Environmental Science Processes & Impacts

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



rsc.li/process-impacts

Graphical Abstract:

While each of the strategies nutrient addition (NP), surfactant addition (TX) and bioaugmentation with microorganisms (MO) can enhance oily sludge biodegradation, employing these strategies simultaneously leads to enhanced biodegradation through synergistic effects.



Environmental Impact:

Proper management of oily sludge is essential for preventing soil and groundwater contamination. In this study, a 2^3 full factorial design is used to evaluate the impact of various bioremediation strategies for oily sludge decontamination, i.e., bioaugmentation with indigenous microorganisms (MO), biostimulation with nutrients (NP) and biostimulation with surfactants (TX). This design reveals effective strategies while minimizing the experimental runs. Batch biodegradation studies were conducted over a period of 30 days. The effects were computed based on the 2^3 design and statistically significant effects were identified based on ANOVA. The main effects of nutrients, surfactant and bioaugmentation were all positive and significant for oil degradation. Significant synergistic effects among the various strategies were also observed.

	Ħ
	0
	õ
	σ
	0
	Ŏ
	0
	()
	ö
	6
_	
	10
	0
	ă
	ö
	x
	N
	6
	ď)
	Ň
	Y.
	Y
	0
	0
	0
	ž
	9
	0
	Ň
	10
	Ξ
	Y
	Ξ
	2
	9
1	
	>
	Ċ
1	11 - C
	10 M I

1 2	Evaluation of Bioaugmentation and Biostimulation Effects on the Treatment of Refinery Oily Sludge using 2 ⁿ Full Factorial Design
3	
4	
5	
5	
6	
7	by
8	
9	
10	
11	Jublee Jasmine and Suparna Mukherji*
12	Centre for Environmental Science and Engineering (CESE)
13	Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay, Powai, Mumbai-400076.
14	
15	Revised Version
16	Submitted to
17	
18	Environmental Science: Processes and Impacts
19	
20	April, 2014
21	
22	
23	
24	*Commenting Arthur Declarer OFCE UT Decite Decited 1 (40007)
25	Corresponding Author. Professor, CESE, III Bombay, Powai, Mumbai 4000/6;
20 27	Eman. muas@nu.ac.m, Phone: 91-(022)-23/0-/834; Pax: 91-(022)-23/6-4630
21	

nvironmental Science: Processes & Impacts Accepted Manusc

28 Abstract

Bioremediation approaches for treatment of oily sludge from a refinery were evaluated 29 using the 2^3 factorial design. The three strategies tested were bioaugmentation with 30 indigenous microbial consortia (MO) isolated from oily sludge, biostimulation with 31 nutrients (NP) and biostimulation with the surfactant Triton X-100 (TX). Eight 32 experimental runs were conducted in triplicate with factor settings +/- (high/low) as per 33 the 2^3 design. The main effects and various interaction effects of the factors on oil 34 degradation and microbial growth in suspension were evaluated during a 30 day study. 35 Multifactor ANOVA could reveal the significant effects while the normal order score 36 approach failed in this scenario. The main effect of biostimulation with nutrients in the 37 form of nitrate and phosphate as well as biostimulation with Triton X-100 was positive 38 39 and significant when both oil degradation and microbial growth in suspension were 40 chosen as the response variable. However, the main effect of bioaugmentation was only significant for oil degradation but was insignificant for microbial growth at 90% 41 42 confidence level. The MO-NP binary interaction and the MO-NP-TX ternary interaction were positive and significant indicating the synergistic effect of these strategies on oil 43 degradation and microbial growth. All other binary interactions were found to be 44 insignificant. 45

46

47 Keywords: ANOVA; Bioaugmentation; Biostimulation; Indigenous microbial

- 48 consortium; Refinery oily sludge
- 49

50

Page 5 of 25

51

52

1. Introduction

Oily sludge is generated from petroleum refineries in huge quantities as a byproduct of 53 various processes and operations. Massive amount of oily sludge is also disposed off 54 from the crude oil storage tank bottoms during cleaning and maintenance. Handling and 55 56 disposal of such huge volume of waste becomes a major challenge. Oily sludge is a 57 complex mixture of petroleum hydrocarbons and other solids including heavy metals that are carcinogenic and potent immunotoxicants. Apart from physicochemical treatment 58 technologies, bioremediation is a clean, environmentally friendly treatment technology 59 that can be applied for degradation of oily sludge generated in oil refineries ¹⁻³. Although 60 successfully applied, landfarming approaches has certain limitations in terms of large 61 space and time requirements and air pollution due to emission of volatile organic 62 63 compounds. In contrast, slurry phase degradation can provide rapid and extensive degradation of oil by enhancing mass transfer rates and promoting interaction among 64 microorganisms, pollutants and nutrients ⁴⁻⁶. 65

Two approaches can be used to enhance the bioremediation process i.e., bioaugmentation with native or tailored microbial consortium and biostimulation with nutrients and surfactants in controlled batch slurry systems ^{4,7-11}. However, effect of such approaches is not beneficial in all scenarios. The possible reasons could be site specific features such as soil or sludge type, distribution of contaminated hydrocarbons and presence/distribution of indigenous microorganisms capable of degrading the contaminants ^{8,12,13}.

A mixed consortium of microorganisms may exhibit various modes of hydrocarbon uptake, such as, uptake of soluble hydrocarbons, uptake of emulsified forms or direct interfacial uptake facilitated by development of hydrophobic cell surfaces. Bioaugmentation with mixed microbial consortia is preferred due to their wide metabolic networks, through which they can easily assimilate the complex hydrocarbons in oily

sludge or oil contaminated soils ^{9,14-18}. Moreover, enrichment of indigenous 77 microorganisms and bioaugmentation with these enriched microorganisms well adapted 78 to the contaminated environment has been recommended by various researchers ^{10,15}. 79 Biostimulation with surfactants may increase the bioavailability of hydrocarbons by 80 emulsification and also by altering the microbial cell surface properties so as to increase 81 the interaction between the microbes and hydrocarbon contaminants ^{2,11,12,17-20}. Often oil 82 83 contaminated soil or oily sludge is found to have much higher carbon content in comparison to nitrogen (N) and phosphorous (P). Adequate supply of N and P is essential 84 for microbial growth and contaminant degradation. Moreover, during the course of 85 natural attenuation nutrient depletion may lead to reduction in the indigenous microbial 86 population. Thus, biostimulation with nutrients in the form of N and P is often found to 87 induce contaminant degradation by the native microbial population while the activity of 88

bioaugmented cultures is also enhanced ^{2,11,12,21}.

89

Laboratory feasibility tests for bioremediation are essential to determine the potential of 90 91 indigenous microbes to degrade the pollutants and to evaluate strategies for optimizing the rate and extent of degradation before pilot/full scale design of in-situ or ex-situ 92 treatment schemes. Various studies focusing on comparative treatment studies of 93 94 different bioremediation strategies make use of statistical tools to evaluate the significant differences between treatments 3,11,12 . In this study a 2^n full factorial design was used to 95 investigate the effect of various factors so as to identify an appropriate treatment scheme 96 for bioremediation of oily sludge from a refinery. This design can be used for screening a 97 98 number of independent factors while minimizing the number of experimental runs. Statistical analysis of the results can provide useful information on bioremediation 99 strategies. Three factors likely to influence biodegradation of oily sludge in laboratory 100 101 batch systems were identified as bioaugmentation with indigenous microorganisms,

4

102 biostimulation with nutrients and biostimulation with surfactants. Two different response 103 variables were chosen, i.e., oil degradation in the system and microbial growth in suspension after 30 days. A key objective was to determine the main effect of each of 104 105 these factors and the interaction effect between various factors so as to reveal possible 106 synergism/antagonism among the three strategies. Although these strategies are 107 commonly employed for oily sludge bioremediation, synergistic/antagonistic interactions 108 among these strategies have not been explored by other researchers. The effects were quantified based on the 2^3 design and the significance of these effects was determined 109 based on Analysis of variance (ANOVA). 110

111

112 **2.** Materials and Methods

113 **2.1 Source of chemicals**

The chemical surfactant TritonX-100 was procured from SD Fine Chemicals Pvt. Ltd. (Mumbai, India). The various chemicals used for preparation of mineral media, nutrient broth and bacteriological agar were procured from SD Fine Chemicals Pvt. Ltd., SRL industries Ltd., Merck and Hi Media Pvt. Ltd. High purity dichloromethane (DCM) used for extraction was obtained from Merck (India).

119 **2.2 Source of oily sludge**

The oily sludge was obtained from the weathering pits of a petroleum refinery in Mumbai (India) during August, 2010. The sludge was dewatered and centrifuged under high pressure to recover almost 90% of the crude oil prior to its disposal in the weathering pits. The sludge was collected from the refinery site, dried, sieved, homogenized and stored at 4 °C. The batch biodegradation studies reported here were conducted almost two years after the sludge was collected (October-November, 2012).

126 **2.3 Isolation and Enrichment of microorganisms from refinery oily sludge**

Laboratory experiments were conducted to isolate and enrich microorganisms from the 127 oily sludge. Enrichment was conducted in 500 mL flasks containing 100 mL mineral 128 medium 17 with 0.5% (w/v) oil extracted from the sludge as the sole substrate. Isolation of 129 pure cultures was carried out both by spread plating and streak plating in nutrient agar 130 plates. The isolates were identified based on 16S rDNA sequencing (Macrogen, Inc., 131 Korea) followed by BLAST analysis (NCBI) and were identified based on closest match 132 133 with available sequences in the database. Five pure cultures were combined and used for bioaugmentation in the oily sludge biodegradation studies. 134

135

2.4 2ⁿ Full Factorial Design

A 2^3 full factorial design was utilized in which eight runs were conducted at appropriate 136 setting of each factor. The three factors were chosen as bioaugmentation with indigenous 137 138 cultures isolated from sludge (MO), biostimulation with nutrients (NP) and 139 biostimulation with Triton X-100 (TX), a chemical surfactant. The effect of the three 140 factors were studied on two response variables i.e., % degradation of total petroleum 141 hydrocarbons (TPH) in sludge over 30 days and increase in viable count in the aqueous phase (Ln(N/No)), where No and N are viable count in the aqueous phase at 0 and at 30 142 days). Eight runs were conducted as per the design matrix for 2^3 full factorial design to 143 determine the main effects, and binary and ternary interaction effects ²². The level of the 144 three factors (+/-) in each run was controlled as per the design matrix. The normal plot 145 approach and analysis of variance (ANOVA) approach were both used to evaluate the 146 significant main effect and interaction effects. The ANOVA was performed using 147 STATISTICA ver.8. 148

2.5 Biodegradation Study 149

A thirty day long biodegradation study was set-up as per the full factorial design matrix. 150 151 For each run as specified in this matrix, triplicate batches were set-up. Each batch flask

- 1
5
O
10
\mathbf{O}
5
Y
0
-
6
<u> </u>
7
U
OX.
S
6 B N
Ses
Ses
SSes
SSSes
esses
cesses
Sesses
OCesses
rocesses
Processes
Processes
: Processes
e: Processes
e: Processes
ce: Processes
Ice: Processes
ince: Processes
ence: Processes
ience: Processes
cience: Processes
Science: Processes
Science: Processes
Science: Processes
Il Science: Processes
al Science: Processes
tal Science: Processes
ntal Science: Processes
intal Science: Processes
ental Science: Processes
nental Science: Processes
mental Science: Processes
nmental Science: Processes
nmental Science: Processes
onmental Science: Processes
conmental Science: Processes
ironmental Science: Processes

152	(500 mL) contained 10% (w/v) oily sludge in 100 mL mineral media (MM).
153	Biostimulation consisted of addition of nutrients in the form of nitrate-nitrogen (NO ₃ -N)
154	and phosphate-phosphorous (PO ₄ -P). The MM already contained some N and P at the
155	baseline level (-) of 222.4 mg/L N as NH_4 -N and 198.66 mg/L P as PO_4 -P such that the
156	N:P ratio was 1.21:1 (mass basis). For nutrient addition (NP +) additional N and P i.e., 70
157	mg/L NO ₃ -N and 31.2 mg/L PO ₄ -P was supplemented in the medium in the form of
158	KNO3 and KH2PO4, respectively. A nonionic surfactant, TritonX-100 was also used to
159	study its effect on the extent of oily sludge degradation. Flasks biostimulated with Triton
160	X-100 (TX +) contained the surfactant at twice the critical micelle concentration (CMC).
161	For bioaugmentation (MO +), 5 mL of the 5-membered reconstituted consortium adjusted
162	to unit absorbance at 600 nm was added as inoculum. These cultures enriched and
163	isolated from the oily sludge were maintained in the laboratory using 0.5% (w/v) oil
164	extracted from the sludge. The reconstituted consortium was prepared by addition of each
165	of the strain in equal proportion after growing them up to end of log phase.
166	The flasks were sacrificed initially (i.e., 0 day) and after 30 days of incubation at 35°C
167	and 150 rpm in a rotary shaker. The sludge slurry contained in the flasks was filtered. The
168	residual sludge collected in the filter paper was further analyzed for the residual oil
169	content at initial i.e., 0 day and after 30 days. The aqueous suspension obtained was
170	analyzed for pH, viable cell count and total organic carbon (TOC) content. Moreover,
171	liquid-liquid extraction using dichloromethane as solvent (in the ratio of 1:1) ¹⁹ was also
172	performed to estimate oil/total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) in the aqueous suspension.
173	Residual TPH in sludge was estimated by soxhlet extraction using dichloromethane as
174	solvent followed by gravimetric analysis and %degradation of oil was computed based on

the zero day values. Standard error (SE) was based on propagation of error for studiesconducted in triplicate setup. Viable counts in the aqueous phase of the sludge slurry set-

up were determined through standard plate count procedure at 0 and 30 days, respectively
and Ln(N/No) was determined as a measure of culture growth in suspension.

- 179
- 180

3. **Results and Discussion**

Oily sludge is a natural material that is often reported to have microbial cultures 181 182 associated with it. In batch biodegradation studies with this oily refinery sludge Jasmine and Mukherji²³ have earlier demonstrated that in batch systems where no microbial 183 cultures were added (un-spiked controls) a large variability in oil biodegradation was 184 185 observed ($44\pm10\%$ over 30 days). In contrast, systems containing 0.1% sodium azide 186 added as a biocide showed negligible oil degradation ($6\pm4.5\%$ over 30 days). Loss of oil in the un-spiked controls was found to increase progressively with time and this was 187 188 coupled with increase in culture count in the aqueous phase. In some cases, 189 biodegradation in the un-spiked controls were almost comparable to systems where 190 specific aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbon degrading Burkholderia cultures were added 191 extraneously. The predominant cultures found in the aqueous phase of the un-spiked controls were isolated and identified. The large variability observed in the unspiked 192 193 controls indicated heterogeneity in distribution of these microorganisms in the sludge. 194 The strains isolated from oily sludge were identified through 16 S rRNA analysis. The predominant cultures were identified as Microbacter sp., Bacillus sp., Pseudomonas 195 196 aeruginosa, Acinetobacter baumannii and Stenotrophomonas sp. Each of these strains exhibited good growth when 0.5 %(w/v) of the oil extracted from oily sludge was 197 provided as sole source of carbon and energy (Fig. 1) and end of log phase was reached 198 199 within 7 days. Ongoing studies have revealed remarkable ability of these cultures to utilize hydrocarbons across various groups as sole substrate, including n-alkanes, cycloalkanes, 200 201 and 2-, 3- and 4-ring PAHs (unpublished results). Although degradation of hydrocarbons,

Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts

crude oil and oily sludge by *Pseudomonas* sp. *Acinetobacter* sp. and *Bacillus* sp. is widely
 reported ^{7, 14, 24-27}, no previous studies have reported the role of *Microbacter sp.* and
 Stenotrophomonas sp. in oily sludge degradation.



205

206

207 208

Fig. 1 Growth of pure cultures isolated from oily sludge on 0.5%(w/v) of extracted oil provided as sole substrate in batch cultures

209 In this study, a reconstituted consortium comprised of these strains was used for bioaugmentation. The use of mixed indigenous microbial consortia may be advantageous 210 due to broader metabolic capacity, synergistic effects and co-metabolic effects ^{7,21}. These 211 indigenous microorganisms are expected to be better acclimatized to the oily sludge and 212 may exhibit better tolerance to co-contaminants ¹¹ compared to other extraneous oil 213 214 degrading cultures. Nitrate was supplemented as nitrate-nitrogen in the batches with nutrient supplementation since an excess of ammonium nitrogen is known to be toxic to 215 216 some microorganisms. An excess of phosphates is also sometimes reported to be toxic to microorganisms, such that microbial degradation may be adversely affected in nutrient 217 218 supplemented systems. The nonionic surfactant Triton X-100 was used in this study since

nonionic surfactants are comparatively less toxic and Triton X-100 has been reported to
 enhance microbial degradation of oil ^{17,19,20}.

The study was designed as per 2^3 factorial design. The conditions prevailing in each 221 run/treatment is illustrated in Table 1. The oily sludge contained 9-10.5% oil on dry 222 223 weight basis. Significant variation was observed in the experiments due to heterogeneous 224 distribution of oil and microorganisms in the sludge as illustrated by the SE values. TPH 225 associated with the aqueous phase was consistently found to be very low $(0.35 \pm 0.17\%)$, hence, %degradation was estimated solely based on TPH determined by soxhlet 226 227 extraction of the sludge. This study revealed that bioaugmentation with microorganisms 228 and biostimulation with nutrients and surfactants increased the extent of degradation of oil in oily sludge to 57 (\pm 9.3) % over 30 days. In contrast, the individual treatments (i.e., 229 230 only addition of microorganisms or only addition of nutrients or only addition of 231 surfactants) yielded much lower degradation of oil. Oil degradation over 30 days was 232 only 6.7±3.1% in the controls where neither bioaugmentation nor biostimulation was 233 performed. Interestingly, for studies conducted with the sludge within a year of sludge collection, TPH degradation in the un-inoculated controls was much higher, i.e., 234 44±10%²³. Thus, prolonged storage at 4°C decreased the activity of the indigenous 235 236 cultures. With addition of nutrients only, oil degradation with respect to initial was 32.4 $\pm 9.7\%$ whereas only addition of Triton X 100 increased oil degradation to $39.1 \pm 4.6\%$ 237 over 30 days. Only bioaugmentation with microbial consortium caused 20.5±3.0% oil 238 degradation over 30 days. Further interpretation regarding the impact of using multiple 239 240 strategies, i.e., simultaneous addition of nutrients and surfactants and simultaneous 241 bioaugmentation and biostimulation with nutrients were interpreted using factorial design 242 and ANOVA concepts.

243

244

245 246 Table 1. Oil degradation and culture growth in suspension over 30 days for varioustreatments for the oily sludge biodegradation study as per 2³ design

	Factors			Response variables			
Run	NP	ТХ	МО	% Degrad	ation	Ln(N/	/N ₀)
				Mean	SE	Mean	SE
1	-	-	-	6.7	3.1	0.40	0.28
2	+	-	-	32.4	9.7	1.28	0.32
3	-	+	-	39.1	4.6	1.61	0.37
4	+	+	-	27.8	8.8	1.33	0.39
5	-	-	+	20.5	3	0.22	0.32
6	+	-	+	38.2	8.9	0.61	0.31
7	-	+	+	28.0	4.4	0.23	0.06
8	+	+	+	57.8	9.3	2.48	0.49



; NP: nutrients, TX: Triton X 100, MO: microorganisms, SE: standard error, N: Viable count of microorganisms at 30 day, N₀: Viable count of microorganisms at 0 day

The influence of the various factors i.e., bioaugmentation with indigenous cultures 251 isolated from sludge (MO), biostimulation with nutrients (NP) and biostimulation with 252 Triton X-100 (TX) could be determined for both set of response variables, i.e., oil 253 degradation and culture growth in suspension over 30 days based on the 2³ factorial 254 255 design as illustrated in Fig. 2a-b. The average oil degradation over 30 days across all the 256 experimental runs was 31.3% and average culture growth in suspension (Ln(N/No) was 1.02. All the main effects and interaction effects elevated oil degradation over 30 days 257 258 except for the binary interactions between nutrient and surfactant addition and 259 bioaugmentation and surfactant addition. Surprisingly, the main effect of bioaugmentation was to reduce culture growth in suspension. All the other main effects 260 and binary and ternary interaction effects were positive when culture growth in 261 262 suspension was used as the response variable.

invironmental Science: Processes & Impacts Accepted Manu



264

265

266 267

268

Fig. 2 Magnitude of main effects and binary and ternary interaction effects for the oily sludge biodegradation study as per 2³ factorial design for (a) oil degradation and (b) culture growth in suspension as the response variable

269 After the average values of main effect, the two way interaction effects and the three way 270 interaction effect was determined, an attempt was first made to determine if the normal order score approach could highlight the significant effects. However, this approach 271 failed to provide much insight on the significant main and interaction effects as illustrated 272 in Fig. 3a-b. In the normal order score approach, the effects that are random and normally 273 distributed fall on a straight line when effects are plotted against the normal order score. 274 In contrast, significant effects that are not randomly distributed fall off the straight line 275 joining the random effects ²². A straight line passing through the origin could not be fitted 276 for the data illustrated in Fig. 3a-b. However, since each run was conducted in triplicate 277 the significant and insignificant effects could be determined based on ANOVA. The 278 279 ANOVA approach yields more insight since it reveals information contained in the replicates which is missing in the normal order score approach. 280

Multifactor ANOVA was performed using STATISTICA and the results are summarized in Table 2. The significance of main and interaction effects may be determined based on F-statistics and p-value. Statistically significant main effects of NP and Triton X-100 addition were found for both the measured response variables at 90% confidence level. 288

291

Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts

However, the main effect of bioaugmentation was significant for TPH degradation butinsignificant in case of microbial growth in suspension.



Fig. 3 Normal plot of effects for the 2³ factorial design with (a) oil degradation and (b) culture growth in suspension over 30 days as response variables

292 Addition of nutrients had significant effects on the extent of degradation and also on microbial growth in the aqueous phase. Thus, the nutrients present in the baseline media 293 294 poses a limitation in bioremediation of oily sludge over 30 day duration. Interestingly, 295 most of the two way interactions other than that between bioaugmentation and nutrient addition were insignificant while the three way interaction term between all the three 296 factors were significant. Thus, simultaneous addition of nutrients and surfactants along 297 298 with bioaugmentation with indigenous cultures may offer significant benefit in 299 bioremediation of this refinery sludge. Thus, complex interactions affect oil 300 biodegradation and culture growth in the aqueous phase in this oily sludge 301 bioremediation scenario. Various other researchers have utilized the analysis of variance 302 approach for demonstrating the impact of various treatments on extent of biodegradation and microbial activity ^{3,11,12,21}. 303

From the ANOVA results some variation is found between the significant and insignificant effects for the two response variables chosen, i.e., oil degradation and culture growth in 306 suspension. The main effect of bioaugmentation with microorganisms was to increase oil

- 307 degradation and this effect was found to be significant.
- 308
- 309
- 310
- 311

Table 2. Significant and insignificant effects at 90% confidence level based on ANOVA

Response	Factors	Significant		Factors	Insignificant	
	_	F-Statistics	P-value		F-Statistics	P-value
% Degradation	NP	10.869	0.005	NP*TX	1.677	0.214
	ТХ	9.896	0.006	TX*MO	0.012	0.914
	МО	4.227	0.056			
	NP*MO	3.225	0.091			
	NP*TX*MO	7.235	0.016			
Ln (N/N ₀)	NP	10.192	0.006	МО	2.442	0.138
	ТХ	11.853	0.003	NP*TX	1.062	0.318
	NP*MO	4.156	0.058	TX*MO	0.369	0.552
	NP*TX*MO	11.133	0.004			

312 313 ; NP: nutrients, TX: Triton X 100, MO: microorganisms, N: Viable count of microorganisms at 30 day, No: Viable count of microorganisms at 0 day 314 315 In contrast, the main effect of bioaugmentation on culture growth in suspension was to 316 reduce culture growth. However this reduction was found to be insignificant based on 317 the ANOVA results. Increase in oil degradation corresponding with negligible increase in 318 culture growth in suspension may be because of increase in No due to bioaugmentation 319 causing nutrient deficiency. The enzymes produced by the microorganisms present at 320 high concentration could have promoted higher oil degradation. It may also be due to 321 different uptake mechanisms exhibited by the microbes responsible for oil degradation. In 322 such sludges, oil mostly exists as sorbed oil rather than free phase oil. Microorganisms also associate with particulate matter in sludge and some sorbed hydrocarbons may have 323 324 been utilized through direct interfacial uptake. Thus, viable count in the aqueous phase is not a true measure of microbial activity in the system. Degradation of oil is a better 325 326 measure of the activity of oil degraders in this system although obtaining estimates on

Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts

327 degradation is more laborious and time consuming. Biostimulation with nutrients and 328 surfactants was found to cause increase in growth of microorganisms in the aqueous 329 phase. Surfactants are known to facilitate micellar solubilization of oil and emulsification of oil and is thus likely to cause desorption of oil. Moreover, surfactants are known to 330 alter microbial cell surface hydrophobicity ^{19,20} such that distribution of microorganisms 331 in the system may be altered. Simultaneous addition of nutrients possibly promoted oil 332 333 degradation by microorganisms suspended in the aqueous phase thereby leading to higher 334 culture growth in the aqueous phase.

335 Microbial activity in the aqueous phase led to change in color of the aqueous suspension 336 after 30 days. This phenomenon may be indicative of oil/hydrocarbons leaching out over 337 time or possibly due to accumulation of intermediates formed during microbial 338 degradation of oil. While the oil/hydrocarbon content in the aqueous suspension across 339 the various treatments was negligible both initially and after 30 days, the TOC in the 340 aqueous phase was significantly higher after 30 days (Fig. 4). Increase in TOC was also observed for the un-inoculated controls. Increase in TOC suggests accumulation of 341 soluble intermediates formed during biodegradation of oil associated with the sludge. In 342 343 most cases increase in TOC per unit decrease in TPH was found to vary from 4% to 12% 344 while it was found to be 30% for the un-inoculated controls. Thus, the bioaugmentation and biostimulation strategies used led to more complete biodegradation and 345 346 comparatively lower accumulation of intermediates. Increase in TOC per unit decrease in TPH was least (4%) where all the three strategies (bioaugmentation, addition of 347 348 nutrients and addition of surfactant) were employed simultaneously.

Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts Accepted Manu



349

350

351 352

Fig. 4 Variation in TOC in the aqueous phase for the various treatments in the oily sludge biodegradation study as per 2³ design

Various researchers have shown beneficial effects of bioaugmentation on degradation of 353 oil associated with sludges and soil ^{1,15,28} as observed in this study. Bioaugmentation 354 works best in scenarios where the indigenous population is very low 3 . In many scenarios, 355 bioaugmented microorganisms have been found to be unable to adapt to the conditions 356 prevailing in the contaminated environment. Bento et al.¹² demonstrated how presence of 357 indigenous cultures in the soil limited the activity of bioaugmented microorganisms in a 358 diesel contaminated soil system. They were unable to establish a correlation between TPH 359 360 degradation, various treatments and activity of diesel degrading microorganisms since the 361 indigenous microbial population degraded diesel more efficiently than the microbial consortium introduced during bioaugmentation. Tahhan et al.²⁸ also demonstrated that 362 indigeneous microorganisms could degrade hydrocarbons when oily sludge was added to 363 soil without bioaugmentation. However, successive bioaugmentation with the enriched 364

- 15

365	indigenous consortium could improve the TPH removal rates. Alici et al. reported that
366	bioaugmentation with tailored microbial consortia could facilitate bioremediation of soil
367	co-contaminated with diesel and heavy metals and up to 75% removal could be achieved
368	in 42 days. Moriano et al. ³ reported that various amendments could enhance TPH
369	removal to 45.5% over a period of 55 days; however, bioaugmentation with non-
370	indigeneous cultures had no significant beneficial effect on TPH removal. Ayotamuno et
371	al. ²⁹ reported that bioaugmentation with extraneous microorganisms along with regular
372	mixing and watering resulted in 63.7% - 84.5% reduction in TPH over a duration of six
373	weeks. In general bioaugmentation is reported to be more successful in scenarios where
374	the indigenous microorganisms native to the soil/sludge was enriched and added. Similar
375	finding are revealed through our study. Low oil degradation (in run 1) due to depletion of
376	the indigenous microorganisms originally present in the sludge during prolonged storage
377	of the oily sludge could be partially overcome by bioaugmentation with indigenous
378	microorganisms.

In the present study, addition of nutrients alone or in combination with bioaugmentation 379 could enhance oil/TPH degradation significantly. Impact of nutrient addition on oil 380 biodegradation is reported to vary widely and is possibly dependent on system specific 381 conditions. Gallego *et al.*² reported significant enhancement such that upon addition of 382 inorganic nitrogen and phosphorous up to 90% degradation of diesel was observed under 383 laboratory conditions. In 12 week long laboratory studies on degradation of petroleum 384 sludge and contaminated soil, Cvijovic *et al.*¹¹ demonstrated that addition of nutrients 385 (NPK) offered greater beneficial effect compared to surfactant addition when culture 386 growth in the aqueous phase was used as the response variable. Admon et al.³⁴ found that 387 degradation of oily sludge contaminated soil occurred only after application of nutrients 388 in the ratio of C:N:P = 50:10:1. Liu *et al.*³⁵ found that the addition of manure (as 389

nvironmental Science: Processes & Impacts Accepted Manusc

nutrients) to oily sludge significantly increased the microbial activity and diversity; TPH in the treated sludge decreased by 58.2% over 365 days of bioremediation in comparison to only 15.6% in the control plot. Machin-Ramirez *et al.* ³⁶ demonstrated that addition of commercial fertilizers enhanced the degradation of weathered oily sludge with removal of 24% TPH over a duration of 25 days. In contrast, Tahhan *et al.* ²⁸ demonstrated inhibition of oily sludge biodegradation upon addition of nutrients possibly due to higher concentration of nitrogen and phosphorus already present in the sludge.

In addition to nutrients, TPH biodegradation is often limited due to hydrophobicity and 397 low aqueous solubility of the constituent hydrocarbons. Surfactants may help in 398 399 increasing the bioavailability of sorbed oil through micellar solubilization and emulsification. However, the use of surfactants in bioremediation experiments has been 400 401 reported to both stimulate as well as inhibit hydrocarbon degradation influenced by 402 various chemical properties of the surfactants and its interaction with microorganisms^{17,19,20,37}. In the present study, the main effect of addition of surfactants 403 404 was statistically significant both for microbial growth in suspension and oil degradation. The binary interactions of surfactant addition and nutrient addition and that of surfactant 405 406 addition and bioaugmentation were insignificant for both the response variables chosen. 407 The only significant binary interaction was that of nutrient addition and bioaugmentation with microorganisms, however it was only marginally significant (0.1 > p-value > 0.05). 408 409 In contrast, the 3-way interaction between surfactant addition, nutrient addition and bioaugmentation was significant even at 98% confidence level for both the measured 410 411 response variables. Thus, the effect of simultaneous addition of nutrients, surfactants and indigenous microorganisms provided a synergistic effect on both oil degradation and 412 culture growth. 413

18

Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts

Although synergism/antagonism has not been specifically explored by other researchers, 414 several studies have reported enhanced TPH degradation when surfactant addition is 415 combined with other strategies. Cameotra and Singh³⁸ reported that the effects of 416 addition of nutrients with bacterial consortia and crude biosurfactant with bacterial 417 418 consortia resulted in less TPH degradation (91-95%) in comparison to when nutrients and surfactants were added together (98% TPH degradation). Rahman et al.²¹ reported that 419 420 addition of rhamnolipid biosurfactant increased the degradation of light n-alkanes in a scenario where soil mixed with oily sludge was treated. 421 However, simultaneous supplementation with inorganic nutrients and rhamnolipid biosurfactant resulted in more 422 423 complete degradation.

424

425 **5.** Conclusion

426 Addition of enriched indigenous microbes, addition of nutrients and addition of surfactant significantly enhanced oil degradation in sludge over and above that observed in the 427 controls. Sludge biodegradation studies using 2^3 factorial design with oil degradation and 428 microbial growth over 30 days as response variables revealed that the main effect of 429 nutrient addition and surfactant addition enhanced oil degradation and culture growth in 430 431 suspension and these effects were significant at 90% confidence level. However, the main effect of bioaugmentation only enhanced oil degradation but did not increase 432 433 microbial growth in suspension. This may indicate the possible role of microorganisms attached on to sludge solids in degrading sorbed oil. The binary interactions are found to 434 435 be insignificant except for that between nutrient addition and bioaugmentation which was 436 found to have a synergistic effect. No other studies have revealed such a high ternary interaction effect between surfactant addition, nutrient addition and bioaugmentation 437 438 indicating significant synergistic interaction among these strategies on oil degradation

439	and	microbial growth. Thus, the effect of the various factors is not solely additive. The
440	char	acteristics of the sludge, the characteristics and composition of the oil in sludge and
441	the r	nature of the indigenous microorganisms present possibly affected the results.
442		
443	Ack	nowledgements: The authors will like to acknowledge Mr A. D. Vyawahare,
444	Man	ager, BPCL (Mumbai, India) for providing the sludge samples. Funding for this
445	worl	x was provided by IRCC, IIT Bombay.
446		
447	Refe	erences:
448 449 450	1	N. Vasudevan and P. Rajaram, Bioremediation of oily sludge contaminated soil, <i>Environ. Int.</i> , 2001, 26, 409-411.
450 451 452 453	2	J.L.R. Gallego, J. Loredo, J.F. Ilasmas, F. Vazquez and J. Sanchez, Bioremediation of diesel contaminated soils: Evaluation of potential in situ techniques by study of bacterial degradation, <i>Biodegradation</i> , 2001, 12, 325-335.
455 456 457	3	A.P. Moriano, A.P.de.A.G. Kataoka, D.de.F.de. Angelis and D.M. Bonotto, Laboratory study on the biodegradation of diesel oil contaminated soil from a petrol station, <i>Braz. J. Microbiol.</i> , 2007, 38, 346-353.
458 459 460 461	4	M.D. Ferrari, E. Neirotti, C. Albornoz, M.R. Mostazo and M. Cozzo, Biotreatment of hydrocarbons from petroleum tank bottom sludges in soil slurries, <i>Biotechnol. Lett.</i> , 1996, 18, 1241-1246.
462 463 464 465 466	5	M.S. Kuyukina, I.B. Ivshina, M.I. Ritchova, J.C. Philp, C.J. Cunningham and N. Christofi, Bioremediation of crude oil contaminated soil using slurry-phase biological treatment and landfarming techniques, <i>Soil Sediment Contam.</i> , 2003, 12, 85-89.
467 468 469 470 471	6	I.V. Robles-Gonzalez, F. Fava and H.M. Poggi-Varaldo, A review on slurry bioreactors for bioremediation of soils and sediments, <i>Microb. Cell Fact.</i> , 2008, 7, 1-16.
472 473 474	7	S. Mishra, J. Jyot, K.R. Chander and B. Lal, In situ bioremediation potential of a oily sludge –degrading bacterial consortium, <i>Curr. Microbiol.</i> , 2001, 43, 328-335.
475 476 477	8	S.E. Fantroussi and S.N. Agathos, Is bioaugmentation a feasible strategy for pollutant removal and site remediation?, <i>Curr. Opin. Microbiol.</i> , 2005, 8, 268-275.

Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts Accepted Manuscript

478 479 480	9	J.L.R. Gallego, M.J. Garcia-Martinez, J.F. Llamas, C. Bellosch, A.I. Pelaez and J. Sanchez, Biodegradation of oil tank bottom sludge using microbial consortia, <i>Biodegradation</i> , 2007, 18, 269-281.
481 482 483 484 485	10	R. Hosokawa, M. Nagai, M. Morikawa and H. Okuyama, Autochthonous bioaugmentation and its possible application to oil spills, <i>World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.</i> , 2009, 25, 1519-1528.
485 486 487 488 489 490	11	G.D. Cvijovic, J.S. Milic, T.M. Solevic, V.P. Beskoski, M.V. Ilic, L.S. Djokic, T.M. Narancic and M.M. Vrvic, Biodegradation of petroleum sludge and petroleum polluted soil by a bacterial consortium: a laboratory study, <i>Biodegradation</i> , 2012, 23, 1-14.
490 491 492 493 494	12	F.M. Bento, F.A.O. Camargo, B.C. Okeke and W.T. Frankenberger, Comparative bioremediation of soils contaminated with diesel oil by natural attenuation, biostimulation and bioaugmentation, <i>Bioresource Technol.</i> , 2005, 96, 1049-1055.
495 496 497 498	13	P.W.G. Liu, L.M. Whang, T.C. Chang, I.C., Tseng, P.T. Pan and S.S. Cheng, Verification of necessity for bioaugmentation lessons from two batch case studies for bioremediation of diesel contaminated soils, <i>J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.</i> , 2009, 84, 808-819.
500 501 502 503	14	F.M. Ghazali, R.N.Z.A. Rahman, A.B. Salleh and M. Basri, Biodegradation of hydrocarbons in soil by microbial consortia, <i>Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad.</i> , 2004, 54, 61-67.
503 504 505 506 507	15	C. Alici, R. Musella, F. Tasso, C. Ubaldi, S. Manzo, C. Cremisini and A.R. Sprocati, Bioremediation of diesel oil in a co-contaminated soil by bioaugmentation with a microbial formula tailored with native strains selected for heavy metals resistance, Sci.Total Environ., 2009, 407, 3024-3032.
508 509 510 511 512	16	E.B. de. Morais and S.M. Tauk-Tornisielo, Biodegradation of oil refinery residues using mixed culture of microorganisms isolated from a landfarming, <i>Braz. Arch. Biol. Technol.</i> , 2009, 52, 1571-1578.
512 513 514 515 516	17	G. Mohanty and S. Mukherji, Effect of an emulsifying surfactant on diesel degradation by cultures exhibiting inducible cell surface hydrophobicity, <i>J. Chem Technol. Biotechnol.</i> , 2007, 82, 1004-1011.
517 518 519 520	18	C.C. Lai, Y.C. Huang, Y.H. Wei and J.S. Chang, Biosurfactant –enhanced removal of total petroleum hydrocarbons from contaminated soils, <i>J. Hazard. Mater.</i> , 2009, 167, 609-614.
521 522 523 524	19	S. Mohanty and S. Mukherji, Alteration in cell surface properties of <i>Burkholderia spp.</i> during surfactant aided biodegradation of petroleum hydrocarbons, <i>Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology</i> , 2012, 94, 193-204.
525 526 527	20	S. Mohanty and S. Mukherji, Surfactant aided biodegradation of NAPLs by <i>Burkholderia multivorans</i> : comparison between Triton X-100 and rhamnolipid JBR-515, <i>Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces.</i> , 2013, 102, 644-652.

528		
529	21	K.S.M. Rahman, T.J. Rahman, Y. Kourkoutas, I. Petsas, R. Marchant and I.M.
530		Banat, Enhanced bioremediation of n-alkanes in petroleum sludge using bacterial
531		consortium amended with rhamnolipid and micronutrients. <i>Bioresource Technol.</i> ,
532		2003, 90, 159-168.
533		
534	22	P.M. Berthouex and L.C. Brown. Statistics for Environmental Engineers. 2nd ed.
535		Lewis Publisher, Boca Raton, 2002, pp. 233–259.
536		
537	23	J. Jasmine and S. Mukherji, Sustainable management of tank bottom sludge from
538		refineries: role of bioaugmentation with n-alkane and naphthalene degrading
539		Burkholderia cultures, Int. J. Sustain. Innovat., 2013, 3 (1), 9-16.
540		
541	24	N. Arvanitis, E. Katsifas, K.I. Chalkou, C. Meintanis and A.D. Karagouni, A
542		refinery sludge deposition site: presence of nahH and alkJ genes and crude oil
543		biodegradation ability of bacterial isolates, Biotechnol. Lett., 2008, 30, 2105-2110.
544		
545	25	O. Ward, A. Singh and J. Van Hamme, Accelerated biodegradation of petroleum
546		hydrocarbon waste, J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 2003, 30, 260–270.
547		
548	26	U.J.J. Jiah and S.P. Antai, Removal of Nigerian light crude oil in soil over a 12-
549		month period. Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad., 2003, 51, 93–99.
550		
551	27	S Verma R Bhargava and V Pruthi Oily sludge degradation by bacteria from
552	21	Ankleshwar India Int Riodeterior Riodegrad 2006 57 207–213
553		Thisteshwar, India, Int. Diodeterior. Diodegrad., 2000, 57, 207–215.
554	28	R A Tahhan T.G. Ammari S.I. Goussous and H.I. Al-shdaifat Enhancing the
555	20	biodegradation of total petroleum hydrocarbons in oily sludge by a modified
556		bioaugmentation strategy Int Riodeterior Riodegrad 2011 65 130-134
557		
558	29	M.J. Avotamuno, R.N. Okparanma, E.K. Nweneka, S.O.T. Ogaji, S.D. Probert,
559	_,	Bio-remediation of a sludge containing hydrocarbons Appl Energ 2007 84 936-
560		943.
561		
562	30	N. Arvanitis, E. Katsifas, K.I. Chalkou, C. Meintanis and A.D. Karagouni, A
563		refinery sludge deposition site: presence of nahH and alkJ genes and crude oil
564		biodegradation ability of bacterial isolates, Biotechnol. Lett., 2008, 30, 2105–2110.
565		
566	31	O. Ward, A. Singh and J. Van Hamme, Accelerated biodegradation of petroleum
567		hydrocarbon waste, J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 2003, 30, 260–270.
568		
569	32	U.J.J. Ijah and S.P. Antai, Removal of Nigerian light crude oil in soil over a 12-
570		month period, Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad., 2003, 51, 93-99.
571		
572	33	S. Verma, R. Bhargava, and V. Pruthi, Oily sludge degradation by bacteria from
573		Ankleshwar, India, Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad., 2006, 57, 207–213.
574		
575	34	S. Admon, M. Green and Y. Avnimelech, Biodegradation kinetics of hydrocarbons in
576		soil during land treatment of oily sludge, Biorem. J., 2001, 5, 193-209.

	Ö
	0
	ň
	<u> </u>
	D
	σ
	0
	D
1	Ŭ,
	0
	<u> </u>
	Y
	Q
	C
	<u>v</u>
1	
	O
	σ
	õ
C	X
	n
	Y
	5
	5
	á
	~
	Y
	0
ĺ	
Î	
	D
	0
	ö
	DC
	ence
	lence
	Clenc
	Science
	Ccience
	CCIENC
	al Science
	tal Science
	ntal Science
	ental Science
	ental Science
	mental Science
	mental Science
	nmental Science
	onmental Science
	conmental Science
	Ironmental Science
	vironmental Science
	NVIRONMENTAL SCIENC
	INVIRONMENTAL SCIENC
	Environmental Science

577		
578	35	W.X. Liu, Y.M. Luo, Y. Teng, Z.G. Li and L.Q. Ma, Bioremediation of oily sludge-
579		contaminated soil by stimulating indigenous microbes, Environ. Geochem. Hlth.,
580		2010, 32, 23-29.
581		
582	36	C. Machín-Ramirez, A.I. Okoh, D. Morales, K. Mayolo-Deloisa, R. Quintero, M.R.
583		Trejo-Hernandez, Slurry-phase biodegradation of weathered oily sludge waste,
584		Chemosphere, 2008, 70, 737-744.
585		
586	37	S. Mohanty, J. Jasmine and S. Mukherji, "Practical considerations and challenges
587		involved in surfactant enhanced bioremediation of Oil" Biomedical Research
588		International, 2013, 2013, Article ID 328608, Doi: 10.1155/2013/328608.
589		
590	38	S.S. Cameotra and P. Singh, Bioremediation of oil sludge using crude
591		biosurfactants, Int. Biodeter. Biodegrad., 2008, 62, 274-280.
592		
593		
594		
595		
596		
597		