampe, J. P. Banga, M. Wilce, J.</li> <li>Schmidberger, J. Rossjohn, O. El-Kabbani, R. N. Pike, A. I. Smith, I. R.</li> <li>Mackay, M. J. Rowley and J. C. Whisstock, <i>Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.</i>, 2007, 14,</li> </ul>	436		<i>EMBO J.</i> , 2003, <b>22</b> , 4027-4037; ( <i>b</i> ) Y. Su, J. Wang, T. Lin and T. Pan, <i>J. Ind.</i>
<ul> <li>Hagiwara and S. Watabe, <i>Biosci, Biotech. Bioch.</i>, 2008, 72, 3265-3272.</li> <li>(a) G. Battaglioli, H. Liu and D. Martin, <i>J. Neurochem.</i>, 2003, 86, 879-887;</li> <li>(b) P. Cheung, C. Fong, K. Ng, W. Lam, Y. Leung, C. Tsang, M. Yang and M</li> <li>Wong, <i>J. Biochem.</i>, 2003, 134, 731-738.</li> <li>5 G. Fenalti, R. H. P. Law, A. M. Buckle, C. Langendorf, K. Tuck, C. J. Rosado,</li> <li>N. G. Faux, K. Mahmood, C. S. Hampe, J. P. Banga, M. Wilce, J.</li> <li>Schmidberger, J. Rossjohn, O. El-Kabbani, R. N. Pike, A. I. Smith, I. R.</li> <li>Mackay, M. J. Rowley and J. C. Whisstock, <i>Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.</i>, 2007, 14,</li> </ul>	437		<i>Microbiol. Biotechnol.</i> , 2003, <b>30</b> , 41-46; ( <i>c</i> ) K. Masuda, Xf. Guo, N. Uryu, T.
<ul> <li>4 (a) G. Battaglioli, H. Liu and D. Martin, <i>J. Neurochem.</i>, 2003, 86, 879-887;</li> <li>(b) P. Cheung, C. Fong, K. Ng, W. Lam, Y. Leung, C. Tsang, M. Yang and M</li> <li>Wong, <i>J. Biochem.</i>, 2003, 134, 731-738.</li> <li>5 G. Fenalti, R. H. P. Law, A. M. Buckle, C. Langendorf, K. Tuck, C. J. Rosado,</li> <li>N. G. Faux, K. Mahmood, C. S. Hampe, J. P. Banga, M. Wilce, J.</li> <li>Schmidberger, J. Rossjohn, O. El-Kabbani, R. N. Pike, A. I. Smith, I. R.</li> <li>Mackay, M. J. Rowley and J. C. Whisstock, <i>Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.</i>, 2007, 14,</li> </ul>	438		Hagiwara and S. Watabe, <i>Biosci, Biotech. Bioch.</i> , 2008, <b>72</b> , 3265-3272.
<ul> <li>(b) P. Cheung, C. Fong, K. Ng, W. Lam, Y. Leung, C. Tsang, M. Yang and M</li> <li>Wong, J. Biochem., 2003, 134, 731-738.</li> <li>5 G. Fenalti, R. H. P. Law, A. M. Buckle, C. Langendorf, K. Tuck, C. J. Rosado,</li> <li>N. G. Faux, K. Mahmood, C. S. Hampe, J. P. Banga, M. Wilce, J.</li> <li>Schmidberger, J. Rossjohn, O. El-Kabbani, R. N. Pike, A. I. Smith, I. R.</li> <li>Mackay, M. J. Rowley and J. C. Whisstock, <i>Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.</i>, 2007, 14,</li> </ul>	439	4	(a) G. Battaglioli, H. Liu and D. Martin, J. Neurochem., 2003, 86, 879-887;
<ul> <li>Wong, J. Biochem., 2003, 134, 731-738.</li> <li>5 G. Fenalti, R. H. P. Law, A. M. Buckle, C. Langendorf, K. Tuck, C. J. Rosado,</li> <li>N. G. Faux, K. Mahmood, C. S. Hampe, J. P. Banga, M. Wilce, J.</li> <li>Schmidberger, J. Rossjohn, O. El-Kabbani, R. N. Pike, A. I. Smith, I. R.</li> <li>Mackay, M. J. Rowley and J. C. Whisstock, <i>Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.</i>, 2007, 14,</li> </ul>	440		(b) P. Cheung, C. Fong, K. Ng, W. Lam, Y. Leung, C. Tsang, M. Yang and M.
<ul> <li>5 G. Fenalti, R. H. P. Law, A. M. Buckle, C. Langendorf, K. Tuck, C. J. Rosado,</li> <li>N. G. Faux, K. Mahmood, C. S. Hampe, J. P. Banga, M. Wilce, J.</li> <li>Schmidberger, J. Rossjohn, O. El-Kabbani, R. N. Pike, A. I. Smith, I. R.</li> <li>Mackay, M. J. Rowley and J. C. Whisstock, <i>Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.</i>, 2007, 14,</li> </ul>	441		Wong, <i>J. Biochem.</i> , 2003, <b>134</b> , 731-738.
<ul> <li>N. G. Faux, K. Mahmood, C. S. Hampe, J. P. Banga, M. Wilce, J.</li> <li>Schmidberger, J. Rossjohn, O. El-Kabbani, R. N. Pike, A. I. Smith, I. R.</li> <li>Mackay, M. J. Rowley and J. C. Whisstock, <i>Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.</i>, 2007, 14,</li> </ul>	442	5	G. Fenalti, R. H. P. Law, A. M. Buckle, C. Langendorf, K. Tuck, C. J. Rosado,
<ul> <li>Schmidberger, J. Rossjohn, O. El-Kabbani, R. N. Pike, A. I. Smith, I. R.</li> <li>Mackay, M. J. Rowley and J. C. Whisstock, <i>Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.</i>, 2007, 14,</li> </ul>	443		N. G. Faux, K. Mahmood, C. S. Hampe, J. P. Banga, M. Wilce, J.
Mackay, M. J. Rowley and J. C. Whisstock, <i>Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.</i> , 2007, <b>14</b> ,	444		Schmidberger, J. Rossjohn, O. El-Kabbani, R. N. Pike, A. I. Smith, I. R.
	445		Mackay, M. J. Rowley and J. C. Whisstock, Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol., 2007, 14,

- 446 280–286.
- 6 S. Siragusa, M. De Angelis, R. Di Cagno, C. Rizzello, R. Coda and M.
  Gobbetti, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 2007, **73**, 7283-7290.
- 449 7 H. Li, T. Qiu, D. Gao and Y. Cao, *Amino Acids*, 2010a, **38**, 1439-1445.
- 450 8 (a) K. Park and S. Oh, *Bioresource Technol.*, 2007, **98**, 1675-1679; (b)
- 451 H. Li, T. Qiu, D. Gao and Y. Cao, *Amino Acids*, 2010a, **38**, 1439-1445; (*c*) Y.

- 452 Zhang, L. Song, Q. Gao, S. Yu, L. Li and N. Gao, *Appl. Microbiol.*
- 453 *Biotechnol.*, 2012, **94**, 1619-1627.
- 454 9 S. Yang, F. Lü, Z. Lu, X. Bie, Y. Jiao, L. Sun and B. Yu, Amino Acids, 2008,
- **34**, **473-478**.
- 456 10 M.-C. Kook, J. Korean Appl. Biol. Chem., 2010, **53**, 816-820.
- 11 C. Takahashi, J. Shirakawa, T. Tsuchidate, N. Okai, K. Hatada, H.
  Nakayama, T. Tateno, C. Ogino and A. Kondo, *Enzyme Microb. Tech.*, 2012,
  51, 171-176.
- 460 12 F. Shi and Y. Li, *Biotech. Lett.*, 2011, **33**, 2469-2474.
- 461 13 F. Shi, J. Jiang, Y. Li and Y. Xie, *J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2013, DOI
  462 10.1007/s10295-10013-11316-10290.
- 14 (a) T. Liu, T. Yang, S. Zhang, H. Xia and Z. Rao, J. Food Sci. Biotechnol.,
- 464 2010, **29**, 742-747; (*b*) L. Tian, M. Xu and Z. Rao, *Chin. J. Biotechnol.*,
- 465 **2012**, **1**, 65-75.
- 15 (a) V. Sakanyan, P. Petrosyan, M. Lecocq, A. Boyen, C. Legrain, M.
- 467 Demarez, J. N. Hallet and N. Glansdorff, *Microbiology*, 1996, **142**,
- 468 99-108;(b) E. Adams and L. Frank, Ann. Rev. Biochem., 1980, 49,
- 469 1005-1061; (c) A. M. Velasco, J. I. Leguina and A. Lazcano, J. Mol. Evol.,
- 470 **2002**, **55**, 445-449.
- 471 16 K. L. Heckman and L. R. Pease, *Nat. Protocols*, 2007, **2**, 924-932.
- 472 17 A. Schäfer, A. Tauch, W. Jäger, J. Kalinowski, G. Thierbachb and A. Pühler,
- 473 *Gene*, 1994, **145**, 69-73.

474

## **Green Chemistry**

18 (a) S. Delaunay, P. Gourdon, P. Lapujade, E. Mailly, E. Oriol and J.

475	Engasser, <i>Enzyme Microb. Technol.</i> , 1999, <b>25</b> , 762-768; ( <i>b</i> ) E. Bormann, B.
476	Eikmanns and H. Sahm, Mol. Microbiol., 1992, 6, 317-326.
477	19 M. J. Seo, Y. D. Nam, S. Y. Lee, S. L. Park, S. H. Yi and S. I. Lim, Biosci.
478	Biotechnol. Biochem., 2013, 77, 853-856.
479	20 (a). A. Schäfer, A. Tauch, W. Jäger, J. Kalinowski, G. Thierbach and A.
480	Pühler, <i>Gene</i> , 1994, <b>145</b> , 69-73; ( <i>b</i> ) J. Nešvera and M. Pátek, <i>Appl. Microb.</i>
481	<i>Biotech.</i> , 2011, <b>90</b> , 1641-1654.
482	21 D. McCormick, M. Gregory and E. Snell, J. Biol. Chem., 1961, 236,
483	2076-2084.
484	22 (a) S. Kitaoka and Y. Nakano, J. Biochem., 1969, 66, 87-94; (b) M. Xu, Z.
485	Rao, W. Dou, J. Yang, J. Jin and Z. Xu, Amino Acids, 2012, <b>43</b> , 255-266;
486	( <i>c</i> ) C. Smith, A. Deutch and K. Rushlow, <i>J. Bacteriol.</i> , 1984, <b>157</b> , 545-551;
487	(d) C. Dereppe, G. Bold, O. Ghisalba, E. Ebert and HP. Schär, Plant
488	<i>Physiol.</i> , 1992, <b>98</b> , 813-821.
489	

### 490 **Figure captions**

Figure 1 The strategy for designed *C. glutamicum* to produce GABA from
glucose in one-step.

Lactobacillus plantarum CCTCC M209102 is a good producer y-aminobutyric 493 acid (GABA) from L-glutamate. The glutamate decarboxylase and pyridoxal 494 kinase are involved in the above biotransformation. Glutamate decarboxylase 495 biotransforms L-glutamate to GABA with pyridoxal-5'-phosphate as cofactor. 496 Pyridoxal catalyzes ATP-dependent phosphorylation of pyridoxal to generate 497 498 pyridoxal-5'-phosphate, which is supplied for the reaction by glutamate decarboxylase. Corynebacterium glutamicum G01 is an excellent producer of 499 L-glutamate from glucose. However, it can not yield GABA from L-glutamate 500 501 due to the absence of glutamate decarboxylase and pyridoxal kinase. To realize the efficient one-step production of GABA from glucose, the C. 502 glutamicum reactor is redesigned. The metabolic module from L-glutamate to 503 GABA in L. plantarum was grafted into C. glutamicum, making C. glutamicum 504 prepare GABA from glucose in one-step. FA, fragment of argB::tacgad; FB, 505 fragment of proB::tacgad; FC, fragment of plk::tacdapA. 506

**Figure 2** Growth curves of *C. glutamicum* G01, AG, APGG and APLGGP. The engineered strains were cultivated at  $37^{\circ}$ C in 2.5 L flask bottle with an initial working volume of 1.0 L. Error bars represent standard deviations (n = 3)

**Figure 3** Fed-batch fermentation of GABA by the recombinant *C. glutamicum* 

APLGGP. (A) pH value was controlled at 7.3 until 48 h, and then not controlled;

- (B) pH value was controlled at 7.3 until 48 h, and then controlled at 4.8. The
- strains were cultured in 5-L bioreactor at 37 °C.
- **Figure 4** Effects of pH on conversion rate of GABA
- **Tables:**
- 517 Table 1 The reported methods and productivity of GABA
- **Table 2** Crude enzyme activities in the recombinant strains
- **Table 3** GABA production by the recombinant strains

Straina	Engineered	Substrates	Fermentation	ntation GABA		
Suains	methods	Substrates	durations (h)	(g/l)		
Lactobacillus	Wild-type	Sodium	48	35.66	7	
brevis NCL912		L-glutamate				
L. brevis	Wild-type	Monosodium	66	38	6	
TCCC13007		glutamate				
Corynebacterium	Expressing	L-glutamate	60	26.32	13	
glutamicum	GAD gene					
Lactobacillus	Expressing	L-glutamate	48	27.3	10	
Sakei	GAD gene					
C. glutamicum	Expressing	Glucose	72	12.37	11	
	GAD gene					
C. glutamicum	Expressing	Glucose	72	2.15	12	
ATCC 13032	GAD gene					
Escherichia coli	Expressing	L-glutamate	24	204.5	14	
	GAD gene					
	Strains Lactobacillus brevis NCL912 L. brevis TCCC13007 Corynebacterium glutamicum Lactobacillus Sakei C. glutamicum ATCC 13032 Escherichia coli	StrainsEngineered methodsLactobacillusWild-typebrevis NCL912Wild-typeL. brevisWild-typeTCCC13007ExpressingglutamicumGAD geneLactobacillusExpressingSakeiGAD geneC. glutamicumExpressingGAD geneExpressingATCC 13032GAD geneEscherichia coliExpressingGAD geneGAD gene	StrainsEngineered methodsSubstratesLactobacillusWild-typeSodiumbrevis NCL912L-glutamateL. brevisWild-typeMonosodiumTCCC13007glutamateCorynebacteriumGAD geneLactobacillusExpressingL-glutamateglutamicumGAD geneGAD geneC. glutamicumExpressingGlucoseGAD geneGAD geneC. glutamicumExpressingGlucoseGAD geneSakeiGAD geneExpressingGlucoseGAD geneManateGAD geneSakeiGAD geneSakeiGAD geneSakeiFischerichia coliExpressingGAD geneSakeiGAD geneSakeiBab geneSakeiStrop geneSakeiBab geneSakeiBab geneSakeiBab geneSakeiStrop geneSakeiStrop geneSakeiBab geneSakeiStrop geneSak	StrainsEngineered methodsSubstratesFermentation durations (h)LactobacillusWild-typeSodium48brevis NCL912L-glutamateL-glutamateL. brevisWild-typeMonosodium66TCCC13007glutamate60100glutamicumGAD geneL-glutamate48SakeiGAD gene726AD geneC. glutamicumExpressingGlucose72ATCC 13032GAD gene2424Escherichia coliExpressingL-glutamate24GAD geneL-glutamate2434Bacherichia coliExpressingL-glutamate24GAD geneL-glutamate2434Bacherichia coliExpressingL-glutamate34Bacherichia coliExpressingL-glutamate34Bacherichia coliExpressingL-glutamate34Bacherichia coliExpressingL-glutamate34Bacherichia coliExpressingL-glutamate34Bacherichia coliExpressingHoris Horis H	StrainsEngineered methodsSubstratesFermentationGABA durations (h)(g/l)LactobacillusWild-typeSodium4835.66brevis NCL912L-glutamateL-glutamate38TCCC13007glutamate6026.32glutamicumGAD gene11LactobacillusExpressingL-glutamate48SakeiGAD gene112.37GAD geneGAD gene112.37C. glutamicumExpressingGlucose72GAD gene124204.5GAD gene24204.5GAD gene124C. glutamicumExpressingClucoseGAD gene124GAD gene1C. glutamicumExpressingClucoseGAD gene11Barberichia coliExpressingGAD gene1Escherichia coliExpressingGAD gene1Escherichia coliExpressingGAD gene1Barberichia coliExpressingGAD gene1Escherichia coliExpressingGAD gene1Barberichia coliExpressingGAD gene1Barberichia coliExpressingGAD gene1Barberichia coliExpressingBarberichia coliExpressingBarberichia coliExpressingBarberichia coliExpressingBarberichia coliExpressing	

# **Table 1** The reported methods and productivity of GABA

544

Strains	Specific activities (U/mg protein)					
Strains	GAD	NAGK	GAK	DHDPS	PLK	
G01	-	0.30 ± 0.02	0.22 ± 0.02	0.21 ± 0.01	0.11 ± 0.01	
AG	2.13 ± 0.13	-	0.23 ± 0.02	0.20 ± 0.01	0.10 ± 0.01	
APGG	4.20 ± 0.25	-	-	0.21 ± 0.01	0.10 ± 0.01	
APLGGP	4.18 ± 0.23	-	-	-	0.43 ± 0.03	

## 546 **Table 2** Crude enzyme activities in the recombinant strains

Notes: In the recombinant *C. glutamicum* AG, the NAGK gene was knocked out and GAD gene was expressed. In the recombinant *C. glutamicum* APGG, the NAGK and GAK genes were knocked out, and two copies of GAD genes were expressed. In the recombinant *C. glutamicum* APLGGP, the genes of NAGK, GAK and DHDPS were knocked out, and PLK and two copies of GAD were expressed.
GAD, Glutamate decarboxylase; NAGK, N-Acetyl-L-glutamate kinase; GAK, Glutamate

553 kinase; DHDPS, Dihydropicolinate synthase; PLK, Pyridoxal kinase;

554 -, non-detected.

	Strains	L-glu (g/l)	L-arg (g/l)	L-pro (g/l)	L-lys (g/l)	GABA (g/l)	
	G01	106.3 ± 3.3	5.2 ± 0.3	4.3 ± 0.2	3.1 ± 0.2	-	
	AG	40.7 ± 1.2	-	4.5 ± 0.3	3.3 ± 0.2	45.8 ± 1.3	
	APGG	0.6 ± 0.1	-	-	3.2 ± 0.2	69.9 ± 1.9	
	APLGGP	0.5 ± 0.1	-	-	-	70.6 ± 2.1	
556	Notes: The	recombinant	C. glutamicui	m APLGGP ca	atalyzes the b	piotransformati	on without
557	addition of	pyridoxal-5'-pl	hosphate, wh	ile the other s	strains cataly	zes the reaction	on with an
558	appropriate	amount of py	ridoxal-5'-phc	osphate.			
559	-, non-deted	cted.					
560							
561							
562							
563							
564							
565							
566							
567							
568							
569							
570							
571							

Table 3 GABA production by the recombinant strains 555

- 572 **Figure 1** The strategy for designed *C. glutamicum* to produce GABA from
- 573 glucose in one-step.





**Figure 2** Growth curves of *C. glutamicum* G01, AG, APGG and APLGGP.

Figure 3 Fed-batch fermentation of GABA by the recombinant *C. glutamicum*APLGGP.



601





**Figure 4** Effects of pH on conversion rate of GABA

Efficient one-step Preparation of γ-Aminobutyric Acid from Glucose without Exogenous Cofactor by the Designed *Corynebacterium* 

## glutamicum

Rongzhen Zhang, Taowei Yang, Zhiming Rao\*, Hongmei Sun, Meijuan Xu,

Xian Zhang, Zhenghong Xu, Shangtian Yang

Text: Efficient one-step production of  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid from glucose without exogenous cofactor pyridoxal-5'-phosphate was realized by the designed Corynebacterium glutamicum.

