Dalton Transactions

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/dalton

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/coxx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

Synthesis, Structural Characterization and Thermal Properties of Copper and Silver Silyl Complexes[†]

Michael J. Sgro,^a Warren E. Piers^{a*} and Patricio E. Romero^b

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX 5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

The synthesis of copper and silver silyl complexes containing either *N*-heterocyclic carbenes or nitrogen donors is described. Alterations made to both the neutral donor ligands as well as the silyl group provided access to a number of different compounds. Many of the complexes synthesized were studied in the solid state and the effect of the donor ligand on the final structure of the complexes was examined. The thermal

¹⁰ properties of the complexes were explored using thermogravimetric analysis, differential scanning calorimetry and sublimations. Some of the complexes synthesized were demonstrated to be promising volatile metal precursors.

Introduction

The field of transition metal-silyl chemistry has received ¹⁵ considerable attention^{1, 2} as metal-silyl complexes are implicated as intermediates in a number of catalytic processes.³⁻⁸ In addition, there is a fundamental interest in these complexes since the isolation of metal-silyl species allows for comparisons of the reactivity between M-C and M-Si linkages.^{9, 10} Perhaps more ²⁰ interesting, complexes containing metal-silicon bonds have also

been examined for the development of new materials.¹¹

Group 11 metal-silyls, specifically those of copper and silver, are a particularly interesting class of reagents. Early work on these complexes focused on the synthesis of metal-silyl species ²⁵ supported by phosphine ligands, but little reactivity was explored.¹²⁻¹⁶ These species have also received attention due to the importance of Cu-Si bonds in organic synthesis, for example in the silylation of α , β -unsaturated ketones, alkynes and arenes.^{17, ¹⁸ More recently, new routes to make group 11 silicon bonds have}

³⁰ been explored¹⁹ and the metal-silyl complexes have found use as catalysts for a number of processes including the silylation of aldehydes²⁰ and the reduction of CO₂.²¹

While the preparation of Cu, Ag and Au films has received significant attention due to their applications in ³⁵ microelectronics,²²⁻²⁵ the use of group 11 silyls as metal precursors for this process has remained unexplored. Reagents employing a M-Si bond would be desirable since metal oxide formation can be avoided if the appropriate, oxygen free co-ligands are selected. This would make the complexes less ⁴⁰ susceptible to oxygen inclusion in the metal films generated.²⁴

In this context, we aimed to explore the synthesis of copper and silver silvl complexes to serve as volatile metal precursors. Reasonable thermal stability is a prerequisite for the development of precursors used in metal deposition chemistry,²³ so we sought

⁴⁵ ligands that would stabilize complexes containing M-Si bonds. To this end, *N*-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs) were chosen as a starting point as they have been shown to effective for the stabilization of reactive species.^{19, 26-28} Furthermore, as number of NHC copper and silver complexes are known, there is a ⁵⁰ substantial library of reagents that may serve as starting materials in the synthesis of the corresponding silyl complexes.^{29, 30} Herein, the synthesis and solid state characterization of NHC supported copper and silver silyl species is described. The effects of modifying the carbene ligands as well as the silyl groups on the ⁵⁵ geometry and coordination number of the complexes are examined and the impact of these changes on volatility is explored. A second class of ligands, namely nitrogen-based donors, were also explored to support these silyl complexes and their structures and properties are reported and compared with the ⁶⁰ NHC ligated derivatives.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis of N-heterocyclic carbene metal-silyl complexes

Employing salt metathesis reactions, the combination of KSi(SiMe₃)₃(THF)₂ with the NHC metal halides (IMe)MI or 65 ItBuMCl (M = Cu or Ag, IMe = 1,3-bis(methyl)imidazol-2ylidene, ItBu = 1,3-bis (tert-butyl)imidazol-2-ylidene) yielded the complexes (IMe)CuSi(SiMe₃)₃ (1), (IMe)AgSi(SiMe₃)₃ (2), (ItBu)CuSi(SiMe₃)₃ (3) and (ItBu)AgSi(SiMe₃)₃ (4) in yields ranging from 63 to 76% (Scheme 1). While the copper complexes 70 are similar to those previously reported, 19, 21 to the best of our knowledge, complexes 2 and 4 are the first examples of NHC silver-silvl complexes. The ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra of 1-4 are similar and unremarkable, with the most interesting feature being the chemical shift for the carbon carbon in the ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ 75 spectra. This signal appears as a singlet in 1, 2 and 3 at 189.6, 189.8 and 179.4 ppm, respectively, but as a doublet of doublets centered at 188.1 ppm in 4. Though the singlet nature of the carbene signal is not surprising for 1 and 3, as there are no NMR active nuclei in proximity to the carbone carbon, the fact that

coupling to $^{107/109}$ Ag is observed for 4 but not 2 is surprising. The difference between 2 and 4 may be due to an exchange process as it has previously been demonstrated that silver-halide complexes of carbenes can exist in two forms, a neutral NHC-Ag-X form s and an ionic [NHC2Ag]⁺[AgX2]⁻ form.³¹⁻³³ In addition, many

- NHC silver complexes have been shown to have a singlet for the carbone carbon in the ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum, 30 similar to that observed for 2. The singlet nature of the chemical shift is believed to signify a weaker C-Ag bond that results in the
- 10 exchange process.^{30, 32} For complex 2, this suggests a possible NHC/silvl exchange to generate group $[IMe_2Ag]^+[Ag(Si(SiMe_3)_3)_2]^-$. In complex 4 however, strong coupling is seen between the carbone carbon and the two silver isotopes (¹⁰⁷Ag, ¹ J_{SiAg} 179 Hz and ¹⁰⁹Ag; ¹ J_{SiAg} 213 Hz) indicating 15 an exchange is likely not occurring. Likely, the bulkier NHC

ligand in 4 discourages the formation of the ionic species.

To gain further insight into the possibility of an exchange process in 2, the ${}^{29}Si{}^{1}H$ NMR spectra for each compound were also obtained. In all cases, the signals for the SiMe₃ groups

- 20 appear as singlets ranging from -5.5 ppm (1) to -6.8 ppm (3). While it was expected that the signal for the metal bound Si atom would provide the most evidence of possible differences in exchange behavior between complexes 2 and 4, the signal could only be directly observed for compound 4, which contained a 25 doublet of doublets at -140.2 ppm. In the cases of complexes 1, 2
- and 3, the signal was located using a ²⁹Si-¹H HMBC experiment and thus only the peak position could be determined for 2.



- Complexes 1-4 crystallize readily from alkane solvents such as pentane and hexane, allowing for determination of the solid state structures (Figures 1-4). For each metal, both the M-C and M-Si bonds are shorter in the IMe complexes (1 and 2) than they are in the ItBu complexes (3 and 4) (Table 1). However, 1 and 2 also
- 35 deviate more from linearity with C-M-Si bond angles of 171.91(11) ° and 171.6(2) °, for 1 (M=Cu) and 2 (M=Ag) respectively, than do **3** (178.60(5) $^{\circ}$) and **4** (178.77(5) $^{\circ}$). These differences may be due, in part, to the larger size of the ItBu

	Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (°)				
	C(1)-M	Si(1)-M	C(1)-M-Si(1)		
1	1.915(3)	2.2553(10)	171.91(11)		
2	2.110(7)	2.383(2)	171.6(2)		
3	1.9366(16)	2.2636(5)	178.60(5)		
4	2.1512(18)	2.3936(5)	178.77(5)		







50 Figure 3. POV-Ray rendered ORTEP plot of the molecular structure of 3 (50% thermal ellipsoids). C; gray, N; aquamarine, Si: deep pink, Cu: copper. H-atoms omitted for clarity.



Figure 1. POV-Ray rendered ORTEP plot of the molecular structure of 1 (50% thermal ellipsoids). C; gray, N; aquamarine, Si: deep pink, Cu: copper. H-atoms omitted for clarity.



Figure 2. POV-Ray rendered ORTEP plot of the molecular structure of 2



Figure 4. POV-Ray rendered ORTEP plot of the molecular structure of 4 (50% thermal ellipsoids). C; gray, N; aquamarine, Si: deep pink, Ag: slate blue. H-atoms omitted for clarity.

s ligand which leads to increased crowding around the metal center increasing the bond distances and pushing the ligands as far apart as possible in 3 and 4.

Based on previous work by Coyle *et al.* where complexes analogous to 1 and 3, but with $N(SiMe_3)_2$ rather than $Si(SiMe_3)_3$

- ¹⁰ groups, were used as reagents in atomic layer deposition,^{24, 34} we performed sublimations of complexes **1-4** to explore their volatility. Complexes **1** and **3** sublimed at 95 and 105 °C, respectively, at 0.02 torr. In the cases of the silver complexes, **2** and **4**, sublimation is observed at 90 and 95 °C respectively, but either mirrors are also achaeved to form during the sublimation.
- ¹⁵ silver mirrors are also observed to form during the sublimation process. To gain a more in depth understanding of the thermal properties of complexes 1-4, they were subjected to testing using thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) (See supporting information: Figures S1-S4
- ²⁰ (DSC), Figures S5-S8 (TGA)). Using DSC, it was found that all of the complexes decompose below 250 °C and have a narrow melting point range from 136 to 144 °C (1: 136 °C, 2: 144 °C, 3: 140 °C, 4: 143 °C). The TGA data provided more valuable information in terms of the volatility of the complexes. When run
- ²⁵ at 760 torr, IMe complexes 1 and 2 show only 60-70% mass loss with onset temperatures calculated to be 210 °C and 176 °C, respectively. Performing the TGA at 0.2 torr, however, gave significantly better results with greater than 95% mass loss observed with onset temperatures of 106 °C (1) and 111 °C (2).
- ³⁰ Complexes **3** and **4** demonstrated better thermal stability compared to **1** and **2** at 760 torr, with 80-90% mass loss observed, as well as at 0.2 torr where >99% mass loss was observed for each (onset temperatures: 155-159 °C (**3**), 158 °C (**4**)).
- The combined results from sublimations and TGA data ³⁵ demonstrated that complexes of the form NHC-M-Si(SiMe₃)₃ have promising thermal behavior. In an attempt to uncover complexes with more promising thermal properties, modifications to the silyl group were examined. We have previously explored the use of the silyl group SiEt(SiMe₃)₂ in the
- ⁴⁰ synthesis of yttrium and gadolinium silyl complexes.³⁵ Using analogous salt metathesis protocols as described above for the synthesis of **1**, the combination of (IMe)CuI with SiEt(SiMe₃)₂(THF)_{0.5} generates **5** in 60% yield (Scheme 2). The

¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra of **5** indicate a 1:1 NHC:silyl group ⁴⁵ ratio suggesting a similar formulation as that seen for complexes **1** and **3**. There are three signals in the ¹H NMR spectrum for the modified silyl ligand at 1.61, 1.34 and 0.63 ppm integrating to 3, 2 and 18 protons and representing the methyl, methylene and SiMe₃ groups respectively. The most diagnostic feature in the ⁵⁰ ¹³C{¹H} spectrum is the singlet resonance at 182.0 ppm for the carbene carbon of the coordinated IMe. This signal is upfield by 10 ppm from that observed for complex **1**. In the ²⁹Si NMR spectrum, two signals with chemical shifts at -9.75 and -77.65 ppm for the SiMe₃ groups and metal bound Si, respectively, could ⁵⁵ be observed using a ²⁹Si{¹H} experiment. Complex **5** could be crystallized from concentrated solutions of hexanes and the solid state structure was determined (Figure 5).



Figure 5. POV-Ray rendered ORTEP plot of the molecular structure of 5 (30% thermal ellipsoids). C; gray, N; aquamarine, Si: deep pink, Cu: copper. H-atoms omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°): Cu(1)-Si(1) 2.4232(9), Cu(1)-Si(1)' 2.5922(8), Cu-C(1) 1.949(4), Cu(1)-Cu(1)' 2.2854(9), C(1)-Cu(1)-Si(1) 123.79(10), C(1)'-Cu(1)'-Si(1)' 115.21(10), Si(1)-Cu(1)-Si(1)' 120.93(3), Cu(1)-Si(1)-5 Cu(1)' 54.09(2).

Unlike complexes 1-4, 5 crystallizes as a dimer with SiEt(SiMe₃)₂ groups bridging two copper centers that are each coordinated by one NHC. The Cu-C(1) bond distance in 5 is 1.949(4) Å, longer than that observed for 1 but similar to that observed in 3. The Cu-⁷⁰ Si bond distances of 2.4232(9) and 2.5922(8) Å in 5 are significantly elongated relative to 1 and 3, likely due to the bridging nature in the present example. The C-Cu-Si bond angles are 123.79(10)° and 115.21(10)°, much smaller than those observed in 1 and 3, but this is expected due to the trigonal planar ⁷⁵ nature of 5. The Si-Cu-Si bond angle in 5 is 120.93(3)°, and there is a Cu-Cu distance of 2.2854(9) Å, in line with other copper-copper bonds, suggesting a copper-copper interaction.³⁶

The dimeric complex, **5**, was further examined using DSC and TGA to determine whether it had similar properties to the monometallic species. The DSC data showed **5** melts at 97 °C and decomposes around 150 °C. Both of these temperatures are lower than those observed for the Si(SiMe₃)₃ analogue (1). The TGA results showed much less volatility, as 40% and 60% mass loss was observed at 760 and 0.2 torr, respectively. Similarly, ss when sublimations were attempted on **5** at 0.02 torr, sublimation

of the complex was observed at 80 °C but it was accompanied by significant decomposition. Furthermore, if the sublimation was allowed to proceed for longer than 8 hours, the sublimed product began to decompose from a yellow solid to a red solid. These s results are all consistent with the dimeric species being

significantly less stable than the monomeric complexes.



Scheme 2. Synthesis of complexes 5-7.

While attempting to increase the yields of **5** by harvesting a ¹⁰ second crop of crystals from the mother liquor, a product with a different unit cell (**6**), was observed. A single crystal X-ray diffraction study of **6** revealed that it was the *bis*-NHC-Cu-silyl complex IMe₂CuSiEt(SiMe₃)₂ (Figure 6). The fact that both a mono- and *bis*-NHC silyl complex can be isolated is reminiscent ¹⁵ of previously explored copper and silver silyl chemistry that showed one to three equivalents of a phosphine ligand could

- showed one to three equivalents of a phosphine ligand could coordinate to the metal center along with a silyl group.¹²⁻¹⁴ It is likely that **6** formed through decomposition of a small amount of **5** followed by carbene transfer to another equivalent of **5**,
- ²⁰ generating **6**. Complex **6** could be prepared independently by reacting two equivalents of 1,3-dimethylimidazolium-2-carboxylate (IMeCO₂) with one equivalent of CuCl followed by the addition of one equivalent of KSiEt(SiMe₃)₂(THF)_{0.5} (Scheme 2). Following work-up, **6** was isolated in 49% yield. The ¹H and
- $_{25}$ $^{13}C{^{1}H}$ NMR spectra of **6** are similar to those in complex **5**, with the most notable difference in the proton spectrum being the 2:1 ratio of signals for the NHC:silyl groups. The chemical shifts for the carbene carbons shifts significantly downfield, relative to **5**, to 195.7 ppm. The $^{29}Si{^{1}H}$ signals of -10.3 and -86.7 ppm are 30 similar to those in **5**, with the major difference being the copper
- bound Si shifted slightly upfield.

The Cu-C bond distances of 1.937(4) and 1.932(5) Å are similar to those observed in **5**, but the Cu-Si bond distance of 2.3306(12) Å is shorter by approximately 0.10-0.15 Å. While a ³⁵ decrease in Cu-Si bond distance is observed on going from **5** to **6**, the distance in **6** is still longer than those observed in the mono-

NHC complexes **1** and **3**, due to the steric constraints imposed by the coordination of an additional donor ligand. The C-Cu-Si bond angles are similar, at 115.05(12)° and 114.47(13)°, and the C-Cu-40 C bond angle is slightly larger than expected (130.47(17)°) for a



Figure 6. POV-Ray rendered ORTEP plot of the molecular structure of 6 (50% thermal ellipsoids). C; gray, N; aquamarine, Si: deep pink, Cu: copper. H-atoms omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å) and
 angles (°): Cu-Si(1) 2.3306(12), Cu-C(1) 1.937(4), Cu-C(6) 1.932(5), C(1)-Cu-Si(1) 115.05(12), C(6)-Cu-Si(1) 114.47(13), C(1)-Cu-C(6) 130.47(17).

trigonal planar molecule.

It is interesting to note that a complex analogous to 6 was not 50 observed in the synthesis of 1, with one possible explanation being the difference in the size of the silvl ligand employed. To examine whether an Si(SiMe₃)₃ analogue of 6 could be synthesized, two equivalents of IMeCO₂, one equivalent of CuCl, and one equivalent of KSi(SiMe₃)₃(THF)₂ were combined 55 generating 7 in 35% yield. The ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra (See supporting information: Figures S11-S12) are similar to those of 1, however, the signals for the carbene ligands are shifted downfield to 6.05 and 3.27 ppm for the olefinic and Me groups respectively, while the signal for the SiMe₃ groups is 60 shifted upfield to 0.48 ppm in the ¹H spectrum (Figure S13). Furthermore, as was observed for the conversion of 5 to 6, there is a downfield shift observed for the carbon to 195.1 ppm in the ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ spectrum. The preparation of 7 was lower yielding than that of 6 due to difficulties in separating 1, which also 65 formed in the reaction, from 7 (Figure S13 shows a stack of the ¹H NMR of **1** and **7**), suggesting that **1** may be an intermediate en route to 7. Following a combination of 1 and IMeCO₂ by NMR confirmed that 7 is formed, but the reaction is slow due to the poor solubility of the IMeCO₂ precursor. Separation of 7 and 1 70 was non-trivial as they have similar solubility's in alkane solvents and sublime together at 95 °C and 0.02 torr. The sublimation behavior does however suggest that the coordination of a second carbene does not significantly alter the volatility properties.

To further examine the impact of changing the silyl group ⁷⁵ from Si(SiMe₃)₃ to SiEt(SiMe₃)₂, salt metathesis reactions with (IMe)AgI, (ItBu)CuCl and (ItBu)AgCl were completed. The combination of (IMe)AgI and KSiEt(SiMe₃)₂(THF)_{0.5} leads to a single product, **8**, as a yellow oil after work-up. The ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra are similar to those obtained for **5**, ⁸⁰ showing a 1:1 NHC:silyl group ratio (Figures S14-S15). The carbene carbon for **8** appears as a singlet in the ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum at 191.9 ppm, similar to that observed for **2**. Complex **8** is pyrophoric and ignites upon exposure to air. Due to the oily nature of the product, **8** could not be characterized in the solid state. Furthermore, despite repeated attempts, we could not distill **8** so it could not be completely purified.

- Reactions of (ItBu)CuCl and (ItBu)AgCl with KSiEt(SiMe₃)₂(THF)_{0.5} generate the corresponding silyl products, 9 and 10, in 66% and 64% yield, respectively (Scheme 3). The ¹H NMR spectra of both complexes show signals for the ItBu and SiEt(SiMe₃)₂ ligands in a 1:1 ratio. The signals for the carbene
- ¹⁰ carbon atoms appear at 180.0 and 189.1 ppm for **9** and **10**, respectively, in the ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum. Similar to complexes **3** and **4**, the carbon carbon signals are a singlet for **9**, but overlapping doublets, due to coupling to the two spin $\frac{1}{2}$ isotopes of Ag, for **10**. The observed multiplicity in **10** suggests
- ¹⁵ strong coupling to the Ag center and likely a stronger metalcarbon bond than that observed for **2** or **8** where IMe was employed.



- Single crystals of 9 and 10 were obtained from concentrated pentane solutions of the complexes and X-ray diffraction analysis performed on each (Figures 7 and 8). Unlike in the case of 5, but similar to 3 and 4, both 9 and 10 crystallized as mono-metallic, linear complexes. This is likely because the greater size of ItBu
- ²⁵ relative to IMe prevents the formation of the bimetallic species. The M-C (9: 1.9481(16) Å, 10: 2.165(2) Å) and M-Si (9: 1.9481(16) Å, 10: 2.165(2) Å) bonds of 9 and 10 are slightly longer than those in 1-4. In the case of 9, while the M-C bond length is similar to that in 5 and 6, the Cu-Si bond is significantly
- ³⁰ shorter. Despite the decrease in the size of the silyl group, the C-M-Si bond angles of 178.29(5) and 177.98(6)° for 9 and 10, respectively, are much closer to 3 and 4 than they are to 1 and 2.

	Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (°)				
	C(1)-M	Si(1)-M	C(1)-M-Si(1)		
9	1.9481(16)	2.2754(5)	178.29(5)		
10	2.165(2)	2.4006(6)	177.98(6)		

³⁵

Neither 9 nor 10 coordinate a second ItBu ligand, remaining mono NHC derivatives even in the presence of excess free carbene. In terms of volatility, 9 and 10 both sublime readily at 90 °C at 0.02 torr, lower in temperature than the Si(SiMe₃)₃ 40 analogues 3 and 4. While the lower sublimation temperature is desirable, 9 also appears to be more thermally sensitive than 3, as increasing the temperature to 95 °C leads to considerable decomposition. Furthermore, if 9 is left under sublimation conditions for longer than 24 h, the sublimed product begins to



Figure 7. POV-Ray rendered ORTEP plot of the molecular structure of 9 (50% thermal ellipsoids). C; gray, N; aquamarine, Si: deep pink, Cu: copper. H-atoms omitted for clarity.



 Figure 8. POV-Ray rendered ORTEP plot of the molecular structure of 10 (50% thermal ellipsoids). C; gray, N; aquamarine, Si: deep pink, Ag: slate blue. H-atoms omitted for clarity.

decompose. The narrow temperature range between sublimation and decomposition, as well as the propensity of the sublimed ⁵⁵ product to decompose, are similar to that observed for **5**, and suggests the exchange of a silyl group for an ethyl group, while increasing volatility also decreases stability.

The study of NHC-metal-silyl complexes suggested that subtle modifications to the silyl group the NHC could lead to significant ⁶⁰ differences in the nature of the complexes formed. This allowed for the isolation of mono- and bis-NHC complexes, as well as monomeric and dimeric copper species. These modifications and structural differences also manifested themselves in different volatilities and stabilities of the complexes formed. To further ⁶⁵ examine the effect of ligand modifications, N-donor ligands were explored as substitutes for the carbene donors.

Synthesis of metal-silyl complexes containing N-donors

Given the success of NHCs as ligands in supporting copper and silver silyl complexes, we were also interested in whether ⁷⁰ simple, more easily accessible, nitrogen donor ligands could be used in a similar manner. As a starting point, 1-methylimidazole was chosen due to its structural similarity to IMe (it is a precursor in the synthesis of IMe). The combination of equimolar amounts of 1-methylimidazole, CuCl or AgOTf and $KSi(SiMe_3)_3(THF)_2$ generated the dinuclear compounds **11** and **12** in low yields of 30% and 15% yield, respectively (Schemes 4 and 5). During these reactions a considerable amount of M(0) is observed. This

- ⁵ may be due in part to the deprotonation of the 2-position of the imidazole ring by the strongly basic KSi(SiMe₃)₃(THF)₂ reagent.³⁷ The ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra of each new compound show only a single resonance for the SiMe₃ groups at 0.58 ppm for both in the ¹H spectra and 5.78 (**11**) and 6.16 (**12**)
- ¹⁰ ppm in the ¹³C{¹H} spectra. The expected signals for the 1methylimidazole ligands are also present but closer examination of the ¹H NMR spectrum of each shows that the complexes formed have different formulations since the ratio of the 1methylimidazole ligand to the silyl group is 1:1 in **11** but 1:2 in ¹⁵ **12**.

X-ray quality crystals of **11** and **12** were obtained and diffraction studies were completed on each (Figures S16 and S17). Both structures show bimetallic, silyl bridged species with the 1-methylimidazole ligand(s) coordinated to one metal center

²⁰ and the other coordinated by two silyl groups. In addition, both complexes also contain a M-M contact. Related structures have been observed for Cu, ^{15, 36} but Ag compounds of this formulation are unknown.



25



Scheme 5. Synthesis of complex 12.

The low yielding nature of the syntheses of **11** and **12** made a ³⁰ better route to related species desirable. To avoid the potential issues with acidic sites on the N-donor ligand, 4dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) was used instead of 1methylimidazole. When a reaction similar to that employed in the synthesis of **11** was carried out with DMAP and CuCl, only ³⁵ mixtures were obtained. While we were able to obtain crystallographic evidence for the formation of a species analogous to **11**, with DMAP as the neutral ligands (Figure S18), we could never isolate this species in a pure form. When the reaction was completed with AgOTf, however, a clean product ⁴⁰ (**13**) could be isolated, albeit again in low (22%) yield (Scheme

6).

As for 12, a single resonance was observed for the SiMe3

85

groups in both the ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra of **13** at 0.61 and 6.10 ppm, respectively. However, the ratio of DMAP ligand to ⁴⁵ silyl group in the ¹H spectrum of **13** was found to be 1:1 rather than the 1:2 as was observed for **12**. A single crystal X-ray diffraction study performed on crystals of **13** confirmed that the formulation was similar to that observed for **11**, with a trigonal planar silver atom coordinated to two DMAP ligands and a ⁵⁰ bridging silyl in contact with a linear silver center bound to two silyl groups (Figure S19).

The differences in the structures of **12** and **13** as well as the difficulty associated with isolating the Cu analogue of **13** suggest one reason for the low yield of these complexes may be ligand ⁵⁵ lability. In an attempt to overcome this issue and isolate related species in higher yields, the monodentate N-donor ligands were replaced with the bidentate 2,2'-bipyridine (bipy). The reaction of one equivalent of bipy with two equivalents of CuBr or AgOTf and two equivalents of KSi(SiMe₃)₃(THF)₂ gave **14** and **15** in ⁶⁰ better yields of 60 and 58%, respectively (Scheme 7). Again, single resonances for the Si(SiMe₃)₃ groups in the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were observed. To further confirm that **14** and **15** were analogous to the complexes synthesized containing monodentate N-donor ligands, single crystals were grown and ⁶⁵ subjected to X-ray diffraction studies (Figures 9 and 10).

Since the ¹H NMR spectra of complexes **11-15** all demonstrated singlet resonances for the Si(SiMe₃)₃ groups, despite the fact that the crystallography suggested inequivalence, variable temperature NMR studies were completed on **14** and **15** to examine their behavior in solution. Upon cooling a toluene-d⁸ solution of **14** the signal at 0.40 ppm (298 K) undergoes coalescence at 236 K, and two sharp singlets are observed at 0.69 and 0.42 ppm at 191 K. A similar result is observed for **15** with a somewhat higher coalescence temperature of 270 K. The ⁷⁵ fluxional process responsible for this behavior is likely a rocking of the M(Si(SiMe₃)₃)₂ group back and forth along the M-M bond, with the data suggesting barriers of 11.0(3) and 12.7(3) kcal/mol for **14** and **15** respectively.

Based on the similar solid state structures obtained, all of the 80 N- donor complexes (11-15) may be viewed as a cation-anion



Figure 9. POV-Ray rendered ORTEP plot of the molecular structure of 14 (50% thermal ellipsoids). C; gray, N; aquamarine, Si: deep pink, Cu: copper. H-atoms omitted for clarity.

ARTICLE TYPE

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/coxx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxx

Table 3: Selected bond lengths and angles for 11-15.

	Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°)						
	M(1)-Si(1)	M(2)-Si(1)	M(2)-Si(5)	M(1)-M(5)	M(1)-N	Si(1)-M(2)-Si(5)	
11, M=Cu	2.3369(5)	2.4003(5)	2.2907(5)	2.4328(4)	2.0185(17) 2.0382(17)	168.514(19)	
12, M=Ag	2.438(2)	2.6413(19)	2.412(2)	2.6815(8)	2.154(6)	168.12(7)	
13 , M=Ag	2.4577(15)	2.5949(14)	2.4195(14)	2.6913(6)	2.295(5) 2.297(5)	167.45(5)	
14, M=Cu	2.3187(6)	2.3903(6)	2.2744(7)	2.4002(5)	2.067(2) 2.076(2)	169.02(2)	
15, M=Ag	2.4711(6)	2.6024(6)	2.4102(6)	2.6753(3)	2.347(2) 2.346(2)	171.65(2)	



5 Figure 10. POV-Ray rendered ORTEP plot of the molecular structure of 15 (50% thermal ellipsoids). C; gray, N; aquamarine, Si: deep pink, Ag: slate blue. H-atoms omitted for clarity.

pair of the form $[L_nM]^+[M(Si(SiMe_3)_3)_2]^{-}$ (M = Cu, Ag, n = 1, 2), where the anionic metal center interacts with the cationic ¹⁰ center *via* the M-M contact. This view is supported by the observation that in complexes such as $[K][Cu(Si(SiMe_3)_3)_2]$, an interaction between the Cu and K centers is observed.¹⁶ Additionally, this formulation is similar to that proposed in many NHC-M-halide species $[(NHC_2M)(X_2M)^-]$ and these ¹⁵ structures may be related to the intermediates proposed for the ligand exchange that was suggested for complex 2 (*vide supra*). The solid state characterization of **11-15** allowed for comparisons to be made on the effect of ligand choice on some of the metric parameters (Table 3). For the copper complexes, ²⁰ the average Cu-N bond distance is shorter for complex **11**

- 20 the average Cu-N bond distance is shorter for complex 11 (2.0284(17) Å) than it is for 14 (2.072(2) Å), while the Cu-Cu distance in 11 (2.4328(4) Å) is longer than it is in 14 (2.4002(5) Å). For the silver complexes, 15 has the longest M-N contacts (2.347(2) Å) followed by 13 (2.296(5) Å) and 12 (2.1546) Å).
- ²⁵ This trend is not completely reflected in the Ag-Ag contact however, as while **15** has the shortest Ag-Ag distance

(2.6753(3) Å), the same distance in **13** (2.6913(6) Å) is longer than it is in **12** (2.6815(8) Å). In terms of the M-Si contacts, the M(2)-Si(5) distances remain similar for each the copper and ³⁰ silver complexes, while for silver at least, it appears that a lengthening of the M(1)-Si(1) bond distance leads to a shortening of the M(2)-Si(1) distance.

As **14** and **15** could be isolated in reasonable yields, the volatility of these complexes was of interest. However, ³⁵ attempted sublimations of these species lead to decomposition rather than sublimation. This suggests that the bimetallic nature found in the N-donor supported compounds limits their volatility probably due to the higher molecular weights.

Conclusions

- ⁴⁰ Herein we have reported the syntheses of a number of copper and silver silyl complexes supported by C- and N-donor ligands. The use of different NHC as well as silyl ligands provided a diverse array of structures in the complexes synthesized with formulations of NHC-M-Silyl, NHC₂-M-Silyl ⁴⁵ and a dimeric silyl bridged [NHC-M-Silyl]₂ species observed. The thermal properties of the complexes were examined and the complexes of the form NHC-M-Si(SiMe₃)₃ were shown to
- be promising volatile metal precursors. It was noted during the investigation of the NHC ligands that although modifying the 50 NHC had little effect on the volatility of the complexes, reducing the symmetry in the silyl group produced significantly
- more sensitive complexes. In the synthesis of the N-donor complexes, bimetallic species were obtained regardless of the ligand chosen. The most notable result obtained was that using
- ss a bidentate ligand allowed for the isolation of the desired species in higher yields than when monodentate ligands were employed, likely due to ligand lability. We are currently exploring these complexes further and examining whether they are suitable precursors for the deposition of metal films.

60 Experimental section

General Considerations

All preparations were performed under an atmosphere of dry, O₂-free Ar employing both vacuum line techniques and inert atmosphere glove boxes. Residual oxygen and moisture were 5 removed from the argon stream by passage through an OxisorBW scrubber from Matheson Gas Products. Toluene, tetrahydrofuran, and hexanes solvents were dried and purified using the Grubbs/Dow purification system and stored in

- evacuated 500 mL thick walled glass vessels over sodium-10 tetraglyme/benzophenone ketyl. Pentane was dried, distilled, and stored in an evacuated 500 mL vessel over sodiumtetraglyme/benzophenone ketyl. All solvents were distilled prior to use. ¹H, ¹³C{¹H} and ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR spectra were acquired on Bruker Avance 400 and 600 MHz spectrometers.
- 15 ¹H and ¹³C NMR were internally referenced to deuterated C₆D₆ $(\delta = 7.16 \text{ ppm (}^{1}\text{H}), 128.06 \text{ ppm (}^{13}\text{C}))$ relative to Me₄Si. ²⁹Si resonances were referenced externally to Me₄Si. NMR samples were prepared in the glove box, capped and sealed with parafilm. The chemical shift values of ²⁹Si NMR signals were
- 20 determined using a 1-dimensional ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR experiment, a 2-dimensional ¹H-²⁹Si HMBC experiment or a combination of the two as noted. Coupling constants (J) are reported as absolute values. All glassware was dried overnight at 120 °C and evacuated for 1 hour prior to use. Combustion analyses
- 25 were performed in-house employing a Perkin Elmer 2400 Series II CHNS Analyzer. C₆D₆ was purchased from the Cambridge Isotope Laboratories and was dried over sodium, distilled, degassed and stored under Ar in a glove box. (IMe)CuI,³⁷ (IMe)AgI,³⁸ (ItBu)CuCl,²⁹ KSi(SiMe₃)₃(THF)₂,
- ³⁰ EtSi(SiMe₃)₃ and KSi(SiMe₃)₂Et(THF)_{0.5}³⁹ and IMeCO₂⁴⁰ were prepared according to previously reported procedures. (ItBu)AgCl was prepared using a similar strategy to those previously described for the synthesis of NHC-silver halides.30, (Me₃Si)₄Si was obtained from Alfa Aesar. AgOTf, CuX (X
- 35 = Cl, Br, I), 1-methylimidazole, 2,2'-bipyridine and 4dimethylaminopyridine were obtained from Aldrich Chemical Co. All chemicals were used as received.

Synthesis of ItBuAgCl. ItBuHCl (1 g, 4.614 mmol) and silver 40 (I) oxide (668 mg, 2.884 mmol) were combined in a 50 mL round bottom flask and CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) was added via a cannula. The reaction mixture was wrapped in Al-foil and allowed to stir at room temperature. After 18 h, the solution was filtered through a plug of celite on a fine porosity frit and

- 45 the solvent was removed in vacuo. The off-white solid was redissolved in minimal CH2Cl2 and pentane was added to precipitate a white solid. The supernatant was decanted and the white solid dried. The product was obtained as a white solid in 79% yield (1.18 g, 3.645 mmol). The compound can be re-
- 50 crystallized by slow diffusion of pentane into CH₂Cl₂ at -35°C. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, δ ppm) 7.16 (s, 2H, CH), 1.74 (s, 18H, $C(CH_3)_3$). ¹³ $C\{^{1}H\}$ NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , δ ppm) 176.29 (bs, *carbene*-C ItBu), 117.27 (s, CH ItBu), 58.07 (s, C(CH₃)₃), 32.13 (s, C(CH₃)₃ ItBu). Elemental Analysis for C₁₁H₂₀N₂AgCl (323.60)
- 55 Calculated: C, 40.83; H, 6.23; N, 8.65; Actual: C, 41.46; H, 6.39; N, 8.71.

Synthesis of (IMe)CuSi(SiMe₃)₃ (1) and (ItBu)CuSi(SiMe₃)₃

- (3). These compounds were prepared in a similar fashion and 60 thus only one preparation is detailed. (1): A solution of KSi(SiMe₃)₃(THF)₂ in toluene (376 mg, 0.872 mmol; 5 mL) was added drop wise to stirring gray suspension of (IMe)CuI in toluene (250 mg, 0.872 mmol; 5 mL) at -35 °C. The cloudy gray suspension was stirred for 18 h before being filtered
- 65 through a plug of celite to a clear pale yellow solution. The solvent was removed and the off-white solid was extracted with pentane. The mixture was cooled to -35 °C and after 24 hours yielded the product as a crop of off-white crystals. A second crop could be obtained by re-cooling the supernatant giving the
- ⁷⁰ product in 63% yield (223 mg; 0.549 mmol). ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , δ ppm) 5.67 (s, 2H, CH), 2.91 (s, 6H, CH₃), 0.62 (s, 27H, Si(CH₃)₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) 189.56 (s, carbene-C IMe), 120.58 (s, CH IMe), 37.02 (s, CH₃ IMe), 5.83 (s, Si(CH₃)₃). ¹H-²⁹Si HMBC NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) -5.5

75 (Si(SiMe₃)₃), -136.8 (Si(SiMe₃)₃). Elemental Analysis for C₁₄H₃₅N₂Si₄Cu (406.73) Calculated: C, 41.30; H, 8.67; N, 6.89; Actual: C, 41.41; H, 9.13; N, 6.87.

(3): Starting with KSi(SiMe₃)₃(THF)₂ (431 mg, 1.000 mmol) and (ItBu)CuCl (280 mg, 1.000 mmol), the product was so obtained as white crystals in 74% yield (363 mg; 0.740 mmol).

- ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , δ ppm) 6.27 (s, 2H, CH), 1.45 (s, 18H, $C(CH_3)_3$, 0.61 (s, 27H, Si(CH_3)_3). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) 179.38 (s, carbene-C ItBu), 115.23 (s, CH ItBu), 57.77 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$), 32.38 (s, $C(CH_3)_3$ ItBu), 5.46 (s, Si($CH_3)_3$). $^{29}\text{Si}\{^{1}\text{H}\}$ NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) -6.73 (s, Si(SiMe_3)_3). $^{1}\text{H}-^{29}\text{Si}$ HMBC NMR (C_6D_6 , δ ppm) -6.79 (Si(SiMe_3)_3), -147.70 (Si(SiMe₃)₃). Elemental Analysis for C₂₀H₄₇N₂Si₄Cu
- (491.05) Calculated: C, 48.92; H, 9.65; N, 5.70; Actual: C, 48.90; H, 9.89; N, 5.58.

Synthesis of (IMe)AgSi(SiMe₃)₃ (2) and (ItBu)AgSi(SiMe₃)₃ (4). These compounds were prepared in a similar fashion and thus only one preparation is detailed. (2): A solution of KSi(SiMe₃)₃(THF)₂ in toluene (456 mg, 1.057 mmol; 5 mL) 95 was added drop wise to stirring gray suspension of (IMe)AgI in toluene (350 mg, 1.057 mmol; 5 mL) at -35 °C. The cloudy gray suspension was stirred for 18 h before being filtered through a plug of celite to a clear pale yellow solution. The solvent was removed and the off-white solid was extracted with 100 pentane. The mixture was cooled to -35 °C and after 24 hours yielded the product as a crop of off-white crystals. A second crop could be obtained by re-cooling the supernatant giving the product in 76% yield (362 mg; 0.803 mmol). ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , δ ppm) 5.61 (s, 2H, CH), 2.90 (s, 6H, CH₃), 0.64 (s, 27H, ¹⁰⁵ Si(CH₃)₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) 189.76 (s, carbene-C IMe), 120.50 (s, CH IMe), 37.00 (s, CH₃ IMe), 5.81 (s, Si(CH₃)₃). ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) -5.56 (s, Si(SiMe₃)₃). $^{1}\text{H}-^{29}\text{Si}$ HMBC NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) -5.45 (Si(SiMe_3)_3), -136.87 (Si(SiMe₃)₃). Elemental Analysis for C₁₄H₃₅N₂Si₄Ag 110 (451.05) Calculated: C, 37.25; H, 7.82; N, 6.21; Actual: C, 37.13; H, 8.00; N, 6.12.

(4): Starting with KSi(SiMe₃)₃(THF)₂ (431 mg, 1.000 mmol) and (ItBu)AgCl (324 mg, 1.000 mmol), the product was obtained as a crop of white crystals in 71% yield (380 mg; 115 0.710 mmol). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) 6.33 (s, 2H, CH), 1.41 (s, 18H, C(CH₃)₃), 0.62 (s, 27H, Si(CH₃)₃). $^{13}C{^{1}H}$ NMR

(C₆D₆, δ ppm) 188.10 (apparent dofd, ${}^{1}J_{CAg109}$ 131 Hz, ${}^{1}J_{CAg107}$ 113 Hz, *carbene-C* ItBu), 115.57 (s, CH ItBu), 57.77 (s, *C*(CH₃)₃), 31.98 (s, C(CH₃)₃ ItBu), 5.46 (d, ${}^{3}J_{CAg}$ 4 Hz, Si(CH₃)₃). ${}^{29}Si{}^{1}H$ NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) -5.95 (s, Si(SiMe₃)₃), 5 -140.21 (dofd, ${}^{1}J_{SiAg109}$ 213 Hz, ${}^{1}J_{SiAg107}$ 179 Hz, *Si*(SiMe₃)₃). ¹H- ${}^{29}Si$ HMBC NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) -5.84 (Si(SiMe₃)₃), -140.82 (*Si*(SiMe₃)₃). Elemental Analysis for C₂₀H₄₇N₂Si₄Ag (535.37) Calculated: C, 44.87; H, 8.85; N, 5.23; Actual: C, 44.50; H, 8.53; N, 4.95.

- 10 Synthesis of (IMe)CuSiEt(SiMe₃)₂ (5). A solution of KSiEt(SiMe₃)₂(THF)_{0.5} in toluene (279 mg, 1.000 mmol; 5 mL) was added drop wise to stirring gray suspension of (IMe)CuI in toluene (287 mg, 1.000 mmol; 5 mL) at -35 °C. The cloudy 15 suspension was stirred for 18 h before being filtered through a plug of celite to a clear yellow solution. The solvent was removed and the yellow solid was combined with pentane and cooled to -35 °C. After 24 hours the supernatant was removed and the product was obtained as a crystalline yellow solid in ²⁰ 60% yield (218 mg; 0.600 mmol). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) 5.60 (s, 2H, CH), 3.00 (s, 6H, NCH₃), 1.61 (t, 3H, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ 7.8 Hz, SiCH₂CH₃), 1.34 (quart, 2H, ³J_{HH} 7.8 Hz, SiCH₂CH₃), 0.63 (s, 18H, Si(CH₃)₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) 182.01 (s, carbene-C IMe), 120.20 (s, CH IMe), 36.74 (s, CH₃ IMe), 25 17.79 (s, SiCH₂CH₃), 3.72 (s, Si(CH₃)₃), 2.78 (s, SiCH₂CH₃).
- ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) -9.75 (s, SiEt(*Si*Me₃)₂), -77.65 (s, *Si*Et(SiMe₃)₂). Elemental Analysis for C₁₃H₃₁N₂Si₃Cu (362.87) Calculated: C, 43.03; H, 8.61; N, 7.72; Actual: C, 42.83; H, 8.28; N, 7.72.
- Synthesis (IMe)₂CuSiEt(SiMe₃)₂ of (6) and (IMe)₂CuSi(SiMe₃)₃ (7). These compounds were prepared in a similar fashion and thus only one preparation is detailed. (6): Solid IMeCO₂ (140 mg, 1.000 mmol) was added to solid CuCl 35 (50 mg, 0.500 mmol) and 10 mL of THF was added. The mixture was stirred for 1h giving a cloudy off-white suspension. The mixture was cooled to -35 °C and a solution of KSiEt(SiMe₃)₂(THF)_{0.5} in THF (140 mg, 0.500 mmol; 5 mL) was added drop wise. An immediate change to a deep red 40 mixture is observed. The cloudy suspension was stirred for 18 h before being filtered through a plug of celite to a clear orange-yellow solution. The solvent was removed and the yellow solid was combined with toluene and filtered through a plug of celite before the solvent was removed. The solid was
- ⁴⁵ then combined with pentane and cooled to -35 °C. After 24 hours the supernatant was removed and the product was obtained as a crystalline yellow solid in 49% yield (112 mg; 0.244 mmol). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) 6.06 (s, 4H, CH), 3.28 (s, 12H, NCH₃), 1.31 (t, 3H, ³J_{HH} 7.7 Hz, SiCH₂CH₃), 1.18
- ⁵⁰ (quart, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ 7.7 Hz, SiC H_{2} CH₃), 0.47 (s, 18H, Si(C H_{3})₃). ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) 195.74 (s, *carbene-C* IMe), 119.68 (s, CH IMe), 36.69 (s, CH₃ IMe), 16.29 (s, SiCH₂CH₃), 4.62 (s, SiCH₂CH₃), 3.69 (s, Si(CH₃)₃). ${}^{29}Si{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) -9.96 (s, SiEt(SiMe₃)₂), -86.25 (s, SiEt(SiMe₃)₂). ${}^{1}H{}$ -
- ⁵⁵ ²⁹Si HMBC NMR (C_6D_6 , δ ppm) -10.11 (SiEt(*Si*Me₃)₂), -86.49 (*Si*Et(SiMe₃)₂). Elemental Analysis for $C_{18}H_{39}N_4Si_3Cu$ (458.99) Calculated: C, 47.10; H, 8.56; N, 12.20; Actual: C, 46.85; H, 8.76; N, 12.63.

- (7): Starting with IMeCO₂ (140 mg, 1.000 mmol), CuCl (50 mg, 0.500 mmol) and KSi(SiMe₃)₃(THF)₂ (216 mg, 0.500 mmol) the product was obtained as an orange-yellow solid in 35% yield (88 mg; 0.175 mmol). ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , δ ppm) 6.05 (s, 4H, CH), 3.27 (s, 12H, NCH₃), 0.48 (s, 27H, Si(CH₃)₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (C_6D_6 , δ ppm) 195.11 (s, *carbene-C* IMe),
- ⁶⁵ 119.89 (s, CH IMe), 36.88 (s, CH₃ IMe), 5.90 (s, Si(CH₃)₃). ¹H-²⁹Si HMBC NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) -6.65 (Si (*Si*Me₃)₃), -160.83 (*Si*(SiMe₃)₃). Elemental Analysis for C₁₉H₄₃N₄Si₄Cu (503.01) Calculated: C, 45.37; H, 8.62; N, 11.14; Actual: (1) C, 44.71; H, 8.82; N, 11.16, (2) C, 44.60; H, 8.47; N, 11.11, (3) C, 44.46;
- ⁷⁰ H, 8.70; N, 11.11. Elemental analysis for this complex consistently returned low carbon possibly due to carbide formation.
- Synthesis of (IMe)AgSiEt(SiMe₃)₂ (8). A solution of 75 KSiEt(SiMe₃)₂(THF)_{0.5} in toluene (279 mg, 1.000 mmol; 5 mL) was added drop wise to stirring gray suspension of (IMe)AgI in toluene (331 mg, 1.000 mmol; 5 mL) at -35 °C. The cloudy gray suspension was stirred for 18 h before being filtered through a plug of celite to a clear pale yellow solution. The 80 solvent was removed and a colorless oily residue was extracted with pentane, filtered and re-dried giving the crude product as a viscous oil in 64% yield (262 mg; 0.643 mmol). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) 5.82 (s, 2H, CH), 3.00 (s, 6H, NCH₃), 1.55 (t, 3H, ³J_{HH} 7.7 Hz, SiCH₂CH₃), 1.28 (quart, 2H, ³J_{HH} 7.7 Hz, $_{85}$ SiCH₂CH₃), 0.54 (s, 18H, Si(CH₃)₃). $^{13}C{^{1}H}$ NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) 191.90 (s, carbene-C IMe), 120.63 (s, CH IMe), 37.07 (s, CH₃ IMe), 18.00 (s, SiCH₂CH₃), 3.63 (s, Si(CH₃)₃), 3.36 (s, SiCH₂CH₃). ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) -9.03 (s, SiEt(SiMe₃)₂), -66.60 (s, SiEt(SiMe₃)₂). ¹H-²⁹Si HMBC NMR 90 (C_6D_6 , δ ppm) -9.01 (SiEt(SiMe_3)_2), -66.83 (SiEt(SiMe_3)_2).

Synthesis of (ItBu)CuSiEt(SiMe₃)₂ (9). A solution of KSiEt(SiMe₃)₂(THF)_{0.5} in toluene (279 mg, 1.000 mmol; 5 mL) was added drop wise to stirring white suspension of (ItBu)CuCl 95 in toluene (280 mg, 1.000 mmol; 5 mL) at -35 °C. The cloudy suspension was stirred for 18 h before being filtered through a plug of celite to a clear pale red solution. The solvent was removed and the off-white solid was combined with hexane and filtered. The clear colorless solution was cooled to -35 °C, 100 and after 2 hours the supernatant was removed and the product was obtained as colorless needles in 66% yield (294 mg; 0.657 mmol). ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , δ ppm) 6.33 (s, 2H, CH), 1.57 (t, 3H, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$ 7.7 Hz, SiCH₂CH₃), 1.47 (s, 18H, C(CH₃)₃), 1.28 (quart of d, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$ 7.7 Hz, SiCH₂CH₃), 0.56 (s, 18H, Si(CH₃)₃). 105 $^{13}C{^{1}H}$ NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) 179.99 (s, *carbene-C* I*t*Bu), 115.31 (s, CH ItBu), 57.76 (s, C(CH₃)₃), 32.33 (s, C(CH₃)₃) ItBu), 17.39 (s, SiCH₂CH₃), 3.31 (s, Si(CH₃)₃), 2.54 (s, SiCH₂CH₃). ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) -10.01 (s, Si(SiMe₃)₂Et), -79.02 (s, Si(SiMe₃)₂Et). Elemental Analysis for ¹¹⁰ C₁₉H₄₃N₂Si₃Cu (447.03) Calculated: C, 51.05; H, 9.69; N, 6.26; Actual: C, 51.07; H, 9.98; N, 6.31.

Synthesis of (ItBu)AgSiEt(SiMe₃)₂ (10). A solution of KSiEt(SiMe₃)₂(THF)_{0.5} in toluene (279 mg, 1.000 mmol; 5 mL) ¹¹⁵ was added drop wise to stirring gray suspension of (ItBu)AgCl in toluene (324 mg, 1.000 mmol; 5 mL) at -35 °C. The cloudy

gray suspension was stirred for 18 h before being filtered through a plug of celite to a clear almost colorless solution. The solvent was removed and the white solid was extracted with hexane, filtered and stored at -35 °C for 2 hours producing the ⁵ product as white needles in 64% yield (314 mg; 0.639 mmol).

- ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) 6.38 (s, 2H, CH), 1.58 (t, 3H, ³J_{HH} 7.9 Hz, SiCH₂CH₃), 1.44 (s, 18H, C(CH₃)₃), 1.31 (quart of d, 2H, ³J_{HH} 7.9 Hz, ³J_{HA} 1.6 Hz, SiCH₂CH₃), 0.57 (s, 18H, Si(CH₃)₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) 189.11 (apparent dofd, ¹J_{CAg109}
- ¹⁰ 119 Hz, ${}^{1}J_{CAg107}$ 103 Hz, *carbene-C* ItBu), 115.66 (d, ${}^{3}J_{CAg}$ 3 Hz, CH ItBu), 57.76 (s, C(CH₃)₃), 32.03 (s, C(CH₃)₃) ItBu), 17.90 (d, ${}^{3}J_{CAg}$ 6 Hz, SiCH₂CH₃), 3.22 (d, ${}^{3}J_{CAg}$ 3 Hz, Si(CH₃)₃), 3.05 (d, ${}^{2}J_{CAg}$ 2 Hz, SiCH₂CH₃. ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) -9.40 (d, ${}^{2}J_{SiAg}$ 4 Hz, *Si*Et(SiMe₃)₂), -69.38
- ¹⁵ (dofd, ¹ $J_{SiAg109}$ 239 Hz, ¹ $J_{SiAg107}$ 207 Hz, *Si*Et(SiMe₃)₂). Elemental Analysis for C₁₉H₄₃N₂Si₃Ag (491.35) Calculated: C, 46.45; H, 8.82; N, 5.70; Actual: C, 46.50; H, 8.47; N, 5.69.

Synthesis of (Imidazole)₂[CuSi(SiMe₃)₃]₂ (11). Neat *N*-²⁰ methylimidazole (80 μl, 1.000 mmol) was added to a stirring off-white suspension of CuCl in THF (99 mg, 1.000 mmol; 4 mL) giving a cloudy white mixture. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h before cooling to -35 °C. A -35 °C solution of KSi(SiMe₃)₃(THF)₂ in THF (431 mg, 1.000 mmol; 5 mL) was ²⁵ added drop wise to the stirring suspension of methylimidazoleCuCl giving a gray-brown mixture. The mixture was stirred for a further 18 h before being filtered through a plug of celite to a clear brown-orange solution. The solvent was removed and the brown-orange solid was extracted ³⁰ with hexane and filtered through a plug of celite. The pale

- brown-orange solution was cooled to -35 °C and after 24 hours yielded the product as a crop of off-white crystals in 30% yield (118 mg; 0.150 mmol). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) 6.97 (s, 2H, *CH*), 6.77 (s, 2H, *CH*), 5.89 (s, 2H, *CH*), 2.34 (s, 6H, N-*CH*₃),
- ³⁵ 0.58 (s, 54H, Si(CH₃)₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) 138.42 (s, CH), 128.49 (s, CH), 120.26 (s, CH), 32.59 (s, CH₃), 5.78 (s, Si(CH₃)₃). ¹H-²⁹Si HMBC NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) -7.40 (Si(SiMe₃)₃), -145.51 (Si(SiMe₃)₃). Elemental Analysis for C₂₆H₆₆N₄Si₈Cu₂ (785.72) Calculated: C, 39.75; H, 8.47; N, ⁴⁰ 7.13; Actual: C, 39.87; H, 8.45; N, 7.08.

Synthesis of (Imidazole)[Ag₂[Si(SiMe₃)₃]₂] (12). Neat *N*-methylimidazole (80 μl, 1.000 mmol) was added to a stirring white suspension of AgOTf in THF (257 mg, 1.000 mmol; 4
⁴⁵ mL) giving a mostly clear, colorless solution. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h before cooling to -35 °C. A -35 °C solution of KSi(SiMe₃)₃(THF)₂ in THF (431 mg, 1.000 mmol; 5 mL) was added drop wise to the stirring solution of methylimidazole and AgOTf quickly giving a dark red-brown solution. The mixture was stirred for a further 18 h before being filtered through a plug of celite to a clear red-brown solution. The solvent was removed and the brown solid was extracted with hexane and filtered through a plug of celite. The

pale brown solution was cooled to -35 °C and after 24 hours syielded the product as a crop of off-white crystals in 15% yield (60 mg; 0.075 mmol). ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , δ ppm) 6.61 (s, 2H, 2xCH), 5.78 (s, 2H, CH), 2.17 (s, 3H, N-CH₃), 0.58 (s, 54H, Si(CH₃)₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (C_6D_6 , δ ppm) 138.17 (bs, CH), 128.81 (bs, CH), 120.54 (bs, CH), 32.65 (s, CH₃), 6.16 (s, 60 Si(CH₃)₃). ²⁹Si{¹H} MMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) -5.39 (s, Si (*Si*Me₃)₃), signal for *Si*(SiMe₃)₃ could not be located . Elemental Analysis for C₂₂H₆₀N₂Si₈Ag₂ (792.27) Calculated: C, 33.35; H, 7.63; N, 3.53; Actual: C, 32.87; H, 7.29; N, 3.63.

- ⁶⁵ Synthesis of (DMAP)₂[AgSi(SiMe₃)₃]₂ (13). A solution of DMAP in THF (122 mg, 1.00 mmol; 2 mL) was added to a stirring white suspension of AgOTf in THF (257 mg, 1.000 mmol; 4 mL) giving a cloudy white mixture. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h before cooling to -35 °C. A -35 °C
 ⁷⁰ solution of KSi(SiMe₃)₃(THF)₂ in THF (431 mg, 1.000 mmol; 5 mL) was added drop wise to the stirring suspension of DMAP and AgOTf quickly giving a dark red-brown solution. The mixture was stirred for a further 18 h before being filtered through a plug of celite to a clear red-brown solution. The ⁷⁵ solvent was removed and the brown solid was extracted with hexane and filtered through a plug of celite. The pale brown solution was cooled to -35 °C and after 24 hours yielded the product as a crop of off-white crystals in 22% yield (102 mg; 0.110 mmol). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) 8.06 (d, 4H, ³J_{HH} 4.8 Hz,
- ⁸⁰ Ar-H), 5.90 (d, 4H, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$ 4.8 Hz, Ar-H), 2.09 (s, 12H, CH₃), 0.61 (s, 54H, Si(CH₃)₃). ${}^{13}C\{{}^{1}\text{H}\}$ NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) 154.42 (s, C-NMe₂ DMAP), 150.19 (s, Ar-CH), 106.96 (s, Ar-CH), 38.22 (s, CH₃), 6.10 (s, Si(CH₃)₃). ${}^{29}\text{Si}\{{}^{1}\text{H}\}$ NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) -5.26 (s, Si(SiMe₃)₃), -136.60 (s, Si(SiMe₃)₃). ${}^{1}\text{H}{}^{-29}\text{Si}\{{}^{1}\text{Si}\}$
- ⁸⁵ HMBC NMR (C_6D_6 , δ ppm) -5.41 (Si(*Si*Me₃)₃), could not locate *Si*(SiMe₃)₃ signal. Elemental Analysis for $C_{30}H_{74}N_4Si_8Ag_2$ (930.48) Calculated: C, 38.73; H, 8.01; N, 6.02; Actual: C, 38.75; H, 8.25; N, 5.60.
- 90 Synthesis of (Bipy)[CuSi(SiMe₃)₃]₂ (14). A solution of Bipy in toluene (78 mg, 0.500 mmol; 2 mL) was added to a stirring white suspension of CuBr in toluene (143 mg, 1.000 mmol; 4 mL) giving a cloudy red-brown suspension. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h before cooling to -35 °C. A -35 °C 95 solution of KSi(SiMe₃)₃(THF)₂ in toluene (431 mg, 1.000 mmol; 5 mL) was added drop wise to the stirring suspension of Bipy and CuBr quickly giving a cloudy purple solution. The mixture was stirred for a further 18 h before being filtered through a plug of celite to a clear red-purple solution. The 100 solvent was removed and the brown-purple solid was extracted with hexane and filtered through a plug of celite. The deep purple solution was cooled to -35 °C and after 24 hours vielded the product as a crop of purple crystals (212 mg). A second crop could be obtained by concentrating the supernatant and 105 cooling it to -35 °C (20 mg). The product was obtained in 60% yield (232 mg; 0.298 mmol). ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , δ ppm) 8.80 (d, 2H, ³J_{HH} 5.0 Hz, Ar-CH), 6.98 (d, 2H, ³J_{HH} 7.7 Hz, Ar-CH), 6.90 (doft, 2H, ³J_{HH} 7.7 Hz, J_{HH} 1.5 Hz, Ar-CH), 6.61 (vt, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$ 5.9 Hz, Ar-CH), 0.46 (s, 54H, Si(CH₃)₃). ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR 110 (C₆D₆, δ ppm) 151.71 (s, carbene-C), 150.79 (s, Ar-CH),
- 138.56 (s, Ar-CH), 126.17 (s, Caroche C), 150.77 (s, Ar-CH), 138.56 (s, Ar-CH), 126.17 (s, Ar-CH), 120.93 (s, Ar-CH), 5.78 (s, Si(CH₃)₃). 29 Si 1 H NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) -7.98 (s, Si (SiMe₃)₃). 1 H- 29 Si HMBC NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) -7.90 (Si(SiMe₃)₃). Could not locate Si(SiMe₃)₃ signal. Elemental 115 Analysis for C₂₈H₆₄N₂Si₈Cu₂ (779.72) Calculated: C, 43.13; H, 8.27; N, 3.59; Actual: C, 43.59; H, 8.62; N, 3.53.

60

- **Synthesis of (Bipy)**[**AgSi(SiMe₃)₃**]₂ (15). A solution of Bipy in toluene (78 mg, 0.500 mmol; 2 mL) was added to a stirring white suspension of AgOTf in toluene (257 mg, 1.000 mmol; 4
- ⁵ mL) giving a clear colorless solution. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h before cooling to -35 °C. A -35 °C solution of KSi(SiMe₃)₃(THF)₂ in toluene (431 mg, 1.000 mmol; 5 mL) was added drop wise to the stirring suspension of Bipy and AgOTf quickly giving a cloudy red-brown solution. The
- ¹⁰ mixture was stirred for a further 18 h before being filtered through a plug of celite to a clear red-brown solution. The solvent was removed and the brown solid was extracted with hexane and filtered through a plug of celite. The deep red solution was cooled to -35 °C and after 24 hours yielded the
- ¹⁵ product as a crop of red crystals in 58% yield (250 mg; 0.288 mmol). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) 8.61 (bs, 2H, Ar-C*H*), 7.07 (bs, 2H, Ar-C*H*), 6.97 (bs, 2H, Ar-C*H*), 6.63 (bs, 2H, Ar-C*H*), 0.49 (s, 54H, Si(C*H*₃)₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) 152.02 (b, *carbene-C*), 150.97 (s, Ar-CH), 138.34 (s, Ar-CH), 125.25 (s,
- ²⁰ Ar-CH), 121.61 (s, Ar-CH), 6.07 (s, Si(CH₃)₃). ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, δ ppm) -5.44 (s, Si (*Si*Me₃)₃), Could not locate *Si*(SiMe₃)₃ signal. Elemental Analysis for C₂₈H₆₄N₂Si₈Ag₂ (868.36) Calculated: C, 38.73; H, 7.43; N, 3.23; Actual: C, 38.46; H, 7.16; N, 3.19.
- 25
- **Crystal structure analysis**. X-ray crystallographic analyses were performed on suitable crystals coated in Paratone 8277 oil (Exxon) and mounted on a glass fibre. Measurements were collected on either a Nonius KappaCCD (Mo K α) or a Bruker
- ³⁰ APEX II CCD diffractometer (Cu Kα); full details can be found in the independently deposited crystallographic information files (CCDC 1037722-1037735). X-ray figures were rendered using POV-Ray software.⁴²

Acknowledgements

³⁵ This work was supported by Semiconductor Research Corporation. W.E.P. also thanks the Canada Research Chair secretariat for a Tier I CRC (2013-2020).

Notes and references

- ^{*a*} Department of Chemistry, University of Calgary, 2500 University 40 Drive, NW, Calgary, Alberta, Canada. Tel: 1-403-220-5746; E-mail:
 - wpiers@ucalgary.ca ^b Intel Corporation, Components Research, 2511 NW 229th Avenue,
- *Hillsboro, OR, 97214.* † Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: TGA and ⁴⁵ DSC data for 1-5, ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra of 7 and 8, POV-ray depictions of 11-13 and (DMAP)₂[Cu(Si(SiMe₃)₃)]₂, tables of
- crystallographic data, and crystallographic data in CIF format. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/
- T. D. Tilley, D. A. Armitage, R. J. P. Corriu, T. C. Kendrick, B. Parbhoo, J. W. White and J. C. Young, in *The Silicon–Heteroatom Bond (1991)*, eds. A. Rappoport and Y. Apeloig, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2010, pp. 245-308.
 M. S. Eiser, in *The Chamilton of Chamilton Silicon*.
- M. S. Eisen, in *The Chemistry of Organic Silicon Compounds*, eds. A. Rappoport and Y. Apeloig, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2003, pp. 2037-2128.
- 3. G. A. Molander and J. A. C. Romero, *Chem. Rev.*, 2002, 102, 2161-2186.

- O. Riant, N. Mostefaï and J. Courmarcel, *Synthesis*, 2004, 2004, 2943-2958.
 S. Díez-González and S. P. Nolan, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2008.
 - . S. Díez-González and S. P. Nolan, Acc. Chem. Res., 2008, 41, 349-358.
- 6. T. D. Tilley, Acc. Chem. Res., 1993, 26, 22-29.
- F. Gauvin, J. F. Harrod and H. G. Woo, in *Advances in* Organometallic Chemistry, eds. F. G. A. Stone and W. Robert, Academic Press, 1998, vol. Volume 42, pp. 363-405.
- J. Y. Corey, in *Advances in Organometallic Chemistry*, eds. R. West and A. F. Hill, Academic Press, 2004, vol. Volume 51, pp. 1-52.
- 9. P. Braunstein and M. Knorr, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1995, 500, 21-38.
- 10. V. K. Dioumaev, P. J. Carroll and D. H. Berry, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2003, 42, 3947-3949.
- 75 11. X. Liu, Z. Wu, H. Cai, Y. Yang, T. Chen, C. E. Vallet, R. A. Zuhr, D. B. Beach, Z.-H. Peng, Y.-D. Wu, T. E. Concolino, A. L. Rheingold and Z. Xue, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 8011-8021.
- 12. H. Wagner and U. Schubert, *Chem. Ber.*, 1990, 123, 2101-2107.
- 13. U. Schubert and H. Wagner, J. Organomet. Chem., 1989, 361, C15-C17.
- 14. A. H. Cowley, T. M. Elkins, R. A. Jones and C. M. Nunn, *Angew. Chem.*, 1988, 100, 1396-1397.
- 85 15. K. W. Klinkhammer, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 2000, 626, 1217-1223.
 - 16. Karl W. Klinkhammer, J. Klett, Y. Xiong and S. Yao, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2003, 2003, 3417-3424.
- 17. R. K. Dieter, in *Modern Organocopper Chemistry*, ed. N. Krause, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH, 2002, pp. 79-144.
- 18. R. J. K. Taylor, *Organocopper Reagents: A Practical Approach*, Oxford University Press, 1994.
- 19. G. C. Fortman, A. M. Z. Slawin and S. P. Nolan, *Organometallics*, 2010, 29, 3966-3972.
- 95 20. C. Kleeberg, E. Feldmann, E. Hartmann, D. J. Vyas and M. Oestreich, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2011, 17, 13538-13543.
- 21. C. Kleeberg, M. S. Cheung, Z. Lin and T. B. Marder, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 19060-19063.
- 22. A. Niskanen, T. Hatanpää, K. Arstila, M. Leskelä and M. Ritala, *Chem. Vap. Deposition*, 2007, 13, 408-413.
- J. P. Coyle, P. G. Gordon, A. P. Wells, D. J. Mandia, E. R. Sirianni, G. P. A. Yap and S. T. Barry, *Chem. Mater.*, 2013, 25, 4566-4573.
- J. P. Coyle, G. Dey, E. R. Sirianni, M. L. Kemell, G. P. A. Yap, M. Ritala, M. Leskelä, S. D. Elliott and S. T. Barry, *Chem. Mater.*, 2013, 25, 1132-1138.
- M. Kariniemi, J. Niinistö, T. Hatanpää, M. Kemell, T. Sajavaara, M. Ritala and M. Leskelä, *Chem. Mater.*, 2011, 23, 2901-2907.
- 110 26. N. P. Mankad, D. S. Laitar and J. P. Sadighi, Organometallics, 2004, 23, 3369-3371.
 - L. A. Goj, E. D. Blue, S. A. Delp, T. B. Gunnoe, T. R. Cundari, A. W. Pierpont, J. L. Petersen and P. D. Boyle, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2006, 45, 9032-9045.
- 115 28. L. A. Goj, E. D. Blue, C. Munro-Leighton, T. B. Gunnoe and J. L. Petersen, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2005, 44, 8647-8649.
- S. Diez-Gonzalez, E. C. Escudero-Adan, J. Benet-Buchholz,
 E. D. Stevens, A. M. Z. Slawin and S. P. Nolan, *Dalton Trans.*, 2010, 39, 7595-7606.
- P. de Frémont, N. M. Scott, E. D. Stevens, T. Ramnial, O. C. Lightbody, C. L. B. Macdonald, J. A. C. Clyburne, C. D. Abernethy and S. P. Nolan, *Organometallics*, 2005, 24, 6301-6309.
- 31.
 U. Hintermair, U. Englert and W. Leitner, Organometallics,

 125
 2011, 30, 3726-3731.
 - 32. H. M. J. Wang and I. J. B. Lin, *Organometallics*, 1998, 17, 972-975.
- W. A. Herrmann, S. K. Schneider, K. Öfele, M. Sakamoto and E. Herdtweck, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2004, 689, 2441-2449.

Page 13 of 14

- D. J. Hagen, I. M. Povey, S. Rushworth, J. S. Wrench, L. Keeney, M. Schmidt, N. Petkov, S. T. Barry, J. P. Coyle and M. E. Pemble, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2014, 2, 9205-9214.
- 35. M. J. Sgro and W. E. Piers, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2014, 422, 243-250.
- A. Heine, R. Herbst-Irmer and D. Stalke, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., 1993, 1729-1731.
- H. G. Raubenheimer, S. Cronje and P. J. Olivier, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., 1995, 313-316.
- 10 38. D. M. Khramov, V. M. Lynch and C. W. Bielawski, Organometallics, 2007, 26, 6042-6049.
 - 39. C. Marschner, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 1998, 221-226.
- J. D. Holbrey, W. M. Reichert, I. Tkatchenko, E. Bouajila, O. Walter, I. Tommasi and R. D. Rogers, *Chem. Commun.*, 2003, 28-29.
- 41. J. P. Reeds, A. C. Whitwood, M. P. Healy and I. J. S. Fairlamb, *Organometallics*, 2013, 32, 3108-3120.
- 42. Persistence of Vision Raytracer, version 3.6, 2004.

Graphical Abstract

A series of copper and silver-silyl complexes containing N-heterocyclic carbene or N-donor ligands were synthesized and characterized in the solid state. A number of different structural forms were observed and many compounds were shown to be volatile.

