Catalysis Science & Technology

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/catalysis

Low-temperature aqueous-phase reforming of ethanol on bimetallic PdZn

catalysts

Haifeng Xiong ^a, Andrew DeLaRiva ^a, Yong Wang ^b, Abhaya K. Datye ^{a*}

^a Department of Chemical & Nuclear Engineering and Center for Micro-engineered Materials, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131, United States

^b Institute for Integrated Catalysis, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA 99352, and The Gene & Linda Voiland School of Chemical Engineering and Bioengineering, Washington State University, Pullman, WA 99164-2710, United States

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 505 277 0477; fax: +1 505 277 1024; Email: datye@unm.edu (A.K. Datye)

Abstract

Bimetallic PdZn catalysts supported on carbon black (CB) and carbon nanotubes (CNTs) were found to be selective for CO-free H₂ production from ethanol at low temperature (250 °C). On Pd, the H₂ selectivity was low (~ 0.3 mol H₂/mol ethanol reacted) and the CH₄/CO₂ ratio was high (~1.7). Addition of Zn to Pd formed the intermetallic PdZn_β phase (atomic ratio of Zn to Pd is 1) with increased H₂ selectivity (~1.9 mol H₂/mol ethanol reacted) and CH₄/CO₂ ratio of < 1. The higher H₂ selectivity and low CH₄ formation was related to the improved dehydrogenation activity of the L1₀ PdZn_β phase. The TOF increased with particle size and the CNTs provided the most active and selective catalysts, which may be ascribed to pore-confinement effects. Furthermore, no significant changes in either the supports or the PdZn_β particles was found after aqueous-phase reforming (APR) indicating that the metal nanoparticles and the carbon support are hydrothermally stable in the aqueous phase at elevated temperatures and pressures (> 200 °C, 65 bar). No CO was detected for all the catalysts performed in aqueous-phase reaction, indicating that both monometallic Pd and bimetallic PdZn catalysts have high water-gas shift activity during APR. However, the selectivity towards H₂ is considerable lower than the theoretical value of 6 H₂ per mole ethanol which is due to the presence of oxygenated products and methane on the PdZn catalysts.

Keywords: Aqueous-phase reforming; Ethanol; Bimetallic PdZn; H₂ production; Carbon black; Carbon nanotubes

1. Introduction

 H_2 is a clean energy carrier for future energy systems, especially for vehicles with fuel cells.¹ The conventional approach to produce H_2 is steam reforming (SR) which is energy intensive since it requires vaporization of water and the reaction is carried out at high temperatures (> 400 °C).² The high temperature SR process can also lead to the formation of coke on the catalyst, which results in catalyst deactivation.^{3,4} Aqueous-phase reforming (APR), on the other hand, can be carried out at low temperatures (< 300 °C).⁵ Compared to SR in the gas phase, APR exhibits several advantages such as reduced energy consumption and low amounts of CO due to the water gas shift (WGS) reaction.⁶

In this study we focus on bioethanol which is a renewable resource that can be obtained from biomass. There have been numerous studies on gas phase ethanol steam reforming (SR), showing that a high yield of hydrogen can be obtained, but only at elevated temperatures (> 400 °C).⁷ APR is conducted at lower temperatures, and the conversion of oxygenated hydrocarbons such as methanol, ethylene glycol and glycerol has been reported, but there is little reported on the APR of bioethanol.⁸ A major consideration for APR reactions is the limited hydrothermal stability of conventional supports in liquid water at elevated temperatures (> 200 °C).⁹ Roy et al. found by XPS that a nickel oxide layer had formed on the nickel/alumina catalyst and the γ -Al₂O₃ support had transformed to boehmite during ethanol APR.¹⁰ Likewise, Ravenelle and coworkers found that the transformation of γ -Al₂O₃ into boehmite caused the sintering of the supported nickel and Pt particles during aqueous phase reactions.¹¹ The development of stable catalytic materials for APR is therefore of great interest.¹²

Carbon supports have been shown to be hydrothermally stable under conditions used for APR.^{13,14} A recent study using a carbon-supported ruthenium catalyst showed that the Ru metal phase remained in the reduced state in sub- and supercritical water and the catalyst was stable over long term operation.¹⁵ The reaction products included CH₄, CO₂ and H₂ but the ratio of CH₄/CO₂ was 3:1 with a resulting low yield of H₂. To achieve a high yield of H₂ it is necessary to suppress the formation of CH₄ and enhance CO₂ formation. Among the noble metal catalysts tested for APR of oxygenates, it was found that Pt shows the highest reactivity, but Pd shows the best selectivity to CO₂ formation. ^{8,16} The activity and selectivity of these catalysts can be further improved by alloying the noble metal with components that enhance water gas shift activity. ZnO was found to be a selective support for SR of ethanol on cobalt catalysts, ⁷ but it was not clear whether an alloy was formed during reaction. In the PdZn system it has been shown that the formation of the intermetallic PdZn_β phase (Zn:Pd atomic ratio of 1) was critical for obtaining a high activity and selectivity for methanol steam reforming to produce H₂.¹⁷ But the stability of the PdZn intermetallic has not been tested under aqueous phase conditions, hence the main objective of this study was to investigate the behavior of the intermetallic PdZn phase for H₂ production during ethanol APR.

Two types of carbon supports were used, a commercial carbon black and carbon nanotubes prepared in house. The aim of this study was to: (I) investigate the effect of Zn on the catalytic performance of Pd and (II) the effect of metal particle size and support structure (carbon black and carbon nanotubes) on the performance of the PdZn bimetallic catalysts in the APR of ethanol. We were especially interested in the yield of H₂ and the relative CH_4/CO_2 selectivity of these catalysts as well as the formation of other oxygenated byproducts. As we show in this paper, the addition of Zn enhanced the H₂ yield per mole of ethanol reacted and led to CH_4/CO_2 ratios less than 1. Furthermore, large PdZn particles (> 5 nm) provide higher TOFs and carbon nanotubes provide the highest reactivity. No CO is found during APR of ethanol, indicating these catalysts have high WGS reactivity.

2. Experimental

2.1. Catalyst preparation

PdZn bimetallic catalysts supported on carbon black (CB) with different Zn loadings were prepared by co-impregnation. First, the commercial carbon black (Vulcan XC 72R) was functionalized in 50% HNO₃ at 90 °C for 14 h, followed by filtration and washing in water till a pH=7 was reached in the filtrate. The carbon black was next dried at 120 °C for 12 h. The catalysts were prepared via incipient wetness impregnation in two steps. The Pd and Zn nitrates were used as catalyst precursors. The monometallic catalyst contained 5 wt.% Pd while the bimetallic catalyst contained the same loading of Pd but we also added 3.1 wt.% Zn and 5.0 wt% Zn to study the role of Zn/Pd ratio (atomic ratio 1:1 and 1:1.6). Subsequently, the sample was kept at room temperature for 12 h in air, followed by drying at 120 °C for 12 h. Then the sample was pre-reduced at 500 °C for 2 h in a flow of 7%H₂/N₂. The bimetallic catalysts are denoted as PdZn₁ and PdZn_{1.6} indicating the atomic ratio of Zn/Pd in the catalysts. The catalyst name also shows the support, CB – carbon black and CNT – carbon nanotubes. To study the role of crystallite size, we prepared 5 wt.%Pd/CB catalysts with different Pd particle sizes. The 5 wt.%Pd/CB catalysts with small Pd particle size (Pd/CB) was obtained by the impregnation of Pd nitrate on carbon black, followed by H₂ reduction at 250 °C for 2 h in a flow of 7%H₂/N₂. The 5 wt.%Pd/CB catalyst with large Pd particle size (Pd/CB-H) was obtained by a reduction at 500 °C for 12 h in a flow of 7%H₂/N₂ after the impregnation.

To study the effect of particle size on the carbon nanotubes (CNTs) supported catalysts we prepared two bimetallic PdZn catalysts with Pd loadings of 1 and 5 wt.% and a Zn to Pd atomic ratio of 1. Carbon nanotubes were prepared by chemical vapor deposition as described in a previous study.¹⁸ The pore size of obtained CNTs is 5-15 nm and functionalized in 50%HNO₃ at 90 °C for 8 h. The preparation procedure for these catalysts was similar to that for PdZn₁/CB, described above. These catalysts were labeled as PdZn₁/CNT-1 (1 wt.%Pd) and PdZn₁/CNT (5 wt.%Pd), respectively.

2.2. Catalyst characterization

 N_2 physisorption experiments were performed using a Quantachrome Autosorb-1 instrument. Prior to the experiment, the sample was outgassed at 200 °C for 6 h. The surface area was obtained using the BET method using adsorption data over a relative pressure range from 0.05 to 0.30. The total pore volumes were calculated from the amount of N_2 adsorbed at a relative pressure of 0.99. X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) patterns for the catalysts were recorded with a Scintag Pad V diffractometer using Cu K α radiation and a Ni filter. For some of the catalysts we also used a Rigaku Smart Lab XRD. Scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) was carried out in a JEOL 2010F microscope. The powders were deposited on holey carbon support films after being dispersed in ethanol. An electron probe, diameter of 0.2 nm, was scanned over the specimen, and electrons scattered at high angles were collected to form the image in HAADF (High Angle Annular Dark Field) mode. The histogram of particle size distribution (Pd and PdZn) was obtained by counting 200-300 particles in the STEM images.

We tried to use H_2 chemisorption at 100 °C to count the number of surface Pd sites in these catalysts. The numbers were not consistent with the dispersion obtained from TEM images because of the weak chemisorption on the PdZn catalysts. CO chemisorption is not recommended for Pd catalysts because of variations in the ratio of bridge bonded and linearly adsorbed CO with particle size and Zn content. Since it is difficult to count sites in Pd and PdZn catalysts via chemisorption, we used CO

oxidation reactivity to determine the number of Pd sites in these catalysts. The product analysis during CO oxidation was carried out on a Varian CP-4900 Micro-GC utilizing a TCD detector with 20 mg of sample being used for each experiment. The sample was reduced at 250 °C in situ for 2 h in 6% H₂/He followed by a cool down in 6% H₂/He to room temperature. The samples were then exposed to CO oxidation conditions which used 1.5 mL/min CO, 1 mL/min O₂, and 75 mL/min He (~2%CO). The temperature was ramped to 300 °C with a ramp rate of 2 °C/min and sampling performed every 3 min. The runs were repeated to ensure reproducible behavior and the reactivity in the second run was used to determine the number of exposed surface Pd atoms as explained in more detail in the supporting information.

In previous work,¹⁹ it was shown that PdZn catalysts show higher specific reactivity than Pd due to a weakening of the CO bond due to added Zn. But these catalysts show rapid deactivation due to the surface oxidation of Zn in the PdZn alloy. After reaching a steady state, the specific reactivity of Pd and PdZn was comparable.¹⁹ Our experimental procedure involving two CO oxidation runs to 300 °C ensured that the catalyst had reached its steady state behavior. Hence, we could use the previously reported CO oxidation rates and TOFs on Pd and PdZn to estimate the number of exposed Pd atoms in our supported catalysts (see supporting information for further detail).¹⁹ The estimates of numbers of surface atoms obtained from CO oxidation reactivity agreed with the average particle diameters obtained using TEM. Using these results, the turnover frequency (TOF s⁻¹) for aqueous-phase reforming could then be calculated. Furthermore, it should be pointed out that the supports CB, CNT, ZnO/CB and ZnO/CNT are not active for CO oxidation at T < 300 °C.

2.3. Catalyst reactivity during aqueous-phase reforming of ethanol

Reaction studies for aqueous-phase reforming of ethanol were conducted over a temperature range of 200-260 °C and 65 bar pressure (controlled with a back pressure regulator) in an up-flow tubular reactor. Before reaction measurements, the catalyst (0.2 g) was reduced at 250 °C under flowing H₂ (20 cm³ (STP) min⁻¹) at atmospheric pressure for 4 h. Subsequently, a feed containing 10 wt% ethanol in water (0.003 mL/min) was pumped through the reactor. Before the back pressure regulator, we introduced a stream of Ar (10 cm³ (STP) min⁻¹) to sweep the gas products to the GC. The effluent gas stream was composed of H₂, CO₂, CH₄, C₂H₄, C₂H₆, CH₃CHO, C₂H₅OH and was analyzed with an online GC (VARIAN CP-3800 GC) equipped with a FID and a TCD. A gas-liquid separation trap at room temperature (in line before the back pressure regulator) was used to collect the liquid. The liquid included CH₃CH₂OH, CH₃CHO, CH₃COOH and CH₃COCH₃ and the composition was quantified by the GC on the FID using a CP-PoraBOND Q capillary column. All reactions were carried out for 100 h and no deactivation was found over this period. The first data point was recorded after 24 h of reaction by which time steady state behavior was obtained, and the H₂ yield was stable after that. The ethanol conversion (X), product selectivity (S) and H₂ production were calculated based on the following equations:

 $X = \frac{\text{moles of inlet ethanol - moles of outlet ethanol in liquid - moles of outlet ethanol in gas}{\text{moles of inlet ethanol}} \times 100\%$

 $S_i = \frac{moles \ of \ i \ produced}{moles \ of \ all \ products} \times 100\%$

 H_2 production = $\frac{moles of H_2 produced}{moles of ethanol converted}$

Where i is CH₄, CO₂, C₂H₄, C₂H₆, CH₃CHO, CH₃COOH and CH₃COCH₃.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Catalyst characterization

The N_2 physisorption data and the metal dispersion and particle size for the as-prepared catalysts are shown in Table 1. For the PdZn/CB catalysts with different Zn loadings, the BET surface areas were in the range of 160-175 m²/g and no significant change in the pore volumes of the catalysts was found by varying the Zn loading. The PdZn₁/CNT shows lower surface area and pore volume in comparison with the bimetallic PdZn/CB catalysts due to the low surface area of the CNT support. As explained in the experimental section and the supporting information, the metal dispersion and particle size was derived by using CO oxidation reactivity.

Table	1
-------	---

Characterization of the as-prepared monometallic Pd and bimetallic PdZn catalysts.^a

C 1 -	Surface area	Total pore volume	Dispersion ^b	Dispersion ^b Particle size (nn		
Sample	(m^2/g)	(cm ³ /g)	(%)	CO oxidation ^b	XRD	TEM
Pd/CB	175	0.72	37.6	2.7	4.1	2.5
Pd/CB-H	170	0.7	16.0	6.3	8.7	4.7
$PdZn_1/CB$	161	0.78	41.0	2.4	3.8	2.9
PdZn _{1.6} /CB	156	0.64	33.5	3.0	4.5	3.1
PdZn ₁ /CNT	95.4	0.29	4.0	25	30	8.5
PdZn ₁ /CNT-1	98.1	0.32	28.6	3.5	4.6	2.1

^a All catalysts had a loading of 5 wt.% Pd, except the catalyst in the last row which contained 1 wt.% Pd.

^b The number of surface Pd sites was obtained from CO oxidation at 185 $^{\circ}$ C (see supporting information) and the particle size was estimated using the formula: d=100/D, where d is particle size in nm and D is dispersion.

The XRD patterns of monometallic Pd/CB before and after reaction are shown in Fig. 1. We also show the XRD pattern of the Pd/CB-H catalyst (pretreated at 500 °C for 12 h in a flow of H_2/N_2) to increase the crystallite sizes, hence it shows a sharp Pd diffraction peak (Fig. 1c). The broad peak seen in the Pd/CB catalyst at 25° corresponds to the (002) plane of the graphitic carbon. The peak at 40.2° comes from metallic Pd and it is very broad due to the small particle size (Fig. 1a). Fig. 1 also shows the XRD pattern after reaction for the Pd/CB indicating modest growth in particle size after reaction (Fig. 1b).

The XRD patterns of bimetallic PdZn catalysts are shown in Fig. 2. For PdZn₁/CB (Fig. 2a and insert), the XRD pattern shows two diffraction peaks at 41° and 44° which correspond to the intermetallic L1₀ PdZn_{β} phase (Zn : Pd = 1, ICDD 006-0620)²⁰. Increasing the Zn loading to 5 wt.% (Zn:Pd = 1.6) leads to the appearance of crystalline ZnO coexisting with the PdZn_{β} phase (Fig. 2b and insert). Due to the lower surface area of the CNT support, the bimetallic PdZn₁/CNT catalyst with 5 wt.% Pd had larger particles, hence well-defined diffraction peaks of PdZn_{β} phase can be seen (Fig. 2e). The higher crystallinity of the support leads to the sharper graphite peaks in the CNT supported catalysts (Fig. 2d and e). Since the average particle size was significantly greater than the other catalysts, we also prepared a catalyst with 1 wt.% Pd loading to provide particle sizes comparable to the other supports. The XRD pattern of this catalyst PdZn₁/CNT-1 shows broad diffraction peaks of the PdZn_{β} phase (Fig. 2d) comparable to those seen on the CB support. The low Pd loading enhances the relative intensity of the carbon peaks from the CNT support, therefore we have drawn vertical

lines to show clearly the PdZn (111) and those from the CNT support (Figure S4, Supplementary material provides a larger figure to show clearly the CNT peaks in relation to PdZn). The XRD patterns of the PdZn catalysts after reaction show very little change confirming the stability of this catalyst (Fig. 2c and 2f).



Fig. 1. XRD patterns of monometallic Pd catalysts supported on carbon black (CB) before and after ethanol APR reaction: (a) Pd/CB; (b) Pd/CB after APR reaction; (c) Pd/CB-H aged in H₂ at 500 °C.



Fig. 2. XRD patterns of bimetallic PdZn catalysts supported on different carbons before and after aqueous-phase reforming (APR) of ethanol: (a) $PdZn_1/CB$; (b) $PdZn_{1.6}/CB$; (c) $PdZn_1/CB$ after APR; (d) $PdZn_1/CNT$ -1 before APR; (e) $PdZn_1/CNT$ before APR; (f) $PdZn_1/CNT$ after APR, and the zoom of patterns a, b and c at 40-45° (insert).

3.1.1. Catalyst morphology of the as-prepared catalysts before aqueous-phase reforming

Catalysis Science & Technology

The TEM images along with the XRD patterns provide complementary information on the metal phase in these catalysts. The monometallic Pd catalyst reduced at 250 °C in H₂ shows a TEM average particle diameter of 2.5 nm (Figs. 3a and d), with the HRTEM image showing lattice fringes of the (111) and (200) planes of fcc Pd (Fig. 3b). The XRD pattern of this catalyst shows very broad XRD peaks due to the small particle size. The dispersion of the metal phase in this catalyst as estimated from CO oxidation reactivity (Table 1) is 32% which is consistent with the TEM average particle size. After high temperature reduction (500 °C), we see an increase in particle size by TEM (Figs. 3c and d) and the XRD peaks become much sharper (Fig. 1c). The dispersion as measured from the CO oxidation reactivity measurement shows correspondingly an increase in estimated particle to 6.3 nm which is again consistent with the TEM measurement reported in Fig. 3d.



Fig. 3. Representative TEM/STEM images of Pd/CB catalysts with different Pd particle sizes: (a) and (b) Pd/CB; (c) Pd/CB-H (pretreated at 500 °C for 12 h in a flow of 7%H₂/N₂ mixture); (d) particle size distribution diagrams.

TEM images of the bimetallic PdZn/CB catalysts are shown in Fig. 4. The average particle size

for $PdZn_1/CB$ is 2.88 nm (Fig. 4a and 4b, insert) and is consistent with the broad XRD peaks (Fig. 2a) and with the dispersion from CO oxidation which was 41%. The HRTEM image of this sample can be indexed to the (200) plane of the $PdZn_\beta$ phase (Fig. 4b). Keeping the Pd loading at 5 wt.% but increasing the Zn loading to 5 wt.% (PdZn_{1.6}/CB) caused only a small increase in particle size to 3.1 nm (Fig. 4c). The HRTEM image indexes to the (111) and (200) planes of PdZn_{β} in this catalyst (Fig. 4c, insert). The slight increase in particle size is consistent with a small drop in the dispersion (Table 1). The majority of the particles are smaller than 4 nm in these two catalysts prepared on the carbon black support.

We also prepared catalysts with similar weight loading with the CNT support. However, because of the lower surface area, the average $PdZn_{\beta}$ particle size was much larger than that on the carbon black. For the PdZn₁/CNT catalyst with 5 wt.% Pd loading, the STEM image shows that the PdZn_{β} particle sizes were in the range of 2-30 nm (Fig. 4d, average size=8.5 nm), which is consistent with the XRD result showing sharp, well defined peaks of the intermetallic PdZn_{β} phase. We found that the $PdZn_{\beta}$ particles were also located inside the CNT pores in this $PdZn_1/CNT$ catalyst (Fig. 4e and Figure S5, Supplementary material). The estimated dispersion based on CO oxidation reactivity suggests a particle size of 25 nm which is much greater than the TEM estimate. We attribute the lower dispersion due to the presence of PdZn particles inside the pores, and the large size of the particles may lead to some pore blocking leading to poor accessibility during CO oxidation. Since the particle sizes are much larger for this CNT supported catalyst than the catalysts on the CB support, we also prepared a catalyst with 1 wt.% Pd loading. The STEM image of this PdZn₁/CNT-1 catalyst shows highly-dispersed bimetallic PdZn_{β} particles (Zn : Pd = 1) with an average size of 2.1 nm (Figs. 4f, insert). The particle size distribution (Fig. 4f, insert) for this catalyst is similar to that of the carbon black supported catalyst shown in Figs. 4a and c and is also consistent with the dispersion shown in Table 1.





Fig. 4. Representative TEM/STEM images of bimetallic PdZn catalysts supported on carbon black and carbon nanotubes: (a) and (b) $PdZn_1/CB$; (c) $PdZn_{1.6}/CB$; (d) $PdZn_1/CNT$; (e) STEM image of $PdZn_1/CNT$ showing a significant fraction of the $PdZn_\beta$ particles located inside the CNT pores; (f) STEM image and particle size distribution of $PdZn_1/CNT$ -1 with 1 wt.% Pd loading.

3.1.2. Catalyst morphology after the aqueous-phase reforming reaction

The phase and crystallinity of the spent Pd and bimetallic PdZn catalysts after ethanol APR were investigated via XRD as shown in Figs. 1 and 2. The microstructure of the spent catalysts after APR was also investigated by electron microscopy and representative images are shown in Fig. 5. The XRD pattern of the spent Pd/CB (Fig. 1b) showed that Pd peak was sharper than that of Pd/CB before APR reaction, indicating some particle growth after the APR reaction. The spent monometallic Pd/CB catalyst shows Pd particles with average size of 3.1 nm (Figure S6, supporting information), which is only slightly higher than the size of the catalyst before reaction (2.51 nm, Figs. 3a and b). The number average does not capture fully the difference seen by XRD, since the major difference is the

appearance of some larger particles.

For the bimetallic particles the reaction caused very little change in the particle size distribution and only the slightest sharpening of the XRD peaks (see Fig. 2). This suggests that the intermetallic PdZn_{β} phase and the carbon supports, are hydrothermally stable. The spent PdZn₁/CB bimetallic catalysts shows PdZn_{β} particles with an average size of 3.0 nm after APR reaction (Fig.5 a), which is also slightly larger than that on PdZn₁/CB before reaction. We did not observe significant change for the particle size of the spent PdZn_{1.6}/CB, PdZn₁/CNT-1 and PdZn₁/CNT (Figs. 5b, c and d), as compared to that of the catalysts before reaction. Moreover, the STEM-EDX results did not show significant change for the Pd/Zn ratios on the bimetallic catalysts after APR reaction, compared to the catalysts before APR reaction (see Figure S7 in supporting information).



Fig. 5. Representative STEM images and particle size histograms of spent Pd and PdZn catalysts after ethanol aqueous-phase reforming: (a) $PdZn_1/CB$; (b) $PdZn_1.6/CB$; (c) $PdZn_1/CNT-1$; (d) $PdZn_1/CNT$.

3.2. Aqueous-phase reforming of ethanol

3.2.1. Catalytic performance and reaction pathway

The aqueous-phase reforming (APR) of ethanol on Pd and bimetallic PdZn catalysts was carried out at 200-260 °C and 65 bar. It was found that for both monometallic Pd and bimetallic PdZn catalysts, the ethanol conversion was very low and only small amounts of H₂, acetaldehyde and acetone were produced when the reaction was performed at 200 °C. With the increase of reaction temperature to 220 °C, CH₄ and CO₂ were found to form simultaneously. Because of the low activity, we present data for reactions performed at 250 °C. For both monometallic Pd and bimetallic PdZn catalysts, we did not observe any formation of CO in the effluent gas and the main reaction products were H₂, CH₄, CO₂, acetaldehyde, acetic acid, methanol, C₂ hydrocarbons and acetone. The mass balances for all the components have been calculated and the experimental errors are less than $\pm 5\%$ (see discussion below and Figure S8, supporting information). Although ZnO has been reported to be active in vapor ethanol steaming reforming, the temperatures used were high (≥ 350 °C).²¹ We tested the supports used (CB, CNT, ZnO/CB and ZnO/CNT) and found them to show negligible activity in ethanol APR at the temperatures studied (200-260 °C). The results of APR of ethanol for the supported catalysts at 250 °C are shown in Table 2.

Effect of Zn addition to Pd

The Pd/CB catalyst showed a CH₄ selectivity of 55.6% and CO₂ selectivity of 32.2% with very low H₂ selectivity (< 0.4 mol/mol ethanol reacted). Addition of Zn and the formation of the PdZn_β phase led to decreased CH₄ selectivity, increased CO₂ selectivity and the H₂ selectivity increased up to 1.9 moles per mole of ethanol consumed. The bimetallic PdZn/CB catalysts showed higher amounts of oxygenated products in the liquid phase, especially acetic acid. The results indicate that the PdZn_β phase is able to dehydrogenate ethanol while the monometallic Pd leads to the decomposition of ethanol. The high CH₄ content is also a result of the hydrogenation of CO or CO₂ to CH₄ on Pd, which does not occur on the PdZn catalysts.

Effect of support

We studied two different morphologies of carbon support: the carbon black contains graphitized spherical particles while the carbon nanotubes have well defined cylindrical pores with pore sizes of 5-15 nm. The bimetallic PdZn_{β} particles supported on CNTs show higher conversion and higher specific reactivity than the particles on the CB support. We ascribe the higher specific reactivity for bimetallic PdZn/CNT catalysts to a confinement effect since significant fraction of the particles are located within the CNT pores (Fig. 4e and Figure S5, Supplementary material).²² It is known that carbon black contains small amounts of S, however the small amounts of S in the CB did not influence the catalytic reactivity for the dehydration of n-butanol in previous work.¹⁴ Hence we conclude that the higher reactivity of CNT supports observed in this study may be related to the pore confinement effects. We also see a particle size effect as explained in the next section.

It is also noteworthy that the CH_4/CO_2 ratio for the PdZn/CNT is < 1, which is lower than that for those on the CB supports (Table 2). An explanation is that the acetone produced can be reformed to produce CO_2 during the ESR.⁴ This is consistent with the lower acetone selectivity for the PdZn/CNT catalyst, as seen in Table 2. Another explanation for the lower CH_4/CO_2 is due to the decarboxylation of surface intermediate acetaldehyde on the PdZn/CNT catalysts.¹⁵

Particle size effect

The average particle sizes of Pd and bimetallic PdZn catalysts obtained from CO oxidation, XRD

Catalysis Science & Technology Accepted Manuscrip

and TEM are summarized in Table 1. It can be seen that a good agreement was found for the average particle sizes obtained from different techniques, which allowed us to discuss the particle size effect in APR reaction. Based on the data, the Pd/CB-H catalyst has larger Pd particles than Pd/CB. The ethanol APR results for these two catalysts are comparable indicating that the effect of particle size on the monometallic catalyst is not significant. But on the bimetallic catalysts, we see a significant particle size effect. The catalyst with the large PdZn_{β} particles exhibited a significantly higher specific reactivity than smaller PdZn_{β}. Our TEM results revealed that all the PdZn_{β} particles exhibit a spherical morphology with well-defined facets visible only on the larger particles. The larger particles are located in the pores of the carbon and could lead to pore blocking and errors in counting sites. However, since we find that the total reactivity per gram catalyst (and % conversion) is much higher on the catalyst with larger particles. Therefore the larger particles of PdZn must be more reactive. A similar particle size effect has been suggested previously for methanol steam reforming.²³

Table 2	
---------	--

Product distribution during aqueous-phase reforming of ethanol for Pd and PdZn catalysts pretreated in H₂.^[a]

Sample	V TOF	H ₂ production	Product distribution (mol%)							
	л (%)	(\min^{-1})	$(\min^{-1}) \qquad (mol/mol C_2H_5OH) \\ (min^{-1}) \qquad converted)$	CH ₄	CO ₂	Alkanes	CH ₃ CHO	CH ₃ COOH	CH ₃ OH	CH ₃ COCH ₃
Pd/CB	16.9	1.24	0.23	55.6	32.2	2.9	2.9	0.58	2.9	2.9
Pd/CB-H	15.5	2.67	0.37	54.8	35.1	1.9	3.2	0.8	1.0	3.5
PdZn ₁ /CB	10.8	0.72	1.1	33.3	31.3	3.98	1.5	22.9	1.0	5.97
PdZn _{1.6} /CB	8.8	0.72	1.7	40.6	35.5	1.02	6.6	15.2	0.5	0.51
PdZn ₁ /CNT	29.5	23.3	1.9	23.8	34.6	4.32	0.22	36.8	0.11	0.22
PdZn ₁ /CNT-1	14.7	2.45	1.0	29.6	37	4.7	0.1	28.5	0	0.1

^a Reaction conditions: 250 °C, 65 bar, Ethanol/water=10%, pump rate=0.003 mL/min, catalytic performance data were collected at steady state (after 24 h).

Reaction pathways

The observed product distributions suggest two limiting behaviors, that of monometallic Pd which provides very low yield of H₂ (~0.2 mole H₂/mole of ethanol reacted) and CH₄/CO₂ ~1.5 and bimetallic PdZn which leads to higher yields of H₂ (~2 mole H₂/mole ethanol reacted) and CH₄/CO₂ \leq 1. Some of the catalysts exhibit intermediate behavior (~ 1 mol H₂/mole ethanol reacted). Based on our observed product distributions, we propose the following series of steps during aqueous-phase reforming of ethanol over Pd and PdZn bimetallic catalysts (Scheme 1).

The bimetallic PdZn catalysts show the maximum observed yield of 2 moles of H_2 per mole of ethanol converted. The overall reaction stoichiometry to get this yield of H_2 is shown in equation (1). Mechanistically, the first step is the dehydrogenation of ethanol to acetaldehyde to yield the first mole of H_2 . Decomposition of the acetaldehyde leads to formation of CO and CH₄ yielding a 1:1 ratio of CH₄ and CO. The CO reacts with water due to the high water gas shift activity of these catalysts, yielding CO₂ and the second mole of H_2 . On PdZn catalysts we see significant amounts of oxygenated products in the liquid phase, hence we infer that the acetaldehyde can also dehydrogenate to acetic acid, leading to additional H_2 formation. However, none of these steps would explain the observed CO₂/CH₄ ratio being greater than 1, which is only possible if a decarbonylation step is also involved. ¹⁵ Hence, we indicate the path leading to acetone formation, which then allows us to account for all of

Catalysis Science & Technology

the products observed during the APR of ethanol. Other pathways leading to the minor products such as ethane and methanol are also shown in Scheme 1. The overall C and H balance is consistent with this mechanistic picture (Figures S8, supporting information).

In contrast to the bimetallic catalyst, the monometallic Pd/CB shows a significantly higher CH_4/CO_2 ratio and a very low yield of H_2 /mole ethanol. This indicates that the H_2 is being consumed to produce CH_4 on the Pd catalyst, as revealed in equations (2) and (3). The hydrogenation of CO_2 to CH_4 has been suggested to occur during ethanol aqueous-phase reforming on a Ru/C catalyst where the resulting CH_4/CO_2 ratio was 3:1.¹⁵ The observed CH_4/CO_2 ratio and the low yield of H_2 on the monometallic Pd can be accounted by a combination of equations (1) and (3).

$$CH_{3}CH_{2}OH + H_{2}O \longrightarrow CO_{2} + 2H_{2} + CH_{4}$$
(1)
Hydrogenation:
$$CO + 3H_{2} \longrightarrow CH_{4} + H_{2}O$$
(2)

$$CO_{2} + 4H_{2} \longrightarrow CH_{4} + 2H_{2}O$$
(3)

The intermediate behavior (between that of monometallic Pd and bimetallic PdZn_{β}) of some of the catalysts (yield of ~1 mol H₂/mole ethanol reacted) can result from altered selectivity due to depletion of the Zn from the near surface region of the PdZn nanoparticles, as seen during methanol steam reforming.²⁴ We attribute the intermediate H₂ yield to the inability to form the ordered intermetallic tetragonal L₁₀ phase, but rather Zn alloyed randomly within fcc Pd (the α phase). When excess Zn was present as in the PdZn_{1.6}/CB catalyst we see the expected behavior of the PdZn_{β} phase. Another catalyst that shows intermediate behavior is the lower weight loading PdZn/CNT-1 catalyst which has very small particles. We also saw previously that very small particles of PdZn_{β} were not selective to CO₂ formation during methanol steam reforming which might be related to altered electronic properties.²³ The ordered intermetallic tetragonal PdZn has very different local density of states near the Fermi level, resembling Cu rather than Pd. But the fcc PdZn alloy shows very different selectivity. In summary, large, crystalline particles of the PdZn_{β} phase yield the highest specific reactivity and selectivity for APR of ethanol.



Scheme 1. Proposed APR mechanism of ethanol on monometallic Pd and bimetallic PdZn catalysts.

4. Conclusions

The performance of monometallic Pd and bimetallic PdZn catalysts supported on both carbon black (CB) and carbon nanotubes (CNTs) was evaluated for H₂ production during aqueous-phase reforming (APR) of ethanol at low temperature (250 °C). All of the Pd and bimetallic PdZn catalysts showed high water-gas shift activity since no CO was detected in the products. The CB and CNTs were found to be hydrothermally stable under the liquid phase hydrothermal conditions used for these experiments (250 °C and 65 bar). The PdZn_{β} particles were also stable, with minimal growth in crystallite size. The TOF for ethanol steam reforming and the product H_2 was affected by the PdZn_{β} particle size, with the most effective catalysts being those where the nanoparticles were located in the pores of the carbon nanotubes. It is possible that pore-confinement within the CNT plays a role since a large fraction of the large PdZn_{β} particles were located within the pores of the nanotubes. Among the catalysts studied, we conclude that $PdZn_{\beta}$ in carbon nanotubes provide an excellent catalyst for the aqueous phase reforming of ethanol, yielding CO-free H_2 at low temperatures (250 °C) with a yield of 2 moles of H_2 /mole of ethanol reacted. However, the selectivity towards H_2 is considerably lower than the theoretical value of 6 H_2 per mole ethanol converted. This is a limitation of the low temperature route which does not allow for complete oxidation of both carbon atoms in the ethanol molecule and results in the formation of oxygenated products.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by DOE grant DE-FG02-05ER15712 and the Center for Biorenewable Chemicals (CBiRC) supported by NSF under No. EEC-0813570. We thank Eric Peterson for help with XRD, Jay McCabe for CO oxidation reactivity and Dr. H. Pham for assistance with N_2 physisorption measurements.

References

(1) Eberle, U.; Felderhoff, M.; Schüth, F. Angewandte Chemie International Edition 2009, 48, 6608-6630.

(2) Palo, D. R.; Dagle, R. A.; Holladay, J. D. Chemical Reviews 2007, 107, 3992-4021.

(3) Mattos, L. V.; Jacobs, G.; Davis, B. H.; Noronha, F. B. Chemical Reviews 2012, 112, 4094-4123.

(4) Sun, J.; Mei, D.; Karim, A. M.; Datye, A. K.; Wang, Y. ChemCatChem 2013, 5, 1299-1303.

(5) Chheda, J. N.; Huber, G. W.; Dumesic, J. A. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 2007, 46, 7164-83.

(6) Davda, R. R.; Shabaker, J. W.; Huber, G. W.; Cortright, R. D.; Dumesic, J. A. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 2005, 56, 171-186.

(7) Llorca, J.; Homs, N. s.; Sales, J.; de la Piscina, P. R. r. Journal of Catalysis 2002, 209, 306-317.

(8) Huber, G. W.; Dumesic, J. A. Catalysis Today 2006, 111, 119-132.

(9) Pham, H. N.; Anderson, A. E.; Johnson, R. L.; Schmidt-Rohr, K.; Datye, A. K. Angewandte Chemie International Edition 2012, 51, 13163-13167.

(10) Roy, B.; Artyushkova, K.; Pham, H. N.; Li, L.; Datye, A. K.; Leclerc, C. A. International Journal of *Hydrogen Energy* **2012**, *37*, 18815-18826.

(11) Ravenelle, R. M.; Copeland, J. R.; Kim, W.-G.; Crittenden, J. C.; Sievers, C. ACS Catalysis 2011, 1, 552-561.

(12) Jongerius, A. L.; Copeland, J. R.; Foo, G. S.; Hofmann, J. P.; Bruijnincx, P. C. A.; Sievers, C.; Weckhuysen, B. M. ACS Catalysis 2013, 3, 464-473.

(13) Xiong, H.; Pham, H. N.; Datye, A. K. Journal of Catalysis 2013, 302, 93-100.

(14) Xiong, H.; Nolan, M.; Shanks, B. H.; Datye, A. K. Applied Catalysis A: General 2014, 471, 165-174.

(15) Rabe, S.; Nachtegaal, M.; Ulrich, T.; Vogel, F. Angewandte Chemie International Edition 2010, 49, 6434-6437.

(16) Ferrin, P.; Simonetti, D.; Kandoi, S.; Kunkes, E.; Dumesic, J. A.; Nørskov, J. K.; Mavrikakis, M. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2009**, *131*, 5809-5815.

(17) Halevi, B.; Peterson, E. J.; Roy, A.; DeLariva, A.; Jeroro, E.; Gao, F.; Wang, Y.; Vohs, J. M.; Kiefer, B.; Kunkes, E.; Hävecker, M.; Behrens, M.; Schlögl, R.; Datye, A. K. *Journal of Catalysis* 2012, 291, 44-54.

(18) Xiong, H.; Motchelaho, M. A. M.; Moyo, M.; Jewell, L. L.; Coville, N. J. Journal of Catalysis 2011, 278, 26-40.

(19) Johnson, R. S.; DeLaRiva, A.; Ashbacher, V.; Halevi, B.; Villanueva, C. J.; Smith, G. K.; Lin, S.; Datye, A. K.; Guo, H. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* **2013**, *15*, 7768-7776.

(20) Karim, A.; Conant, T.; Datye, A. Journal of Catalysis 2006, 243, 420-427.

(21) Llorca, J.; Piscina, P. R. d. l.; Sales, J.; Homs, N. Chemical Communications 2001, 641-642.

(22) Pan, X.; Bao, X. Accounts of Chemical Research 2011, 44, 553-562.

(23) Dagle, R.; Chin, Y.-H.; Wang, Y. Topics in Catalysis 2007, 46, 358-362.

(24) Conant, T.; Karim, A. M.; Lebarbier, V.; Wang, Y.; Girgsdies, F.; Schlögl, R.; Datye, A. Journal of Catalysis 2008, 257, 64-70.

