Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/foodfunction

1	A methanolic extract of <i>Ganoderma lucidum</i> fruiting body inhibits the growth of a
2	gastric cancer cell line and affects cellular autophagy and cell cycle
3	
4	Marta Oliveira # <sup>1,2,3</sup> , Filipa S. Reis # <sup>4,5</sup> , Diana Sousa <sup>4</sup> , Catarina Tavares <sup>4</sup> , Raquel T.
5	Lima <sup>4,6</sup> , Isabel C.F.R. Ferreira * <sup>5</sup> , Tiago dos Santos <sup>4</sup> , M. Helena Vasconcelos * <sup>3,4</sup>
6	
7	<sup>1</sup> Institute of Biomedical Sciences Abel Salazar (ICBAS), University of Porto, Porto,
8	Portugal.
9	<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Sciences of the University of Porto (FCUP), Porto, Portugal.
10	<sup>3</sup> Laboratory of Microbiology, Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Pharmacy
11	of the University of Porto (FFUP), Portugal.
12	<sup>4</sup> Cancer Drug Resistance Group, Institute of Molecular Pathology and Immunology of
13	the University of Porto (IPATIMUP), Porto, Portugal.
14	<sup>5</sup> Mountain Research Centre (CIMO), ESA, Polytechnic Institute of Bragança,
15	Bragança, Portugal.
16	<sup>6</sup> Centre of Medicinal Chemistry of the University of Porto (CEQUIMED-UP), Porto,
17	Portugal.
18	
19	# These authors equally contributed to this work
20	* Corresponding authors: M. Helena Vasconcelos; e-mail: hvasconcelos@ipatimup.pt;
21	Address: Cancer Drug Resistance Group, IPATIMUP, Rua Dr. Roberto Frias, s/n, 4200-
22	465 Porto, Portugal; telephone +351-225570700; fax +351-225570799. Isabel C.F.R.
23	Ferreira; e-mail: iferreira@ipb.pt; Address: Mountain Research Centre (CIMO), ESA,
24	Polytechnic Institute of Bragança, Campus de Santa Apolónia, Apartado 1172, 5301-
25	855 Bragança, Portugal; telephone +351-273-303219; fax +351-273-325405.

Food & Function Accepted Manuscript

# 26 Abstract

27	Ganoderma lucidum is one of the most extensively studied mushrooms as functional
28	food and as chemopreventive agent due to its recognized medicinal properties. Some $G$ .
29	lucidum extracts have shown promising antitumor potential. In this study, the bioactive
30	properties of various extracts of G. lucidum, from both the fruiting body and the spores,
31	were investigated. The most potent extract identified was the methanolic fruiting body
32	extract, which inhibited the growth of a gastric cancer cell line (AGS) by interfering
33	with cellular autophagy and cell cycle.

34

*Keywords: Ganoderma lucidum*; methanolic extract; gastric cancer cell line; AGS;
growth inhibition; autophagy.

# 37 Introduction

The exhaustive search for new ways of treating and preventing cancer has led to the discovery of new drugs based on either natural products or analogs inspired by them.<sup>1</sup> Indeed, although lacking objective biological mechanistic responses, some natural matrices have been investigated as a source of anti-cancer agents and some encouraging findings have been identified.<sup>2,3</sup>

Mushrooms are examples of natural matrices which appear to hold potential health 43 44 benefits, being recognized as functional foods and as a source of compounds for the 45 development of nutraceuticals or medicines, including compounds with antitumor properties.<sup>4</sup> Medicinal mushrooms are generally well-tolerated with few, if any, side 46 effects. Nevertheless, it is still not completely well understood whether their vast 47 bioactive effects are caused only by a single component or if they are the result of an 48 additive, or even synergistic outcome due to several compounds. It is believed that these 49 benefits are mainly attributed to their richness in polysaccharides (e.g.,  $\beta$ -glucans), the 50 primary active immune-enhancing constituents.<sup>5,6</sup> 51

Ganoderma lucidum extracts and compounds have demonstrated interesting advantages 52 as adjuvants in the prevention and treatment of cancer, possessing anti-proliferative or 53 growth inhibitory properties in various types of human tumor cell lines such as the 54 LNCaP cell line (prostate cancer),<sup>7</sup> sarcoma 180 and Lewis lung carcinoma cell lines 55 (lung cancer),<sup>8</sup> monocytic THP-1 cell line (acute myelogenous leukemia),<sup>9</sup> MCF-7 cell 56 line (breast cancer),<sup>10</sup> and HUC-PC and MCT-11 cell lines (bladder cancer),<sup>11</sup> As a 57 supplement during chemo- or radiotherapy, G. lucidum can enhance curative effects and 58 reduce detrimental side-effects associated to this kind of treatments, such as fatigue, 59 immunosuppression, anorexia, hair loss and bone marrow suppression.<sup>12-15</sup> 60

Food & Function Accepted Manuscript

Taking into consideration the enormous potential of this mushroom and the fact that the 61 underlying molecular mechanisms of the bioactive metabolites are far from being fully 62 understood, the main goal of this work was to further investigate the effect of different 63 64 extracts of G. lucidum (from the fruiting body and spores) on various human tumor cell lines. In addition, the effect of the most potent extract (methanolic extract from the 65 fruiting body) was further studied in the most sensitive cell line, a gastric cancer cell 66 line (AGS), by studying the effect on cellular proliferation, cell cycle profile, 67 programmed cell death and autophagy. 68

69

# 70 **Experimental**

71

# 72 Preparation of the Ganoderma lucidum extracts

Samples of Ganoderma lucidum (Curtis) P. Karst. were collected in Braganca 73 (Northeast Portugal) in July 2011. After taxonomic identification of the sporocarps,<sup>16-18</sup> 74 specimens were deposited at the herbarium of Escola Superior Agrária of Instituto 75 Politécnico de Bragança under the number BRESA-gl01-2011. The fruiting bodies were 76 further separated from spores using a scalpel, and all the samples were lyophilised and 77 powdered (20 mesh). Phenolic (methanolic and ethanolic) and polysaccharidic (boiling 78 79 water) extracts were prepared from the lyophilised powder following the procedure previously described by us.<sup>19</sup> The phenolic and polysaccharidic extracts from G. 80 *lucidum* fruiting body and spores were chemically characterized in a previous report.<sup>20</sup> 81 The extracts were kept in DMSO and stored at -20 °C. 82

83

# 84 Cell culture of human tumor cell lines

4

The following cell lines were used in this study: AGS (gastric adenocarcinoma), MCF-7 (breast adenocarcinoma), NCI-H460 (non-small cell lung cancer) and HCT-15 (colorectal adenocarcinoma). Cells were routinely maintained in RPMI-1640 medium with Ultraglutamine I (Lonza) supplemented with 5% or 10% (depending on the assay to be performed as explained below) heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS, PAA) at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Cell number and viability were assessed with trypan blue exclusion assay.

92

# 93 Primary culture of porcine liver cells

A cell culture was prepared from a freshly harvested porcine liver obtained from a local 94 slaughter house, and it was designed as PLP2. Briefly, the liver tissues were rinsed in 95 hank's balanced salt solution containing 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin 96 and divided into  $1 \times 1$  mm<sup>3</sup> explants. Some of these explants were placed in 25 cm<sup>2</sup> 97 tissue flasks in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM 98 nonessential amino acids and 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 mg/mL streptomycin and 99 incubated at 37 °C with a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. The medium was 100 changed every two days. Cultivation of the cells was continued with direct monitoring 101 every two to three days using a phase contrast microscope. Before confluence was 102 reached, cells were subcultured and plated in 96-well plates at a density of  $1.0 \times 10^4$ 103 104 cells/well, and cultivated in DMEM medium with 10% FBS, 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin.<sup>21</sup> 105

106

# 107 Screening for *in vitro* cell growth inhibition

Cell growth inhibition of tumor cell lines and of primary porcine liver cells was studied
with the sulforhodamine B (SRB) assay. Tumor cells were plated in 96-well plates

 $(7.5 \times 10^3 \text{ cells/well for the AGS cells}, 5 \times 10^3 \text{ cells/well for MCF7 and NCI-H460 cells})$ 110 and  $1 \times 10^4$  cells/well for HCT-15 cells) and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Porcine liver 111 cells were plated as indicated above in 2.3. Exponentially growing cells were then 112 treated with 5 serial dilutions (1:2) of each extract (ranging from 25 to 400  $\mu$ g/ml). 113 Following 48 h treatment, cells were fixed with 10% ice cold trichloroacetic acid, 114 115 washed with water and stained with SRB. After washing with 1% acetic acid, bound SRB was solubilized with 10 mM Tris Base and absorbance measured at 510 nm in a 116 microplate reader (Biotek Instruments Inc. Synergy Mx, USA). For each extract, the 117 corresponding GI<sub>50</sub> (concentration which inhibited 50% of net cell growth) was 118 determined, as previously described.<sup>22,23</sup> The effect of the vehicle solvent (DMSO) on 119 the growth of each cell line was also analysed by treating cells with the maximum 120 concentration of DMSO used in each assay (0.4%). 121

122

# 123 AGS cellular treatment with the *G. lucidum* methanolic extract

AGS cells were plated at  $1.5 \times 10^5$  per well in 6-well plates (in RPMI-1640 medium with Ultraglutamine I supplemented with 10% FBS) and incubated for 24 h. Cells were then treated with complete medium (blank), with *G. lucidum* methanolic fruiting body extract (106 µg/ml) or the equivalent volume of the extract solvent (DMSO).

Following 48 h of treatment, cells were further processed as indicated in the protocolsbelow.

130

131 *Cell proliferation analysis.* Proliferation was analysed with the BrdU incorporation 132 assay. One hour before the 48 h treatment, cells were incubated for 1 h with 10  $\mu$ M 133 BrdU (Sigma). Cells were then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS. Cytospins 134 were prepared and incubated in 2M HCl for 20 min. Following incubation with mouse

anti-BrdU (1:10, Dako), cells were further incubated with fluorescein-labeled rabbit
anti-mouse antibody (1:100, Dako), as previously described.<sup>24</sup> Slides were mounted in
Vectashield Mounting Media with DAPI (Vector Laboratories) and cells were observed
in a DM2000 fluorescence microscope (LEICA). A semi-quantitative evaluation of the
proliferation levels was obtained by counting a minimum of 500 cells per slide.

140

141 *Cell cycle profile analysis.* Cells were fixed in ice-cold 70% ethanol and kept at 4°C for 142 at least 12 h. Prior to analysis, cells were incubated with propidium iodide (5  $\mu$ g/mL) 143 and RNase A in PBS (100  $\mu$ g/ml) for 30 min on ice. Cellular DNA content was 144 analyzed using a FACS Calibur (BD Biosciences) flow cytometer.<sup>25,26</sup> Analysis of cell 145 cycle profile was carried out using the FlowJo 7.6.5 software (Tree Star, Inc., Ashland, 146 OR, USA) after cell debris and aggregates exclusion.

147

*Apoptosis analysis.* The levels of apoptosis were analysed by flow cytometry using the
Human Annexin V-FITC/PI apoptosis kit (Bender MedSystems, Vienna, Austria),
according to the manufacturer's instructions. Flow cytometry was carried out using a
FACS Calibur (BD Biosciences) flow cytometer and plotting at least 20,000 events per
sample, as previously described.<sup>27</sup> Data was analysed using the FlowJo 7.6.5 software
(Tree Star, Inc., Ashland, OR, USA).

154

155 *Protein expression analysis.* Cells were lysed in Winman's buffer (1% NP-40, 0.1 M 156 Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 0.15 M NaCl and 5 mM EDTA) with EDTA-free protease inhibitor 157 cocktail (Roche). Protein content was quantified with the DC Protein Assay kit 158 (BioRad). Protein lysates (20  $\mu$ g) were electrophoresed on 12% SDS-PAGE and 159 transferred to a nitro-cellulose membrane (GE Healthcare). Membranes were incubated

Food & Function Accepted Manuscript

with the following primary antibodies: rabbit anti-VPS34 (1:1000, Cell Signaling), 160 rabbit Beclin-1 (1:1000, Cell Signaling), rabbit anti-Light Chain 3 B, LC3 (1:1000, Cell 161 Signaling), goat anti-Actin antibody (1:2000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and with the 162 163 corresponding secondary antibody: donkey anti-goat IgG-HRP (1:2000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or goat anti-mouse IgG-HRP (1:2000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology). 164 Signal was detected using Amersham<sup>™</sup> ECL Western Blotting Detection Reagents (GE 165 Healthcare), the Amersham Hyperfilm ECL (GE Healthcare) and the Kodak GBX 166 developer and fixer (Sigma), as previously described.<sup>28</sup> 167

168

*Visualization of autophagosomes.* Cells were incubated for 1 h with freshly prepared 50 μM monodansylcadaverine (MDC, Biochemika) and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS. Cytopsins were prepared and mounted in Vectashield Mounting Media with DAPI. Cells were then observed using a fluorescence microscope (Axio Imager.Z1 coupled with ApoTome Imaging System microscope, Zeiss) for the observation of autophagosomes, as previously described.<sup>29</sup>

175

# 176 Statistical analysis

177 Statistical significance was determined with a two tailed Student's t-test, except for the 178 data presented in Table 2 in which the unpaired Student's t-test was used.\* Indicates 179 p < 0.05.

180

# 181 **Results and discussion**

182

# 183 Effect of the different G. lucidum extracts on the in vitro growth of human tumor

184 cell lines

It is known that the use of different fractions of G. lucidum may have different 185 outcomes in disease treatments.<sup>30</sup> Therefore, in this study, different G. lucidum extracts, 186 obtained from the spores or from the fruiting body of this mushroom, were evaluated 187 regarding their effect on the in vitro growth of four human tumor cell lines: AGS 188 (gastric adenocarcinoma), MCF-7 (breast adenocarcinoma), NCI-H460 (non-small cell 189 190 lung cancer) and HCT-15 (colorectal adenocarcinoma). This was carried out using the 191 sulforhodamine B (SRB) assay which quantifies protein thereby allowing to indirectly assess cell growth<sup>22,31</sup> and to determine the  $GI_{50}$  concentration of the extracts 192 (corresponding to the concentration that inhibits 50% of net cell growth). 193

Results (**Table 1**) showed that polysaccharidic extracts from *G. lucidum* presented no cytotoxic activity since all of them presented  $GI_{50}$  higher than 400 µg/ml (for all of the cell lines studied). The mentioned extracts were previously characterized by the authors, being detected, after polysaccharides hydrolysis, the same sugars in the fruiting body and spore extracts: fructose (0.65 and 2.15 g/100 g dry weight, respectively), glucose (0.55 and 0.83 g/100 g), mannitol (7.36 and 8.24 g/100 g) and trehalose (2.76 and 3.27 g/100 g) in the fruiting body extract.<sup>20</sup>

On the other hand, the phenolic extracts (methanolic) from both the fruiting body and 201 the spores showed *in vitro* cell growth inhibitory activity, particularly the one from the 202 203 fruiting body, which was the most potent extract in all cell lines studied. The mentioned 204 extract contains p-hydroxybenzoic acid (0.58 mg/100 g dry weight), p-coumaric acid (0.38 mg/100 g) and cinnamic acid (0.28 mg/100 g), as previously reported by the 205 authors.<sup>20</sup> The higher activity revealed by the extract obtained from fruting body might 206 be related to its higher phenolic compounds content in comparison with the extract 207 prepared from spores, that included only p-coumaric (0.28 mg/100 g) and cinnamic 208 (0.33 mg/100 g) acids. 209

Regarding the phenolic extract (methanolic) from the spores, different effects were 210 211 observed depending on the cell lines analyzed. This extract was more potent in the HCT15 cells followed by NCI-H460 cells, but showed no cytotoxic effect in the other 212 two cell lines studied (MCF-7 and AGS), presenting a  $GI_{50}$  concentration higher than 213 the maximum concentration tested (400  $\mu$ g/ml). This may indicate that the mechanisms 214 215 of action of this extract are more relevant in the HCT15 and NCI-H460 cell lines than in 216 the MCF-7 and AGS cells, possibly due the different genetic background of those 217 human tumour cell models. However, the  $GI_{50}$  concentrations determined for the methanolic extract of the spores were considered high and therefore this extract was no 218 further studied in the present work. 219

The fact that the phenolic (methanolic) extract showed cytotoxic towards human tumor cells is in agreement with what has been previously published.<sup>32</sup> Furthermore, up to 400  $\mu$ g/ml, the evaluated extracts did not show cytotoxicity against the primary culture of porcine liver cells, PLP2.

The most potent extract against tumor cell lines, the methanolic extract from the *G. lucidum* fruiting body, was chosen to be further studied regarding its effect in cellular proliferation, cell cycle profile and cell death. For this, AGS cells were analyzed following treatment with 106  $\mu$ g/ml of phenolic extract (methanolic) of the fruiting body, hereafter referred to as "*G. lucidum* methanolic fruiting body extract".

229

# 230 Effect of the *G. lucidum* methanolic fruiting body extract in AGS cellular 231 proliferation and cell cycle profile

Prompted by the effect found on cell growth, it was intended to investigate if that effect was due to alterations in cellular proliferation. Therefore, the effect of *G. lucidum* extract on AGS proliferation was analyzed by determining the percentage of BrdU-

235	incorporating cells 48 h following treatment (Figure 1). Results showed a decrease on
236	the proliferation levels of AGS cells (from 36% in blank and from 35% in DMSO,
237	respectively) to approximately 19%, following treatment with the G. lucidum extract
238	(Figure 1).
239	In addition, possible alterations in the cycle profile were investigated by flow cytometry
240	following PI labeling of the cells. Results (Figure 2) showed that the G. lucidum extract
241	caused a statistically significant increase in the percentage of AGS cells in the G1-phase
242	of the cell cycle, together with a decrease in the percentage of cells in the G2-phase of
243	the cell cycle. Moreover a decrease in S-phase was observed, although this was not
244	considered statistically significant.
245	Other authors had previously reported a G1 cell cycle arrest in breast cancer (MCF-7)
246	cells treated with G. lucidum extracts. <sup>33</sup>

# Effect of the G. lucidum methanolic fruiting body extract in programmed cell death The fact that no alteration in the sub-G1 peak was previously observed in the cell cycle analysis of AGS cells following treatment with the extract suggested that its mechanism of action did not involve apoptosis. Nevertheless, other studies have indicated that some G. lucidum extracts (such as unboiled aqueous extract and a methanol-extracted column-chromatography semipurified fraction) induced apoptosis.<sup>34</sup> Likewise, an ethanolic fraction of *G.lucidum* was shown to induce apoptosis in AGS cells, not only via the intrinsic mitochondrial pathway but also through the death receptor-mediated extrinsic apoptotic pathway.<sup>35</sup>

Therefore, it was further confirmed if the methanolic extract from the fruiting body of *G. lucidum* induced programmed cell death, by analyzing the levels of apoptosis by flow cytometry following Annexin V/PI labeling, which is an apoptosis-specific assay.

Results (**Table 2**) showed that no alterations in the levels of apoptosis following

treatment with this extract. This is possibly due to the concentrations and time points tested in the present study. Indeed, in the previously mentioned study of Calvino and collaborators, concentrations tested were far superior and the time points were inferior to the ones tested in the present study.<sup>34</sup>

265

260

# 266 Effect of the *G. lucidum* methanolic fruiting body extract in autophagy

It has been suggested that G. lucidum associates with autophagy.<sup>36-38</sup> In fact, treatment 267 with a triterpene extract from G. lucidum suppressed proliferation of HT-29 colon 268 cancer cells and inhibited growth of the respective xenograft tumor model. This effect 269 was shown to be due to the induction of autophagy, with the extract inducing the 270 formation of autophagic vacuoles and upregulating the expression of autophagy-271 associated proteins, such as Beclin-1 and LC-3, both in HT-29 colon cancer cells as 272 well as in the xenograft tumors.<sup>36</sup> Recently, G. lucidum was also shown to induce 273 autophagy in a breast cancer cell line, promoting cell death.<sup>37</sup> 274

Therefore, in this study, in order to confirm if the extract was interfering with cellular autophagy, the expression levels of some autophagic proteins were analysed. Results (**Figure 3**) showed no alterations in the levels of VSP-34 and Beclin-1. However, a clear increase in the autophagy marker LC3-II, was evident in AGS cells treated with the extract. As expected, there were no alterations in the Bcl-2 (antiapoptotic) protein levels when cells treated with the extract were compared with control (DMSO treated) cells.

This interference with cellular autophagy was further confirmed by an increase in the monodansylcadaverine (MDC) labeling of autophagosomes, observed in AGS cells following treatment with the extract (**Figure 4**). 285

# 286 Conclusion

In summary, the *G. lucidum* methanolic fruiting body extract inhibits the growth of a human gastric cancer cell line (AGS), by interfering with cellular autophagy and cell cycle. Further studies of the cellular and molecular mechanisms involved will be pursued in future work.

291

# 292 Competing interests

293 The authors declare no competing financial interest.

294

# 295 Acknowledgements

296 Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT, Portugal) and COMPETE/QREN/EU for 297 financial support to this work (research project PTDC/AGR-ALI/110062/2009) and to CIMO (strategic project PEst-OE/AGR/UI0690/2011). FCT for the grant of R.T.L. 298 299 (SFRH/BPD/68787/2010) and QREN for the grants of F.S.R. and D.S. (NORTE-07-300 0124-FEDER-000023). The authors thank Dr. Gabriela Almeida for technical assistance in the screening assays and to Prof. Anabela Martins from Polytechnic Institute of 301 Bragança for confirmation of the identification of G. lucidum samples. IPATIMUP is an 302 303 Associate Laboratory of the Portuguese Ministry of Science, Technology and Higher Education and is partially supported by FCT. 304

# 305 **References**

- 306 1. J.W.H. Li, J.C. Vederas, Science, 2009, 325, 161-165.
- 307 2. A. Vickers, Brit. Med. J., 2000, 321, 683-686.
- 308 3. Y.-J. Chen, C.-Y. Wu, C.-C. Chen, Y.-L. Tsao, N.-C. Hsu, Y.-C. Chou and H.-L.
- 309 Huang, J. Funct. Food., 2014, 6, 196-204.
- 4. I.C.F.R. Ferreira, J.A. Vaz, M.H. Vasconcelos and A. Martins, Anti-cancer Ag. Med.
- 311 Chem., 2010, 10, 424-436.
- 312 5. X. Chen, Z.P. Hu, X.X. Yang, M. Huang, Y.H. Gao, W.B. Tang, S.Y. Chan, X.H.
- 313 Dai, J.X. Ye, P.C.L. Ho, Duan, H.Y. Yang, Y.Z. Zhu and S.F. Zhou, Int.
  314 Immunopharmacol., 2006, 3, 499-508.
- 6. P. Roupas, J. Keogh, M. Noakes, C. Margetts and P. Taylor, J. Funct. Food., 2012, 4,
  687-709.
- 317 7. T.C. Hsieh and J.M. Wu, Int. J. Oncol., 2002, 20, 583-588.
- 8. Y. Jiang, H. Wang, L. Lu and G.Y. Tian, Acta Pharmaceut. Sinica, 2005, 40, 347319 350.
- 320 9. K.-C. Cheng, H.-C. Huang, J.-H. Chen, J.-W. Hsu, H.-C. Cheng, C.-H. Ou, W.-B.
- 321 Yang, S.-T. Chen, C.-H. Wong and H.-F. Juan, BMC Genom., 2007, 8, 411.
- 322 10. A. Thyagarajan, J. Jiang, A. Hopf, J. Adamec and D. Sliva, Int. J. Mol. Med., 2006,
  323 18, 657-664.
- 11. R. Russell and M. Paterson, Phytochemistry, 2006, 67, 1985-2001.
- 325 12. J. Lan, J. Yang and J. Xu, J. Chinese Med. Mat., 1999, 22, 614-618.
- 326 13. G.Q. Liu and K.C. Zhang, J. Integr. Plant Biol., 2005, 47, 129-135.
- 327 14. Q.-X. Yue, F.-B. Xie, S.-H. Guan, C. Ma, M. Yang, B.-H. Jiang, X. Liu and D.-A.
- 328 Guo, Cancer Sci., 2008, 99, 1461-1470.

- 329 15. H. Zhao, Q. Zhang, L. Zhao, X. Huang, J. Wang and X. Kang, Evid.-Based
  330 Complment. Alt. Med., 2012, 809614.
- 16. Phillips R., Mushrooms and other fungi of Great Britain and Europe. Macmillan
  Publishers Ltd, London, United Kingdom, 1981.
- 17. I.R. Hall, S.L. Stephenson, P.K. Buchanan, W. Yun and A.L.J. Cole, Edible and
- poisonous mushrooms of the world. Timber Press Inc., Oregon, USA, 2003, 372p.
- 18. J.A. Oria de Rueda, Hongos y setas. Tesoro de nuestros montes. Ediciones Cálamo,
  Palencia, Spain, 2007.
- 337 19. J.A. Vaz, S.A. Heleno, A. Martins, G.M. Almeida, M.H. Vasconcelos and I.C.F.R.
- 338 Ferreira, Food Chem. Toxicol., 2010, 48, 2881-2884.
- 339 20. S.A. Heleno, A. Martins, M.J.R.P. Queiroz, C. Santos-Buelga, I.C.F.R. Ferreira,
  340 Food Res. Int., 2012, 46, 135-140.
- 341 21. R.M.V., Abreu, I.C.F.R. Ferreira, R.C., Calhelha, R.T. Lima, M.H. Vasconcelos, F.
- 342 Adega, R. Chaves and M.J.R.P. Queiroz, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2011, 46, 5800-5806.
- 343 22. V. Vichai and K. Kirtikara, Nat. Protoc., 2006, 1, 1112-1116.
- 344 23. M.P. Neves, H. Cidade, M. Pinto, A.M. Silva, L. Gales, A.M. Damas, R.T. Lima,
- 345 M.H. Vasconcelos and M.S.J. Nascimento, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2011, 46, 2562346 2574.
- 24. A. Palmeira, A. Paiva, E. Sousa, H. Seca, G.M. Almeida, R.T. Lima, M.X.
  Fernandes, M. Pinto and M.H. Vasconcelos, Chem. Biol. Drug Design, 2010, 76,
  43-58.
- 350 25. M.H. Vasconcelos, S.S. Beleza, C. Quirk, L.F. Maia, C. Sambade and J.E.
  351 Guimaraes, Cancer Lett., 2000, 152, 135-143.
- 26. T. Santos, M. Oliveira, D. Sousa, R.T. Lima, A. Martins, I.C.F.R. Ferreira, M.H.
  Vasconcelos, J. Funct. Food., 2014, *6*, 100-106.

- 354 27. M.J.R.P. Queiroz, R.C. Calhelha, E. Vale-Silva, M. Pinto, G.M Almeida and M.H.
- 355 Vasconcelos, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2011, 46, 236-240.
- 28. R.T. Lima, L.M. Martins, J.E. Guimaraes, C. Sambade and M.H. Vasconcelos, J.
  Chemother., 2006, 18, 98-102.
- M.F.M. Pedro, H. Cidade, A. Kijjoa, E. Bronze-da-Rocha and M.S. Nascimento,
  Life Sci., 2005, 77, 293-311.
- 360 30. J.T. Xie, C.Z. Wang, S. Wicks, J.J. Yin, J. Kong, J. Li, Y.C. Li and C.S. Yuan,
  361 Exper. Oncol., 2006, 28, 25-29.
- 362 31. M.P. Neves, S. Cravo, R.T. Lima, M.H. Vasconcelos, M.S. Nascimento, A.M. Silva,
- 363 M. Pinto, H. Cidade and A.G. Correa, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2012, 20, 25-33.
- 364 32. T.L.M. Harhaji, S.A. Mijatovic, D.D. Maksimovic-Ivanic, I.D. Stojanovic, M.B.
- Momcilovic, S.J. Tufegdzic, V.M. Maksimovic, Z.S. Marjanovic and S.D. StosicGrujicic, Nutr. Cancer, 2009, 61, 696-707.
- 367 33. H. Hu, N.S. Ahn, X. Yang, Y.S. Lee and K.S. Kang, Int. J. Cancer, 2002, 102, 250253.
- 369 34. E. Calvino, L. Pajuelo, J.A. Casas, J.L. Manjon, M.C. Tejedor, A. Herraez, M.D.
  370 Alonso and J.C. Diez. Phytother. Res., 2011, 25, 25-32.
- 371 35. K.J. Jang, M.H. Han, B.H. Lee, B.W. Kim, C.H. Kim, H.M. Yoon and Y.H. Choi, J.
  372 Acupunct. Merid. Studies, 2010, 3, 24-31.
- 36. A. Thyagarajan, A. Jedinak, H. Nguyen, C. Terry, L.A. Baldridge, J. Jiang and D.
  Sliva, Nutr. Cancer, 2010, *62*, 630-640.
- 375 37. R. Rosario-Acevedo, T.R. Ivette Suarez-Arroyo, L.A. Cubano and M.M. Martínez-
- Montemayor, Proceedings of the 104<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the American
  Association for Cancer Research, 2013, 73.

378	38. G.S.	Wu, J.J.	Guo, J.L.	Bao, X	K.W. Li,	X.P.	Chen, J.	J. Lu	and	Y.T.	Wang,	Exp.
-----	----------	----------	-----------	--------	----------	------	----------	-------	-----	------	-------	------

379 Opin. Invest. Drug., 2013, 22, 981-992.

380

		GI <sub>50</sub> (μg/ml)			
		NCI-H460	HCT-15	MCF-7	AGS
	Phenolic extract (Methanolic)	$107.5 \pm 5.3$	$103.4 \pm 13.2$	$112.6 \pm 6.7$	93.3 ± 9.1
- Fruiting body	Phenolic extract (Ethanolic)	> 400	> 400	> 400	> 400
_	Polysaccharidic (Boiling water)	> 400	> 400	> 400	> 400
	Phenolic extract (Methanolic)	386.9± 11.15	280.8 ± 11.17	> 400	> 400
Spores	Phenolic extract (Ethanolic)	> 400	> 400	> 400	> 400
_	Polysaccharidic (Boiling water)	> 400	> 400	>400	> 400

**Table 1.**  $GI_{50}$  concentrations of various extracts from the fruiting body or spores of *G*. *lucidum* in four human tumor cell lines.

Results are the mean  $\pm$  SE of 3 independent experiments. Values >400 indicate that the GI<sub>50</sub> concentration was not found when testing extracts up to 400 µg/ml (maximum concentration tested).

	Apoptotic cells (%)
Blank	$7.9 \pm 1.1$
DMSO	$5.9 \pm 1.1$
Extract	9.5 ± 3.2

**Table 2.** Levels of apoptosis of AGS cells following treatment with *G. lucidum* methanolic fruiting body extract.

Results are the mean  $\pm$  SE of 3 independent experiments.



Figure 1 – Effect of *G. lucidum* methanolic fruiting body extract in AGS cellular proliferation. Cells were treated for 48 h with complete medium (Blank), *G. lucidum* extract or with corresponding vehicle (DMSO, control). Results are the mean  $\pm$  SE of three independent experiments.



Figure 2. Effect of *G. lucidum* methanolic fruiting body extract in AGS cell cycle profile. Cells were treated for 48 h with medium (Blank), *G. lucidum* extract or with corresponding vehicle (DMSO, control). Results are the mean  $\pm$  SE of 3 independent experiments. \*Indicates  $p \le 0.05$  between treatment with the extract and with the DMSO (control).



Figure 3. Expression of autophagy- and apoptosis-related proteins in AGS cells following 48 h treatment with *G. lucidum* methanolic fruiting body extract. A) Western Blot images representative of at least 3 independent experiments. Actin was used as loading control. B) Densitometry analysis of the Western blots. Results are the mean  $\pm$  SE of three independent experiments and are expressed after normalization of the values obtained for each protein with the values obtained for actin and further expressed in relation to control cells. \* Indicates p<0.05 between treatment with the extract and with the DMSO (control).



Figure 4. Analysis of the effect of *G. lucidum* methanolic fruiting body extract in the presence of autophagosomes. Fluorescence microscopy images after MDC incorporation (green) are representative of 2 independent experiments. Cell nuclei are stained with DAPI (blue). Bar corresponds to 20 µm.

# **TOC** graphic

# A methanolic extract of *Ganoderma lucidum* fruiting body inhibits the growth of a gastric cancer cell line and affects cellular autophagy and cell cycle

Marta Oliveira, Filipa S. Reis, Diana Sousa, Catarina Tavares, Raquel T. Lima, Isabel C.F.R. Ferreira, Tiago dos Santos, M. Helena Vasconcelos



*G. lucidum* methanolic extract inhibited the growth of a gastric cancer cell line (AGS) by interfering with cellular autophagy and cell cycle.