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The one-dimensional Dy coordination polymer displays single-ion magnet behaviour with a narrow distribution of relaxation under a dc field.
One-dimensional lanthanide coordination polymers: synthesis, structures, and single-ion magnetic behaviour

Hung-Kai Feng, Po-Jung Huang and Hui-Lien Tsai*

A family of isostructural one-dimensional (1D) lanthanide compounds with the formula \([\text{Ln}^{III}\text{(L)(NO}_3\text{)}\text{(DMF)}_2]_\infty\), where \(\text{Ln} = \text{Tb (1), Dy (2), Ho (3) and Er (4)}\) were synthesised, and structurally characterised. The magnetic behaviour of these compounds is demonstrated, and their static and dynamic properties are discussed and analyzed. The results of dc magnetic susceptibility measurements regarding compounds 1−4 indicate the existence thermal depopulation of the crystal field-induced splitting of \(m_J\) levels of \(\text{Ln}\) ions, while the observed frequency-dependent out-of-phase ac magnetic susceptibility signals under certain magnetic field for compounds 1, 2, and 4 show a slow relaxation of the magnetisation with an energy barrier of 6.15, 54.45, and 28.14 K, respectively. Also, complex 2 shows peak maxima of \(\chi''\) signals in temperature-dependent as well as frequency-dependent ac magnetic susceptibility measurements under a proper magnetic field, indicating that the relaxation process is dominantly thermally activated.

Introduction

The design and synthesis of materials that contain paramagnetic metal ions, such as lanthanide ions, with one- to three-dimensional functional coordination polymers, have attracted interest because their compelling network structures give rise to practical applications in diverse domains. In recent decades, low-dimensional magnets, such as single-molecule magnets (SMMs) and single-chain magnets (SCMs) have intrigued physicists as well as chemists on account of their slow magnetic relaxation. The numerous attractive features of low-dimensional magnets such as quantum tunnelling magnetisation and magnetic hysteresis behaviour have been ascribed to this sort of magnetic behaviour. Lanthanide ions, such as dysprosium(III) or terbium(III), possess an extremely large anisotropy with their high-magnitude quantum number \((\pm m_J)\) and a pair of doubly degenerate ground states far separated from the first excited states, making them exceptionally appealing for being a SMM.
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The fabrication and characterisation of polynuclear Ln compounds with interesting magnetic properties are thus of interest. However, the conditions that are required to produce anisotropy or zero-field splitting (ZFS) properties for such compounds are not clearly known. Consequently, SMMs that contain a single spin carrier, namely a single-ion magnet (SIM), are attractive due to the simplification in the analysis of local anisotropy and ZFS.

SIMs possess the magnetic anisotropy and their slow relaxation originates from the interaction between a single metal centre and its ligand field, which generates a large preferential orientation of the magnetic moment. Ishikawa et al. first reported that phthalocyaninato lanthanide complexes with a single lanthanide centre exhibit slow relaxation of magnetisation. Similarly, a number of mononuclear Tb(III), Dy(III), Ho(III), and Er(III) complexes have also been shown to display slow relaxation behaviour.

To date, a number of lanthanide SIMs with slow relaxation behaviours have been synthesised based on diverse ligands, such as carboxylates, \(\beta\)-diketonates, macro-cyles, and Schiff bases. However, In contrast to the multi-nuclear lanthanide SMMs and lanthanide SIMs, 1D lanthanide
compounds show SMM properties are still rare, thus inspiring our group to further explore this type of compound.

In this paper, we report four compounds based on the Schiff base ligand of N-salicylidenedesalicylhydrazide (H$_2$L), [Ln$_{III}$L(NO$_3$)$_2$(DMF)$_2$]., where Ln = Tb, Dy, Ho, or Er. Characterisation with single-crystal X-ray diffraction, powder X-ray diffraction, and elemental analyses were conducted. Experimental results reveal that the slow relaxation of magnetisation may be attributed to the SIM behaviour of Ln$_{III}$ centre.

**Experimental section**

All solvents and reagents were used as received. The Schiff base ligand H$_2$L was obtained through the condensation of salicylhydrazide and salicylic aldehyde in situ (Scheme 1).

**Syntheses of the complexes [Ln$_{III}$L(NO$_3$)$_2$(DMF)$_2$]., [Ln$_{III}$ = Tb (1), Dy (2), Ho (3), Er (4)]**

Compound 1 was prepared by following the detail as shown below. Tb(NO$_3$)$_3$.5H$_2$O (0.5 mmol) was dissolved in DMF (20.0mL) and then a mixture of salicylhydrazide (0.5 mmol) and salicylic aldehyde (0.5 mmol) and Et$_3$N (1 mmol) were added. The bright-yellow solution was stirred for 0.5 h and then filtered. The resulting solution was treated with ether diffusion at room temperature for 4 days; yellow crystals formed. The yield was 26%. Anal. Calcd for C$_{20}$H$_{25}$TbN$_2$O$_8$.5 (corresponds to the absorption of half H$_2$O per molecule during the delivery of the sample to the elemental analysis instrument): C, 38.16; N, 11.13; H, 3.84. Found: C, 38.03; N, 11.38; H, 4.09%. IR data (KBr pellet cm$^{-1}$): 3448 (br), 1676 (s), 1655 (s), 1610 (s), 1581 (m), 1540 (w), 1523 (w), 1501 (w), 1467 (s), 1440 (s), 1302 (s), 1152 (m), 769 (m).

Compound 2 was synthesised similar to 1, but using Dy(NO$_3$)$_3$.6H$_2$O (0.5 mmol) instead of Tb(NO$_3$)$_3$.5H$_2$O. Yellow crystals were also obtained with the yield of 19%. Anal. Calcd for C$_{20}$H$_{25}$DyN$_2$O$_8$.5. Found: C, 38.10; N, 11.27; H, 4.05%. IR data (KBr pellet cm$^{-1}$): 3454 (br), 1676 (s), 1656 (s), 1610 (s), 1581 (m), 1540 (w), 1523 (w), 1501 (w), 1468 (s), 1440 (s), 1302 (s), 1152 (m), 770 (m).

Compound 3 was synthesised similar to 1, but using Ho(NO$_3$)$_3$.5H$_2$O (0.5 mmol) instead of Tb(NO$_3$)$_3$.5H$_2$O. Yellow crystals were formed with the yield of 33%. Anal. Calcd for C$_{20}$H$_{25}$HoN$_2$O$_8$.5. Found: C, 38.08; N, 11.38; H, 4.11%. IR data (KBr pellet cm$^{-1}$): 3448 (br), 1676 (s), 1655 (s), 1609 (s), 1580 (m), 1541 (w), 1500 (w), 1467 (s), 1440 (s), 1384 (s), 1301 (s), 1254 (w), 1152 (s), 770 (s).

Compound 4 was synthesised similar to 1, but using Er(NO$_3$)$_3$.5H$_2$O (0.5 mmol) instead of Tb(NO$_3$)$_3$.5H$_2$O. Yellow crystals were obtained with the yield of 28%. Anal. Calcd for C$_{20}$H$_{25}$ErN$_2$O$_8$.5. Found: C, 37.91; N, 11.21; H, 3.93%. IR data (KBr pellet cm$^{-1}$): 3448 (br), 1676 (s), 1656 (s), 1610 (s), 1581 (m), 1541 (s), 1523 (s), 1500 (w), 1467 (s), 1348 (w), 1305 (s), 1151 (m), 769 (m).

**Physical measurements**

Infrared spectra were recorded in the solid state (KBr pellet) on a Nicolet 380 FTIR spectrometer in the 4000–400 cm$^{-1}$ range. The elemental analysis for C, H, and N was carried out with an Elementar Vario EL III analyzer. Variable-temperature dc magnetic susceptibility measurements and ac magnetic susceptibility measurements were conducted on microcrystalline samples, suspended in eicosane to prevent torquing. Variable-temperature dc magnetic susceptibility measurements for 1–4 were performed on a Quantum Design MPMS7 magnetometer equipped with a 7.0 T magnet, operating in the range of 2.0–300.0 K. Reduced magnetisation measurements for complexes 1–4 were carried out on a Quantum Design MPMS SQUID VSM magnetometer in ranges 0–70 kOe and 2.0–4.0 K. Ac magnetic susceptibility measurements were carried out on Quantum Design PPMS-9 magnetometer equipped with a 9.0 T magnet and operating in the range of 1.8–300K. Diamagnetic corrections were estimated from Pascal’s constant and subtracted from the experimental susceptibility data to determine the molar paramagnetic susceptibility of the compound. X-ray powder diffraction was measured using a Shimadzu XRD-7000S X-ray diffractometer with Cu $K_\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 1.54060$ Å).

**X-ray crystallography**

The data collection parameters for complexes 1–4 are listed in Table 1. Diffraction measurements for complexes 1–4 were carried out using a Bruker SMART APEX II CCD diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo $K_\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). Cell parameters were retrieved and refined using the Bruker SAINT software package for all reflections. Data reduction was also performed with this software. The structure was solved using the direct method and refined using the SHELXL-97 program with full-matrix least-squares on $F^2$ values. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, whereas hydrogen atoms were placed in ideal, calculated positions, with isotropic thermal parameters riding on their respective carbon and oxygen atoms.
Syntheses

This study synthesised a family of materials employing lanthanide ions with different anisotropies. At first, we synthesised dysprosium-base compound, but to check if structural changes took place with elements before or after Dy in periodic table, we tried to synthesise with different lanthanide ions. However, we could synthesise only compounds with Tb, Ho, Er, finding that they are isostructural. The reaction of Ln(NO$_3$)$_3$∙xH$_2$O, where Ln = Tb, Dy, Ho or Er, with salicylhydrazide and salicylic aldehyde lead to the development of a bright-yellow reaction mixture. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 0.5 h and then filtered. The residue was slowly diffused with Et$_2$O to produce yellow crystals of [LnIII(L)(NO$_3$)(DMF)]$_x$ (Ln = Tb, Dy, Ho or Er) with yields of 26.2%, 18.7%, 33.2%, and 27.9% for 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. The original expectation of the system of reaction was a product of merely pure SMM, however, the final product was a zigzag 1D lanthanide mononuclear single-molecule magnet because of the anti-bridge mode of L ligand between Ln ions.

To confirm that these lanthanide complexes were isostructural, powder diffraction patterns were recorded for all complexes. The X-ray powder diffraction patterns of 1 – 4 are consistent with data simulated based on CIF files for corresponding compounds (Fig. S1), thus confirming the purity of the bulk samples.

Description of structures

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analyses reveal that complexes 1 – 4 are isostructural. Selected interatomic distances...
and angles are listed in Table S1. Below, the structure of complex 2 is briefly described. Complex 2 crystallises in the orthorhombic space group Pbca.

As shown in Fig. 1 (a), the ORTEP representation of 2 has one independent Dy atom in the asymmetric unit. The peripheral ligands in complex 2 are provided by two DMF molecules, one nitrate group, and one doubly deprotonated H2L ligand. In addition, the doubly deprotonated H2L ligand behaves as a η1:η1:η1:µ2 ligand, bridging two DyIII ions through phenoxido group O3, O1, O2, and N1. The nitrate group in complex 2 adopts a bidentate mode with Dy. Furthermore, each DMF molecule is terminally coordinated to Dy. All coordination modes of the ligands of complex 2 are labelled in Scheme 2.

Here, the eight-coordinate Dy centre displays the distorted dodecahedral coordination geometry shown in Fig. 1 (c). Along the b crystallographic axis, as shown in Fig. 1 (b) and (d), the DyIII ions are connected by an L ligand to create the 1D zigzag chain of [DyIII(L)(NO3)(DMF)2]∞, where the closest Dy···Dy distance is ca. 7.536 Å. The DyIII centres can thus be considered to be isolated from a magnetic point of view. Moreover, the Ln-O distances are in the range of 2.188(3)–2.497(2), 2.178(2)–2.491(2), 2.175(3)–2.472(3), 2.163(5)–2.465(5) Å, the Ln-N distances are 2.559(3), 2.545(2), 2.536(3), and 2.508(5) Å, and the Ln···Ln distances are 7.574, 7.536, 7.518, and 7.487 Å for complexes 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. The Ln-O, Ln-N and Ln···Ln distances follow the trend of lanthanide contraction and decrease from the following series: Tb, Dy, Ho, and Er for complexes 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively.

![Fig. 1](a) Asymmetric unit of complexes 1–4. H atoms have been omitted for clarity. (b) 1D linkage mode in complexes 1–4. H atoms are omitted for clarity. (Ln: bright yellow, O: red, N: blue, C: grey). (c) View of coordination environments around Ln centre as distorted dodecahedral geometry for 1–4. (d) Perspective view of Ln chain of 1–4 together with unit cell along b axis.
Magnetic properties

The dc magnetic susceptibility measurements of complexes 1–4 (Fig. 2) were conducted in the temperature range of 2–300 K with an applied magnetic field of 1000 Oe. The room-temperature $\chi_M T$ values of 11.78, 14.04, 14.23, and 11.37 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ are in agreement with the expected values of 11.81, 14.17, 14.06, and 11.48 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ for complexes 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. The $\chi_M T$ value for complex 2 decreased tardily upon cooling from 300 to 30 K, and then dropped drastically to a minimum value of 12.10 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ at 2 K. The $\chi_M T$ values for complexes 1, 3, and 4 show similar behaviours to that of those for complex 2, decreasing slightly with decreasing temperature, from 300 K to 10, 20, and 50 K, and then dropping rapidly to 8.35, 8.87, and 5.32 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ at 2 K, respectively. The decrease of $\chi_M T$ with decreasing temperature can be most properly explained by the thermal depopulation of the excited crystal field-induced splitting $m_j$ levels of Ln$^{III}$ ions.$^{29-30}$

Magnetisation data for complex 2 were collected in the ranges of 0.1–70 kOe and 2.0–4.0 K. The results for complex 2 are plotted as reduced magnetisation $M$ versus $H/T$ in Fig. S2. The magnetisation increases rapidly below 10 kOe and then more gradually increase with saturation at fields higher than 20 kOe, reaching 4.60 Nβ at 2 K under 70 kOe. This value is much lower than the expected saturation value of 10 Nβ (10 Nβ for each Dy$^{III}$ ion), which can be attributed to the crystal field-induced splitting of the $m_j$ level together with magnetic anisotropy. In addition, the value is also lower than the hypothetic value of 5.23 Nβ for one uncorrelated Dy$^{III}$ ion by virtue of the entirely different coordination environment since the magnetic properties of lanthanide-based complexes are quiet sensitive to the crystal field around a single lanthanide ion.$^{31}$ The magnetisation for complex 1 reaches 5.30 Nβ with an approximate saturation at 2 K under 70 kOe, which is still much lower than the expected saturation value of 9 Nβ (9 Nβ for each Tb$^{III}$ ion). This result can be attributed to the same explanation as that for complex 2. The magnetisations for complexes 3 and 4 display a similar sharp increase at 2 K under low fields (Figs. S3, S4, and S5). Under fields above 10 kOe, the magnetisations for complexes 3 and 4 increase linearly, reaching ca. 5.47 and 4.86 Nβ, respectively, without clear saturation. These maximum values are lower than theoretical saturation values of 10 Nβ for Ho$^{III}$ ion and 9 Nβ for Er$^{III}$ ion, which is also attributed to ligand-field-induced splitting. Furthermore, the non-superposition of $M$ versus $H/T$ isothermal curves shown in Figs. S3, S4, and S5 demonstrate the presence of magnetic anisotropy and/or low-lying excited states in complexes 1, 3, and 4.

Measurements of ac magnetic susceptibility were performed on microcrystalline samples for complexes 1–4 in the temperature range of 1.8–25 K under a zero dc field and a 3.5 Oe ac field and with an applied static field oscillating in the frequency range of 100–10000 Hz. For complex 2, the in-phase $\chi_M''$ and out-of-phase $\chi_M''$ versus $T$ plots under a zero dc field are shown in Fig. 3 (left). The out-of-phase $\chi_M''$ signals for complex 2 appear below 20 K, revealing the onset of slow magnetisation relaxations. Nevertheless, the peak maxima of the $\chi_M''$ signals are not observed at temperatures above 1.8 K but weak broad shoulders are observed instead due to the fast quantum tunnelling relaxation, a phenomenon...
that is usually found for other SIMs. Therefore, the relaxation time ($\tau_0$) and energy barrier ($U_{eff}$) cannot be determined via the Arrhenius law and fitting the data into a Debye model was not successful (Fig. S6). From the temperature dependence of ac magnetic susceptibilities, when an adequate field (e.g., 3000 Oe) is applied, well-shape peak-like curves are obtained and strong frequency-dependent maxima are observed below ca. 16 K for both $\chi_M'$ and $\chi_M''$, as shown in Fig. 3 (right). Moreover, the out-of-phase $\chi_M''$ signals shift to a lower temperature with decreasing frequency, indicating a thermally activated relaxation process. The optimal dc field of 3000 Oe was chosen by performing field-dependent measurements at 1.8 K and 10 K as shown in Figs. S7 and S8. The out-of-phase signals are suppressed to a significant extent under external dc fields, which is indicative of the quenching effect of the quantum tunnelling magnetisation (QTM). It also noteworthy that the signals in the low frequency range are enhanced with increasing field below 400 Oe, but then start to be suppressed under stronger fields and completely disappear above a field of 3000 Oe. However, distinct responses to the dc fields were observed at 10 K: the $\chi_M''$ signals in the high frequency range are suppressed in weak dc fields but increase under condition of strong fields with a turning point of 3000 Oe, whereas the signals below 5000 Hz display an opposite response, reaching maxima near a 3000 Oe dc field. In order to obtain a pure thermally activated-type of relaxation process with narrow distribution of relaxation times, we chose a dc field of 3000 Oe based on the above results. From the frequency dependence of the ac magnetic susceptibilities under 3000 Oe dc field in the range of 4–14.5 K in Fig. 4 (top), the relaxation times ($\tau$) were calculated from the frequencies ($\nu^{-1}$ = $2\pi\nu$) of the peak maxima at the corresponding temperatures (Table S2).

The ln($\tau$) versus $T^{-1}$ plot is shown in Fig. S9. Above 7 K, the relaxation processes can be considered as being thermally activated. When fitted to the Arrhenius equation, they give an energy barrier ($U_{eff}$) of 44.02 K with a characteristic time ($\tau_0$) of $1.01 \times 10^{-6}$ s, which is consistent with the expected $\tau_0$ of $10^{-6}$–$10^{-11}$ for SMMs. Below 8 K, the relaxation becomes slightly temperature-independent. This indicates that there are multiple relaxation processes at low temperature in this system. From the frequency dependence of ac magnetic susceptibilities under 3000 Oe dc field in the range of 6–15 K in Fig. 4 (bottom), Cole-Cole plots in the form of $\chi_M''$ versus $\chi_M'$ exhibit a nearly semicircular form, which can be fitted to the generalised Debye model. The results of the $\alpha$ parameter and relaxation time $\tau$ from fitting are listed in Table S3. The values of $\alpha$ parameter suggest that the distribution of relaxation time is narrow. This result is in agreement with that of Arrhenius fitting above 8 K. Moreover, the relaxation times obtained from the fitting results of Cole-Cole analyses could be fitted into the Arrhenius law as well, resulting in a larger $U_{eff}$ of 54.45 K and a faster $\tau_0$ of $3.22 \times 10^{-7}$ s as shown in Fig. S9.

Among this series of compounds, complexes 1, 3, and 4 show negligible out-of-phase signals without a static field, illustrating the absence of slow relaxation of magnetisation.
(Figs. S10–S12). However, complexes 1 and 4 display slow relaxation behaviour when a proper magnetic field is applied, whereas complex 3 still shows negligible out-of-phase signals (Figs. S13–S15). Therefore, the quantum tunnelling of magnetisation by Zeeman splitting of the degenerate states for complexes 1 and 4 were suppressed by the applied static magnetic field. Due to the differences in the electronic fine structure, these compounds display distinct dynamic magnetic properties. Similar to the measurements performed on complex 2, field-dependent data for 1, 3, and 4 at 1.8 K were collected and analysed. The out-of-phase components in the high frequency range are enhanced under a stronger dc field, with maxima of 1000 and 1500 for 1 and 3, respectively, which are the bias fields under which the QTM would be expected to be suppressed (Fig. S16). In the case of complex 4, the determination is quite different, since temperature-dependent ac susceptibility measurements performed in 600 Oe fail to reach a maximum even at 1.8 K and in a 10000 Hz ac field. Thus, the optimal field selected for 4 was greater than 600 Oe, but before the signals are suppressed significantly, i.e. the dc field of 1000 Oe. For complex 1 measured in 1000 Oe bias field, maxima of $\chi''$ can only be observed in high frequency at temperatures above 1.8 K, thus the $U_{\text{eff}}$ cannot be determined by using the Arrhenius law. However, a method used by Bartolomé et al. assuming that the SMM relaxation has only a single characteristic relaxation process of the Debeye type with merely one energy barrier and one relaxation time, can be employed to roughly calculate the relaxation time and energy barrier. As shown in Fig. S17, the fitting results over range 500–2500 Hz are more precise. Also, the estimation of both the activation energy of 6.15 K and the characteristic time of $9.75 \times 10^{-6}$ s can be obtained based on the fitting of the experimental data using Debye model.

The slow magnetic relaxation found in this series of complexes can mainly be attributed to the magnetic anisotropy within a single lanthanide ion since the shortest Ln–Ln distances are in the range of 7.5–7.6 Å, which would prevent any possible exchange coupling between the spin carriers to occur, i.e. the presence of SIM behaviour but with one-dimensional structures. This phenomenon is still rare among the 1D lanthanide-based coordination polymers and thus provides a new strategy for the construction of a SIM by employing ligands with poly-O donor atoms that are far from one another, thus extending the distance between neighbouring Ln³⁺ centres. In the case of complexes 1–4 is the Schiff base ligand H2L which successfully isolates each lanthanide ion with its anti-bridging mode.

An investigation of the crystal field effect on magnetic anisotropy from the standpoint of the dynamic properties of three eight-coordinated mononuclear Dy³⁺-based complexes with distinct coordination geometries was recently reported. The three compounds possess distorted bicapped trigonal prismatic (BTP), dodecahedral (DD), and square antiprismatic (SAP) geometries, where only the last two compounds show SIM behaviour with the SAP complex exhibiting the highest anisotropy barrier. This result again confirms the importance of the coordination geometry around Dy³⁺ ion and interestingly, reveals that a Dy³⁺-based complex with a small nuclear number per molecule usually possesses a higher $U_{\text{eff}}$ when the coordination topology or the structure is more symmetrical, i.e. a proper crystal field effect on the Dy³⁺ centre results in the ground states that are well-separated from the first excited states, that is, spin-axiality and magnetic anisotropy are improved. Complex 3 whose eight-coordinated Dy³⁺ ion possesses a distorted dodecahedral geometry with a quasi-D₄ᵥ symmetry shows slow relaxation with a moderate anisotropy barrier of 54.45 K. The value is smaller than the complexes with D₁₅ and D₅ᵥ symmetries by virtue of the weaker axiality of the D₄ᵥ geometry.
Conclusion

A family of 1D complexes based on rare-earth elements was synthesised and characterised. All of the compounds contained the Schiff base of N-salicylidenealicylhydrazide along with two DMF molecules and a nitrate group as ligands. Complexes 1–4 were isostructural, revealing trend of the lanthanide contraction. In terms of magnetic properties, dc magnetic susceptibility analyses of complexes 1–4 indicate the thermal depopulation of the excited degenerate mth levels of these lanthanide ions. Complexes 1 and 4 exhibit field-induced slow magnetic relaxation, while complex 2 shows slow magnetic relaxation without applying dc field. Furthermore, complex 2 has peak maxima of $\chi''$ signals in temperature dependence as well as frequency dependence of the energy barrier 6.15 and 28.15 K for complexes 1 and 4, respectively. The authors acknowledge the Ministry of Science and Technology of the Republic of China for financially supporting this study under grant NSC 102-2113-M-006-006-MY2.
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