

**FD 174: Novel Electrochemiluminescent Materials for Sensor Applications**

Journal:	<i>Faraday Discussions</i>
Manuscript ID:	FD-ART-05-2014-000090.R1
Article Type:	Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	17-Jun-2014
Complete List of Authors:	Dennany, Lynn; University of Strathclyde, Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry; Dublin City University, National Centre for Sensor Research Mohsan, Zahera; The University of Strathclyde, Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry Kanibolotsky, Alexander; The University of Strathclyde, Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry; University of Strathclyde, Pure and Applied Chemistry Skabara, Peter; The University of Strathclyde, Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry

# Novel Electrochemiluminescent Materials for Sensor Applications

Lynn Dennany,\* Zahera Mohsan, Alexander L. Kanibolotsky and Peter J. Skabara

5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x [DO NOT ALTER/DELETE THIS TEXT]

Electrochemiluminescence (ECL) uses redox reactions to generate light at an electrode surface and is gaining increasing attention for biosensor development due to its high sensitivity and excellent signal to noise ratio. ECL studies of monodisperse oligofluorene-truxenes (T4 series) have been reported previously, 10 showing the production of stable radical cations and radical anions, generating blue ECL. The compound in this study differs from the original structures, in that there are 2,1,3-benzothiadazole (BT) units inserted between the first and second fluorene units of quartefluorenyl arms. It was therefore anticipated that the incorporation of these highly luminescent and ECL active compounds into sensor development 15 would lead to significant decreases in detection limits. In this contribution, we report on the impact of incorporating these novel complexes into sensor devices on the ECL efficiency as well as the ability of these to improve the detection sensitivity and decrease the limit of detection using the reagent free detection of model analytes. The real world impact of these compounds is elucidated through 20 the comparison with more standard ECL materials such as ruthenium based compounds. The potential for multiple applications is examined within this contribution.




## Introduction

Electrochemiluminescence (ECL) represents a powerful analytical approach that 25 combines simple equipment with inherent sensitivity, and a wide dynamic range for many analytes.<sup>1,2,3,4,5</sup> ECL has been the subject of extensive study for the past three decades.<sup>6,7,8</sup> The production of light from intermediates generated during electrolysis occurs when the energy liberated by the reaction between the electrogenerated precursors is sufficient to generate a product in an electronically excited form.<sup>9</sup> 30 Studies of inorganic ECL have been dominated by transition metal complexes,<sup>10,11,12</sup> particularly ruthenium poly(pyridyl) species, e.g., those of the general formula  $\text{Ru}(\text{L})_3^{2+}$ , e.g., where L = 2,2'-bipyridine,<sup>13,14,15</sup> 4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline<sup>16</sup> or 2,2'-bipyrazine.<sup>17</sup> This is due to the attractive photophysical and electrochemical properties that these compounds typically exhibit. ECL is a remarkably versatile, 35 highly sensitive<sup>18</sup> and selective technique that has emerged in various research fields.<sup>19</sup> Particularly fascinating electronic properties have been discovered in recent years of various star-shaped conjugated oligomers with different core arms.<sup>20</sup>

As with all sensors there is a constant drive to improve sensitivity and selectivity. The future health industry in conjunction with point of care health monitoring will 40 demand the detection of life threatening diseases before critical stages have been reached. This will require a new breed of biomedical sensors capable of measuring disease biomarkers down to the pico molar range. In order to design such sensors, it is necessary to consider the limitations of current biomedical sensors.

Depending on the nature of a specific ECL reaction the sensitivity of ECL based systems may be limited by certain factors. These include slow rates of charge transfer ( $D$ ). A slow rate of diffusion will lead to slow regeneration of the excited state, typically  $\text{Ru}^{3+}$ , which is required to react with an analyte to produce ECL. A fast diffusion rate to the electrode will lead to an increase in the amount of excited state available to react with a specific analyte. This should lead to increased ECL production and improved sensitivity. One appealing possibility is to wire the luminophores using a conjugated polymer backbone which can have a positive effect on ECL efficiencies.<sup>21</sup> An alternative to this is to create an entirely new compound with high luminescent quantum yields to allow for the determination of lower concentrations of target analyte.

ECL can be produced by two dominant pathways, ie annihilation and co-reactant pathways.<sup>8</sup> The co-reactant pathway is predominantly utilised for sensor applications and the common oxidation mechanism corresponding to the production of ECL through the interaction of an ECL active material with a co-reactant is well established and is as follows:<sup>2,4,5,7,8</sup>



Where ML is the ECL active material and the analyte is the target compound is the co-reactant undergoing mediated oxidation thereby producing an ECL response. The ECL produced is often governed by the concentration of the excited state form of the ECL active material, usually determined in solution phase by the diffusional coefficient observed.


This work will examine the applicability of star-shaped conjugated oligomers, specially, truxene-oligofluorene with 2,1,3-benzothiadazole units (T4BT-B) to facilitate future ECL sensor development. This system will be compared to a common ECL system, namely utilising  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$  for the determination of tripropylamine (TPA) concentrations. TPA is often used as a coreactant for ECL production and by comparing the ECL response of T4BT-B with that of  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$  to TPA, we will evaluate the ECL production and efficiency of T4BT-B. Many clinically relevant biomarkers are detected through the coreactant pathway and many are based upon the interaction of amine structures. Therefore, TPA is being utilised as a model for how other proteins would interact with T4BT-B. In addition, there are a considerable number of illicit substances which also have amine based structures, and the T4BT-B could also be utilised for their detection following the same reaction pathways as shown for TPA. Electrochemical sensors are not entirely focused on amine detection but ECL sensors are particularly prevalent in biosensor applications and can also be utilised as co-reactions for label based ECL systems.<sup>2,4,5,7,8</sup> Since TPA represents a particularly well established system, both for biosystems detection and label based ECL systems, it was utilised as a model system to be investigated in this study.

## Experimental Section

### Materials and Reagents

The star shaped truxene compound with additional 2,1,3-benzothiadiazole units (T4BT-B, shown in Figure 1) have been synthesised as previously described.<sup>22, 23, 24, 25</sup> [Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> was purchased from Sigma Aldrich and utilised without purification.

All solvents used were of spectroscopic grade. Working electrodes were prepared by polishing with alumina (1.0 μm – 0.3 μm) on a felt pad. All solutions were deoxygenated using nitrogen or argon prior to measurement.



**Figure 1.** Chemical structure of truxene-quarterfluorenes with BT (green) unit,  $n=1$ ,  $m=3$  for T4BT-B.

### Apparatus

Electrochemical experiments were performed in a standard electrochemical cell using a CH instruments (Memphis TN.) model 760D potentiostat. Cyclic voltammetry experiments were carried out using a 3 mm diameter glassy carbon working electrode in a conventional three electrode assembly using a platinum flag as the counter electrode and a Ag wire as the reference electrode. Potentials were controlled versus a standard Ag wire reference electrode. Measurements involving simultaneous detection of light and current utilised a CH instrument model 760D connected to a Hamamatsu (H6780-20) PMT. The PMT was biased at -800 or -400 V (indicated were utilised), by a high voltage power supply. During the experiments, the cell was kept in a light-tight box in a specially designed holder where the working electrode was positioned directly opposite to the fibre optic bundle, the other end of which was coupled to the PMT.

All measurements were made at room temperature (20°C). For ECL experiments concentrations up to 2 mM tripropylamine (pH 6) was used as the co-reactant. For comparison of ECL systems,  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  M solutions of T4BT-B in anhydrous dichloromethane (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) and separate solutions of  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  M [Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> in 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (TBABF<sub>4</sub>) in anhydrous dichloromethane (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) electrolyte with varying concentrations of TPA were used. All other reagents used were of analytical grade.

## Results and Discussion

### 40 Electrochemical Properties of T4BT-B compound

The electrochemical behaviour of the T4BT-B compound and [Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> were examined using cyclic voltammetry. Figure 2 shows the typical voltammetric behaviour of both compounds. By analogy with the voltammetric behaviour of Ru<sup>2+/3+</sup> complexes,<sup>2,4,7,14</sup> the peaks centred at  $\sim E_{1/2} = 1.2$  V are attributed to the

Ru<sup>2+/3+</sup> couple while those at -1.44 and -1.64 V are bpy based reductions to the +1 and 0 charged species respectively. In addition, the peak to peak separation between anodic and cathodic waves is close to zero at low scan rates, the full width at half maximum (FWHM) is close to the theoretically value of 59 mV and the peak current varies linearly with the square root of the scan rate.


Figure 2 also shows the voltammetric behaviour of T4BT-B, consisting of three overlapping anodic waves. The peak to peak separation of this first anodic wave is close to zero as anticipated. This first anodic wave is fully reversible, followed by two quasi-reversible waves. Reduction of T4BT-B shows a stable single reversible cathodic wave at  $\sim -1.49$  V. For each of these compounds, peak current increases linearly with the square root of scan rate for both oxidation and reduction processes. However, given the overlapping anodic waves, the FWHM cannot be determined. This behavior is consistent with semi-infinite linear diffusion limiting the redox process, and under these conditions the response can be described by the Randles-Sevcik equation;

$$i_p = 0.4463nFAC \left( \frac{nFvD}{RT} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (6)$$

where  $n$  is the number of electrons transferred,  $A$  is the area of the working electrode,  $v$  is the scan rate,  $D$  is the diffusion coefficient,  $F$  is the Faraday constant,  $R$  is the gas constant,  $T$  is the temperature in Kelvin, and  $C$  is the concentration of the redox centers.

Thus, Equation 2 allows  $D$  to be estimated for both the oxidation and reduction processes of each compound, provided that  $n$  is known (or assuming value for  $n$ , for T4BT-B in DCM an  $n$  value of 1 is assumed). Table 1 shows the  $D$  values for the oxidation process for T4BT-B and [Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>.

ECL production can be highly dependent upon the rate of generation of the excited state which is often based on the diffusion coefficient ( $D$ ) that is calculated for the ECL active species. The faster the diffusion coefficient, the more  $ML^{n+1}$  available for interaction with the co-reaction or analyte of interest, thereby producing an ECL response. A slower diffusional rate and insufficient amounts of  $ML^{n+1}$ , will result in decreased ECL emission and hence decreased sensitivity. A faster diffusion rate would thereby significantly increase the production of ECL leading to enhanced sensitivity. This increase coupled with an increased luminescence yield should lead to favourable characteristics necessary for enhanced ECL production. The diffusion coefficients for [Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> and T4BT-B are given in Table 6. Different solvents are utilised for this comparison with the optimal conditions for each material being utilised and therefore facilitate comparison of the most efficient systems for ECL production.



**Figure 2.** Solution phase cyclic voltammetric behaviour of  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  M T4BT-B (red line) and  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  M [Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> (blue line). Electrolyte was 0.1 M TBABF<sub>4</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, and a scan rate of 100 mVs<sup>-1</sup> was used.

Table 1.  $D$  for  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  M T4BT-B in DCM and  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  M  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$  in 0.1 M TBABF<sub>4</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, based on results from Figure 2

Compound	$D \pm 0.08$ (cm <sup>2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )
$[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$	$2.3 \times 10^{-06}$
T4BT-B	$1.63 \times 10^{-05}$

All values based on averaged results from 3 independent measurements.

This slightly faster regeneration diffusion coefficient T4BT-B compound may ultimately increase the efficiency of an ECL system based on this star-shaped compound. This could impact greatly on sensor design, as the faster regeneration of the excited state species should drastically improve the sensitivity of systems involving these star-shaped compounds, although other factors may also have an influence the diffusion rates are a factor solely influenced by the properties of the material itself. These values were calculated using cyclic voltammetry and will be confirmed in future work by investigation using chronoamperometry. Both the influence of the rate of reaction and diffusion rates of the electrogenerated product will impact of the efficiency of ECL production, to contrast the efficiency of the materials themselves, the diffusion coefficient can be an important parameter to investigate when examining the potential of ECL generating materials.

### Electrochemiluminescent Properties

One of the key objectives of this work was to investigate whether T4BT-B exhibit ECL in comparative dynamic linear ranges compared to ruthenium based systems and to subsequently compare the efficiency of ECL generation of these two systems for the detection of TPA. Figure 7 shows the potential dependence of the ECL response for both the T4BT-B and  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$  using TPA as the co-reactant. Both systems produced ECL upon electrochemical production of the cation radicals T4BT-B<sup>•+</sup> and  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{3+}$  respectively, in the presence of TPA. However, these intensities were considerably higher for the T4BT-B system in comparison to the  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$  based system under the same experimental conditions.

For the detection of amino acids, specifically TPA in this study, the electrogenerated  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{3+}$  has been shown to undergo a mediated oxidation reaction with the amino acids. Significantly, the chemiluminescent intensity is maximised at pH values near the  $\text{p}K_a$  of the N-terminal amine site.<sup>2</sup> The T4BT-B compound undergoes a similar process with the reaction between the electrogenerated T4BT-B<sup>•+</sup> and the TPA being sufficiently energetic to produce an ECL response. Direct




Figure 3. Potential dependence of ECL emission intensity of  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  M T4BT-B in the presence (red line) and absence (blue line) of 2 mM TPA monitored at a scan rate of 100 mVs<sup>-1</sup>. Electrolyte was 0.1 M TBABF<sub>4</sub> in anhydrous DCM.

oxidation of TPA occurs at ~2.1 V. As with the reaction mechanism for ruthenium, T4BT-B and TPA are simultaneously oxidised during a single potential step to produce (T4BT-B)<sup>•+</sup> and TPA<sup>•+</sup> respectively. The TPA radical then loses a proton to form the strongly reducing radical species TPA<sup>•-</sup> which reacts with the (T4BT-B)<sup>•+</sup> producing the excited state that emits light, according to equations 7 – 11, which is similar to the mediated oxidation of oxalate by TPA.<sup>26</sup> This is a proposed scheme for the production of ECL from this new material, T4BT-B and current investigations are ongoing to establish an exact mechanism.





Figure 3 shows the potential dependence of the ECL emission intensity of T4BT-B. In the absence of TPA, there is no ECL response. However, in the presence of 2 mM TPA, the onset of light emission coincides closely with the onset of oxidative current where the potential of T4BT-B<sup>•+</sup> is generated as can be seen in the inset of Figure 7. This behaviour is consistent with TPA reducing the electrogenerated T4BT-B<sup>•+</sup> and creating the excited state species which subsequently result in the




**Figure 4.** ECL response of 1 x 10<sup>-4</sup> M (a) T4BT-B and (b) [Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> on the concentration of [TPA] at a scan rate of 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup> over the potential range 0.2 V ≤ E ≤ 1.4 V vs. Ag wire. The PMT was biased at -400 V for (a) and -800 V for (b).

generation of ECL emission. The ECL emission intensity is centred at 564 nm which is slightly shifted compared to the luminescent intensity which is centred at 551 nm (See Supplementary material, Table S1 and Figure S1). In comparison to other T4 compounds,<sup>20</sup> where there was no fluorescence or ECL observed above 450 nm, for T4BT-B there was luminescence observed even above 550 nm, which can be related to the addition of BT units, attributing to longer wavelength emission. However, the ECL monitored is total light produced rather than monitoring a specific wavelength. Therefore, the advantages of a different wavelength of ECL emission is only


assessed for systems of coloured matrices and therefore, it can be useful to have a variety of ECL emitters capable of producing ECL at a variety of different wavelengths.

positive than the standard redox potential of TPA of  $\sim 2.1$  V.<sup>2,27</sup> This behaviour arises because a significant overpotential must be applied before TPA can be oxidised at a bare electrode. The large increase in emission intensity at circa 1.1 V, clearly demonstrates that TPA is oxidised at potentials when the electrogenerated T4BT-B<sup>-</sup> sites exist allowing interaction and subsequent ECL production can occur. This response can also be seen in the current response recorded simultaneously. Figure 4 shows the ECL response increasing with increasing concentrations of TPA over the concentration range  $2 \times 10^{-8}$  M  $\leq$  [TPA]  $\leq 2.0 \times 10^{-3}$  M for T4BT-B and over the range  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  M  $\leq$  [TPA]  $\leq 2.0 \times 10^{-3}$  M for [Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>.

Figure 4 highlights the fact that although both compounds produced an ECL response in the presence of TPA, the magnitude of response was dramatically higher for T4BT-B compared with [Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>. In fact, to record responses, the setting of the PMT needed to be doubled for [Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> compared to T4BT-B to get similar responses. This result is consistent with the higher diffusion rates of the T4BT-B as well as the enhanced luminescent properties. Figure 5 illustrates a typical calibration plot for [TPA] ranging from 0.2  $\mu$ M to 2 mM based on the data obtained from Figure 4, this cannot be compared directly to Figure 6 since different PMT parameters were utilised. However, it does highlight the enhanced response for TPA when using the T4BT-B system. For each concentration, a well defined response is observed with a high signal to noise ratio even at 20 pM, as can be seen in Figure 7. This calibration plot demonstrates that the  $\log(I_{\text{ECL}})$  depends linearly on the  $\log[\text{TPA}]$  for both compounds. The logarithm of ECL intensity is almost directly proportional to  $\log[\text{TPA}]$  (slope of 0.95) suggesting that although TPA concentration does influence the light emission, other factors such as diffusion of both species to the electrode do not play a major role in this system. The wide dynamic range and high S/N ratio makes T4BT-



**Figure 5.** Typical calibration plot of the dependence of the logarithm of the maximum ECL intensity on  $\log[\text{TPA}]$  response for  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  M T4BT-B (red line) and [Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> (blue line). Responses for T4BT-B have been adjusted for changes in the PMT bias.




**Figure 6.** ECL response of  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  M T4BT-B only (red line) and with  $2 \times 10^{-8}$  M TPA (blue line) at a scan rate of  $100 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$  over the potential range  $0 \text{ V} \leq v \leq 1.6 \text{ V vs. Ag}^+$ . PMT was biased at  $-750 \text{ V}$ .



B attractive for reagent free, ultrasensitive detection of chemical and biological analytes.

In terms of developing sensors, a key issue is the relative quantum efficiency of the materials. To evaluate this parameter, it is usual to compare the quantum efficiency of the materials. To evaluate this parameter, it is usual to compare the quantum efficiency of the new compound to a standard, for ECL production  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$  is most commonly used. The overall ECL efficiency ( $\phi_{\text{ECL}}$ ) is defined as the number of photons emitted per faradaic electron passed during the chemiluminescent reaction. It is a product of the efficiency of populating the excited state ( $\phi_{\text{EX}}$ ) and the quantum yield of emission from the excited state ( $\phi_{\text{P}}$ ) with  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$  normally used as the relative standard.<sup>27</sup> This investigation will be undertaken in future research, however, as an indication, the intensity of ECL produced under the same experimental conditions was examined to provide a quick insight into the efficiency of T4BT-B in comparison to  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$  utilising TPA as the coreactant.

Although not as accurate as determining the  $\phi_{\text{ECL}}$  for each system, Figure 7 does highlight that the overall efficiency for T4BT-B would be higher than that obtained for  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$ , which is usually taken as 5%.<sup>2,4,28</sup> Figure 7 shows the response of both material under identical conditions and can therefore be utilised for direct comparison. For T4BT-B a substantially lower current response is observed compared to that of the ruthenium system. Despite this, the T4BT-B shows a dramatically higher ECL response. This also means that although the T4BT-B has a slightly higher diffusion coefficient, it is more likely that the higher luminescent quantum yield (See Table S1) is responsible for the greater ECL response. Figure 6 shows that the ECL intensity obtained for T4BT-B is approximately twice that observed for  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$ . This enhanced efficiency is most likely due to a combination of the faster diffusion rates as well as the higher luminescent quantum yields, (See supplementary material, Table S1 and Figure S1), of T4BT-B compared to  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$ , for these systems the higher luminescent quantum yields are most likely to contribute significantly more to the enhanced ECL. This in conjunction with the limit of detection, LOD, defined as  $I_{\text{ECL,BLANK}} + 3\sigma_{\text{BLANK}}$ , is 3 fM for the T4BT-B system, where  $I_{\text{ECL,BLANK}}$  refers to the response when no co-reactant is added to the solution or noise inherent to the system and  $\sigma$  refers to the standard deviation of this noise. This confirms that T4BT-B can enhance ECL emission intensity. The wide dynamic range and low limit of detection makes T4BT-B attractive for biosensor and chemical sensor development. The additional benefits of lower power requirements for PMT setting due to the higher ECL intensity produced from equivalent concentrations of coreactants also means that T4BT-B would be more viable for miniaturisation which would be highly beneficial for biomedical,



**Figure 7.** Potential dependence of ECL emission intensity of  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  M T4BT-B (red line) and  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  M  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$  (blue line) in the presence of 2 mM TPA monitored at a scan rate of  $100 \text{ mVs}^{-1}$ . Electrolyte was 0.1 M TBABF<sub>4</sub> in anhydrous DCM. PMT was biased at -750 V. Inset shows the amperometric response recorded simultaneously.

forensic and food monitoring applications.

## Conclusions

The electrochemical and ECL properties of trigonalquaterfluorene-truxeneoligomer with fused 2,1,3-benzothiadazole unit, (T4BT-B) have been presented here. T4BT-B shows good electrochemistry in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, with excellent stability properties of both the anion and cation radicals. T4BT-B also shows a yellow emission when generated by reaction with TPA consistent with its photochemical properties. The production of ECL from the reaction of T4BT-B with TPA was shown to be linearly dependant over a wide dynamic linear range with low limits of detection and good reproducibility. Significantly producing almost double the ECL intensity compared to [Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> under the same experimental conditions. This work illustrates the proof of concept of the promising ECL sensing applications of this new family of compounds in a wide variety of applications ranging from biomedical diagnostics to forensic science. These possibilities are open due to the highly luminescent and fast charge transfer properties of these compounds which can be utilised to detect low levels of coreactant without increasing the background noise levels thereby allowing the development of an ultrasensitive and selective ECL sensor.

## Notes

\*WestCHEM, Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry, University of Strathclyde, 295 Cathedral Street, Glasgow, G1 1XL. Fax: +141 548 2535; Tel: +141 548 4322; E-mail: [lynn.dennany@strath.ac.uk](mailto:lynn.dennany@strath.ac.uk).

## Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge funding support from the Marie Curie Reintegration Grant (PIRG-2010-268236).

## References

- 1 J. Li, S. Guo and E. Yang, *RSC Adv.*, 2012, **2**, 3579-3586.
- 2 L. Dennany, E.J. O'Reilly, T.E. Keyes and R.J. Forster, *Electrochem. Comm.*, 2006, **8**, 1588-1594.
- 3 H. Wei and E. Wang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **26**, 77-85.
- 4 A. Devadoss, L. Dennany, C. Dickinson, T. Keyes and R. J. Forster, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2012, **19**, 43-45.
- 5 L. Dennany, M. Gerlach, S. O'Carroll, T. E. R. J. Forster and P. Bertoncello, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, **21**, 13984-13990.
- 6 L. Hu and G. Xu. 2012, **39**, 3275-3304.
- 7 A. W. Knight and G. M. Greenway, *Analyst*, 1994, **119**, 879-890.
- 8 P. Bertoncello, P and R.J. Forster, *Biosens. Bioelectro.* 2009, **24**, 3191-3200.
- 9 J. K. Lee, D. S. Yoo, E. S. Handy, and M. F. Rubner, *Appl. Phys. Letts*, 1996, **69**, 1686-1688.
- 10 W. K. Ward, *ASAO*, 1999, **45**, 555-561.
- 11 A. Weisner. *Curr. Pharma. Biotech.*, 2004, 45-67.
- 12 M. S. Wilson, *Anal. Chem.*, 2005, **77**, 1496-1502
- 13 A. E. Cass, G. Davis, G. D. Francis, H. A. O Hill, W. J. Aston, J. Higgins, E. V. Plotkin, L. D. L. Scott, P. F. Tuner, *Anal. Chem.*, 1984, **56**, 667-671.
- 14 L. Dennany, E. J. O'Reilly, P. C. Innis, G. G. Wallace and R. J. Forster, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2008, **112**, 12907-12912.
- 15 O. Haas, M. Kierns, J. G. Vos, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1981, **103**, 1318-1319.

- 16 P. Qu, D. W. Thompson and G. J Meyer. *J. Langmuir*;2000, **16**, 4662-4671.
- 17 R. P. Swager, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 1100-1104.
- 18 E. Rampazzo, S. Bonacchi, D. Genovese, Juris, Riccardo. M. Marcaccio, M. Montalti, F. Paolucci, M. Sgarzi, G. Valenti, N. Zaccheroni, and L. Prodi. *Coord. Chem. Rev.*2012, **256**, 1664-1681.
- 19 W. Miao, *Chem. Rev.* 2008, **108**, 2506-2553.
- 20 A.L. Kanibolotsky, F. Vilela, J.C. Forgie, S.E.T. Elmasly, P.J. Skabara, K. Zhang, B. Tieke, J. McGurk, C.R. Belton, P.N. Stavrinou, and C.D.D. Bradley, *Adv. Mater.*2011, **23**, 2093-2097.
- 21 C. G. Cameron and P. G Pickup *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*1999, **121**, 11772-11779.
- 22 C.R. Belton, A.L. Kanibolotsky, J. Kirkpatrick, C. Orofino, S.E.T. Elmasly, P.N. Stavrinou, P.J. Skabara, and D.D.C. Bradley, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*2013, **23**, 2792-2804.
- 23 E. J. O'Reilly, L. Dennany, D. Griffith, F. Moser, T. E. Keyes and R.J. Forster. *PCCP*, 2011, **13**, 7095-7101.
- 24 L. Dennany, T. E. Keyes and R. J. Forster, *The Analyst*, 2008, **133**, 753-759.
- 25 L. Dennany, E. J. O'Reilly, P. C Innis, G. G. Wallace and R. J. Forster, *Electrochimica Acta*, 2008, **53**, 4599-4605.
- 26 K.M. Omer, A.L. Kanibolotsky, P.J. Skabara, I.F. Perepichka and A.J. Bard, A.J. *J. Phys. Chem. B.*2007, **111**, 6612-6619.
- 27A. Venkatanarayanan, A.-M. Spehar-Délèze, L. Dennany, Y. Pellegrin, T.E. Keyes and R.J. Forster. *Langmuir*, 2008, **24(19)**, 11233-11238.
- 28 E.J. O'Reilly, T.E. Keyes, R.J. Forster and L. Dennany, *Analyst*, 2013, **138(2)**, 677-682.