Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/dalton

Slow sorption of atmospheric CO₂ yielded a $[Ni^{II}_{4}Gd^{III}_{4}]$ complex with a significant magnetocaloric effect in the 1-20 K temperature range.



Octanuclear [Ni^{II}₄Ln^{III}₄] complexes. Synthesis, crystal structures and magnetocaloric properties

Traian D. Pasatoiu,^a Alberto Ghirri,^b Augustin M. Madalan,^a Marco Affronte,^{*b} Marius Andruh^{*a}

 ^a Inorganic Chemistry Laboratory, Faculty of Chemistry, University of Bucharest, Str. Dumbrava Rosie nr. 23, 020464-Bucharest, Romania; E-mail: marius.andruh@dnt.ro
 ^b C.N.R.- Institute of NanoSciences S3 and Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Informatiche e Matematiche, Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia Via G. Campi 213/A, 41100 Modena, Italy, E-mail: marco.affronte@unimore.it

ABSTRACT: Two original heterooctanuclear $[Ni^{II}_4Ln^{III}_4]$ complexes $(Ln^{III} = Sm^{III}, Gd^{III})$ have been obtained starting from the $[Ni^{II}(valpn)(H_2O)_2]$ mononuclear precursor $[H_2valpn = 1,3$ -propanediylbis(2-iminomethylene-6-metoxy-phenol)] and the corresponding lanthanide nitrates, in the presence of azide anions, through slow capture of atmospheric CO₂. Three weak and competitive exchange interactions, $J_{GdGd}, J_{GdNi}, J_{NiNi}$, make the ground state of this magnetic system degenerate at cryogenic temperature and zero field. This, along with the high spin of Gd^{III}, lead to a significant magnetocaloric effect spread in the temperature range 1 to 20 K ($\Delta S_m[0-7 T, 3.5 K] = 19 J Kg^{-1} K^{-1}$).

Introduction

An important research topic in molecular magnetism is represented by the synthesis of heterometallic complexes containing both paramagnetic 3d and 4f ions. The interaction between d and f spin carriers within the same molecular entity leads to interesting magnetic properties. Moreover, 3d–4f complexes have been intensively studied in order to reveal the factors governing the nature and magnitude of the exchange interaction between lanthanides and various 3d metal ions.¹ The interest for 3d–4f heteronuclear complexes grew rapidly after Gatteschi's report on the ferromagnetic interaction between adjacent Cu^{II} and Gd^{III} ions in trinuclear Cu^{II}Gd^{III}Cu^{II} complexes.² Nowadays, the revival of 3d-4f combined chemistry arises from to the discovery of molecular nanomagnets (single-molecule magnets, SMMs, and single-chain magnets, SCMs),^{1a,b,3} and from their significant magnetocaloric effect (MCE).⁴ On one hand, the design of 3d-4f molecular nanomagnets requires the use of

lanthanide ions exhibiting a high anisotropy, the best candidates being Tb^{III}, Dy^{III}, and Ho^{III} ions.³ On the other hand, in order to generate molecular compounds with a large Magnetocaloric Effect,⁴ ions with high spin and low magnetic anisotropy are necessary, such as the isotropic Gd^{III} ion. MCE is an intrinsic property to any magnetic material and is related to the change of the magnetic entropy, $-\Delta S_m$, once it is exposed to a magnetic field. When the magnetic field is switched off, if the demagnetization process occurs without any heat flow from the environment, a drop (ΔT_{ad}) of the temperature of the system is observed. This behaviour can be exploited for magnetic ground state and an easy lift of this degeneration by the application of an external magnetic field which, in turns, induces a large variation of the entropy.⁶ Although attention of chemists was mostly oriented towards lanthanide compounds and alloys, due to their large magnetic entropy changes,⁵ heterometallic 3d-4f polynuclear complexes were also shown to exhibit a magnetic refrigerant behaviour.⁷

In previous papers we have shown that binuclear $[Ni^{II}(valpn)Ln^{III}]$ complexes, apart from their interest for the investigation of the Ni^{II}-Ln^{III} exchange interactions,⁸ can be employed as precursors for obtaining high-nuclearity clusters and coordination polymers with interesting magnetic properties (valpn²⁻ is a Schiff-base compartmental ligand resulted from the condensation of *o*-vanillin with 1,3-propanediamine).⁹ Herein we present two novel octanuclear clusters, $[Ni^{II}_4Ln^{III}_4]$, which are obtained by reacting the binuclear $[Ni^{II}Ln^{III}]$ precursors with sodium azide and through the simultaneous fixation of atmospheric CO₂ (Ln = Sm, Gd). The magnetothermal properties of the gadolinium derivative have been investigated. The reaction between coordination compounds and atmospheric CO₂ was first observed with several transition metal complexes,¹⁰ resulting in carbonato-bridged complexes. More recently, several 4f,¹¹ and 3d-4f complexes¹² have been shown to be able to capture atmospheric CO₂, resulting in a quite rich variety of carbonato-complexes.

Experimental

Materials

The chemicals used, *o*-vanillin, 1,3-diaminopropane, sodium azide, $Sm(NO_3)\cdot 6H_2O$, $Gd(NO_3)_3\cdot 6H_2O$, as well as all the solvents were purchased from commercial sources. The mononuclear complex, [Ni(valpn)], was prepared as follows: 10 mmol 1,3-propylenediamine and 20 mmol triethylamine were added under stirring to 50 mL THF solution containing 20

mmol *o*-vanillin. After 30 minutes, an aqueous solution (50 mL) containing 10 mmol $Ni(NO_3)\cdot 6H_2O$ is added. The resulting mixture is stirred for an hour, then 500 mL H₂O were added to facilitate the precipitation of the mononuclear complex. The light green solid obtained is filtered and dried. In order to synthesize $[Ni^{II}Ln^{III}]$ ($Ln^{III} = Sm$, Gd), the method we have previously described^{8a} was used: 4 mmol of $Ln(NO_3)_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ are added to a suspension containing 4 mmol [Ni(valpn)] in 20 mL acetonitrile. The reaction mixture is stirred for about 20 minutes and then let to slowly evaporate at room temperature.

[[(N₃)_{1.5}(H₂O)_{0.5}Ni(valpn)Sm(H₂O)₃(μ -CO₃)Sm(H₂O)₃(valpn)Ni(N₃)(μ _{1,3}-N₃)_{0.5}]₂] (N₃)₂·12H₂O **1** and [[(N₃)_{1.5}(H₂O)_{0.5}Ni(valpn)Gd(H₂O)₃(μ -CO₃)Gd(H₂O)₃(valpn)Ni(N₃)(μ _{1,3}-N₃)_{0.5}]₂](N₃)₂·10H₂O·CH₃CN **2** were prepared as follows: a solution containing 0.1 mmol NaN₃ dissolved in 10 mL H₂O was added to a solution containing 0.1 mmol of the corresponding [Ni^{II}(valpn)Ln^{III}] (Ln^{III} = Sm, Gd) heterodinuclear complex dissolved in 20 mL acetonitrile. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 minutes and then filtered. Several days later, the slow vaporization of the solvent yielded green crystals of the octanuclear complexes. Yields: 20% for **1**, and 22% for **2**. Calcd: 30.05 C; 4.20 H; 14.82% N. Found: 30.1 C; 4.1 H; 14.8% N for **1**. Calcd: 30.64 C; 4.14 H; 14.73% N. Found: 30.4 C; 3.9 H; 14.5% N for **2**.

X-Ray Structure Determination

Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction studies were obtained by slow vaporization, as described above. Data were collected at 293 K on a STOE IPDS II diffractometer using graphite-monochromated Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least squares techniques based on F^2 . The non-H atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. The crystallographic data are collected in Table 1. CCDC reference number: 986980, 986981.

Physical measurements

The IR spectra were recorded on KBr pellets on a JASCO FTIR 4100 spectrophotometer in the 4000-400 cm⁻¹ range.

The magnetic characterization was performed on microcrystalline powders of 2 by means of a Quantum Design PPMS-7T. Susceptibility measurements were performed with an *ac* excitation field of 10 Oe and frequency 90, 1730 and 9300 Hz. Magnetization measurements were carried out with a 7 T superconducting coil and *dc* extraction technique.

Heat capacity was measured in the temperature range of 0.3-20 K by means of a Quantum Design ³He refrigerator, using the relaxation method and two-tau fitting. In this case, microcrystalline samples were pressed in thin pellets having a mass of about 2 mg.

Results and discussion

The aim of this work is to check whether our strategy for designing high-nuclearity clusters and coordination polymers, starting from heterodimetallic complexes, can be applied in order to organize binuclear high spin [Ni^{II}Ln^{III}] species into complexes with an important magnetocaloric effect. The self-assembly processes between preformed [Ni^{II}Ln^{III}] complexes (Ln = Sm, Gd) and the azide anion, in the presence of carbonate anions (resulted from the fixation of atmospheric CO₂), afforded two novel octanuclear clusters $[(N_3)_{15}(H_2O)_{05}Ni(valpn)Sm(H_2O)_{3}(\mu-CO_3)Sm(H_2O)_{3}(valpn)Ni(N_3)(\mu_{13}-N_3)_{05}]_2](N_3)_2$ $[[(N_3)_{1,5}(H_2O)_{0,5}Ni(valpn)Gd(H_2O)_3(\mu-CO_3)Gd(H_2O)_3(valpn)Ni(N_3)(\mu_{1,3} 12H_2O$ and 1 $N_{3}_{0.5}_{2}(N_{3})_{2}$ ·10H₂O·CH₃CN **2**. The carbonato ligand is formed during the slow crystallization process, a phenomenon observed with other 3d-4f complexes as well.¹² The infrared spectrum of 2 (Figure S1) gives some useful information. First of all, we notice characteristic bands of the carbonato ligand at 1467 and 1074 cm⁻¹; the absorption band at 1528 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the v(C–O) stretching vibrations, while the π (C–O) out-of-plane bending vibration appears as a weak absorption band at $852 \text{ cm}^{-1.13}$ The intense band located at 2033 cm⁻¹ is due to the azido ligands. We also mention that the characteristic bands of the nitrato ligands are no more observed and this confirms that the nitrato groups from the binuclear precursors have been replaced by the azido and carbonato ligands.

Description of the structures

X-ray diffraction measurements indicated the two complexes are isomorphous and crystallize in a monoclinic space group (Table 1). Their structure consists of octanuclear cationic units (Figure 1), uncoordinated azide anions, and solvent molecules (H₂O in crystal **1**, respectively H₂O and CH₃CN in crystal **2**). The gadolinium derivative is particularly interesting from the magnetic point of view, while the first compound was synthesized in order illustrate that the absorption of the CO₂ from the air is a general reaction within this system. They have the following compositions: $[[(N_3)_{1.5}(H_2O)_{0.5}Ni(valpn)Sm(H_2O)_3(\mu CO_3)Sm(H_2O)_3(valpn)Ni(N_3)(\mu_{1,3}-N_3)_{0.5}]_2](N_3)_2\cdot 12H_2O$ **1** and

$[[(N_3)_{1.5}(H_2O)_{0.5}Ni(valpn)Gd(H_2O)_3(\mu-CO_3)Gd(H_2O)_3(valpn)Ni(N_3)(\mu_{1,3}-H_2O)_3$

 $N_3)_{0.5}]_2](N_3)_2 \cdot 10H_2O \cdot CH_3CN$ **2**. Since the two structures are very similar, only the one of the gadolinium derivative, **2**, will be discussed here.

The construction of the centrosymmetric octanuclear complex can be described as resulting by connecting two $\{Ni_2Gd_2\}$ moieties through the 1,3-azido bridge that links the nickel ions; each tetranuclear moiety is constructed from two binuclear {Ni(valpn)Gd} entities bridged by a carbonato ligand, which interacts solely with the gadolinium ions. Within the octanuclear unit, there are two types of Ni^{II} ions, Ni1 and Ni2, both being hexacoordinated. The equatorial plane of the Ni1 ion is occupied by donor atoms of the valpn ligand [Ni1 - N1 = 2.027(7), Ni1 - N2 = 2.023(9), Ni1 - O2 = 2.043(6), Ni1 - O3 = 2.050(6)Å], while two azido ligands are coordinated into the axial positions. One of them behaves like a terminal ligand [Ni1 – N6 = 2.093(10) Å], while the second one forms a $\mu_{1,3}$ -N₃ bridge between two Ni1 ions [Ni1 - N9 = 2.211(9) Å]. The intermetallic Ni1...Ni1' separation has a value of 6.007 Å (' = -x, 2-y, 1-z). The axial positions of Ni2 ions are occupied by one nitrogen atom coming from a terminal azido ligand [Ni2 - N12 = 2.220(9)] and by one nitrogen atoms coming from another terminal azido ligand or the oxygen atom of one aqua ligand [Ni2 - N15/O32 = 2.191(9)] Å, the N15 and O32 were restrained in the crystal structure refinement to occupy the same crystallographic position with site occupancies factors of 0.5 each]. The equatorial coordination sites are occupied by four atoms of the valpn²⁻ Schiff-base ligand [Ni2 – N3 = 2.024(9), Ni2 – N4 = 2.006(10), Ni2 – O6 = 2.032(7), Ni2 - O7 = 2.031(6) Å]. The two Ni2 ions within the octanuclear unit are placed at a distance of 24.459 Å.

The gadolinium ions are located in the outer compartment of the valpn²⁻ ligand and are nine-coordinated by oxygen atoms. The Gd1 ions are surrounded by four oxygen atoms of the Schiff-base ligand [Gd1 – O1 = 2.544(6), Gd1 – O2 = 2.360(6), Gd1 – O3 = 2.389(6), Gd1 – O4 = 2.556(6) Å], three oxygen atoms belonging to aqua ligands [Gd1 – O12 = 2.439(8), Gd1 – O13 = 2.467(8), Gd1 – O14 = 2.460(7) Å], and two oxygen atoms coming from a carbonato bridge [Gd1 – O9 = 2.486(7), Gd1 – O10 = 2.415(7) Å].

The Gd2 ion is coordinated to four oxygen atoms of the valpn²⁻ ligand [Gd2 – O5 = 2.560(7), Gd2 – O6 = 2.370(6), Gd2 – O7 = 2.398(7), Gd2 – O8 = 2.545(7) Å], three aqua ligands [Gd2 – O15 = 2.424(8), Gd2 – O16 = 2.432(8), Gd2 – O17 = 2.461(7) Å], and two oxygen atoms from the bridging carbonato ligand which displays a two-(η^3 chelation) [Gd2 – O9 = 2.465(7), Gd2 – O11 = 2.456(6) Å]. The separation between the carbonato-bridged

Gd^{III} ions is Gd1····Gd2 = 4.942 Å. The distances between the metal ions within the binuclear {NiGd} units are: Ni1···Gd1 = 3.538 and Ni2···Gd2 = 3.523 Å. The intramolecular Gd1···Gd1' and Gd2···Gd2' (' = -x, 2-y, 1-z) separations, are 10.016 and 19.610 Å, respectively. Selected bond distances and angles for 1 and 2 are given in Tables 2 and 3, respectively.

Magnetic properties

The ac susceptibility of 2 have been measured between 2 and 300 K (Figure 2). The room temperature value of the $\chi_M T$ product, 36.8 cm³ mol⁻¹ K, corresponds to the one expected for the eight non-interacting ions (four Ni^{II} and four Gd^{III}): 36.3 cm³ mol⁻¹ K, assuming $g_{Ni} = 2.2$ and $g_{Gd} = 2$. The $\chi_M T$ product is constant down to 50 K, then it increases, reaching ~37.5 cm³ mol⁻¹ K at 8 K. Below this temperature, $\chi_M T$ decreases abruptly reaching ~33 cm³ mol⁻¹ K at 1.8 K. The spin Hamiltonian for this system should include three exchange parameters (J_{NiGd} , J_{GdGd} , J_{NiNi}) and this, together with the high spin value of Gd, leads to the huge dimension of the matrix and does not make possible to solve the problem numerically. However, the magnetic behaviour of 2, depicted in Figure 2, can be understood, having in mind that: (i) the exchange interaction between phenoxo-bridged N^{iII} and Gd^{III} ions is in most cases weak ferromagnetic, with J values ranging from 0.2 to $\sim 5 \text{ cm}^{-1,8a,14}$ (ii) the exchange interaction between the carbonato-bridged Gd^{III} ions, if any, is very weak;^{12d} (iii) the magnetic coupling between Ni^{II} ions connected by 1,3-azido bridges is, in most cases, antiferromagnetic, with Jvalues as large as -114.5 cm^{-1,15} rarely, it was showed to be ferromagnetic.¹⁶ In case of complex 2, the values for Ni1-N9-N10, Ni1'-N9'-N10, and τ dihedral angles are 121.53, 121.53, and 0°, respectively, which suggests a moderate antiferromagnetic coupling between Ni^{II} ions.^{15c,d} Consequently, the increase of the $\chi_M T$ product below 50 K is probably due to dominant ferromagnetic interactions (characteristic for the Ni^{II}-Gd^{III} pair); below 10 K, a superposition of the antiferromagnetic interactions mentioned above with the zero field splitting effect associated to the Ni^{II} ions is likely to occur as shown by the drop of the $\chi_{\rm M}T$ product in Figure 2.

The field dependence of magnetization M(H) was measured at different temperatures as shown in Figure 3. At high field and low temperature M(H) tends to reach a value of \sim 35 N_Aµ_B although saturation is not completely achieved at 7 T. This is compatible with the 4 spins S = 7/2 of Gd^{III} and 4 spins S = 1 of Ni^{II} per formula unit and indicates that the magnetic field overcomes the weak (anti-)ferromagnetic interactions.

To get more insight we have also measured the specific heat C(T,H) at low temperature in different applied magnetic field (Figure 4). The C(T) curve in zero field shows a Schottky anomaly with a maximum at 1 K. This anomaly reflects the degeneracy of the ground state due to both the small - but finite - anisotropy of the single Ni^{II} and Gd^{III} ions and to the weak and competitive interactions. The Schottky anomaly is progressively shifted towards higher temperatures with the application of magnetic field of increasing strength. For highest fields (i.e. 5, 7 T) the maximum disappears but at 15 K the specific heat is higher than in zero field, indicating that a significant amount of magnetic entropy is present at that temperature.

Starting from the specific heat, the change of entropy in magnetic field is evaluated by using the relation: $S(T)_B = \int (C/T)_B dT + S_0$, where S_0 is an additive constant that accounts for the specific heat curve for T < 0.3 K. According to the Maxwell equation, the entropy variation can also be estimated using the magnetization data: $\Delta S_m(T)_{\Delta B} = \int (\partial M(T,H)/\partial H)_B dB$. In Figure 5, the ΔS_m values obtained with the two different methods compare very well one to another. The peak of $\Delta S_m(T)$ is found at about 3.5 K with a maximum value of $\Delta S_m[0-7 \text{ T}] = 19 \text{ J Kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$. This peak results not particularly pronounced but the entropy variation $\Delta S_m[0-7 \text{ T}]$ is still large (>5 J Kg⁻¹ K⁻¹) at 20 K. Thus while ΔS_m value is comparable to those reported for other molecular Gd^{III} complexes,⁴ it turns out that the process of entropy removal is spread over a wider temperature range (1-20 \text{ K}) in this complex.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have obtained two novel octanuclear $[Ni^{II}_4Ln^{III}_4]$ [Ln = Sm, Gd] molecular clusters through fixation of atmospheric CO₂. The eight metal ions are held together by three different types of bridge: phenoxo, carbonato and azido. The increasing number of such complexes shows that slow crystallization processes in the presence of a basic reagent (e. g. triethylamine) favor the absorption of the CO₂, with the formation of carbonato bridged species. The investigation of the magnetic proprties of the $[Ni^{II}_4Gd^{III}_4]$ complex reveals a significant magnetocaloric effect ($\Delta S_m[0-7 T] = 19 \text{ Jkg}^{-1}K^{-1}$).

Acknowledgements

Financial support from the UEFISCDI (grant PNII-ID-PCCE-2011-2-0050) is gratefully acknowledged.

References

1 (a) M. Andruh, J.-P. Costes, C. Diaz and S. Gao, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, **48**, 3342; (b) R. Sessoli and A.K. Powell, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **253**, 2328; (c) M. Sakamoto, K. Manseki and H. Okawa, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2001, **219-221**, 379; (d) J. S. Miller and D. Gatteschi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 3065.

2 A. Bencini, C. Benelli, A. Caneschi, R.L. Carlin, A. Dei and D. Gatteschi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 8128.

3 D. Gatteschi, R. Sessoli and J. Villain, *Molecular Nanomagnets*, Oxford University Press, New York, 2006.

4 (a) M. Evangelisti in *Molecular Magnets p. XX*, NanoScience and Technology, J. Bartolomé et al. (eds.) DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-40609-6_10, © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg (2014). (b) R. Sessoli, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 2. (c) **M.** Evangelisti and E.K. Brechin, *Dalton Trans.*, 2010, **39**, 4672; (d) A.S. Dinca, A. Ghirri, A.M. Madalan, M. Affronte and M. Andruh, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 3935.

5 (a) C. Zimm, A. Jastrab, A. Sternberg, V.K. Pecharsky, K.A. Gschneidner Jr., M. Osborne and I. Anderson, *Adv. Cryog. Eng.*, 1998, **43**, 1759. (b) V.K. Pecharsky and K.A. Gschneidner Jr., *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.*, 1999, **200**, 44; (c) K.A. Gschneidner Jr., A.O. Pecharsky and V.K. Pecharsky in: R.S. Ross Jr. (Ed.), Cryocoolers II, Kluwer Academic/Plenum Press, New York, 2001, p. 433.

6 (a) M. Manoli, R.D.L. Johnstone, S. Parsons, M. Murrie, M. Affronte, M. Evangelisti and E.K. Brechin, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, 46, 4456; (b) M. Evangelisti, A. Candini, A. Ghirri, M. Affronte, E.K. Brechin and E.J.L. McInnes, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2005, 87, 072504; (c) Y.-Z. Zheng, M. Evangelisti, F. Tuna and R.E.P. Winpenny, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, 134, 1057; (d) F.-S. Guo, J.-D. Leng, J.-L. Liu, Z.-H. Meng, Z.-H. and Tong, M.L. *Inorg. Chem.* 2012, 51, 405; (e) M. Evangelisti, O. Roubeau, E. Palacios, A. Camón, T. Hooper, E.K. Brechin and J.J. Alonso, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, 50, 6606; (f) Y.-Z. Zheng, M. Evanghelisti and R.E.P. Winpenny, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2011, 50, 3692; (g) S.K. Langley, N.F. Chilton, B. Moubaraki, T. Hooper, E.K. Brechin, M. Evanghelisti and K.S. Murray, *Chem. Sci.*, 2011, 2, 1166; (h) J.-B. Peng, Q.-C. Zhang, X.-J. Kong, Y.-P. Ren, L.-S. Long, R.-B. Huang, L.-S. Zheng and Z. Zheng, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2011, 50, 1; (i) F.-S.Guo, Y.-C. Chen, J.-L. Liu, J.-D. Leng, Z.-S. Meng, P. Vrábel, M. Orendáč and M.-L. Tong, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, 48, 12219.

7 (a) R.D. McMichael, R.D. Shull, L.J. Swartzendruber, L.H. Bennett and R.E. Watson, J. Magn. Magn. Mater., 1992, 111, 29; (b) L.H. Bennett, R.D. McMichael, H.C. Tang and R.E. Watson, J. Appl. Phys., 1994, 75, 5493; (c) M. Evangelisti, A. Candini, A. Ghirri, M. Affronte, S. Piligkos, E.K. Brechin and E.J.L. McInnes, Polyhedron, 2005, 24, 2573; (d) R. Shaw, R. Laye, L. Jones, D. Low, C. Talbot-Eeckelaers, Q. Wei, C. Milios, S. Teat, M. Helliwell, J. Raftery, M. Evangelisti, M. Affronte, D. Collison, E.K. Brechin, E.J.L. McInnes, Inorg. Chem., 2007, 46, 4968.

8 (a) T.D. Pasatoiu, J.P. Sutter, A.M. Madalan, F.Z.C. Fellah, C. Duhayon and M. Andruh, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **50**, 5890; (b) T.D. Pasatoiu, C. Tiseanu, A.M. Madalan, B. Jurca, C. Duhayon, J.P. Sutter and M. Andruh, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **50**, 5879.

9 (a) T.D.Pasatoiu, M. Etienne, A.M. Madalan, M. Andruh and R. Sessoli, *Dalton Trans.*, 2010, **39**, 4802; (b) T.D. Pasatoiu, M. Etienne, A.M. Madalan, R. Sessoli and M. Andruh, *Rev. Roum. Chim.*, 2012, **57**, 507.

10 (a) N. Kitajima, K. Fujisawa, T. Koda, S. Hikichi and Y. Moro-oka, Y. *Chem. Commun.*, 1990, 1357. (b) T. Tanase, S. Nitta, S. Yoshikawa, K. Kobayashi, T. Sakurai and S. Yano, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1992, **31**, 1058; (c) M.M. El-Hendawy, N.J. English and D.A. Mooney, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 5282; (d) M. Ito and Y. Takita, *Chem. Lett.*, 1996, 929; (e) M. Fondo, N. Ocampo, A.M. García-Deibe, R. Vicente, M. Corbella, M.R. Bermejo and J. Sanmartín, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2006, **45**, 255.

11 (a) S.K. Langley, B. Moubaraki and K.S. Murray, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 3947; (b) H. Tian, L. Zhao, Y.N. Guo, Y. Guo, J. Tang and Z. Liu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 708; (c) A.K. Ghosh, M. Pait, M. Shatruk, V. Bertolasic and D. Ray, *Dalton Trans.*, 2014, **43**, 1970; (d) J. Vallejo, J. Cano, I. Castro, M. Julve, F. Lloret, O. Fabelo, L. Cañadillas-Delgado and E. Pardo, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 7726.

12 (a) S. Sakamoto, S. Yamauchi, H. Hagiwara, N. Matsumoto, Y. Sunatsuki and N. Re, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **26**, 20; (b) B. Zhang, X. Zheng, H. Su, Y. Zhu, C. Du and M. Song, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 8571; (c) S. Titos-Padilla, J. Ruiz, J.M. Herrera, E.K. Brechin, W. Wersndorfer, F. Lloret, and E. Colacio, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 9620. (d) S. Sakamoto, T. Fujinami, K. Nishi, N. Matsumoto, N. Mochida, T. Ishida, Y. Sunatsuki and N. Re, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 7218.

13 K. Nakamoto Infrared and Raman Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds, Part B, Applications in Coordination, Organometallic, and *Bioinorganic Chemistry*, 6th Edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey, 2009.

14 (a) J.-P. Costes, F. Dahan, A. Dupuis and J.P. Laurent, *Inorg Chem.*, 1997, 36, 4284; (b)
J.-P. Costes, T. Yamaguchi, M. Kojima and L.M. Vendier, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, 48, 5555; (c)
J.-P. Sutter, S. Dhers, R. Rajamani, S. Ramasesha, J.-P. Costes, C. Duhayon, L. Vendier, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, 48, 5820.; (d) P. Khuntia, M. Mariani, A.V. Mahajan, A. Lascialfari, F. Borsa, T.D. Pasatoiu and M. Andruh, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2011, 84, 184439; (e) V. Chandrasekhar, B.M. Pandian, R. Boomishankar, A. Steiner, J.J. Vittal, A. Houri and R. Clérac, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, 47, 4918. (f) N. Bridonneau, L.-M. Chamoreau, P.P. Lainé, W. Wernsdorfer and V. Marvaud, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 9476; (g) T. Shiga, N. Ito, A. Hidaka, H. Okawa, S. Kitagawa and M. Ohba, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, 46, 3492. (h) M.-G. Alexandru, D. Visinescu, S. Shova, F. Lloret and M. Andruh, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, 52, 11627.

15 (a) J. Ribas, M. Monfort, C. Diaz, C. Bastos, X. Solans, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1993, 32, 3557; (b)
J. Ribas, A. Escuer, M. Monfort, R. Vicente, R. Cortés, L. Lezama and T. Rojo, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1999, 193-195, 1027; (c) S.S. Massoud, F.A. Mautner, R. Vicente, A.A. Gallo and E. Ducasse, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, 8, 1091. (d) R. Cortes, K. Urtiaga, L. Lezama, J. L. Pizarro, A. Goñi, M. I. Arriortua, T. Rojo, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1994, 33, 4009.

16 (a) P. S. Mukherjee, S. Dalai, E. Zangrando, F. Lloret and N. R. Chaudhuri, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 1444. (b) A. Escuer, C.J. Harding, Y. Dussart, J. Nelson, V. McKee, R. Vicente, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.*, 1999, 223.

Compound	1	2	
Chemical formula	C ₇₈ H ₁₃₀ N ₃₃ O ₄₇ Ni ₄ Sm ₄	C ₈₀ H ₁₂₉ N ₃₃ O ₄₅ Ni ₄ Gd ₄	
M (g mol ⁻¹)	3104.38	3136.83	
Temperature, (K)	293	293	
Wavelength, (Å)	0.71073	0.71073	
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	
Space group	$P2_l/n$	$P2_{l}/n$	
$a(\text{\AA})$	13.1410(4)	13.1507(7)	
$b(\text{\AA})$	23.4741(8)	23.5171(14)	
$c(\text{\AA})$	19.0068(5)	18.9619(10)	
α (°)	90.00	90.00	
$\beta^{(\circ)}$	91.216(2)	91.06	
γ (°)	90.00	90.00	
$V(Å^3)$	5861.8(3)	5863.3(6)	
Z	2	2	
D_{c}	1.759	1.764	
$\mu (\mathrm{mm}^{-1})$	2.696	2.953	
F(000)	3116	3092	
Refinement on	F^2	F^2	
Goodness-of-fit	1.068	0.850	
Final R1, $wR_2[I > n\sigma(I)]$	0.0600, 0.1490	0.0521, 0.0893	
$R1$, wR_2 (all data)	0.0768, 0.1589	0.1134, 0.1023	
Largest diff. peak and hole (eÅ ⁻³)	2.448, -1.883	1.482, -0.775	

Table 1. Crystallographic data, details of data collection and structure refinement parameters

 for compounds 1 and 2

Ni1-N1	2.016(5)	N2-Ni1-N1	97.0(3)	O2-Sm1-O3	67.34(15)	O6-Sm2-O7	67.26(16)
Ni1-N2	2.032(6)	N2-Ni1-O2	170.0(2)	O2-Sm1-O10	109.20(17)	O6-Sm2-O15	133.7(2)
Ni1-N6	2.111(6)	N1-Ni1-O2	91.2(2)	O3-Sm1-O10	148.35(18)	O7-Sm2-O15	129.4(2)
Ni1-N9	2.190(6)	N2-Ni1-O3	91.3(2)	O2-Sm1-O12	72.4(2)	O6-Sm2-O16	79.0(2)
Ni1-O2	2.059(4)	N1-Ni1-O3	171.4(2)	O3-Sm1-O12	73.9(2)	O7-Sm2-O16	70.07(19)
Ni1-O3	2.049(4)	O2-Ni1-O3	80.34(18)	O10-Sm1-O12	75.2(2)	O15-Sm2-O16	144.4(2)
Ni2-N3	2.014(7)	N2-Ni1-N6	92.0(3)	O2-Sm1-O14	76.91(16)	O6-Sm2-O11	146.07(18)
Ni2-N4	2.020(6)	N1-Ni1-N6	92.0(3)	O3-Sm1-O14	73.52(16)	O7-Sm2-O11	114.76(16)
Ni2-N12	2.196(6)	O2-Ni1-N6	93.4(2)	O10-Sm1-O14	137.76(18)	O15-Sm2-O11	73.4(2)
Ni2-N15	2.206(7)	O3-Ni1-N6	90.1(2)	O12-Sm1-O14	141.70(19)	O16-Sm2-O11	71.1(2)
Ni2-06	2.042(5)	N2-Ni1-N9	89.6(3)	O2-Sm1-O13	131.49(18)	O6-Sm2-O17	76.49(19)
Ni2-07	2.025(5)	N1-Ni1-N9	88.7(2)	O3-Sm1-O13	130.76(18)	O7-Sm2-O17	74.10(17)
Ni2-O32	2.206(7)	O2-Ni1-N9	84.9(2)	O10-Sm1-O13	76.4(2)	O15-Sm2-O17	70.6(2)
Sm1-O1	2.556(5)	O3-Ni1-N9	88.9(2)	O12-Sm1-O13	148.2(2)	O16-Sm2-O17	142.22(19)
Sm1-O2	2.382(4)	N6-Ni1-N9	178.2(3)	O14-Sm1-O13	70.08(17)	O11-Sm2-O17	137.4(2)
Sm1-O3	2.398(4)	N4-Ni2-N3	97.7(3)	O2-Sm1-O9	143.12(16)	O6-Sm2-O9	104.72(16)
Sm1-O4	2.562(5)	N4-Ni2-O6	171.0(3)	O3-Sm1-O9	109.95(15)	O7-Sm2-O9	144.95(16)
Sm1-O9	2.500(5)	N3-Ni2-O6	91.1(3)	O10-Sm1-O9	52.73(16)	O15-Sm2-O9	81.8(2)
Sm1-O10	2.445(5)	N4-Ni2-O7	89.6(2)	O12-Sm1-O9	71.8(2)	O16-Sm2-O9	74.92(19)
Sm1-O12	2.435(6)	N3-Ni2-O7	172.6(2)	O14-Sm1-O9	139.30(17)	O11-Sm2-O9	52.66(16)
Sm1-O13	2.455(5)	06-Ni2-07	81.52(19)	O13-Sm1-O9	79.73(18)	O17-Sm2-O9	139.26(17)
Sm1-O14	2.477(5)	N4-Ni2-O32	92.2(3)	O2-Sm1-O1	62.88(15)	O6-Sm2-O8	127.75(17)
Sm2-O5	2.570(5)	N3-Ni2-O32	86.8(3)	O3-Sm1-O1	126.65(15)	O7-Sm2-O8	62.73(17)
Sm2-O6	2.381(5)	O6-Ni2-O32	90.1(2)	O10-Sm1-O1	69.59(17)	O15-Sm2-O8	74.5(2)
Sm2-O7	2.414(4)	07-Ni2-O32	93.7(2)	O12-Sm1-O1	107.0(3)	O16-Sm2-O8	97.1(2)
Sm2-O8	2.560(6)	N4-Ni2-N12	89.0(2)	O14-Sm1-O1	77.73(19)	O11-Sm2-O8	72.76(17)
Sm2-O9	2.476(5)	N3-Ni2-N12	92.2(3)	O13-Sm1-O1	75.87(19)	O17-Sm2-O8	76.4(2)
Sm2-O11	2.462(5)	O6-Ni2-N12	88.9(2)	O9-Sm1-O1	121.05(16)	O9-Sm2-O8	124.73(17)
Sm2-O15	2.445(6)	07-Ni2-N12	87.2(2)	O2-Sm1-O4	127.99(16)	O6-Sm2-O5	62.86(17)
Sm2-O16	2.424(6)	O32-Ni2-N12	178.5(3)	O3-Sm1-O4	63.05(15)	O7-Sm2-O5	125.49(17)
Sm2-O17	2.467(6)	Ni1-O2-Sm1	106.20(19)	O10-Sm1-O4	121.01(17)	O15-Sm2-O5	77.3(2)
		Ni1-O3-Sm1	105.95(19)	O12-Sm1-O4	106.8(3)	O16-Sm2-O5	118.2(2)
		Ni2-O6-Sm2	105.9(2)	O14-Sm1-O4	75.18(18)	O11-Sm2-O5	118.67(17)
		Ni2-O7-Sm2	105.23(19)	O13-Sm1-O4	76.26(19)	O17-Sm2-O5	74.3(2)
				O9-Sm1-O4	71.53(16)	O9-Sm2-O5	70.80(17)
				01-Sm1-O4	146.27(19)	O8-Sm2-O5	144.6(2)

 Table 2. Selected bond distances and angles for compound 1

Ni1-N1	2.028(7)	N2-Ni1-N1	96.7(3)	O2-Gd1-O3	67.49(18)	O6-Gd2-O7	67.5(2)
Ni1-N2	2.023(8)	N2-Ni1-O2	169.8(3)	O2-Gd1-O10	108.8(2)	O6-Gd2-O15	133.1(2)
Ni1-N6	2.093(10)	N1-Ni1-O2	91.5(3)	O3-Gd1-O10	147.4(3)	O7-Gd2-O15	129.2(3)
Ni1-N9	2.213(9)	N2-Ni1-O3	91.4(3)	O2-Gd1-O12	72.1(2)	O6-Gd2-O16	78.1(2)
Ni1-O2	2.043(6)	N1-Ni1-O3	171.7(3)	O3-Gd1-O12	73.6(3)	O7-Gd2-O16	70.2(2)
Ni1-O3	2.051(6)	O2-Ni1-O3	80.3(2)	O10-Gd1-O12	74.5(3)	O15-Gd2-O16	145.7(2)
Ni2-N3	2.025(9)	N2-Ni1-N6	92.9(4)	O2-Gd1-O14	77.1(2)	O6-Gd2-O11	145.3(2)
Ni2-N4	2.004(9)	N1-Ni1-N6	93.1(4)	O3-Gd1-O14	74.0(2)	O7-Gd2-O11	114.0(2)
Ni2-N12	2.221(9)	O2-Ni1-N6	92.7(3)	O10-Gd1-O14	138.2(2)	O15-Gd2-O11	74.9(2)
Ni2-N15	2.191(9)	O3-Ni1-N6	88.6(3)	O12-Gd1-O14	141.8(2)	O16-Gd2-O11	70.9(2)
Ni2-O6	2.032(7)	N2-Ni1-N9	89.1(3)	O2-Gd1-O13	132.1(2)	O6-Gd2-O17	76.5(2)
Ni2-07	2.032(6)	N1-Ni1-N9	88.7(3)	O3-Gd1-O13	130.5(2)	O7-Gd2-O17	73.9(2)
Ni2-O32	2.191(9)	O2-Ni1-N9	85.1(3)	O10-Gd1-O13	77.4(3)	O15-Gd2-O17	70.2(2)
Gd1-O1	2.545(6)	O3-Ni1-N9	89.3(3)	O12-Gd1-O13	148.2(3)	O16-Gd2-O17	141.8(2)
Gd1-O2	2.360(6)	N6-Ni1-N9	177.2(4)	O14-Gd1-O13	70.0(2)	O11-Gd2-O17	138.2(2)
Gd1-O3	2.389(6)	N4-Ni2-N3	97.7(4)	O2-Gd1-O9	142.5(2)	O6-Gd2-O9	104.4(2)
Gd1-O4	2.557(6)	N4-Ni2-O6	170.5(3)	O3-Gd1-O9	108.8(2)	07-Gd2-09	145.2(2)
Gd1-09	2.485(7)	N3-Ni2-O6	91.6(3)	O10-Gd1-O9	53.2(2)	O15-Gd2-O9	82.0(2)
Gd1-O10	2.414(7)	N4-Ni2-O7	89.3(3)	O12-Gd1-O9	71.2(2)	O16-Gd2-O9	75.0(3)
Gd1-O12	2.440(8)	N3-Ni2-O7	172.9(3)	O14-Gd1-O9	139.5(2)	O11-Gd2-O9	53.2(2)
Gd1-O13	2.469(8)	O6-Ni2-O7	81.3(3)	O13-Gd1-O9	80.2(2)	O17-Gd2-O9	139.1(2)
Gd1-O14	2.461(7)	N4-Ni2-O32	91.6(4)	O2-Gd1-O1	63.4(2)	O6-Gd2-O8	127.9(2)
Gd2-O5	2.561(7)	N3-Ni2-O32	87.5(4)	O3-Gd1-O1	127.3(2)	07-Gd2-08	62.7(2)
Gd2-O6	2.370(6)	O6-Ni2-O32	90.5(3)	O10-Gd1-O1	69.7(2)	O15-Gd2-O8	74.6(3)
Gd2-07	2.399(6)	07-Ni2-O32	93.2(3)	O12-Gd1-O1	107.2(3)	O16-Gd2-O8	98.2(3)
Gd2-O8	2.545(7)	N4-Ni2-N12	89.3(3)	O14-Gd1-O1	77.6(2)	O11-Gd2-O8	72.8(2)
Gd2-09	2.466(6)	N3-Ni2-N12	91.5(3)	O13-Gd1-O1	76.0(2)	O17-Gd2-O8	76.2(2)
Gd2-O11	2.456(6)	O6-Ni2-N12	88.8(3)	O9-Gd1-O1	121.5(2)	O9-Gd2-O8	125.1(2)
Gd2-O15	2.425(7)	07-Ni2-N12	87.7(3)	O2-Gd1-O4	128.4(2)	O6-Gd2-O5	63.2(2)
Gd2-O16	2.432(8)	O32-Ni2-N12	178.8(4)	O3-Gd1-O4	63.6(2)	07-Gd2-O5	125.9(2)
Gd2-O17	2.461(7)	Ni1-O2-Gd1	106.7(2)	O10-Gd1-O4	121.0(2)	O15-Gd2-O5	76.5(3)
		Ni1-O3-Gd1	105.4(2)	O12-Gd1-O4	107.7(3)	O16-Gd2-O5	117.6(3)
		Ni2-O6-Gd2	106.1(3)	O14-Gd1-O4	74.8(2)	O11-Gd2-O5	118.9(2)
		Ni2-07-Gd2	105.0(3)	O13-Gd1-O4	74.8(2)	O17-Gd2-O5	74.4(2)
				O9-Gd1-O4	71.4(2)	O9-Gd2-O5	70.2(2)
				01-Gd1-O4	145.2(2)	O8-Gd2-O5	144.2(3)

 Table 3. Selected bond distances and angles for compound 2

FIGURES



Figure 1. View of the octanuclear cationic species $[[(N_3)_{1.5}(H_2O)_{0.5}Ni(valpn)Gd(H_2O)_3(\mu-CO_3)Gd(H_2O)_3(valpn)Ni(N_3)(\mu_{1,3}-N_3)_{0.5}]_2]^+$ in 2 (' = -x, 2-y, 1-z)



Figure 2. Temperature dependence of the $\chi_M T$ product for **2**



Figure 3. Isothermal magnetization curves for 2 from 0 to 7 T.



Figure 4. Temperature dependence of specific heat measured at different applied magnetic fields. The Schottky anomaly at zero field has a maximum at 1 K and it is spread towards higher temperature with increasing magnetic field.



calculated from magnetization (markers) and specific heat (lines) data.