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1. Abstract
A novel chiral metal-organic framework, \([\text{Cu}_4(\text{HL})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4(\text{MeO})_4]_n\) (1), have been successfully synthesized from a tripodal flexible ligand (2S,2'S,2''S)-2,2',2''-(benzenetricarbonyltris(azanediyl))tripropanoic acid (H_3L). Compound 1 was characterized by IR, and X-ray powder diffraction analysis. The structure was determined from X-ray single crystal diffraction analysis revealing that 1 possesses a 3D network, featuring a tetranuclear cubane-type secondary building block \([\text{Cu}_4(\text{MeO})_4]^{4+}\), formed via the connection of four metal ions to four methoxide ions. These secondary building blocks are linked by four different HL\(^2\)-ligands to construct a porous three dimensional framework of the dia topology with one-dimensional channels. Compound 1 also acts as a heterogeneous catalyst for the diastereoselective nitroaldol (Henry) reaction, providing high yields (up to 91%) and good diastereoselectivities at ambient conditions. This catalyst can be recycled without significant loss of activity.

2. Introduction
Porous metal–organic frameworks (MOFs)\(^1\) have attracted much interest in recent years due to their intriguing topologies\(^{11-1m}\) along with potential applications in the fields of gas sorption,\(^2\) magnetism,\(^3\) nonlinear optics,\(^4\) chiral separation\(^5\) and catalysis.\(^6\) The judicious selection of appropriate linkers can give rise to the desired pore shape and size upon coordination and consequently contribute significantly to the structural design of MOFs, as well as their properties. Highly symmetrical multitopic ligands with dicarboxylate,\(^7\) tricarboxylate\(^8\) and tetracarboxylate\(^9\) functionalities may bridge mono- or multi-nuclear metal nodes, leading to stable MOFs with permanent porosity,\(^10\) while flexible ligands with additional functional groups may adopt more versatile conformations and coordination modes according to the geometric requirements of different metal ions, which may lead to unpredictable, yet interesting topologies and properties. However, only a few examples with flexible di- and tripodal ligands have been reported and the investigation of metal-organic frameworks based on them is still a great challenge.\(^11\)

The Henry or nitroaldol reaction is known as one of the most powerful and atom-economic reactions for C–C bond formations with various functionalized structural motifs.\(^12\) Usually this reaction is performed with homogeneous basic catalysts, such as alkali metal hydroxides, alkoxides or amines, with a rather good
efficiency.\textsuperscript{13} The development of new catalysts and procedures for the Henry reaction has been constantly elaborated in view of the reduction of toxic by-products and the increase of yield and diastereoselectivity. In the last two decades many other asymmetric catalysts have been developed to convert aldehydes or α-keto esters into the corresponding nitroalkanol with good enantio- and diastereoselectivity.\textsuperscript{14} Even though high yields were achieved with reactions performed with homogeneous catalysts, the achievement of a high stereoselectivity is still challenging, and only a scant number of examples are known\textsuperscript{15} using heterogeneous catalysts. Some copper and zinc-containing metal organic frameworks\textsuperscript{16} have been reported, which actively catalyze the nitroaldol reaction. However, there is still a demand to develop new types of catalysts based on cheap and environmentally tolerable metal organic framework, that could be easily recyclable (hence forming a heterogeneous system) and show a high efficiency under mild conditions.

Recently, a systematic investigation on a series of flexible di- and tripodal acid ligands containing various amino acid groups have been reported by us, which led to the interesting hydrogen bonding networks.\textsuperscript{17} We have extended our study by synthesizing a higher dimensional motif (metal organic framework) with an easily accessible tripodal linker. Thus, the two main objectives of this study were as follows: (i) to synthesize a Cu(II)-MOF using a tripodal acid linker; (ii) to apply the synthesized framework as a heterogeneous catalyst in the nitroaldol combination of nitroethane with various aldehydes. In that context, we chose \((2S,2'S,2''S)-2,2',2''-(benzenetricarbonyltris(azanediyl))tripropanoic acid (H\textsubscript{3}L)\) [scheme 1] which is more flexible than benzene tricarboxylic acid as the carboxylic acid groups are reasonably free to rotate whilst the amide functional groups may potentially be involved in hydrogen bonding for further stabilization of the resulting structure.

![Hydrogen bonding group](image)
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\begin{equation}
(2S,2'S,2''S)-2,2',2''-(benzenetricarbonyltris(azanediyl))tripropanoic acid (H\textsubscript{3}L)
\end{equation}

Scheme 1

Hence, we report herein the synthesis and characterization of a new chiral copper(II) metal organic framework, prepared under hydrothermal condition, using a flexible tripodal acid linker \(H\textsubscript{3}L\) \([(2S,2'S,2''S)-2,2',2''-(benzenetricarbonyltris(azanediyl))tripropanoic acid]\). The structural features of the obtained Cu-MOF [Cu\textsubscript{4}(HL)\textsubscript{2}(H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{4}(MeO)\textsubscript{4}]\textsubscript{n} (1), could be established by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis which revealed a cubane-type metal-oxygen cluster not yet observed for a metal-organic framework. The structure was also subjected to a topological study.
The catalytic performance of this framework as a heterogeneous catalyst, in terms of activity, heterogeneity, and recyclability, was successfully tested for the nitroaldol (Henry) reaction of nitroethane with various aldehydes in a methanolic medium.

3. Results and Discussion

3a. Syntheses and Characterization

The hydrothermal reaction of H$_3$L with copper(II) nitrate trihydrate in the presence of dimethyl formamide and methanol lead to the formation of [Cu$_4$(HL)$_2$(H$_2$O)$_4$(MeO)$_4$]$_n$ (1) [L = (2S,2’S,2''S)-2’,2’,2''- (benzenetricarbonyltris(azanediyl))tripropanoate].

In the IR spectra, the characteristic strong bands of coordinated carboxylate groups of 1 appear at 1530 cm$^{-1}$ and 1360 cm$^{-1}$ for the asymmetric and the symmetric stretching, respectively. C–O stretching of coordinated carboxylate group is observed in 1270 cm$^{-1}$. A strong band in 1637 cm$^{-1}$ is due to ν(CO) of an uncoordinated carboxylate groups, whereas ν(OH) of water molecules is in the 3303 cm$^{-1}$ region. The band at 1580 cm$^{-1}$ is attributed to C=O stretching vibration of the amide group. Metal organic framework 1 was also characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction and powder X-ray diffraction analysis.

Thermal gravimetric analysis was also performed on framework 1 (Figure S5, supplementary information). Framework 1 shows a weight loss of 5.30% between 71 -141°C, corresponding to the loss of two molecules of water (calcd: 5.60%). Upon further heating, framework 1 exhibits a weight loss of 9.40 % in the 180-239°C temperature range, which accounts for the total removal of two coordinated methoxy molecules (calcd: 9.60%). The remaining material then starts to decompose from 241°C until 492°C.

3b. Crystal structure analysis

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies reveal that [Cu$_4$(HL)$_2$(H$_2$O)$_4$(MeO)$_4$]$_n$ (1) crystallizes in a chiral space group (the tetragonal space group $P4_12_12$), which is expected due to chirality of the ligand. The asymmetric unit contains two copper(II) ions, one HL$^2$ ligand, two water and two methoxide ions (Figure 1). The formulation of this framework is based on the typical Jahn-Teller distortion of Cu(II) (vide infra) and the Cu-O distances [1.945(4)- 1.951(4) Å], which correspond closer to those observed for Cu-OMe (1.94 Å) than for Cu-OH)Me (1.99 Å). In addition, the EPR results below confirm that the copper ions are in the 2+ oxidation state.
Both copper(II) centres have square pyramidal geometry ($\tau_5 = 0.06$ for Cu1 and $\tau_5 = 0.04$ for Cu2) and the coordination sphere of each copper(II) center is occupied by one carboxylate oxygen atom of a HL$_2^-$ unit [Cu1–O2, 1.969(4) Å; Cu2–O5, 1.977(4) Å], three methoxide O-atoms and a water molecule. The carboxylate oxygen, two methoxide O-atoms and the water molecule occupy the equatorial sites and the axial site coordinated by the remaining methoxide ion. As expected and due to Jahn–Teller distortion$^{19b-e}$ the axial Cu-O bond distance is slightly longer [Cu1–O1M1, 2.424(4) Å; Cu2–O1M2, 2.405(4) Å] than the equatorial ones. The equatorial Cu–O bond lengths vary from 1.945(4) to 2.004(5) Å (Table S2). Selected bond distances and angles of 1 are listed in Table S2 (supporting information).
Figure 2 (A) Coordination scheme around the tetranuclear copper(II) core in 1. (B) Structure of the tetranuclear cubane-type clusters of $[\text{Cu}_4(\text{MeO})_4]^{4+}$ unit showing the metal coordination spheres.

In this framework tetranuclear cubane-type clusters of $[\text{Cu}_4(\text{MeO})_4]^{4+}$ (Figure 2B) serve as secondary building block units (SBU’s) and are located about 2-fold rotation axes. In the literature very few copper(II) complexes having methoxo-bridged$^{20a-f}$ and oxygen-bridged$^{20g-j}$ cubane-type tetranuclear clusters are reported, but to our knowledge, no metal-organic frameworks involving any type of oxygen bridge with the same cubane motif as observed for 1 have been reported, albeit that it has been observed for discrete clusters.$^{20d}$

Every tetranuclear cluster is associated with four HL$^{2-}$ ligands, four molecules of water and four $\mu_3$-methoxy ions. Two of the carboxylate groups of ligand HL$^{2-}$ associate with the two neighbouring tetranuclear clusters, while the carboxylic acid group remains uncoordinated and orients itself towards the open channels.
The packing view of 1 is characterized by open channels along the crystallographic c axis (Figure 3) with approximate dimension of 6 x 7 Å² and a void space of 16.2% per unit cell according to PLATON. The structure of 1 is also stabilized by hydrogen bonding interactions, three amide hydrogen atoms of ligand HL²⁺ are hydrogen bonded with three amide oxygen atoms of neighboring HL²⁺ ligands via N1-H1·····O1#1 2.9581(2) Å, N2-H2·····O7#2 2.9302(2) Å and N3A-H3A·····O4 #3 3.0067(2) Å, #1 1/2-y,1/2+x,-1/4+z; #2 y,x,1-z; #3 -- 1/2+y,1/2-x,1/4+z; interactions. Hydrogen bonding interactions between carboxylate oxygen atoms O2 and O0AA (as acceptors) and the OW2 hydrogen atoms of water molecule (as bifurcated donors) are also observed [OW2-H1W2·····O2#4 3.1165(2) Å, OW2-H2W2·····O3#5 2.9566(2) Å, #4 1/2+x,1/2-y,1/4-z; #5 1-y,-x,1/2-z]. In addition, intermolecular C–H·····O contacts are relevant and help to stabilize the structure (Table S3, supporting information).

The topology of 1 was determined by considering the Cu₄O₄ unit as vertices and the ligand as a linear connector and analyzing the network with SYSTRE. This operation reveals the ubiquitous diamond or dia topology, the most common of all four connected nets and the structure with an overlaid network is shown in Figure 4. It is noteworthy that although 1 crystallizes in an enantiomorphous space group the dia net is not one of the inherently chiral network topologies (qtz is the most common of these that are four-connected). As observed in the literature the topology of the net is not necessarily chiral even if the space group is chiral.
4. Catalytic activity of the 1 in the Henry reaction

We have tested the catalytic activity the copper MOF 1 as a solid heterogeneous catalyst in the nitroalcohol (or Henry) reaction of nitroethane with various aldehydes. In a typical reaction, a mixture of aldehyde (0.50 mmol), nitroethane (2.50 mmol), 3 mol % of Cu-MOF catalyst and methanol contained in a capped glass vessel was stirred at 70 °C for 24 h. The mixture was then centrifuged to remove the solid catalyst. The evaporation of the solvent gave the crude product which was a mixture of the β-nitroalkanol diastereoisomers (syn and anti forms, with predominance of the former) which were analyzed by 1H NMR.

The optimization of the reaction conditions (temperature, reaction time, amount of catalyst, solvent) was carried out in a model nitroethane–benzaldehyde system with 1 as the catalyst (Scheme 2 with typical reaction conditions; Table 1).

When 3 mol % of solid complex 1 is used as catalyst at 70°C, a conversion of 89 % (syn/anti: 75:25) of benzaldehyde into β-nitroalkanol is reached (entry 6, Table 1) after 24 h. Upon extending the reaction time to
48 h, the reaction yield increase slightly (91%, syn/anti: 76:24). The plot of yield versus time for the Henry reaction of benzaldehyde and nitroethane with complex 1 is presented in figure 5A.

![Figure 5 A) Plots of β-nitroalkanol yield vs. time for the Henry reaction of benzaldehyde and nitroethane in presence of compound 1 as catalyst. B) Kinetic profiles in four consecutive reaction cycles employing 1 as catalyst.](image)

A blank reaction was performed (absence of any metal source; Table 1, entry 18) using benzaldehyde as substrate, at 70 °C and in methanol. No β-nitroalkanol was detected after a reaction time of 24 h. The nitroaldol reaction also did not take place when using compound H₃L instead of catalyst 1 (Table 1, entry 20). We have also checked the reactivity of Cu(NO₃)₂·3H₂O in methanol medium and the obtained reaction yield was much lower, i.e., ca. 24% (entry 19, Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Amount of Catalyst (mol%)</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>Selectivity (syn/anti)</th>
<th>TON²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>77:23</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>76:24</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>75:25</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>75:25</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Optimization of the parameters of the Henry nitroaldol reaction between benzaldehyde and nitroethane with 1 as the catalyst³
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>75:25</td>
<td>26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>75:25</td>
<td>29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>76:24</td>
<td>30.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>77:23</td>
<td>58.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>79:21</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>78:22</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>CH\textsubscript{3}CN</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>79:21</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>80:20</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>80:20</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>79:21</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>77:23</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>78:22</td>
<td>23.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>69:31</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Cu(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}\cdot3H\textsubscript{2}O</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>82:18</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>H\textsubscript{3}L</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21\textsuperscript{e}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22\textsuperscript{f}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>91:9</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Reaction condition: 3.0 mol% of catalyst 1, benzaldehyde (0.50 mmol), nitroethane (2.50 mmol) and methanol (2 mL). \textsuperscript{b} Number of moles of β-nitroalkanol per mole of aldehyde \times 100. \textsuperscript{c} Calculated by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR. \textsuperscript{d} Number of moles of β-nitroalkanol per mole of catalyst (2 Cu per mole). \textsuperscript{e} Nitromethane was used as substrate; \textsuperscript{f} Nitropropane was used as substrate.

We have also tested the effects of temperature, catalyst amount and solvents. The increase in the amount of catalyst 1 from 1.0 to 3.0 mol% enhances the product yield from 58 to 89%, but further increase amount of catalyst leads to a slight decrease of the reaction yield (entries 8–10, Table 1).

To select the most suitable solvent, experiments with various solvents (CH\textsubscript{3}CN, THF, MeOH and water) have been carried out with 1 and the results (Table 1, entries 6 and 11–13) indicate that methanol (conversion of 89%) is the best polar solvent, whereas the worst one is CH\textsubscript{3}CN (12% conversion). In water and THF, yields of 84% or 45%, respectively, were obtained (Table 1, entries 12 and 13).
Varying the temperature from 20 to 70˚C improved the yield of β-nitroalkanol from 5 to 89% but a further temperature increase had a negative effect (entries 14–17, Table 1). The systems exhibit diastereoselectivity towards the syn isomer, typically leading to syn/anti molar ratios in the 82:18 to 78:22 range using nitroethane as substrate. The selectivity in syn and anti products is an important issue in the Henry reaction and efforts have been focused on the development of catalytic diastereo- or enantio-selective processes. The size of the nitroalkane chain also affects the yields; e.g. with nitropropane the conversion was only 23% (entry 22, Table 1), whilst with nitroethane or nitromethane conversion values of 89% or 87%, respectively, were obtained (entries 6 and 21, Table 1).

We also examined the catalytic activity of 1 with different types of substituted aromatic and aliphatic aldehydes in the reaction with nitroethane. The results are summarized in Table 2. p-Nitrobenzaldehyde produced the maximum yield (95%), while the lowest yield (27%) was obtained for p-methoxybenzaldehyde. The yields for two aliphatic aldehydes were good (84–85%) but lower than that for benzaldehyde.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aldehyde</th>
<th>Yield(%)</th>
<th>Selectivity</th>
<th>TON</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O₂N-CH₃CHO</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>78:22</td>
<td>31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂CO-CH₃CHO</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>76:24</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl-CH₃CHO</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>71:29</td>
<td>27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₃C-CH₂CHO</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>79:21</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHO-NO₂</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>77:23</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃CHO</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>87:13</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃CH₂CHO</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>75:24</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Reaction conditions: 3.0 mol% of catalyst 1, aldehyde (0.50 mmol), nitroethane (2.5 mmol) and methanol (2 mL). b Number of moles of β-nitroalkanol per mole of aldehyde X 100. c Calculated by ¹H NMR. d Number of moles of β-nitroalkanol per mole of catalyst.

Competition reactions involving equal molar amounts of p-nitrobenzaldehyde and acetaldehyde were conducted to probe whether catalysis occurs primarily on the surface of the MOF or within the pores. If catalysis were occurring to a significant extent within pores, we might well expect the 3-nitrobutan-2-ol product to be formed preferentially over the 2-nitro-1-(4-nitrophenyl)propan-1-ol, since the internal cavities of framework 1 would have selectively adsorbed small molecules (acetaldehyde) over large molecules (p-nitro benzaldehyde) due to its smaller pore size (6 Å x 7 Å channels). But we have observed p-nitro benzaldehyde produce more yield than acetaldehyde. Also we have used various sizes of different aldehydes but we didn’t observe any selectivity for particular aldehyde, the aryl aldehydes bearing electron-withdrawing groups exhibit higher reactivities as compared to those having electron-donating moieties, which may be related to an
increase in the electrophilicity of the substrate in the former case. Thus, it may be possible that catalysis occurs mainly on the surface of the MOF and not on the pores of framework 1.

In order to examine the stability of 1 in the Henry reaction, it was recycled in four consecutive experiments, and it was observed that its activity remained almost the same (Figure 5B). FT-IR and powder X-ray diffraction of catalyst 1 taken before and after the reaction indicated that the structure of the solid was retained (Figure S1). Additionally, the filtrated solution, after the separation of the catalyst, was evaporated and the amount of copper determined, being only 0.012% of the amount used in the reaction, thus ruling out any significant leaching of the catalyst. This test also indicates the significant amount of stability of framework 1 during the catalytic reaction.

Although there are some reports on coordination polymers\textsuperscript{16} which are catalytically active for this kind of reaction, the yields and selectivity are usually higher for our compound as compared to other metal organic frameworks. Recently, Chuan-De Wu et. al. reported a 3D porous metal–organic framework constructed from two kinds of pyridine carboxylates and copper(II) ions, in the reaction of 4-nitrobenzaldehyde and nitroethane, leads to an overall yield of only 78 % after 36 h reaction time (in our case 95% in 24h).\textsuperscript{16c} Moreover, our catalyst 1 exhibits a marked selectivity towards the \textit{syn} diastereoisomer (Table 2, \textit{syn:anti} of 78:22) which was not reported in other cases. In comparison with other reported heterogeneous catalysts for the Henry reaction, our catalyst has the advantages of being relatively cheap and easy-to-prepare.

5. EPR analysis

The EPR spectrum of 1 was recorded in solid state, Fig. 6 (a), at room temperature and (b) in at 77 K. The X-band EPR spectrum of 1 depicts a broad band centred at \( g = 2.15 \) (3171 G for \( \nu = 9.526 \) GHz) which corresponds to the transition \( \Delta M_s = \pm 1 \). No clearly detectable zero field splitting or half-field signals were observed. The frozen solution spectrum, Fig. 6 (b), shows a band centred at \( g = 2.14 \) which also corresponds to the transition \( \Delta M_s = \pm 1 \). By simulation of the spectra, the following \( g \) values were obtained: \( g_1 = 2.05 \), \( g_2 = 2.17 \) and \( g_3 = 2.23 \).

![Figure 6](image)

\textbf{Figure 6} First derivative EPR spectra of 1 at room temperature (a) and at 77 K (b).
The geometric parameter G, which is a measure of the exchange interaction between the copper centres in the polycrystalline compound, is calculated using the equation: for rhombic spectra $G = (g_3 - 2.003)/(g_\perp - 2.003)$, where $g_\perp = (g_1 + g_2)/2$. If $G < 4.0$, a considerable exchange interaction is indicated in the solid complexes. The G value for compound 1 in the polycrystalline state at 77 K is 2.12. For complexes of this type, the parameter R can be indicative of the predominance of the $d_{z^2}$ or $d_{x^2} - y^2$ orbital in the ground state, where $R = (g_z - g_y)/(g_y - g_x)$. If $R > 1$, the greater contribution to the ground state arises from the $d_{z^2}$ orbital and the structure is closer to a trigonal bipyramid than to a square pyramid. Instead, if $R < 1$, a greater contribution to the ground state arises from the $d_{x^2} - y^2$ orbital and the structure is closer to a square pyramid than to a trigonal bipyramid. In the present case, $R = 0.5$, being compatible with the X-ray diffraction data. The solution spectrum could not be obtained due to insolubility of the framework 1 in common organic solvent. This result unequivocally confirms the oxidation state as Cu(II) as inferred in section 3b.

5. Conclusion

We successfully isolated a copper(II) metal organic framework derived from a tripodal flexible ligand $(2S,2'S,2''S)-2,2',2''-(benzenetricarbonyltris(azanediyl))tripropanoic acid (H$_3$L) under hydrothermal condition. The single-crystal X-ray diffraction experiment revealed that this framework consists of tetranuclear cubane-type clusters of [Cu$_4$(MeO)$_4$]$^{4+}$ acting as secondary building blocks. The tetranuclear cubane of copper(II) is formed via the connection of four metal ions by four $\mu^3$ bridged methoxide ions. This metal-oxygen motif, although found for discrete metal-clusters, have not been observed as part of a metal-organic framework hitherto. The framework has one dimensional channels along the c axis with approximate dimension of $6 \times 7$ Å$^2$ and a void space of 16.2% per unit cell volume.

Framework 1 effectively catalyzes the Henry reaction of nitroethane with various aldehydes producing the corresponding β-nitroalkanols in high yields and with a significant stereoselectivity towards the syn diastereomer. We have also proved the stability and recyclability of the catalysts. The syn/anti ratio of the nitroaldol products depends on various factors such as the amount of catalyst, the electrophilicity of the substrates and the reaction conditions.

The above observations provide further evidence that simple Cu(II) complexes can be utilized as effective heterogeneous catalysts in the important type of reactions of this study. Further explorations of the uses of this catalyst family in other organic transformations, as well as mechanistic investigations, are ongoing.

6. Experimental

All the chemicals were obtained from commercial sources and used as received. $(2S,2'S,2''S)-2,2',2''-(benzenetricarbonyltris(azanediyl))tripropanoic acid (H$_3$L) was prepared according to the literature [17]. The infrared spectra (4000–400 cm$^{-1}$) were recorded on a Bruker IFS-125 spectrometer in KBr pellets; abbreviations: s = strong, m = medium, w = weak, bs = broad and strong, mb = medium and broad. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) was conducted in a D8 Advance Bruker AXS (Bragg Brentano geometry) theta-2theta diffractometer, with copper radiation (Cu Kα, $\lambda = 1.5406$ Å) and a secondary monochromator, operated at 40 kV and 40 mA.
Flat plate configuration was used and the typical data collection range was between 5° and 35°. Thermogravimetric analysis was carried out with a Perkin-Elmer Instrument system (STA6000) at a heating rate of 10°C min⁻¹ under a dinitrogen atmosphere, in the range of room temperature to ca. 600°C. The X-band EPR spectra were measured either at room temperature (RT) or at liquid nitrogen (LN) temperature (77 K) on a Bruker ESP 300E spectrometer. The ESP 300E spectrometer was operated at ~9.51 GHz with a frequency modulation of 100 kHz. While keeping the resolution either at 2048 points or at 4096 points, the microwave power was adjusted to 20 dB attenuations and the receiver gain was set to either 3.0×10⁵ or 4.0×10⁵. To improve the signal to noise ratio, 10 scans of each sample were accumulated. The spectral acquisition parameters were constant for each experiment. All measurements were done using 3 mm quartz tubes (Wilmad 707-SQ-250M). The EPR spectra were simulated using an EPR simulation program.

6a. Synthesis of 1

A mixture of H₃L (42 mg, 0.1 mmol) and Cu(NO₃)₂·3H₂O (24 mg, 0.1 mmol) was dissolved in 4 mL of MeOH : DMF (1:0.1 v/v) and placed in a capped glass vessel. Then 0.1 ml of 1(M) KOH is added into it and mix thoroughly, blue precipitate appear immediately. The precipitate was dissolve by adding 0.5 ml of NH₄OH drop-wise until solution was turn deep blue. The resulting mixture was sealed in an 8 mL glass vessel and heated at 80 °C for 48 h. After cooling to room temperature the reaction mixture was kept for slow evaporation. After 7 days deep blue block crystals appeared. Yield 34% (based on Cu), which is calculated based on crystalline material obtained. Lower reaction yield of Cu(II) frameworks also observe in some previously reported literature. FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3303 (bs), 1637 (s), 1530 (s), 1450 (w), 1407 (m), 1360 (s), 1270 (w), 1090 (w), 724 (s).

6b. Procedure for the nitroaldol (Henry) reaction catalyzed by 1

In a typical reaction, a mixture of aldehyde (0.50 mmol), nitroethane (2.50 mmol) and 1 catalyst (9.70 mg, 3 mol %) was placed in a capped glass vessel, and then 2.0 mL methanol were added into it. The mixture was heated at 70 °C for 24 h, and subsequently quenched by centrifugation and filtration at room temperature. The filtrate was extracted with dichloromethane. The organic extracts were collected over anhydrous sodium sulfate; subsequent evaporation of the solvent gave the crude product. The product was dissolved in DMSO-d⁶ and analyzed by ¹H NMR. The yield of the β-nitroalkanol product (relatively to the aldehyde) was established typically by taking into consideration the relative amounts of these compounds, as given by ¹H NMR and previously reported. The ratio between the syn and anti isomers was also determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. In the ¹H NMR spectra, the values of vicinal coupling constants (for the β-nitroalkanol products) between the α-N–C–H and the α-O–C–H protons identify the isomers, being J = 7–9 or 3.2–4 Hz for the syn or anti isomers, respectively. The ¹H-NMR spectra and the calculation of the yield and selectivity for framework 1 in the Henry reaction is presented in supporting information (Figure S4).

In order to perform the recycling experiment, first we washed the used catalyst (separated by centrifugation and filtration of the supernatant solution) with methanol and dried it in air. It was then reused for the nitroaldol reaction as described above.
6c. X-ray crystal structure determination

A suitable crystal of 1 was immersed in cryo-oil, mounted on a Nylon loop, and measured at a temperature of 100(2) K using an Oxford Cryostream 700. The X-ray diffraction data were collected on a Bruker DUO APEX II CCD diffractometer using graphite monochromated Mo Ka radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$). The data reduction and cell refinement were performed using SAINT-Plus$^{28}$ and scaled for absorption effects by multi-scan using SADABS.$^{29}$ The space group was determined from systematic absences by XPREP$^{30}$ and confirmed using the program Layer.$^{31}$ The structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXS-97$^{32}$ and subsequently refined by full-matrix least-squares methods, based on $F^2$ values against all reflections.$^{33}$ Non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters,, whilst hydrogen atoms were placed geometrically, except for water hydrogen atoms which were placed from difference Fourier maps. Thermal parameters of hydrogen atoms were constrained to $U_{iso} = 1.2U_{eq}$(parent atom). Excess electron density in the channels that could not be modelled was ‘cleaned’ using the SQUEEZE routine in PLATON$^{34}$. CCDC 1024691 for compound 1, contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.
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