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Synthesis, characterization and properties of a family of lead(II)-organic frameworks based on a multi-functional ligand 2-amino-4-sulfobenzoic acid exhibiting auxiliary ligand-dependent dehydration-rehydration behaviours

Kou-Lin Zhang, *[a] Zhao-Yin Zhong, ${ }^{[a]}$ Lei Zhang, ${ }^{[a]}$ Chu-Yue Jing, ${ }^{[a]}$ Luke M. Daniels, ${ }^{[b]}$ Richard I. Walton ${ }^{[b]}$
${ }^{[a]}$ College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou 225002, P.R. China
${ }^{[b]}$ Department of Chemistry, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK

A systematic investigation is reported of the use of the multi-functional ligand 2-amino-4-sulfobenzoate (asba ${ }^{2-}$ ) to construct lead(II)-organic frameworks in the presence and absence of $N$-donor auxiliary ligands phen, bipy and bix [phen $=1,10-$ phenanthroline, bipy $=2$, 2'-bipyridine and bix $=$ 1,4-(methylene-benzene)bisimidazole]. Under ambient, aqueous conditions the assembly of asba ${ }^{2-}$ with Pb (II) and phen or bipy leads to two iso-structural 2D double-layer frameworks, $\quad\left\{\left[\mathrm{Pb}_{2}(\text { asba })_{2}(\text { phen })_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad$ (1) and $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Pb}_{2}(\text { asba })_{2}(\text { bipy })_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{2}) .\left[\mathrm{Pb}_{2}(\text { asba })_{2}(\text { bix })_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{3})$ is obtained in the presence of the auxiliary ligand bix and possesses a 3D network built up from 2D $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$-asba ${ }^{2-}$-bridged double-layer pillared by bix. A 2D $(4,4)$ topological network $\left[\mathrm{Pb}(\operatorname{asba})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(4)$ is obtained in the absence of any second ligand or presence of some bistriazole bridging spacers. The coordination modes of the ligand asba ${ }^{2-}$ in 1-4 are greatly dependent on the type of the auxiliary ligand and the compounds exhibit

[^0]auxiliary ligand-dependent dehydration-rehydration behaviours; $\mathbf{1}$ shows in-situ rapid and reversible dehydration-rehydration behaviour in air, while the iso-structural compound 2 loses crystallinity in air and transforms into $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Pb}_{2}(\text { asba })_{2}(\text { bipy })_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right] \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{2 A})$ verified by TGA , elemental analysis and powder x-ray diffraction analysis (PXRD). $\mathbf{3}$ also shows reversible dehydration-rehydration behaviour, but it takes around one week to rehydrate even after exposure to water vapor, while the dehydrated phase of $\mathbf{4}$ rehydrates to a new crystalline material. $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ fall within the category of "recoverable collapsing" and "guest-induced re-formation" frameworks. The water molecules in $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ have some influence on their solid state fluorescent emission intensity.

## Introduction

During the past decades, metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) have attracted much interest in supramolecular chemistry, coordination chemistry and materials chemistry, not only because of their intriguing structural and topological novelty, but also owing to their tremendous potential applications in adsorption, microelectronics, ion exchange, separations, nonlinear optics, sensing and heterogeneous catalysis, etc. ${ }^{1-6}$ However, compared with transition metal MOFs, less attention has been paid to the MOFs of $p$-block metal ions despite their importance in photovoltaic conversion, organic light-emitting diodes, electroluminescence and fluorescent sensors, etc. ${ }^{7}$ Of these, lead(II)-organic frameworks are of interest for a number of reasons. Pb (II) has the ability to form diverse framework structures with interesting topologies not seen for other metals, due to its large ionic radius, variable coordination numbers, ranging
from 2 to 10 , and diverse coordination geometries. ${ }^{8-9}$ The coordination geometry of $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ is described as either holodirected or hemidirected. The holodirected geometry is found in $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes with high coordination numbers and can be understood in terms of the crowding effect, while the hemidirected geometry is generally observed in lead(II) compounds with small coordination numbers and is associated with the impact of the stereochemical activity of the $6 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ lone pair of $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II}) .{ }^{8 f}$ Lead(II)-organic frameworks have been shown to have interesting catalytic activity towards the Knoevenagel condensation reaction ${ }^{90}$ and photophysical properties such as luminescence ${ }^{10}$ and birefringence, ${ }^{11}$ and may form multi-dimensional $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{X}$ $=\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{S})$ frameworks giving rise to a variety of topologies. ${ }^{12}$ The coordination chemistry of $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ in such materials has recently been investigated and frequently discussed in regard to the coordination and stereoactivity of the valence shell lone electron pair in relation to potential uses as functional materials. ${ }^{13-15}$

The structural architectures of MOFs can be varied by changing the metal-ligand ratio, solvents, geometry of the auxiliary ligands, pH and temperature, etc. ${ }^{16}$ The flexibility of forming various topological structures may be enhanced by using $p$-block metal ions, and in the case of $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$, the coordination preference of $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ and its geometry, size and bridging ability of the organic ligands are expected to dictate the final topology of the framework formed. ${ }^{16 c}$

The work presented here uses 2-amino-4-sulfobenzoic acid ( $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ asba) as the organic ligand precursor (Chart 1). This is based on the following considerations: (1) As a rigid aromatic carboxylate ligand, asba $^{2-}$ can play the role of a bridging rod, so
structural prediction of the resulting polymeric species may be possible to some extent; (2) the acid $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ asba has excellent solubility in water at room temperature, so the interaction between $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ asba and Pb (II) ions can take place easily at close to ambient conditions; (3) the amino functional group is the potential interaction site for forming $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} . . . \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonding or coordinative bonds with the central metal ion; (4) a variety of binding modes of the carboxylate and sulfonate groups are anticipated, which will offer new insights to develop building blocks for the construction of specific coordination arrays; (5) Owing to the presence of aromatic/hetero rings of asba $^{2-}$ and dipyridyl/bisimidazole-type auxiliary ligands in the assembly system, delicate $\pi \ldots \pi$ stacking interactions are available to play an important role in the formation of an extended supramolecular network, well known in biological systems. ${ }^{17}$ To the best of our knowledge, there are as yet no complexes reported with asba ${ }^{2-}$ as ligand, and indeed the single crystal X-ray structure analysis of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ asba itself has not been determined.

Herein we describe a systematic study of the preparation, crystallography and properties of a family of four Pb (II) frameworks based on asba ${ }^{2-}$ (Scheme 1). $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Pb}_{2}(\text { asba })_{2}(\text { phen })_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{1})$ and $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Pb}_{2}(\text { asba })_{2}(\text { bipy })_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{2})$ are iso-structural and exhibit a 2D double-layered architecture (phen = 1,10 -phenarthrine, bipy $=2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridine $) .\left[\mathrm{Pb}_{2}(\text { asba })_{2}(\text { bix })_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(3)$ is a 3 D network constructed from 2D $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$-asba ${ }^{2-}$-bridged double-layers pillared by the auxiliary ligand bix $[1,4-($ methylene-benzene $)$ bisimidazole $] .\left[\mathrm{Pb}(\text { asba })\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(4)$, a 2D (4, 4)-network, was obtained in the absence of any auxiliary ligands or in the
presence of some bistriazole spacers. The auxiliary ligand-dependent dehydration-rehydration behaviours of 1-4 have been studied and solid-state fluorescent properties for all compounds have been studied.

## Results and discussion

## Synthesis of the complexes

The materials 1-4 were obtained under ambient conditions. An aqueous mixture of lead(II) and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ asba in the presence/absence of N -donor auxiliary ligands typically results in precipitation of a polycrystalline powder directly, but single crystals of 1-4 were successfully obtained by keeping the filtrate under ambient air for several days with the addition of NaOH to neutralize the acid. The molar ratio of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ asba: NaOH was found to be important for the growth of single crystals. Molar ratios of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ asba: NaOH of 1:2 for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{4}, 1: 1$ for $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ were necessary when attempts were made to synthesize 1-4 as single crystals and polycrystalline powder was obtained if other molar ratios were used. It should be mentioned that $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ can not be obtained without the presence of a small quantity of ethanol and methanol, respectively, to aid the solubility of the ligands bipy and bix. Compound 4 can also be obtained in the presence of some bistriazole bridging spacers $[\mathrm{bte}=1,4$-bis(1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)ethane, btp $=1,3$-bis(1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)propane, btb $=1,4$-bis(1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)butane, bth $=1,6$-bis(1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)hexane and bbtz $=1,4$-(methylene-benzene)bistriazole], indicating that the coordination ability of these bistriazole bridging auxiliary ligands to $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ is weaker than that of bix and can not compete with the ligand asba ${ }^{2-}$ in this system. All the carboxylate groups of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ asba in 1-4 are found to be deprotonated as
evidenced by FT-IR spectra and the results of crystallographic analysis (vide infra). The ligand asba ${ }^{2-}$ exhibits various coordination modes in 1-4 (Scheme 2), which exert important influence on the crystalline architectures as described below.

## Structure description

$\left\{\left[\mathrm{Pb}_{2}(\text { asba })_{2}(\text { phen })_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{1})$ and $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Pb}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{a s b a})_{2}(\text { bipy })_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right] \cdot \mathbf{2 H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{2})$
$\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are iso-structural and thus we only describe the structure of $\mathbf{1}$ in detail (Fig. 1). The detailed structure of $\mathbf{2}$ is shown in Fig. S1.

The hydrous lead(II) framework $\mathbf{1}$ crystallizes in the triclinic system with space group $P-1$ (Table 1). There are two crystallographically independent hemidirected $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ ions, two asba ${ }^{2-}$ anions, two phen chelating ligands, one coordinated water and two lattice water molecules in the asymmetric unit (Fig. 1A). The Pb 1 sites are heptacoordinated $\left(\mathbf{P b 1 O}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)$ by two nitrogen atoms from the phen ligand and five oxygen atoms: two from the carboxylate groups, two from the sulfonate groups of two asba ${ }^{2-}$ ligands and one from the coordinated water. Pb 2 is hexa-coordinated $\left(\mathbf{P b 2 O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)$ with two nitrogen atoms ( $\mathrm{N} 4, \mathrm{~N} 5$ ) from another phen, two oxygen atoms (O11, O12) from the chelating carboxylate group and two sulfonate oxygen atoms (O7, O10) from two asba ${ }^{2-}$ ligands. The bond distances in the carboxylate group [(1.205(3)-1.317(3) $\AA$ ] of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ asba (see supplementary cif for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{asba} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, also obtained in this work) clearly show some difference between single- and double-bond character, while almost identical bond lengths in the carboxylate group in $\mathbf{1}$ [1.265(11)-1.284(10) $\AA$ ] are observed and consistent with delocalization as a result of the coordination of the carboxylate group to $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$.

It is useful to compare our new materials with previous frameworks that include $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II}) .{ }^{8 f, 18}$ The $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{N}$ bond distances for lead(II) complexes with an inactive $6 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ lone pair usually fall in the range of 2.62-2.88 $\AA^{19}$ and the $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{N}$ distances [2.497 (7) $2.623(8) \AA$ ] in $\mathbf{1}$ fall within the ranges usually observed in $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes containing a phen ligand with an active $6 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ lone pair. ${ }^{8 \mathrm{~b}, 9 \mathrm{c}}$ Furthermore, the distances of $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {sulfonate }}$ and $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {water }}$ are longer than those of $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {carboxylate }}$ (Table 2). Therefore, the presence of an active $6 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ lone pair opposite to $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {carboxylate }}$ and near to $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {sulfonate }}$ is deduced. So , both Pb 1 and Pb 2 exhibit hemidirected geometry with the $\left(\mathbf{P b} 1 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbf{P b} 2 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ chromophores, respectively (Fig. 1A).

One asba ${ }^{2-}$ anion acts as a tridentate ligand in $\eta^{1}: \eta^{1}: \eta^{1}: \mu_{2^{-}}$coordination mode (Scheme 2): the carboxylate group (O1C13O2) exhibits chelating coordination and the sulfonate group (S2O5O7O13) shows monodentate coordination. The other asba ${ }^{2-}$ anion acts as a pentadentate ligand with $\eta^{1}: \eta^{1}: \eta^{1}: \eta^{1}: \eta^{1}: \mu_{4^{-}}$coordination mode: the carboxylate group ( O 11 C 13 O 2 ) also exhibits chelating coordination while the sulfonate group (S1O8O9O10) exhibits the tridentate coordination, linking three lead(II) atoms. These two asba ${ }^{2-}$ anions coordinate with the lead(II) ion alternatively, as a result, a 26 -membered macrometalcyclic ring is formed. Furthermore, the tridentate sulfonate group (S1O8O9O10) and its symmetric equivalent (S1aO8aO9aO10a) coordinate with two symmetric Pb 1 atoms, resulting in the centrosymmetrical sulfonate-bridged dinuclear eight-membered ( $\mathrm{Pb} 1 \mathrm{O} 8 \mathrm{~S} 109 \mathrm{~Pb} 1 \mathrm{aO} 8 \mathrm{aS1aO} 9 \mathrm{a})$ secondary building unit $(\mathrm{SBU})\left[\mathrm{Pb}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\text { phen })_{2}\right]$, which further links the adjacent macrometalcyclic ring into 1D necklace-like double
chain (Fig. 1B). Within the double chain, the $\pi \cdots \pi$ stacking interactions exist between the phen ligands or between the benzene ring of asba ${ }^{2-}$ and aromatic ring of phen (centeroid-centeroid: $3.675,3.754 \AA$ ). 1D necklace-like double chains are further linked with each other by such SBUs along [100] direction, as a result, a 2D double-layered framework is formed along [110] plane (Fig. 1C).

Hydrogen bonds exist extensively in the structure of $\mathbf{1}$ (Table 3). The coordinated water O1W together with the lattice water O2W and O3W form hydrogen-bonded trimers with average $\mathrm{Ow} \cdots \mathrm{Ow}$ distances of $2.863 \AA$ which matches well the expected distance of hydrogen bonds between water molecules. ${ }^{20}$ Interlayer $\pi \cdots \pi$ stacking interactions exist between the phen ligands (centeroid-centeroid: $4.030 \AA$ ). A 3D supramolecular network is thus formed through such weak interactions (Fig. 1E).
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Fig. 1 A Top: View of the coordination environment of the $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$, the coordination mode of the asba ${ }^{2-}$ ligand and the centrosymmetrical dinuclear SBU in 1 . \#1 x-1 y-1 z-1 \#2 x-1 $\mathrm{y}-1 \mathrm{z}$.
Bottom: Polyhedral representation of the hemidirected Pb 1 and Pb 2 .
B The 26-membered uncoplanar metallamacrocycle: metallacalix[4]arene were linked by the SBU, resulting the formation of 1D necklace-like double chain, showing the $\pi \cdots \pi$ stacking interactions within the double chain.
C 2D double-layered framework.
D 3D supramolecular network formed through interlayer hydrogen bonds (pink dashed lines) and $\pi \cdots \pi$ stacking interactions (green dashed lines).

## $\left[\mathrm{Pb}_{2}(\text { asba })_{2}(\text { bix })_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(3)$

3 crystallizes in the triclinic system with space group $P$-1. In the asymmetric unit, there are two crystallagraphically independent lead(II) ions, two independent asba ${ }^{2-}$ ligands, two bix and two coordinated water molecules. The Pb 1 is penta-coordinated $\left(\mathrm{Pb}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ by one nitrogen atom (N5) from the bix ligand and four oxygen atoms: one sulfonate oxygen atom O 8 from one asba ${ }^{2-}$ ligand and two carboxylate oxygen atoms
(O6, O7) from one asba ${ }^{2-}$ ligand and one coordinated water O 2 W with the $\mathrm{Pb} 1-\mathrm{O}$ distances ranging from $2.427(6)$ to $2.947(6) \AA$ and the $\mathrm{Pb} 1-\mathrm{N} 5$ distance being the shortest $[2.422(7) \AA]$, indicating that Pb 1 exhibits hemidirected geometry and the $6 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ active lone pair lies opposite to the bond $\mathrm{Pb} 1-\mathrm{N} 5$ and near the bond $\mathrm{Pb} 1-\mathrm{O} 10 . \mathrm{Pb} 2$ is heptacoordinated $\left(\mathbf{P b 2 O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ with one nitrogen atom (N2) from the other bix ligand, two oxygen atoms $(\mathrm{O} 4, \mathrm{O})$ from the chelating carboxylate group and two sulfonate oxygen atoms $(\mathrm{O} 1, \mathrm{O} 2)$ from two different asba ${ }^{2-}$ ligands with $\mathrm{Pb} 2-\mathrm{O}$ distances ranging from $2.468(6)$ to $2.954(7) \AA$ to furnish a hemidirected geometry and the $6 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ lone pair lies opposite to the $\mathrm{Pb} 2-\mathrm{N} 2$ bond $[2.465(6) \AA]($ Fig. 2A) $) .{ }^{8 f}$

The overall 3D architecture of $\mathbf{3}$ can be described as follows. The carboxylate group of one asba ${ }^{2-}$ chelates with the Pb 2 atom while the sulfonate group coordinates with Pb 2 through $\mathrm{Pb} 2-\mathrm{O} 2$ bond, leading to the formation of 1 D asba ${ }^{2-}$-bridged linear chains which are further pillared alternatively by bix in $T T$ (trans-trans) conformation and zigzag asba ${ }^{2-}-\mathrm{Pb} 1-$ bix- $\mathrm{Pb} 1-$ asba $^{2-}$ - units in which the asba ${ }^{2-}$ ligand exhibits the $\eta^{1}: \eta^{1}: \eta^{1}: \eta^{1}: \mu_{3}$ - coordination mode (Scheme 2). As a result, a 2D network with point symbol $\left(8^{2}\right)\left(4^{2} .8^{2}\right)$ is formed. ${ }^{16 a}$ There are two macrometalcyclic rings: uncoplanar metallacalix[8]arene and metallacalix[4]arene within the 2D network (Fig. 2B). The adjacent 2D layers were further linked each other by the bonds $\mathrm{Pb} 1-\mathrm{O} 8[2.947(6) \AA]$ and $\mathrm{Pb} 2-\mathrm{O} 1$ [2.957(7) $\AA \AA$ ], resulting in a 3D pillared-layered architecture in which 2D Pb (II)-asba ${ }^{2-}$-bridged double-layer are pillared by bix (Fig. 2C).

Hydrogen bonds exist extensively in the lattice of $\mathbf{3}$ between the coordinated water molecules and the oxygen atoms of both carboxylate (O5...O1W $2.833 \AA, 07 . . \mathrm{O} 2 \mathrm{~W}$
$2.766 \AA$ ) and sulfonate groups (O3...O1W 2.770 and O9...O2W $2.720 \AA$ ) of the asba ${ }^{2-}$ ligand with an average $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} . . \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bond distance of $2.758 \AA$, which further stabilize the 3D framework.
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Fig. 2 A Top: View of the coordination environment of the $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ atoms, the coordination mode of the asba ${ }^{2-}$ ligand in 3. \# $1-x, 1-y, z \# 2 x-1, y-1, ~ z$.
Bottom: Polyhedral representation of the hemidirected Pb 1 and Pb 2 .
B $2 \mathrm{D}\left(8^{2}\right)\left(4^{2} .8^{2}\right)$ topological network with two kinds of uncoplanar metallamacrocyclic ring: metallacalix[8]arene and metallacalix[4]arene.
C Top: The adjacent 2D layers were linked by the covalent bonds $\mathrm{Pb} 1-\mathrm{O} 8$ and $\mathrm{Pb} 2-\mathrm{O} 1$ (blue lines) into 3D network.
Bottom: Polyhedral representation of the final 3D framework in which 2D $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})-$ asba ${ }^{2-}$-bridged double-layer were pillared by bix. (Hydrogen bonds: pink dashed lines).

## $\left[\mathrm{Pb}(\mathbf{a s b a})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(4)$

4 crystallizes in the monoclinic system with space group $P 2{ }_{1} / \mathrm{c}$. In the asymmetric unit, there is one crystallographic independent lead(II), one asba ${ }^{2-}$ and one coordinated water molecule. The lead(II) atom is hexacoordinated by one nitrogen atom (N1) from one asba ${ }^{2-}$ ligand and five oxygen atoms, among which two carboxylate oxygen atoms ( $\mathrm{O} 4, \mathrm{O} 5$ ) from one asba ${ }^{2-}$ ligand and two sulfonate oxygen atoms ( $\mathrm{O} 2, \mathrm{O} 3$ ) from two asba ${ }^{2-}$ ligands and one oxygen atom ( O 1 W ) from water molecule to furnish a hemidirected $\left[\mathbf{P b N} \mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right]$ geometry (Fig. 3A). The sulfonate group coordinates with the lead(II) ion in syn-syn coordination mode, resulting in an eight-membered $\mathrm{S} 1 \mathrm{O} 2 \mathrm{O} 3 \mathrm{PbS1O2aO} 3 \mathrm{aPba}$ secondary building unit (SBU) $\left[\mathrm{Pb}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ and these are further linked by the asba ${ }^{2-}$ bridge, leading to the formation of 1 D double-chains (Fig. 3B) further linked each other through the $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds, as a result, a 2D network is formed (Fig. 3C), in which the asba ${ }^{2-}$ ligand exhibits $\eta^{1}: \eta^{1}: \eta^{1}: \eta^{1}: \eta^{1}: \mu_{4}$ - coordination mode (Scheme 2).

If pseudo atoms are placed in the centre of the SBUs, which are then further treated as a nodes, with the distances between the pseudo atoms as linkers (8.7993 and $9.7183 \AA$ ), then the net can be simplified as a 2D $(4,4)$ topological net. ${ }^{1 \mathrm{k}}$

The interlayer hydrogen bonds between the coordinated water molecules and both coordinated and uncoordinated sulfonate oxygen atoms [O1, O3] further extend the 2D layer in "ABAB" packing mode into a 3D supramolecular network [O1W H1WA ... O1 2.771(5); O1W - H1WB ... O3 2.949(5) Å] (Fig. 3D).
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Fig. 3 A Top: The diagram showing the coordination geometry of the $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ ion in 4. Symmetry transformations: \#1: -x+3/2, y-1/2, z-1/2 \#2: x, 1/2-y, 1/2+z \#3: x, y, 1+z. Bottom: Polyhedral representation of the hemidirected $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$.
B View of the $\left[\mathrm{Pb}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ SBU and 1D asba ${ }^{2-}$-bridged double chain with $2_{1}$ rotation axis.
C View of 2D network formed through the 1D double chains linked by $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds and schematic representation of the 2D $(4,4)$ topological network.
D: 3D supramolecular network formed through the interlayer hydrogen bonds (pink dashed lines).

## FT-IR spectra and thermal stability

The FT-IR spectral data show features attributable to the carboxylate stretching
vibrations of $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2 A}, \mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$. The absence of bands in the range of $1680-1760 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicates the complete deprotonation of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ asba in these four compounds. The characteristic bands of the carboxylate groups appear in the range 1613-1649 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ for the asymmetric stretching and 1384-1490 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ for the symmetric stretching. The characteristic stretching vibrations for the sulfonate group in 1, 2A, $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ are seen at $1200,1211,1250$ and $1200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively. The broad band centered at $3400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponds to the mixed vibrations of both $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ in $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2 A}, \mathbf{3}$ and $4 .^{21}$

To study the thermal stability of the compounds, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed under flowing $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ with a heating rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ (Fig. 4). The TGA curve of $\mathbf{1}$, shows a gradual weight decrease of $3.86 \%$ from 100 to $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ that corresponds to the loss of one coordinated and two lattice water molecules (calcd: $4.29 \%$. A sharp weight loss beginning at $350{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ indicates decomposition of the framework.

The weight loss of $2.39 \%$ from 234 to $273{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ corresponds to the release of one coordinated and one lattice water molecule, which further reveals that the formula of the partly dehydrated phase of $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Pb}_{2}(\text { asba })_{2}(\text { bipy })_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}$ (2) is $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Pb}_{2}(\text { asba })_{2}(\text { bipy })_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right] \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{2 A})$ (calcd. $\left.3.02 \%\right)$. The second step begins from $351{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the whole decomposition process is incomplete at $800{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Powder X-ray diffraction further shows that the structure of $\mathbf{2 A}$ is different from that of $\mathbf{2}$ (Fig. 5).

For 3, the first step weight loss of $3.22 \%$ (calcd. $3.40 \%$ ) in the temperature range 114 to $215{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ corresponds to the removal of two coordinated water molecules. A sharp weight loss beginning at $354^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ indicates the decomposition of the framework.

The TGA of $\mathbf{4}$ exhibits two main steps of weight loss. A weight loss of $4.66 \%$ in the temperature range $228-260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is in agreement with the release of the coordinated water molecules (calcd: $4.09 \%$ ). The second step of weight loss from $390^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ corresponds to the combustion of the organic groups.


Fig. 4 TGA curves of $\mathbf{1}$ (blue), 2A (green), $\mathbf{3}$ (red) and $\mathbf{4}$ (black).


Fig. 5 PXRD patterns: (red) simulated from the single-crystal data of 2 with Mercury 1.4.2., (green) for the powder sample $\mathbf{2 A}$.

Dehydration-rehydration behaviours of 1, 3 and 4

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) shows clearly that both the interstitial and coordinated water molecules in $\mathbf{1 , 3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ could be removed upon heating. To study the dehydration-rehydration properties of $\mathbf{1 , 3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$, in situ variable temperature powder X-ray diffraction analysis (VT-PXRD) was performed in the static air over the temperature ranges $30-120-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 (Fig. 6), r.t. $-215{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$-r.t. for 3 (Fig. 7) and r.t. $-260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$-r.t. (r.t. $=$ room temperature) for 4 (Fig. 8), respectively. It should be pointed out that the dehydrated phases of $\mathbf{1 , 3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ retain crystallinity after the loss of water molecules, but the structures of the desolvated materials are changed, as supported by the in situ VT-PXRD patterns at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\mathbf{1}, 215^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\mathbf{3}$ and $260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4, respectively. Remarkably, the VT-PXRD patterns clearly show that the dehydrated phase of $\mathbf{1}$ rehydrates rapidly in the air, resulting in the re-establishment of the original structure. So, $\mathbf{1}$ undergoes in situ rapid and reversible dehydration-rehydration behaviour just in the static air. In order to study the stability of the dehydrated phase of $\mathbf{1}$ and determine if the reverse structural transformation can occur just on cooling, we measured XRD data under dry nitrogen. -The water is removed at a slightly lower temperature and then on cooling the phase remains dehydrated (Fig. 6B). This proves that the water is removed and the dehydrated phase is stable in the absence of atmospheric water.

It should be noted that it takes about one week for the dehydrated phase of $\mathbf{3}$ to absorb the water molecules and revert to the original structure even in the presence of water vapor.

The reversible dehydration-rehydration behaviours of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ are further verified
by the TGA analysis of the rehydrated materials of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3}$. The first step mass loss corresponding to water molecules in TGA of the rehydrated materials is $4.23 \%$ (calcd: 4.29 \%) for $\mathbf{1}$ and 2.83 \% (calcd. 3.40 \%) for 3, respectively (see Fig. S2), showing that complete rehydration had been achieved.

4 shows quite different dehydration-rehydration behaviour from 1 and 3: the dehydrated phase of 4 rehydrates to a new crystalline phase whose structure is different from the original structure, verified by the different PXRD pattern compared with those of both the original bulk sample and the simulated ones (Fig. 8). So, this dehydration of 4 is irreversible.

The framework integrity of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ can be maintained after a number of desorption-adsorption cycles, which indicates that these two compounds may possibly have potential use as water adsorbents.


Fig. 6 VT-XRPD patterns recorded during the heating of 1 showing the complete departure of the water molecules at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (red line) for 1 , leading to a new dehydrated phase which further shows rapid in situ reversible dehydration-rehydration just in static air.


Fig. 6B VT-XRPD patterns recorded during the heating of 1 in dry nitrogen atmosphere showing the complete departure of the water molecules at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (red line) for $\mathbf{1}$, leading to a new dehydrated phase which on cooling remains dehydrated.


Fig. 7 XRPD patterns for 3: (1) the simulated XRD pattern calculated from single-crystal data of $\mathbf{3}$ with Mercury 1.4.2.; (2) as-synthesized 3 measured at room temperature, (3) after removal of the water molecules at $215^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (4) after exposure of the dehydrated material of $\mathbf{3}$ to water vapor at room temperature for two days; (5) after exposure of the dehydrated material of $\mathbf{3}$ to water vapor at room temperature for one week.


Fig. 8 XRPD patterns for 4: (1) the simulated XRPD pattern calculated from single-crystal data of 4 with Mercury 1.4.2.; (2) as-synthesized 4 measured at room temperature; (3) after removal of the water molecules at $260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (4) after in-situ introduction of the water molecules in the static air into the desolvated material at room temperature; (5) after exposure of the dehydrated material of 4 to water vapor for two weeks and (6) for one month at room temperature. (The dashed lines are used to denote the positions of some new peaks appearing in the rehydrated material of 4).

## Desorption/adsorption to gases

In order to test the ability of all the dehydrated materials to host molecules other than water, several sorption experiments were carried out. We considered molecules that exhibit current interest for storage, for example, carbon dioxide $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ and nitrogen $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$. Further, gas sorptions were performed at 278 K to give more reliable results related to crystal structures determined at room temperature. The dehydrated materials of $\mathbf{1 , 2 A}, \mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ show almost no uptake capacity to $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ molecules at 278 K . Only the
dehydrated material of $\mathbf{1}$ showed weak adsorption capacity in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake with a final uptake of $10 \mathrm{mg} . \mathrm{g}^{-1}(1.0 \mathrm{wt} \%)$ at 38 atm . (Fig. 9), the other three materials show almost no adsorption to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The adsorption of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ by $\mathbf{1}$ may be attributed to the fact among the materials we have studied it contains the largest conjugated delocalization system phen, which may strengthen the electric interaction between the guest small molecules and host framework. Furthermore, it should be noted that the dehydrated $\mathbf{1}$ showed selective uptake of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ over $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at 278 K , which may be attributed to the smaller kinetic diameter of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ compared to that of $\mathrm{N}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}, 3.3 ; \mathrm{N}_{2}, 3.6 \AA\right)$ and the significant quadrupole moment of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}\left(-1.4 \times 10^{-39} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right)$, which generates specific interactions with the host framework. ${ }^{1 \mathrm{j}, 22}$

To determine if the adsorption of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ by $\mathbf{1}$ is reversible, in situ XRD patterns were measured under a flow of pure $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at atmospheric pressure. First, we note that addition of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to the hydrated phase gave no change in the powder XRD patterns. However, addition of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to the dehydrated phase of $\mathbf{1}$ resulted in an increase in the background of the pattern immediately. This is reversible if $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ is then added, and then repeatable upon switching back to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (Fig. S3). The Bragg peaks remain in the same place, suggesting the framework of the structure is unaffected by addition of gas. Our conclusion is that the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is taken up by the solid in a disorderd way at ambient pressure so gives diffuse scattering that is responsible for the increased background of the patterns.


Fig. 9 Sorption isotherm of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ measured at 278 K for 1 (black: adsorption, red: desorption).

## Fluorescent properties

To compare the relative fluorescent intensities of the materials $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2 A}, \mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ we measured the emission spectra of all the complexes and free acid with the same excitation wavelength (Fig. 10). The free acid $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ asba exhibits a maximum fluorescent emission at 502 nm upon excitation at $\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=300 \mathrm{~nm}$. Excitation of the microcrystalline samples 1, 2A, 3 and 4 also at $\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=300 \mathrm{~nm}$ leads to the generation of similar blue-shifted fluorescent emissions for 1, 2A and $\mathbf{4}$ with the maximum emission centered around 472 nm , indicating the auxiliary ligands bipy and phen almost have no influence on the emission mechanism since the free ligands bipy and phen show the maximum emission at 400 and $392 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=300 \mathrm{~nm}\right.$ ), respectively (see Fig. S4). So the blue shifts for $\mathbf{1 , 2 A}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ are likely caused by the coordination of the multi-functional ligand 2-amino-5-sulfobenzoate perturbed by the lead(II) ion. ${ }^{8 \mathrm{bb} 23} \mathrm{An}$
even more blue-shifted emission with the maximum emission peak around 436 nm was observed in $\mathbf{3}$, which indicates that the auxiliary flexible bridging ligand bix has great influence on the positions and strengths of fluorescent emission. Such a large blue shift may likely be caused by the coordination of asba ${ }^{2-}$ and an interaction between ligands perturbed by $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II}) .{ }^{8 b, 23}$ The maximum emission intensity of $\mathbf{2 A}$ among the four compounds may be attributed to the fact that $\mathbf{2 A}$ contains the least content of water molecules (calcd. $3.02 \%$ ). It should be noted that, after removal of the water molecules, the maximum emissions of the dehydrated materials of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ (Fig. S5-S6) become stronger than those of the corresponding hydrated materials $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3}$, indicating that the water molecules in $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ have some influence on their fluorescent intensity.


Fig. 10 Solid state fluorescent spectra for $\mathbf{1}$ (cyan), $\mathbf{2 A}$ (blue), $\mathbf{3}$ (red), 4(red) and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ asba (black) ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=300 \mathrm{~nm}$ ).

## Conclusion

In summary, the present study shows that the ligand asba ${ }^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ ion can act as convenient building blocks for the construction of MOFs with interesting properties. In this way, the first examples of a family of four lead(II) MOFs with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ asba were generated, their structures being greatly dependent on the type of used auxiliary ligand (or its absence). $\mathbf{1}$ and 2, exhibiting isostructural 2D double-layered frameworks, are formed in the presence of auxiliary chelating ligands phen and bipy, respectively. In the case of using the auxiliary flexible ligand bix, a novel 3D pillared-layered framework 3 was obtained successfully. 4, a 2D (4, 4) topological network, is formed in the absence of any auxiliary ligands or in the presence of some bis(triazole) bridging spacers. The most interesting property of $\mathbf{1}$ is its in situ rapid and reversible dehydration-rehydration behaviour simply in air, while the isostructural compound 2 loses crystallinility under the same conditions. $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ show guest-induced structural recovery, which falls within the category of "recoverable collapsing" and "guest-induced re-formation" framework materials, while 4 shows irreversible dehydration-rehydration behaviour and rehydrates to a new crystalline phase. Thus the type of the auxiliary ligands appears to be a key factor that affects the dehydration-rehydration properties of the resulting framework. To the best of our knowledge, $\mathbf{1}$ also represents the first lead(II)-organic framework that shows in situ rapid and reversible dehydration-rehydration behavior just in the air, so may possibly be used as potential adsorbent and sensing material to water vapor. The materials $\mathbf{1}$, $\mathbf{2 A}, \mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ have been found to exhibit blue fluorescence in the solid state. The water
molecules in $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ have great influence on their fluorescent emission intensity. This work will give impetus to the further investigation of the coordination chemistry of asba ${ }^{2-}$. Further work is in progress in our laboratory to prepare other novel MOFs based on the ligand asba ${ }^{2-}$ with interesting tunable functional properties.

## Experimental section

## Materials and measurements

The auxiliary ligand 1,4-(methylene-benzene)bisimidazole (bix) was prepared according to the literature method. ${ }^{24}$ The other reagents were purchased commercially and used without further purification. Elemental analyses (C, H and N) were carried out on a 240 C Elemental analyzer. FT-IR spectra $\left(400-4000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ were recorded from KBr pellet in a Magna 750 FT-IR spectrophotometer. Solid state fluorescent spectra were recorded using an F 4500 fluorescence spectrometer equipped with phosphorescence device. Both the excitation and emission pass width are 5.0 nm . Thermogravimetric analysis was taken on NETZSCH STA 409 PG/PC instrument from room temperature to $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at a heating rate of $10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ in $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. X-ray powder diffraction data were collected on a computer controlled Bruker D8 Advanced XRD diffractometer operating with $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{K} \alpha_{1 / 2}(\lambda=1.5418 \AA)$ radiation at a scanning rate $0.024^{\circ} / \mathrm{s}$ from $5^{\circ}$ to $20^{\circ}$ using a VÅNTEC solid-state detector. The diffractometer was fitted with an Anton-Parr XRK900 heating stage for variable temperature studies. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was taken on NETZSCH STA 409 PG/PC instrument under a stream of $\mathrm{N}_{2}(10 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min})$.

## Crystal structure determination

Crystallographic data for 1-4 were collected with a Siemens SMART CCD diffractormeter using graphite-monochromated (Mo-K $\alpha$ ) radiation $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA), \psi$ and $\omega$ scans mode. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by Full-Matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ method using SHELXL-97 program. ${ }^{25}$ Intensity data were corrected for Lorenz and polarization effects and a multi-scan absorption correction was performed. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. The carbon/nitrogen-bound hydrogen atoms of all the complexes were added geometrically and allowed to ride on their respective parent atoms. The oxygen-bound hydrogen atoms of water molecules were firstly located in the difference Fourier map and then kept fixed. The contribution of these hydrogen atoms was included in the structure factor calculations. Details of crystal data, collection and refinement are listed in Table 1.

## Synthesis of $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Pb}_{2}(\text { asba })_{2}(\text { phen })_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right] \cdot \mathbf{2 \mathrm { H } _ { 2 } O}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{1})$

A mixture of $\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(0.033 \mathrm{~g}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol})$ and phen $(0.020 \mathrm{~g}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water ( 12 ml ) was added to a mixture of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ asba $(0.022 \mathrm{~g}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol})$ and NaOH $(0.008 \mathrm{~g}, 0.200 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water $(6 \mathrm{ml})$. The filtrate was kept at ambient temperature for several days to yield pale yellow needle-like crystals (yield: 43 mg ; $69 \%$ based on $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ asba). Anal. Calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{13} \mathrm{~Pb}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : C, 36.24; H, 2.56; N, 6.68. Found: C, 36.14; H, 2.45; N, 6.57. Selected IR data ( KBr pellet, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3436(s), 3050(w), 1606(s), 1570(s), 1505(s), 1417(s), 1396(s), 1309(w), 1193(vs), 1128(w), 1040(s), 837(s), 722(s), 618(m).

Synthesis of $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Pb}_{\mathbf{2}}(\text { asba })_{2}(\text { bipy })_{2}\left(\mathbf{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right] \cdot \mathbf{2} \mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{2})$

A mixture of $\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(0.033 \mathrm{~g}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol})$ and bipy $(0.008 \mathrm{~g}, 0.050 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water $/ \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}=1: 1(6 \mathrm{ml})$ was added to a mixture of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ asba $(0.022 \mathrm{~g}, 0.100$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.004 \mathrm{~g}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water $(5 \mathrm{ml})$. The filtrate was kept at ambient temperature for several days to yield pale yellow needle-like crystals. The crystal loses crystallinity in air and transforms into $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Pb}_{2}(\text { asba })_{2}(\text { bipy })_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right] \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}$ (2A) (yield: 21 mg ; $71 \%$ based on bipy). Anal. Calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{~Pb}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : C, 34.21; H, 2.51; N, 7.03. Found: C, 34.09; H, 2.43; N, 6.89. Selected IR data ( KBr pellet, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $3390(\mathrm{~s}), 3312(\mathrm{w}), 1613(\mathrm{~s}), 1563(\mathrm{~s}), 1505(\mathrm{~s}), 1439(\mathrm{~s}), 1417(\mathrm{~s}) 1374(\mathrm{vs})$ 1324(s), 1223(vs), 1148(vs), 1004(w), 830(s), 749(s), $706(\mathrm{~s}), 648(\mathrm{w})$.

## Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Pb}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{a s b a})_{2}(\mathbf{b i x})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{3})$

A mixture of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ asba ( $0.011 \mathrm{~g}, 0.050 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.002 \mathrm{~g}, 0.050 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water $(5 \mathrm{ml})$ and $\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(0.033 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of bix $(0.014$ $\mathrm{g}, 0.050 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(3 \mathrm{ml})$. The filtrate was kept at ambient temperature for several days to yield pale yellow needle-like crystals (yield: 17 mg ; $59 \%$ based on $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ asba). Anal. Calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{~Pb}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : C: 30.05; H,2.52; N, 7.51. Found: C, 30.25; H,2.59; N, 7.41. Selected IR data (KBr pellet, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3400(s), 3066(w), 1649(s), 1584(s), 1482(vs), 1426(s), 1338(s), 1214(s), 1142(s), 1083(w), 851(vs), 752(vs), 675 (w), 605(s).

Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Pb}(\mathbf{a s b a})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(4)$
A mixture of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ asba $(0.011 \mathrm{~g}, 0.050 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.004 \mathrm{~g}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in water $(5 \mathrm{ml})$ and then an aqueous solution of $\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(0.033 \mathrm{~g}, 0.100$ mmol ) in water ( 5 ml ) was added whilst stirring. The filtrate was kept at ambient
temperature for several days and pale yellow block crystals were formed (yield: 15 $\mathrm{mg} ; 70 \%$ based on $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ asba). Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{PbS}_{1}: \mathrm{C}, 19.08 ; \mathrm{H}, 1.60 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.18$. Found: C, 18.89; H, 1.48; N, 3.06\%. Selected IR data (KBr pellet, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3365 (s), 3083 (w), 1622(s), 1582(s), 1513(s), 1430(ws), 1362(vs), 1219(vs), 1115(vs), 1062(vs), 896(s), 827 (s), 793(w), 704 (s), 636(w).We found that 4 could also be obtained in the presence of bte, btp, btb, bth or bbtz with the same molar amount as $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ asba.

## Supplementary material

Crystallographic data have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre with Nos. (1) 835434, (2) 834929, (3) 835435, (4) 835436 and ( $\left.\mathbf{H}_{2} \mathbf{a s b a}\right)$ 989589. Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge via the Internet at http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html.
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Chart 1 Molecular structure of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}}$ asba $\cdot \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}$ with $50 \%$ ellipsoids.
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Scheme 2. Simplified representation of the coordination modes of asba ${ }^{2-}$ in 1-4.

Table 1. Crystallographic data and structure refinement details for 1-4.

| Complex | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{13} \mathrm{~Pb}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{13} \mathrm{~Pb}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{~Pb}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{PbS}$ |
| Formula weight | 1259.20 | 1211.20 | 1119.06 | 440.39 |
| Temperature | 296(2) | 296(2) | 296(2) | 296(2) |
| Wavelength/ $\AA$ | 0.71073 | 0.71073 | 0.71073 | 0.71073 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic | Triclinic | Triclinic | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P-1 | P-1 | P-1 | P2 ${ }_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| $a / \AA$ | 9.6177(15) | 9.7272 (10) | 5.9314(7) | 6.6780(11) |
| $b / \AA$ | 13.490(2) | 13.4690(14) | 11.0291(13) | 14.672(3) |
| c/ $\AA$ | 15.996(2) | 15.4478(16) | 24.939(3) | $9.7183(17)$ |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90.087(2) | 89.2900(10) | 101.0520(10) | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 96.643(2) | 83.6170(10) | 92.705(2) | 95.169 |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 105.861(2) | 70.4760(10) | 93.508(2) | 90 |
| $V / \AA^{3}$ | 1981.8(5) | 1895.0(3) | 1595.3(3) | 948.3(3) |
| Z | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| $D_{\mathrm{c}} / \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ | 2.110 | 2.123 | 2.330 | 3.084 |
| Absorption coeff $/ \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 8.665 | 9.057 | 10.745 | 18.024 |
| F(000) | 1204 | 1156 | 1060 | 808 |
| $\theta$ range for data collection $/{ }^{\circ}$ | 1.28 to 27.58 | 1.60 to 27.56 | 1.67 to 27.48 | 2.52 to 27.55 |
| Index ranges | $\begin{aligned} & -12 \leq h \leq 12, \\ & -17 \leq k \leq 17, \\ & -20 \leq l \leq 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -12 \leq h \leq 12, \\ & -17 \leq k \leq 17, \\ & -17 \leq l \leq 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -7 \leq h \leq 7, \\ & -14 \leq k \leq 14, \\ & -32 \leq l \leq 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -8 \leq h \leq 8, \\ & -18 \leq k \leq 19, \\ & -12 \leq l \leq 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| Reflections collected | 17376 | 16657 | 14086 | 8085 |
| $\operatorname{Unique}\left(R_{\text {int }}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8952 \\ & {[R(\mathrm{int})=0.0432]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8555 \\ & {[R(\text { int })=0.0504]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7182 \\ & {[R(\text { int })=0.0436]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2177 \\ & {[R(\text { int })} \\ & 0.0450] \end{aligned}=$ |
| Completeness | 97.5 \% | 97.8 \% | 97.9 \% | 99.8 \% |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.3564and0.1514 | 0.404 and 0.154 | 0.2956and0.1169 | 0.067 and 0.019 |
| Goof on $F^{2}$ | 1.010 | 1.079 | 1.062 | 1.091 |
| Final $\quad R \quad$ indices $[I>2 \sigma(I)]$ | $\begin{aligned} & R_{1}=0.0437 \\ & w R_{2}=0.1038 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R_{1}=0.0419, \\ & w R_{2}=0.1175 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R_{1}=0.0394, \\ & w R_{2}=0.0963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R_{1}=0.0263, \\ & w R_{2}=0.0688 \end{aligned}$ |
| $R$ indices (all data) | $\begin{aligned} & R_{1}=0.0799 \\ & w R_{2}=0.1297 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R_{1}=0.0545, \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1294 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R_{1}=0.0527, \\ & w R 2=0.1085 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R_{1}=0.0292, \\ & w R_{2}=0.0705 \end{aligned}$ |
| Largest diff. Peak and hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 1.842 and -1.166 | 1.634 and -2.285 | 1.826 and -1.643 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.252 \quad \text { and } \\ & -1.446 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 2. Selected bond lengths $[\AA]$ and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for 1-4.

| Complex 1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 2.623(8) | $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 2.455(6) |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 2.591(7) | $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 2.526(6) |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~W})$ | 2.754(8) | $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 2$ | 2.914(7) |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6) \# 1$ | 2.799 (7) | $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $2.759(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | 2.497(7) | $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $2.455(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | 2.597(8) | $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(8)$ | 2.394(6) |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(7)$ | 2.844(6) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 52.4(2) | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 105.6(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 76.1(2) | $\mathrm{O}(7)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | 76.3(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 79.2(2) | $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | 121.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 93.0(2) | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | 65.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 135.3(2) | $\mathrm{O}(7)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 131.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 63.7(2) | $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 80.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~W})$ | 72.9(2) | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 73.8(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~W})$ | 114.7(2) | $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 124.3(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~W})$ | 122.5(2) | $\mathrm{O}(7)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 27.0(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~W})$ | 70.9(2) | $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 26.8(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(7)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 53.8(2) | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 75.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(7)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 79.3(2) | $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 99.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 77.1(2) |  |  |
| Complex 2 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 2.587(6) | $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | 2.576(6) |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1) \# 4$ | 2.424(5) | $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~W})$ | 2.846(6) |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2) \# 4$ | $2.446(5)$ | $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $2.965(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 2.713(6) | $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(10) \# 5$ | 2.482(5) |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 2.529(6) | $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(9) \# 5$ | $2.475(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 2.828(5) | $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 3$ | $2.776(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | $2.635(7)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1) \# 4-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2) \# 4$ | 53.83(17) | $\mathrm{O}(9) \# 5-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | 91.1(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1) \# 4-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 76.79(19) | $\mathrm{O}(10) \# 5-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | 134.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \# 4-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 80.82(19) | $\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | 62.6(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1) \# 4-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 120.2(2) | $\mathrm{O}(9) \# 5-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 3$ | 122.30(17) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \# 4-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 76.24(19) | $\mathrm{O}(10) \# 5-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 3$ | 73.80(17) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 63.5(2) | $\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 3$ | 77.89(19) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1) \# 4-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 77.84(17) | $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 3$ | 118.70(18) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \# 4-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 130.16(16) | $\mathrm{O}(9) \# 5-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~W})$ | 74.53(18) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 76.63(19) | $\mathrm{O}(10) \# 5-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~W})$ | 113.74(18) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 127.97(19) | $\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~W})$ | 123.80(19) |


| $\mathrm{O}(1) \# 4-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 83.68(17) | $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~W})$ | 72.40(19) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \# 4-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 79.87(17) | $\mathrm{O}(5) \# 3-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~W})$ | 157.24(18) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 158.21(17) | $\mathrm{O}(9) \# 5-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(10) \# 5$ | 52.42(18) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 120.8(2) | $\mathrm{O}(9) \# 5-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | 74.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $108.82(16)$ | $\mathrm{O}(10) \# 5-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | 80.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(9) \# 5-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 88.53(18) | $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 132.67(19) |
| $\mathrm{O}(10) \# 5-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 78.40(18) | $\mathrm{O}(5) \# 3-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 100.82(16) |
| $\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 158.23(17) | $\mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~W})-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 61.96(16) |
| Complex 3 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 2.758(6) | $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 2.466(7) |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | 2.422(7) | $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 2.647(6) |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(8) \# 1$ | 2.947(6) | $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | 2.468(6) |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~W})$ | $2.428(6)$ | $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $2.954(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(7)$ | $2.400(6)$ | $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(9) \# 1$ | 2.805(8) |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2) \# 2$ | 2.873(5) | $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~W})$ | 2.531(5) |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~W})$ | 90.8(2) | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | 79.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(7)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 49.8(2) | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~W})$ | 90.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(7)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | 79.2(2) | $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~W})$ | 69.01(18) |
| $\mathrm{O}(7)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~W})$ | 69.9(2) | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 77.8(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 75.8(2) | $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 51.02(18) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~W})-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 119.5(2) | $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~W})-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 119.99(18) |
| Complex 4 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~W})$ | 2.485(3) | $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 4$ | 2.358(3) |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 2.754(3) | $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2) \# 2$ | 2.629(3) |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1) \# 5$ | $2.767(5)$ | $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 4$ | 2.713(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5) \# 4-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~W})$ | 70.28(12) | $\mathrm{O}(2) \# 2-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 134.91(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5) \# 4-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2) \# 2$ | 79.73(11) | $\mathrm{O}(4) \# 4-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 66.74(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~W})-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2) \# 2$ | 75.16(11) | $\mathrm{O}(5) \# 4-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1) \# 5$ | 75.37(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5) \# 4-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 4$ | 50.90(11) | $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~W})-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1) \# 5$ | 72.02(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~W})-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 4$ | 116.73(11) | $\mathrm{O}(2) \# 2-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1) \# 5$ | 143.86(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \# 2-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 4$ | 73.72(11) | $\mathrm{O}(4) \# 4-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1) \# 5$ | 108.33(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5) \# 4-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 91.23(11) | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1) \# 5$ | 71.85(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~W})-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 142.52(12) |  |  |

Symmetry transformations: \#1 1-x 1-y 1-z \#2 x-1 y-1 z for $\mathbf{1}$; \#2 $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}+1, \mathrm{z} \# 3-\mathrm{x}+1,-\mathrm{y}+1,-\mathrm{z} \# 4 \mathrm{x}+1, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z} \# 5 \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}-1, \mathrm{z}$ for
2; \# $1-\mathrm{x}, 1-\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z} \# 2 \mathrm{x}-1, \mathrm{y}-1, \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathbf{3}$; \#2 -x,-y+1,-z+2 \#4 $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}+1$ \#5 $\mathrm{x},-\mathrm{y}+1 / 2, \mathrm{z}+1 / 2$ for 4.

Table 3 Hydrogen bonds for 1-4.

| D-H...A | d(D-H) | d(H...A) | $\mathbf{d ( D . . . A ) ~}$ | $<\mathbf{D H A}$ | Symmetry of A |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Complex 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| O1W -- H1WA ...O2W | 0.8500 | 2.0600 | $2.887(10)$ | 164.00 |  |
| N1 -- H1A ... O2 | 0.8600 | 2.0600 | $2.686(11)$ | 129.00 |  |
| N1 -- H1B ... O2W | 0.8600 | 2.3600 | $3.165(12)$ | 155.00 | $-1+x, y, z$ |
| O2W -- H2WA ... O3W | 0.8500 | 2.0300 | $2.838(12)$ | 158.00 |  |
| O2W -- H2WB ... O1 | 0.8500 | 2.0400 | $2.806(11)$ | 149.00 |  |
| N4 -- H4A ... O9 | 0.8600 | 2.1100 | $2.729(11)$ | 128.00 |  |
| N4 -- H4B ... O10 | 0.8600 | 2.3600 | $3.081(12)$ | 142.00 | $1+x, y, z$ |
| O3W -- H3WB ...O3 | 0.8500 | 2.1200 | $2.886(12)$ | 149.00 | $-x, 1-y,-z$ |
| O1W -- H1WB ... O8 | 0.8500 | 1.9400 | $2.785(9)$ | 169.00 | $\mathrm{x},-1+\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| Complex 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| O1W -- H1WA ... O9 | 0.8500 | 1.9800 | $2.764(9)$ | 152.00 | $\mathrm{x},-1+\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| N1 -- H1A ... O2W | 0.8600 | 2.5200 | $3.189(11)$ | 135.00 | $-1+\mathrm{x}, 1+\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| N1 -- H1A ... O8 | 0.8600 | 2.5800 | $3.326(11$ | 145.00 |  |
| N1 -- H1B ... O1 | 0.8600 | 2.0700 | $2.700(10)$ | 129.00 |  |
| O1W -- H1WB ... O2W | 0.8500 | 1.9900 | $2.824(9)$ | 167.00 |  |
| O2W -- H2WB ... O7 | 0.8500 | 2.3700 | $3.160(9)$ | 155.00 | $1+x,-1+y, z$ |
| O2W -- H2WA ... O7 | 0.8500 | 2.1500 | $2.892(9)$ | 146.00 | $1-x, 1-y, 1-z$ |
| N4 -- H4B ... O10 | 0.8600 | 2.0400 | $2.680(10)$ | 130.00 |  |
| O3W -- H3WB ... O2 | 0.8500 | 2.1000 | $2.901(8)$ | 158.00 | $1+x, y, z$ |
| O3W -- H3WA ... O1W | 0.8500 | 2.0300 | $2.806(9)$ | 152.00 |  |
| Complex 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| O1W -- H1WA ...O4 | 0.8500 | 1.9600 | $2.773(8)$ | 158.00 | $-1+x, y, z$ |
| O1W -- H1WB ... O3 | 0.8500 | 1.9600 | $2.770(8)$ | 158.00 | $-1+x,-1+y, z$ |
| O2W -- H2WA ... O6 | 0.8500 | 1.9100 | $2.744(9)$ | 164.00 | $-1+x, y, z$ |
| N3 -- H3B ... O4 | 0.8600 | 2.1100 | $2.737(10)$ | 130.00 |  |
| O2W -- H2WB ... O9 | 0.8500 | 2.0600 | $2.720(9)$ | 134.00 | $-1+x,-1+y, z$ |
| N6 -- H6A ... O3 | 0.8600 | 2.3200 | $3.167(10)$ | 170.00 | $-1+x, y, z$ |
| N6 -- H6B ... O7 | 0.8600 | 2.0100 | $2.644(11)$ | 130.00 |  |
| Complex 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| O1W -- H1WB ... O3 | 0.8500 | 2.3900 | $2.949(5)$ | 124.00 | $1+x, y, z$ |
| O1W -- H1WB ... O4 | 0.8500 | 2.2100 | $2.998(5)$ | 154.00 | $1+x, y, 1+z$ |
| N1 -- H1A ... O1 | 0.9000 | 2.4500 | $3.299(5)$ | 157.00 | $1+x, y, z$ |
| N1 -- H1B ... O5 | 0.9000 | 1.9700 | $2.718(5)$ | 139.00 |  |
| O1W -- H1WA ... O1 | 0.8500 | 1.9900 | $2.771(5)$ | 152.00 | $1+x, 1 / 2-y, 1 / 2+z$ |



Simplified representation of the architectures and auxiliary ligand-dependent dehydration-rehydration behaviours of 1-4.
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