Catalysis Science & **Technology**

Accepted Manuscript

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](http://www.rsc.org/Publishing/Journals/guidelines/AuthorGuidelines/JournalPolicy/accepted_manuscripts.asp).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](http://www.rsc.org/help/termsconditions.asp) and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

www.rsc.org/catalysis

Journal Name RSCPublishing

ARTICLE

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received 00th January 2012, Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Comparative Study of new Chromium-based Catalysts for the Selective Tri- and Tetramerization of Ethylene

Stefan Härzschel,^a Fritz E. Kühn,^a Anina Wöhl,^b Wolfgang Müller,*^b Mohammed H. Al-Hazmi,^c Abdullah M. Alqahtani,^c Bernd H. Müller,^d Normen Peulecke^d and Uwe Rosenthal^{d*}

Two new ligands, $Ph_2PN(iPr)P(Ph)N(c-Hex)(CH_3)$ (2) (Ph = phenyl, *i*Pr = isopropyl, *c*-Hex = cyclohexyl) and $Ph_2PN(c-Hex)P(Ph)NEt(CH_3)$ (Et = ethyl) (3) were synthesized. 2 was characterized by X-ray analysis. To compare their applicability with the previously known Ph2PN(*i*Pr)P(Ph)N(*i*Pr)H (**1**) these ligands were investigated together with chromium(III)acetylacetonate, different co-catalysts and solvents for the catalytic oligomerization of ethylene. The in situ prepared catalysts proved to be highly active, yielding highly pure 1-octene as the main product and 1-hexene as the main byproduct. It was possible to reach productivities of several hundred kg product per gram chromium and hour, up to almost 65 wt % 1-octene with about 99 % purity and overall 1-hexene plus 1-octene yields of more than 85 wt %.

Introduction

Due to their high reactivity, Linear Alpha Olefins (LAOs) are important intermediates for a variety of industrially relevant chemical reactions. Their utilization is strongly dependent on the chain length, which also entails different physical properties. The quantitatively most important usage of LAOs is as co-monomers in the production of high density (HDPE) and linear low density polyethylene (LLDPE) (C_4-C_8) . Other significant applications are in the synthesis of plasticizer alcohols, lubricants and poly-alpha-olefins $(C_6$ -C₁₂), detergent alcohols $(C_{10} - C_{16})$ or oil field chemicals and wax replacements $(C_{16}$ -C₃₀₊).^{1a-d}

a Technische Universität München, Department Chemie,

Lichtenbergstr. 4, 85747 Garching, Germany

b Linde AG, Linde Engineering Division,

Dr.-Carl-von-Linde-Str. 6-14, 82049 Pullach, Germany

c Saudi Basic Industries Corporation,

P.O. Box 42503, Riyadh 11551, Saudi Arabia

d Leibniz-Institut für Katalyse an der Universität Rostock e.V.,

Albert-Einstein-Str. 29 A, 18059 Rostock, Germany

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: A detailed description of the experimental setup, the catalyst preparation, additional experimental results and crystallographic details for **2** are given in the supporting information. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

LAOs can be produced by cracking of Fischer-Tropsch products.1e-f Currently the main suppliers of LAOs rely on fullrange ethylene oligomerization technologies. These technologies are based on homogeneous catalysts, which are all producing even numbered olefins ranging from four to more than 30 carbon atoms (C_{30+}) . The composition of the product mixtures follows mathematical distributions (e.g. Schulz-Flory or Poisson), reflected by the statistically determined chain growth/β-hydride-elimination mechanism. Though the product distribution of these processes can be tuned to a minor degree by changing process parameters, the range of flexibility remains strongly limited. Thus, demand dependent chain-length adaptation is a great challenge.^{1b-d} The co-monomer grade short-chain LAOs (C_4-C_8) for HDPE and LLDPE production represent the quantitatively biggest part of the overall LAO demand along with the highest predicted growth rates. Taking this into consideration, it is not surprising that the selective oligomerization of ethylene aroused much academic and commercial interest in the last decades.^{2a-f} So far, only a few selective oligomerization processes are commercialized: Axens AlphabutolTM titanium based process for 1-butene is successfully applied since the first unit went on-stream in 1986 in Thailand. In comparison, the first commercial 1-hexene process developed by Chevron Phillips based on chromium in conjunction with 2,5-dimethylpyrrole is relatively young, as the first plant in Qatar went operational in 2003. In the case of 1 octene, the commercialization of SASOL´s selective ethylene tri- and tetramerization system based on chromium and a diphosphinoamine ligand was realized in Lake Charles in 2013 .^{1a-c, 2c,e} Most of the catalytic systems for selective ethylene oligomerization, including Phillip´s trimerization and Sasol´s tetramerization systems, use chromium compounds in

Catalysis Science & Technology Accepted ManuscriptCatalysis Science & Technology Accepted Manuscrip

connection with an organic ligand and an organoaluminium cocatalyst. There are also very efficient vanadium and iron containing catalysts for the oligomerization of ethylene.^{2g} Their selectivity is based on a metallacycle-based mechanisms which were originally reported by Manyik et al. 3 and described in more detail by Briggs.⁴ By now the involvement of metallacycles in the mechanism has been supported by experiments with deuterium labelled ethylene^{5a-c} as well as quantum mechanical calculations^{6a-f} and is widely accepted.

Ligand Ph2PN(*i*Pr)P(Ph)N(*i*Pr)H (**1**) was described as a part of selective ethylene oligomerization catalysts, which result either in selective trimerization of ethylene^{7a-k} or in an oligomer distribution consisting of 1-hexene and 1-octene.^{7a,8} It was pointed out that the N-H group of **1** is essential for trimerization activity since compounds of the structure $[PNPNR_2]$ are totally inactive in catalysis when applied together with triethylaluminium as co-catalyst.^{7b} Metalated products^{7b,g} of **1** and an interesting rearrangement reaction^{7b} in the presence of triethylaluminium were described. Furthermore, a heterobimetallic Cr/Al complex was presented containing the deprotonated ligand.^{7h} Recently Wasserscheid, McGuinness and co-workers reported in conjunction with **1** a study on a series of PNP derivatives bearing either a protic nitrogen connected to the PNP nitrogen backbone, which could react with alkylaluminium activators, or bearing donor functionalities, capable of forming a Lewis pair with alkylaluminium compounds.⁸ In this paper a comparison of the catalytic behavior of **1** with two more examples of ligands with PNPN backbone without an N-H functionality is reported (Chart 1).

Chart 1. PNPN Ligands with (**1**) and without (**2**, **3**) N-H-group.

Results and Discussion

Solvent studies of the trimerization system

Only a catalyst system consisting of the ligand Ph2PN(*i*Pr)P(Ph)N(*i*Pr)H (**1**), chromium(III)acetylacetonate and dodecyltrimethylammonium chloride (DoTriMAC) with triethylaluminium (TEAL) as co-catalyst and toluene as solvent shows significant activities. Referring to experiments of other groups^{9,10a-c} halogenated benzene derivatives were regarded as

promising alternative solvents. In addition, the chlorinated aliphatic solvents 1-chlorohexane and chlorocyclohexane were tested. The main results of these experiments are summarized in Table 1. As can be seen from this table, a suitable productivity is only reached for the experiments 1-2, using toluene and fluorobenzene. In these cases only a slight difference in productivity, selectivity and 1-olefin purity was observed, thus yielding mainly 1-hexene (>85 wt %). The main byproducts, not included in the table, are branched C10 olefins. An incomplete DoTriMAC solvation upon TEAL addition was observed for the nearly inactive experiments 5 and 6 using 1 chlorohexane and chlorocyclohexane, but the preceding solvation of $Cr(acac)$ ₃ already took about 1 h in these solvents. Consequently, the poor solubility of the active chromium species might also be a reason for the inactivity of these systems. In the case of bromobenzene (experiment 3), $Cr (acac)_3$ is entirely dissolved only after addition of TEAL, instantaneously yielding a yellow solution as observed in experiments 1 and 2. In these cases sudden changes of color to green after some seconds are observed. Regarding the ethylene uptake of the productive experiments 1 and 2 in Fig. 1, it is also evident that the experiments proceed in a very similar fashion. After a short period required for pressurization – caused by saturation of the solvent with ethylene and filling the remaining reactor headspace – a practically constant ethylene uptake was observed in both experiments over the entire reaction time, thus indicating a constant reaction rate with no significant catalyst deactivation.

Fig. 1 1/Cr(acac)₃/DoTriMAC/TEAL/solvent system at 50°C, 30 bar.

Table 1. Solvent dependency of the $1/Cr(acac)$ ₃/DoTriMAC/TEAL oligomerization system.

Studies using methylaluminoxanes as co-catalysts

From recent publications^{7a,9} it is known that the application of aluminoxanes with $Cr(acac)_3$ and $Ph_2PN(iPr)P(Ph)N(iPr)H(1)$ yields 1-octene as the most abundant oligomeric product. Therefore, the previously discussed system was modified through replacing TEAL/DoTriMAC with the modified methylaluminoxane MMAO-3A. Since aluminoxane activators are usually applied in higher $excess^{11a,b}$ than TEAL, the number of equivalents was initially set to a rather high value of about 700. Lowering this value to 500 or 300 did not appear to have a significant effect on the reactions. Therefore, the number of aluminoxane equivalents was kept at 300. A solvent study is summarized in Table 2.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of compounds with a PNPN motif.

It is evident that all systems produced a considerable amount of 1-octene. In general, the 1-octene content of the C_8 fraction was very high (97-99%). It always significantly exceeded the corresponding 1-hexene purity, which nevertheless remained at a high level (78-93%). The two main byproducts within the C_6 fraction, appearing in a 1:1 ratio were identified as methylcyclopentane and methylenecyclopentane (see SI for the GCs of two typical runs of the product distribution of all runs). The mechanism of the formation of these cyclic byproducts is interesting, especially as methylenecyclopentane is not a stoichiometric oligomer of ethylene. For the SASOL PNP selective oligomerization system it was assumed that starting from the metallacycloheptane a rearrangement competing with 1-hexene liberation leads to a chromium cyclopentylmethyl hydride species.¹²

The applied solvents apparently influence the activity tremendously. The results using toluene were unexpected and differ clearly. A quite low activity is connected with the highest percentage of C_8 fraction. Concerning the SASOL oligomerization system the formation of a cationic $[Cr(I)(toluene)₂]$ ⁺ sandwich complex under reaction conditions was discussed as deactivation route.^{13a-c} However, the biggest part of the ethylene consumption for most reactions took place during the first 10-15 minutes. After this time the ethylene uptake is considerably reduced, indicating a rapid catalyst deactivation. Only in o-dichlorobenzene the ethylene uptake is almost constant for one hour resulting in the highest productivity. Since the deactivation is supposed to be related to the degradation of the active species – in which the ligand is likely to be the most delicate element – new ligands with a PNPN motive without a NH-group were investigated.

The synthesis of these compounds is carried out stepwise allowing a wide range of substitution patterns (Scheme 1).

Synthesis details are given in the supporting information. The structure of the ligand 2 was determined by X-ray crystallography. The asymmetric unit contains two molecules with only one of them depicted (Fig. 2).

Fig. 2. Molecular structure of Ph2PN(*i*Pr)P(Ph)N(*c*-Hex)(CH3) (**2**) with thermal ellipsoids set at 30% probability. All hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. Important bond lengths [Å] and angles [deg]: P1-N1 1.679(2), P1-N2 1.719(2), P2-N2 1.716(2), N1-P1-N2 109.43(8), P1-N2- P2 123.16(9).

The ligands 2 and 3 were investigated using $Cr(acac)_3$ together with MMAO-3A in two different solvents (Table 3). The product distribution is similar to the experiments with ligand **1**, but polymer formation is comparatively low. Generally, a high C6/C8-ratio entails a high C10/C12-ratio and vice versa, since C10 and C12 olefins are known to be formed by co-

oligomerization (see SI for a more detailed description of the product distribution of all runs). In chlorobenzene the productivities are much higher than in toluene. The systems show almost stable reaction rates within one hour.

Conclusions

This study comprises a screening of homogenous ethylene oligomerization systems using Cr(acac)₃ together with one of three aminophosphine ligands (**1**-**3**) and an aluminium alkyl activator (TEAL or MMAO) in different solvents. Only **1** shows high trimerization rates when TEAL is used as cocatalyst. This highly selective trimerization system can be switched to a selective tri- and tetramerization catalyst by using a modified methylaluminoxane. The aluminoxane activated systems containing **1** suffer from deactivation and high polymer formation, whereas the ligands **2** and **3** yield systems with almost stable reaction rates, high productivity values in the range of several hundred kg product per gram chromium and hour and considerably less polymer.

However, the strong influence of the solvent indicates that the solvent might be a part of the active species. Based on the observations described here and our previous work, π the following considerations with respect to the active species, formed in the presence of **1** appear likely (Schema 2).

Scheme 2. Assumed active species for the trimerization (A) and tri-and tetramerization (B) of ethylene formed from **1** under different conditions.

The N-H group of **1** is deprotonated and metallated by the aluminium alkyl activators. $Cr(acac)_3$ is reduced to lower oxidation states and coordinates to the phosphor atoms. Metallacyclopentanes are formed by oxidative coupling of two ethylene molecules. Whereas in the presence of a chloride source a neutral species with a chromium-chloride-aluminium motif is formed (A), the presence of the methylaluminoxane results in the formation of cationic species (B) maybe stabilized by the coordination of a chlorinated solvent.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Linde Engineering and SABIC for the permission to publish this work. Furthermore, we wish to thank Linde Engineering's R&D department for their valuable contributions as well as the analytical service and the technical staff at LIKAT. Thanks to Katharina Volpert for creating the Table of Contents.

Notes and references

- (1) (a) G. R. Lappin, J. D. Sauer in *Alpha Olefins Applications Handbook*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York and Basel, **1989**. (b) PolyOlefins Planning Service – Supplement III, *Alpha Olefins*, Nexant Chem Systems, 2007. (c) Process Evaluation/Research Planning, *Alpha Olefins*, Nexant Chemical Systems, 2008. (d) E. O. Camara Greiner, Y. Inoguchi, Marketing Research Report, *Linear Alpha-Olefins*, Chemical Economics Handbook-SRI Consulting, 2010. (e) K. Weissermel and H.-J. Arpe, *Industrial Organic Chemistry*, Wiley-VCH, 3rd edn, 1997, ch. 3, 75–83. (f) Process Evaluation/Research Planning, *Alpha Olefins*, Nexant Chemical Systems, 2004.
- (2) (a) P. W. N. M. van Leeuwen, N. D. Clément, M. J. L. Tschan, *Coordination Chemistry Reviews* 2011, **255**, 1499-1517. (b) J. T. Dixon, M. J. Green, F. M. Hess, D. H. Morgan, *Journal of Organometallic Chemistry* 2004, **689**, 3641-3668. (c) A. Forestière, H. Olivier-Bourbigou, L. Saussine, *Oil & Gas Science and Technology – Rev. IFP* 2009, **64**, 649-667. (d) T. Agapie, *Coordination Chemistry Reviews* 2011, **255**, 861-880. (e) D. S. McGuinness, *Chem. Rev.* 2011, **111**, 2321-2341. (f) G. P. Belov, *Pet. Chem.* 2012, **52**, 139-154. (g) R. Schmidt, M. B. Welch, R. D. Knudsen, S. Gottfried, H. G. Alt, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* 2004, **222**, 17-25.
- (3) R. M. Manyik, W. E. Walker, T. P. Wilson, *J. Catal.* 1977, **47**, 197- 209.
- (4) J. R. Briggs, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* 1989, 674-675.
- (5) (a) T. Agapie, S. J. Schofer, J. A. Labinger, J. E. Bercaw, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004, **126**, 1304-1305. (b) M. J. Overett, K. Blann, A. Bollmann, J. T. Dixon, D. Haasbroek, E. Killian, H. Maumeta, D. S. McGiunness, D. H. Morgan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005, **127**, 10723- 10730. (c) T. Agapie, J. A. Labinger, J. E. Bercaw, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007, **129**, 14281-14295.
- (6) (a) A. N. J. Blok, P. H. M. Budzelaar, A. W. Gal, *Organometallics* 2003, **22**, 2564-2570. (b) Z.-X. Yu, K. N. Houk, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2003, **42**, 808-811. (c) W. Janse van Rensburg, C. Grové, J. P. Steynberg, K. B. Stark, J. J. Huyser, P. J. Steynberg, *Organometallics* 2004, **23**, 1207-1222. (d) B. Blom, G. Klatt, J. C. Q. Fletcher, J. R. Moss, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 2007, **360**, 2890-2896. (e) C. Klemps, E. Payet, L. Magna, L. Saussine, X. F. Le Goff, P. Le Floch, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2009, **15**, 8259-8268. (f) Y. Qi, Q. Dong, L. Zhong, Z. Liu, P. Qiu, R. Cheng, X. He, J. Vanderbilt, B. Liu, *Organometallics* 2010, **29**, 1588-1602.
- (7) (a) P. M. Fritz, H. Bölt, A. Wöhl, W. Müller, F. Winkler, A. Wellenhofer, U. Rosenthal, M. Hapke, B. H. Müller, N. Peulecke, H. Al-Hazmi, V. O. Aliyev, F. M. Mosa WO 09/006979 2009. (b) S. Peitz, N. Peulecke, B. R. Aluri, S. Hansen, B. H. Müller, A. Spannenberg, U. Rosenthal, M. H. Al-Hazmi, F. M. Mosa, A. Wöhl, W. Müller, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2010, 1167-1171. (c) A. Wöhl, W. Müller, S. Peitz, N. Peulecke, B. R. Aluri, B. H. Müller, D. Heller, U. Rosenthal, M. H. Al-Hazmi, F. M. Mosa, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2010, **16**, 7833-7842. (d) B. R. Aluri, N. Peulecke, S. Peitz, A. Spannenberg, B. H. Müller, S. Schulz, H.-J. Drexler, D. Heller, M. H. Al-Hazmi, F. M. Mosa, A. Wöhl, W. Müller, U. Rosenthal, *Dalton Trans.* 2010, **39**, 7911-7920. (e) W. Müller, A. Wöhl, S. Peitz, N. Peulecke, B. R. Aluri, B. H. Müller, D. Heller, U. Rosenthal, M. H. Al-Hazmi, F. M. Mosa, *ChemCatChem* 2010, **2**, 1130-1142. (f) S. Peitz, N. Peulecke, B. R. Aluri, B. H. Müller, A. Spannenberg, U. Rosenthal, M. H. Al-Hazmi, F. M. Mosa, A. Wöhl, W. Müller, *Chem.Eur.J.* 2010, **16**, 12127-12132. (g) S. Peitz, N. Peulecke, B. R. Aluri, B. H. Müller, A. Spannenberg, U. Rosenthal, M. H. Al-Hazmi, F. M. Mosa, A. Wöhl, W. Müller, *Organometallics* 2010, **29**, 5263-5268. (h) S. Peitz, N. Peulecke, B. H. Müller, A. Spannenberg, H.-J. Drexler, U. Rosenthal, M. H. Al-Hazmi, K. E. Al-Eidan, A. Wöhl, W. Müller, *Organometallics* 2011, **30**, 2364-2370. (i) B. H. Müller, N. Peulecke, S. Peitz, B. R. Aluri, U. Rosenthal, M. H. Al-Hazmi, F. M. Mosa, A. Wöhl, W. Müller, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2011, **17**, 6935-6938. (j) S. Heinig, A. Wöhl, W. Müller, M. H. Al-Hazmi, B. H. Müller, N. Peulecke, U. Rosenthal, *ChemCatChem* 2013, **5**, 3107-3113. (k) S. Heinig, A. Wöhl, W. Müller, M. H. Al-Hazmi, B. H. Müller, N. Peulecke, U. Rosenthal, *ChemCatChem* 2014, **6**, 514-521.
- (8) James A Suttil, P. Wasserscheid, D. S. McGuinness, M. G. Gardiner, S. J. Evans, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 2014, **4**, 2574-2588.
- (9) A. Dulai, C. L. McMullin, K. Tenza, D. F. Wass *Organometallics* 2011, **30**, 935-941.
- (10) (a) P. R. Elowe, C. McCann, P. G. Pringle, S. K. Spitzmesser, J. E. Bercaw, *Organometallics* 2006, **25**, 5255-5260. (b) L. H. Do, J. A. Labinger, J. E. Bercaw, *ACS Catal.* 2013, **3**, 2582-2585. (c) J. Rabeah, M. Bauer, W. Baumann, A. E. C. McConnell, W. F. Gabrielli, P. B. Webb, D. Selent, A. Brueckner, *ACS Catal.* 2013, **3**, 95-102.
- (11) (a) A. J. Rucklidge, D. S. McGuinness, R. P. Tooze, A. M. Z. Slawin, J. D. A. Pelletier, M. J. Hanton, P. B. Webb, *Organometallics* 2007, **26**, 2782-2787. (b) E. Y.-X. Chen, T. J. Marks, *Chem. Rev.* 2000, **100**, 1391-1434.
- (12) M. J. Overett, K. Blann, A. Bollmann, J. T. Dixon, D. Haasbroek, E. Killian, H. Maumela, D. S. McGuinness, D. H. Morgan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005, **127**, 10723–10730.
- (13) (a) A. Brückner, J. K. Jabor, A. E. C. McConnell, P. B. Webb, *Organometallics* 2008, **27**, 3849-3856. (b) J. Rabeah, M. Bauer, W. Baumann, A. E. C. McConnell, W. F. Gabrielli, P. B. Webb, D. Selent, A. Brückner, *ACSCatal.* 2013*,* **3**, 95-102. (c) E. Carter, K. J. Cavell, W. F. Gabrielli, M. J. Hanton, A. J. Hallett, L. McDyre, J. A. Platts, D. M. Smith, D. M. Murphy, *Organometallics* 2013, **32**, 1924- 1931.