# Environmental Science Processes & Impacts

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



rsc.li/process-impacts



Components variation and microecology evolution during biostimulation treatment of oil sludge

Environmental impact statement:

The effects of biostimulation procedures including regulating C:N:P ratio, applying surfactants and electron acceptors on the degradation of crude oil contaminates and the evolution of microbial community were examined simultaneously so to provide an ecological insight into the biostimulation. The degradation of polar compounds of crude oil contaminates was characterized by negative-ion ESI FT-ICR MS, showing a biased increase in the relative abundance of naphthenic acids. The real-time PCR shown the promoted proliferation of bacteria, in which *Gammaproteobacteria* increased most significantly. The proliferation of fungi was, however, inhibited by the accumulation of the degradation products. An enriched abundance of *alkB* gene was observed during the degradation of saturated hydrocarbons. Above information helps to understand and optimize the biostimulation process.

### Processes & Impacts

ARTICLE

## Kinetic and multidimensional profiling of accelerated degradation of oil sludge by biostimulation

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/xoxxooooox

Received ooth January 2014, Accepted ooth January 2014

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Yijie Dong<sup>a</sup>, Zhe Lang<sup>a</sup>, Xian Kong<sup>a</sup>, Diannan Lu<sup>a,\*</sup>, Zheng Liu<sup>a,\*</sup>

Biostimulation, which employs nutrients to enhance the proliferation of indigenous microorganisms and therefore the degradation of contaminants, is an effective tool for treatment of oil-contaminated soil. However, the evolution of microbial ecology, which responds directly to stimulation procedures and intrinsically determines the degradation of oil contaminants, has rarely been explored, particularly in the context of biostimulation. In this study, the effects of biostimulation procedures including regulation of the C:N:P ratio, as well as application of surfactants and electron acceptors on the degradation of crude oil contaminants and evolution of the microbial community were examined simultaneously to provide ecological insight into the biostimulation. Real-time PCR showed that biostimulation promoted proliferation of bacteria, with Gammaproteobacteria showing the greatest increase. However, the proliferation of fungi was inhibited by accumulation of the degradation products. The degradation of polar compounds of crude oil contaminants was characterized by negativeion electrospray ionization Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry (negative-ion ESI FT-ICR MS), showing a biased increase in the relative abundance of naphthenic acids. Principal component analysis (PCA) showed that different species in oil sludge have different degrading rate during biostimulation. The addition of fertilizer with surfactant and electron acceptor stimulated profoundly the indigenous microorganism with  $N_1$ ,  $O_1$  and  $O_2$  species as substrates while those with  $O_3$  and  $O_4$  species were little affected. Enriched abundance of the alkB gene was observed during the degradation of saturated hydrocarbons. Monitoring of the kinetics of the microbial community, functional genes and degradation offers a comprehensive view to understanding and optimization of the biostimulation process.

#### Introduction

Oil sludge, which is often generated during exploitation, transportation and refining processes, is a recalcitrant mixture containing a large amount of oily mud, sand and water. Total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHs), which primarily consists of alkanes, aromatics, nitrogen-, sulfur-, and oxygen- containing compounds, are the most deleterious components in oil sludge. Many components of oil sludge have been identified as priority pollutants by the USEPA <sup>1</sup>. Furthermore, oil sludge undergoes several aging and weathering processes that eventually lead to higher average molecular weights and shifts in TPHs fraction ratios.

Bioavailability might be the limiting factor controlling the biodegradation of such compounds.

Vasudevan *et al.* reported accelerated degradation of oil sludge in response to the addition of bulking agent or inorganic nutrients <sup>2</sup>. Soliman *et al.* achieved significant removal of total petroleum hydrocarbon from Egyptian soil polluted with oily sludge using biostimulation and bioaugmentation <sup>3</sup>. Mohan *et al.* compared the efficiency of bioaugmentation, biostimulation and co-substrate addition of oil sludge using a slurry reactor and found that a combination of the three methods gave the highest degradation <sup>4</sup>. In their studies of bioremediation of petroleum contaminated soil, Liu et al. established a consortium composed of *Enterobacter cloacae* 

**RSCPublishing** 

and *Cunninghamella echinulata*, which showed synergy in both the growth and metabolism of petroleum hydrocarbons. The application of wheat straw as a stimulant in addition to inoculation of the abovementioned consortium further improved degradation of petroleum contaminants<sup>5</sup>. Based on the above experimental studies, the poor bioavailability of oil sludge requires the input of nutrients to stimulate the proliferation of indigenous microorganisms and thus enable subsequent degradation of oil sludge. Crivelaro *et al.* examined the effect of vinasse as a stimulant for the biodegradation of oil sludge<sup>6</sup>. Wang *et al.* observed enhanced growth of two species of bacteria in response to the addition of bulking that suppressed the proliferation of other types of bacteria<sup>7</sup>. Rahman *et al.* confirmed the positive effects on the bioremediation of a bacterial consortium, rhamnolipid biosurfactant and NPK solution.

The mixed and complex nature of oil sludge requires involvement of complex microbial consortia in degradation of oil sludge contaminated soil. Understanding the microbial kinetics in such degradation is essential to optimization of the biodegradation process. Such an understanding could be realized with the aid of modern molecular biological tools. In our previous study, we monitored the seeding and proliferation of the inoculant and the resulting microbial community by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) analysis of the V3 zone of 16S rDNA<sup>9</sup> and evaluated the restoration of taxonomic and functional genes after bioaugmentation of petroleum contaminated soil using a cloning library of taxonomic genes (16S rRNA gene for bacteria and 18S rRNA gene for eukaryotes) and functional genes (nifH, amoA and narG)<sup>10</sup>. Conversely, the degradation spectrum of the oil sludge can also be monitored by Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry (FT-ICR MS). In practice, negative-ion ESI FT-ICR MS has been used to resolve and identify acids and neutral heteroatom compounds in crude oil 11-19. Over 3000 acidic compounds with different elemental compositions have been identified using negative-ions from heavy crude oil in South America<sup>20</sup>. In this study, we used these tools to establish a kinetic and multidimensional view of the degradation of oil sludge in which variations in microbial community, functional genes and the oil residue spectrum are displayed simultaneously.

In the present study, biostimulation of oil sludge sampled from Karamay Oilfield (Xinjiang, China) was conducted and variations in the content and composition of oily sludge were analyzed by GC-MS and negative-ion ESI FT-ICR MS, which is further analysed by PCA method. Additionally, the changes in soil 16S rRNA (bacterial), 18S rRNA (fugal), bacterial flora and the alkB gene, which represent alkane-degrading bacterial communities, were monitored by real time PCR. Microbial ecological insight was thus established to account for the differential performance of the biostimulation procedures.

#### **Materials and Methods**

Materials

Oil sludge was collected from the Karamay Oilfield, Xinjiang, China and sieved through a 2.0 mm diameter sifter prior to experiments. Karamary Oilfield is in Xinjiang and is one important oil filed in China. The oil sludge generated during exploitation and refining represents a serious environmental problem. This is the driving force for the present study. The detailed characteristics of oil sludge are given as Table S1 in ESI. All chemicals were of analytical grade unless otherwise noted.

#### **Biostimulation protocols**

Five biostimulation protocols, denoted as A to E, were used to treat oil sludge. All treatments consisted of 200g of oil sludge in a 1L flask.

A (Control): Oil sludge was sterilized and 0.3% (w/w) sodium azide was added to suppress microbial contamination.

B (Natural attenuation): Oil sludge was not sterilized and no chemicals or microbes were added.

C (Fertilizer):  $(NH_3)_2SO_4$  (0.67% w/w) and  $Na_2HPO_4$  (0.52% w/w) with a C:N:P ratio of 100:1.25:1 were added.

D (Fertilizer and surfactant):  $(NH_3)_2SO_4$  (0.67% w/w) and  $Na_2HPO_4$  (0.52% w/w) with a C:N:P ratio of 100:1.25:1 and Tween 80 (0.5% w/w) were added.

E (Electron acceptor):  $(NH_3)_2SO_4$  (0.67% w/w) and Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> (0.52% w/w) with a C:N:P ratio of 100:1.25:1 were added together with Tween 80 (0.5% w/w), FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (100 µg/kg) and NaNO<sub>3</sub> (100 µg/kg).

Water was also added to each sample to give a terminal water weight percentage of 200% (w/w). All treatments were prepared in triplicate.

Bioremediation was carried out in a shaker at 175 rpm and 30°C. During bioremediation, 5g of soil were taken and stored at -20°C every 7 days until molecular analysis.

#### Assays

**TPHs content in soil:** The content of total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHs) in soil samples was determined using the USEPA recommended procedure <sup>21</sup>. Furthermore, the crude oil sample was subjected to Saturates/Aromatics/Resins/Asphaltenes fraction analysis (SARA fractionation) according to the Chinese Standard Analytical Method for the Petroleum and Natural Gas Industry (Standard No. SY/T 5119-2008).

GC-MS analysis: Saturated and aromatic hydrocarbon components were analyzed by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC-MS, DSQ Thermo Fisher Scientific Co., Ltd., China) using an AB-5MS column (30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25  $\mu$ m). The analytical procedure was as follows: the temperature was increased from 60°C to 150°C at 10°C/min, and then from 150°C to 300°C at a rate of 3°C/min. During analysis, the carrier gas was helium and the split ratio was

Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts 20:1. The interface temperature for mass spectrometry was 250°C, with a 9.4T superconducting magnet. The operating parameters of giving a determined molecular weight of 35 to 650 Da. Negative-ion ESI FT-ICR MS analysis: Samples collected at

different times during bioremediation were dissolved into toluene to

form solutions with a final concentration of 10 mg/mL for negative ESI FT-ICR MS analysis. Next, 20 mL of the solution was diluted with 1.0 mL of toluene/methanol (1:3, v/v) solution and then uniformly mixed with 15 µL of 35% (w/w) of NH<sub>4</sub>OH to facilitate deprotonation of acidic compounds in ESI. Analysis was conducted on an Apex-ultra FT-ICR MS (Bruker Daltonics, USA) equipped

the negative ESI FT-ICR MS were applied according to previous studies <sup>19, 20, 22</sup>. Peaks with a m/z between 150 and 1000 Da and signal intensity greater than five times the standard deviation of the baseline noise (SNR >5) were collected. Kendrick high resolution mass spectrometry analysis was then used to convert the measured masses from the IUPAC mass scale to the Kendrick mass scale. The peaks displayed upon ESI FT-ICR MS were identified by calculating their corresponding accurate molecular weight. Assignment of the molecular formula of compounds was limited to 100 <sup>12</sup>C, 3 <sup>14</sup>N, 9

Table 1 Primers and RT-PCR conditions

Primers	Sequence (5'-3')	Thermal condition
Total bacteria		95 °C for 1min, one cycle, 95 °C for 15s, 61.5 °C for 30s, 72 °C for 30s, 40 cycles, 95 °C for 15s, 60 °C for 1min, 95 °C for 15s
926F	AAACTCAAAKGAATTGACGG	
1062R	CTCACRRCACGAGCTGAC	
Alphaproteobacteria		05 °C for 15min one cycle 05 °C for 15c 55 °C for 30c 72 °C for 30c
Eub338	ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG	80 °C for 30s, 35cycles, 95 °C for 15s, 60 °C for 1min, 95 °C for 15s
Alf685	TCTACGRATTTCACCYCTAC	
Betaproteobacteria		95 °C for 15min one cycle 95 °C for 15s 55 °C for 30s 72 °C for 30s
Eub338	ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG	80 °C for 30s, 35cycles, 95 °C for 15s, 60 °C for 1min, 95 °C for 15s
Bet680	TCACTGCTACACGYG	
Gammaproteobacteria		95 °C for 1min one cycle 95 °C for 15s 61 5 °C for 30s 72 °C for
1080rF	TCGTCAGCTCGTGTYGTGA	30s, 40 cycles, 95 °C for 15s, 60 °C for 1min, 95 °C for 15s
r1202R	CGTAAGGGCCATGATG	
Actinobacteria		95 °C for 1min one cycle 95 °C for 15s 61 5 °C for 30s 72 °C for
Act920F3	TACGGCCGCAAGGCTA	30s, 40 cycles, 95 °C for 15s, 60 °C for 1min, 95 °C for 15s
Act1200R	TCRTCCCCACCTTCCTCCG	
Firmicutes		95 °C for 1min one cycle 95 °C for 15s 61 5 °C for 30s 72 °C for
928F-Firm	TGAAACTYAAAGGAATTGACG	30s, 40 cycles, 95 °C for 15s, 60 °C for 1min, 95 °C for 15s
1040FirmR	ACCATGCACCACCTGTC	
Bacteroidetes		95 °C for 1min one cycle 95 °C for 15s 61 5 °C for 30s 72 °C for
798cfbF	CRAACAGGATTAGATACCCT	30s, 40 cycles, 95 °C for 15s, 60 °C for 1min, 95 °C for 15s
Cfb967R	GGTAAGGTTCCTCGCGTAT	
Planctomycetes		95 °C for 15min one cycle 95 °C for 15s 60 °C for 30s 72 °C for 30s
Plancto352f	GGCTGCAGTCGAGRATCT	80 °C for 30s, 35cycles, 95 °C for 15s, 60 °C for 1min, 95 °C for 15s
Plancto920r	TGTGTGAGCCCCCGTCAA	
alkB		95 °C for 1 min one cycle 95 °C for 20s 50 °C for 30s 72 °C for 45s
alkBFd	AACTACMTCGARCAYTACGG	35 cycles, 95 °C for 15s, 60 °C for 1min, 95 °C for 15s
alkBRd	TGAMGATGTGGTYRCTGTTCC	
Total fungi		95 °C for 10min one cycle 95 °C for 15s 50 °C for 30s 70 °C for
FR1	AICCATTCAATCGGTAIT	1min, 40 cycles, 95 °C for 15s, 60 °C for 1min, 95 °C for 15s
FF390	CGATAACGAACGAGACCT	

<sup>16</sup>O, 2 <sup>32</sup>S, 2 <sup>13</sup>C and 200 <sup>1</sup>H atoms due to the limitations of the method. Compounds containing the same number of heteroatoms were categorized into the same class. In addition, compounds in the same class were further classified according to the number of rings and double bonds.

Real-Time PCR: DNA was extracted from 0.25 g soil samples using a Power Soil<sup>®</sup> DNA isolation kit (MoBio Laboratories, USA). During the bioremediation processes, the copy numbers of 16S rRNA for bacteria and bacterial flora (Actinobacteria, Firmicutes, Alphaproteobacteria, Betaproteobacteria,

Gammaproteobacteria, Bacteroidetes and Planctomycetes), 18S rRNA for fungal, and the alkB gene was monitored using the realtime PCR. Briefly, real-time PCR was performed in a 20 µL reaction volume consisting of 10 µL of 2×SYBR Green Mix (Toyobo, Japan), 0.8 µL of 10 µM of each primer, 2 µL DNA template and 6.4 µL ddH<sub>2</sub>O. The PCR amplification primers and amplification conditions are shown in Table 1.

Statistical analysis: The parameters tests were expressed as means  $\pm$  standard error. The values were subjected to standard one-way

ANOVA with 95% confidence limits ( $P \le 0.05$ ) using SPSS17.0 statistical analysis.

#### **Results and discussion**

## Degradation of TPHs under different bioremediation treatments

Degradation of the TPHs treated using the five bioremediation protocols is summarized in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Percentage of TPHs removal after different treatments. A: Control; B: Natural attenuation; C: Addition of  $(NH_3)_2SO_4$  and  $Na_2HPO_4$  with a C:N:P ratio of 100:1.25:1; D: C in conjunction with 0.5% Tween 80; E: D with the addition of an electron acceptor.

For A, in which soil was sterilized and sodium azide was added to inhibit microbial proliferation, the TPHs content remained unchanged during the 60-day treatment period. For B, in which water was added to sustain the natural attenuation, the removal of TPHs reached 13.9% after 60 days. For C, D and E, in which exogenous nutrients were applied, TPHs removal reached 30.7%, 31.9% and 33.4%, respectively, which was two times higher than that obtained by natural attenuation. The improved TPHs removal in case D relative to case C indicated the effectiveness of the applied surfactant at improving the bioavailability of petroleum. The further improved TPH removal shown in case E relative to case D and C suggest the effectiveness of the added electron acceptors that facilitated oxidation of the petroleum contaminants. It is noted here that the C:N:P ratio was optimized in a preexperiment as shown in Figure S1, indicating the optimal C:N:P ratio is 100:1.25:1 for removal of TPH. Thus in all following experiments, the C:N:P ratio was fixed as 100:1.25:1.

## Degradation of different fractions of TPHs during biostimulation (Case E)

Due to the complexity of oil sludge, it is necessary to monitor changes in the components of oil sludge during biostimulation. In this study, components of oil sludge were divided into four types, asphaltenes, resins, aromatics and saturates. The contents of these components were monitored and then subjected to SARA fractionation analysis by Silica Gel-Alumina Column Chromatography as shown in Figure 2. Here, only SARA analysis of Case E is shown.



Figure 2. SARA analysis of degradation products during biostimulation (Case E) The standard deviation of data is within  $\pm 6.8\%$ . For clarity, the error bar is not drawn.

As shown in Figure 2, the initial contents of saturated, aromatic, resin and asphaltene hydrocarbons of the untreated oil sludge were 57.6%, 13.7%, 17.6% and 11.1%, respectively (day 0). After 35 days of bioremediation, the contents of the above components were 55.7%, 19.0%, 24.5% and 0.9%, respectively.

Based on the results shown in Figure 2, the saturated hydrocarbons, which were the richest components in the crude oil, were degraded most rapidly during the initial stage (Day 0 to Day 7) relative to the other components. The degradation of the resin and asphaltene was more obvious after 7 days. Interestingly, a minor increase in the content of saturated hydrocarbons was observed at Day 35 relative to Day 14. We believe that this could be attributed to conversions of other petroleum components during the degradation, as shown in Figure S2 (ESI). The oil content in resins increased to 24.45% at day 35 day, possibly due to the accumulation of naphthenic acids during biodegradation, as described below.

#### FT-ICR MS analysis

Characterization of the N/S/O compositions during biostimulation.

The TPHs in the contaminated soil were extracted and analyzed by negative ESI FT-ICR MS as described in the experimental section. The mass spectra of samples taken at day 0, 7, 14 and 35 are shown in Figure S2. More than 10,000 peaks (SNR >5) between m/z 150–1000 were detected for each sample.

The relative abundance of the heteroatom compounds was defined as the signal intensity (peak height) of a peak divided by the total intensity of all detected peaks in the mass spectrum. The relative abundance of N/O classes after treatment by biostimulation for Case E are shown in Figure 3.





As shown in Figure 3,  $O_2$  species (36.5%) were the main compounds in the crude oil samples (Day 0), and the relative abundance of  $O_2$  species continuously increased, reaching 82.3% on Day 35. However, species  $N_1$  gradually decreased from 36.5% to 7.06%. NO<sub>1</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> species showed the pattern of change. These results suggested the biased uptake of petroleum components by microorganisms. Surprisingly, S species compounds were not detected in the four samples.

#### Changes in N species compounds

N species compounds in the crude oil primarily exist in the form of azoles, carbazoles and indoles.  $N_1$ ,  $N_1O_1$ ,  $N_1O_2$ ,  $N_1O_3$  and  $N_2$  species were detected in the samples. Because the major species are  $N_1$  species, changes in the relative abundance map with double bond equivalent (DBE) and carbon number for  $N_1$  species are shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4. Changes in N<sub>1</sub> species as a function of carbon number and DBE in response to biostimulation (Case E). Color of spectra indicate the percentage of specific compounds occupying total species.

The N<sub>1</sub> species in the crude oil were most abundant, with DBE values of 9–15 and carbon numbers ranging from 25 to 40 as shown in Figure 4(a). These species included carbazole-like compounds (DBE=9), benzocarbazole-like compounds (DBE=12) and dibenzocarbzaoles compounds (DBE=15). Implementation of

biostimulation (Case E) led to a gradual decrease of the species with a DBE of 9, indicating the degradation of carbazole-like compounds (Figure 4(b-d)). However, species with DBE values of 12 and 15 gradually increased, indicating benzocarbanole-like compounds and dibenzocarbzaoles compounds do not easily

degrade. Additionally, species with DBE values below 7 were completely degraded after 35 days. It should be emphasized here that the majority of  $N_1$  species in crude oil sludge are carbazole-like compounds, accounting for about 30% of the total (Figure 4(a)). Thus, the effectiveness of degradation of these species can decrease the total amount of  $N_1$  species, which is consistent with results shown in Figure 3.

#### Changes in O species compounds

The relative isoabundance of  $O_1$  species is shown in Figure 5.

Figure 5(a) shows the contour map of  $O_1$  species of untreated oil sludge. The DBE value mainly ranged from 1 to 10 and the carbon number primarily ranged from 25 to 45. The  $O_1$  species with DBE values of 4, 5, 6 and 7 were more abundant than those of other species. Once the DBE is 4, the major components are alkylphenols. During biostimulation treatment (Case E), the abundance of species composed of DBEs of 4–6 and carbon numbers below 40 gradually decreased. This was because alkyphenols (DBE=4) are intermediate metabolites in the degradation pathway of petroleum hydrocarbon. Interestingly,  $O_1$  species composed of a DBE of 2 or 5 and a carbon number of 40 showed little degradation.



Figure 5. Changes in O<sub>1</sub> species as a function of carbon number and DBE in response to biostimulation (Case E). Color of spectra indicate the percentage of specific compounds occupying total species.

Microbial degradation of petroleum hydrocarbons involves a number of oxidation processes; therefore, the distribution, types and relative abundance of oxygen-containing compounds provide strong evidence of the biodegradation pathway. A higher relative abundance of  $O_2$  species is associated with more complete biodegradation. Accordingly, the relative abundance of  $O_2$  species represented the biodegradability of the petroleum hydrocarbons. The distribution of  $O_2$  species in different samples is shown in Figure 6.

Compounds with DBE=1 and carbon number=16 and 18, which correspond to  $C_{16}$  and  $C_{18}$  fatty acids, respectively, were not

considered because they were usually contaminants during negative ESI analysis. In the crude oil (Day 0 sample),  $O_2$  species with DBE=1–6 and carbon numbers of 20–40 had a high relative abundance. Once subjected to remediation, fatty acids (DBE=1) were gradually degraded and the relative abundance of compounds with DBE=2, 3 and 4 increased significantly. Compounds with DBE=3 had the highest relative abundance, followed by those with

DBE=2 in the Day 35 sample. In addition, the process of biodegradation was accompanied by the enrichment of naphthenic acids with DBE=2 and 3. Three accumulated regions then gradually formed between  $C_{20}$ - $C_{30}$ ,  $C_{30}$ - $C_{40}$  and  $C_{40}$ - $C_{45}$ .

Relative isoabundance plots of DBE versus carbon number for  $O_3$  species of four different samples are shown in Fig. 7.



Figure 6. Changes in O<sub>2</sub> species as a function of carbon number and DBE in response to biostimulation (Case E). Color of spectra indicate the percentage of specific compounds occupying total species.

**Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts** 



Figure 7. Changes in O<sub>3</sub> species as a function of carbon number and DBE in response to biostimulation (Case E). Color of spectra indicate the percentage of specific compounds occupying total species.

During biodegradation, the relative abundance of  $O_3$  species was highest in the sample taken on day 14. Biodegradation enhanced the relative abundance of compounds with DBE=2–7. The compounds with DBE=6–13 and carbon number=32–37 were converted from other compounds on Day 35. It was shown that the hydroxylation of naphthenic acids (NAs) formed  $O_3$  species. Furthermore,  $C_{35}H_{61}O_3$  had the highest relative abundance, reaching 0.31%, 0.30%, 0.14% and 0.11% in the samples collected on day 0, 7, 14 and 35, respectively. The relative isoabundance plots of DBE versus carbon number for  $O_4$  species of the four different samples are shown in Figure. 9.  $O_4$  species were mainly distributed in the range of DBE=0–10 and carbon atoms=15–55. The relative abundance with DBE=2–5 and carbon atoms=25–45 was specifically enhanced in the bioremediation process. When compared with the  $O_2$  species, the toxicity of  $O_4$  species was lower. Accordingly, the toxicity can be reduced by biological oxidation of naphthenic acid.



Figure 8. Changes in O₄ species as a function of carbon number and DBE in response to biostimulation (Case E). Colour of spectra indicate the percentage of specific compounds occupying total species.

## Principal component analysis (PCA) of NO species detected by FI-ICR MS

PCA is linearly reduced dimension method and is widely used in multivariate statistical analysis for generating a global interpretation of data, as shown in Figure 4 to 8. Biplots of the score and loading parameters according to PCA results were shown in Figure 9(a), in which the score plot shows the distribution of samples treated with different time by biostimulation (Case E), while the loading plot presents different species of samples. It is shown in Figure 9 that the samples (day 0, day 7, day 14, and day 35) can be separated into three groups by the PCA coordinates. Group 1 contained sample of day 0 and day 7, group 2 contained sample of day 14 and group 3contained sample of day 35. Thus degradation of crude oil in oil sludge undergoes slow degradation (form day 0 to day 7), quick degradation (from day 7 to day 35, with possible maximum at day 14) and very slow degradation (after day 35). Combined with gene analysis in Figure 10, it is concluded that when fertilizers in together with surfactant and electron acceptor were added into oil sludge, indigenous microorganism is firstly "activated", leading to a significant degradation of  $N_1$ ,  $O_1$ and O<sub>2</sub>, as shown in Figure 9. This is consistent with previous

isoabundance plots shown in Figure 4, Figure 5 and Figure 6. Once major degradable species in oil sludge were exhausted, the degrading rate slows down, giving a very slow degradation at day 35. In other words, addition of degrading microorganism or chemical degradation is requested, for a complete degradation of oil sludge.

In addition, PCA analysis was applied to all detected species in FT-ICR MS. Here PCA analysis of  $N_1$  species and  $O_3$  species, who are most easily and hardly degradable, was choose as example in Figure 9(b) and (c), respectively. It is shown in Figure 9(b) that most  $N_1$  species have highest degrading rate at day 7, and most species with carbon number below 50 and DBE below 18 are easy for degradation by indigenous microorganism. This further indicates that most  $N_1$  species are degradable, which is consistent with results shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4. It is shown in Figure 9(c) that for  $O_3$  species, only species with OBE below 10 and carbon number below 30 are degradable. The maximum degrading rate is at day 14, indicating indigenous microorganisms have to spend more time to realize degradation of  $O_3$  species.



Figure 9. PCA analysis. (a) Major species classes at different treating time. Points with different colours indicate different species. Diamond with blue is projection of untreated oil sludge (day 0); Diamonds with green, purple and red are projection of oil sludge with different treating time; (b) PCA analysis of N<sub>1</sub> species. Color from cold to warm indicates DBE and NC from low value to high one; (c) PCA analysis of O<sub>3</sub> species. Color from cold to warm indicates DBE and NC from low value to high one

#### The evolution of microbial ecology

Changes in microbial flora and functional genes during bioremediation are shown in Figure 10.

As shown in Figure 10(a), the bacterial population, denoted as 16S rRNA copies, increased from  $2 \times 10^{10}$  to  $1 \times 10^{11}$  within 7 days, where it was maintained for the remainder of the experiment under Case E. These findings indicate that indigenous bacteria are stimulated by nutrients, surfactants and electron acceptors, favoring bioremediation. As shown in Figure 10(b), the population of fungi, denoted as 18S rRNA copies, decreased significantly from  $4.5 \times 10^8$  to  $0.5 \times 10^8$  within 35 days, indicating that metabolites secreted from bacteria during biodegradation of oil sludge might be toxic to fungi. It is should be noted that the populations of both

bacteria and fungi in non-contaminated soil are very low as shown in Figure 10 (Column C). This is because the local soil near the pollution site contains almost no plants. As a result, contamination by soil sludge provides organic matter, which stimulates endogenous microorganisms, resulting in higher accumulation of bacteria and fungi in oil-contaminated soil than unpolluted soil.



Figure 10. Changes in population of bacteria and fungi during biostimulation (Case E). (a) Bacterial 16S rRNA; (b) Fungi 18S rRNA.

The composition of bacteria is shown in Figure 11.



Figure 11. Change in bacterial taxa during biostimulation (Case E). The standard deviation of data is within  $\pm 1.9\%$ . For clarity, the error bar is not drawn.

As shown in Figure 11, contamination led to an increase in the abundance of several bacterial phyla/classes, including

ARTICLE

14. Y. H. Zhang, H. Zhao, Q. Shi, K. H. Chung, S. Q. Zhao, C. M. Xu, *Energy Fuels* **2011**, *25*, (7), 3116-3124.

15. Y. H. Zhang, C. M. Xu, Q. A. Shi, S. Q. Zhao, K. H. Chung, D. J. Hou, *Energy Fuels* **2010**, *24*, 6321-6326.

16. Q. A. Shi, S. Q. Zhao, Z. M. Xu, K. H. Chung, Y. H. Zhang, C. M. Xu, *Energy Fuels* **2010**, *24*, 4005-4011.

Alphaproteobacteria, Gammaproteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Acidobacteria and Firmicutes. Biostimulation improved the growth of Gammaproteobacteria to a large extent, with the abundance reaching 32.16% in the 7-day sample and then gradually decreasing. These findings indicate that Gammaproteobacteria may play an important role in the biodegradation of petroleum hydrocarbons. Planctomycetes showed a similar change as Gammaproteobacteria, while the abundance of Betaproteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, and Firmicutes showed no obvious change in response to the bioremediation process. These observations are consistent with those reported by Roling et al and Sininghe Damste et al.23,24

We also measured changes in the copy number of *alkB* gene, which encodes alkane monooxygenase for the catalysis of alkane degradation under aerobic conditions (Figure 12). The *alkB* gene has been found in various bacteria, including *Pseudomonas*, *Rhodococcus*, *Alcanivorax* and *Xanthobacter*.



Figure 12. Dynamic changes in the *alkB* gene during bioremediation

As shown in Figure 12, the copy number of *alkB* genes in the day 7 sample reached  $9.46 \times 10^7$  g<sub>soil</sub><sup>-1</sup>, then increased by 22-fold compared to that shown in the sample taken on the first day. Biostimulation significantly increased the relative abundance of the *alkB* gene, which is responsible for the degradation of saturated hydrocarbons during bioremediation. Therefore, we can monitor changes in the *alkB* gene to reflect the degradation of saturated hydrocarbons.

#### Conclusions

This study demonstrated the effectiveness of biostimulation for the treatment of high oil content sludge, in which variation of the *alkB* gene is closely related to the degradation of saturated hydrocarbons. Naphthenic acids accumulated and the relative abundance of N species compounds was obviously decreased in response to biostimulation. Principal component analysis showed that different species in oil sludge have different degrading rate during biostimulation. The addition of fertilizer with surfactant and electron acceptor stimulated profoundly the indigenous microorganism with N<sub>1</sub>, O<sub>1</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> species as substrates while those with O<sub>3</sub> and O<sub>4</sub> species were little affected. The accumulation of toxic compounds inhibited the growth of microorganisms, particularly fungal species. The kinetic input from the microbial society, the functional gene, and the chemical spectrum of degraded species offered a comprehensive view of the oil sludge degradation. The results presented herein will enable the design and optimization of biostimulation processes.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant number 21276139 and High-Caliber Talents Project of Beijing Municipal Institutions under grant number YETP0120.

#### Notes and references

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

#### 1. USEPA

- http://water.epa.gov/scitech/methods/cwa/pollutants.cfm.
- 2. N. Vasudevan, P. Rajaram, *Environ Int* 2001, 26, (5-6), 409-411.

3. ,R. M. Soliman, N. S. El-Gendy, S. F. Deriase, L. A. Farahat, A. S. Mohamed, *Energ Source Part A* **2014**, *36*, (3), 231-241.

4. S. R. V. Mohan, M. P. Devi, M. Reddy, K. Chandrasekhar, A. Juwarkar, P. N. Sarma, *Environ Eng Manag J* **2011**, *10*, (11), 1609-1616.

5. K. Zhang, X. F. Hua, H. L. Han, J. Wang, C. C. Miao, Y. Y. Xu, Z. D. Huang, H. Zhang, J. M. Yang, W. B. Jin, Y. M. Liu, Z. Liu, *Chemosphere* **2008**, *73*, (9), 1387-1392.

6. S. H. R. Crivelaro, A. P. Mariano, L. T. Furlan, R. A. Goncalves, P. N. Seabra, D. D. de Angelis, *Braz Arch Biol Techn* **2010**, *53*, (5), 1217-1224.

7. X. Wang, Q. H. Wang, S. J. Wang, F.S. Li, G. L. Guo, *Bioresource Technol* **2012**, *111*, 308-315.

8. K. S. M. Rahman, T. J. Rahman, Y. Kourkoutas, I. Petsas, R. Marchant, I. M. Banat, *Bioresource Technol* **2003**, *90*, (2), 159-168.

9. Z. J. Wu, H. J. Dong, L. D. Zou, D. N. Lu, Z. Liu, *Appl Biochem Biotech* **2011**, *164*, (7), 1071-1082.

10. Z. J. Wu, L. D. Zou, D. N. Lu, Z. Liu, *J Environ Monitor* **2011**, *13*, (10), 2904-2913.

11. H. P. Dias, T. M. C. Pereira, G. Vanini, P. V. Dixini, V. G. Celante, E. V. R. Castro, B. G. Vaz, F. P. Fleming, A. O. Gomes, G. Aquije, W. Romao, *Fuel* **2014**, *126*, 85-95.

12. L. T. Wang, C. He, Y. H. Zhang, S. Q. Zhao, K. H. Chung, C. M. Xu, C. S. Hsu, Q. Shi, *Energy Fuels* **2013**, *27*, (8), 4555-4563.

L. T. Wang, C. He, Y. Liu, S. Q. Zhao, Y. H. Zhang, C. M. Xu, K. H. Chung, Q. Shi, *Sci. China-Chem.* **2013**, *56*, (7), 863-873.

- 17. Q. A. Shi, N. Pan, P. Liu, K. H. Chung, S. Q. Zhao, Y. H. Zhang, C. M. Xu, *Energy Fuels* **2010**, *24*, 3014-3019.
- 18. Z. G. Wu, S. Jernstrom, C. A. Hughey, R. P. Rodgers, A. G. Marshall, *Energy Fuels* **2003**, *17*, (4), 946-953.
- 19. C. A. Hughey, R. P. Rodgers, A. G. Marshall, K. N. Qian, W. K. Robbins, *Org. Geochem.* **2002**, *33*, (7), 743-759.
- 20. K. N. Qian, W. K. Robbins, C. A. Hughey, H. J. Cooper, R. P. Rodgers, A. G. Marshall, *Energy Fuels* **2001**, *15*, (6), 1505-1511.
- 21. E. P. A, U. S., Hazaedous Waste Test Methods. In  $\mathit{Method},\,2007.$
- 22. E. Bae, J. G. Na, S. H. Chung, H. S. Kim, S. Kim, *Energy Fuels* **2010**, *24*, 2563-2569.
- 23. W.F.M. Roling, M.G. Milner, D.M. Jones, K. Lee, F. Daniel, R.J.P. Swannell, I.M. Head, *Appl. Environ. Microb.* **2002**, *68*, *(11)*: 5537-5548.
- 24. J.S. Sinninghe Damste, W.I.C. Rijpstra, S. Schouten, J.A. Fuerst, M.S.M. Jetten, M. Strous, *Org. Geochem.* **2004**, *35*: 561-566.