Faraday Discussions

Accepted Manuscript

This manuscript will be presented and discussed at a forthcoming Faraday Discussion meeting. All delegates can contribute to the discussion which will be included in the final volume.

Register now to attend! Full details of all upcoming meetings: http://rsc.li/fd-upcoming-meetings



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/faraday_d

Initiation and propagation of a single pit on stainless steel using local probe technique

Stéphane Heurtault^{a,b}, Raphaël Robin^a, Fabien Rouillard^{a,*} and Vincent Vivier^{b,**}

5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x [DO NOT ALTER/DELETE THIS TEXT]

^a CEA, DEN, DPC, SCCME, Laboratoire d'Etude de la Corrosion Non Aqueuse, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

^b Université P. et M. Curie - CNRS, UMR8235, Laboratoire Interfaces et Systèmes 10 Electrochimiques, 4 place Jussieu, F-75005 Paris, France

Fax: +33 1 69081586; Tel: +33 1 69081614; E-mail: <u>fabien.rouillard@cea.fr</u> (F. Rouillard)

** Fax: +33 1 44274074; Tel: +33 1 44274158; E-mail: <u>vincent.vivier@upmc.fr</u> (V. Vivier)

Experiments about single pit initiation and propagation were performed on 316L stainless steel with the aim to determine the pitting corrosion ¹⁵ behaviour for nuclear waste containers. The experimental setup permits to control the pit development at will and to create reproducible single pits in three dimensions. Radial and deep evolutions of a disc shaped pit were studied for propagation times up to 10 hours. These evolutions were used to determine what limiting mechanism takes place during long-term pit

²⁰ propagation. Special attention has been paid to the chloride ions action on pitting. A minimum chloride concentration was found to be necessary in the bulk electrolyte to support pit propagation. The existence of a critical pit depth of 230 μm was also underlined.

1 Introduction

- ²⁵ Pitting corrosion is an important issue for long-term behaviour of nuclear waste containers. In the French scenario, some containers may contain chloride-bearing polymers, which result in the production of chloride hydrogen gas by radiolysis. With the presence of humid zones formed on the inner 316L stainless steel container surface, the HCl gas completely dissolve and may form highly concentrated ³⁰ hydrochloric acid zones. Under this condition, pits can initiate and propagate.
- Pitting corrosion has been widely studied in the last decades on stainless steel [1,2], but since it is a stochastic process, it remains difficult to study experimentally. Indeed adding an aggressive anion such as chloride ion in the electrolyte leads to the formation of several pits with different stages of development on the material
- ³⁵ surface making any pitting analysis very difficult to be done. Many statistical studies have been performed to determine the pitting susceptibility of a material [3-6]. These studies focused on the frequencies of pit initiation and pit repassivation on a material surface on which several pits can evolve simultaneously. The main results of this approach concern the probability to get a stable pit that cannot repassivate,
- ⁴⁰ the decrease of pit generation rate with decreasing chloride concentration and the influence of potential on the pitting rates. However these statistical studies do not permit to highlight the driving forces of pitting corrosion and need to be complemented with mechanistic investigations. In addition, usual electrochemical measurements result in average value on the electrode surface. Thus to determine the

[journal], [year], **[vol]**, 00–00 | 1

This journal is $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ The Royal Society of Chemistry [year] mechanisms governing pitting corrosion from its initiation to the propagation or its repassivation, it is necessary to be able to work on a single pit.

- Many researchers have attempted to create single pits. Different methods have been used: an initial shape was given to the pit on the electrode surface using twin probe 5 techniques [7], one-dimensional shielded wires [8], focus laser illumination [9], or the electrode surface was partially covered to limit the number of pits [10]. These methods permit to study the early stage of pitting corrosion. However they have some disadvantage. First of all, the methods are not entirely reproducible from one experiment to the other. In some case these methods also damage the material
- ¹⁰ surface. Also they cannot be used to study long-term pit growth. Depth evolution was studied in literature with a lead-in-pencil electrode [11-14]. It consisted of a wire, the diameter of which varies from 10 to 50 μ m. This technique has the advantages to create easily single pits (such pits are called 'artificial pit') and to get simple pit geometry for further modelling. However the lead-in-pencil electrode
- ¹⁵ does not permit to have radius evolution. The radius evolution was independently investigated in literature on aluminium [15,16] using horizontally a plate of a few micrometers thick. This technique permitted to highlight the lateral evolution of the pit (but no work was performed for on the depth evolution). Mixing the two last techniques with the introduction of a vertical foil in the lead-in-pencil technique, it
- $_{20}$ was possible to study both lateral and vertical pit evolutions [17,18]. However due to the low thickness of the foil (from 25 to 50 µm) which leaded to interactions between the pit and the resin it was not possible to determine precise kinetic information about lateral evolution. In this article, an experimental setup allowing to inject locally chloride ions [19-21] has been used to analyze long-term development $_{25}$ of a single pit in three dimensions.
- It is generally admitted in the literature that long-term experiments are missing for pit propagation [1,10]. That is the scope of this article with the creation and sustenance of a disc shaped single pit. Disc shaped pits are less studied than hemispherical pits despite the fact that they are more convenient for numerical 30 modeling [22-24] since they are obtained in concentrated solution of chloride in
- which the number of pits is more important. This paper aims at bringing new insight on the pit propagation description on 316L stainless steel. After the description of the experimental setup, the control of the initiation of a single pit will be detailed. Then, the propagation phase will be
- ³⁵ characterized and a particular attention will be paid to the description of both radial and deep evolutions. The last part of this article will focus on the pitting chemistry necessary to maintain the pit propagation.

2 Experimental procedure

2.1 Instrumentation

⁴⁰ Local electrochemical techniques have been widely used in corrosion investigation [25,26]. The instrumentation used in this work was based on a scanning electrochemical microscope (SECM), which has been already described elsewhere [19-21] and in which the amperometric probe was replaced by a glass capillary (vide infra). The setup, sketched in Fig. 1, was composed of a lab-made potentiostat ⁴⁵ coupled with a low-noise-current-to-voltage converter (Femto DLPCA200, BFI Optilas) with an adjustable gain (10³ – 10¹¹ V/A) and a three-axis positioning system

2 | [journal], [year], [vol], 00–00



Fig. 1: Electrochemical device developed for generating a single pit and studying its propagation.

(VP-25XA, Newport) driven by a 100 nm spatial-resolution motion encoder (ESP300, Newport). The entire setup was controlled by a software developed under ⁵ Labview[®] environment. The glass microcapillary was used as a chloride ion dispenser to create a single pit on the stainless steel electrode. The top of the microcapillary was connected to a 300 μ L Terumo[®] syringe filled with a NaCl in a 0.5 M H₂SO₄ solution. The effect of the NaCl concentration has been studied by varying its concentration in the syringe. A KD Scientific[®] syringe infusion pump ¹⁰ was used to gradually release the solution at a fixed rate. The effect of the releasing rate was also studied as a relevant parameter for the pit initiation / propagation. The lifetime of a microcapillary was extended by washing it with a water – ethanol mixture after each experiment since the use of high concentration chloride solution may lead to crystal formation that obstruct its apex. All the experiments presented in ¹⁵ this article were performed with the same glass microcapillary size with an inner diameter of 100 µm and an outer diameter of 1000 µm. The precise positioning of

- diameter of 100 μ m and an outer diameter of 1000 μ m. The precise positioning of the microcapillary was performed by measuring the electrolyte resistance between the substrate and the reference electrode [20]. This technique was shown to be very sensitive to monitor the position of an insulating material in the close vicinity of a
- $_{20}$ substrate [27]. In a preliminary work, different distances between the microcapillary and the sample have been tested. If the distance was too large, several pits developed on the stainless steel surface. Thus, distances between 10 to 20 μ m have been chosen because corresponding to the larger distance at which a single pit was always observed.

25 2.2 Electrode preparation

The electrochemical cell was a three-electrode cell with a platinum grid acting as counter electrode, a mercury saturated sulphate electrode (MSE) as reference electrode (E = 650 mV/NHE) and a 316L stainless steel disk as working electrode. The nominal composition of the 316L stainless steel (in wt%) was obtained from

³⁰ EDAX analysis: 65.9% Fe, 18.5% Cr, 10.6% Ni, 2.4% Mo, 1.7% Mn and 0.9% Si. The stainless steel surface and the thickness of the sample were large enough to study the 3D evolution of the pit, allowing the progress in depth and radius of the single pit for time longer than 10 hours to be investigated.

Surface preparation was important because it determined the passive film nature,

[journal], [year], [vol], 00-00 | 3

CREATED USING THE RSC REPORT TEMPLATE (VER. 3.1) - SEE WWW.RSC.ORG/ELECTRONICFILES FOR DETAILS FARAday Discussions

thickness, and its crystallization [28,29]. In this article, the same preparation was always used to have reproducible passive film. The chosen surface preparation was



Fig. 2: Current recorded (a) and mean geometric parameters of the pits (b) during the initiation of pitting corrosion for 11 different experiments at 0 V/MSE

previously used in the literature by Hisamatsu and al [30] and consisted in several steps. First, the 316L stainless steel specimen was mechanically polished with 1200, 2400, and 4000 SiC paper, and then cleaned with a water and ethanol mixture in an ultrasonic bath for 10 min. After the polishing, the electrode was introduced in the ¹⁰ electrolytic cell that will also be used for pitting corrosion experiments, and was then cathodically treated in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ at -0.9 V/MSE for 1 minute to reduce (at least partially) the native oxide film. In the next step, the formation of the passive film was achieved in the same electrolytic solution by performing a single potential sweep between -0.9 V/MSE and the working potential (*i.e.* the potential at which

- ¹⁵ we wanted to initiate the pit) at a low scan rate (1 mV/s). Then, before releasing the chloride through the glass microcapillary, the working potential was hold during 30 min to ensure that the passive film is thick enough. In this work, all the experiments were performed at 0 V/MSE, but in a previous work [21] the effect of the working potential on pitting was investigated. The thickness of the passive film at the end of
- the surface preparation was evaluated by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy to be about 1 nm. This result is in good agreement with the work of Strehblow and Haupt [29] who measured the passive film thickness of Fe-15Cr in 0.5M H_2SO_4 from 1.1 nm at -0.4 V/MSE to 1.9 nm at 0.4 V/MSE.

2.3 Physical characterization

5

25 Ex situ characterization of pits was performed with a Leica Stereoscan 440 scanning electron microscope (SEM). This post-mortem observation allowed accurate measurements of the pit radius. The pit depth was measured using a differential focusing technique with an optical microscope equipped with a micrometric screw.

3 Results and discussion

- 30 3.1 Control of the pitting initiation
 - 4 | [journal], [year], [vol], 00-00

Fig. 2 shows 11 identical experiments performed independently. The solution injected with the microcapillary was a 3 M NaCl with a flow rate equal to 5.4 μ L/h. The propagation time was varied between 3 and 40 minutes to allow *ex situ* pit



s Fig. 3: Current recorded during the single pit propagation experiments varying the diameter of the stainless steel electrode at 0 V/MSE

radius and depth characterizations at different propagation times. The current-time records for the 11 identical experiments plotted in Fig. 2a were similar and included different stages. First the initial time for the pitting in all of our experiment (i.e. t =10 0 in Fig. 2) was defined as the time for which an increase in current due to pitting corrosion was monitored. The pit started to propagate after the breakdown of the passive layer (just beneath the microcapillary and independently of the metal microstructure) due to the aggressiveness of chloride ions. The first 10 to 15 minutes of the pit propagation were characterized by a sharp increase of current. Then the 15 increases of current slowed down. Similar current evolution between the 11 identical tests shows that the experimental setup permits to obtain reproducible single pits. Varying the working electrode disk diameter did not change the pitting reproducibility. Indeed Fig. 3 shows that a similar current evolution was recorded for a 2 mm electrode diameter and a 4 mm electrode diameter. After 45 minutes of ²⁰ propagation on a 2 mm in diameter working electrode the pit radius and depth (determined with an optical microscope) were found to be 610 µm and 147 µm, respectively 600 μ m and 139 μ m for a 4 mm electrode. It can be concluded that the electrode size did not modify the pitting evolution. However, the use of smaller working electrode allowed us to investigate the early stage of the pitting since the 25 background current (passive dissolution) is then minimized (data not shown) allowing a sharp evaluation of the onset of the current increase. Current evolution could be mainly explained by the increase of the pit radius while the pit depth seems to have a lower contribution as shown in Fig. 2b. The initial sharp increase of current was linked with a huge increase of the pit radius while the pit depth did not 30 exceed 25 µm. This was ascribed to the large concentration of chloride used for the local pit initiation. This initial stage of the pit formation is linked with the inner and outer diameters of the microcapillary used to generate the single pit. Then the increases of current and pit radius slowed down together. In literature such decreases of growth in current and pit radius were already found on iron for potentiostatic 35 conditions and were explained by a salt film precipitation [31].

[journal], [year], **[vol]**, 00–00 | 5



s **Fig. 4:** Current time curves for three single pits after 1 hour propagation at 0 V/MSE with the chloride concentration as a parameter



Fig. 5: Influence of the chloride amount injected with the microcapillary on the geometric parameters of the pit after 2 hours of propagation at 0 V/MSE.



Fig. 6: SEM images after 1 hour of propagation for pits generated with 1.2 M NaCl + $0.5 M H_2SO_4$ (a), 2 M NaCl + $0.5 M H_2SO_4$ (b) and 3 M NaCl + $0.5 M H_2SO_4$ (c) in the syringe at 0 V/MSE

6 | *[journal]*, [year], **[vol]**, 00–00

$\label{eq:created} \mbox{created using the rsc report template (ver. 3.1) - see www.rsc.org/electronicfiles for details} \\ Faraday Discussions$

Table 1: Pit depth for two different propagation time with variation of the chloride amount brought to the system between each test.

Propagation duration	Number of performed experiments	Mean depth (µm)	Standard deviation for depth (µm)
2 hours	7	268	4
3 hours	10	360	8

- ⁵ The influence of the chloride amount brought by the microcapillary on the stainless steel disk was studied by two different ways: (i) by varying the flow rate of the syringe driver, and (ii) by varying the NaCl concentration in the syringe. With both of these methods, similar results were obtained. Increasing the NaCl concentration in the syringe modified the pitting current as shown in Fig. 4. The initial current ¹⁰ slope increased as did the step value of the current. The amount of chloride released by the microcapillary significantly impacts the pit radius whereas the pit depth remained constant as shown in Fig 5. Reproducible pit depth was found for experiments performed for 2 and 3 hours of propagation with variation of chloride introduction and the standard deviation was very low between each test (Table 1). ¹⁵ The microscopy measurements showed that it was the increasing radius that could explain the current increase when the pit solution is more concentrated in chloride.
- explain the current increase when the pit solution is more concentrated in chloride. Besides, increasing the amount of chloride brought by the microcapillary reduced the probability to have a pit cover of residual metal above the pit (Fig. 6). This shows that the whole stainless steel surface below the glass microcapillary dissolves
- when the solution is concentrated enough in chloride. In addition, the small distance between the capillary and the steel electrode allowed controlling the local environment in the close vicinity of the pit. Similar observations on the disappearance of pit cover were done in the literature but for increasing potential [32] and increasing temperature [33] instead of increasing chloride concentration. In
- ²⁵ the following work, only pits without pit cover at the pit mouth were next considered. It should also be mentioned that the size of the microcapillary may play a non-negligible role on the pit initiation / propagation processes, especially the outer diameter of the glass part because the capillary and the steel substrate form a thin layer in which the electrolytic solution is confined.

30 3.2 Characterization of pit propagation

The evolution of the pit geometry was investigated by performing 30 experiments for 11 different pit propagation times between 45 minutes and 10 hours. Chloride ions were introduced in the electrochemical cell at a constant flow rate of 5.4 μ L/h using a 3 M NaCl and 0.5 M H₂SO₄ solution. The ratio pit depth / pit radius at the ³⁵ end of each experiment was calculated and plotted on Fig. 7. For the whole tests, this ratio had approximately the same value of 0.4. As a consequence, the generated single pits are always disc shaped. It should also be mentioned that in the literature, most of the investigations are related to hemispherical pits [1,7,30,32,34]. Fig. 7 gives also the repartition of the 30 performed experiments between the 11 ⁴⁰ propagation times. Reproducible pits were obtained for the propagation phase up to

10 hours, as shown in Fig. 8a for which the chronoamperometry results between different experiments overlap. During the propagation phase, the current increases slightly. In our experiments, after 45 minutes of propagation, the current is

[journal], [year], **[vol]**, 00–00 | 7

This journal is $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

CREATED USING THE RSC REPORT TEMPLATE (VER. 3.1) - SEE WWW.RSC.ORG/ELECTRONICFILES FOR DETAILS Faraday Discussions

proportional to t^{0.09}. Measurement of pit depth and pit diameter with optical microscope and SEM allowed the determination of experimental laws for the evolution of the pit and the radius (Fig 8b). For the radius the 11 experiments presented in the previous section for the initiation phase were also used because it s was shown that the pit radius significantly impacts the initiation phase whereas the pit depth evolution did not change between initiation and propagation. The following laws were found:

 $p = 126 + 1.57 * |t - 2700|^{0.54} \quad for \ t > 45 \ min \tag{1}$

$$r = 250 + 26.36 * |t - 180|^{0.34} \quad for \ t > 3 \ min \tag{2}$$

 $_{10}$ Where p is the pit depth (expressed in μm), r the pit radius (in μm) and t the propagation time (in s).







15 Fig. 8: Current recorded (a) and mean geometric parameters of the pits (b) during the propagation of pitting corrosion for 30 different experiments at 0 V/MSE

8 | [journal], [year], [vol], 00-00

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

In the experimental laws (1) and (2), the value of the different parameters have a physical meaning. At 3 minutes (*i.e.* 180 s), we could found a pit radius of 250 μ m. After 45 minutes (*i.e.* 2700 s), a pit depth of 126 μ m was found in equation (1). These laws also revealed that the pit depth increases as a function of the square root s of the time, whereas the radius grows as a function of t^{0.34}. An increase in square



Fig. 9: SEM image of a disc shaped pit after 14h52 of propagation at 0 V/MSE.

root of time is characteristic of a process limited by diffusion, suggesting so that the pit bottom is rate determined by diffusion.

- ¹⁰ In literature, for stainless steel Fe-18Cr-12Ni-2Mo-Ti in 0.5 M NaCl + 0.05 M H_2SO_4 solution, Szklarska-Smialowska and al. [35] found in potentiostatic conditions (at 0.860 V/ NHE) $p \sim t^{0.75}$ and $r \sim t^{0.94}$. The differences with our results can be explained by the fact that they worked on a large electrode with many pits. As a consequence they obtained an average value on an unknown value of pits
- ¹⁵ and at different stages of the propagation process, whereas in this work, all the measurements are always performed on a single pit. In addition fluctuations of current that precede the formation of stable pits [2] due to the true passivity breakdown [36] may also vitiated the measurement.
- $_{20}$ A pit radius of 500 μ m, corresponding to the glass microcapillary radius, was obtained after 15 minutes of propagation according to relation (2). For a pit radius greater than 500 μ m, the pit growth occurs under the stainless steel surface where the glass microcapillary is not present. In Fig. 9a, a pit growth under the stainless steel surface is noticeable at the periphery of the pit for a disc shaped pit after more
- ²⁵ than 10 hours of propagation. This presence of a lacy cover is consistent with the potentiostatic investigations performed on 304 stainless steel foils in 1M NaCl solution [17,18]. Fig. 9b did not show any crystallographic formation at the pit bottom, as it has been observed in literature when the pit bottom potential is in the active state and when a salt film is not present [37].



$$\frac{dp}{dt} = 0.86 * |t - 2700|^{-0.46} \quad for \ t > 45 \ min \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = 8.98 * |t - 180|^{-0.66} \qquad for \ t > 3 \ min \tag{4}$$

³⁵ For long-term propagation, the growth rate in depth determined in relation (3) expressed in μ m.s⁻¹ is lower than the growth rate for the radius written in relation (4) in μ m.s⁻¹. It is consistent with a disc shaped pit.

[journal], [year], **[vol]**, 00–00 | 9

The Faraday law permits to link the electrochemical behavior with a mass loss proportional to the growth rates presented in relations (3) and (4). However, to use the Faraday law we need to know the oxidation number of species that dissolve. The hypothesis that there is no specific dissolution has been made and thus a mean ⁵ oxidation number of 2.2 was used for 316L stainless steel. Fig. 10 validated the



Fig. 10: Dissolution volume calculated by the Faraday law and by the optical measurements.



Fig. 11: Evolution of the current density on the pit walls and at the pit bottom for long-term pit propagation

hypothesis of no specific dissolution. The pit volume corresponding to the metal dissolution was calculated by two different methods. The direct route uses the Faraday law, which allowed obtaining from the measured current the volume of dissolution. In the second approach, the calculation of volume was made from ¹⁵ optical microscopy measurements and making the hypothesis of cylindrical pit. During the whole propagation time, both methods gave the same dissolution volume, suggesting that the use of the Faraday law is a valid hypothesis. The observation that the fraction of the dissolved elements in the solution after pitting measured by ICP was equal to their fraction in the substrate confirm this result [20,21].

20

From relations (3) and (4), using the Faraday law considering no specific dissolution and calculating the flux, the local current densities on the pit walls and at pit bottom

10 | [journal], [year], [vol], 00-00

were determined to be:

$$J_{pit \ bottom} = \frac{\rho n F}{M} \frac{dp}{dt} \qquad for \ t > 45 \ min \tag{5}$$

$$J_{pit walls} = \frac{\rho nF}{M} \frac{dr}{dt} \qquad for \ t > 3 \ min \tag{6}$$



5 Fig. 12: Calculated concentration evolution at the pit bottom for long-term pit propagation

With J_{local} the local current density, ρ the 316L stainless steel density (8 g.cm⁻³), n the mean oxydation number involved in the corrosion reaction (2.2), F the Faraday constant (96485 C.mol⁻¹) and M the 316L SS molar mass (56.1 g.mol⁻¹).

- ¹⁰ These two relations are plotted on Fig. 11. The current density at the pit bottom decreases as a square root of time, revealing that the rate-limiting step at the pit bottom may be a diffusive process (see ESI). This might be the diffusion of metallic cations from the pit bottom to the pit mouth as defended several times in literature [10,11,38,39]. Another explanation was to consider that the limiting diffusion is the ¹⁵ transport of aggressive anions to the outside of the pit [31].
- Current density in a single pit had already been evaluated using artificial pit electrodes. Isaacs [11] determined the evolution of current density with time for propagation times up to 45 minutes for a 308 stainless steel immerged in 1M NaCl. The initial value of current density was about 1.2 A.cm⁻², which is in good
- ²⁰ agreement with other works from the literature [37,40,41]. Then the current density decreases in square root of time until the value of 200 mA.cm⁻² after 45 minutes of propagation. This value could be consistent with a current density of 125 mA.cm⁻² that we observed after 1 hour of propagation. Newman [13] determined the current density value for a longer propagation time performing a single pit of 1 mm depth on
- ²⁵ a Fe-17Cr-11Ni-2.7Mo alloy using 4M KCl solution. After reaching this depth, he changed the solution to 1M KCl solution and then measured the current density at different potentials. In our paper, using relation (1), a pit depth of 1 mm is obtained after 34 hours of propagation. At 34 hours of propagation, a pit density of 10 mA.cm⁻² is calculated using relation (5). This value is also in good agreement with ³⁰ the one obtained by Newman for lower overpotential.
 - 3.3 Critical pitting chemistry

[journal], [year], [vol], 00-00 | 11

3.3.1 Corrosion products concentration at the pit bottom

Current density at the pit bottom was found to be lower than the current density on the pit walls (Fig. 11). This can be explained by the local chemistry at the pit bottom, which slows the corrosion rate but also permits to sustain the pit ⁵ propagation. Using the Fick first law, the concentration of metallic cations Meⁿ⁺ at the pit bottom could be calculated from the following relationship:



Fig. 13: Chronoamperometries for 2 pitting experiments in which the microcapillary was removed after 2 hours (blue curves) and after 3 hours (red curves) to see if a critical chemistry exists in the bulk

$$Me^{n+}]_{pit\ bottom} = \frac{p*\rho}{D_{Me^{n+*M}}\frac{dp}{dt}}$$
(7)

With $D_{Me^{n+}}$ the diffusion coefficient of the metallic cations which was taken equal to 0.5 10⁻⁵ cm².s⁻¹ [11]. The bulk concentration of metallic cations (far from the pit) was also assumed to be zero.

During the single pit propagation, a constant concentration of 1.4 mol.L⁻¹ was found for the metallic cation concentration at the pit bottom (Fig. 12). Such a value corresponds to 30 % of the saturation concentration of the solubility of FeCl₂ (4.4 mol.L⁻¹) [42]. According to literature [43,44], the concentration of the corrosion ²⁰ products within the pit must be maintained at about 60% for allowing the pit to propagate. However lower corrosion products concentration had already been found in literature for 304 stainless steel. Hisamatsu [45] observed a pit propagation with a corrosion products concentration of 1.8 M at the pit bottom (*i.e.* 40% of the saturation). Moreover more complex iron salt with a lower solubility could have ²⁵ formed. It could be the case in our experiment with the formation of sulphate iron salts.

3.3.2 Critical bulk chloride concentration

ſ

The concentration of chloride required in the bulk electrolyte to maintain the pit $_{30}$ propagation was determined. 20 reproducible single pits were created at 0 V/MSE releasing a solution of 3 M NaCl + 0.5 M H₂SO₄ using the glass microcapillary with a constant flow rate of 5.4 μ L/h. During the propagation, the bulk electrolyte was

12 | *[journal]*, [year], **[vol]**, 00–00

10

15

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

changed from a solution of 0.5 M H_2SO_4 to a solution of 0.5 M H_2SO_4 and variable NaCl concentrations (C_0) using peristaltic pumps working at constant flow rates. After 15 minutes, necessary to completely renew the bulk solution, the glass microcapillary was removed. Depending on the chloride concentration C_0 of the bulk s electrolyte, the pit propagation continued or stopped. In Fig. 13, after removing the microcapillary at 2 hours and 3 hours, it is noticeable that the pit still propagated for a bulk chloride concentration of 250 mM for both propagation times and that the pit



Fig. 14: Evolution of the critical chloride concentration necessary to continue the propagation of an opened pit which radius is 800 μm as function of propagation time and pit depth.

repassivated for a lower bulk chloride concentration of 235 mM.

The effect of the bulk chloride concentration was studied as a function of pit propagation time and pit depth (Fig. 14). Each point in Fig. 14 represents one of the ¹⁵ 20 performed experiments. Two zones can be clearly evidenced. A pit propagation zone may be defined for the bulk chloride concentration high enough to sustain pit propagation. A pit repassivation zone exists when the bulk chloride concentration was no longer sufficient to maintain the propagation process. Between both zones, a critical chloride concentration may be defined. From 1 hour to 1h30 of propagation, ²⁰ this critical concentration decreases. Then at 1h30 of pit propagation, achieving a pit

- $_{20}$ this critical concentration decreases. Then at 1130 of pit propagation, achieving a pit depth of 230 µm, it reaches a step value between 235 mM and 250 mM. Additional experiments were performed at 235 mM and 250 mM of bulk chloride concentration to confirm the reproducibility of the repassivation and propagation of the single pit after 1h30 of propagation. Conversely to iron or nickel [42], stainless steel
- 25 repassivates at high chloride concentration. The need of chloride ions to continue pit propagation was explained for the early stage of pit development by an increase of the salt film volume during pit propagation as the pit surface grows up. As the salt film is composed of chloride ions, a supply of chloride ions is necessary to respond to the increased volume of salt film [42]. In addition, it was shown that the sulfate
- ³⁰ concentration (data not shown) also plays a significant role since an increase of sulfate concentration is accompanied by a current decrease. For instance, in 6 M sulfate solution (adding sulfate salt but maintaining a constant pH) the pitting

[journal], [year], **[vol]**, 00–00 | 13

current was 8 times smaller than in 0.5 M solution. These observations are in good agreement with those reported by Pistorius and Burstein [47] on a 304 stainless steel with the addition of $SO_4^{2^{-1}}$ ion to a 1 M Cl⁻ solution. Indeed, these results indicate the influence of the chloride/sulphate ratio on the pitting behaviour and can be explained s by a stronger adsorption of sulphate than chloride at the electrode interface.

4 Conclusions

Pitting corrosion of 316L stainless steel was investigated using an experimental setup that permits to create reproducible single pits. In literature, pitting corrosion experiments usually focused on small hemispherical pits. Here large disc shaped pits

- ¹⁰ were analyzed with propagation time up to 10 hours. The experimental setup permitted to control at will the chloride ions bring into the pit solution. Experimental propagation laws for the geometrical parameters of pit radius and depth were proposed. Calculations of local current densities were deducted to determine the limiting mechanism controlling the pit propagation. The pit growth at the pit bottom
- ¹⁵ was limited by diffusion, probably the diffusion of the metallic cations from the bottom to the outside of the pit. A corrosion product concentration of 30 % of the saturation was calculated at the pit bottom. This percentage, although lower than the one proposed in the literature, would be enough to prevent the pit repassivation. Experimentally, a minimum chloride concentration was determined in the bulk
- $_{20}$ electrolyte to sustain the pit propagation. This concentration decreases with time until a critical pit depth of 230 μ m is reached. Then, the bulk electrolyte concentration has to be at least 245 mM NaCl to sustain the pit propagation on 316L austenitic stainless steel. Further investigations are under progress to investigate the influence of the microcapillary geometry and to determine geometrical laws for pit $_{25}$ radius and depth as a function of the chloride concentration.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge AREVA NC for the funding of this project, and Françoise Pillier (UMR 8235) for SEM observations.

References

30

40

- 1 Z. Szklarska-Smialowska, Electrochemical Society Proceedings, 2002, 13, 251
 - 2 J. Soltis, Corrosion Science, 2015, 90, 5
 - 3 T. Shibata and T. Takeyama, Corrosion, 1977, 33, 243
 - 4 D.E. Williams, C. Wescott and M. Fleischmann, *Passivity of Metals and Semiconductors*, ed. M. Froment, 1983, 217
- 5 D.E. Williams, J. Stewart and P.H. Balkwill, *Critical Factors in Localized Corrosion*, ed. G.S. Frankel and R.C. Newman, 1992, 36
 - 6 D.E. Williams, J. Stewart and P.H. Balkwill, Corrosion Science, 1994, 36, 1213
 - 7 I.L. Rosenfeld and I.S. Danilov, Corrosion Science, 1967, 7, 129
 - 8 T. Beck, J. Electrochem. Soc., 1973, **120**, 1317
 - 9 R.K. Ulrich and R.C. Alkire, Corrosion Science, 1983, 23, 1153
 - 10 R.C. Alkire and K.P. Wong, *Corrosion Science*, 1988, **28**, 411
 - 11 H.S. Isaacs, J. Electrochem. Soc., 1973, 120, 1456
 - 12 R.C. Newman and H.S. Isaacs, *Passivity of Metals and Semiconductors*, ed. M. Froment, 1983, 269
- 45 13 R.C. Newman, *Corrosion Science*, 1985, **25**, 341
 - 14 N.J. Laycock and R.C. Newman, Materials Science Forum, 1995, 192-194, 649

14 | [journal], [year], [vol], 00-00

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

CREATED USING THE RSC REPORT TEMPLATE (VER. 3.1) - SEE WWW.RSC.ORG/ELECTRONICFILES FOR DETAILS Faraday Discussions

- 15 G.S. Frankel, J.R. Scully and C.V. Jahnes, J. Electrochem. Soc., 1996, 143, 1834 16
 - A. Sehgal, D. Lu and G.S. Frankel, J. Electrochem. Soc., 1998, 145, 2831
- 17 P. Ernst and R.C. Newman. Corrosion Science. 2002. 44, 927
- S.M. Ghahari, D.P. Krouse, N.J. Laycock, T. Rayment, C. Padovani, T. Suter, R. Mokso, 18 F. Marone, M. Stampanoni, M. Monir and A.J. Davenport, Corr. Eng. Sci. and Tech., 2011, 46, 205
- 19 N. Aouina, F. Balbaud-Célérier, F. Huet, S. Joiret, H. Perrot, F. Rouillard and V. Vivier, Electrochimica Acta, 2011, 56, 8589
- N. Aouina, F. Balbaud-Célérier, F. Huet, S. Joiret, H. Perrot, F. Rouillard and V. Vivier, 20 Corrosion Science, 2012, 62, 1
- 21 N. Aouina, F. Balbaud-Célérier, F. Huet, S. Joiret, H. Perrot, F. Rouillard and V. Vivier, Electrochimica Acta, 2013, 104, 274
- 22 J.R. Galvele, J. Electrochem. Soc., 1976, 123, 464
- 23 J.R. Galvele, Corrosion Science, 1981, 21, 551
- S.M. Gravano and J.R. Galvele, Corrosion Science, 1984, 24, 517 24
- 25 L. Niu, Y. Yin, W. Guo, M. Lu, R. Qin and S. Chen, J. Mater. Sci., 2009, 44, 4511
 - 26 M.B. Jensen and D.E. Tallman, *Electroanalytical Chemistry A Series of Advances*, ed. C. Bard and A.J. Zoski, 2011, 24, 171
- 27 H. Bouazaze, J. Fransaer, F. Huet, P. Rousseau and V. Vivier, Electrochimica Acta, 2010. 55. 1645
- 28 A.J. Davenport, R.C. Newman and P. Ernst, Passivity of Metals and Semiconductors, ed. M.B. Ives, J.L. Luo and J.R. Rodda, 2001, Proceedings Vol 99-42, 65
- 29 S. Haupt and H.H. Strehblow, Corrosion Science, 1994, 37, 43
- 30 Y. Hisamatsu, T. Yoshii and Y. Matsumura, Localized Corrosion, eds. R. Staehle and al., 1974, NACE Houston, 427
- H.H. Strehblow and P. Marcus, Corrosion mechanisms in Theory and Practise, ed. P. 31 Marcus, 2012, 3rd edition, 349
- 32 N. Sato, T. Nakagawa, K. Kudo and M. Sakashita, Localized Corrosion, eds. R. Staehle and al., 1974, NACE Houston, 447
- 33 N.J. Laycock, M.H. Moayed and R.C. Newman, J. Electrochem. Soc., 1998, 145, 2622
 - 34 H.J. Engell and N.D. Stolica, Z. phys. Chem. N.F., 1959, 20, 113
 - Z. Szklarska-Smialowska and J. Mankowski, Corrosion Science, 1972, 12, 925 35
 - 36 G.T. Burstein and S.P. Mattin, Critical Factors in Localized Corrosion 2, ed. P.M. Natishan, R.G. Kelly, G.S. Frankel and R.C. Newman, 1995, 1
- 37 N. Sato, Corrosion Science, 1995, 37, 1947
 - P.C. Pistorius and G.T. Burstein, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A, 1992, 341, 531 38
 - 39 H.S. Isaacs and G. Kissel, J. Electrochem. Soc., 1972, 119, 1628
 - 40 W. Schwenk, Corrosion, 1964, 20, 129t
 - V.M. Novokovsky and A.N. Sorokina, Proceedings Third International Congress 41 Metallic Corrosion, MIR Publishers Moscou, 1969, 1, 159
 - K.J. Vetter and H.H. Strehblow, Localized Corrosion, eds. R. Staehle and al., 1974, 42 NACE Houston, 240
 - 43 G.T. Gaudet, W.T. Mo, T.A. Hatton, J.W. Tester, J. Tilly, H.S. Isaacs and R.C. Newman, AICHE Journal, 1986, 32, 949
- H.S. Isaacs, Corrosion Science, 1989, 29, 313 44
 - 45 Y. Hisamatsu, Passivity and its Breakdown on Iron and Iron Base Alloys, eds R.W. Staehle and H. Okada, 1976, NACE Houston, 99
 - 46 K.J. Vetter, Electrochemical Kinetics, 1967, p214
 - 47 P.C. Pistorius and G.T. Burstein, Corrosion Science, 1992, 22, 1885

[journal], [year], [vol], 00-00 | 15