Energy & Environmental Science

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.





Combining experimental and theoretical methods to learn about the reactivity of gas-processing metalloenzymes †

Claudio Greco^c, Vincent Fourmond,^a Carole Baffert,^a Po-Hung Wang,^{d,e} Sébastien Dementin,^a Patrick Bertrand,^a Maurizio Bruschi,^c Jochen Blumberger,^{*d} Luca de Gioia,^{*b} Christophe Léger^{*a}

Last edited September 7, 2014, Received Xth X 20XX, Accepted Xth X 20XX First published on the web Xth X 20XX DOI: 10.1039/000000

After enzymes were first discovered in the late XIX century, and for the first seventy years of enzymology, kinetic 2 experiments were the only source of information about enzyme mechanisms. Over the following fifty years, these studies were taken over by approaches that give information at the molecular level, such as crystallography, spectroscopy and theoretical chemistry (as emphasized by the Nobel Prize in Chemistry awarded last year to M. Karplus, 8 M. Levitt and A. Warshel). In this review, we thoroughly 9 discuss the interplay between the information obtained 10 from theoretical and experimental methods, by focussing 11 on enzymes that process small molecules such as H₂ or 12 CO₂ (hydrogenases, CO-dehydrogenase and carbonic an-13 hydrase), and that are therefore relevant in the context of 14 energy and environment. We argue that combining theo-15 retical chemistry (DFT, MD, QM/MM) and detailed inves-16 tigations that make use of modern kinetic methods, such 17 as protein film voltammetry, is an innovative way of learn-18 ing about individual steps and/or complex reactions that 19 are part of the catalytic cycles. We illustrate this with re-20 cent results from our labs and others, including studies of 21 gas transport along substrate channels, long range proton 22 transfer, and mechanisms of catalysis, inhibition or inacti-23 vation. 24

²⁶ 1 Introduction

²⁷ Chemists are fascinated by the catalytic power of enzymes,
²⁸ which accelerate reactions by many orders of magnitude.
²⁹ Since they were discovered, more than a century ago, the

Broader context:

Some reactions which are very important in the context of energy and environment, such as the conversion between CO and CO₂, or H⁺ and H₂, are catalyzed in living organisms by large and complex enzymes that use inorganic active sites to transform substrates, chains of redox centers to transfer electrons, ionizable amino acids to transfer protons, and networks of hydrophobic cavities to guide the diffusion of substrates and products within the protein. This highly sophisticated biological plumbing and wiring makes turnover frequencies of thousands of substrate molecules per second possible. Understanding the molecular details of catalysis is still a challenge. We explain in this review how a great deal of information can be obtained using an interdisciplinary approach that combines state-of-the art kinetics and computational chemistry. This differs from - and complements - the more traditional strategies that consist in trying to see the catalytic intermediates using methods that rely on the interaction between light and matter, such as X-ray diffraction and spectroscopic techniques.



amount of information that has been acquired about their working principles has been phenomenal. Thanks to the contributions of many physical chemists, great progress has been made regarding the use of both classical and quantum mechanics to describe the mechanism at a molecular level. However, depending on the intrinsic complexity of the catalytic system, the level of understanding that theoretical chemists can achieve varies greatly.

31

33

^a Aix Marseille Université, CNRS, BIP UMR 7281, 13402, Marseille, France. Tel: +33 4 91 16 45 29; E-mail: christophe.leger@imm.cnrs.fr

^b Università di Milano Bicocca, Department of Biotechnology and Biosciences, Piazza della Scienza 2, 2016, Milan, Italy; E-mail: luca.degioia@unimib.it

^c Università di Milano Bicocca, Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Piazza della Scienza 1, 2016, Milan, Italy

^d University College London, Department of Physics and Astronomy, London WC1E 6BT, UK. E-mail: j.blumberger@ucl.ac.uk

^e Po-hung Wang's present address: Theoretical Molecular Science Laboratory, RIKEN 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako-shi, Saitama, 351-0198, Japan

Regarding enzymes that have either no cofactors or organic 38 cofactors, and where the chemical transformation of the sub-39 strate occurs at the protein surface, substrate binding is es-40 sentially a matter of docking (rather than a complicated, in-41 tramolecular, multi-step diffusive process) and the main fea-42 tures of the mechanism can be inferred from X-ray data and 43 site-directed mutagenesis experiments that identify the crucial 44 amino acids. In these cases, theoretical chemists can focus on 45 detailed yet important aspects of function, such as the role of 46 protein motions in determining the turnover rate. Complica-47 tions may arise in the case of "floppy" enzymes where a large 48 conformational change on the micro-second time scale might 49 partly determine the turnover rate. 50

The situation is very different in the case of many other en-51 zymes (including some of those discussed here) that use an in-52 organic cofactor to transform a small substrate. This is for sev-53 eral reasons: (1) X-ray investigations often give an ambiguous 54 picture of the structure of the active site, and/or, as occurs with 55 hydrogenases, cannot detect the substrate because it is not 56 sufficiently electron-dense; (2) The reactivity of complex in-57 organic active sites is sometimes difficult to predict, in part be-58 cause it is largely tuned by the surrounding protein matrix, so 59 that the catalytic mechanism is far from being straightforward 60 (the exact mode of substrate binding, the sequence of events 61 that take place at the active site during catalysis are often un-62 known); (3) Theoretical methods have not yet been tuned to 63 achieve the same accuracy as with organic cofactors, so that 64 the results of calculations must be considered with caution; (4) 65 These enzymes often house several cofactors and the catalytic 66 mechanism involves a number of steps which are very differ-67 68 ent in nature (long range intramolecular substrate and product diffusion, long range proton and electron transfers and active-69 site chemistry per se) which occur on sites of the protein that 70 are very far apart from one another. Any of these steps may, 71 under certain conditions, limit the overall rate of the reaction 72 and therefore determine the enzyme's global catalytic proper-73 74 ties. Often it cannot even be ascertained that active site chemistry limits the rate of turnover and regarding three out of the 75 four enzymes discussed here, the calculation of turnover rates 76 using theoretical methods still appears to be out of reach. A 77 combination of theoretical chemistry and experimental meth-78 ods can nonetheless be very useful to understand many differ-79 ent aspects of the mechanism, as discussed herein. 80

Figure 1 summarizes the different approaches, both exper-81 imental and theoretical, that can be used independently or in 82 combination to find out how such complex catalysts work. The 83 outcome of experiments and calculations are the observables 84 listed in the central column of fig. 1 and organized in three 85 groups: thermodynamic, structural and kinetic properties. The 86 mechanism itself is not an observable, which is the main rea-87 son why theoretical calculations are essential. Of course, ex-88 perimental observables derive from the structure and reactivity 89



Fig. 1 This figure shows a list of the observables that can be calculated or experimentally measured, and the feedback process that can lead to understanding a catalytic mechanism.

of the enzyme, but in such a complex way that it is generally not possible to deduce the mechanism from the values of the observables. In that respect, confronting theoretical results to experimental observations can help uncover the molecular details of the catalytic mechanism of an enzyme. This process is sketched in fig. 1 and illustrated in the last section of this paper.

The feedback process shown on top of fig. 1, which is the key to understand the mechanism, is necessarily bootstrapped by experimental observations. We have classified the latter into three main approaches (structural, spectroscopic, kinetic), which can be used to probe three kinds of enzyme samples (at equilibrium, frozen, or turning over under catalytic conditions). Our goal here was not to list all existing techniques, but to show how they relate to each other. Any experiment, indicated in red in the right part of scheme 1, is at the intersection between two or several domains: for example a redox titration consists in using a spectroscopic technique to monitor the redox state of a sample under equilibrium conditions. Experimental observables are very complex functions of the structures and kinetic properties of intermediates of the catalytic cycle. They can be interpreted to give structural or mechanistic information (e.g. "this IR spectrum shows that there are probably 3 CO ligands", or "the pH dependence of this rate constant shows that the corresponding reaction involves a protonation"), but they do not usually give a complete description of the catalytic mechanism.

As illustrated in section 4, direct electrochemistry has 117 proved important in kinetic investigations of metalloenzymes, ¹ and we briefly introduce the technique here. En-

90

92

94

98

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

113

114

115

zyme molecules are adsorbed or covalently attached^{2,3} as a 120 submonolayer onto an electrode; the electrode potential is set 121 to a value that forces the oxidation or the reduction of the en-122 zyme, and the continuous catalytic transformation of substrate 123 results in a flow of electrons across the electrode. This cat-124 alytic current is proportional to the turnover frequency times 125 the electroactive coverage of enzyme participating in the re-126 action. If the electroactive coverage is constant, the current 127 is proportional to turnover rate. That the current can be sam-128 pled at sub-second intervals is a strong advantage compared to 129 traditional solution assays. Most significantly, using an elec-130 trode adds a control parameter (the electrode potential) to tra-131 ditional enzyme kinetic measurements performed in solution. 132 By changing the electrode potential, using steps or sweeps, it 133 is possible to observe how the enzyme responds to changes in 134 driving force. Provided that kinetic models are used to quanti-135 tatively interpret the data, information can be gained about the 136 properties of the enzyme's redox centers and the kinetics of 137 intramolecular electron transfer^{4,5}, or the (in)activation of the 138 enzyme that often occurs under conditions of extreme poten-139 $tial^{6-8}$. The concentrations of substrate, product or inhibitors 140 can also be changed while the activity is being recorded, mak-141 ing it easy to determine Michaelis and inhibition constants 142 but also, and most importantly, rates of the reaction with in-143 hibitors^{9,10}. The technique has obvious limitations: not all en-144 zymes can be directly wired to electrodes and some artefacts 145 sometimes arise from the protein/electrode interaction. We 146 have discussed in a previous review some of the artifacts that 147 may occur in PFV experiments¹. Apart from that, the main pitfalls of the technique are the same as those described in all 149 enzyme kinetics textbooks: observing an agreement between 150 a kinetic model and experimental data does not imply that the 151 model is correct (or unique), and ingenious approaches have 152 to be used to learn about the rates of individual steps in the 153 catalytic cycle, or the molecular mechanisms of the chemical 154 transformations that are at stake, based on a global measure-155 ment of turnover rate. 156

Regarding mechanistic investigations, it is important to re-157 alize that key intermediates are intrinsically short-lived, and 158 consequently difficult to accumulate, detect and characterize 159 experimentally. This implies that experimental results often 160 need to be complemented by theoretical studies. The grow-161 ing role of quantum chemical methods in the investigation of 162 metalloenzymes is well testified by the Nobel Prize in Chem-163 istry 2013, which was awarded to Martin Karplus, Michael 164 Levitt and Arieh Warshel for the development of multiscale 165 computational models of complex chemical systems, i.e. the 166 development of methods, based on classical and quantum me-167 chanical theory, which can be used to study large chemical 168 systems and their reactivity. 169

The computational methods used to study the molecular properties of metalloenzymes can be classified into two families (left part of fig. 1). The first includes methods grounded in classical physics, such as Molecular Mechanics (MM) and Molecular Dynamics (MD). MM methods are used to calculate potential energies, whereas the goal of MD calculations is to describe the evolution of the structure of the protein, using Newton equations, based on the known energies of interaction between different atoms. MM and MD calculations allow to investigate the "physical" properties of the system, such as the dynamics of proteins in solution, as well as the diffusion of substrates and inhibitors into enzymes, but such approaches cannot be used to investigate properties that explicitly depend on electrons, such as reaction pathways and most spectroscopic features. The second family of computational tools includes Quantum Mechanical (QM) methods, which allow to calculate reaction energies and spectroscopic properties. OM methods are now routinely used to investigate large molecular systems, such as the active site of enzymes. Among all available QM methods, those based on the Density Functional Theory (DFT) are extremely popular due to their favorable trade-off between accuracy and computational costs.

In the context of bioinorganic chemistry, theoretical methods are useful for learning about active site geometries, for interpreting spectroscopic properties, and for elucidating reaction mechanisms (based on the energies of minima and saddle points along putative reaction pathways). The calculated observables are the same as those determined from experiments, but the approach usually takes a different route. In most theoretical calculations, especially QM, one needs to first postulate a structure or mechanism and then compute the observables. That the calculated observables match the measured ones suggests that the postulated structures are correct. Or the fact that calculated observables do not match experimental ones demonstrates that the mechanistic hypotheses can be ruled out. The comparison with experimental results is also fundamental to ensure that the system is described with sufficient accuracy with the approximations used (for instance, in quantum chemical calculations one has to choose the level of theory, the basis set, the cluster size, etc.). Comparison between theory and experiments can be made on different levels, from a qualitative point of view ("this intermediate is much too high in energy, so it is very unlikely that catalysis proceeds this way") to semiquantitative ("theory predicts that this species should be easier to oxidize than this one, in agreement with the experiments") or quantitative (comparing the calculated and measured values for IR frequencies or rate constants).

Recently, advances in both experimental and theoretical methods have favored the dialogue between the "wet lab" and in silico approaches, and this interaction can now provide answers to open issues in the field of enzyme-catalyzed fuel production. In the present paper, we aim at showing how the combination of computational and experimental methodolo-

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

200

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

gies in enzymological studies can be fundamental for favoring 224 the cross-fertilization of ideas, which is a prerequisite for any 225 future change of paradigm in energy production and supply. 226

In fact, since most technological processes currently rely 227 directly or indirectly on fossil fuels, which are non-renewable 228 (in non-geological time scales) and consumed at an ever-229 increasing rate, one challenge facing current world economy 230 is related to the availability and cost of energy. In addition, 231 the burning of fossil fuels is continuously increasing CO_2 232 concentration in the atmosphere, causing environmental prob-233 lems. Therefore, the development and exploitation of alterna-234 tive and renewable fuel sources and energy carriers, as well 235 as advances in CO₂ processing technologies, have very high 236 priority. 237

The production of solar fuels is one of the best answers to 238 such energy and environmental crisis and certainly one of the 239 grand challenges of this century. Storing sunlight in the form 240 of energy-rich chemical bonds offers the prospect of using ex-241 isting or only slightly modified technologies that currently run 242 on fossil fuels, such as e.g. car engines. Biology provides 243 much inspiration for the development of such catalysts. Over 244 millions of years, Nature has evolved highly efficient metal-245 clusters bound to proteins, for the purpose of converting small, 246 inert molecules such as CO₂, N₂ and even water, with the 247 help of sunlight, into highly energetic molecules (fuels) such 248 as CO, methanol, ammonia or H_2 . We believe that a deep 249 understanding of these fundamental biological reactions will 250 provide the key for a successful translation into artificial pro-251 cesses. For this to happen, it will be vital to take advantage of 252 the synergistic strengths of combined experimental and com-253 putational approaches. 254

Here is the structure of the paper and the scope of each sec-255 tion. In the second section of this paper, we introduce and de-256 scribe the structures of the four enzymes that we shall discuss 257 throughout the paper. In the third section we discuss how ob-258 servables can be either measured in experiments or calculated, 259 and at which accuracy; we shall also illustrate the drawbacks 260 and pitfalls of several approaches. In the last section of this 261 paper, we critically discuss selected literature in this field. We 262 identify certain discrepancies between experimental and the-263 oretical results, and gaps in the existing knowledge that will 264 clearly be of interest in the future. We emphasize cases where 265 combining experiments and theory provided much more in-266 sights than using the two approaches independently. Theo-267 reticians should be able to start from educated guesses based 268 on the experimentalists' results, while experimentalists should 269 be able to perform the experiments that help discriminate be-270 tween different hypotheses. This synergy is illustrated with 271 several examples taken from our work and the work of others, 272 focussing on four different metalloenzymes, three oxidore-273 ductases ([NiFe] and [FeFe]-hydrogenases, carbon monoxide 274 dehydrogenase) and one non-redox enzyme (carbonic anhy-275



Fig. 2 Protein structures and active site structures of the four enzymes discussed in the last section of this paper: [NiFe]-hydrogenase (A), [FeFe]-hydrogenase (B), Acetyl-CoA synthase / CO-dehydrogenase (C) and carbonic anhydrase (D). The structures were drawn respectively from PDB 1YQW, 3C8Y, 2Z8Y and 3KS3.

drase), all of which catalyse reactions of importance in the 276 context of renewable energy and environmental-friendly pro-277 cesses. 278

Background information about the four en-2 279 zymes discussed in this paper

2.1 Hydrogenases

Hydrogenases^{11,12} are enzymes that catalyse the reversible oxidation of H₂ into protons and electrons according to: 283

$$H_2 \rightleftharpoons 2H^+ + 2e^- \tag{1}$$

They are divided into two classes based on the metal content of their active site. The so-called "[NiFe]-hydrogenases" house a 285 dinuclear [NiFe] active site, in which the Ni is coordinated by

ccepted

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

4 cysteines (two of which bridge the metal ions), and the Fe is 287 coordinated by two CO and one CN- ligand (fig. 2A). MD and 288 DFT calculations suggest that H_2 binds to the Ni ion^{13,14}. The 289 active site is buried inside the protein matrix, and connected to 290 the solvent *via* a hydrophobic tunnel that guides the transport 291 of substrate, a network of protonatable amino acids that trans-292 fer protons to/from the active site, and a chain of three iron-293 sulfur clusters to mediate electron transfer to/from the redox 294 partner. These clusters are referred to as "proximal", "medial" 295 and "distal" according to their distance from the active site. 296

[FeFe]-hydrogenases oxidize or produce H₂ at an active 297 site, the so-called H cluster, that is composed of a stan-298 dard [4Fe4S] cluster covalently attached by a cysteine residue 299 to a $[Fe_2(CO)_3(CN)_2(dtma)]$ subsite (dtma = dithiomethy-300 lamine)^{15,16} (fig. 2B). The iron atoms of this [FeFe] subsite are 301 named proximal (Fe_n) or distal (Fe_d) according to the distance 302 to the [4Fe4S] cluster. In the catalytic mechanism, the [FeFe] 303 subsite cycles between at least two redox states, referred to as 304 Hox and Hred, which can be formally described as Fe(II)Fe(I) 305 and Fe(I)Fe(I), respectively. Dihydrogen or protons (depend-306 ing on the direction of the reaction) bind on the distal Fe. The 307 enzyme from Chlamydomonas reinhardtii (Cr) has no cofac-308 tor other than the H cluster. The enzymes from Clostridium 309 pasterianum (Cp) and Clostridium acetobutylicum (Ca) bind 310 4 additional FeS clusters, which act as electron relays. The 311 enzyme from Desulfovibrio desulfuricans (Dd) houses the H 312 cluster and two [4Fe4S] clusters. 313

314 2.2 ACS/CODH

Acetyl-CoA synthase / CO-dehydrogenase (ACS/CODH) is a 315 bifunctional enzyme that plays a crucial role in anaerobic bac-316 teria such as acetogenic organisms, which rely on the Wood-317 Ljungdahl pathway of carbon fixation¹⁷. It is estimated that 318 $\approx 10^{11}$ tons of acetate per year are produced globally from 319 CO₂ through this pathway¹⁸. ACS/CODH catalyses the syn-320 thesis of acetyl-CoA from CO₂, CoA, and a methyl group do-321 nated from the corrinoid-iron-sulfur protein (CoFeSP). This 322 complex reaction occurs in two steps, that take place in differ-323 ent subunits: the two-electron reduction of CO₂ to CO accord-324 ing to reaction 2 is catalysed in the β subunit, at the C cluster, 325 a [NiFe₄S₄] active site (fig. 2C). 326

$$CO_2 + 2e^- + 2H^+ \rightleftharpoons CO + H_2O$$
 (2)

It is proposed that CO₂ binds the C cluster in the so-called 327 C_{red2} redox state, with the C atom of CO₂ bound to Ni(0), 328 and the O atom to a Fe(II) atom of the cluster. CO and water 329 release leaves the cluster in the C_{red1} state (Ni(II)Fe(II)). Elec-330 trons are transferred via the B and D clusters to the external 331 electron acceptor. Some aspects of this mechanism are still 332 under debate. For instance, a revised mechanism has been re-333 cently suggested where CO₂ is inserted into a Ni(II)-hydride 334

bond¹⁹. A second active site, a $[Ni_2Fe_4S_4]$ cluster in the α subunit (the A cluster), catalyses the incorporation of the CO in a methyl group to give acetyl-CoA. 337

$$CH_3 - Co^{III}FeSP + CO + CoA - SH \Longrightarrow$$

 $CH_3COS - CoA + Co^IFeSP + H^+$ (3)

The ACS (α) and CODH (β) subunits of the bifunctional enzyme are associated in a dimer of dimers ($\alpha_2\beta_2$). The C and A clusters are 70 Å apart from one another and a 138 Å long cavity runs along the entire length of the enzyme, connecting all A clusters and C clusters, from the sites where CO is produced to the sites where it is consumed. 339

2.3 Carbonic anhydrase II (CA II)

This enzyme is a small protein (29 kDa) which catalyses CO_2 hydration and HCO_3^- dehydration: 20,21 346

$$CO_2 + H_2O \rightleftharpoons HCO_3^- + H^+$$
 (4)

It is involved in many biological processes, such as maintaining the correct acidity of blood in mammals. It is also important in photosynthesis since the substrate of RubisCO, the enzyme involved in the first major step of carbon fixation, is CO_2 and not its hydrated forms. The active site of CA II is a Zn^{2+} centre coordinated by three His nitrogen and one water molecule (fig. 2D).

3 Methods

3.1 A general introduction to computational methods: calculations of structures (geometry, distances) and spectroscopic properties

Two strategies can be followed for the definition of QM models of metalloproteins. In the cluster approach, only the active site and some neighbouring atoms are taken into account, and the rest of the protein environment is only implicitly modelled. In the QM/MM approach, the active site is described using quantum chemistry, whereas all other atoms of the protein are modelled using a molecular mechanics formalism. Both approaches have advantages and disadvantages, which have been extensively discussed in recent reviews 2^{2-26} . The cluster approach is generally well suited for modelling metalloenzymes, since the chemical steps of the catalytic mechanism usually involve only the metal ions and nearby residues^{27–30}. However, the selection of the atoms included in the model is often far from trivial. In addition, the modelling of the peripheral atoms (i. e. those at the boundary of the OM model) can be problematic.

When a cluster model is used, the presence of the protein 374 matrix that surrounds the active site is generally modelled by 375 soaking the QM portion in a continuum dielectric. This is 376 particularly important for metal-containing active sites, which 377 often are not electrically neutral. In fact, an unbalanced charge 378 distribution in the active site can result in unrealistic electron 379 transfers within the model cluster. As a continuum dielec-380 tric, several solvation models like the conductor-like screening 381 model (COSMO) $^{31-34}$ and the polarizable continuum model 382 (PCM) have been developed. 35-40383

When the architecture and stereoelectronic features of the 384 protein matrix are expected to affect the structural properties 385 of the active site, as well as the regiochemistry of substrates 386 or inhibitors binding, modelling the protein environment in 387 an explicit manner can be very important. The development 388 of QM/MM models, which has allowed the investigation of 389 whole proteins, was pioneered by Warshel and Levitt. ⁴¹ These 390 methods have become increasingly popular in the last twenty 391 years. 392

The structures of organic molecules calculated with DFT, 393 which is the only affordable level of theory when dealing with 394 large systems, can be very reliable, with errors on bond dis-395 tances and angles that are generally lower than 2 pm and a few 396 degrees. Regarding coordination compounds, strong metal 397 ligand bonds (such as those involving CO and CN⁻ ligands) 398 are generally predicted with excellent accuracy, whereas the 399 prediction of weaker metal-ligand bonds can be more prob-400 lematic. Very weak interactions like hydrogen bonds can also 401 be challenging. 402

DFT calculations have been useful also for the elucidation 403 of structural properties of proteins. The so-called quantum re-404 finement approach is a crystallographic refinement procedure 405 in which a molecular mechanics force field, which is gener-406 ally used to supplement the X-ray diffraction data, is replaced 407 with more accurate DFT calculations⁴²; it has been used to 408 clarify the chemical structure of cofactors or the protonation 409 state of aminoacids. As an example, the nature of the dithio-410 late ligand in the active site of [FeFe]-hydrogenases (fig. 2B) 411 was initially controversial, since it was suggested that it may 412 contain C, N, or O as the bridgehead atom. To shed light on 413 this issue. Rvde and collaborators⁴³ carried out quantum re-414 finement calculations taking into account different models of 415 the dithiolate ligand, finding that structures with a N bridge-416 head atom provide the best fit to the raw crystallographic data, 417 in agreement with previous proposals 44-46. These results were 418 confirmed recently when it became possible to change the na-419 ture of the bridging dithiolate ligand¹⁶: the enzyme is active 420 only if the bridging ligand bears a nitrogen atom. 421

It is also important to keep in mind that metalloproteins often contain metal ions with unpaired electrons, which must be described using spin polarized methods, where electrons with different spin are treated with a different potential. In addition, in some enzymes, such as those containing [4Fe4S] clus-426 ters, the metal atoms can interact, generating antiferromag-427 netic coupling between electrons localized on different atoms. 428 Spin-coupled systems are intrinsically difficult to describe us-429 ing DFT because their ground state wavefunctions generally 430 correspond to linear combinations of multiple determinants. 431 However, approximate methods have been shown to produce 432 reliable results: in the broken symmetry (BS) approach de-433 veloped by Noodleman and coworkers^{47,48} the opposite spins 434 are localized to give a mono-determinant representation of the 435 spin exchange interactions within the molecule. 436

The prediction of vibrational frequencies, and consequently 437 of IR spectra, is closely related to the accuracy in the calcula-438 tion of equilibrium geometries. In general, harmonic frequen-439 cies computed using DFT, when scaled using ad hoc empirical 440 correction factors, agree very well with experimental data and 441 can allow to distinguish among different plausible chemical 442 structures that might correspond to the species under investi-443 gation. As an example, the combination of data obtained from 444 infrared (IR) spectroscopy with the corresponding computed 445 spectra has been one of the most effective approaches used to 446 characterize hydrogenases. In fact, the peculiar presence of 447 CO and CN⁻ ligands in the active site of these enzymes has 448 allowed to monitor the shifts of their vibrational modes and to 449 correlate them with the molecular structure of different redox 450 and protonation states of the enzyme $^{49-51}$. 451

The calculation of other spectroscopic properties, such as 452 UV-Vis, CD and EPR, is more challenging and high-level ab initio methods, such as CCSD(T) and CASSCF, are often re-454 quired to obtain reliable results. However, as these meth-455 ods are computationally very expensive, theoretical chemists 456 make extensive use of DFT to compute spectroscopic proper-457 ties of bioinorganic systems^{24,52,53} and the performance and 458 reliability of this method has recently been discussed⁵⁴. In 459 general, computed spectroscopic properties obtained using 460 DFT are not always accurate, and sometimes even qualitative 461 results can be incorrect. For this reason, DFT derived prop-462 erties must be carefully checked and tuned using experimen-463 tal data as reference. DFT calculations of Mössbauer isomer 464 shifts for the ⁵⁷Fe nucleus have generally produced encourag-465 ing results⁵⁵. In contrast, the computation of EPR parameters 466 is more problematic. Indeed, g-shift values are often under-467 estimated when using standard functionals, and some metal 468 ions, such as Cu(II), can be particularly challenging. The ac-469 curate prediction of hyperfine coupling constants can also be 470 difficult, with results that can be strongly dependent on the na-471 ture and oxidation state of the metal ion under investigation. 472 Nevertheless, DFT calculations of g values and hyperfine cou-473 pling constants have often well complemented data obtained 474 from EPR spectroscopy, as documented by their role in the 475 characterization of structural features of paramagnetic [NiFe]-476 hydrogenase forms¹². 477

Since only electronic ground states can be rigorously com-478 puted using DFT calculations, the investigation of excited 479 states and their properties can be carried out only indirectly. In 480 this context, DFT has benefited from the development of time-481 dependent linear response theory within the *ab initio* methods. 482 Time-dependent density functional theory (TDDFT) is now 483 routinely applied to compute the electronic spectra of bioinor-484 ganic systems, even though the quality of the results is very 485 dependent on the molecular system under investigation and 486 on the choice of the exchange-correlation functional. Multi-487 configurational approaches, such as CASPT2 and MRCI, can 488 give more accurate results, but these methods are still compu-489 tationally very expensive. 490

491 3.2 Calculating and measuring thermodynamic parameters. 492 ters.

493 3.2.1 Energy and free energy profiles (intermediates and 494 Michaelis complexes)

QM calculations can give quantitative information about the 495 thermodynamics and the kinetics of a reaction pathway, 496 through the computational characterization of the structure of 497 reactants, products, intermediate species and the correspond-498 ing transition states, as well as their energy differences. While 499 the computation of the structures of reactants, intermediate 500 species and products is relatively straightforward, because 501 they correspond to energy minima on the potential energy sur-502 face, the computation of transition states (TSs) in a reaction 503 pathway (i.e. saddle points on the potential energy surface) 504 requires deep chemical intuition, because they cannot be de-505 duced unambiguously just from the specification of reactants 506 and products 52. 507

Standard reaction energies of organic molecules, such as 508 additions and substitutions, when computed with DFT meth-509 ods, are generally within 2-3 kcal/mol of the corresponding 510 experimental values. The level of accuracy slightly decreases 511 when considering bioinorganic systems containing transition 512 metals, but the trade-off between accuracy and computational 513 costs remains extremely good, allowing to cautiously discuss 514 and compare computed reaction energies. As an example, an 515 average accuracy of about ± 5 kcal/mol can be expected in the 516 computation of metal-ligand dissociation energies⁵². How-517 ever, it is important to remark that an error of 1.4 kcal/mol in 518 binding energies corresponds to an order of magnitude differ-519 ence in K_d at room temperature; the same problem arises in 520 attempts to deduce rates from activation energies. Also due to 521 the approximations necessarily introduced to model large bio-522 logical molecules, the discrimination among alternative reac-523 tion pathways only on the basis of energy differences between 524 intermediates and transition states can be problematic. In fact, 525 for some difficult cases, such as Cu₂O₂ or Fe(IV)-oxo contain-526 ing systems, even a qualitative analysis might lead to wrong 527

conclusions²⁴. In addition, to describe the energy profile of a reaction, standard free energy differences (ΔG^0) should be computed, whereas QM calculations provide directly only the electronic energy differences (ΔE^0). The comparison of ΔE^0 values is sufficient to discriminate among different reaction pathways when the energy corrections that should be computed and added to ΔE^0 to obtain the corresponding ΔG^0 values can be assumed to be similar for the different reaction pathways under investigation. Experimental observables are free energies, but their computation is often affected by large errors. First, computed energies should be corrected with the vibrational zero-point energy (ZPE) contribution, which is crucial if the aim is to compute deprotonation energies⁵⁶ or to evaluate proton-transfer energies and barriers, proton tunneling, and kinetic isotope effects. 57,58 Second, entropic contributions should be taken into account, and calculated from the roto-translation partition function of the system, at a given Tand P. However, only approximated partition functions can be computed for molecules containing a large number of atoms. Of course, entropic corrections are crucial for the description of associative and/or dissociative elementary reaction steps; their values are in the range of +10 kcal/mol for an associative reaction step, when considering standard state concentrations.

Regarding experiments, among the various quantities ⁵⁵¹ which are related to free energy variations, only association/dissociation constants and reduction potentials can be easily measured (if we exclude equilibrium constants between substrate and product and reaction energies, which give no information about the catalyst). This is described hereafter. ⁵⁵⁶

3.2.2 Experimental dissociation constants

Many experimental methods make it possible to measure either equilibrium dissociation constants between enzyme and ligands (hence free energies of binding) or apparent dissociation constants for the reaction

$$E + L \Longrightarrow EL$$
 (5)

The main issues in interpreting these results are that not all parameters in units of concentration are true dissociation constants (related to a free energy of binding), and that the different parts of the system that contribute to the apparent affinity of the enzyme for a ligand are difficult to resolve. 566

If one is interested in the catalytic transformation of a substrate S into a product P, the change in steady state turnover rate against substrate concentration can often be understood from a very simple scheme:

$$E \stackrel{k_{\text{in}}[S]}{\rightleftharpoons} ES \stackrel{k_{\text{cat}}}{\longrightarrow} E + P \tag{6}$$

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

557

558

559

560

561

567

569

An experimental parameter that is easily measured is the Michaelis constant, K_m , defined from the change in turnover frequency (v) against substrate concentration:

$$v = \frac{v_{\text{max}}}{1 + K_m / [S]}$$
(7a)

$$K_m = \frac{k_{\text{cat}} + k_{\text{out}}}{k_{\text{in}}} \tag{7b}$$

The Michaelis constant is greater than the true dissociation constant $K_d = k_{out}/k_{in}$ unless the transformation of the enzyme-substrate complex is slow compared to substrate release⁵⁹ and $K_m = K_d$.

True dissociation constants are more easily obtained from inhibition experiments. If the inhibition by a certain ligand is *reversible*, then the turnover rate reaches a non-zero, steadystate value in the presence of substrate and inhibitor, and the inhibitor binding constant is deduced by looking at how the steady-state turnover rate v changes with inhibitor concentration [I]:

$$v = \frac{v([I] = 0)}{1 + [I]/K_i^{app}}$$
(8)

⁵⁸⁵ The apparent dissociation constants K_i^{app} can also be de-⁵⁸⁶ duced from the ratio of experimentally determined bind-⁵⁸⁷ ing/dissociation rate constants. It may depend on substrate ⁵⁸⁸ concentration. For example, if the substrate and the inhibitor ⁵⁸⁹ compete for binding to the same active site, ⁶⁰ then the appar-⁵⁹⁰ ent K_i measured by changing [I] at a constant [S] is

$$K_i^{\text{app}} = \frac{K_i}{1 + [S]/K_{\text{m}}} \tag{9}$$

If the inhibitor reversibly binds to form a dead-end com-591 plex, as occurs with CO binding to hydrogenase for exam-592 ple, then inhibitor binding is at equilibrium in the steady-593 state⁵⁹, and the measured K_i is a true thermodynamic param-594 eter. H₂ inhibits proton reduction in both [NiFe]- and [FeFe]-595 hydrogenases (the former more strongly than the latter). How-596 ever, the enzyme- H_2 complex is not a dead-end (it is a catalytic 597 intermediate of H₂ evolution) and therefore the inhibition con-598 stant is not a true dissociation constant; it is actually greater 599 than K_d (ref. 61). 600

⁶⁰¹ If the inhibitor binds *irreversibly* on the experimental time ⁶⁰² scale, then inhibition is complete (provided the concentration ⁶⁰³ of inhibitor is greater than the concentration of enzyme) and ⁶⁰⁴ the rate of inhibition can be measured, ⁹ but the rate constant ⁶⁰⁵ of dissociation and the dissociation constant (K_d) cannot.

606 3.2.3 Reduction potentials

Reduction potentials are very important properties of redox cofactors, because according to Marcus theory, they are one

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

of the three parameters that determine the kinetics of electron transfer (ET) between distant centers. The other two 610 are the reorganisation energy, which is difficult to measure 611 (it is deduced from the dependence of the rate of ET on either ΔG or T, all things being equal), and the intercenter cou-613 pling, which cannot be independently measured. Note how-614 ever that when both redox centers are paramagnetic, the inter-615 center coupling is related to the magnitude of their exchange 616 interaction, which can be deduced from the simulation of the 617 EPR spectrum⁶². The reduction potential of an active site is 618 also one of the parameters (but by no means the only param-619 eter) that determines the "catalytic bias", that is whether the 620 enzyme is a better catalysts of the reaction in the oxidative or 621 reductive direction^{5,63}. 622

Reduction potentials can be determined in experiments termed redox titrations, where the system is poised under equilibrium conditions, stepwise reduced or oxidized; the "solution" potential is measured using a platinum electrode and the redox state is monitored using a spectroscopic technique. This is conceptually very simple if the system has a single redox center. If the protein or enzyme houses several redox centers that interact (meaning that the reduction potential of one center is affected by the redox state of the nearby centers), it is important to distinguish between microscopic reduction potentials (that can only be measured if the centers have distinct spectral properties) and macroscopic potentials (that are measured if the centers are indistinguishable in a particular experiment)^{1,64}.

Depending on the spectroscopic method used to monitor the redox state of the sample and the spectral properties of the redox cofactors, a large amount of biological material may be required to carry out a complete redox titration. The implementation of the measurement is often tricky. (1) A cocktail of redox mediators has to be present in solution to increase the rate at which the equilibrium is reached; its composition and concentration must be chosen carefully. (2) An artifact may arise from the fact that the redox equilibrium may unexpectedly shift when the sample is frozen to be examined by e.g. EPR (for an effect of temperature on the thermodynamics of intramolecular ET, see e.g. ref 65). Changes in apparent pH can also occur on freezing aqueous buffer solutions 66 . (3) Enzymes like hydrogenases cannot be equilibrated at low potential because they turnover protons, which cannot be removed from the solution. (4) Last, and maybe most importantly, it is rarely checked that the redox process is fully reversible (for an example where it is unexpectedly irreversible, see ref. 67). Overall, the error on E^0 is most often larger than ± 10 mV, and there are many sources of artifacts that can result in the value being uncertain.

Dynamic electrochemical methods, where the system is not at equilibrium, can also be used to measure reduction potentials.⁶⁸ The information can sometimes be simply obtained

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

653

655

656

657

658

from the result of a voltammetric experiment, where the elec-661 trode potential is repeatedly swept up and down to trigger the 662 oxidation and reduction of the center, which is detected as an 663 oxidation or reduction current. If the system has several redox 664 centers, voltammetry measures macroscopic reduction poten-665 tials. If the redox reaction is a pure electron transfer or if it is 666 coupled to fast reversible reactions (such as (de)protonation or 667 ligand binding and release), then the thermodynamic informa-668 tion is easily obtained from experiments carried out in the low 669 scan rate limit, where the system remains close to equilibrium. 670 The rate of interfacial electron transfer and/or the rates of the 671 coupled reactions can be deduced from experiments carried 672 out at fast scan rates⁶⁹. If the coupled reaction is irreversible, 673 then the reduction potential can only be measured if the elec-674 trode potential is swept so quickly as to outrun the coupled 675 reaction⁷⁰, but there is no guarantee that this regime can be 676 reached in experiments. 677

If the coupled reaction is the reversible or irreversible cat-678 alytic transformation of a molecule in solution, then the elec-679 trochemical response we are considering is a catalytic current, 680 which is proportional to turnover frequency. If we consider the 681 situation where electron transfer between the electrode and the 682 enzyme is direct, the mid-point potential of the catalytic wave 683 is somehow related to the reduction potential of the enzyme's 684 active site, but it is equal to the reduction potential of the ac-685 tive site only in very rare situations. In most cases, the wave 686 potential (the "catalytic potential") is a global parameter that is 687 affected by the thermodynamics⁷¹ and kinetics⁷² of substrate 688 binding, the kinetics and thermodynamics of intramolecular 689 electron transfer along the redox chain that wires the active 690 site to the electrode 4,5 , the kinetics of electrode/enzyme elec-691 tron transfer⁷³ etc. It is now clear that catalytic potentials are 692 parameters that may strongly depart from the reduction po-693 tential of the active site. An analogy in this respect is the 694 Michaelis constant, which has the unit of a dissociation con-695 stant, but is not a thermodynamic parameter (cf eq. 7b)⁵⁹. 696

The comparison of experimental and calculated reduction potentials may help understand how the environment tunes the redox properties of a metal center. Calculating potentials may also discriminate between several plausible mechanisms. The reduction potential is directly proportional to the free energy change associated to the redox process:

$$\Delta G = \Delta E_{\rm el} + \Delta G_{\rm solv} + E_{\rm zpe} - RT \ln(q) \tag{10}$$

where ΔE_{el} is the adiabatic electron affinity of the system at 703 the potential energy minimum of the oxidized state, ΔG_{solv} is 704 the difference in solvation free energies of the oxidized and 705 reduced forms, and E_{zpe} and $RT \ln(q)$ are the enthalpic and 706 entropic contributions for the optimized structure, calculated 707 within the harmonic oscillator/rigid rotor approximation. Due 708 to the difference in charge between reactants and products, re-709 duction potentials are generally strongly affected by the envi-710

ronment. Regarding coordination compounds, the differences in the solvation free energies of the reduced and oxidized species are usually computed using implicit solvation models, such as PCM, COSMO and COSMO-RS^{24,74}, and their reduction potentials can often be accurately computed using DFT methods (although complications arises in some class of compounds, see as an example some Cu complexes). Such calculations are more problematic in the case of metalloenzymes, because the environment of the redox centre cannot be satisfactorily described using an implicit solvation model. Therefore, the intermolecular interactions between the active site and the environment must be described with QM/MM methods where the effect of the inhomogenous dielectric environment is treated at an atomistic level. In addition, an adequate sampling of the configurations associated with the environmental degrees of freedom can be crucial, in particular when the active site is flexible or the surrounding residues adopt different conformations. In such case the harmonic approximation, which is usually assumed for calculation of vibrational entropy, is no longer justified. Adequate sampling can be achieved, for instance, with QM- and QM/MM-based molecular dynamics simulations by sampling the vertical electron affinity $\Delta E_{\rm el}^{\nu} {}^{75-79}$,

$$\Delta G = -kT \ln \left\langle \exp(\Delta E_{\rm el}^{\nu}/kT) \right\rangle_{\rm O} \tag{11}$$

where $\langle \; \rangle_O$ denotes the thermal average for the potential en-734 ergy surface of the oxidized state. Note that the expression 735 above is a rigorous result of classical statistical mechanics and 736 does include all enthalpic and entropic effects (corrections for nuclear quantum effects can be added). The thermal aver-738 age needs to be computed using enhanced sampling schemes 739 such as free energy perturbation or thermodynamic integra-740 tion, which are computationally expensive. However, when 741 the fluctuations of the ΔE_{el}^{ν} are gaussian, ^{78,80} it is sufficient to 742 carry out two MD simulations (one in the reduced state and 743 one in the oxidized state) and take the average of the two⁷⁷: 744

$$\Delta G = \left(\left\langle \Delta E_{\rm el}^{\nu} \right\rangle_{O} + \left\langle \Delta E_{\rm el}^{\nu} \right\rangle_{\rm R} \right) / 2 \tag{12}$$

Even when QM- and QM/MM-based molecular dynamics 745 approaches are used, the results are often affected by large er-746 rors. An error of 100 mV may not be acceptable considering 747 that the biological redox scale is very narrow (most relevant 748 reduction potentials range from -400 mV to +500 mV), and 749 yet error of 100 mV corresponds to about 2.3 kcal/mol, which 750 is well within the present accuracy of DFT methods. There-751 fore, results obtained from computing electron affinities, ion-752 ization energies and reduction potentials are often more use-753 ful in a relative or qualitative manner, to distinguish among 754 different species or reaction paths, than for the prediction of 755 absolute values. In other words, such calculations are most 756 useful if one aims at understanding *changes* in the reduction 757

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

810

812

813

814

815

816

817

818

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

827

829

830

831

832

833

834

potential of a cofactor in response to point mutations or other 758 modifications of the environment, or differences in the reduc-759 tion potential of the same cofactor in different proteins^{81,82}. 760 In these cases, since the QM system containing the redox ac-761 tive co-factor is the same and changes in reduction potential 762 are due to different interactions with the environment only, 763 the DFT errors are expected to cancel. Indeed, one can as-764 sume that the reduction potential differences are mostly due 765 to the protein so that a QM calculation is no longer neces-766 sary and the reduction potential can, to first approximation, 767 be calculated entirely with classical force fields^{81,82} or contin-768 uum electrostatics methods⁸³. A recent example is the calcu-769 lation of the relative reduction potentials of ten identical *c*-type 770 heme cofactors bound to the deca-heme protein MtrF⁸², as re-771 viewed in another article of this issue⁸⁴. In this study classical 772 MD simulation was employed to compute the reduction poten-773 tial using thermodynamic integration. The range of potentials 774 computed was in relatively good agreement with experiment, 775 even though the computed potentials were microscopic reduc-776 tion potentials (all other hemes remaining oxidized), whereas 777 in experiments (protein film voltammetry) macroscopic reduc-778 tion potentials are measured (the system goes from being fully 779 oxidized to fully reduced as the electrode potential is swept 780 down). The effect of the oxidation state of a neighbouring co-781 factor on the reduction potential can be significant, in the order 782 of 10 to 95 meV⁸⁵⁻⁸⁷, but it remains typically below the sta-783 tistical error caused by the finite length of the MD trajectories. 784

785 3.2.4 Acidity constants

Protein folding and stability, as well as many biological pro-786 tein functions such as proton and electron transfer processes, 787 ligand binding, and protein-protein association, are controlled 788 by the ionization state of protein side chains. The pK_a s of 789 such acidic or basic side chain (Asp, Glu, Lys, Arg, His) are 790 strongly affected by the protein environment, so that they can 791 be significantly different in the protein with respect to the 792 value of the amino acid in solution.⁸⁸ This is particularly true 793 for ionisable groups buried in a hydrophobic pocket. An ex-794 ample is given by the pK_a value measured for a Lys residue in-795 serted in the hydrophobic core of staphylococcal nuclease by 796 site-directed mutagenesis,^{89–91} which is 4.3 units lower than 797 the pK_a of Lys in water: this residue is deprotonated in the 798 protein.92 799

Several experimental methods, such as equilibrium denatu-800 ration measurements at different pH and potentiometric titra-801 tions have been applied to evaluate pK_a s of ionisable residues 802 in proteins. Accurate values of pK_as can be measured us-803 ing multidimensional and multinuclear NMR spectroscopy, by 804 monitoring the pH dependence of ¹³C, ¹H and ¹⁵N chemical 805 shifts and corresponding coupling constants of relevant atoms 806 (C γ for Asp; C δ for Glu; C δ , C δ 2, N ϵ 2 and N δ for His, etc.) 807



Fig. 3 Thermodynamic cycle for the calculation of deprotonation Gibbs free energy in solution (ΔG_s).

previously assigned to specific residues.92-95

Theoretical predictions of pK_a s are very useful even when experimental values are available, since they can provide a better understanding of the molecular determinants of ionization. Many different methods and levels of theory have been proposed for the calculations of pK_a s.⁹⁶ However, in spite of the significant progress since the first work of Tanford and Kirkwood based on the Poisson-Boltzmann equation⁹⁷, calculation of pK_a s remains challenging because of the difficulties in capturing quantitatively the effects of the strong and position dependent short-range electrostatic interactions, and the nonspecific long range interactions between charged sites and with the solvent.^{98–100}

The heterogeneous response of the protein to a change in charge, which depends on the dielectric environment and the local flexibility, is another difficult issue^{101–103}. As recently reviewed, among the various methods proposed for pK_a calculations, none performs significantly better than others.⁹⁶

The most fundamental approach for describing electrostatics, as well as all other physical interactions, are quantum mechanical (QM) methods which solve the Schrödinger equation at some level of approximation. This approach can be successfully applied to small molecular systems such as single amino acids or small peptides. ^{104–108} In this case full QM geometry optimizations and vibrational frequencies calculations are carried out for the species included in a thermodynamic cycle such as that in fig. 3.

The Gibbs free energy of reaction in solution (ΔG_s) is obtained as the sum of the Gibbs free energy of reaction in vacuum (ΔG_g) and the difference in solvation free energies $(\Delta \Delta G_{solv})$

$$\Delta G_s = \Delta G_g + \Delta \Delta G_{\text{solv}} \tag{13}$$

where $\Delta G_g + \Delta \Delta G_{solv}$ are calculated as:

$$\Delta\Delta G_{\text{solv}} = \Delta G_{\text{solv}}(\mathbf{A}) + \Delta G_{\text{solv}}(\mathbf{H}^+) - \Delta G_{\text{solv}}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{H}^+) \quad (14)$$

$$\Delta G_g = G_g(A) + G_g(\mathbf{H}^+) - G_g(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{H}^+)$$
(15)

 pK_a values can then be calculated from ΔG_s using the equation:

$$K_a = \exp\frac{-\Delta G_s}{RT} \tag{16}$$

929

931

893

The main source of errors in this approach seems to arise 841 from modelling solvation. In particular, widely used dielectric 842 continuum models (DCM) are frequently the worse approxi-843 mation for systems where short range solute-solvent interac-844 tions are important. The explicit inclusion of a few solvent 845 molecules in close proximity to the solute in addition of using 846 a DCM can be a way to overcome this issue, without mak-847 ing the calculations computationally too expensive.¹⁰⁹ In this 848 respect we note that more elaborate DFT based molecular dy-849 namics schemes have been developed for calculations of pKa 850 values, where both the solute and and a large number of sol-851 vent molecules are treated at the DFT level.¹⁰⁸ In addition, the 852 accuracy of the calculated pK_a s is also significantly improved 853 by using thermodynamic cycles that maximize systematic er-854 ror cancellations.¹¹⁰ The QM level of theory used in the pK_a 855 calculations is also important, as it should be feasible at rea-856 sonable computational costs for relatively large-sized systems. 857

For macromolecular systems like proteins, using a QM 858 method for the entire system is clearly prohibitive due to the 859 computational cost. Most importantly, the use of QM meth-860 ods is undesirable since electrostatic interactions dominate at 861 large distances, and must be included in the calculation. An 862 approach to overcome these issues is the QM/MM method in-863 troduced in section 3. In this context, ad hoc computational 864 methods for the calculation of pK_a s have been recently pro-865 posed by Li and Jensen and coworkers: 111,112 one method is 866 based on a QM representation of the ionisable residues and 867 their immediate environment combined with a continuum de-868 scription of bulk solvation with the linear Poisson-Boltzmann 869 equation; alternatively, the QM region is surrounded by frag-870 ments described by static potentials predetermined using ab 871 *initio* QM. 872

Several methods utilizing Molecular Dynamics (MD) and 873 Monte Carlo (MC) simulations have recently been proposed 874 at various levels of approximation. We recall that MD sim-875 ulations are used to sample all possible conformations of a 876 protein by calculating a long trajectory based on determinis-877 tic rules (Newton mechanics) whereas MC simulations con-878 sist in randomly generating a large number of conformations, 879 which are accepted or rejected according to their Boltzmann 880 probability. Recent promising models combine (i) atomistic 881 simulations of the protein, performed using MC or MD with a 882 fixed or flexible protein backbone, (ii) an implicit description 883 of the solvent using a Poisson-Boltzmann model (PB), and (iii) 884 a MC sampling of conformations and ionization states of the 885 protein. In these PB based approaches, the protein is defined 886 as a region with a low dielectric constant embedded in a sol-887 vent with a high dielectric constant. The value of the dielectric 888 constant of the protein is crucial for the correct prediction of 889 pK_a s. In this respect, different values have been used, from 4 890 to $80,^{113-116}$ as the appropriate value depends on the distribu-891 tion of polar and charged residues within the protein and on 892

One of the most commonly used methods for incorporating conformational flexibility into pK_a calculations is the so-called Multi-Conformation Continuum Electrostatics (MCCE) method developed by Alexov and Gunner.^{114,119} In the MCCE the protein side chain flexibility is considered by generating several conformations for each residues which are relaxed using a force field with Lennard-Jones and torsion energies. The resulting conformers, which represent all degrees of freedom including appropriate acid/base ionization states and side chain positions, are then subjected to Monte Carlo sampling to generate the Boltzmann distribution of conformers. A state featuring one conformer for each residue is a microstate. The energy expression to determine the acceptance for a microstate x (ΔG^x) is given by:

$$\Delta G^{x} = \sum_{i}^{M} \delta_{x,i} \left[2.3 \, m_{i} k_{b} T \left(pH - pK_{sol,i} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \Delta G_{p} + \sum_{j=i+1}^{M} \delta_{x,j} \left(\Delta G_{ij}^{CE} + \Delta G_{ij}^{LJ} \right) \right]$$
(17)

where *M* is the total number of conformers, $\delta_{x,i}$ is 1 if conformer *i* is present in the microstate and 0 otherwise, m_i is 1 for bases, -1 for acids and 0 for neutral conformers, k_bT is 0.59 kcal/mol at 298 K, $pK_{sol,i}$ is the reference value of pK_a for the group involved in the ionization equilibrium, ΔG_p is a sum of pairwise terms independent from the other conformers of the microstate, and ΔG^{CE} and ΔG^{LJ} are pairwise electrostatic and Lennard-Jones energy terms which depend on the conformers selected in the microstate. Monte Carlo simulations are carried out for 15 different values of pH. The pK_a of each ionizable group is then calculated from the occupancy of the ionized form in the Boltzmann distribution using the Henderson Hesselbach equation:

$$\langle Occ_{\text{ionized}} \rangle = \frac{10^{-mn(pH-pKa)}}{1+10^{-mn(pH-pKa)}}$$
(18)

in which m is equal to -1 for an acid and 1 for a base and n is the Hill coefficient reflecting the degree of cooperativity between different sites.

Equilibrium ionization states in proteins have also been investigated by the protein dipole Langevin technique, ^{103,120} and by MD based approaches using either constant-pH MD or free energy perturbation techniques. ^{121–124}

3.3 Kinetic parameters

3.3.1 General comments

The ability of an enzyme to catalyze a certain reaction is most easily quantified by the Michaelis parameters, K_m and k_{cat} (or

 v_{max} , see eq. 7a), obtained by fitting the dependence of steady-932 state turnover rate on reactants concentration. The Michaelis 933 parameters are "global" parameters, which depend on all steps 934 in the mechanism and usually tell us very little about the 935 mechanism and the rates of particular steps in the catalytic 936 cycle (such as intramolecular electron or proton transfers) un-937 less it is clearly established that one particular step fully lim-938 its turnover (about the concept of rate limiting step, i.e. the 939 step which, if perturbed, causes the largest change in overall 940 velocity, see the discussions of pitfalls in ref. 125,126). An 941 example discussed in section 4 is carbonic anhydrase, where 942 proton transfer is the rate limiting step in turnover, but there 943 are also examples where intramolecular electron transfer (ET) 944 is rate limiting⁶⁵. To specifically learn about individual steps, 945 the experimental method consists in triggering the cycle and 946 monitoring the evolution of the concentration of reaction inter-947 mediates by appropriate techniques, most often spectroscopic 948 techniques. A kinetic model is then needed to deduce the rate 949 constants.⁸⁷ Another general approach consists in examining 950 how the steady-state kinetic parameters are altered when the 951 substrate or the system is modified, for example by changing 952 the concentrations, temperature, substrate/solvent deuteration, 953 or using site-directed mutagenesis. In that sense, the amino-954 acid sequence can be considered as one of the experimental 955 parameters which can be varied to see an effect on rates.¹²⁷ 956

In contrast, regarding complex metalloenzymes, the *calcu-*957 *lations* of rates necessarily focus on one particular step, not 958 the entire cycle. Since reaction rates are macroscopic aver-959 ages over a very large number of reactive events from the re-960 actant basin to the product basin (and vice versa), following 961 different trajectories, it is necessary to compute a large number 962 of trajectories (dynamics approach) or to use statistical theo-963 ries based on ensemble distributions. In particular, using MD, 964 the reaction rates can be computed by averaging a statistically 965 representative number of trajectories, obtained using different 966 initial conditions, that take reactants to products. Many ap-967 proaches exist to carry out such averaging procedure in prac-968 tice at a reasonable computational cost: "umbrella sampling" 969 is one such method, where the potential energy surface is bi-970 ased to force the trajectories computed by molecular dynamics 971 simulations to reach the transition state region. The most used 972 statistical approach is grounded in the transition state theory 973 (TST) of Eyring, according to which 974

$$k = \kappa \frac{k_B T}{h} \exp \frac{-\Delta G^{0\ddagger}}{RT}$$
(19)

where $\Delta G^{0\ddagger}$ is the standard free energy of activation, directly evaluated from the barrier height, i.e., from the energy difference existing between the transition state and the preceding intermediate. However, due to the present accuracy of theoretical methods and to the approximations used to compute free energies, the comparison between computed and experimental reaction and activation energies is often only semiquantitative. Equation 19 includes a prefactor, κ , that accounts for barrier recrossing, nuclear tunneling and dynamical effects ¹²⁸.

In general, the enzyme kinetics is the result of a large num-985 ber of elementary steps, most of them reversible, each occur-986 ring with a given rate constant. This includes not only the 987 chemical reaction steps at the active site but also the transport 988 processes of substrates/products. For instance, proton transfer 989 from the solvent to buried active sites occurs via a chain of aan proton exchanges between water and/or protonatable amino acid residues (see section 3.3.2). Similarly, binding of small 992 ligands to buried active sites can be described as a series of diffusive jumps between protein cavities connecting the sol-994 vent with the protein active site (see section 3.3.3). The time evolution of these kinetic chains can be obtained by solving 996 master equations (a set of differential equations governing the 997 time evolution of all possible states of the system) or by us-998 ing kinetic Monte Carlo methods. The latter use as input the 999 elementary rate constants obtained for each step, for instance, 1000 from TST. 1001

3.3.2 Proton transfer (PT) rate constants

Direct information about the rate of a PT step in enzymes can 1003 be obtained when this step is rate limiting during turnover. 1004 This is expected when the catalytic constant k_{cat} is strongly 1005 modified either upon deuterating the substrate or when the re-1006 action is studied in D_2O (kinetic isotope effect, KIE). In this 1007 case, k_{cat} can be equated to the PT rate constant. In these 1008 circumstances, the activation free energy of the PT step can 1009 be deduced from the temperature dependence of k_{cat} . When 1010 the $\Delta p K_a$ of the proton transfer can be altered by modifying 1011 some ionisable groups or their environment, the variation of 1012 the PT rate constant as a function of $\Delta p K_a$ provides strong 1013 constraints for the interpretation of the PT mechanism (as ex-1014 emplified with carbonic anhydrase, see section 4.4.3). 1015

The rate of elementary proton transfer steps taking place in 1016 enzymes is generally calculated with the expression given by 1017 transition state theory (eq. 19).

To evaluate the activation free-energy $\Delta G^{0\ddagger}$, a suitable reac-1019 tion coordinate is chosen so as to follow the reaction progress, 1020 like the difference between the donor-proton and acceptor-1021 proton bond distances. Classical MD simulations with ex-1022 tensive umbrella sampling are then carried out to obtain the 1023 free-energy profile along the reaction coordinate (called PMF, 1024 potential of mean force). $\Delta G^{0\ddagger}$ can be obtained from the dif-1025 ference of the PMF at the maximum (transition state) and min-1026 imum (reactant state), see e.g. ref. 129 for details. The PMF 1027 also provides the standard free-energy change ΔG^0 , which is 1028 proportional to the $\Delta p K_a$ of the reaction. The parameters used 1029 in semiempirical methods must be determined through a care-1030

ful calibration based on a set of experimental data. Nuclear
quantum mechanical effects due to tunnelling and zero-point
energies may be significant in biological proton transfers. Various methods have been proposed to evaluate their contributions, especially in studies devoted to the interpretation of the
KIE¹³⁰.

In enzymes, proton exchanges between the active site and the solvent take place through proton transfer chains made of protonatable groups, like water molecules and/or ionisable residues. The time evolution of these chains can be simulated by using various methods like the center of excess charge, the Langevin equation, or kinetic models leading to a master equation, as described in section 3.3.3.

1044 3.3.3 Rates of ligand binding and release

Here we focus on methods for measuring and calculating the
rates of binding of small ligands. In this context, the most
intensively studied is CO diffusion in myoglobin, for which
a wealth of experimental diffusion and binding rate constants
are available for WT and mutant proteins¹³¹, but there has
been considerable progress recently regarding intramolecular
transport in hydrogenases and CO dehydrogenase.

In attempts to distinguish between the partition of the lig-1052 and between the solvent and the protein and the actual binding 1053 on the active site, it is useful to consider a two-step binding 1054 model, with the diffusion of the substrate towards a "gemi-1055 nate" (G) position near the active site (with a forward bimolec-1056 ular constant k_1 and a first order rate of release k_{-1} , dissoci-1057 ation constant $K_1 = k_{-1}/k_1$) and the chemical binding/release 1058 on the active site (with first order rate constants k_2 and k_{-2} , 1059 equilibrium constant, $K_2 = k_{-2}/k_2$).¹⁰ 1060

$$E \underbrace{\frac{k_1 \times [L]}{k_{-1}}}_{k_{-1}} \text{ geminate state } \underbrace{\frac{k_2}{k_{-2}}}_{k_{-2}} EL$$
(20)

The observed bimolecular rate of ligand binding and first
 order rate of ligand release are related to the four rate constants
 above by

$$k_{\rm in} = \frac{k_1 k_2}{k_{-1} + k_2} \tag{21a}$$

$$k_{\text{out}} = \frac{k_{-1}k_{-2}}{k_{-1}+k_2}$$
 (21b)

These equations are obtained by assuming (i) the steady state for G, d[G]/dt = 0 and (ii) that K_2 is small $(k_{-2} \ll k_2)$.

In metalloenzymes that transform small molecules like CO, CO₂, and H₂, putative substrate tunnels are most easily identified as hydrophobic cavities in (static) X-ray structures. Xenon can be used as a probe in crystallographic studies, because it is supposed to prefer hydrophobic environments, like



Fig. 4 Isotope-exchange assay (eq. 22) of the WT form (A) and L122F-V74I mutant (B) of *Desulfovibrio fructosovorans* [NiFe]-hydrogenase. The changes in concentrations are used to determine the rate of H_2 exit from the enzyme^{63,135}. e_0 is the concentration of enzyme. That the mutant produces less HD than the WT enzyme indicates that the mutation slows diffusion along the gas channel. Figure reproduced from ref. 63 (copyright 2012 American Chemical Society).

 H_2 or O_2 ; it is of a similar size to O_2 but it is more electronrich, thereby facilitating its detection with X-rays. We note 1072 that Xe-binding cavities may not reveal CO₂ diffusion paths 1073 because they may be too small to be used for CO₂ trans-1074 port. Testing the diffusion pathways predicted from crystal-1075 lographic studies usually consists in using site-directed muta-1076 genesis to try to alter the main routes (most commonly, by in-1077 creasing the bulk of the side chains that point in the channels) 1078 and examine the effect on the rates of ligand binding (see e.g. 1079 132 for a review). 1080

One method for probing the rate of intramolecular diffusion 1081 in enzymes may consist in measuring the rate of substrate or 1082 ligand binding in experiments where the enzyme-ligand complex has a clear UV-vis signature: the five-coordinate hemes 1084 of cytochrome c oxidase and myoglobin lend themselves to 1085 this sort of investigations. 133,134 1086

Regarding hydrogenases, a particular method for looking at H_2 diffusion rates is based on analysing the progress of the isotope exchange reaction, whereby D_2 is irreversibly transformed into H_2 using protons from the solvent, in two steps that are catalyzed at the [NiFe] active site: 1091

$$D_2 + H^+ \rightarrow HD + D^+$$
 (22a)

$$HD + H^+ \rightarrow H_2 + D^+$$
 (22b)

Both steps are irreversible, because the solvent H_2O provides a very large excess of H^+ over D^+ . The reaction can be monitored by using mass spectrometry to follow the change in concentration of D_2 , HD and H_2 , see e.g. fig. 4. HD is an intermediate along the reaction pathway from D_2 to H_2 , and because the egress of HD competes with its transformation into H_2 , the 1097



Fig. 5 Electrochemical monitoring of the inhibition by CO of H_2 oxidation by the L122M-V74M mutant of *D. fructosovorans* [NiFe]-hydrogenase where the double mutation slows diffusion along the gas channel. An aliquot of solution saturated with CO was injected at t = 0 and the change in current against time reveals CO binding and release. Panel A: CO concentration against time. Panel B: eq. 2 in ref. 60 is fit to the change in current against time (gray) to measure $k_{in}^{CO, app}$ and k_{out} . Figure reproduced from ref. 1 (copyright 2008 American Chemical Society)

slower intramolecular transport, the less HD dissociates from the enzyme's active site and the less it can be detected in the solvent. Modelling the change in HD concentration against time returns the ratio of rate of HD dissociation over H^+/D^+ exchange at the active site ¹³⁵. Under certain conditions, ⁶³ the data can also be used to directly measure the rate of dissociation, $k_{out}^{H_2}$.

Alternatively, the information about the kinetics of ligand 1105 binding may be deduced from turnover-rate measurements: it 1106 is indeed possible to determine the rate of binding or release of 1107 a competitive inhibitor ("competitive" means that it targets the 1108 active site) by monitoring the change in turnover rate upon ex-1109 posure to the inhibitor. The electrochemical measurement of 1110 the rate of binding and release of CO in hydrogenase is illus-1111 trated in fig. 5: the H₂-oxidation activity is measured as a cur-1112 rent, with the enzyme adsorbed onto an electrode immersed 1113 and rotated in a solution continuously flushed with H₂, and 1114 small aliquots of a solution saturated with CO are repeatedly 1115 injected in the cell.^{9,135} The concentration of CO instantly in-1116 creases after each injection (the mixing time is about 0.1 s) 1117 and then decreases exponentially as CO is flushed away by 1118 the stream of H_2 . The activity decreases after the addition of 1119 CO, and it is fully recovered as CO is flushed away by the 1120 stream of H₂. 1121

We derived in ref. 60 the analytical equation that can be used to fit the electrochemical data recorded after a single injection of CO to measure k_{out} and the apparent value of k_{in} . The value of k_{out}^{CO} is independent of substrate concentration, but since H₂ competes with CO, the "true" value of k_{in} is obtained from its apparent value using:

$$k_{\rm in}^{\rm CO} = k_{\rm in, app}^{\rm CO} \left(1 + \frac{[\rm H_2]}{K_m}\right) \tag{23}$$

An alternative strategy for characterizing the kinetics of inhibition by CO (or O_2) consists in fitting the exponential re-1129 laxation of the catalytic current that follows a *step* in inhibitor 1130 concentration 136 (rather than a burst, as in fig. 5A). This can 1131 be achieved by injecting an aliquot of solution saturated with 1132 CO and simultaneously changing the composition of the gas phase above the cell solution. In that case however, it is im-1134 portant to realize that the time constant τ of the relaxation is 1135 not $1/k_{in, app}^{CO}[CO]$, but it is: 1136

$$\tau = 1 / \left(k_{\text{in, app}}^{\text{CO}} \times [\text{CO}] + k_{\text{out}}^{\text{CO}} \right)$$
(24)

Unless the experiment consists in monitoring the spectroscopic signature of the active site, the rates of diffusion in either direction (k_1^{CO}, k_{-1}^{CO}) and the rates of ligand binding and dissociation at the active site (k_2^{CO}, k_{-2}^{CO}) cannot be measured independently, and the meaning of the binding/release rate constants must be discussed in relation to eq. 21.

The rate of binding (k_{in}^{CO}) equates the rate of diffusion towards the active site only on condition that the binding at the active site is fast 1143

$$k_{\rm in}^{\rm CO} = k_1^{\rm CO}$$
 if $k_2^{\rm CO} \gg k_{-1}^{\rm CO}$ (25)

In this case, the measured rate of ligand released (k_{out}) is the rate of diffusion out multiplied by the dissociation constant, 1147

$$k_{\text{out}}^{\text{CO}} = k_{-1}^{\text{CO}} \times K_2^{\text{CO}} \tag{26}$$

In other words, the dissociation from the active site acts as ¹¹⁴⁸ a pre-equilibrium for the release of the ligand, as discussed in ¹¹⁴⁹ SI of ref. 10. ¹¹⁵⁰

Atomistic simulations, in particular MD, have most often 1151 been used in this context independently of experimental in-1152 vestigations. They can give important qualitative informa-1153 tion on intramolecular transport, such as the most likely diffu-1154 sion paths within the protein and the location of key residues 1155 that guide, block or gate ligand diffusion. The simulations 1156 that have been carried out were either based on long equilib-1157 rium molecular dynamics $^{137-140}$ or on the use of enhanced sampling methods $^{141-148}$. With computational capabilities 1158 steadily increasing in recent years, it has become possible to 1160 compute not only qualitative diffusion paths, but also energetic 1161 properties such as activation barriers 146,147 and estimates of 1162 global free energy surfaces 144,145,148. 1163

Nevertheless, rate constants for the diffusion of gas 1164 molecules have only rarely been computed. Free energy surfaces could in principle be used to obtain approximate diffusion rates using e.g. TST for each single transition. However, as pointed out in ref. 131, there are two issues. First, 1168

the transition of small ligands between protein cavities may 1169 be strongly affected by dynamical effects leading e.g. to fre-1170 quent barrier recrossings. This effect is neglected in standard 1171 TST and calculation of respective correction factors for each 1172 transition would be cumbersome. Second, for the construction 1173 of free energy surfaces collective variables need to be chosen, 1174 typically the cartesian position of the gas molecule. While 1175 this is an intuitive and suitable choice for fast transitions, it 1176 may be a poor choice for slow transitions through narrow pas-1177 sages where gas diffusion is coupled to ("gated by") side chain 1178 motions of amino acid residues. In this case the reaction coor-1179 dinate for the diffusive transition is likely to be more compli-1180 cated, involving in addition to the cartesian position of the gas 1181 molecule some suitable coordinates describing the motion of 1182 the side chain(s) in question. 1183

Considering the above issues, it is preferable to compute 1184 diffusion rates directly without prior calculation of equilib-1185 rium free energy profiles. Indeed, for relatively small proteins 1186 like myoglobin, it has been possible to obtain estimates for dif-1187 fusion rates by brute force MD simulations. In the work of ref. 1188 138, a relatively large number of trajectories of length 90 ns 1189 were generated and the rate constants estimated by counting 1190 the number of successful transitions between solvent and ac-1191 tive site. Similarly, in ref. 140, rates for CO migration between 1192 Xe-binding sites in myoglobin were estimated from equilib-1193 1194 rium MD simulations. The results obtained for diffusion were combined with QM calculations for CO binding, to propose a 1195 detailed kinetic model that was in reasonable agreement with 1196 available experimental data. 1197

Brute force MD simulations are sometimes insufficient to 1198 obtain a statistically significant number of successful transi-1199 tions of gas molecules from the solvent to the enzyme active 1200 site. This can be the case for large gas-processing enzymes 1201 with active sites buried deep inside the protein, far away from 1202 the solvent. The large number of possible but unproductive 1203 pathways reduces the probability for successful entry in the 1204 active site. Other difficult cases are enzymes with very nar-1205 row passages for gas diffusion such as the [NiFe]-hydrogenase 1206 mutants studied in ref. 149. Some of us have recently de-1207 veloped a master equation approach with rate constants esti-1208 mated from equilibrium and non-equilibrium MD simulation, 1209 that addresses the sampling problem in these systems^{150–153}. 1210 The method allows us to compute diffusion rates of small lig-1211 ands, even when these are very slow. Most importantly, the ap-1212 proach yields phenomenological diffusion rate constants, that 1213 can be directly compared to experimental rate constants. In 1214 the following we describe this computational method in more 1215 detail. 1216

¹²¹⁷ In a first step, one runs one or several long equilibrium MD ¹²¹⁸ trajectory of the protein and the surrounding aqueous solution ¹²¹⁹ containing 10-100 gas molecules, in the following referred to ¹²²⁰ as ligand ("L"). Small diatomic or triatomic molecules penetrate the protein typically on the pico- to nanosecond time 1221 scale and quickly explore the accessible cavities and tunnels 1222 inside the protein. In a second step, the equilibrium proba-1223 bility distribution of the gas molecules inside the protein is 1224 obtained by defining a grid and counting the number of times 1225 a molecule visits a given elementary volume. The probabil-1226 ity distribution is then clustered ("coarse grained") in a way 1227 such that the cluster positions coincide as closely as possible 1228 with the maxima of the probability distribution (see e.g. the 1229 spheres in fig. 7C). These clusters are then identified as coarse 1230 "states" in a kinetic model that describes ligand diffusion as 1231 a sequence of hops between these states with rate constants 1232 k_{ij} , where j is the initial state or cluster and i the final state. 1233 The surrounding solvent is considered as a single cluster with 1234 rate constants for transitions to protein clusters defined simi-1235 larly. In a third step the transition rates k_{ij} are calculated sim-1236 ply by counting the number of transitions observed in the long 1237 equilibrium MD runs. For important transitions that are insuf-1238 ficiently sampled, enhanced sampling methods (such as e.g. 1239 non-equilibrium pulling) are used to obtain k_{ii} . In the fourth 1240 step the transition rates k_{ii} are inserted in a master equation, 1241 which is a set of coupled first order differential equations for 1242 the population of each cluster as a function of time, $p_i(t)$, with 1243 solution 1244

$$p_i(t) = \sum_j (e^{t\mathbf{K}})_{ij} p_j(0)$$
(27)

where **K** is the rate matrix with elements $[\mathbf{K}]_{ij} = k_{ij}, k_{jj} =$ 1245 $-\sum_{i\neq j} k_{ij}$. The master equation 27 is solved for given initial 1246 conditions (e.g. by setting the gas population inside the pro-1247 tein to zero at time equal zero as is the case in experimental 1248 measurements) to obtain the time dependent population of the 1249 states as a function of time. For calculating the rate of dif-1250 fusion to the active site, the quantity of interest is the ligand 1251 population in the geminate state, $p_G(t)$. In the fifth and last 1252 step $p_G(t)$ is fit to the phenomenological rate law for reversible 1253 diffusion of L to the enzyme active site 1254

(first reaction step in eq. 20), which takes the form:

$$p_{\rm G}(t) = \frac{k_1[{\rm L}]}{k_1[{\rm L}] + k_{-1}} \left[1 - \exp(-(k_1[{\rm L}] + k_{-1})t) \right]$$
(29)

Equation 29 relates the populations obtained with atomistic 1256 MD simulation techniques to the phenomenological rate constants for pure diffusion from the solvent to the active site and 1258 vice versa, k_1 and k_{-1} , respectively. Within the coarse master equation scheme described above it is straightforward to 1260 include the chemical binding step 153, 1261

cepted Manusc

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k_2 \\ G \rightleftharpoons B \\ k_{-2} \end{array}$$
(30)

For this, we define an additional "bound" state B, in which 1262 the substrate is chemically attached to the enzyme (denoted as 1263 EL in eq. 5 above) and the corresponding population p_B . The 1264 rate constant for transition from state G to B, k_2 , and for the 1265 reverse transition, k_{-2} , is estimated, for instance, using quan-1266 tum chemical methods as described above. The dimension of 1267 the rate matrix in eq. 27 is then increased by one to include 1268 the entries for k_2 and k_{-2} and eq. 27 is solved for p_B . A fit 1269 of p_B to the phenomenological rate law for reversible ligand 1270 attachment. 1271

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
k_{\text{in}} \times [\mathbf{L}] \\
\mathbf{E} \rightleftharpoons \mathbf{B} \\
k_{\text{out}}
\end{array} (31)$$

1272 takes the same form as eq. 29,

$$p_{\rm B}(t) = \frac{k_{\rm in}[{\rm L}]}{k_{\rm in}[{\rm L}] + k_{\rm out}} [1 - \exp(-(k_{\rm in}[{\rm L}] + k_{\rm out})t)]$$
(32)

and provides a route for calculating the phenomenological rate constants for diffusion to the active site and chemical binding, k_{in} and for chemical unbinding and diffusion out of the protein, k_{out} . Alternatively, the value of k_{in} can be calculated using the steady state formulae eq. 21 (note that in eq. 32, the steady-state assumption for G is not made).

In section 4 we will discuss applications of this method ology to substrate and inhibitor diffusion in hydrogenase and
 ACS/CODH, and compare the rate constants computed this
 way with experimental measurements.

1283 4 Case studies

In this section we present selected examples taken from the literature, to illustrate how the synergy between experimental kinetic studies and computational investigations can inform about the reactivity of complex metalloenzymes such as [FeFe] and [NiFe]-hydrogenases, ACS/CODH and carbonic anhydrase.

1290 4.1 [NiFe]-hydrogenase

4.1.1 A peculiar [4Fe3S] cluster in O₂-tolerant [NiFe]hydrogenases

The interpretation of the X-ray diffraction data and spectroscopic signatures of metal cofactors in multicenter enzymes is often nontrivial. In such cases the combination between experimental and computational results can allow the characterization of fine structural and electronic properties. An example is



Fig. 6 Structure of the proximal [4Fe3S] cluster of the O₂ resistant [NiFe]-hydrogenase from *H. marinus*, in the reduced (3+) state (A) and in the superoxidized (5+) state (B). The two "supernumerary" cysteines, Cys25 and Cys126, are indicated in red, Glu82 in gray (A) or black (B). The cysteine closest to the [NiFe] site (Cys23), and the bond to the backbone nitrogen in the superoxidized state (blue), are also indicated. From ref. 156, copyright 2013 by National Academy of Sciences.

provided by recent studies carried out on O₂-tolerant [NiFe]hydrogenases, which host an unusual proximal [4Fe3S] cluster (figure 6) and have attracted great attention due to the potential application of these enzymes in biotechnological energy-conversion processes ^{154,155}.

To put the results below into context, it is important to re-1303 member that [NiFe]-hydrogenases are converted under oxida-1304 tive (aerobic or anaerobic) conditions into a mixture of in-1305 active states, two of which are referred to by the name or 1306 their EPR signatures: NiA and NiB¹⁵⁷. The enzymes recover 1307 H₂-oxidation activity upon reduction, NiB more quickly than NiA¹⁵⁸. According to X-ray investigations, an oxygenic lig-1309 and bridges the Ni and the Fe in the inactive states⁴⁵. The fact 1310 that the formation of NiA is favored when the enzyme is in-1311 activated by O2 under more oxidizing conditions (higher elec-1312 trode potential, absence of H_2) has been taken as an indication 1313 that the oxygenic ligand in NiA is a peroxo produced upon 1314 incomplete reduction of the attacking O_2^{159} ; however, this 1315 hypothesis was ruled out when control experiments showed 1316 that the amount of NiA is the same irrespective of whether 1317 the enzyme has been inactivated under aerobic or anaerobic 1318 conditions^{12,63}. Certain oxygen tolerant enzymes, which can 1319 oxidize H₂ in the presence of O₂, are inhibited by O₂ to form 1320 only a NiB state that is similar to that in O₂-sensitive hydro-1321 genases except that it reactivates much more quickly ^{160–162}. 1322 These enzymes house three high potential FeS clusters¹⁶³, in-1323 cluding the very flexible, proximal [4Fe3S] cluster, which has 1324 been suggested to play a crucial role in the protection of the 1325 active site against oxidative inactivation. The [4Fe3S] clus-1326 ter is linked to the protein by an unusual six-cysteine binding 1327 motif. Four of the six cysteine residues bind the cluster in 1328 the classical way, whereas one of the supernumerary cysteine 1329 residues replaces an inorganic sulfide in the cubane core, and 1330 the other is terminally coordinated to one of the Fe atoms. 1331 While classical [4Fe4S] clusters are involved in one-electron 1332



Fig. 7 Structure of *D. fructosovorans* [NiFe]-hydrogenase depicting the "dry" hydrophobic cavities. (A) The large and small subunits are shown as dark and light blue ribbons, respectively. Also shown are the active site, the chain of FeS clusters that wires the active site to the redox partners, and a grid delineating internal regions accessible to a probe of 1 Å radius. (B) Close up showing the access to the active site as the surface of the atoms that tile the end of the dry tunnel. Smaller, red spheres indicate the position of ordered water molecules in nearby "wet" cavities. Spheres in the background depict the Ni and Fe ions. Their ligands and residues Leu122, Val74 and Glu25 are shown as sticks. The side chains of Val74 and Leu122 define the surface of the tunnel that is shown in orange. (C) Coarse-graining of hydrogen trajectories inside the enzyme. From the diffusive hopping of H₂ molecules between cavities in the protein, we define clusters centered at the regions of high gas density inside the protein. The clusters are depicted as spheres together with three typical "pathways" to the active site observed by following the trajectories (pathways 1, 2, and 3, colored in red, blue, and yellow, respectively). Cluster E in white is the cluster that gas molecules temporarily occupy before binding; cluster G in gray is the state in which a gas molecule occupies the active site cavity but is not yet chemically bound to Ni. The labels a, b, etc., denote the approximate positions of the Xe-peaks reported in ref 13. Figure adapted from ref. 150 (copyright 2011 American Chemical Society) and 10.

transfer reactions, the proximal [4Fe3S] cluster found in some 1333 O2-tolerant [NiFe]-hydrogenases can attain three redox states 1334 within a redox potential span of only 150 mV (and therefore 1335 be involved in two-electron transfer reactions), although it is 1336 unclear if it is a condition for O2 tolerance¹⁶⁴. The super-1337 oxidized state is stabilized by a structural reorganization aris-1338 ing from deprotonation of a backbone-nitrogen atom and con-1339 comitant nitrogen coordination to one of the iron atoms. X-1340 ray diffraction results also suggest that in the enzyme from E. 1341 *coli* a Glu residue is coordinated to Fe2 in the superoxidized 1342 species (fig. 6), whereas in the membrane-bound hydrogenase 1343 from R. eutropha a dioxygen-derived oxo or hydroxo ligand 1344 replaces the Glu sidechain¹⁶⁵. 1345

Different spectroscopic techniques (X-ray, EPR, Reso-1346 nance Raman and Mössbauer) have been complemented by 1347 quantum-chemical calculations, with the aim of disclosing 1348 structural and electronic properties of the unusual [4Fe3S] 1349 cluster. As an example, broken-symmetry DFT calcula-1350 tions complemented Mössbauer measurements, indicating that 1351 the superoxidized $[4Fe3S]^{5+}$ cluster can be described as a mixed-valence $Fe^{2.5+}/Fe^{2.5+}$ and a diferric pair. The reduced 1352 1353 $[4Fe3S]^{3+}$ has an electronic pattern consistent with a mixed-1354 valence and a diferrous pair, while the [4Fe3S]⁴⁺ state can be 1355 described as formed by two mixed-valence pairs. Even though 1356 these studies agree about the ferric character of the "special" 1357 Fe ion (Fe2 in fig. 6), the spin coupling scheme of the four Fe 1358 atoms remains debated. DFT calculations have also been used 1359

to study some aspects of the energetics of the interconversion ¹³⁶⁰ between the three accessible redox states of the [4Fe3S] cluster^{156,166}. ¹³⁶¹

Many mutations of amino acids near the proximal or medial 1363 cluster increase the O₂-sensitivity of otherwise O₂-tolerant 1364 [NiFe]-hydrogenases 164,165,167 , and it is still unknown how 1365 the properties of the electron transfer chain make O2-resistant 1366 [NiFe]-hydrogenases form, upon oxidation, only a NiB state 1367 that reactivates very quickly. Certain single point mutations in D. fructosovorans [NiFe]-hydrogenase also strongly affect the 1369 rates of anaerobic formation and reactivation of the NiB state, 1370 for reasons that still need to be clarified. Fourty years after 1371 the NiA and NiB inactive states were discovered, we still need 1372 to elucidate their structures and mechanisms of formation, not 1373 forgetting that different mechanisms may operate under oxi-1374 dizing aerobic and anaerobic conditions, and lead to the same 1375 inactive states⁶³. In this respect, it is remarkable that the ac-1376 tual reaction of [NiFe]-hydrogenases with O2 has not yet been 1377 studied computationally; this is certainly a subject for further 1378 studies. 1379

4.1.2 Intramolecular diffusion in [NiFe]-hydrogenase

The existence of a gas channel in [NiFe]-hydrogenase was recognized when a 2.54 Å resolution structure of the enzyme revealed the presence of hydrophobic cavities connecting the molecular surface to the active site. A crystallographic

analysis of xenon binding, together with molecular dynamics 1385 simulations of xenon and H₂ diffusion in the enzyme, sug-1386 gested that these cavities were functional¹³. Comparison of 1387 amino acid sequences showed that a bottleneck at the end 1388 of this channel, near the active site, is shaped by two con-1389 served residues, Val74 and Leu122 (D. fructosovorans num-1390 bering)¹⁶⁸ (figure 7B), and several subsequent studies sug-1391 gested that the side chains of these amino acids could influ-1392 ence H_2 and/or O_2 access to the active site ^{169–171}. 1393

The suggestion that bulky side chains at these positions may 1394 render certain [NiFe]-hydrogenases O2-resistant by prevent-1395 ing O_2 access, which eventually proved wrong 10 , was the ini-1396 tial motivation for a series of studies aimed at determining the 1397 effects of amino-acid substitutions in the channel on the func-1398 tional properties of the enzyme: rates of CO binding, CO re-1399 lease and O₂ binding, Michaelis constant for H₂, and catalytic 1400 "bias" (defined as the ratio of the maximal rates of H₂ oxi-1401 dation and production⁶³). Some results are shown in fig. 8, 1402 each data point corresponding to one particular mutant of the 1403 [NiFe]-hydrogenase from D. fructosovorans. The mutations 1404 of amino acids in the channel change the rates of CO binding 1405 by up to a factor of 1000, but most mutations have no signifi-1406 cant effect on the dissociation constant for CO (fig. 8A shows 1407 that k_{out} is proportional to k_{in} in this series of mutants, ex-1408 cept for the V74Q, E and N substitutions). The comparison of 1409 the rates of reaction with CO and O₂ in this series of mutants 1410 (fig. 8B) shows that CO inhibits the WT enzyme and most mu-1411 tants much more quickly than does O2, but in mutants where 1412 the diffusion is the slowest, the values of k_{in} for O₂ and CO 1413 are equal (line "y = x" in panel B). This led to the conclusion 1414 that CO and O_2 diffuse within the enzyme at the same rate, but 1415 O_2 reacts slowly at the active site, suggesting that the rate of 1416 inhibition by CO is mainly determined by diffusion towards 1417 1418 the active site:

 $k_{\rm in}^{\rm CO} = k_1^{\rm CO} \tag{33}$

1419

Molecular dynamics simulations of gas diffusion in [NiFe]hydrogenases gave important clues about the molecular mechanism of inhibitor transport in some of the wild type and mutant enzymes studied experimentally ^{150–152}. Before we describe the main findings of the MD simulations, we would like to comment first on the accuracy that one can expect from the molecular models that were used in these simulations.

A good test to assess the force field used to describe the in-1427 teractions between ligands and proteins is the calculation of 1428 diffusion constants in various solvents. The force field models 1429 used typically reproduce the lowest non-vanishing multipole 1430 moment of the ligands in the gas phase and contain Lennard-1431 Jones interactions sites^{150,151,153}. The solvent is described 1432 with the same force field as that used for the protein. Diffusion 1433 constants computed for H₂, O₂, CO and CO₂ are summarized 1434 in fig. 9 (data taken from ref. 150,151,153). The experimental 1435



Fig. 8 Summary of the measured and calculated rates of CO binding and release in a series of [NiFe]-hydrogenase mutants where the conserved Val and Leu residues that shape the gas channel have been substituted (fig. 7B). Each data point corresponds to one mutant. The panels show the relations between the experimental values of K_m , k_{in}^{CO} ; k_{out}^{OO} , $k_{in}^{O_2}$, and calculated k_1^{CO} and k_{-1}^{CO} . Data from ref. 10 and 151,152

values are very well reproduced, albeit not perfectly, with a mean relative unsigned error (MRUE) of 15% for water and 1437 21% for hydrocarbons, where the average was taken over the 1438 four gases. For O₂, additional calculations were carried out 1439 for aprotic dipolar solvents (DMSO, acetone, acetonitrile) re-1440 sulting in a MRUE of 16%. While there is certainly room for 1441 further improvements, the results show that the performance 1442 of these simple and computationally efficient force field mod-1443 els is fair. 1444

Regarding intramolecular transport in hydrogenase, the ad-1445 vantage of studying CO over e.g. O2 is that CO chemical at-1446 tachment to the [NiFe] active site is fast $(k_2^{CO} \gg k_{-1}^{CO})$. There-1447 fore, the bimolecular CO binding rate is a good proxy of the 1448 diffusion rate (eq. 33), which allows for a direct compari-1449 son between simulated rates for gas diffusion and experimen-1450 tally determined rates. The diffusion rates of CO in [NiFe]-1451 hydrogenase and three mutant enzymes have been computed 1452 using the methodology described in section 2. The results are 1453 summarized in fig 8C and D (data taken from ref. 151,152). 1454



Fig. 9 Computed versus experimental diffusion coefficients for diffusion of H_2 , O_2 , CO and CO_2 in solvents of different polarity. Calculated values were obtained from MD simulation and taken from ref. 150–153. Experimental data were taken from ref. 172–177.

The simulated rate constants for diffusion in the active site, k_1 , 1455 are very close to the experimental binding rate constants k_{in} . 1456 They range from $\approx 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{mM}^{-1}$ for the WT enzyme down to 1457 $\approx 10 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{mM}^{-1}$ for the V74M mutant (fig. 8D). The very good 1458 agreement obtained in this specific case, with deviations of no 1459 more than a factor of 3 in the diffusion rates, can be consid-1460 ered somewhat fortuitous given the imperfections of the force 1461 field and the statistical errors due to limited sampling. How-1462 ever, a good order of magnitude estimate for the diffusion rate 1463 can be generally expected by such simulations. Panel D shows 1464 the experimental value of k_{out}^{CO} against the calculated value of 1465 k_{-1} , which can be interpreted using eq. 26. The observation 1466 that the data points fall reasonably well on a line of slope 1 1467 in a log-log plot shows that the measured value of k_{out} is in-1468 deed proportional to the calculated value of k_{-1}^{CO} . We deduce 1469 $K_2^{\rm CO} \approx 10^{-2}$, consistent with the approximation made to de-1470 rive eq. 25. Overall, regarding the kinetics of CO binding and 1471 release, the agreement between the model and the data can 1472 be taken as an indication that the assumptions underlying the 1473 model for gas diffusion developed in section 2 are sound. 1474

We now discuss the measurements and values of dissocia-1475 tion constants^{150,151}. According to both experimental results 1476 and computations, CO and O₂ diffuse about equally fast to 1477 the active site of [NiFe]-hydrogenase. Every 100 microsec-1478 ond a CO or O_2 molecule reaches the active site at a gas con-1479 centration of the surrounding solution of 1 mM (correspond-1480 ing to a gas pressure of about 1 atm.). Conversely, it takes 1481 only about 100 ns for a gas molecule to diffuse from the ac-1482 tive site to the solution. Interestingly, the same time scales 1483 have been reported for CO diffusion in myoglobin and for 1484

 CO_2 diffusion in ACS/CODH. To first approximation K_1 can 1485 be estimated by the ratio of volume per gas molecule in the 1486 active site cavity and in solution (since, as explained in ref. 1487 151, the values of K_1 are mainly a consequence of the loss 1488 of translational entropy as the ligand moves from the solution 1489 to the active site cavity). For more quantitative estimates and 1490 to understand differences between ligands, MD simulations 1491 must be used to account for specific interactions with the sol-1492 vent/protein. The calculated equilibrium constant for pure lig-1493 and diffusion in [NiFe]-hydrogenase obtained from MD sim-1494 ulations is $K_1 = k_{-1}/k_1 \approx 10^3$ mM, and this value is very sim-1495 ilar for H_2 , CO and O_2 . 1496

All experimental mutations studies have focused on the V74 1497 L122 motif and indeed it was unequivocally shown that this 1498 motif is one of the bottlenecks for gas transport^{149,152}. How-1499 ever, some of the observed effects were difficult to rationalize. 1500 For instance, there was an absence of correlation between the 1501 diffusion rate and the "width" of bottleneck shaped by the 74-1502 122 motif. For example, diffusion in the V74M L122A mu-1503 tant is slowed by a factor of 42 relative to the WT enzyme, 1504 even though the gas channel diameter is not significantly re-1505 duced¹³⁵. Another puzzling observation is that the L122M 1506 V74M double mutation is less effective than the V74M single 1507 mutation even though the gas channel diameter is similar to 1508 the one for the single mutant according to the crystal structure. 1509 Simulations have shown that diffusion is in fact controlled by 1510 two rather than one motif, one between residues 74 and 476 1511 and the other between residues 74 and 122^{152} . The existence 1512 of two control points in different locations explains why the 1513 reduction in the experimental diffusion rate does not simply correlate with the width of the main gas channel measured be-1515 tween L122 and V74. The simulations also helped us under-1516 stand how inhibitors can access the active site in certain mu-1517 tants, despite the fact that the access route is blocked accord-1518 ing to the crystal structure¹⁵². Considering one of the most 1519 effective mutants (V74M), we found that CO molecules reach the active site due to strong thermal fluctuations of the width 1521 of the gas channel defined by M74 and L122 and through tran-1522 sitions that are gated by the microsecond dihedral motions of 1523 the side chain of a strictly conserved arginine (R476). These 1524 findings suggest that attempts to further decrease inhibitor dif-1525 fusion could focus on making the main gas channel, in partic-1526 ular the two above mentioned motifs, more rigid. 1527

4.2 Substrate transport in ACS/CODH

Gas diffusion is also a key aspect of the reactivity of bifunctional ACS/CODH (fig. 2C), where structural features allow 1530 for the effective transport of substrate and product molecules. 1531 In this enzyme, CO_2 diffuses from the solvent to the C cluster 1532 located deep inside the protein interior, approximately 30 Å 1533 from the protein surface. The reaction product CO is then 1534

transported from the C cluster to the catalytic A cluster of 1535 ACS, that is about 70 Å away. Early experimental studies 1536 demonstrated that this transport occurs without CO being re-1537 leased to the solvent ^{178,179}. More recent xenon-binding stud-1538 ies and calculations of cavities in the static structure¹⁸⁰⁻¹⁸² 1539 showed that the clusters are interconnected by a channel which 1540 extends throughout the entire length of the enzyme complex 1541 (138 Å). Mutations of putative channel residues resulted in 1542 decreased acetyl-CoA production rates, providing convincing 1543 evidence that CO molecules use this tunnel¹⁸³. 1544

On a first view, it is puzzling that directional transport of 1545 this ligand is possible considering the thermal fluctuations of 1546 the protein and the high mobility of the small CO ligand. Why 1547 doesn't CO merely take the same route out of the protein as 1548 the one CO₂ takes to reach the C cluster from the solvent? Af-1549 terall, CO is smaller than CO₂ and the path that CO₂ takes to 1550 diffuse from the solvent to the C cluster should also be acces-1551 sible for diffusion of CO from the C cluster to the solvent. 1552 Molecular dynamics simulations answered this question¹⁵³. 1553 It was shown that the hydrogen bonding network in the ac-1554 tive site pocket accommodating the C cluster changes drasti-1555 cally with oxidation state. After formation of CO from CO_2 , 1556 the hydrogen bond network becomes stronger, preventing CO 1557 from taking the CO_2 access pathway. Hence, the change in the 1558 hydrogen bond network leads to obstruction of the CO₂ chan-1559 nel and enables the directional flow of CO from the C cluster, 1560 where it is produced, to the A cluster of ACS/CODH, where it 1561 is utilized. 1562

Another puzzling question is how CO₂ diffuses from the 1563 solvent to the C cluster of ACS/CODH. Neither Xe-binding 1564 studies nor cavity calculations have given indications for a 1565 pathway connecting the C cluster and the protein surface 182 . 1566 Volbeda et al. hypothesized that CO₂ could enter the enzyme 1567 via the A cluster and travel "backward" through the long CO 1568 channel¹⁸⁴. However, the mutations of channel residues do 1569 not affect CODH enzymatic activity¹⁸³, and CO₂ transport 1570 against CO flux in the channel would require an elaborate 1571 mechanism in order to avoid unproductive molecular colli-1572 sions¹⁸⁴. Tan et al. suggested that CO₂ might enter the C clus-1573 ter through a channel connecting the two C clusters, as shown 1574 in cavity calculation, or via a hydrophobic channel near the 1575 CODH dimer interface¹⁸⁵. Finally, Doukov et al. proposed 1576 that the CO₂ diffusion path is dynamically formed by the ther-1577 mal motion of the protein¹⁸². Recent MD simulations con-1578 firmed that CO₂ diffusion into the C cluster is facilitated by 1579 a dynamical gas channel that extends orthogonal to the static 1580 channel where Xe binds¹⁵³. The cavities of this dynamic tun-1581 nel that are close to the active site are temporarily created by 1582 protein fluctuations, and as such not apparent in available crys-1583 tal structures. 1584

¹⁵⁸⁵ With regard to binding kinetics, the experimental informa-¹⁵⁸⁶ tion is scarce. Kumar *et al.* determined a rate constant of 2.6 10^4 s⁻¹ mM⁻¹ for the CO driven conversion of C_{red1} 1587 into Cred2 at 300 K (calculated from the data measured at 1588 $5^{\circ}C$)¹⁸⁶, which provides a lower limit for the rate of diffu-1589 sion of CO to the active site. One can expect that the rate is lower for CO₂ due to its larger size. The MD simula-1591 tions that revealed the dynamic access channel (see above) 1592 predicted rates of $k_1 = 4800 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{mM}(\text{CO}_2)^{-1}$ for the diffusion 1593 of CO₂ from the solvent to the C cluster and $k_{-1} = 1.5 \ 10^7 \ s^{-1}$ 1594 for escape from the C cluster to the solvent¹⁵³. Interestingly, 1595 these rates are on the same order of magnitude as those re-1596 ported for CO and O₂ diffusion in [NiFe]-hydrogenase (see 1597 above). Combining the MD simulations with the DFT calcu-1598 lations for CO₂ binding to the C_{red2} state of ACS/CODH, bind-1599 ing rates of $k_{in} = 4.4 \text{ s}^{-1}\text{mM}^{-1}$ have been estimated, similar 1600 in magnitude to the experimental turnover rate of the enzyme, 1601 $k_{\text{cat}} = 1.3 \text{ s}^{-1153}$. It is interesting to note that the rates for dif-1602 fusion (k_1) and for chemical attachment (k_2) are very similar, 1603 but k_{in} is 3–4 orders of magnitude smaller than both k_1 and k_2 . 1604 This can be easily understood when considering the steadystate expression, eq. 21. Since diffusion out of the protein is 1606 much faster than chemical attachment $(k_{-1} \gg k_2)$, k_{in} is given 1607 by k_2 divided by the equilibrium constant $K_1 = 10^3 - 10^4$ mM. 1608

4.3 Transformations of the H cluster of [FeFe]- 1609 hydrogenase 1610

Several combined electrochemical and DFT studies have been carried out with the aim of characterizing the reactivity of [FeFe]-hydrogenases (fig. 10), even if the quantitative comparison of rate and binding constants obtained using PFV and chronoamperometry experiments with the corresponding computed data can be problematic, due to the limited accuracy of present DFT methods (see sections 1 and 2).

4.3.1 Inhibition by formaldehyde

Recently, Armstrong and collaborators observed that 1619 formaldehyde reversibly inhibits [FeFe]-hydrogenase by 1620 targeting the reduced H cluster. DFT calculations were 1621 carried out with the aim of characterizing the species formed 1622 when the enzyme reacts with the H cluster in the Hox 1623 state, or its one- or two-electron reduced forms Hox_{-1} and 1624 Hox $_{-2}$, respectively¹⁸⁸ (fig. 10). Two possible reaction mechanisms were evaluated: (a) nucleophilic attack of a 1626 Fe_d hydride species at the carbonyl group of HCOH and 1627 (b) Schiff base chemistry involving the bridgehead N atom 1628 of the dithiolate chelating ligand. Considering the hydridic 1629 reaction with HCHO at the Hox_{-1} redox level, the formation 1630 of methanol bound to Fe_d via the oxygen atom is strongly 1631 exothermic ($\Delta E = -28$ kcal/mol, the resulting species is 1632 labelled "Hox $_{-1}(f)$ " in fig. 10). The Hox $_{-1}$ state of the H 1633 cluster is therefore thermodynamically competent to bind 1634



Fig. 10 Proposed chemical transformations of the H cluster of hydrogenase, which occur when the enzyme reacts with CO (red)¹⁸⁷, formaldehyde (in green)¹⁸⁸ and under oxidizing conditions in the presence of H₂ (ref. 8). The structures in blue are believed to be part of the catalytic cycle. "R" represents the [4Fe4S] subcluster. The catalytic relevance of the "super-red" species "Hox₋₂(b)", where the [4Fe4S] subsite of the H cluster is reduced, is unclear^{7,189}.



Fig. 11 (A) Steady-state voltammogram for *Ca* [FeFe] hydrogenase. The open circuit potential (OCP) is indicated by a dashed red line. (B) CO concentration against time. (C-E) Normalized current traces showing the activity changes that result from the sequence of injections shown in panel B, recorded at E = -0.16 (C), -0.36 (D), and -0.47V (E). The dashed lines are the best fit to the model based on eq. 34. From ref. 187, copyright 2011 Americal Chemical Society.

HCHO. Further addition of an electron slightly increases the 1635 exothermicity of the reaction ($\Delta E = -34$ kcal/mol). DFT 1636 calculations also suggested that the reaction of HCOH with 1637 the bridgehead N atom of the dithiolate chelating ligand could 1638 yield aminol intermediates (Hox(e), $Hox_{-1}(e)$ and $Hox_{-2}(e)$ 1639 in fig. 10, reaction energies $\Delta E = -18$, -17, and -221640 kcal/mol, respectively), which are expected to decompose in 1641 a protic environment to yield dehydrated imine species. 1642

This investigation illustrated how the combined used of PFE 1643 and DFT allowed to evaluate plausible reaction pathways for 1644 the reactivity of [FeFe]-hydrogenases with HCOH, but also 1645 highlighted intrinsic limitations in these approaches. In partic-1646 ular, even if both the formation of a strongly bound methanol 1647 molecule and a Schiff base modification of the H cluster are 1648 consistent with the enzyme inhibition observed when H₂ pro-1649 duction is monitored at very negative potentials, these scenario 1650 are necessarily incomplete since they do not account for the 1651 observed reversibility of the inhibition process, leading the 1652 authors to conclude that the protein environment around the 1653 H cluster may play an important role in destabilizing or hin-1654 dering the formation of the predicted products. 1655

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

4.3.2 Inhibition by exogenous CO

The role of the protein surrounding the H cluster was clearly 1657 highlighted in a recent combined experimental and theoretical 1658 study of the reaction of extrinsic CO with the H cluster of 1659 [FeFe]-hydrogenase¹⁸⁷. CO behaves as a mere competitive 1660 inhibitor when the enzyme is inhibited under very oxidizing 1661 conditions (leading to Hox(a) in fig. 10); in other conditions, 1662 the reaction with CO is partly irreversible ^{136,187}, as illustrated 1663 in fig. 11. These experiments are the same as those described 1664 to study CO binding to [NiFe]-hydrogenase in fig 5, but here the observation that the activity is not completely recovered 1666 after CO is flushed away reveals an irreversible process. The 1667 data could be accurately analyzed in ref 187 using a model 1668 that assumes that the inactive enzyme-CO complex can either 1669 dissociate or be transformed irreversibly into an inactive form. 1670

$$E \stackrel{k_{\text{in}}[\text{CO}]}{\rightleftharpoons} E - \text{CO} \stackrel{k_3}{\rightarrow} \text{inactive}$$
(34)
$$k_{\text{out}}$$

The rate of CO binding depends on electrode potential in 1671 a sigmoidal manner, with a mid-point potential that appears 1672 to match the value expected for the Hox/Hred transition. The 1673 change in rate of irreversible transformation of the enzyme-1674 CO complex and the change in k_{in} occur at the same potential, 1675 which suggested that the Hred state is irreversibly degraded af-1676 ter it binds CO. DFT was used to carry out geometry optimiza-1677 tion of the partially oxidized and one-electron reduced forms 1678 of the H cluster bound to exogenous CO (such enzyme forms 1679 are termed Hox-CO and Hred-CO in the following). The ex-1680 perimental free energy of formation of Hox-CO, deduced from 1681 the ratio of k_{out} over k_{in} , is reasonably reproduced by calcula-1682 tion (see also ref. 190), although it must be noted that the ± 2 1683 kcal/mol uncertainty in the calculated value corresponds to a 1684 large difference in terms of K_d , a factor of 800. The calcu-1685 lations showed that in Hox-CO, the H cluster is stable, while 1686 in the case of Hred-CO, the Fe_p-S(Cys) bond that covalently 1687 attaches the diiron cluster to the enzyme is cleaved (leading to 1688 $Hox_{-1}(a)$ in Figure 10). This behaviour can be qualitatively 1689 rationalized simply by using electron count rules: in Hred, 1690 the iron atoms already have 18 valence electrons, a config-1691 uration which is particularly stable; upon coordination of an 1692 additional CO ligand, the weakest bond (the Fe_n-S(Cys) bond 1693 according to DFT) has to be cleaved if the 18-electron rule is 1694 still to be fulfilled. The resulting $[Fe_2(\mu-SR)_2(CO)_4(CN)_2]^{2-1}$ 1695 complex is a stable species, which explains why the reaction 1696 of Hred with CO is partly irreversible. Following bond rup-1697 ture, the fate of the diiron subcluster should depend on the 1698 surrounding protein matrix, and Baffert and coworkers con-1699 sidered as unlikely that the diiron site is released from the pro-1700 tein because the H cluster is deeply buried and shielded from 1701 the solvent.¹⁸⁷ 1702

cebtec

The reverse reaction, transfer that is, of 1703 $[Fe_2(\mu-SR)_2(CO)_4(CN)_2]^{2-}$ (a 2Fe(I) precursor of the 1704 diiron subsite) from the solvent to the active site pocket of an 1705 apo form of [FeFe]-hydrogenase, has recently been observed 1706 by Happe and collaborators^{16,191}. This implies that the 1707 organometallic complex is able to autonomously integrate 1708 into the protein core, and to covalently bind the [4Fe4S] 1709 subsite with concomitant release of one carbonyl ligand. 1710 However, it is believed that insertion of the 2Fe subcluster 1711 occurs through a cationically charged channel that collapses 1712 following incorporation¹⁹². 1713

1714 4.3.3 Inhibition by dioxygen

As mentioned above, a topic of increasing relevance in the 1715 hydrogenases field concerns the reactivity of these enzymes 1716 towards molecular oxygen. From the results of electrochemi-1717 cal measurements with the [FeFe]-hydrogenases from C. ace-1718 tobutylicum, Baffert and coworkers proposed that the aerobic 1719 inactivation of the enzyme occurs as a result of initial, slow 1720 and reversible formation of an O2 adduct, followed by an ir-1721 reversible transformation; when the reaction is monitored by 1722 following the change in catalytic current caused by a pulse of 1723 O_2 , the kinetic scheme that can be used to analyse the data and 1724 measure the rate constants of the three reactions is the same as 1725 that considered above for CO binding (eq 34)^{10,193}. That O₂ 1726 initially targets the distal Fe of the 2Fe subsite is clear from 1727 the observation that the competitive inhibitor CO binds on this 1728 atom in the crystal¹⁹⁴ and protects the enzyme from O_2 inac-1729 tivation 193,195 1730

This is consistent with the theoretical investigation by 1731 Stiebritz and Reiher, who used DFT to examine the regiose-1732 lectivity of O₂ binding^{196,197}. A subsequent study by Hong 1733 and Pachter, based on both MD simulations and DFT calcula-1734 tions, corroborated such picture.¹⁹⁸ Blumberger and cowork-1735 ers investigated the kinetics of the initial O₂ binding step us-1736 ing DFT calculations¹⁹⁹: by parametrizing a range-separated 1737 density functional using high-level ab initio data as a bench-1738 mark, they could compute an activation free energy barrier of 1739 13 kcal/mol for O2 attachment to Fed, and a binding free en-1740 ergy of -5 to -7 kcal/mol. The rate of O₂ binding could 1741 then be calculated from eq 21 above. Converting the com-1742 puted free energies into k_2 using TST and adopting values for 1743 k_1 and k_{-1} from MD simulations for [NiFe]-hydrogenase, they 1744 obtained values for k_{in} of 3.6 s⁻¹mM⁻¹ and 1.2 s⁻¹mM⁻¹ for 1745 Cp and Dd enzymes, respectively, in fair agreement with the 1746 experimental values (2.5 s⁻¹mM⁻¹ and 40 s⁻¹mM⁻¹, respec-1747 tively¹⁰). The reason the kinetics of O_2 binding and release 1748 is different in the three homologous [FeFe]-hydrogenases for 1749 which such data have been published ^{10,136,193} remains to be 1750 clarified. 1751

¹⁷⁵² In contrast with the above experimental and theoretical evi-

dence that O2 targets the distal Fe on the 2Fe subcluster, X-ray absorption measurements indicated that the main structural 1754 consequence of the exposure to O₂ is oxidative damage of the 1755 [4Fe4S] subcluster¹⁹⁵. Armstrong and coworkers concluded 1756 that the destruction of the 4Fe subcluster follows up O₂ bind-1757 ing at the catalytic site of [FeFe]-hydrogenase and proposed 1758 two mechanistic scenarios: (i) the formation of a reactive oxy-1759 gen species (ROS) that diffuses towards the [4Fe4S] subclus-1760 ter and destroys it, or (ii) long-range damaging effects on the 1761 same iron-sulfur site exerted by an O₂-derived superoxide lig-1762 and stably bound to Fe_d. Happe and collaborators²⁰⁰ ruled out 1763 the latter hypothesis by monitoring the time evolution of the 1764 X-ray absorption spectra of Cr [FeFe]-hydrogenase exposed 1765 to O_2 . Three kinetic phases could be distinguished. A fast 1766 oxygenation phase (faster than 4 s) is characterized by the for-1767 mation of an increased number of Fe-CO bonds, elongation of 1768 the Fe-Fe distance in the binuclear subcluster, and oxidation 1769 of one iron ion; the subsequent inactivation phase (≈ 15 s) 1770 causes a 50% decrease of the number of 2.7 Å Fe-Fe distances 1771 in the [4Fe4S] subcluster and the oxidation of one more iron 1772 ion. The final, degradation phase (< 1000 s) leads to the disap-1773 pearance of most Fe-Fe and Fe-S interactions and further iron 1774 oxidation. A DFT study again by Reiher and coworkers²⁰¹ 1775 evidenced that the O2-derived species most likely involved in 1776 the degradation of the [4Fe4S] subcluster are the OOH rad-1777 ical and H₂O₂: the direct coordination of the former on the 1778 Fe atoms of the cubane is favored, whereas H_2O_2 reacts more easily with the cysteinyl sulfur ligands of the H cluster model. 1780

In any case, all studies agree about the initial step of 1781 O₂ attack, and this is relevant to the engineering of [FeFe]hydrogenase that are more resistant to O_2 . In particular, based 1783 on the observation that electron transfer from the di-iron sub-1784 site to O₂ makes oxygen attachment thermodynamically favor-1785 able, Blumberger and coworkers proposed that mutations that counteract this electron transfer may help to increase oxygen 1787 resistance¹⁹⁹. This working hypothesis should now be tested 1788 by characterizing the kinetics of inhibition of these mutants. 1789

Taken as a whole, the above results raise hopes that the dia-
logue between theory and experiments in this challenging case1790of protein engineering will provide even more fruitful out-
comes in the near future.1791

4.3.4 Flexibility of the H cluster

In a very recent contribution of ours⁸, electrochemistry was combined with site directed mutagenesis and quantum and classical calculations to dissect the steps leading to oxidative inactivation of [FeFe]-hydrogenases and learn about the binding of H_2 to the active site of [FeFe]-hydrogenase. By discussing in details hereafter the path that led us to the proposed mechanism, we intend to illustrate the potential synergy in combining computational and experimental approaches.



Fig. 12 Investigation of the mechanism of oxidative inactivation of [FeFe]-hydrogenase. (A): The active site H cluster of [FeFe] hydrogenases, and its surroundings (adapted from PDB 3C8Y)²⁰². The vacant coordination position on the distal iron is marked by an asterisk. The phenylalanine residue is discussed in the text. (B): Results from MD calculations: thermal fluctuations of the distance between the distal Fe atom of the H cluster (Fe_d) and the $\delta 2C$ atom of Phe as a function of simulation time. The vertical lines show the moments when the distance is greater than 5 Å. (C): Electrochemical study. Sequence of potential steps applied to the electrode (red) and the resulting catalytic current (black). (D): Dependence of inactivation rate constants (measured from data such as those in panel C) on H₂ partial pressure. (E-G): Results of DFT calculations. (E): Structures of the "normal" H₂ adduct. (F) and (G): Structures of the two inactive adducts. Adapted from ref. 8.

The key issue in this study was to rationalize the occurrence 1803 of different intermediates formed when [FeFe]-hydrogenase 1804 are oxidized in the presence of H₂. Spectroscopy is difficult to 1805 use in this context, since turnover prevents equilibrium from 1806 being reached under these conditions, but a redox titration 1807 of the enzyme from C. reinhardtii followed by FTIR showed 1808 that full oxidation in the absence of H₂ destroys the H clus-1809 ter⁴⁴, and PFV experiments demonstrated that if H₂ is present, 1810 the enzyme inactivates reversibly (at least partly reversibly) at 1811 high potential²⁰³. 1812

Previous experiments on bio-inspired model complexes²⁰⁴ 1813 suggested that oxidation of Hox prior to H₂ binding could trig-1814 ger coordination of the pendant amine in the H cluster to the 1815 distal iron atom (Fe_d); formation of such bond would inacti-1816 vate the enzyme by preventing H_2 binding to Fe_d . We consid-1817 ered an intramolecular reaction of this kind as a first hypothe-1818 sis for the mechanism of reversible oxidative inactivation, but 1819 we had to rule it out based on the results of DFT calculations. 1820 Indeed, a small model of the H cluster in overoxidized state 1821 did show barrierless formation of Fe_d-N bond along geom-1822 etry optimization, but no such bond is formed when relevant 1823 portions of the protein are also included in the model²⁰⁵. 1824

Chronoamperometry experiments can be analysed in a qual-1825 itative manner, to observe that the enzyme activates or inacti-1826 vates, but we have also developed methods for precisely mea-1827 suring the rates of the transformations in experiments where 1828 the electrode potential is repeatedly stepped up and down to 1829 trigger (in)activation^{6,206,207}. Analysing experiments such as 1830 those in fig 12C, we demonstrated that [FeFe]-hydrogenase 1831 undergoes both reversible and irreversible inactivation at high 1832 potential. The activity loss, evidenced by a decrease in H_2 1833 oxidation current, is clearly bi-exponential, which we inter-1834 pret as an evidence that the active species (Hox) reversibly 1835 converts into two inactive species. Moreover, the dependence 1836 on pH of the two rate constants of reactivation of the inac-1837 tive states indicates that the formation of each inactive species 1838 corresponds to a one-electron oxidation of the active site that 1839 is coupled to the loss of one proton. This is remarkable be-1840 cause the H cluster has only one acidic proton, on the pendant 1841 amine, which should be tightly bound (indeed, DFT calculations suggest that deprotonation leads to the cleavage of one 1843 of the C–S bonds within DTMA). Our observation is there-1844 fore inconsistent with the former hypothesis that inactivation 1845 results from the intramolecular binding of the nitrogen atom 1846 of dtma. 1847

In search of the origin of the two protons released upon 1848 oxidation, we were tempted to consider that inactivation 1849 could result from the binding of a water molecule; indeed, 1850 Fe_d-OH₂ bond formation would make water significantly 1851 acid. An oxygen atom bound to Fe_d is present in the models 1852 of the crystal structure of Clostridium pasteurianum [FeFe]-1853 hydrogease, ^{202,208} but it was difficult to imagine that there 1854 could be two isomers of this water complex, whereas the elec-1855 trochemical data clearly reveal the formation of two distinct, 1856 inactive species. 1857

Another ligand whose acidity increases upon metal binding 1858 is H_2 . With this idea in mind, we examined the dependence 1859 of the reversible inactivation rate constants on H_2 partial pressure; the experimental results in fig. 12D showed that the two rate constants of inactivation are proportional to H_2 concentration, meaning that regarding each of the two inactive species, 1863 H_2 binding is actually the first step of the inactivation reac-

tion. This led us to look for three distinct modes of H₂ bind-1865 ing to the H cluster, two of which would be non-productive. 1866 Since the only vacant coordination site is on Fe_d, we hypoth-1867 esized that alternative coordination sites may be created af-1868 ter the movement of the intrinsic CO ligand that is bound to 1869 Fe_d to an axial position, and/or the movement of the bridg-1870 ing CO to a terminal position on Fe_d (fig. 10, Hox(b), (c) and 1871 (d) isomers); we considered as unlikely that the CN⁻ ligand 1872 on Fe_d would move, because it is bound to a conserved lysine 1873 residue by a hydrogen bond²⁰⁹. Inspection of the protein crys-1874 tal structure indicates that the interconversion among the three 1875 possible conformers of the H cluster may be impeded by the 1876 presence of the bulky side chain of a conserved phenylalanine 1877 residue (F234 in Cr hydrogenase) shown in fig. 12A. However, 1878 molecular dynamics calculations showed that thermal fluctua-1879 tions of the structure are sufficiently large to allow the move-1880 ments of iron-bound carbonyl ligands and the isomerisation of 1881 the active site (fig. 12B). We used DFT to describe the inter-1882 mediates involved in the inactivation process. DFT confirmed 1883 (through the comparison of reaction energies) that H₂ binding 1884 can occur not only on the "normal" binding site (fig. 12E), but 1885 also on two minor (i.e. higher in energy) vacant coordination 1886 sites (fig. 12F & G). The calculations suggest that the H-H 1887 bond is cleaved in all cases (fig. 10), but binding on the abnor-1888 mal sites leads to species that are essentially inactive, because 1889 no base is sufficiently close to the coordinated H₂ molecule 1890 to quickly accept the proton that is produced upon heterolytic 1891 cleavage. 1892

This mechanism is supported by other experimental find-1893 ings, such as the effect of replacing phenylalanine with tyro-1894 sine (which prevents isomerisation and slows down reversible 1895 inactivation), and the fact that the two inactive states are pro-1896 tected against O_2 attack (the coordination sphere of Fe_d is 1897 complete when H₂ binds to abnormal positions). The elec-1898 trochemical data also show that the two H2-bound oxidized 1899 forms are not destroyed at high potential, unlike the fully oxi-1900 dized H_2 -free H cluster (Hox₊₁(b) in fig. 10); this is consistent 1901 with the previous observation of Lubitz and coworkers⁴⁴; we 1902 therefore hypothesised that the oxidative, irreversible inactiva-1903 tion arises from the attack of the distal Fe_d by a nucleophilic 1904 molecule (e.g. water) which competes with H₂ binding. 1905

Overall, this is a case where all experiments and calculations converge on the conclusion that the H cluster is more flexible, and its chemistry more versatile, than had been anticipated based on the crystal structure. This may be relevant in the case of other inorganic active sites.

¹⁹¹¹ **4.4** Long range proton transfer (PT)

The catalytic cycles of the redox enzymes that we discuss here involves transfers of protons and electrons over long distances, between the active site and the solvent or the redox partner. The crystal structures of hydrogenases and CODH immediately give the information about the electron transfer pathways, which is a chain of FeS clusters. However, experimental information about the kinetics of elementary ET steps along this chain is scarce^{127,213}, and calculations of ET rates virtually non-existent (this contrasts with the situation where hemes mediate long range electron transfer^{84,214–219}).

In contrast, the path taken by protons cannot always be de-1922 duced from the X-ray structure in a straightforward manner, 1923 and calculations by different authors sometimes give different 1924 results (in the case of [NiFe]-hydrogenase, the PT pathway 1925 is still elusive). Measuring the rate of PT in an enzyme is 1926 straightforward only if PT is the rate limiting step, as occurs 1927 with carbonic anhydrase. Using site-directed mutagenesis to 1928 identify a PT pathway may prove particularly challenging, as 1929 illustrated below. 1930

4.4.1 [FeFe]-hydrogenases

Based on the initial structure of the enzyme from C. pasteuri-1932 anum, Peters and coll. suggested that Cys299 could act as 1933 a proton donor for the formation of dihydrogen, and identi-1934 fied a putative PT pathway connecting the protein surface to 1935 C299, involving two Glu residues, a Ser residue, and a wa-1936 ter molecule, ²⁰⁸ as shown in fig. 13A. This pathway has been 1937 widely supported by calculations²²⁰⁻²²³ and site-directed mu-1938 tagenesis studies^{224,225}. 1939

Hong and collaborators²²⁰ used a combination of DFT and 1940 QM/MM molecular dynamics simulations to study plausible 1941 PT pathways from the enzyme surface to the H cluster. Al-1942 though free energies were not computed and therefore this 1943 study provided only qualitative information, the results are 1944 consistent with experimental evidences, and suggest a mech-1945 anism in which protons move from E282 to E279 via S319 1946 and from E279 to C299 via water612. Ginovska-Pangovska et 1947 al.²²¹ carried out a series of classical MD simulations in the 1948 wild type enzyme, as well as in a series of mutants, starting 1949 from the assumption that a well-defined and stable hydrogen 1950 bonding network is fundamental for efficient PT. Their results 1951 also support the pathway shown in fig. 13A and suggest the ex-1952 istence of a persistent hydrogen bonded core (residues C299 1953 to S319), with less persistent hydrogen bonds at the ends of 1954 the pathway for both H_2 release and H_2 uptake. Long *et al.*²²² 1955 combined classical MD simulations, free energy perturbation 1956 and QM/MM calculations to quantitatively investigate the ki-1957 netics and thermodynamics of the PT pathway described by 1958 Hong and collaborators²²⁰. It turned out that the side chains 1959 of E279 and E282 could adopt two different conformations, 1960 depending on their protonation state, and are well suited to 1961 play the role of proton shuttles. In particular, a proton from 1962 bulk water can enter the protein through E282, and then be 1963 transferred to C299 via pathways that involve E279 and S319.





Fig. 13 Putative proton transfer pathways in [FeFe] (panel A) and [NiFe]- (panel B) hydrogenases. In A, we number the amino acids according to the sequence of *C. Pasteurianum* [FeFe]-hydrogenase (pdb 3C8Y). The equivalent pathway in *D. desulfuricans* [FeFe]-hydrogenase is C178/E156/S198/E159. In B, the letters S and L between brackets are used to indicate that the amino acid is in the small or the large subunit of the dimer, respectively. We show in green and blue the pathways identified by Volbeda and coworkers in ref 210, in purple the pathway proposed by Teixeira *et al.* in ref 211, in orange the pathway proposed by Carrondo *et al.* in ref 212.

The importance of S319 and C299 was supported by running calculations with in silico mutants: according to the analysis of the QM/MM MD simulation trajectories, the S319A and C299S mutations prevent PT during the simulation time²²⁰. The effect of single substitutions (C299S, E279D and E282D) has also been assessed in silico by examining the disruption in the hydrogen bonding network.²²¹

The C299S mutant is indeed inactive in H₂ evolution ac-1972 cording to three independent investigations²²⁴⁻²²⁶. The en-1973 zyme retains activity only when C299 is replaced by aspartic 1974 acid²²⁶. It has been observed that the C299A, C299S, E279D, 1975 E279L and S319A mutants have no H₂ evolution activity, but 1976 5 to 30% residual H₂ oxidation activity, whereas the E282D 1977 and E282L mutants have 5-30% residual activity in both di-1978 rections.²²⁴ The authors rule out the relevance of a second pu-1979 tative PT pathway starting from C299, passing through sev-1980 eral modelled water molecules and S298, and ending at the 1981 non-conserved K571 residue at the enzyme surface by show-1982 ing that S298 is not critical for activity (the S298A mutation 1983 1984 has no effect).

1985 4.4.2 [NiFe]-hydrogenase

The situation is far less consensual in the case of [NiFe]hydrogenases; this example illustrates the limitations of both the experimental and theoretical methods for studying the ki-1988 netics of PT in complex enzymes, and the difficulty in com-1989 bining the information in that case. Many distinct PT path-1990 ways have been proposed based on the examination of the 1991 X-ray structures of the [NiFe] enzymes from Desulfovibrio 1992 species^{45,212,227}, E. coli²²⁸ and Hydrogenovibrio marinus¹⁵⁴. 1993 Figure 13B only shows those that have been selected in com-1994 putational studies^{210–212}. According to these results, PT 1995 to/from the active site occurs either between the sulfur atom 1996 of a cystein ligand to the Ni and E25 of the large subunit, or 1997 between the Ni and R476 of the large subunit (D. fructosovo-1998 rans numbering). Both amino acids are fully conserved. In 1999 this section, we indicate by (L) or (S) the location of the amino 2000 acids in the large or small subunit of the enzyme. 2001

On the basis of calculations, and assuming E25(L) as 2002 the starting point, complete pathways have been proposed 2003 (fig. 13B), using structures of enzymes from D. fructosovo-2004 *rans*²¹⁰, *D. gigas*²¹¹ and *D. vulgaris*²²⁹. In particular, Baptista and collaborators²¹¹ used a combination of Poisson-2005 2006 Boltzmann and Monte Carlo simulations, as well as a 2007 distance-based network analysis, to investigate possible pro-2008 ton pathways in D. gigas [NiFe]-hydrogenase, considering 2009 different pH values. Poisson-Boltzmann and Monte Carlo 2010 techniques were used to compute the pK_a values of proto-2011 natable groups within the protein, whereas the distance-based

network analysis was used to find likely pathways for the pro-2013 ton transport. A PT pathway was proposed between the ac-2014 tive site and the surface that mainly involves glutamate and 2015 histidine residues: E18(L), H20(L), H13(S), E16(S), Y44(S), 2016 E46(S), E57(S), E73(S), and some water molecules (purple in 2017 fig. 13). Fdez Galván et al.²¹⁰ carried out a QM/MM study 2018 of the [NiFe]-hydrogenase from D. fructosovorans, comput-2019 ing reaction and activation energies for plausible pathways. 2020 In this case, the calculations were carried out not only on the 2021 crystallographic structure, but also considering several struc-2022 tures of the protein obtained from MD simulations. Higher 2023 level quantum chemical (DFT) corrections were also made to 2024 some of the calculated energy profiles. The pathway char-2025 acterized by the most favorable energy profile involves PT 2026 via E25(L), E16(S), and E46(S) (green in fig. 13), and corre-2027 sponds approximatively to the pathway proposed by Teixeira 2028 et al. . A second pathway (blue in fig. 13), which involves 2029 E25(L), H549(L), and E53(L), was characterized by a less fa-2030 vorable reaction energy profile. Notably, Galvan et al. under-2031 lined that the results obtained in their work, as well as in the 2032 study by Teixeira et al.²¹¹, could not be considered conclusive 2033 because only a limited set of possible pathways was exam-2034 ined. In addition, only "static" pathways were considered, not 2035 considering possible alternatives forms produced by medium-2036 or large-scale movements of the protein. In a later work, 2037 Summer and Voth²²⁹ studied PT in D. vulgaris Miyazaki F 2038 [NiFe]-hydrogenase using multi-state empirical valence bond 2039 (MS-EVB) reactive MD simulations, coupled to an enhanced 2040 path sampling methodology. MS- EVB, which is a molecular-2041 mechanics approach that dynamically allows chemical bonds 2042 to break and form during MD simulations, was coupled with 2043 metadynamics, which can be used to find complex, nonlinear 2044 minimum free-energy pathways. In contrast with the previous 2045 computational studies, this methodology allowed to find unbi-2046 ased PT pathways, i.e. without making a priori assumptions. 2047 Each simulation was initialized with a hydronium near residue 2048 E34(L), which is the assumed initial site in the PT chain, and 2049 three PT pathways were found. The preferred pathway, as de-2050 duced considering the frequency with which this pathway was 2051 found in all active site geometries and oxidation states under 2052 consideration, is in agreement with previous proposals, and 2053 involves H13(S), E16(S), T18(S), H36(L), E46(S), E57(S), 2054 and E75(S). Notably, the residues E16(S), T18(S) and E75(S) 2055 (E16(S), T18(S), and E73(S) in D. gigas) are conserved in the 2056 [NiFe]-hydrogenases from all Desulfovibrio species. 2057

A completely different pathway starting with R476 of the large subunit has been proposed on the basis of the examination of the structure of *D. desulfuricans* hydrogenase²¹² and recently supported using calculations with the structure of the [NiFe]-enzyme from *D. vulgaris*²³⁰. The observation that the sequences of the large subunits of almost all membrane-bound [NiFe]-hydrogenases shows a highly con-

served histidine-rich region, prompted Kovacs and collabora-2065 tors²³⁰ to carry out a computational and experimental study 2066 on the [NiFe]-hydrogenase from T. roseopersicina. Only two 2067 of these conserved histidines are present in the cytoplasmic 2068 hydrogenase (H104 and H110, in *TThiocapsa roseopersicina*, 2069 H124 and H130 in D. vulgaris). Since the structure of the en-2070 zyme from T. roseopersicina has not yet been determined, and 2071 considering that a homology model could not be used to pro-2072 pose possible proton-hopping mechanisms due to the fact that 2073 the positions of structural water molecules could not be pre-2074 dicted, the authors analyzed the X-ray structure of the [NiFe]-2075 hydrogenase from D. vulgaris Miyazaki F. The protonation 2076 state of the aminoacids at pH 7.4 and the preferred orientation 2077 of the structural water molecules were predicted minimizing 2078 the total free energy of the system. Based on the analysis of 2079 networks of hydrogen bonds, it was concluded that, among the 2080 conserved His residues, only H104 plays an important role in 2081 the enzyme function, suggesting that this residue could be part 2082 of an alternative PT route involving R487, H104 and D103. 2083

The above results obtained in the computational investiga-2084 tions highlight peculiar problems connected to the prediction 2085 of PT pathways. First of all, it should be noted that to prop-2086 erly model PT in proteins one should not only take into ac-2087 count proton migration between different sites (which is a re-2088 active event), but also consider the dynamics of the protein, 2089 and the possible involvement of solvent molecules in the PT 2090 chain. The most rigorous approach to study such process in 2091 an unbiased way would imply to use QM methods to model 2092 both the reactive and dynamical behavior of the system, which 2093 is clearly prohibitive. Therefore, in a more realistic way, PT 2094 pathways have to be studied using complex ad hoc computa-2095 tional schemes, either by postulating *a priori* possible path-2096 ways, or without any bias but using a more qualitative level, 2097 which necessarily includes some empirical parameters. In 2098 this context, the different computational approaches discussed 2099 above have been very helpful for the suggestion and evaluation 2100 of plausible PT pathways, even if the comparison of results 2101 obtained from different methods is challenging. 2102

It is not easier to discriminate between the three main putative pathways in [NiFe]-hydrogenase using site-directed mutagenesis. 2103

The hypothesis that the first PT relay is E25(L) was sup-2106 ported by a site-directed studies, showing that replacing E25 2107 with a non-protonatable glutamine abolishes PT in the enzyme 2108 from *D. fructosovorans*²³¹ and the hydrogen-sensor hydroge-</sup> 2109 nase from Ralstonia eutropha²³². That the active site is func-2110 tional in the two E25(L)Q mutants was confirmed by the ob-2111 servation that they retain the ability to convert ortho and para 2112 dihydrogen²³³. 2113

In contrast, the relevance of the rightmost pathway in fig 2114 13B is supported by the characterization of site-directed mutants of the enzyme from *T. roseopersicina*: the replacement 2116

2201

of E14 (E25(L) in D. fructosovorans) with a glutamine results 2117 in only a two-fold decrease of the H₂ oxidation/production 2118 rates²³⁰, whereas the D103L, H104A and H104F have lit-2119 tle activity (D. fructosovorans numbering R476(L), D123(L), 2120 H115(L)). The function of arginine shown in fig 13B cannot 2121 be tested by site-directed mutagenesis: the attempts to pro-2122 duce the R476K and R476L mutants of D. fructosovorans 2123 [NiFe]-hydrogenase, and R487I of T. roseopersicina hydro-2124 genase failed, the bacteria did not produce a mature form of 2125 the enzyme (unpublished results of ours and ref 230). Since 2126 the amino acids involved in the two pathways are present in 2127 both hydrogenases (T. roseopersicina and D. fructosovorans) 2128 it is unclear how a single pathway can be functional in each 2129 enzyme. 2130

Overall, testing the putative PT pathways in hydrogenases and other complex metalloenzymes appears to be very difficult for a number of reasons.

(1) In contrast to the case of carbonic anhydrase discussed 2134 below, there is no indication that PT limits the rate of H₂ ox-2135 idation, H₂ production or isotope exchange in WT [NiFe]-2136 hydrogenase. This implies that a mutation that decreases 2137 slightly or increases the rate of PT may have no apparent ef-2138 fect. There is no experimental method that measures the rate 2139 of single PT events in hydrogenases. Any quantitative com-2140 parison between the computational and experimental charac-2141 terization of site-directed mutants is therefore impossible. 2142

(2) Unlike electron transfer pathways, the putative PT pathways may be highly ramified. Even the E25(L)-E57(S) pathway depicted in fig. 13B involves many parallel routes. If they
were functional, this would imply that several branches of a ramified pathway have to be blocked in a single mutant in order to observe an effect.

(3) Another problem is very general regarding studies based on site-directed mutagenesis: it is not always possible to make sure that substituting an amino acid has no side effects. There are examples in the literature where a mutation intended to interrupt a PT pathway does not have the expected effect because a water molecule is stabilized in the mutant and substitutes for the missing side chain²³⁴.

It may also be that structural rearrangements remote from 2156 the site of the mutation disrupt the hydrogen bond network or 2157 create new PT pathways. Regarding the works cited in this 2158 section, none of the mutants have been crystallized to make 2159 sure that such effects are not the cause of the observed pheno-2160 types. The (unpublished) observation of ours that the E46(S)Q 2161 mutant of D. fructosovorans [NiFe]-hydrogenase has approx-2162 imatively 50% of the WT H₂ oxidation/production rates may 2163 suggest that E46(S) is not essential, but only if we can rule out 2164 the above mentioned artifacts. In contrast, we have observed 2165 that the E16(S)Q and E16(S)V mutations in D. fructosovo-2166 rans [NiFe]-hydrogenase severely affected both H₂ produc-2167 tion/oxidation and isotope-exchange activity (unpublished), 2168

but it is not unambiguous evidence that PT is impaired in these 2169 mutants. 2170

Worse, a mutation design to asses a PT pathway may also affect steps others than proton transfer. The T18(S) amino acid shown in fig. 13B is next to a cysteine ligand of an electron transfer cluster (C19(S)) and its backbone shapes the substrate gas channel. Replacing T18(S) may affect the activity in a way that is mistakenly interpreted as revealing the disruption of a PT pathway.

(4) Last, the mutation of a side chain putatively involved 2178 in PT sometimes prevents protein folding. We have not been 2179 able to replace H549(L), which is a direct ligand of a putative 2180 Mg ion (turquoise in fig. 13B) and appears to have a struc-2181 tural role. And we failed to discriminate between the two 2182 pathways starting with E25(L) by examining the effect of re-2183 placing the E57(S) and H549(L) residues, because the mu-2184 tants we constructed could not be produced (E57Q, H549R, 2185 H549Q, H549V in D. fructosovorans [NiFe]-hydrogenase; un-2186 published results). 2187

Overall, regarding PT in hydrogenases, we must acknowl-2188 edge that rather limited results have been achieved since it be-2189 came possible (in the late 1990's) to use site directed mutage-2190 nesis to test the numerous putative pathways detected in the 2191 crystal structures. This is because there is no direct measure-2192 ment of the rate of PT in hydrogenase, and no strong conclu-2193 sion about the effect of a mutation can be reached if the mu-2194 tant of interest is not fully characterized using crystallography, 2195 spectroscopy, kinetic methods, etc. 2196

4.4.3 PT in carbonic anhydrase

Carbonic anhydrase is one of the rare enzymes in which the chemical step of catalysis is so fast that intramolecular PT is rate limiting, which allows this transfer to be studied in detail. 2200

The mammalian enzyme carbonic anhydrase II (CAII) $_{2202}$ catalyses CO₂ hydration into HCO₃⁻ and the reverse reaction, which are involved in various physiological processes: $_{2204}$

$$\operatorname{CO}_2 + \operatorname{H}_2 \operatorname{O} \rightleftharpoons \operatorname{HCO}_3^- + \operatorname{H}^+$$
 (35)

The active site is a Zn centre coordinated by three His nitrogen atoms and one OH⁻ ligand. The catalytic cycle includes a chemical step: 2207

$$CO_2 + ZnOH^- + H_2O \Longrightarrow ZnH_2O + HCO_3^-$$
 (36)

and the transfer of the extra proton to a buffer base B through His64 :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} {\rm ZnH_2O+His64} &\rightleftharpoons & {\rm ZnOH^-+His64,H^+} & (37a) \\ {\rm His64,H^++B} &\rightleftharpoons & {\rm His64+BH^+} & (37b) \end{array}$$

The maximum turnover rate of CAII is 10^6 s^{-1} for the 2208 hydration reaction and 5 10^5 s⁻¹ for the inverse reaction, 2209 which makes this reaction one of the fastest catalyzed reac-2210 tions. Both rate constants were shown to decrease four-fold 2211 when the reactions took place in D₂O and it was soon demon-2212 strated that intramolecular PT (reaction 37a) is rate limiting. 2213 The activation free energy deduced from the temperature de-2214 pendence of the catalytic constant of the hydration reaction 2215 is $\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 9.0$ kcal/mol at 25°C. Carbonic anhydrase is one of 2216 the rare enzymes in which the chemical step of catalysis is so 2217 fast that intramolecular PT is rate limiting, which allows this 2218 transfer to be studied in detail. Several mutated forms of the 2219 enzyme were prepared to elucidate the various factors which 2220 determine the PT rate. Replacing His64 by alanine decreased 2221 the rates 20-fold, but they were restored when proton donors 2222 like imidazole and pyridine were added to the solution. In an-2223 other series of mutants, the variation of the PT rate as a func-2224 tion of $\Delta pK_a = pK_a(\text{ZnH}_2\text{O}) - pK_a(\text{His}64)$ could be studied. 2225 Similar studies were carried out on an isoenzyme, CAIII, were 2226 residue 64 is a lysine and proton transfers are two orders of 2227 magnitude slower. The crystal structure of CAII revealed that 2228 Zn and His64 are 7Å apart and that they are connected by a 2229 network of hydrogen-bonded water molecules. Moreover, the 2230 orientation of His64 with respect to the active site can easily 2231 change from inward to outward, fig. 2D. This rich set of data 2232 has motivated a number of theoretical studies. To specify the 2233 role of His64, the PMF was first calculated with and without 2234 His64²³⁵. The calculated values of $pK_a(\text{ZnH}_2\text{O})$, $pK_a(\text{His64})$ 2235 and ΔG^{\ddagger} were in good agreement with the data only when 2236 His64 was present in the inward orientation. The effect of the 2237 His64 to Ala mutation and the rescue by imidazole were also 2238 studied. Warshel's group was able to reproduce the variation 2239 of the PT rate as a function of $\Delta p K_a$ in CAIII by using a sim-2240 plified PT chain made of His64, a water molecule and ZnOH-2241 (ref. 236). These studies underscore the importance of ther-2242 modynamic factors like the pK_a s of His64, of water molecules 2243 and more importantly of ZnH₂O, which must be close to 7 to 2244 ensure catalysis in both directions. 2245

2246 5 Conclusion

In this review we have shown how experimental and compu-2247 tational information can be combined to obtain mechanistic 2248 insight into enzyme catalysis that would not be possible to 2249 achieve by experimental or computational work alone. We 2250 have illustrated this point by discussing a few selected ex-2251 amples, focussing on enzymes that are relevant in the con-2252 text of renewable fuel production: hydrogenases and carbon-2253 monoxide dehydrogenase. 2254

For reasons that we have discussed in the introduction, the catalytic mechanisms of enzymes that use inorganic active sites, such as those discussed in this review, is often very difficult to study. Theoretical methods have been in-2258 valuable for predicting and understanding the molecular struc-2259 ture of intermediates by calculating their spectroscopic signa-2260 tures, but the interplay between experiments and theory should 2261 also be useful for learning about the reactivity of these in-2262 termediates, and, about the kinetics of their chemical trans-2263 formations. In particular, with regard to enzyme kinetics, 2264 the synergy arises because experimental methods typically re-2265 port phenomenological rate constants characterising the over-2266 all process, whereas computational methods can help disen-2267 tangle them to a set of rate constants of well defined elemen-2268 tary reaction steps. The ability to devise well defined model 2269 system, with infinite spatial resolution, is probably the great-2270 est advantage of computational methods. Finding ways to con-2271 nect computations on molecular models to actual experimental 2272 observations is arguably the greatest challenge. 2273

Regarding the relative contributions of experimentalists and 2274 theoreticians in the elucidation of enzyme mechanisms, the 2275 question of which of the two plays the most important role 2276 is flawed, because the question assumes a two-step strategy 2277 where an initial proposal is simply followed by confirmation 2278 or refutation. In this review, we have attempted to describe 2279 another strategy where experimentalist and theoreticians work 2280 hand in hand and combine their expertise to obtain an answer 2281 more quickly and, hopefully, spread it over fewer papers. 2282

6 Acknowledgment

This paper was partly written during a two-week residency 2284 in Marseille funded by the Mediterranean Institute for Ad-2285 vanced Research (www.imera.fr). P.-H.W. acknowledges the 2286 Ministry of Education, Republic of China (Taiwan), for a 2287 Ph.D. scholarship. J. B. acknowledges the Royal Society for 2288 a University Research Fellowship and the Engineering and 2289 Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC research grant 2290 EP/J015571/1) for financial support. L. D. G. acknowledges 2291 support from Ministero dell'Istruzione, dell'Universita e della 2292 Ricerca (Prin 2010M2JARJ). The Marseille team acknowl-2293 edges CNRS, Aix Marseille University, Région PACA, ANR 2294 (ANR12BS080014) for funding, and support from FrenchBIC 2295 (www.frenchbic.cnrs.fr). 2296

References

- 1 C. Léger and P. Bertrand, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **108**, 2379–2438.
- O. Rudiger, J. M. Abad, E. C. Hatchikian, V. M. Fernandez and A. L. de Lacey, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, **127**, 16008–16009.
 2300
- 3 C. Baffert, K. Sybirna, P. Ezanno, T. Lautier, V. Hajj, I. Meynial-Salles, P. Soucaille, H. Bottin and C. Léger, *Anal. Chem.*, 2012, 84, 7999–8005.
- 4 C. Léger, F. Lederer, B. Guigliarelli and P. Bertrand, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 180–187.
- 5 V. Fourmond, C. Baffert, K. Sybirna, T. Lautier, A. Abou Hamdan,

2283

2297

2298

2301

2302

2303

2304

2375

2376

2377

2378

2379

2380

2381

2382

2383

2384

2385

2386

2387

2388

2389

2390

2391

2392

2393

2394

2395

2396

2397

2398

2399

2400

2401

2404

2405

2406

2407

2408

2409

2410

2411

- S. Dementin, P. Soucaille, I. Meynial-Salles, H. Bottin and C. Léger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, **135**, 3926–3938.
- A. A. Hamdan, P.-P. Liebgott, V. Fourmond, O. Gutiérrez-Sanz, A. L.
 De Lacey, P. Infossi, M. Rousset, S. Dementin and C. Léger, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sc. USA*, 2012, **109**, 19916–19921.
- 7 V. Hajj, C. Baffert, K. Sybirna, I. Meynial-Salles, P. Soucaille, H. Bottin,
 V. Fourmond and C. Léger, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, 7, 715–719.
- 8 V. Fourmond, C. Greco, K. Sybirna, C. Baffert, P.-H. H. Wang,
 P. Ezanno, M. Montefiori, M. Bruschi, I. Meynial-Salles, P. Soucaille,
 J. Blumberger, H. Bottin, L. De Gioia and C. Léger, *Nature chemistry*,
 2014, 6, 336–342.
- 2317 9 C. Léger, S. Dementin, P. Bertrand, M. Rousset and B. Guigliarelli,
 2318 J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 12162–12172.
- P.-P. Liebgott, F. Leroux, B. Burlat, S. Dementin, C. Baffert, T. Lautier,
 V. Fourmond, P. Ceccaldi, C. Cavazza, I. Meynial-Salles, P. Soucaille,
 J. C. C. Fontecilla-Camps, B. Guigliarelli, P. Bertrand, M. Rousset and
 C. Léger, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2010, 6, 63–70.
- 11 J. C. Fontecilla-Camps, A. Volbeda, C. Cavazza and Y. Nicolet,
 Chem. Rev., 2007, **107**, 4273–4303.
- 12 W. Lubitz, H. Ogata, O. Rüdiger and E. Reijerse, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, 114,
 4081–4148.
- Y. Montet, P. Amara, A. Volbeda, X. Vernede, E. C. Hatchikian, M. J.
 Field, M. Frey and J. C. Fontecilla-Camps, *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.*, 1997,
 4, 523–526.
- 14 M. Bruschi, M. Tiberti, A. Guerra and L. De Gioia, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2014, **136**, 1803–1814.
- A. Silakov, B. Wenk, E. Reijerse and W. Lubitz, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2009, **11**, 6592–6599.
- 16 G. Berggren, A. Adamska, C. Lambertz, T. R. Simmons, J. Esselborn,
 M. Atta, S. Gambarelli, J.-M. M. Mouesca, E. Reijerse, W. Lubitz,
 T. Happe, V. Artero and M. Fontecave, *Nature*, 2013, **499**, 66–69.
- 17 M. Can, F. A. Armstrong and S. W. Ragsdale, *Chemical reviews*, 2014,
 114, 4149–4174.
- 2339 18 H. Drake, Acetogenesis, 1994, 3.

2306

- P. Amara, J.-M. Mouesca, A. Volbeda and J. C. Fontecilla-Camps, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **50**, 1868.
- 20 A. Warshel, J. K. Hwang and J. Aqvist, *Faraday Discuss.*, 1992, 93, 225–238.
- 2344 21 D. N. Silverman and S. Lindskog, Acc. Chem. Res., 1988, 21, 30–36.
- 22 P. E. M. Siegbahn and F. Himo, J. Biol. Inorg. Chem., 2009, 14, 643–651.
- 23 S. F. Sousa, P. A. Fernandes and M. J. Ramos, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*,
 2012, 14, 12431–12441.
- 24 T. A. A. Rokob, M. Srnec and L. Rulíšek, *Dalton transactions (Cambridge, England : 2003)*, 2012, 41, 5754–5768.
- 25 A. V. Nemukhin, B. L. Grigorenko, S. V. Lushchekina and S. D. Var folomeev, *Russian Chemical Reviews*, 2012, **81**, 1011–1025.
- 26 P. E. Siegbahn and F. Himo, Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Molecular Science, 2011, 1, 323–336.
- M. Leopoldini, T. Marino, M. d. C. Michelini, I. Rivalta, N. Russo, E. Sicilia and M. Toscano, *Theoretical Chemistry Accounts*, 2007, 117, 765– 779.
- 28 T. Marino, N. Russo and M. Toscano, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127,
 4242–4253.
- 29 O. Amata, T. Marino, N. Russo and M. Toscano, J. Am. Chem. Soc.,
 2011, 133, 17824–17831.
- 30 M. E. Alberto, T. Marino, N. Russo, E. Sicilia and M. Toscano, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, 14, 14943–14953.
- 2364 31 A. Klamt, J. Phys. Chem., 1995, 99, 2224–2235.
- 2365 32 A. Klamt, J. Phys. Chem., 1996, 100, 3349-3353.
- 33 A. Klamt and G. Schuurmann, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1993, 0,
 799–805.

- J. Andzelm, C. Kolmel and A. Klamt, *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 2368 1995, **103**, 9312.
- 35 J. Tomasi, B. Mennucci and R. Cammi, *Chem. Rev.*, 2005, **105**, 2999–2370 3094. 2371
- 36 M. Cossi, N. Rega, G. Scalmani and V. Barone, J. Comput. Chem., 2003, 2372
 24, 669–681. 2373
- 37 M. Cossi, G. Scalmani, N. Rega and V. Barone, *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 2002, **117**, 43–54.
- 38 V. Barone and M. Cossi, J. Phys. Chem. A, 1998, 102, 1995–2001.
- 39 J. Tomasi and M. Persico, Chem. Rev., 1994, 94, 2027–2094.
- 40 N. Rega, M. Cossi, V. Barone, C. Pomelli and J. Tomasi, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.*, 1999, **73**, 219–227.
- 41 A. Warshel and M. Levitt, *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 1976, **103**, 227–249.
- 42 U. Ryde, Dalton Trans., 2007, 607–625.
- 43 U. Ryde, C. Greco and L. De Gioia, *Journal of the American Chemical* Society, 2010, **132**, 4512–4513.
- 44 A. Silakov, C. Kamp, E. Reijerse, T. Happe and W. Lubitz, *Biochemistry*, 2009, **48**, 7780–7786.
- 45 A. Volbeda, M. H. Charon, C. Piras, E. C. Hatchikian, M. Frey and J. C. Fontecilla-Camps, *Nature*, 1995, **373**, 580–587.
- 46 H.-J. Fan and M. B. Hall, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 3828–3829.
- 47 J. G. Norman, P. B. Ryan and L. Noodleman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1980, 102, 4279–4282.
- 48 L. Noodleman, *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 1981, **74**, 5737–5743.
- 49 L. Yu, C. Greco, M. Bruschi, U. Ryde, L. De Gioia and M. Reiher, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **50**, 3888–3900.
- 50 J. W. Tye, M. Y. Darensbourg and M. B. Hall, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2006, 27, 1454–1462.
- 51 J. W. Tye, M. Y. Darensbourg and M. B. Hall, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, **47**, 2380–2388.
- 52 T. Ziegler and J. Autschbach, *Chemical reviews*, 2005, **105**, 2695–2722.
- 53 S. P. de Visser, M. G. Quesne, B. Martin, P. Comba and U. Ryde, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 262–282.
- 54 C. J. Cramer and D. G. Truhlar, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2009, 11, 2402 10757–10816. 2403
- 55 F. Neese, J. Biol. Inorg. Chem., 2006, 11, 702-711.
- 56 J. L. Chen, L. Noodleman, D. A. Case and D. Bashford, J. Phys. Chem., 1994, 98, 11059–11068.
- 57 W. Pritzkow, J. Prakt. Chem., 1998, 340, 586-587.
- 58 J. Bigeleisen and M. G. Mayer, *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 1947, 15, 261.
- 59 A. Cornish-Bowden, *Fundamental of Enzyme kinetics*, Portland Press., 2004.
- M. G. Almeida, B. Guigliarelli, P. Bertrand, J. J. G. Moura, I. Moura and
 C. Léger, *FEBS Letts.*, 2007, 581, 284–288.
- V. Fourmond, C. Baffert, K. Sybirna, S. Dementin, A. Abou-Hamdan,
 I. Meynial-Salles, P. Soucaille, H. Bottin and C. Léger, *Chem. Commun.*,
 2013, 49, 6840–6842.
- M. Y. Okamura, R. A. Isaacson and G. Feher, *Biochimica et Biophysica* 2417 Acta (BBA) - Bioenergetics, 1979, 546, 394–417. 2418
- A. Abou Hamdan, S. Dementin, P.-P. Liebgott, O. Gutierrez-Sanz, 2419
 P. Richaud, A. L. De Lacey, M. Roussett, P. Bertrand, L. Cournac and C. Léger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 8368–8371. 2421
- 64 R. O. Louro, T. Catarino, C. M. Paquete and D. L. Turnera, *FEBS Lett.*, 2422 2004, 576, 77–80. 2423
- 65 M. Tegoni, M. C. Silvestrini, B. Guigliarelli, M. Asso, M. Brunori and P. Bertrand, *Biochemistry*, 1998, **37**, 12761–12771. 2425
- 66 D. L. Williams-Smith, R. C. Bray, M. J. Barber, A. D. Tsopanakis and S. P. Vincent, *The Biochemical journal*, 1977, 167, 593–600. 2427
- 67 V. Fourmond, B. Burlat, S. Dementin, P. Arnoux, M. Sabaty, S. Boiry, 2428 B. Guigliarelli, P. Bertrand, D. Pignol and C. Léger, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2429

- 2008, 112, 15478–15486.
- 68 J. M. Savéant, *Elements of molecular and biomolecular electrochemistry*, John Willey & sons, Inc., 2006.
- ²⁴³³ 69 K. Chen, J. Hirst, R. Camba, C. A. Bonagura, C. D. Stout, B. K. Burgess
 ²⁴³⁴ and F. A. Armstrong, *Nature*, 2000, **405**, 814–817.
- ²⁴³⁵ 70 A. K. Jones, R. Camba, G. A. Reid, S. K. Chapman and F. A. Armstrong,
 J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, **122**, 6494–6495.
- C. Léger, A. K. Jones, W. Roseboom, S. P. J. Albracht and F. A. Armstrong, *Biochemistry*, 2002, 41, 15736–15746.
- 72 P. Bertrand, B. Frangioni, S. Dementin, M. Sabaty, P. Arnoux,
 B. Guigliarelli, D. Pignol and C. Léger, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2007, 111,
 10300–10311.
- 2442 73 C. Léger, A. K. Jones, S. P. J. Albracht and F. A. Armstrong., *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2002, **106**, 13058–13063.
- 74 D. Yepes, R. Seidel, B. Winter, J. Blumberger and P. Jaque, J. Phys.
 Chem. B, 2014, 6850–6863.
- 2446 75 A. Warshel, J. Phys. Chem., 1982, 86, 2218.
- 2447 76 J. Blumberger and M. L. Klein, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 13854.
- 2448 77 J. Blumberger, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys, 2008, 10, 5651.
- 78 J. Moens, R. Seidel, P. Geerlings, M. Faubel, B. Winter and J. Blumberger, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2010, 114, 9173–9182.
- 79 Y. Tateyama, J. Blumberger, T. Ohno and M. Sprik, *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 2007, **126**, 204506+.
- 2453 80 H. Oberhofer and J. Blumberger, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 3631.
- 81 M. H. M. Olsson, G. Hong and A. Warshel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003,
 125, 5025.
- 82 M. Breuer, P. Zarzycki, J. Blumberger and K. M. Rosso, J. Am. Chem.
 Soc., 2012, 134, 9868–9871.
- 83 A. P. Gamiz-Hernandez, G. Kieseritzki, H. Ishikita and E. W. Knapp, J.
 Chem. Theory. Comput., 2011, **7**, 742.
- 84 Marian Breuer, Kevin M. Rosso, Jochen Blumberger and Julea N. Butt
 "Multi-heme Cytochromes : Structures, functions and opportunities", J.
 R. Soc. Interface (2014), under review.
- 85 B. M. Fonseca, C. M. Paquete, C. A. Salgueiro and R. O. Louro, *FEBS letters*, 2012, **586**, 504–509.
- 86 M. Pessanha, E. L. Rothery, C. S. Miles, G. A. Reid, S. K. Chapman,
 R. O. Louro, D. L. Turner, C. A. Salgueiro and A. V. Xavier, *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Bioenergetics*, 2009, **1787**, 113–120.
- 87 J. Alric, J. Lavergne, F. Rappaport, A. Vermeglio, K. Matsuura, K. Shi mada and K. V. P. Nagashima, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 4136–4145.
- 88 M. R. Gunner, M. A. Saleh, E. Cross, A. ud Doula and M. Wise, *Biophysical Journal*, 2000, **78**, 1126.
- 2472 89 D. S. Spencer, D. Weiss, W. E. Stites, B. Garcia-Moreno, J. J. Dwyer,
 2473 A. G. Gittis and E. E. Lattman, *Biophysical Journal*, 1998, 74, A170.
- 90 J. J. Dwyer, A. G. Gittis, D. A. Karp, E. E. Lattman, D. S. Spencer, W. E.
 Stites and B. Garcia-Moreno, *Biophysical Journal*, 2000, **79**, 1610–
 1620.
- 2477 91 C. A. Fitch, D. A. Karp, K. K. Lee, W. E. Stites, E. E. Lattman and
 2478 B. Garcia-Moreno, *Biophysical Journal*, 2002, **82**, 3289–3304.
- 92 Y. Takayama, C. A. Castaneda, M. Chimenti, B. Garcia-Moreno and J. Iwahara, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2008, 130, 6714– 6715.
- A. L. Hansen and L. E. Key, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA*, 2014, ASAP, E1705–E1712.
- 94 S. Farrjones, W. Wong, W. Gutheil and W. Bachovchin, *Journal of the* American Chemical Society, 1993, **115**, 6813–6819.
- P. Kukic, D. Farrell, L. P. McIntosh, B. Garcia-Moreno, K. S. Jensen,
 Z. Toleikis, K. Teilum and J. J.Nielsen, *Journal of the American Chemi- cal Society*, 2013, 135, 16978–16976.
- 96 E. Alexov, E. L. Mehler, N. Baker, A. M. Baptista, Y. Huang, F. Milletti,
 J. E. Nielsen, D. Farrell, T. Carstensen, M. H. M. Olsson, J. K. Shen,
 - J. Warwicker, S. Williams and J. M. Word, Proteins-Structure Function

and Bioinformatics, 2011, 79, 3260-3275.

- 97 C. Tanford and J. G. Kirkwood, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1957, **79**, 5333– 5339. 2493
- 98 Y. F. Song, J. J. Mao and M. R. Gunner, *Biochemistry*, 2003, 42, 9875– 9888.
- 99 M. J. Ondrechen, J. G. Clifton and D. Ringe, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA*, 2001, 98, 12473–12478.
- 100 H. Ishikita, G. Morra and E. W. Knapp, *Biochemistry*, 2003, **42**, 3882– 3892.
- 101 T. Simonson and D. Perahia, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA*, 1995, **92**, 1082–1086.
- 102 M. R. Gunner and E. Alexov, *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta-Bioenergetics*, 2000, **1458**, 63–87.
- 103 C. N. Schutz and A. Warshel, *Proteins-Structure Function and Genetics*, 2001, 44, 400–417.
- 104 J. Ho and M. L. Coote, Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews-Computational Molecular Science, 2011, 1, 649–660.
- 105 J. Ho and M. L. Coote, *Theoretical Chemistry Accounts*, 2010, **125**, 3–21.
- 106 J. Ho, C. J. Easton and M. L. Coote, Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2010, 132, 5515–5521.
- 107 A. M. Rebollar-Zepeda and A. Galano, *International Journal of Quantum Chemistry*, 2012, **112**, 3449–3460.
- 108 M. Mangold, L. Rolland, F. Costanzo, M. Sprik, M. Sulpizi and J. Blumberger, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2011, 7, 1951–1961.
- 109 J. R. Pliego and J. M. Riveros, *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, 2001, 105, 7241–7247.
- 110 A. M. Rebollar-Zepeda, T. Campos-Hernandez, M. T. Ramirez-Silva, A. Rojas-Hernandez and A. Galano, *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, 2011, 7, 2528–2538.
- 111 H. Li, A. D. Robertson and J. H. Jensen, *Proteins-Structure Function* and *Bioinformatics*, 2004, **55**, 689–704.
- 112 J. H. Jensen, H. Li, A. D. Robertson and P. A. Molina, *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, 2005, **109**, 6634–6643.
- 113 Z. Y. Zhu and M. R. Gunner, Biochemistry, 2005, 44, 82-96.
- 114 R. E. Georgescu, E. G. Alexov and M. R. Gunner, *Biophysical Journal*, 2002, 83, 1731–1748.
- 115 J. Antosiewicz, J. A. McCammon and M. K. Gilson, *Biochemistry*, 1996, 35, 7819–7833.
- 116 L. Sandberg and O. Edholm, Proteins-Structure Function and Genetics, 1999, 36, 474–483.
- 117 I. Muegge, P. X. Qi, A. J. Wand, Z. T. Chu and A. Warshel, *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, 1997, **101**, 825–836.
- 118 T. Simonson, J. Carlsson and D. A. Case, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2004, **126**, 4167–4180.
- 119 Y. Song, J. Mao and M. R. Gunner, *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, 2009, **30**, 2231–2247.
- 120 Y. Y. Sham, Z. T. Chu, H. H. Tao and A. Warshel, *Proteins-Structure Function and Genetics*, 2000, **39**, 393–407.
- 121 J. A. Wallace and J. K. Shen, *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, 2011, **7**, 2617–2629.
- 122 S. L. Williams, C. A. F. de Oliveira and A. J. McCammon, *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, 2010, **6**, 560–568.
- 123 A. M. Baptista, P. J. Martel and S. B. Petersen, *Proteins-Structure Function and Genetics*, 1997, **27**, 523–544.
- 124 A. M. Baptista, V. H. Teixeira and C. M. Soares, *Journal of Chemical Physics*, 2002, **117**, 4184–4200.
- 125 W. J. Ray, Biochemistry, 1983, 22, 4625-4637.
- 126 K. J. Laidler, J. Chem. Educ., 1988, 65, 250+.
- 127 S. Dementin, B. Burlat, V. Fourmond, F. Leroux, P.-P. P. Liebgott, A. Abou Hamdan, C. Léger, M. Rousset, B. Guigliarelli and P. Bertrand, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 10211–10221.

2623

2624

2630

2631

2646

2647

2648

2649

2650

2653

2654

2655

2656

2657

2658

2659

2660

2661

2662

2663

2667

2668

2669

2670

- 128 J. Gao, in *Reaction Rate Constant Computations : Theories and Appli- cations*, ed. T. C. Keli Han, RSC, 2013, ch. Molecular Dynamics Simulation of Kinetic Isotope Effects in Enzyme-Catalyzed Reactions.
- 2557 129 J. Blumberger and M. L. Klein, Chem. Phys. Lett., 2006, 422, 210.
- P. Bertrand, in *Reaction Rate Constant Computations : Theories and Applications*, ed. T. C. Keli Han, RSC, 2013, ch. Molecular Modelling
 of Proton Transfer Kinetics in Biological Systems.
- 2561 131 R. Elber, Current Opinion in Structural Biology, 2010, 20, 162–167.
- T. Lautier, P. Ezanno, C. Baffert, V. Fourmond, L. Cournac, J. C.
 Fontecilla-Camps, P. Soucaille, P. Bertrand, I. Meynial-Salles and
 C. Léger, *Faraday discussions*, 2011, **148**, 385–407.
- 133 S. Riistama, A. Puustinen, M. I. Verkhovsky, J. E. Morgan and M. Wikstrom, *Biochemistry*, 2000, **39**, 6365–6372.
- L. Salomonsson, A. Lee, R. B. Gennis and P. Brzezinski, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sc. USA*, 2004, **101**, 11617–11621.
- F. Leroux, S. Dementin, B. Burlat, L. Cournac, A. Volbeda, S. Champ,
 L. Martin, B. Guigliarelli, P. Bertrand, J. Fontecilla-Camps, M. Rousset
 and C. Léger, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sc. USA*, 2008, **105**, 11188–11193.
- 2572 136 G. Goldet, C. Brandmayr, S. T. Stripp, T. Happe, C. Cavazza, J. C.
 2573 Fontecilla-Camps and F. A. Armstrong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, 131, 14979–14989.
- 2575 137 V. H. Teixeira, A. M. Baptista and C. M. Soares, *Biophys. J.*, 2006, 91, 2035.
- 138 J. Z. Ruscio, D. Kumar, M. Shukla, M. G. Prisant, T. M. Murali and
 A. V. Onufriev, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 2008, **105**, 9204.
- R. Baron, C. Riley, P. Chenprakhon, K. Thotsaporn, R. T. Winter, A. Alfieri, F. Forneris, W. J. H. van Berkel, P. Chaiyen, M. W. Fraaije, A. Mattevi and J. A. McCammon, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 2009, 106, 10603.
- 140 M. D'Abramo, A. Di Nola and A. Amadei, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2009, 113, 16346.
- 2585 141 R. Elber and M. Karplus, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 9161.
- 142 J. Cohen, K. Kim, M. Posewitz, M. L. Ghirardi, K. Schulten, M. Seibert
 and P. King, *Biochemical Society Transactions*, 2005, 33, 80.
- 143 J. Cohen, K. Kim, P. King, M. Seibert and K. Schulten, *Structure*, 2005, 13, 1321.
- 144 J. Cohen, A. Arkhipov, R. Braun and K. Schulten, *Biophys. J.*, 2006, 91,
 1844.
- 2592 145 J. Cohen and K. Schulten, Biophys. J., 2007, 93, 3591.
- 2593 146 Y. Nishihara, S. Hayashi and S. Kato, Chem. Phys. Lett., 2008, 464, 220.
- 147 M. Ceccarelli, R. Anedda, M. Casu and P. Ruggerone, *Proteins*, 2008,
 71, 1231.
- 148 L. Maragliano, G. Cottone, G. Ciccotti and E. Vanden-Eijnden, J. Am.
 Chem. Soc., 2009, **132**, 1010.
- 149 P.-L. Liebgott, F. Leroux, B. Burlat, S. Dementin, C. Baffert, T. Lautier, V. Fourmond, P. Ceccaldi, C. Cavazza, I. Meynial-Salles, P. Soucaille, J. C. Fontecilla-Camps, B. Guigliarelli, P. Bertrand, M. Rousset and C. Léger, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2010, **6**, 63.
- 2602 150 P. Wang, R. B. Best and J. Blumberger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 3548.
- 2604 151 P. Wang, R. B. Best and J. Blumberger, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys*, 2011, 13, 7708.
- 2606 152 P. Wang and J. Blumberger, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 2012, 109, 6399.
- 2607 153 P. Wang, M. Bruschi, L. De Gioia and J. Blumberger, J. Am. Chem. Soc.,
 2013, 135, 9493.
- 154 Y. Shomura, K.-S. Yoon, H. Nishihara and Y. Higuchi, *Nature*, 2011, 479, 253–256.
- 155 J. Fritsch, P. Scheerer, S. Frielingsdorf, S. Kroschinsky, B. Friedrich,
 O. Lenz and C. M. Spahn, *Nature*, 2011, **479**, 249–252.
- 156 M.-E. E. Pandelia, D. Bykov, R. Izsak, P. Infossi, M.-T. T. Giudici Orticoni, E. Bill, F. Neese and W. Lubitz, *Proceedings of the National*
- Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2013, **110**, 483–

488.

- 157 R. Cammack, D. Patil, R. Aguirre and E. Hatchikian, *FEBS Letters*, 2617 1982, **142**, 289–292.
- 158 V. M. Fernandez, E. C. Hatchikian, D. S. Patil and R. Cammack, 2618
 Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 1986, 883, 145–154.
- 159 S. L. Lamle, S. P. J. Albracht and F. A. Armstrong, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2621 2004, 126, 14899–14909. 2622
- 160 J. A. Cracknell, A. F. Wait, O. Lenz, B. Friedrich and F. A. Armstrong, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sc. USA*, 2009, **106**, 20681–20686.
- 161 M.-E. Pandelia, V. Fourmond, P. Tron-Infossi, E. Lojou, P. Bertrand, C. Léger, M.-T. Giudici-Orticoni and W. Lubitz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 6991–7004.
- 162 V. Fourmond, P. Infossi, M.-T. Giudici-Orticoni, P. Bertrand and C. Léger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 4848–4857. 2629
- 163 M.-E. Pandelia, W. Nitschke, P. Infossi, M.-T. Giudici-Orticoni, E. Bill and W. Lubitz, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 2011, **108**, 6097–6102.
- M. J. Lukey, M. M. Roessler, A. Parkin, R. M. Evans, R. A. Davies, 2632
 O. Lenz, B. Friedrich, F. Sargent and F. A. Armstrong, *J. Am. Chem. 2633 Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 16881–16892.
- 165 S. Frielingsdorf, J. Fritsch, A. Schmidt, M. Hammer, J. Lwenstein, 2635
 E. Siebert, V. Pelmenschikov, T. Jaenicke, J. Kalms, Y. Rippers, 2636
 F. Lendzian, I. Zebger, C. Teutloff, M. Kaupp, R. Bittl, P. Hildebrandt, 2637
 B. Friedrich, O. Lenz and P. Scheerer, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2014, 10, 378–2638
 385. 2639
- A. Volbeda, P. Amara, C. Darnault, J.-M. Mouesca, A. Parkin, M. M.
 Roessler, F. A. Armstrong and J. C. Fontecilla-Camps, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 2012, **109**, 5305–5310.
- 167 R. M. Evans, A. Parkin, M. M. Roessler, B. J. Murphy, H. Adamson, 2643
 M. J. Lukey, F. Sargent, A. Volbeda, J. C. Fontecilla-Camps and F. A. 2644
 Armstrong, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 2694–2707. 2645
- 168 A. Volbeda, Y. Montet, X. Vernède, E. Hatchikian and J. C. Fontecilla-Camps, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 2002, 27, 1449– 1461.
- 169 T. Buhrke, O. Lenz, N. Krauss and B. Friedrich, J. Biol. Chem., 2005, 280, 23791–23796.
- 170 O. Duché, S. Elsen, L. Cournac and A. Colbeau, *FEBS J.*, 2005, 272, 2651 3899–3908.
- 171 V. H. Teixeira, A. M. Baptista and C. M. Soares, *Biophys. J.*, 2006, **91**, 2035–2045.
- 172 B. Jähne, G. Heinz and W. Dietrich, J. Geophys. Res., 1987, 92, 10767.
- 173 A. Akgerman and J. Gainer, Ind. Eng. Chem. Fund., 1972, 11, 373.
- 174 A. Akgerman and J. Gainer, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 1972, 17, 372.
- 175 D. R. Lide, CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, CRC Press, 1995.
- 176 B. Kowert and N. Dang, J. Phys. Chem. A, 1999, 103, 779.
- 177 M. J. W. Frank, J. A. M. Kuipers and W. P. M. van Swaaij, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 1996, 41, 297.
- 178 E. L. Maynard and P. A. Lindahl, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 9221.
- 179 J. Seravalli and S. W. Ragsdale, *Biochemistry*, 2000, **39**, 1274.
- C. Darnault, A. Volbeda, E. J. Kim, P. Legrand, X. Vernede, P. A. Lindahl and J. C. Fontecilla-Camps, *Nature Struct. Molec. Biol.*, 2003, 10, 2665 271.
- 181 T. I. Doukov, T. M. Iverson, J. Seravalli, S. W. Ragsdale and C. L. Drennan, *Science*, 2002, **298**, 567.
- 182 T. I. Doukov, L. C. Blasiak, J. Seravalli, S. W. Ragsdale and C. L. Drennan, *Biochemistry*, 2008, 47, 3474.
- 183 X. Tan, H. Loke, S. Fitch and P. Lindahl, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 2671 5833.
- 184 A. Volbeda and J. Fontecilla-Camps, J. Biol. Inorg. Chem., 2004, 9, 525. 2673
- 185 X. Tan, A. Volbeda, J. Fontecilla-Camps and P. Lindahl, J. Biol. Inorg. 2674 Chem., 2006, 11, 371. 2675
- 186 M. Kumar, W. P. Lu, L. Liu and S. W. Ragsdale, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2676 1993, 115, 11646.

2748

2749

2750

2751

2752

2753

2754

2755

2761

2762

2763

2764

2765

2766

2767

2768

2769

2771

2776

2777

- 187 C. Baffert, L. Bertini, T. Lautier, C. Greco, K. Sybirna, P. Ezanno, E. Eti-2678 enne, P. Soucaille, P. Bertrand, H. Bottin, I. Meynial-Salles, L. De Gioia 2679 2680 and C. Léger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 2096-2099.
- C. E. Foster, T. Krmer, A. F. Wait, A. Parkin, D. P. Jennings, T. Happe, 2681 188 J. E. McGrady and F. A. Armstrong, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 2682 2683 7553-7557.
- A. Adamska, A. Silakov, C. Lambertz, O. Rüdiger, T. Happe, E. Reijerse 189 2684 and W. Lubitz, Angewandte Chemie (International ed. in English), 2012, 2685 51, 11458-11462. 2686
- 190 L. Bertini, C. Greco, M. Bruschi, P. Fantucci and L. De Gioia, 2687 Organometallics, 2010, 29, 2013-2025. 2688
- 191 J. Esselborn, C. Lambertz, A. Adamska-Venkatesh, T. Simmons, 2689 G. Berggren, J. Noth, J. Siebel, A. Hemschemeier, V. Artero, E. Rei-2690 jerse, M. Fontecave, W. Lubitz and T. Happe, Nat. Chem. Biol., 2013, 9, 2691 607-609. 2692
- 2693 192 D. W. Mulder, E. S. Boyd, R. Sarma, R. K. Lange, J. A. Endrizzi, J. B. 2694 Broderick and J. W. Peters, *Nature*, 2010, **465**, 248–251.
- 193 C. Baffert, M. Demuez, L. Cournac, B. Burlat, B. Guigliarelli, P. Sou-2695 caille, P. Bertrand, L. Girbal and C. Léger, Angew. Chem. Int. Edit., 2696 2008, 47, 2052-2055. 2697
- 194 B. Bennett, B. J. Lemon and J. W. Peters, Biochemistry, 2000, 39, 7455-2698 2699 7460
- 195 S. T. Stripp, G. Goldet, C. Brandmayr, O. Sanganas, K. A. Vincent, 2700 M. Haumann, F. A. Armstrong and T. Happe, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sc. USA, 2701 2009, 106, 17331-17336. 2702
- 196 M. T. Stiebritz and M. Reiher, Inorg. Chem., 2009, 48, 7127-7140. 2703
- 197 M. T. Stiebritz and M. Reiher, Inorg. Chem., 2010, 49, 8645. 2704
- 198 G. Hong and R. Pachter, ACS chemical biology, 2012, 7, 1268-1275. 2705
- A. Kubas, D. De Sancho, R. B. Best and J. Blumberger, Angew. Chem. 2706 199 Int. Ed. Engl., 2014, 53, 4081-4084. 2707
- 200 C. Lambertz, N. Leidel, K. G. V. Havelius, J. Noth, P. Chernev, M. Win-2708 kler, T. Happe and M. Haumann, J. Biol. Chem., 2011, 286, 40614-2709 2710 40623.
- 2711 201 M. K. Bruska, M. T. Stiebritz and M. Reiher, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 20588-20603. 2712
- 202 A. S. Pandey, T. V. Harris, L. J. Giles, J. W. Peters and R. K. Szilagyi, 2713 J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 4533-4540. 2714
- 203 A. Parkin, C. Cavazza, J. Fontecilla-Camps and F. Armstrong, J. Am. 2715 Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 16808–16815. 2716
- 204 M. T. Olsen, T. B. Rauchfuss and S. R. Wilson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 2717 132, 17733-17740. 2718
- 205 T. Miyake, M. Bruschi, U. Cosentino, C. Baffert, V. Fourmond, C. Léger, 2719 G. Moro, L. Gioia and C. Greco, J. Biol. Inorg. Chem., 2013, 18, 693-2720 2721 700
- 206 V. Fourmond, P. Infossi, M.-T. Giudici-Orticoni, P. Bertrand and 2722 C. Léger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 4848-4857. 2723
- 207 J. G. J. Jacques, B. Burlat, P. Arnoux, M. Sabaty, B. Guigliarelli, 2724 2725 C. Léger, D. Pignol and V. Fourmond, Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Bioenergetics, 2014, 1837, 1801-1809. 2726
- 208 J. W. Peters, W. N. Lanzilotta, B. J. Lemon and L. C. Seefeldt, Science, 2727 2728 1998, 282, 1853-1858.
- C. Greco, M. Bruschi, P. Fantucci, U. Ryde and L. DeGioia, Chem. Eur. 209 2729 J., 2011, 17, 1954–1965. 2730
- 210 I. Fdez Galván, A. Volbeda, J. C. Fontecilla-Camps and M. J. Field, 2731 2732 Proteins, 2008, 73, 195-203.
- 211 V. H. Teixeira, C. M. Soares and A. M. Baptista, Proteins, 2008, 70, 2733 1010-1022. 2734
- 212 P. M. Matias, C. M. Soares, L. M. Saraiva, R. Coelho, J. Morais, 2735 J. Le Gall and M. A. Carrondo, Journal of biological inorganic chem-2736 istry : JBIC : a publication of the Society of Biological Inorganic Chem-2737 2738 istry, 2001, 6, 63-81.
- S. Dementin, V. Belle, P. Bertrand, B. Guigliarelli, G. Adryanczyk-2739 213 Perrier, A. Delacey, V. M. Fernandez, M. Rousset and C. Léger., J. Am. 2740

Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 5209-5218.

- 214 D. N. Beratan, J. N. Betts and J. N. Onuchic, Science, 1991, 252, 1285-2742 1288. 2743
- 215 M.-L. L. Tan, I. Balabin and J. N. N. Onuchic, Biophysical journal, 2744 2004, 86, 1813-1819. 2745
- T. R. Prytkova, I. V. Kurnikov and D. N. Beratan, The journal of physical 216 2746 chemistry. B, 2005, 109, 1618-1625. 2747
- A. A. Stuchebrukhov, Theoretical Chemistry Accounts, 2003, 110, 291-217 306.
- 218 D. M. Smith, K. M. Rosso, M. Dupuis, M. Valiev and T. P. Straatsma, The journal of physical chemistry. B, 2006, 110, 15582-15588.
- 219 M. Breuer, K. M. Rosso and J. Blumberger, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2014, 111, 611-616.
- 220 G. Hong, A. J. Cornish, E. L. Hegg and R. Pachter, Biochimica et biophysica acta, 2011, 1807, 510-517.
- 221 B. Ginovska-Pangovska, M.-H. H. Ho, J. C. Linehan, Y. Cheng, 2756 M. Dupuis, S. Raugei and W. J. Shaw, Biochimica et biophysica acta, 2757 2014. 1837. 131-138. 2758
- 222 H. Long, P. W. King and C. H. Chang, The journal of physical chemistry. 2759 B, 2014, 118, 890-900. 2760
- 223 M. McCullagh and G. A. Voth, The journal of physical chemistry. B, 2013, 117, 4062–4071.
- A. J. Cornish, K. Gärtner, H. Yang, J. W. Peters and E. L. Hegg, The 224 Journal of biological chemistry, 2011, 286, 38341-38347.
- 225 P. Knörzer, A. Silakov, C. E. Foster, F. A. Armstrong, W. Lubitz and T. Happe, The Journal of biological chemistry, 2012, 287, 1489-1499.
- 226 S. Morra, A. Giraudo, G. Di Nardo, P. W. King, G. Gilardi and F. Valetti, PloS one, 2012, 7, e48400.
- 227 E. Garcin, X. Vernede, E. C. Hatchikian, A. Volbeda, M. Frey and J. C. Fontecilla-Camps, Structure (London, England : 1993), 1999, 7, 557-2770 566
- 228 A. Volbeda, P. Amara, C. Darnault, J.-M. M. Mouesca, A. Parkin, M. M. 2772 Roessler, F. A. Armstrong and J. C. Fontecilla-Camps, Proceedings of 2773 the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2012, 2774 109, 5305-5310. 2775
- 229 I. Sumner and G. A. Voth, The journal of physical chemistry. B, 2012, 116, 2917-2926.
- 230 E. Szőri-Dorogházi, G. Maróti, M. Szőri, A. Nyilasi, G. Rákhely and 2778 K. L. Kovács, PloS one, 2012, 7, e34666+. 2779
- 231 S. Dementin, B. Burlat, A. L. De Lacey, A. Pardo, G. Adryanczyk-2780 Perrier, B. Guigliarelli, V. M. Fernandez and M. Rousset, The Journal of 2781 biological chemistry, 2004, 279, 10508-10513. 2782
- 232 A. Gebler, T. Burgdorf, A. L. De Lacey, O. Rüdiger, A. Martinez-Arias, 2783 O. Lenz and B. Friedrich, The FEBS journal, 2007, 274, 74-85. 2784
- 233 R. Cammack, M. Frey and R. Robson, (editors) Hydrogen as a fuel, 2785 learning from Nature, Taylor and Francis, London and New York, 2001. 2786
- 234 K. L. Pankhurst, C. G. Mowat, E. L. Rothery, J. M. Hudson, A. K. 2787 Jones, C. S. Miles, M. D. Walkinshaw, F. A. Armstrong, G. A. Reid 2788 and S. K. Chapman, The Journal of biological chemistry, 2006, 281, 2789 20589-20597. 2790
- 235 C. M. Maupin, R. McKenna, D. N. Silverman and G. A. Voth, J. Am. 2791 Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 7598-7608. 2792
- 236 C. N. Schutz and A. Warshel, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2004, 108, 2066-2075. 2793

