Dalton Transactions

Accepted Manuscript

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](http://www.rsc.org/Publishing/Journals/guidelines/AuthorGuidelines/JournalPolicy/accepted_manuscripts.asp).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](http://www.rsc.org/help/termsconditions.asp) and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

www.rsc.org/dalton

Superatoms (Li3O and BeF3) Induce Phenalenyl Radical π-dimer: Fascinating Interlayer Charge-Transfer and Large NLO Responses

Sa Chen, Shi-Ling Sun, Heng-Qing Wu, Hong-Liang Xu, Liang Zhao and Zhong-Min*

*Su**

Institute of Functional Material Chemistry Faculty of Chemistry, Northeast Normal University Changchun 130024, Jilin (P.R. China) E-mail: hlxu@nenu.edu.cn, zmsu@nenu.edu.cn

Abstract

Recently, the famous phenalenyl radical π -dimer with the fascinating 2-electron, 12-center (2e-12c) have attracted our attention. In this work, we designed two molecules $Li_3O^{\cdots}C_{13}H_9$ **1a** and BeF₃^{...}C₁₃H₉ 1b. Interestingly, owing to the induction of superatoms, an electron is transferred from Li₃O to phenalenyl in **1a**, while an electron is transferred from phenalenyl to BeF₃ in **1b**. Further, we employed **1a** and **1b** as building blocks to assemble two novel molecules with 2e/12c bond: $Li_3O''(C_{13}H_9)_2'''$ BeF₃ 2a and $Li_3O''(C_{13}H_9)_2'''$ BeF₃ 2b. Remarkably, 2a and 2b with novel 2e/12c bond exhibit a dramatic interlayer charge-transfer character, which results in the significant difference of dipole moments (∆*µ*: 2.6804 of **2a** and 3.8019 Debye of **2b**) between the ground state and the crucial excited state. As a result, the static first hyperpolarizabilities $(\beta_0: 5154 \text{ of } 2a)$ and 12500 au of **2b**) are considerably larger than 347 of **1a** and 328 au of **1b**. It is our expectation that the results of present work might provide beneficial information for further theoretical and experimental studies on the fascinating properties of molecules with the interlayer charge-transfer character.

Keywords: biphenalenyl diradical; 2e/12c bond; interlayer charge-transfer character; superatoms; first hyperpolarizability

1. Introduction

Due to the fascinating structure and widely application in magnetic and conducting materials fields, a great deal of work has been carried out to study the phenalenyl radical and its derivatives.¹ In 1998, Kosaburo and coworkers synthesized and characterized a stable neutral hydrocarbon radical (2, 5, 8-Tri-tert-butyl-phenalenyl) as a good model of a pancake-bonded dimer with a 2-electron/12-center (2e/12c) bond, which has evoked enormous interest among many scientists.² On the other hand, the biphenalenyl π -dimers derivatives also aroused the interest of theoretical chemists.³ For example, in 2006, Morita and coworkers investigated the CSI-MS and NMR spectra of tri-tert-butylated biphenalenyl π -dimers for the first time.^{3a} Recently, some researchers point out that the short distance of the dimer is an effective way to enhance the electronic transport ability of the device.^{3b} Prof. Kertesz et al. performed many strategies to shorten the distances and strengthen the 2e/12c bonding of phenalenyl derivatives *π*-dimers, upon *β-*substitutions.3c

Specifically, in our previous work, the structure and property of the boron/nitrogen substituted biphenalenyl was investigated, in which a novel 2e/12c bond is formed by the electron pair of occupied orbital of N substituted phenalenyl and the unoccupied orbital of B substituted phenalenyl monomers.⁴ Due to the novel $2e/12c$ bond, the molecules exhibit a fascinating interlayer charge transfer transitions character, which results in the significant difference of dipole moments $(\Delta \mu)$ between the ground state and the crucial excited state. Significantly, our calculations demonstrated that boron/nitrogen substituted effect plays a crucial role in the large

Page 3 of 22 Dalton Transactions

first hyperpolarizabilities (β_0) . Ever since then, an interesting question is emerging: can the pure biphenlenyl also exhibit an interlayer charge-transfer character? (1--Ever since then, an interesting question is addressed: can the pure biphenlenyl also exhibit an interlayer charge-transfer character? 2-- Ever since then, a new idea occurred to me: can we use the pure biphenlenyl and other building blocks to assemble new molecules exhibiting an interlayer charge-transfer character?)

Fortunately, the induced effect of the superalkalies and superhalogens can give a positive response.⁵ Superalkalies have lower ionization potentials (IPs) than those (5.4-3.9 eV) of alkali atoms, which act as stronger electron donors.⁶ Superhalogens have higher electron affinities (EAs) than those (3.0-3.6 eV) of halogen atoms, which serve as stronger electron acceptors.⁷ In the present work, two molecules $Li_3O^{\cdots}C_{13}H_9$ **1a** and $BeF_3^{\cdots}C_{13}H_9$ **1b** are formed by superalkali (Li_3O) and superhalogen (BeF3) induced the phenalenyl. As shown in Scheme 1, let's first focus on **1a,** the Natural bond orbital (NBO) charge of $Li₃O$ is 0.803 (close to +1), indicating that an electron transfer from Li₃O to phenalenyl. However, for **1b**, the NBO charge of BeF₃ is -0.961 (close to -1), which demonstrates that an electron transfer from phenalenyl to BeF_3 .

Scheme 1 The Natural bond orbital (NBO) of **1a** and **1b**

In summary, **1a** and **1b** are used as building blocks to assemble two novel molecules $Li_3O''(C_{13}H_9)_2'''$ BeF₃ **2a** and $Li_3O''(C_{13}H_9)_2'''$ BeF₃ **2b**. The detailed structures of **2a** and **2b** can be described as follows: **1a** adopts the staggered and eclipsed positions with respect to **1b,**

respectively. For **2a**, two neighboring phenalenyls are paired and staggered in a face-to-face fashion. For **2b,** two neighboring phenalenyls are paired and eclipsed. Moreover, the two layers of phenalenyl in 2b are slightly distorted. In addition, $Li₃O$ and $BeF₃$ are located in the upper and lower position.

Owing to the induction of $Li₃O$ and BeF₃, two pieces of phenalenyls carry positive and negative charges, respectively. Remarkably, the pure biphenlenyl with novel 2e/12c bond indeed exhibits a dramatic interlayer charge-transfer character in **2a** and **2b**. Our work may be an important base for researchers synthesize and investigate the molecules with 2e/12c bond.

2. Computational Details

The hybrid meta exchange correlation functional (M06-2X) density function theory (DFT) method, has been widely used to optimize the geometries of π -dimers systems.^{3c, 8} Besides, in our previous paper, M06-2X method has been chosen for optimizing boron/nitrogen substituted the central carbons of phenalenyl radical π -dimer and azaphenalenyl radical dimers.^{4, 9} Therefore, the geometrical structures of the molecules were obtained at the M06-2X/6-31+G(d) level.

Furthermore, to correct the basis set superposition error (BSSEs), the counterpoise (CP) procedure was used in the calculations of interaction energies at the M06-2X/6-31+G(d) level.¹⁰ The interaction energy (*E*_{int}) was calculated as the difference between the energy of the dimer and the sum of the energies of the monomers by the following formula:

$$
E_{\text{int}}(AB) = E(AB)_{AB} - [E(A)_{A} + E(B)_{AB}] \tag{1}
$$

The Wiberg bond indexes (WBI) was calculated at the M06-2X/6-31G(d) level.

For the calculation of the first hyperpolarizabilities (β_0) , choosing a proper method is very important. Specifically, considering precision and cost, the MP2 method has been proposed to be the most suitable method to calculate the first hyperpolarizabilities.¹¹ In the present work, the first hyperpolarizabilities were calculated at the MP2/6-31+G(d) level.

The static first hyperpolarizability is noted as:

$$
\beta_0 = (\beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2 + \beta_z^2)^{1/2}
$$
 (2)

Where $\beta_i = \frac{3}{5} (\beta_{iii} + \beta_{ij} + \beta_{ikk}), i, j, k = x, y, z$ $\beta_i = \frac{3}{5}(\beta_{iii} + \beta_{iii} + \beta_{ikk}), i, j, k = x, y, z$ (3)

In this work, the M06-2X method was also employed to evaluate NBO charge. All of the calculations were performed with Gaussian 09W program package.¹²

3. Results and Discussions

3-1. Geometric Structures and Interaction Energies

The optimized geometric structures of the four molecules at the M06-2X/6-31+G(d) level are presented in Figure 1. The frequency calculations confirm that the optimized structures are at the minimum and geometric parameters are listed in Table 1. $C_i^{[a]}$ is the center carbon atom of phenalenyl which is close to Li_3O in **1a**, **2a** and **2b**. And $C_i^{[b]}$ is the center carbon atom of phenalenyl which is close to BeF₃ in **1b**, 2a and 2b. From Table 1, for 2a, the distance of $C_i^{[a]}$ - $C_i^{[b]}$ of 2a is about 3.041 Å, it is shorter than 3.109 Å of the staggered biphenalenty diradical π -dimer. Additionally, the C_i^[a] - C_i^{b]} distance (3.284 Å) of **2b** is longer than that of **2a**. Compared with our previously work, it shows that the distances of $C_i^{[a]}$ - $C_i^{[b]}$ in **2a** and **2b** are shorter (3.041) \AA < 3.137 Å, 3.284 Å < 3.309 Å). This indicates that the attraction interaction of the two pieces of phenalenyls in **2a** and **2b** are stronger than that in B/N substituted the central carbons of phenalenyl radical π -dimer.⁴ In order to compare with experimental results, we took the corresponding calculation on tri-tert-butylated phenalenyl dimer. The distance of central carbon atoms is 3.477 Å, which is close to the experimental values (3.3 Å) .¹³

For the purpose of investigating the stabilities of 2a and 2b, the interaction energy (E_{int}) was

calculated at the M06-2X/6-31+G(d) level of theory with counterpoise correction and the corresponding results were presented in Table 2. The *E*int values of **2a** and **2b** (-21.09 and -13.66 kcal mol⁻¹) are larger than the values of the staggered and the eclipsed biphenalenyl diradical π dimer (-16.82 and -6.43 kcal mol⁻¹), which demonstrates that superatoms enhance the stabilities of biphenalenyl. Furthermore, The E_{int} values of 2a and 2b are even larger than B/N substituted the central carbons of phenalenyl radical π -dimer (-19.69 and -12.82 kcal mol⁻¹),⁴ which shows that **2a** and **2b** are more stable in comparison with B/N substituted the central carbons of phenalenyl radical π-dimer. In addition, compared with the E_{int} values of **2a** and **2b**, it also reveals that **2a** is more stable than **2b**. In order to draw a firm and reliable conclusion, we compare with the experimental result. We calculated the E_{int} values of tri-tert-butylated phenalenyl dimer by M06-2X $(-11.31 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1})$, which is in good agreement with the enthalpy of dimerization measured by quantitative EPR spectroscopy (9.5±1 kcal mol⁻¹).¹⁴

Moreover, the HOMO–LUMO energy gaps (E_{gap}) of the two molecules were also calculated and are listed in Table 2. A similar trend appears in the E_{gap} values, which the E_{gap} of 2a and 2b (3.59 and 3.04 eV) are also larger than the value of the biphenalenyl (3.38 and 2.93 eV). Hence, the unusual molecules (**2a** and **2b**) with larger interaction energy and the 2e/12c bond may encourage further investigations in theoretical and experimental aspects.

3-2. Natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis and Wiberg bond indexes (WBI)

The NBO charges were calculated at the M06-2X/6-31G(d) level. From Table 3, we first focus on **2a**, the phenalenyl close to BeF₃ carries positive charges but the phenalenyl close to $Li₃O$ carries negative charges. A similar case can be observed in **2b**. The NBO charges analysis of **2a** and **2b** indicates that long range electron transfer from the $Li₃O$ to BeF₃ through the 2e/12c bond. Such

Page 7 of 22 Dalton Transactions

charge transfer is induced by $Li₃O$ and $BeF₃$. Besides, toward a comprehensive understanding of charge transfer, it is also important to discuss their ground-state dipole moment (μ_{g}) . As shown in Table 3, the *µ*g values of **2a** and **2b** (16.2876 and 14.5899 Debye) are much lager than that of **1a** and **1b** (0.8395 and 9.0461 Debye).

To further understand the bond character, the analysis of the WBI should be performed. $WBI¹⁵$ were calculated with the NBO population analysis by using the M06-2X/6-31G(d) method. The WBI of C1-C2 and C3-C4 are 0.027 and 0.008 in **2a**. The total WBI is 0.17, which is the sum of the six pairs of carbon atoms in the same chemical environment as C1-C2 and the center atoms C3-C4 (in Figure 2). The total WBI of 2e-12c bond in **2a** (0.17) is equal to the previously reported 2e-12c bond, which shows **2a** also has an analogous covalent bond. For **2b**, the total wiberg bond index is 0.07, which is smaller than **2a**. It indicates that **2b** is a weaker covalent interaction than **2a.**

3-3. Static First Hyperpolarizability

The static first hyperpolarizabilities (β_0) of the four molecules were obtained at the MP2/6-31+G(d) level and the results are given in Table 3. From Table 3, the β_0 values of **1a** and **1b** are about 347 and 328 au. Interestingly, when **1a** and **1b** as building blocks are used to assemble two novel **2a** and **2b**, the β_0 values dramatically increase to 5154 and 12500 au. Besides, the β_0 of **2a** and **2b** are larger than the values (5154 and 12500 au) of the B/N substituted the central carbons of phenalenyl radical π-dimer (3516 and 12272 au)⁴, which demonstrates that superatoms enhance the β_0 value.

To further understand the origin of the β_0 values, we consider the widely used two-level $model¹⁶$:

$$
\beta_0 \propto \frac{\Delta \mu \bullet f_0}{\Delta E^3} \tag{4}
$$

Where the ∆*E*, *f*0 and ∆*µ* are the transition energy, oscillator strength and difference in the dipole moments between the ground state and the crucial excited state, respectively. According to the above expression, the β_0 is proportional to $\Delta \mu$ and f_0 but is inversely proportional to ΔE^3 . The physical quantities in the two-level model may be helpful to qualitatively understand the variation of β_0 of the four molecules.

The f_0 , ΔE and $\Delta \mu$ of the four molecules are estimated crudely by time dependent (TD) M06-2X/6-31+G(d) and the corresponding result are listed in Table 3. Since the third power of ∆E is inversely proportional to the β_0 , it is the decisive factor. We know that the order of the β_0 values is **2b** (12500) > **2a** (5154), inversely to the ∆*E* values (1.6697 eV for **2b** < 2.2191 eV for **2a**).

Further investigation on the transition properties, we take **2a** as an example to investigate the molecular orbitals of the crucial transition states because the 2e-12c bond of **2b** is similar to that of **2a**. From Figure 4, the crucial transition state (The crucial transition with the lower energy and higher oscillating strength^{1i, 2}) of **2a** is from HOMO to LUMO and the electron cloud to significantly moves from $Li₃O$ to Be $F₃$ along the 2e-12c bond. It is worth noting that the HOMO of **2a** mainly distribute over the **1a** fragment and the LUMO are mainly distribute over the **1b** fragment resulting in the long range interlayer charge transfer transition, which may lead to the large $\Delta \mu$ value. Besides, as shown in Table 3, β_x values play a crucial role in β_0 values of 2a, which is the same direction as the charge transfer transition. Therefore, the front molecular orbital analysis confirms that the remarkable β_0 values of **2a** can mainly be attributed to the long range interlayer charge transfer transition induced by the superatoms. The results also show that the superatom interacting with the 2e-12c bond is a new effective mode to introduce the interlayer

Page 9 of 22 Dalton Transactions

charge-transfer transition and obtain a large NLO response, which will be advantageous to facilitate the design of new types of high-performance NLO materials.

4. Conclusions

In the present work, the induced effects of the superatoms $(Li₃O$ and $BeF₃)$ on the phenalenyl radical π -dimer with the fascinating 2e/12c were investigated systematically. Two novel molecules (**2a** and **2b**) with 2e/12c bond were obtained by putting **1a** and **1b** in stagger and eclipse form, respectively. Significantly, **2a** and **2b** with pure biphenlenyl exhibit a dramatic interlayer charge-transfer character. The key points of this work are summarized as follows.

- i. The distance of $C_i^{[a]}$ $C_i^{[b]}$ in **2a** and **2b** are shorter than that in B/N substituted the central carbons of phenalenyl radical π-dimer (3.041 Å < 3.137 Å, 3.284 Å < 3.309 Å). It indicates that the attraction interaction in **2a** and **2b** are stronger. Besides, the calculated interaction energy and HOMO-LUMO gap show that the two molecules (**2a** and **2b**) are stable.
- ii. The bonding characters are investigated by frontier molecular orbitals, Wiberg bond index and NBO analysis. It is worth noting that a long range interlayer charge transfer transition is induced Li₃O and BeF₃, which result in the difference of dipole moments ($\Delta \mu$) between the ground states and the crucial excited states dramatically enhancing. The ∆*µ* values of **2a** and **2b** are 2.6804 and 3.8019, which are larger than those (0.1709 and 0.2706 Debye) of **1a** and **1b**.
- iii. The β_0 values of **1a** and **1b** are about 347 and 328 au. Interestingly, when we used **1a** and **1b** as building blocks to assemble two novel molecules **2a** and **2b**, the β_0 values dramatically increase to 5154 and 12500 au. The order of the β_0 values is **2b** (12500) **2a** (5154) **1a** (347)> **1b** (328).

It is our expectation that this work can be beneficial for theoretical and experimental scientists to make further investigations on the NLO response of molecules with the interlayer charge-transfer character.

Acknowledgement

The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from National Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (21003019), the Science and Technology Development Planning of Jilin Province (20100178, 201201062 and 20140101046JC). Computing Center of Jilin Province for essential support and Dr. Xu acknowledges support from Hong Kong Scholars Program.

References

1. (a) P. A. Koutentis, Y. Chen, Y. Cao, T. P. Best, M. E. Itkis, L. Beer, R. T. Oakley, A. W. Cordes, C. P. Brock and R. C. Haddon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2001**, 123,** 3864; (b) S. Zheng, J. D. Thompson, A. Tontcheva, S. I. Khan and Y. Rubin, *Org. Lett.,* 2005**, 7,** 1861; (c) S. K. Pal, M. E. Itkis, R. W. Reed, R. T. Oakley, A. W. Cordes, F. S. Tham, T. Siegrist and R. C. Haddon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2004**, 126,** 1478; (d) X. Chi, F. S. Tham, A. W. Cordes, M. E. Itkis and R. C. Haddon, *Synth. Met.,* 2003**, 133,** 367; (e) X. Chi, M. E. Itkis, B. O. Patrick, T. M. Barclay, R. W. Reed, R. T. Oakley, A. W. Cordes and R. C. Haddon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 1999**, 121,** 10395; (f) X. Chi, M. E. Itkis, K. Kirschbaum, A. A. Pinkerton, R. T. Oakley, A. W. Cordes and R. C. Haddon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2001**, 123,** 4041; (g) J. Huang and M. Kertesz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2007**, 129,** 1634; (h) S. K. Mandal, S. Samanta, M. E. Itkis, D. W. Jensen, R. W. Reed, R. T. Oakley, F. S. Tham, B. Donnadieu and R. C. Haddon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2006**, 128,** 1982; (i) A. Shimizu, M. Uruichi, K. Yakushi, H.Matsuzaki, H. Okamoto, M. Nakano, Y. Hirao, K. Matsumoto, H. Kurata and T. Kubo, *Angew. Chem.,* 2009**, 121,** 5590; (j) B. Kolb, M. Kertesz, T.

Page 11 of 22 Dalton Transactions

Thonhauser, *J. Phys. Chem A.,* 2013, **117**, 3642; (k) J. M. Spruell, *Pure Appl Chem.,* 2010, **82**, 2281; (l) R. G. Hicks, *Org. Biomol. Chem.,* 2007, **5**, 1321.

- 2. K. Goto, T. Kubo, K. Yamamoto,K. Nakasuji, K. Sato, D. Shiomi, T. Takui, M. Kubota, T. Kobayashi, K. Yakusi, J. Ouyang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 1999**, 121,** 1619.`
- 3. (a) S. Suzuki, Y. Morita, K. Fukui, K. Sato, D. Shiomi, T. Takui, K. Nakasuji, *J. Am. Chem.Soc.,* 2006**, 128,** 2530; (b) Z.-Q. Fan, Z.-H. Zhang, Q. Ming, G.-P. Tang, K.-Q. Chen, *Comp. Mater. Sci.,* 2012, **53**, 294; (c) Y.-H. Tian, M. Kertesz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2010**, 132,** 10648; (d) Y.Takano, T. Taniguchi, H. Isobe, T. Kubo, Y. Morita, K. Yamamoto, K. Nakasuji, T. Takui, K. Yamaguchi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2002**, 124,** 11122; (e) F. Mota, J. S. Miller, J. J. Novoa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2009, **131**, 7699; (f) R.-L. Zhong, H.-L. Xu, S.-L. Sun, Y.-Q. Qiu, L. Zhao, Z.-M.

Su, *J. Chem.Phy.,*2013, **139**, 124314.

- 4. R. L. Zhong, J. Zhang, S. Muhammad, Y. Y. Hu, H. L. Xu, Z. M. Su, *Chem. Eur. J.,* 2011**, 17,** 11773.
- 5. (a) Y. Li, D. Wu, Z.-R. Li, *Inorg. Chem.,* 2008**, 47,** 9773; (b) J. Tong, Y. Li, D. Wu, Z.-J. Wu, *Inorg. Chem.,* 2012**, 51,**6081; (c) W Chen, Z.-R. Li, D. Wu, Y. Li, C.-C. Sun, *J. Chem. Phys.,* 2005**, 123,** 164306; (d) J.-J. Wang, Z.-J. Zhou, Y. Bai, Z.-B. Liu, Y. Li, D. Wu, W. Chen, Z.-R. Li, C.-C. Sun, *J. Mater. Chem.,* 2012**, 22,** 9652; (e) S. Zein, J. V. Ortiz, *J. Chem. Phys.,* 2011**, 135**; 164307; (f) K. Yokoyama, H. Tanaka, H. Kudo, *J. Phys. Chem. A.,* 2001**, 105,** 4312; (g) W. Tian, X. Huang, Q. Li, W. Li, J. Cheng, B. Gong, *J. Mol. Model.,*2013, **19**, 1311; (h) B. M. Elliott, E. Koyle, A. I. Boldyrev, X.-B. Wang, L.-S. Wang, *J. Phys. Chem. A.,* 2005**, 109,**11560; (i) X.-B. Wang, C.-F. Ding, L.-S. Wang, A. I. Boldyrev, J. Simons, *J. Chem. Phys.,* 1999**, 110,** 4763; `(j) Y. Li, D. Wu, Z.-R. Li, *Inorg. Chem.,* 2008**, 47,** 9773; (k) F. F. Wang, Z. R. Li, D.

Wu, X. Y. Sun, W. Chen, Y. Li, C. C. Sun, *ChemPhysChem.,* 2006**, 7,** 1136; (l) G. Gutsev, A. I.

Boldyrev, *Russ. Chem.Rev.,* 1987, **56**, 519.

- 6. G. L. Gutsev, A. Boldyrev, *Chem. Phys. Lett.,* 1982**, 92,** 262.
- 7. G. Gutsev, A. Boldyrev, *Chem. Phys.,* 1981**, 56,** 277.
- 8. (a) D. Small, V. Zaitsev, Y. Jung, S. V. Rosokha, M. Head-Gordon, J. K. Kochi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2004**, 126,** 13850; (b) G. Sini, J. S. Sears, J.-L. Brédas, *J. Chem. Theory. Comput.,* 2011**, 7,** 602; (c) Y.-H. Tian, J. Huang, M. Kertesz, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.,* 2010**, 12,** 5084.
- 9. R.-L. Zhong, H.-L. Xu, S.-L. Sun, Y.-Q. Qiu, L. Zhao, Z.-M. Su, *J. Chem.Phys.,* 2013, **139**, 124314.
- 10. (a) I. Alkorta, J. Elguero, *J. Phys. Chem. A.,* 1998**, 103,** 272; (b) S. F. Boys, F. d. Bernardi, *Mol. Phys.,* 1970**, 19,** 553.
- 11. (a) W. Chen, Z. R. Li, D. Wu, R.-Y. Li, C.-C. Sun, *J. Phys. Chem. B.,* 2004**, 109,** 601; (b) W. Chen, Z.-R. Li, D. Wu, Y. Li, C.-C. Sun, F. L. Gu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2005**, 127,** 10977; (c) S. Muhammad, H. Xu, Y. Liao, Y. Kan, Z. Su, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2009**, 131,** 11833; (d) H.-L. Xu, Z.-R. Li, D. Wu, B.-Q. Wang, Y. Li, F. L. Gu, Y. Aoki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2007**, 129,** 2967; (e) H. Q. Wu, R. L. Zhong, Y.H. Kan., S.L. Sun, M. Zhang, L. Xu, Z. M. Su, *J. Comput. Chem.,*2013, **34**, 952.
- 12. M. J. Frisch et.al. Gaussian 09W, revision A.02; Gaussian, Inc. Wallingford, CT, **2009**.
- 13. (a) K. Fukui, K. Sato, D. Shiomi, T. Takui, K. Itoh, K. Gotoh, T. Kubo, K. Yamamoto, K. Nakasuji, A. Naito, *Synth Met.,* 1999, **103**, 2257; (b) K. Fukui, K. Sato, D. Shiomi, T. Takui, K. Itoh, T. Kubo, K. Gotoh, K. Yamamoto, K. Nakasuji, A. Naito, *Mol .Cryst. Liq. Cryst.,* 1999, **334**, 49.

Page 13 of 22 Dalton Transactions

- 14. J.-M. Lü, S. V. Rosokha, J. K. Kochi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2003, **125**, 12161.
- 15. K. B.Wiberg, *Terahedron.,* 1968, **24**, 1083-1096
- 16. (a) J. L. Oudar, *J. Chem. Phy.,* 1977**, 67***,* 446; (b)J. L. Oudar, D.S. Chemla, *J. Chem. Phy.,*

1977**, 66***,* 2664.

	1a	1 _b	2a	2 _b
$Li-C_i^{[a]}$	2.785		2.885	2.810-2.831
$O - C_i^{[a]}$	2.960		2.909	2.948
Li-O	1.707		1.711	1.700
$F-C_i^{[b]}$		3.081	3.041	3.083-3.103
Be- $C_i^{[b]}$		2.796	2.720	2.789
Be-F		1.474	1.485	1.474
$C_i^{[a]}$ - $C_i^{[b]}$			3.041 $(3.137^{[c]})$	3.284 $(3.309[d])$
Li-O-Li	106		111	108
F-Be-F		120	120	120

Table 1. The selected bond distances (\AA) and bond angles (\degree) of the four optimized structures at

the M06-2X/6-31+G(d) level of theory.

[a] is the center carbon atom of phenalenyl which is close to $Li₃O$ in **1a**, **2a** and **2b**.

[b] is the center carbon atom of phenalenyl which is close to BeF3 in **1b**, **2a** and **2b**.

[c] the value is the distance between central atoms in B/N substituted the central carbons of the staggered phenalenyl radical π -dimer (from ref. 14).

[d] the value is the distance between central atoms in B/N substituted the central carbons of the eclipsed phenalenyl radical π -dimer (from ref. 14).

	2a	2 _b
WBI	0.17	0.07
$E_{\rm int}$	$-21.09(-10.74[a])$	$-13.66 (-3.44^{[b]})$
LUMO	-2.33	-2.88
HOMO	-5.92	-5.92
$E_{\rm gap}$	3.59 $(3.38^{[a]})$	3.04 $(2.93^{ [b]})$

Table 2. The wiberg bond index (WBI), the interaction energy $(E_{int}$, kcal mol⁻¹) and the energies (eV) of HOMO, LUMO, and the energy gap (E_{gap}, eV) of **2a** and **2b** molecules.

[a] the energy is the staggered phenalenyl radical π -dimer (from ref. 14)

[b] the energy is the eclipsed phenalenyl radical π -dimer (from ref. 14)

Table 3. The natural bond orbital (NBO) of **2a** and **2b** molecules at the M06-2X/6-31G(d) level.

[a] is the phenalenyl which is close to Li3O in **2a** and **2b**

[b] is the phenalenyl which is close to BeF3 in **2a** and **2b**.

Table 4. The first hyperpolarizability (*β*0, a.u.) at the MP2/6-31+G(d) level; the difference of dipole moments (∆*µ*, Debye) between the ground and excited state, the transition energy (∆*E*, eV) and the oscillator strength f_0 at the TD-M06-2X/6-31+G(d) level.

	1a	1 _b	2a	2 _b
$\beta_{\rm x}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	-5154	12498
β_{y}	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	-1	16
β_{z}	347	-328	-2	-229
β_0	347	328	5154 (3516 ^[a])	$12500(12272^{[b]})$
f_0	0.1428	0.5532	0.2514	0.1584
$\Delta\,E$	3.2810	6.7710	2.2191	1.6697
$\mu_{\rm e}$	2.2968	8.5099	18.9680	18.3918
$\mu_{\rm g}$	0.8395	9.0461	16.2876	14.5899
$\Delta \mu$	1.4573	0.5362	2.6804	3.8019
$\Delta \mu f_0/E^3$	0.0059	0.0009	0.0617	0.1294

[a] the value is the β_0 of B/N substituted the central carbons of the staggered phenalenyl radical π -dimer (from ref. 14).

[b] the value is the *β*0 of B/N substituted the central carbons of the eclipsed phenalenyl radical π -dimer (from ref. 14).

Figure 2. The Wiberg bond indices (WBI) of the **2a** and **2b**

Figure 3. The qualitative molecular orbital diagram for the frontier molecular orbital overlap of

the two fragments of **2a** and **2b**

Figure 4. The crucial transitions of the four molecules

Table of Contents

Can the phenalenyl radical π -dimer with the fascinating 2-electron/12-center (2e/12c) possess the interlayer charge-transfer character? The induced effect of the superatoms $(L₃O$ and $BeF₃)$ can give us a positive response. Significantly, the two pieces of phenalenyls in **2a** and **2b** carry positive and negative charges, respectively. Remarkably, **2a** and **2b** with novel 2e/12c bond exhibit a dramatic interlayer charge-transfer character, which results in the significant ∆*µ* and considerably larger first hyperpolarizabilities.