



Towards dipyrrens: oxidation and metalation of acyclic and macrocyclic Schiff-base dipyrromethanes

Journal:	<i>Dalton Transactions</i>
Manuscript ID:	DT-COM-11-2014-003592.R1
Article Type:	Communication
Date Submitted by the Author:	10-Dec-2014
Complete List of Authors:	Love, Jason; University of Edinburgh, School of Chemistry Pankhurst, James; University of Edinburgh, School of Chemistry Cadenbach, Thomas; University of Edinburgh, School of Chemistry Finn, Colin; University of Edinburgh, School of Chemistry Betz, Daniel; University of Edinburgh, School of Chemistry

COMMUNICATION

Towards dipyrrens: oxidation and metalation of acyclic and macrocyclic Schiff-base dipyrromethanes

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

James R. Pankhurst,^a Thomas Cadenbach,^a Daniel Betz,^a Colin Finn,^a and Jason B. Love^{a*}

Received 00th January 2014,

Accepted 00th January 2014

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/


Oxidation of acyclic Schiff-base dipyrromethanes cleanly results in dipyrrens, whereas the macrocyclic ‘Pacman’ analogues either decompose or form new dinuclear copper(II) complexes that are inert to ligand oxidation; the unhindered hydrogen substituent at the *meso*-carbon allows new structural motifs to form.

Bimetallic complexes with a well-defined molecular structure and controlled internuclear separation are of significant interest in small molecule activation chemistry.¹ In this context, several polypyrrolic Schiff-base macrocycles reported by us and others act as ligands for *s*-, *d*- and *f*-block metals.^{2, 3, 4, 5} These complexes have a tendency to fold into cofacial or ‘Pacman’ structures that provide a reactive cleft between the two metals suited to cooperative catalytic reduction chemistry,^{6, 7} with the macrocycles disubstituted at each dipyrromethane *meso*-carbon position providing two dianionic coordination compartments.

A large number of dipyrromethanes that are mono-substituted at the *meso*-position are readily oxidised to their dipyrren congeners, most notably by 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyanobenzoquinone (DDQ).⁸ Significantly, transition metal dipyrren complexes have interesting photochemical and redox properties,⁹ carry out unusual chemical transformations, including C-H bond activation,¹⁰ and flexible N₄-dipyrren macrocycles have been prepared, although little exploited due to their difficult preparations.¹¹ As such, we are interested in exploring analogous oxidation procedures to form a new set of *dipyrren* Pacman macrocycles with two mono-anionic coordination pockets to stabilise metals in lower oxidation states and hence favour small molecule reduction chemistry.

The preparation of acyclic and macrocyclic dipyrromethanes was achieved by exploiting the mono-*meso*-substituted dialdehyde **1**¹² to form diiminodipyrromethane **2** in 74 % yield using standard Schiff-base condensation methods. The solid-state structure of **1** was determined by X-ray crystallography and is shown in the ESI.† The ¹H NMR spectrum of **2** revealed the appearance of an imine proton resonance at 8.18 ppm accompanied by the loss of the aldehyde resonance of **1** at 9.24 ppm. The absorption band at 1623 cm⁻¹

in the IR spectrum of **2** is assigned to the imine functional group.



Scheme 1 Syntheses of acyclic and macrocyclic dipyrromethane (**2**, H₄L³, H₄L⁴) and dipyrren compounds (HL¹, HL²)

Oxidation of **2** was achieved using stoichiometric amounts of DDQ to afford the dipyrren HL¹ in quantitative yield,¹³ and is supported by the loss of the *meso*-proton resonance at 5.69 ppm in the ¹H-NMR spectrum. Dipyrren HL² was synthesised by heating a toluene solution of **1** and ^tBuNH₂ to 50 °C with Na₂SO₄, forming directly in air without a separate oxidation step. The ¹H NMR spectrum of HL² includes an imine proton resonance at 7.71 ppm and does not feature a *meso*-proton resonance. The IR absorption band at 1582 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the imine functional group. The solid-state structure of HL² was determined by X-ray crystallography (ESI) and displays the expected planar *meso*-carbon geometry. A C₂-symmetric solution-state structure was observed for HL² by NMR spectroscopy, but in the solid-state, one imine group is rotated away from the N₄-pocket, lowering the symmetry to C₁. HL² crystallises as co-planar, π-stacked dimers, arranged head-to-tail.

Reaction between HL¹ and LiN(SiMe₃)₂ cleanly generates the lithium dipyrrolide LiL¹ which was then used to form the iron(II) complex FeBr(L¹) by salt metathesis in THF. While not

characterised by X-ray crystallography and NMR silent, ESI-MS of microcrystalline $\text{FeBr}(\text{L}^1)$ supports its formulation. The exclusion of air from these synthetic procedures avoids undesired ligand oxidation reactions which have been shown to occur on oxidation of Group 10 complexes of similar iminodipyrromethane ligands.¹³

Electronic absorption spectra were recorded for **2**, HL^1 and the iron(II) complex $\text{FeBr}(\text{L}^1)$ (Fig. 1), and the spectra for **2** and HL^1 were accurately reproduced by TD-DFT calculations using the B3LYP functional and 6-311G(d,p) basis set (ESI). The dominant absorption band for **2** appears at 373 nm and is assigned as a mixture of HOMO (H) to LUMO (L)+1 (59 %) and (H-1)-L (32 %) transitions, with the H-L transition appearing as a shoulder at 413 nm. Upon oxidation to HL^1 , both the (H-1)-L and H-L transitions are red-shifted to 520 and 540 nm, respectively, while the H-(L+1) transition remains in the UV-region at 290 nm. This change in electronic structure is typical for dipyrromethene compounds¹⁴ and reflects a 1.6 eV stabilisation of the LUMO upon oxidation. Although the extinction coefficients of 20,000 $\text{M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$ for HL^1 and $\text{FeBr}(\text{L}^1)$ are low compared to BODIPY compounds (80,000 $\text{M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$) they are similar to pyridomethene- BF_2 complexes.¹⁵




Fig. 1 Electronic absorption spectra for **2**, HL^1 and $\text{FeBr}(\text{L}^1)$. Spectra for **2** and HL^1 were recorded as CH_2Cl_2 solutions, $\text{FeBr}(\text{L}^1)$ was recorded as a THF solution.

A small number of crystals were grown from THF/hexane solutions of $\text{FeBr}(\text{L}^1)$, and were found to be the oxidised iron(III) oxo dipyrin $\{\text{FeBr}(\text{L}^1)\}_2(\mu\text{-O})$ (Fig. 2) in which the Fe centres are five-coordinate and adopt a distorted trigonal bipyramidal geometry with pyrrole N1, Br1, and O1 equatorial and pyrrole N3 and imine N2 axial. As with HL^2 , one imine nitrogen N4 is rotated out of the pocket and is not coordinated. The overall dinuclear structure is reminiscent of a Pacman arrangement, with perfluoroaryl groups and ^tBu-aryl substituents adjacent. The $\text{XFe}(\mu\text{-O})\text{FeX}$ core (X = halide) is common in Fe chemistry, albeit usually as part of a wholly inorganic anion.

The *ortho*-phenylene bridged macrocycle H_4L^3 and the 1,8-anthracene bridged macrocycle H_4L^4 were both prepared by acid-promoted condensation of **1** with the appropriate diamine. Upon neutralisation with NEt_3 , the free-base precipitates cleanly from the methanol solution as a dull-yellow solid and is isolated by filtration. For both compounds, characteristic imine proton resonances were observed in the ^1H NMR spectra at 8.09 ppm (H_4L^3) and 8.38 ppm (H_4L^4) and imine IR absorption bands at 1620 cm^{-1} (H_4L^3) and 1614 cm^{-1} (H_4L^4) were also recorded. No higher-order cyclisation products were observed by ESI-MS with only the expected molecular ion peaks observed at 937 m/z for H_4L^3 and 1080 m/z for H_4L^4 .




Fig. 2 Solid-state structure of $\{\text{FeBr}(\text{L}^1)\}_2(\mu\text{-O})$. For clarity, all protons and solvent of crystallisation are omitted (displacement ellipsoids drawn at 50 % probability).

The solid-state structures for both H_4L^3 and H_4L^4 were determined by X-ray crystallography (Fig. 3). Surprisingly, and unlike previous examples, H_4L^3 crystallises excluding any protic solvent molecules that are usually hydrogen-bonded in the cleft.^{4,16} Instead, THF solvent molecules are hydrogen-bonded to each pyrrole group with each imino-pyrrole unit twisted with respect to its neighbouring units in a non-folded configuration that minimises ring-strain. Macrocycle H_4L^4 crystallises in a bowl geometry in which the anthracene groups are held further apart than they are commonly found in metal complexes; in this case, protic solvent molecules are present within the cleft.




Fig. 3 Solid-state structures of H_4L^3 and H_4L^4 . For clarity, all protons except those on the pyrrole N atoms and most solvent molecules are omitted (displacement ellipsoids drawn at 50 % probability).

The [2+2] cyclisation between **1** and the diamine could result in either *syn*- or *anti*-isomers in which the relative positions of the *meso*-substituents dictate the identity of the isomer. Importantly, both H_4L^3 and H_4L^4 crystallise as the *syn*-isomer only and, furthermore, there is no evidence to support a mixture of isomers by NMR spectroscopy, which clearly shows a single set of resonances for ^1H , ^{13}C and ^{19}F nuclei. Considering the isolated yields of the macrocycles (*ca.* 40 %), it is likely that some preferential precipitation of the *syn*-isomer has occurred, with the *anti*-isomer remaining in solution; we have, as yet, been unable to isolate or characterise material consistent with this latter isomer.

In contrast to the acyclic analogues, attempts to oxidise macrocycles H_4L^3 and H_4L^4 to the dipyrins using DDQ resulted in decomposition to a myriad of unidentifiable products. Similar decomposition was observed on reaction of H_4L^3 and H_4L^4 with chloranil, Ag_2O , I_2 and $\text{Ce}(\text{NH}_4)_2(\text{NO}_3)_6$. Despite previous reports of dipyrromethane macrocycles being successfully oxidised by MnO_2 or by KMnO_4 ,¹⁷ no discernible products have yet been isolated using these oxidants.

To investigate this further, the redox behaviour of HL^2 , H_4L^3 and H_4L^4 was investigated by cyclic voltammetry. The CV for HL^2 includes a reversible reduction to the radical anion at $E_{1/2} -1.46$ V vs. Fc^+/Fc and a second, irreversible reduction at $E_p^a -2.28$ V. This second process is assigned tentatively to the two-electron, proton-coupled reduction to the dipyrromethane, H_2L^2 . The CVs for macrocycles H_4L^3 and H_4L^4 do not feature any oxidation processes, only an irreversible reduction at $E_p^a -1.41$ V vs. Fc^+/Fc for H_4L^3 and $E_p^a -1.78$ V for H_4L^4 (ESI).

As an alternative route to dipyrins, attempts were made to oxidise H_4L^3 and H_4L^4 in air in the presence of a metal cation.¹⁸ As such, bimetallic copper(II) complexes were prepared by stirring THF solutions of H_4L^3 or H_4L^4 with $\text{Cu}(\text{OAc})_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ in the presence of NEt_3 in air. The resulting dipyrromethane complexes $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^3)$ and $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^4)$ were found to be stable on alumina and were thus purified by column chromatography, eluting the pure products as orange CH_2Cl_2 fractions in high yields; ‘accordion’ macrocycles that have dipyrromethane head groups but flexible compartmental spacers display similar inertness to oxidation on metalation.¹¹ The syntheses of these compounds are supported by ESI-MS, with molecular ion peaks at 1058 m/z for $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^3)$ and 1203 m/z for $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^4)$ with the expected isotope pattern for bimetallic complexes, albeit with seemingly oxidised macrocycles. The vibrational frequencies for the imine groups at 1552 cm^{-1} for $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^3)$ and 1574 cm^{-1} for $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^4)$ are lower compared to the free ligands and are indicative of metalation. Both compounds are NMR-silent. The copper(II) complex $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^5)$ that is similar to $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^4)$, but differs in that the macrocycle is diethyl-substituted at the *meso*-position, was also made for comparison (ESI).

The solid-state structures for $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^3)$, $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^4)$, and $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^5)$ were determined by X-ray crystallography (Figs 4 and 5, and ESI, respectively) and in all cases show non-oxidised dipyrromethane macrocycles, evident from the tetrahedral bond angles around each *meso*-carbon atom (mean $\alpha = 110.2^\circ$ for $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^3)$ and 111.0° for $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^4)$). This is in disagreement with the mass spectrometry data of these complexes and suggests that the macrocycles may oxidise under MS conditions.




Fig. 4 Solid state structure of $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^3) \cdot 4\text{Py}$. For clarity, H atoms and three pyridine solvent molecules are omitted (displacement ellipsoids drawn at 50 % probability). $\text{Cu} \cdots \text{Cu}$, 6.493(6) Å; sum of planar angles, 359.8° around Cu1 and 359.0° around Cu2; bite angle, 152°.

Unexpectedly, the *o*-phenylene-bridged macrocycle in $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^3)$ adopts a ‘bowl’ conformation on metalation,¹⁹ bonding to the metal through two adjacent pyrrolide-imine groups and folding at the *meso*-carbon atoms, resulting in a wide bite angle of 152° between the two N_4 -donor compartments and a torsional twist of $24.8(2)^\circ$; this results in a long $\text{Cu} \cdots \text{Cu}$ separation of 6.493(6) Å. These data contrast to those of Cu(II) complexes of the *meso*-disubstituted macrocyclic analogues,

which adopt ‘classical’ Pacman structures on metalation through hinging at the arene rings, and suggests that the macrocyclic topology is controlled through the choice (or absence) of *meso*-substituent; these latter Pacman complexes exhibit much smaller bite angles (52 – 62°) and shorter $\text{Cu} \cdots \text{Cu}$ separations of 3.47–4.05 Å.^{5, 16} A structurally similar U(III) complex was recently reported to adopt a similar coordination geometry to $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^3)$,²⁰ but in bowl-shaped complexes of other metals, the pyrrole groups remain protonated and the two metal centres are bridged by anions, such as hydroxides.^{2, 16} Importantly, $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^3)$ crystallises as the *syn*-isomer only.

Due to the separation between the imine nitrogen donors in the anthracene-pillared macrocycle L^5 , a bowl configuration is not possible; as such, both $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^4)$ and $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^5)$ crystallise as Pacman complexes with 30° twist angles between the anthracene groups and the diiminodipyrromethane coordination pockets (Fig. 5). Again, the internuclear separation is controlled through choice of the *meso*-substituent, as $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^4)$ has a significantly shorter $\text{Cu} \cdots \text{Cu}$ separation of 4.818(3) Å compared to 5.345(1) Å in $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^5)$.




Fig. 5 Solid-state structure of $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^4)$. For clarity, most protons and one symmetry-generated THF solvent molecule are omitted (displacement ellipsoids drawn at 50 % probability). $\text{Cu} \cdots \text{Cu}$, 4.818(3) Å; sum of planar angles 360.0° around Cu1 and 360.2° around Cu2; twisting angle 30° ; bite angle -8.03°

The internuclear distance in $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^4)$ is also short compared to related complexes of Co(II), Pd(II) and U(VI) complexes of L^5 (5.377–5.834 Å)^{4, 7, 21} and is more similar to that observed for dinuclear Zn(II) complexes of L^5 that are bridged by anions (3.871–5.532 Å).²² The small *meso*-proton substituent also has the effect of closing the cleft, so that $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^4)$ has a negative bite angle of -8.03° between the two N_4 -donor planes, compared to the positive bite angle of 14.83° for $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^5)$. As with $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^3)$, $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^4)$ crystallises as the *syn*, *exo*-isomer only, with no evidence for *syn*, *endo*- or *anti*-isomers.

The redox chemistry of $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^3)$, $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^4)$ and $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^5)$ was investigated by cyclic voltammetry. The CVs of $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^4)$ and $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^5)$ showed no oxidation features, with only irreversible reductions for both at $E_p^a -1.51$ V vs. Fc^+/Fc for $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^4)$ and $E_p^a -1.70$ V and -2.30 V for $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^5)$ (ESI). In contrast, the CV of $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^3)$ shows one quasi-reversible, two-electron oxidation at $E_p^c -0.10$ V and two quasi-reversible, one-electron reductions at $E_p^a -1.47$ V and -1.76 V (Fig. 6). These redox events are assigned tentatively to the formation of $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^3)^{2+}$, $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^3)^+$, and $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^3)^{2-}$, respectively, *i.e.* oxidation to Cu(III) and sequential reduction to Cu(I). The reversibility of these processes suggest they are metal-based; electrochemical oxidation of the macrocycle to the dipyrin is anticipated to be irreversible due to the loss of hydrogen atoms and the required change in geometry that would follow.




Fig. 6 Cyclic voltammogram of $\text{Cu}_2(\text{L}^3)$, measured as a 3 mM solution in THF. Electrolyte: 0.2 M $[\text{Bu}_4\text{N}][\text{BF}_4]$. Pt disc working-electrode, Pt gauze counter-electrode, Ag wire pseudo-reference electrode.

We have synthesised two new acyclic dipyrin compounds and two new Schiff-base macrocycles. These macrocycles are not readily oxidised to their dipyrin congeners, even when metalated, yet due to the sterically unhindered *meso*-carbon we have been able to prepare two new copper(II) macrocyclic complexes that adopt unexpected structures with very dissimilar Cu...Cu separations; we are currently investigating the electronic structures and redox behaviours of these complexes by EPR spectroscopy and electrochemistry, as well as their reactivity towards CO_2 and other reducible substrates.

We thank the EPSRC(UK), Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (fellowship for DB) and the University of Edinburgh for their support.

Notes and references

^a EaStCHEM School of Chemistry, University of Edinburgh, Joseph Black Building, David Brewster Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3FJ, UK

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: synthetic details, experimental and simulated spectra, electrochemical data, X-ray data and DFT-optimised coordinates. See DOI: 10.1039/c000000x/

- L. A. Berben and J. B. Love, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 7221; L. H. Do and S. J. Lippard, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 10568; R. Angamuthu, P. Byers, M. Lutz, A. L. Spek and E. Bouwman, *Science*, 2010, **327**, 313; J. P. Collman, N. K. Devaraj, R. A. Decreau, Y. Yang, Y.-L. Yan, W. Ebina, T. A. Eberspacher and C. E. D. Chidsey, *Science*, 2007, **315**, 1565; J. L. Dempsey, A. J. Esswein, D. R. Manke, J. Rosenthal, J. D. Soper and D. G. Nocera, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2005, **44**, 6879; J. P. Collman, P. S. Wagenknecht and J. E. Hutchinson, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 1994, **33**, 1537.
- J. W. Leeland, F. J. White and J. B. Love, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 7320.
- J. B. Love, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 3154; P. L. Arnold, E. Hollis, G. S. Nichol, J. B. Love, J. C. Griveau, R. Caciuffo, N. Magnani, L. Maron, L. Castro, A. Yahia, S. O. Odoh and G. Schreckenbach, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 3841; P. L. Arnold, G. M. Jones, S. O. Odoh, G. Schreckenbach, N. Magnani and J. B. Love, *Nat. Chem.*, 2012, **4**, 221; P. L. Arnold, E. Hollis, F. J. White, N. Magnani, R. Caciuffo and J. B. Love, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 887; P. L. Arnold, A.-F. Pecharman, E. Hollis, A. Yahia, L. Maron, S. Parsons and J. B. Love, *Nat. Chem.*, 2010, **2**, 1056; P. L. Arnold, D. Patel, C. Wilson and J. B. Love, *Nature*, 2008, **451**, 315; J. M. Veauthier, W. Cho, V. M. Lynch and J. L. Sessler, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2004, **43**, 1220; E. Tomat, L. Cuesta, V. M. Lynch and J. L. Sessler, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, **46**, 6224; C. Bejger, C. M. Davis, J. S. Park, M. L. Vincent, J. B. Love and J. L. Sessler, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 4902.
- E. Askarizadeh, A. M. J. Devoille, D. M. Boghaei, A. M. Z. Slawin and J. B. Love, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, **48**, 7491.
- J. M. Veauthier, E. Tomat, V. M. Lynch, J. L. Sessler, U. Mirsaider and J. T. Markert, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2005, **44**, 6736.
- G. Givaja, M. Volpe, M. A. Edwards, A. J. Blake, C. Wilson, M. Schröder and J. B. Love, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 584; E. Askarizadeh, S. Bani Yaghoob, D. M. Boghaei, A. M. Z. Slawin and J. B. Love, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 710.
- A. M. J. Devoille and J. B. Love, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, **41**, 65.
- T. E. Wood and A. Thompson, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 1831; A. Loudet and K. Burgess, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 4891.
- S. A. Baudron, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 7498; T. K. Khan, M. Bröring, S. Mathur and M. Ravikanth, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **257**, 2348; A. Kochem, L. Chiang, B. Baptiste, C. Philouze, N. Leconte, O. Jarjayes, T. Storr and F. Thomas, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2012, **18**, 14590.
- E. R. King and T. A. Betley, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, **48**, 2361; E. R. King, G. T. Sazama and T. A. Betley, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 12858; E. T. Hennessy and T. A. Betley, *Science*, 2013, **340**, 591.
- W. A. Reiter, A. Gerges, S. Lee, T. Deffo, T. Clifford, A. Danby and K. Bowman-James, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1998, **174**, 343.
- J. K. Laha, S. Dhanalekshmi, M. Taniguchi, A. Ambroise and J. S. Lindsey, 2003, **7**, 799; J. S. Lindsey, M. Taniguchi, A. Balakumar and D. Fan, *Methods and Intermediates for the Synthesis of Porphyrins*, US Patent 20070027312 A1, February 1.
- E. A. Katayev, H. V. Lavrov and V. N. Khrustalev, *J. Porph. Phthalocyanines*, 2008, **12**, 1137; E. A. Katayev, K. Severin, R. Scopelliti and Y. A. Ustynyuk, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, **46**, 5465.
- C. Bonnier, D. D. Machin, O. Abdi and B. D. Koivisto, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2013, **11**, 3756.
- Y.-D. Lin and T. J. Chow, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 17924.
- G. Givaja, M. Volpe, J. W. Leeland, M. A. Edwards, T. K. Young, S. B. Darby, S. D. Reid, A. J. Blake, C. Wilson, J. Wolowska, E. J. L. McInnes, M. Schröder and J. B. Love, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2007, **13**, 3707.
- J. L. Sessler, E. Katayev, G. D. Pantos, P. Scherbakov, M. D. Reshetova, V. N. Khrustalev, V. M. Lynch and Y. A. Ustynyuk, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 11442; E. A. Katayev, Y. A. Ustynyuk, V. M. Lynch and J. L. Sessler, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, 4682.
- J. L. Sessler, G. Hemmi, T. D. Mody, T. Murai, A. Burrell and S. W. Young, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1994, **27**, 43; G. Thiabaud, J. F. Arambula, Z. H. Siddik and J. L. Sessler, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 8942.
- Y. Wang, H. Fu, F. Shen, X. Sheng, A. Peng, Z. Gu, H. Ma, J. S. Ma and J. Yao, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, **46**, 3548; A. Company, J.-E. Jee, X. Ribas, J. M. Lopez-Valbuena, L. Gómez, M. Corbella, A. Llobet, J. Mahia, J. Benet-Buchholz, M. Costas and R. van Eldick, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, **46**, 9098.
- P. L. Arnold, C. J. Stevens, J. H. Farnaby, M. G. Gardiner, G. S. Nichol and J. B. Love, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 10218.
- P. L. Arnold, G. M. Jones, Q. Pan, G. Schreckenbach and J. B. Love, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, **41**, 6595.
- A. M. J. Devoille, P. Richardson, N. L. Bill, J. L. Sessler and J. B. Love, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **50**, 3116.

