

Catalysis Science & Technology

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

ARTICLE

Influence of structural and electronic properties of organomolybdenum(II) complexes of the type $[\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_3\text{R}]$ and $[\text{CpMo}(\text{O}_2)(\text{O})\text{R}]$ ($\text{R} = \text{Cl}, \text{CH}_3, \text{CF}_3$) on the catalytic olefin epoxidation

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received 00th January 2012,
Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/


Simone A. Hauser,^{a,†} Robert M. Reich,^{a,‡} János Mink,^{b,c} Alexander Pöthig,^d Mirza Cokoja^d and Fritz E. Kühn^{a,e*}

Six compounds of the type $[\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_3\text{R}]$ ($\text{R} = \text{Cl}$ (1), CH_3 (2), CF_3 (3)) and $[\text{CpMo}(\text{O}_2)(\text{O})\text{R}]$ ($\text{R} = \text{Cl}$ (4), CH_3 (5), CF_3 (6)) ($\text{Cp} = \eta^5\text{-cyclopentadienyl}$) have been synthesised and characterised. The crystal structures of $[\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_3\text{CF}_3]$ and $[\text{CpMo}(\text{O}_2)(\text{O})\text{CF}_3]$ are compared to their literature known chloro and methyl derivatives. The influence of the groups R on the performance as epoxidation catalysts is examined. DFT calculations, IR-spectroscopy and X-Ray crystallography help to explain differences in reactivity and enable a rational design of active molybdenum tricarbonyl and oxo-peroxo complexes.

Introduction

Epoxidation of olefins is a key process in industry.¹ Epoxides are used e. g. as monomers in polymer chemistry, in electronics, textile industry, pharmacy and paper industry.² Organometallic compounds such as methyltrioxorhenium (MTO) proved to be very active molecular catalysts for the epoxidation of a broad variety of olefins.^{3, 4} It has been postulated that replacement of the CH_3 -moiety by the electron withdrawing CF_3 group should further increase the catalytic activity of such a compound, due to the increased Lewis acidity on the metal center. However, it was not possible so far to synthesise CF_3ReO_3 .⁵⁻⁸ Rhenium, a rather rare metal, however is much more expensive than other metals that can be utilised as central atoms in organometallic oxidation catalysts, Molybdenum being an example (Re : ca. 3000.00 Euro/kg; Mo : ca. 22.00 Euro/kg (July 2014)). Therefore and due to their easy derivatisation and better stability compared to most rhenium compounds of formula RReO_3 ,^{3, 9} molybdenum compounds of the general formula $[\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_3\text{R}]$ and $[\text{CpMo}(\text{O}_2)(\text{O})\text{R}]$ also gained interest as oxidation catalysts. Some of them indeed rival MTO in olefin epoxidation activity.¹⁰⁻¹²

Based on mechanistic considerations the activity of molybdenum based organometallic epoxidation catalysts appears to be largely governed by the Lewis acidity of the metal centre.¹³ An electron withdrawing metal centre is considered of being able to both facilitate the direct coordination of an olefin to the metal, as described in Mimoun-type mechanisms,^{14, 15} and the indirect coordination via oxygen atoms as suggested in Sharpless-type¹⁶ mechanisms (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1 Two major mechanistic proposals of olefin epoxidation: Mimoun-type and Sharpless-type mechanisms.

The compound $[\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_3\text{CF}_3]$ has already been applied in oxidation catalysis for cyclooctene, styrene and 1-octene as substrates.¹⁷ More recently it was also applied for the industrial important oxidation of propylene.¹⁸ However, it is not clear so

far why $[\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_3\text{CF}_3]$ appears to be less active than its methylated analogue $[\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_3\text{CH}_3]$ in non-coordinating solvents, in contrast to previous expectations (*vide supra*). In this work structural and electronic properties and their relation to catalytic activities of compounds $[\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_3\text{R}]$ and $[\text{CpMo}(\text{O}_2)(\text{O})\text{R}]$ $\text{R} = \text{CF}_3$ and their derivatives, with $\text{R} = \text{Cl}$, CH_3 are examined. Crystal structures of the fluorinated compounds were determined. In combination with DFT calculations and vibrational spectroscopy data these structures help to provide an explanation for the observed differences in activity.

Results and Discussion

Comparison of the crystal structures of $[\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_3\text{R}]$

$\text{R} = \text{Cl}$ (1), CH_3 (2), CF_3 (3)

The structure of the fluorinated molybdenum tricarbonyl derivative **3** has been determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction (Fig. 1).




Fig. 1 ORTEP style drawing of the solid-state structure of **3** $[\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_3\text{CF}_3]$. The thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level.

As its methyl and chloride analogues, **3** crystallises as racemic twin in the triclinic space group $P1$. A bond length comparison of the three compounds (listed in Table 1) reveals some interesting features. The atom numbering has been adapted for the Cl and CH_3 derivatives according to Fig. 2 in order to allow an easy comparison.




Fig. 2 Drawings of the tricarbonyl ($\text{R} = \text{Cl}$ (1), CH_3 (2), CF_3 (3), left) and oxoperoxo ($\text{R} = \text{Cl}$ (4), CH_3 (5), CF_3 (6), right) complexes with the atom numbering used in Tables 1 and 4.

The Mo–C2 bond, trans-positioned to R, appears to be influenced by the nature of R, however, no clear trend is

apparent: it is elongated compared to the *cis*-carbonyls in complex **3**, whilst in complex **1**, this bond is shorter than the other two CO-bonds. Further, the carbon-oxygen bonds show varying lengths, again with some “*trans* effect”: a considerable elongation is apparent in complex **1**, and in complex **3**, it is shortened. In complex **2**, however, no similar tendency can be observed.

Table 1 Comparison of selected bond lengths, angles and force constants of the tricarbonyl complexes **1** - **3** (the atom annotation is according to Fig. 2).

Bond lengths [Å]	1 ¹⁹	2 ²⁰	3
Mo–C1	2.014(2)	1.984(4)	2.003(3)
Mo–C2	1.980(2)	1.997(4)	2.015(3)
Mo–C3	2.008(2)	1.993(4)	2.001(3)
Mo–R	2.5030(6)	2.326(3)	2.234(3)
C1–O1	1.138(3)	1.144(4)	1.145(4)
C2–O2	1.145(3)	1.139(5)	1.137(3)
C3–O3	1.136(3)	1.131(4)	1.143(3)
C6–F1	–	–	1.368(5)
C6–F2	–	–	1.367(5)
C6–F3	–	–	1.376(5)
Bond angles [°]			
C1–Mo–C2	78.15(10)	78.09(17)	76.80(11)
C2–Mo–C3	75.80(10)	78.87(15)	76.11(11)
R–Mo–C1	78.15(7)	72.39(13)	76.45(10)
R–Mo–C3	77.86(7)	72.42(17)	75.99(10)
Mo–C1–O1	176.8(2)	178.9(4)	176.9(2)
Mo–C2–O2	177.9(2)	178.9(4)	177.6(2)
Mo–C3–O3	177.9(2)	177.0(3)	178.4(2)
Mo–R force constants [N/cm]	1.28	1.53	1.88

(For further information see *Supp. Info S.1*)

The Mo–C–O bond angles show only small differences. Comparison of complex **3** to complex **2** reveals that in the latter, the angles between the carbonyls and the methyl group are smaller than the angles between the carbonyl ligands, whereas in complex **3**, all angles between the three carbonyls and the CF_3 group are nearly constant. The Mo–R bond angles show a decrease from $\text{R} = \text{Cl} > \text{CH}_3 > \text{CF}_3$.

Comparison of the vibrational spectra and force constants of $[\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_3\text{R}]$ $\text{R} = \text{Cl}$ (1), CH_3 (2) and CF_3 (3) complexes

There are several reports about the infrared spectra of $[\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_3\text{R}]$ -type complexes.^{21–24} However, to the best of our knowledge (see ESI Table S3.3), presents the first comprehensive overview on IR and Raman frequencies of these complexes, together with a complete assignment of the corresponding vibrational modes in accordance with several other reports.^{25–27} Only a simplified force constant calculation (discussing only CO stretching vibrations and force constants) of the four complexes had been published so far.²³ Whilst the fundamental frequencies of the cyclopentadienyl ligand practically do not depend on the ligand R bound to the metal centre, the CO stretching and Mo–ligand vibrations significantly change with the group R.

For a better comparison, the characteristic stretching modes of the complexes are summarised in Table 3. The averaged CO stretching frequencies ($\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_{14}$) are decreasing in the order $1983 > 1978 \gg 1945 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for complex **3**, **1** and **2**, respectively. It appears that the coordination strength of the CO groups is quite similar in complexes **1** and **3** but different for the CH_3 (**2**) derivative, where the CO groups are more strongly bound. This trend is also reflected in the averaged MoC stretching frequencies: $485 \gg 462 > 455 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for complexes **2**, **1** and **3**, respectively. The high MoC stretching frequency leads to a higher MoC stretching force constant, 3.11 Ncm^{-1} in case of complex **2**, while smaller values of 2.86 and 2.94 Ncm^{-1} are obtained for complexes **3** and **1**.

The coordination of the cyclopentadienyl ligand is slightly weaker in the CH_3 derivative; the force constant is 3.11 Ncm^{-1} , referring to the lower stretching frequency, 335 cm^{-1} (Table 2). It is interesting to note that the difference between the $K_1(\text{CO})$ and $K_2(\text{CO})$ stretching force constants are bigger for **3** and **1** (about 1.5 Ncm^{-1} , Table 3), while it is only about 0.5 Ncm^{-1} for complex **2**.

Table 2 Comparison of characteristic stretching frequencies and bond stretching force constants for complexes **1** – **3**.

Frequencies [cm^{-1}]	1	2	3	Description
$\nu_1, \text{A}^{\dagger}$	2041	2012	2052	CO sym. stretch
$\nu_{14}, \text{A}^{\ddagger}$	1963	1920	1971	CO asym. stretch
$\nu_2, \text{A}^{\dagger}$	1929	1903	1927	CO asym. stretch
$\nu_5, \text{A}^{\dagger}$	468	502	478	MoC sym. stretch
$\nu_{16}, \text{A}^{\ddagger}$	468	502	478	MoC asym. stretch
$\nu_7, \text{A}^{\dagger}$	430	452	431	MoC asym. stretch
$\nu_8, \text{A}^{\dagger}$	280	406	250	MoR stretch
$\nu_9, \text{A}^{\dagger}$	355	335	351	MoCp stretch
Force constants [N/cm]				
$K_1(\text{CO})^{\text{b}}$	14.05	13.97	14.01	
$K_2(\text{CO})$	15.30	14.40	15.38	
$F_s(\text{CO}, \text{CO})^{\text{c}}$	0.62	0.56	0.59	
$F_l(\text{C}^{\circ}\text{O}, \text{CO})^{\text{d}}$	0.31	0.28	0.29	
$K(\text{MoC})$	2.94	3.11	2.86	
$K(\text{Mo-R})$	1.28	1.53	1.88	
$K(\text{Mo-Cp})$	3.16	3.11	3.18	

a All fundamental vibrational frequencies are averaged values of experimental data listed in Table 1.

b carbonyl ligand opposite to R group;

c stretch-stretch interaction between two CO groups in „short“ distance;

d stretch-stretch interaction between two CO groups in „long“ distance.

Considering that the MoC stretching force constant value, 3.11 Ncm^{-1} , refers to the averaged bond distance of 1.991 \AA for complex **2**, and that the averaged bond distance for complex **3**, 2.006 \AA corresponds to a force constant of 2.86 Ncm^{-1} , the MoC bond length of complex **1** can be estimated to be ca. 2.001 \AA by a linear approximation of the bond force constant versus the inverse of the square of the bond length, i.e. within the error range of the averaged experimental bond distance (Table 1).

Values of the Cotton-Kraihanzel CO stretching force constants, K_1 and K_2 ²⁷ have been estimated from the CO stretching frequencies.²³ The two force constants for $[\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_3\text{CH}_3]$ are 15.49 and 16.45 Ncm^{-1} , being about 10% higher than the full calculation results. For the other two complexes the extent of force constant overestimation varies between 7 and 12%.

Therefore, it can be concluded that the Cotton-Kraihanzel method strongly overestimates the CO stretching force constants for this type of tricarbonyl complexes.

Epoxidation of cyclooctene catalysed by compounds $[\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_3\text{R}]$ R = Cl (**1**), CH_3 (**2**), CF_3 (**3**) and DFT study

There are various DFT studies of half-sandwich complexes of the type $[\text{CpMo}(\text{O})_2\text{R}]$ Calhorda et al. (R = Me) and Poli et al. (R = Cl).^{28, 29}

DFT calculations (see ESI), of the fluorinated compound **3** show that the introduction of an electron withdrawing CF_3 group enhances the Lewis acidity of the metal centre and should therefore lead to an increased activity of the complex **3** (compared to **1** and **2**) towards oxidation catalysis. The polarity difference between metal and ligand is greatest for compound **3** (for details see ESI Table S2.1). This is in accord with our previous studies.³⁰ However, the oxidation of the test substrate cyclooctene to its epoxide seems to reveal that the activity decreases from compound **1** to **3** (Fig. 3). In reality, however, it appears that not the catalytic activity of the active species decreases (*vide infra*), but the formation of the active species is much slower starting from compound **3** compared to compound **1** or **2**. A long induction period is apparent for compound **3** and a yield of only 10 % is reached after 240 min, whereas the oxidation of cyclooctene with **1** (99 % after 240 min) and **2** (93 % after 240 min) reaches (almost) completeness in the same time period. Tert-butylhydroperoxide (TBHP) is the oxidant of choice. The use of hydrogen peroxide as oxidant is not recommendable as its by-product water leads to a decomposition of the catalyst. The exact mechanism of catalyst decomposition in such complexes and the nature of the products has also been recently studied.³¹




Figure 3 Kinetics of the tricarbonyl complexes **1** $[\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_3\text{Cl}]$ (\blacksquare), **2** $[\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_3\text{CH}_3]$ (\times) and **3** $[\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_3\text{CF}_3]$ (\bullet). Catalyst:cyclooctene:tert-butylhydroperoxide (TBHP); ratio: (0.0025:1:1.2); T = 25 °C.

The observed activity of the catalytic reactions seems to be in accord with the velocity of oxidative decarbonylation. The mechanism of this reaction had been addressed by Gonçalves et al.¹⁹ Previous FT-IR spectroscopy studies showed that the decarboxylation reactivity of complex **3** is significantly lower

compared to compound **2**, where the CO bands have disappeared after a reaction time of 30 min.¹⁷

There are various explanations for the observed slow oxidative decarbonylation of compound **3**. An indication for a high stability and therefore a slow oxidative decarbonylation of compound **3** is the high melting point (153 °C²⁴). Furthermore, the decrease of the Mo–R bond lengths and increase in the force constants (Table 2) from chloride in **1** to the fluorinated methyl group in **3** (2.234 Å) indicates that the interactions of the metal with the substituent are strongest in **3** (Table 1). The short Mo–R bond in **3** leads to the assumption of a partial Mo=C double bond character with two short C–F and one elongated C–F bond (Table 1). It is known that the CF₃ group, with low lying σ^* C–F orbitals³² might be able to act as π -acceptor and therefore increase the stability of the complex, resulting in a slow oxidative decarbonylation. DFT-calculations of the HOMOs of the compounds **1-3** were therefore considered as helpful for a better understanding of the Mo – ligands interactions

Apparently, the position of the HOMOs of compounds **1-3** is able to explain these differences in reactivity. The chloride in compound **1** is known to act as σ - and strong π -donor (see orbital on chloride, Fig. 4, left), destabilizing the complex. Its methyl analogue **2** is a pure σ -donor, which cannot accept electron density from the metal (Fig. 4, middle). However the orbitals, which are able to donate electrons are smaller compared to those of **1**. Therefore, compound **2** is more stable than complex **1**. The HOMO of compound **3** looks quite different (Fig. 4, right).




Fig. 4 Comparison of the HOMOs of the compounds [CpMo(CO)₃R] with R = Cl (**1**), CH₃ (**2**) and CF₃ (**3**) (from left to right) in gas phase (B3LYP/6-31+G**(d,p) level of theory, for details see *supp. info.*).

The fluorinated ligand seems to be able to accept π -electrons, which increases the stability of the complex due to possible back-donation. This explains the pronounced initiation period for the oxidation of the complex. The electronic situation (see also *supp. info. 2.1*) confirms the stability of the perfluorinated complex **3** compared to **2** and **1** and is the reason for the previously reported slow oxidative decarbonylation.¹⁷ The calculations, which shows that in the HOMO of compound **3**, one fluorine atom is occupied and two are not, are in agreement with the crystal structure of **3** showing one elongated C–F bond.

Comparison of the crystal structures of the compounds

[CpMo(O₂)(O)R] R = Cl (**4**), CH₃ (**5**), CF₃ (**6**)

The treatment of the tricarbonyl complexes **1-3** with excess TBHP leads to a displacement of the carbonyl ligands by an

oxo and a η^2 -peroxo group of the type [CpMo(O₂)(O)R] R = Cl (**4**), CH₃ (**5**), CF₃ (**6**).

The structures of **4**³³ and **5**³⁴ are known. It was possible to isolate and crystallise their fluorinated counterpart **6** [CpMo(O₂)(O)CF₃] (Fig. 5). Bond lengths and angles of the three complexes are compared in Table 3. Catalysis experiments were previously performed with cyclooctene as substrate and TBHP as oxidant at room temperature, applying **4-6** as catalysts. The results indicated a higher activity of the fluorinated oxo-peroxo complex.¹⁷ However no convincing explanation for the different activities could be presented. Now it is possible to compare the crystal structures of compounds **4-6** and to derive hints for catalytic activity from structural tendencies.




Fig. 5 ORTEP style drawing of the solid-state structure of [CpMo(O₂)(O)CF₃] (**6**). The thermal ellipsoids are shown at a 50 % probability level.

It is noteworthy that the Mo-peroxo bonds are of comparable length for the three compounds as the confidence intervals overlap (Mo–O1 and Mo–O2, Table 3). Comparison of the oxo-peroxo bonds (O1–O2), however, shows that in the fluorinated compound **6** [CpMo(O₂)(O)CF₃] these bonds are longer than in compound **4** [CpMo(O₂)(O)Cl] and **5** [CpMo(O₂)(O)CH₃]. The confidence intervals of **4** and **5** show a slight overlap but indicate that the O1–O2 peroxo bond length is (slightly) longer for compound **4**. The O1–Mo–O2 angles are decreasing in the order CF₃ > CH₃ > Cl. The O2–Mo–O3 angle has the largest value for the fluorinated compound **6** compared to **5** and **4**. Again, within the error range the angles are identical for compound **4** and **5**, with the latter being possibly slightly smaller. In contrast to the tricarbonyl complex **3** an elongation of one C–F bond length is not apparent.

Table 3 Comparison of selected bond lengths and angles of the oxo-peroxo complexes (the atom annotation is done as shown in Figure 3).

Bond lengths [Å]	4 ³³	5 ³⁴	6
Mo–O1	1.860(22)	1.840(9)	1.922(2)
Mo–O2	1.887(13)	1.857(7)	1.933(2)
O1–O2	1.352(21)	1.271(14)	1.440(3)
Bond angles [°]			
O1–Mo–O2	42.3(7)	40.2(4)	43.88(9)
O2–Mo–O3	105.2(8)	104.9(4)	108.15(10)

(for further information see *supp. Info S.1*)

Epoxidation of cyclooctene catalysed by compounds

[CpMo(O₂)(O)R] R = Cl (**4**), CH₃ (**5**), CF₃ (**6**)

Stoichiometric addition of cyclooctene to the peroxy species [CpMo(O₂)(O)R] R = Cl, CH₃, CF₃ shows that addition of TBHP is essential as no conversion is observed in contrast to the known activity of the (isolated) Rhenium bis-peroxy species in the catalytic cycle with methyltrioxorhenium (MTO).^{4, 35}

Comparison of catalytic activities in cyclooctene epoxidation shows that the fluorinated compound **6** is more active than compounds **4** and **5** (Fig. 6). This can be explained with the structural differences of the three compounds as longer peroxy bonds (O1–O2, Table 4) enable an easier breaking of the bonds and larger O1–Mo–O2 angles (Table 3) facilitates the activation of the catalyst according to theoretical studies of Thiel et al. where TBHP coordinates to the molybdenum centre (Scheme 2).^{36, 37}




Fig. 6 Kinetics of the oxo-peroxy complexes **4** [CpMo(O₂)(O)Cl] (■), **5** [CpMo(O₂)(O)CH₃] (X) and **6** [CpMo(O₂)(O)CF₃] (●). Catalyst:cyclooctene:TBHP; ratio: (0.0025:1:1.2); T = 25 °C.

This is in accord with previous theoretical calculations concerning the mechanism of the olefin epoxidation with [CpMo(CO)₃CH₃]²⁹ and [CpMo(CO)₃CF₃]¹⁰ with the intermediates [CpMo(O₂)(O)CF₃] and [CpMo(O₂)CF₃]. The activity of the fluorinated oxo-peroxy complex after 30 min is comparable to the activity of MTO with hydrogen peroxide as oxidant for the epoxidation of cyclooctene at 25 °C.³⁸


The lower activity of the oxo-peroxy compounds [CpMo(O₂)(O)R] R = Cl (**1**), CH₃ (**2**) in comparison to the tricarbonyl derivatives [CpMo(CO)₃R] R = Cl (**4**), CH₃ (**5**) suggests that not only the oxo-peroxy species in combination with TBHP is an active species, but also the dioxo species [CpMo(O₂)R] (R = Cl, CH₃) which forms with excess of TBHP from the tricarbonyl precursor, as has been reported before.³⁴

Conclusion

An experimental study, supported by DFT calculations helps to explain the catalytic activities of CpMoR-complexes in cyclooctene epoxidation reactions. The determination of the

force fields, obtained by NCA from experimental spectra allows a comprehensive overview of the IR and Raman frequencies of the tricarbonyl precursors. It permits a discussion of the frequency assignments and regularities in molecular parameters (bond lengths, force constants) as a function of the ligand R involved.

The obtained crystal structure of the tricarbonyl precursor [CpMo(CO)₃CF₃] (**3**) allows a comparison with related literature known crystal structures of the compounds [CpMo(CO)₃R] R = Cl (**1**) and CH₃ (**2**).



Scheme 2 Mechanism of olefin epoxidation by Mo catalyst with TBHP as oxidation agent proposed by Thiel et al.^{36, 37}

Combined with DFT calculations, it shows that introduction of a Lewis acidic substituent R on the tricarbonyl does not enhance the epoxidation activity in a “one-pot-reaction” (where the active catalyst species and epoxide are supposed to form subsequently, based on the assumption that catalyst formation is fast in comparison to the catalytic epoxidation) as its bonding properties might lead to a quite stable tricarbonyl precursor and slow down the oxidative decarbonylation, which needs to take place before the active species are formed.

It was also possible to crystallise the oxo-peroxy complex [CpMo(O₂)(O)CF₃] (**6**) allowing a structural comparison with the literature known compounds [CpMo(O₂)(O)R] R = Cl (**4**) and CH₃ (**5**). The structures help to understand the seemingly changed activities, when applying oxo-peroxy species instead of tricarbonyl compounds, from which they are derived. The catalytic activity of the oxo-peroxy species decreases with R = CF₃ > Cl > CH₃, since bent angles and elongated O–O and Mo-peroxy bonds facilitate the breaking of one of the two peroxy bonds. In addition more space for the oxidation agent to coordinate to the metal centre is provided which goes along with the proposed mechanism of Thiel et al. for the epoxidation of olefins with TBHP.

Accordingly the convenient approach to use a [CpMo(CO)₃R] compound as epoxidation catalyst precursor in a “one pot

reaction” is not always a good strategy. In some cases it is advisable to apply an already oxidised species in order to obtain a faster catalytic reaction.

Experimental section

General methods

The tricarbonyl complexes $[\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_3\text{R}]$ ($\text{R} = \text{Cl}^{19}$, $\text{CH}_3/\text{CD}_3^{20}$, CF_3^{24}) and the oxo-peroxo complexes $[\text{CpMo}(\text{O}_2)(\text{O})\text{R}]$ ($\text{R} = \text{Cl}^{33}$, CH_3^{34} , $\text{CF}_3^{17, 39, 40}$) were synthesised according to literature procedures.

Mid-IR ($350\text{--}4000\text{ cm}^{-1}$, 32 scans, resolution 4 cm^{-1}) absorption spectra were recorded in an N_2 purged atmosphere using dynamically aligned Varian Scimitar-2000, and Varian IR-670 spectrometers. Far-IR spectra ($700\text{--}40\text{ cm}^{-1}$, 128 scans, resolution 4 cm^{-1}) were recorded with a dedicated Bio-Rad FTS-40 spectrometer equipped with wire-mesh beam splitter, polyethylene-windowed deuterated triglycine sulfate (DTGS) detector, and high-pressure mercury lamp as source.

IR spectra were recorded with a Golden Gate or GladiATR micro attenuated total reflectance (ATR) accessory equipped with a diamond ATR element. Some of the far-IR spectra were obtained also with a GladiATR attachment or in transmittance mode in polyethylene pellet. Raman spectra ($50\text{--}4000\text{ cm}^{-1}$, 256 scans, resolution 4 cm^{-1}) were excited with a Spectra-Physics Nd-YAG-laser (1,024 nm) and recorded by means of a dedicated Bio-Rad FT-Raman spectrometer equipped with a liquid N_2 -cooled Ge detector. The laser power at sample position was about 100-200 mW.

Single crystals of $[\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_3\text{CF}_3]$ suitable for XRD-analysis were obtained by slow sublimation in vacuum (10^{-3} mbar) at $60\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$; single crystals of $[\text{CpMo}(\text{O}_2)(\text{O})\text{CF}_3]$ were grown from a diethyl ether solution with slow hexane diffusion at $-20\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$.

Single-crystal X-ray structure determinations

CCDC 1030682 (3) and CCDC 1030683 (6) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif. For more detailed crystallographic information see Supporting Information.

Catalysis Studies

In all catalytic reactions, TBHP (5.5M in decane, over 4°Å molecular sieves) was used as oxidant and cyclooctene as substrate, unless stated otherwise. The reactions were done at room temperature and initiated by addition of the oxidant. The kinetic data was collected by using ^1H NMR and GC.

(a) The reactions monitored by ^1H NMR were carried out in C_6D_6 in a total volume of 0.4-0.8 mL.

(b) The quantitative GC analysis was performed by taking samples of the reaction mixture at specific time intervals and treating them with MnO_2 to quench excess peroxide. After filtration, the samples were diluted with an isopropanol solution containing the standards p-xylene and indane (4 mg/L). The

conversion of cyclooctene and the formation of cyclooctene epoxide were calculated from calibration curves ($r^2 = 0.999$) recorded prior to the reaction course.

Force Field Study

Normal coordinate calculations by means of Wilson's GF matrix method were performed to obtain force constants by optimizing the vibrational frequencies using a symmetrised valence force field. Normal coordinate calculation of the title complexes is not trivial and, therefore, is not carried out routinely.²⁶ In order to carry out a “complete” normal coordinate analysis the Cp ring, CH_3 , CD_3 and CF_3 groups were introduced as point masses, using “spectroscopic masses” as 77.1,²⁶ 16.5, 19.5 and 79⁴¹ atomic mass units for Cp, CH_3 , CD_3 and CF_3 , respectively. The complexes belong to the Cs point group and the irreducible representation for the simplified structure is $13\text{ A}' + 8\text{ A}''$. If we consider the compounds as having planar symmetry (Cs), then all the 21 fundamentals should appear both in the IR and Raman spectra as well. This is in good agreement with our experimental observations (Table 3). Geometrical parameters were taken from Table S3.1 (supporting information) but we used a uniform CO bond length of 1.14 Å. The starting force fields were adopted from literature: Ref. ²³ for CO stretching and ref. ²⁶ for other stretching and skeletal coordinates. The calculated results were refined to the experimental frequencies of the complexes. Tables 3, S3.1 and S3.2 outline the results (calculated fundamental frequencies, potential energy distributions, complete and selected force constants).

Due to the strong solid-state effect obtained in both in IR and Raman spectra the fundamental frequencies were obtained as averaged frequencies of multiple (generally 2-3 well defined bands or shoulders) features of a certain mode. These fundamental frequencies are listed in Tables 3 and S3.1 in the supporting information.

The calculated frequencies are found to be in very good agreement with the experimental observations (Table S3.1). The small isotope shifts of the CD_3 group are nicely reproduced by the calculation. The Potential Energy Distribution (PED) indicates that the CO stretchings are rather localised modes with small (about 10 %) contribution of MoC stretchings. In contrast, the MoC stretching modes (ν_5 , ν_7 and ν_{16}) are more complex vibrations, exhibiting strong interactions with the MoCO linear bending coordinates.

The PC-based program package developed by Mink and Mink was used for the calculations.⁴²

Computational details

All calculations have been performed with *Gaussian03*.⁴³ The level of theory contains the hybrid DFT functional B3LYP^{44, 45} and the double zeta 6-31+G**⁴⁶ basis set for all atoms excluding Mo and the Stuttgart 1997 ECP for molybdenum.⁴⁷ All obtained geometries have been identified via the numbers of negative frequencies as minima ($\text{NImag} = 0$). Free energy differences have been calculated for the gasphase in 298.15 K and 1.0 atm.

Acknowledgements

S.A.H. and R.M.R. thank the TUM Graduate School for financial support.

Notes and references

^a Molecular Catalysis, Department of Chemistry and Catalysis Research Center, Technische Universität München, Lichtenbergstraße 4, D-85747 Garching bei München, Germany. Tel: +49 89 289 13096; Fax: + 49 89 289 13473. Corresponding author: E-mail: fritz.kuehn@ch.tum.de

^b Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Chemical Research Center, Pusztaszeri u. 59-67, 1025 Budapest, Hungary

^c Faculty of Information Technology, University of Pannonia, Egyetem u. 10 8200 Veszprém, Hungary

^d Catalysis Research Center, Technische Universität München, Ernst-Otto-Fischer-Straße 1, D-85747 Garching bei München, Germany

^e Chair of Inorganic Chemistry, Department of Chemistry and Catalysis Research Center, Technische Universität München, Lichtenbergstraße 4, D-85747 Garching bei München, Germany

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

‡ These authors contributed equally to this work.

- J. T. Jankowiak and M. A. Barteau, *J Catal*, 2005, **236**, 366-378.
- Y. C. Kim, N. C. Park, J. S. Shin, S. R. Lee, Y. J. Lee and D. J. Moon, *Catal Today*, 2003, **87**, 153-162.
- F. E. Kühn, A. M. Santos and M. Abrantes, *Chem Rev*, 2006, **106**, 2455-2475.
- C. C. Romão, F. E. Kühn and W. A. Herrmann, *Chem Rev*, 1997, **97**, 3197-3246.
- M. Lein, A. Hammerl, H. L. Hermann and P. Schwerdtfeger, *Polyhedron*, 2007, **26**, 486-492.
- S. Köstlmeier, O. D. Häberlen, N. Rösch, W. A. Herrmann, B. Solouki and H. Bock, *Organometallics*, 1996, **15**, 1872-1878.
- C. Mealli, J. A. Lopez, M. J. Calhorda, C. C. Romao and W. A. Herrmann, *Inorg Chem*, 1994, **33**, 1139-1143.
- R. Wiest, T. Leininger, G. H. Jeung and M. Benard, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry*, 1992, **96**, 10800-10804.
- N. Grover and F. E. Kühn, *Current Organic Chemistry*, 2012, **16**, 16-32.
- S. A. Hauser, M. Cokoja and F. E. Kühn, *Catalysis Science & Technology*, 2013, **3**, 552-561.
- P. Altmann, M. Cokoja and F. E. Kühn, *Eur J Inorg Chem*, 2012, **2012**, 3235-3239.
- A. Raith, P. Altmann, M. Cokoja, W. A. Herrmann and F. E. Kühn, *Coordin Chem Rev*, 2010, **254**, 608-634.
- S. Huber, M. Cokoja and F. E. Kühn, *J Organomet Chem*, 2014, **751**, 25-32.
- P. Chaumette, H. Mimoun, L. Saussine, J. Fischer and A. Mitschler, *J Organomet Chem*, 1983, **250**, 291-310.
- H. Mimoun, I. Sere de Roch and L. Sajus, *Tetrahedron*, 1970, **26**, 37-50.
- K. B. Sharpless, J. M. Townsend and D. R. Williams, *J Am Chem Soc*, 1972, **94**, 295-296.
- S. A. Hauser, M. Cokoja, M. Drees and F. E. Kühn, *Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical*, 2012, **363-364**, 237-244.
- I. E. Markovits, M. H. Anthofer, H. Kolding, M. Cokoja, A. Pöthig, A. Raba, W. A. Herrmann, R. Fehrmann and F. E. Kühn, *Catalysis Science & Technology*, 2014.
- A. Valente, J. Seixas, I. Gonçalves, M. Abrantes, M. Pillinger and C. Romão, *Catal Lett*, 2005, **101**, 127-130.
- R. B. King and M. B. Bisnette, *J Organomet Chem*, 1967, **8**, 287-297.
- D. J. Parker and M. H. B. Stiddard, *Journal of the Chemical Society A: Inorganic, Physical, Theoretical*, 1970, 480-490.
- D. J. Parker, *Journal of the Chemical Society A: Inorganic, Physical, Theoretical*, 1970, 1382-1386.
- R. B. King and L. W. Houk, *Canadian Journal of Chemistry*, 1969, **47**, 2959-2964.
- R. B. King and M. B. Bisnette, *J Organomet Chem*, 1964, **2**, 15-37.
- M. Abrantes, A. M. Santos, J. Mink, F. E. Kühn and C. C. Romão, *Organometallics*, 2003, **22**, 2112-2118.
- É. Benceze, J. Mink, C. Németh, W. A. Herrmann, B. V. Lokshin and F. E. Kühn, *J Organomet Chem*, 2002, **642**, 246-258.
- F. A. Cotton and C. S. Kraihanzel, *J Am Chem Soc*, 1962, **84**, 4432-4438.
- A. Comas-Vives, A. Lledós and R. Poli, *Chemistry – A European Journal*, 2010, **16**, 2147-2158.
- P. J. Costa, M. J. Calhorda and F. E. Kühn, *Organometallics*, 2009, **29**, 303-311.
- M. Drees, S. A. Hauser, M. Cokoja and F. E. Kühn, *J Organomet Chem*, 2013, **748**, 36-45.
- N. Grover, A. Pöthig and F. E. Kühn, *Catalysis Science & Technology*, 2014, **4**, 4219-4231.
- C. Hall and R. N. Perutz, *Chem Rev*, 1996, **96**, 3125-3146.
- M. V. Galakhov, P. Gómez-Sal, T. Pedraz, M. A. Pellinghelli, P. Royo, A. Tiripicchio and A. Vázquez de Miguel, *J Organomet Chem*, 1999, **579**, 190-197.
- A. M. Al-Ajlouni, D. Veljanovski, A. Capapé, J. Zhao, E. Herdtweck, M. J. Calhorda and F. E. Kühn, *Organometallics*, 2008, **28**, 639-645.
- P. Huston, J. H. Espenson and A. Bakac, *Inorg Chem*, 1993, **32**, 4517-4523.
- P. Gisdakis, I. V. Yudanov and N. Rösch, *Inorg Chem*, 2001, **40**, 3755-3765.
- W. R. Thiel and T. Priemeier, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition in English*, 1995, **34**, 1737-1738.
- P. Altmann and F. E. Kühn, *J Organomet Chem*, 2009, **694**, 4032-4035.
- H. Huang, R. P. Hughes and A. L. Rheingold, *Organometallics*, 2010, **29**, 1948-1955.
- J. D. Koola and D. M. Roddick, *Organometallics*, 1991, **10**, 591-597.
- L. Hajba, J. Mink, F. E. Kühn and I. S. Gonçalves, *Inorg Chim Acta*, 2006, **359**, 4741-4756.
- L. M. J. Mink, Available from J. Mink, e-mail: jmink@chemres.hu, 2004.
- M. J. Frisch, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, J. A. Montgomery Jr, T. Vreven, K. N. Kudin, J. C. Burant, J. M. Millam, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, M. Cossi, G. Scalmani, N. Rega, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, M. Klene, X. Li, J. E. Knox, H. P. Hratchian, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, P. Y. Ayala, K. Morokuma, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, V. G. Zakrzewski, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, M. C. Strain, O. Farkas, D. K. Malick, A. D. Rabuck, K. Raghavachari, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, Q. Cui, A. G. Baboul, S. Clifford, J. Cioslowski, B. B. Stefanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, T. Keith, M. A. Al-Laham, C. Y. Peng, A. Nanayakkara, M. Challacombe, P. M. W. Gill, B. Johnson, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, C. Gonzalez, J. A. Pople, Gaussian03, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, , 2004.
- A. D. Becke, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1993, **98**, 5648-5652.
- Y. W. C. Lee, R. G. Parr, *hys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter* 1988, **37**, 785-789.
- R. D. J. Hehre, J. A. Pople, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1972, **56**, 2257-2261.
- A. Bergner, M. Dolg, W. Küchle, H. Stoll and H. Preuß, *Molecular Physics*, 1993, **80**, 1431-1441.