

Catalysis Science & Technology

Accepted Manuscript






This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

The first highly effective and enantioselective hydrogenation of quinolines catalyzed by chiral cationic Ru-diamine complexes in undegassed water was developed.



Journal Name

RSC Publishing

COMMUNICATION

Efficient asymmetric hydrogenation of quinolines in neat water catalyzed by chiral cationic Ru-diamine complexes

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received 00th January 2012,
Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/


Zhusheng Yang,^{a,b} Fei Chen,^{*a} Yan-Mei He,^a Nianfa Yang^{*b} and Qing-Hua Fan^{*a}

We describe herein a highly effective asymmetric hydrogenation of quinolines in undegassed water catalyzed by chiral cationic Ru-diamine complexes for the first time. This facile and green protocol is applicable to the scaled-up synthesis of 6-fluoro-2-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline, a key intermediate for the preparation of the antibacterial agent (*S*)-flumequine, with 98% ee.

Water has been considered as the most preferred reaction media from the economical and environmental point of view.¹ Due to its unique physical and chemical properties, water has emerged as a powerful solvent for the catalytic reactions by accelerating the rate and/or increasing the selectivity (chemo-, regio-, stereo-, and even enantioselectivity).^{2,3} Despite considerable progress made in this field, only limited success has been achieved in the highly effective asymmetric hydrogenation in neat water.⁴ Because of the low solubility of organic substrates and catalysts in aqueous phase, lower activity and/or enantioselectivity are often observed as compared to those obtained in organic solvents.^{4a-c} To overcome these drawbacks, the use of amphiphilic chiral ligands and/or the addition of co-solvents such as alcoholic solvents, as well as surfactants are necessary.^{3f,4} Therefore, it is still a big challenge to realize highly effective asymmetric hydrogenation in neat water by using the unmodified chiral catalysts.

Optically pure tetrahydroquinoline derivatives are an important class of building blocks for the preparation of biologically active compounds.⁵ The transition metal-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation of readily available quinolines affords one of the most straightforward, efficient and atom-economic methods for attaining these optically active heterocycles.⁶ Since the pioneering work reported by Zhou and co-workers,^{7a} a number of iridium complexes containing different types of chiral phosphorus ligands have been developed to catalyze the enantioselective hydrogenation of quinoline derivatives in organic solvents.⁷ To the best of our

knowledge, none of these catalyst systems was reported to be efficient for such a transformation in water.⁸



Scheme 1 Asymmetric hydrogenation of quinolines in undegassed water catalyzed by chiral cationic ruthenium-diamine complexes.

Recently, we reported that the cationic ruthenium complexes of chiral monotosylated diamines, which are powerful catalysts for asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of aromatic ketones and imines,^{9,10} were effective catalysts for the asymmetric hydrogenation of quinolines with unprecedented enantioselectivity and reactivity.¹¹ In addition, this phosphine-free ruthenium complexes could catalyze this reaction in ionic liquids or even under solvent-free conditions.^{11a,c-d} Encouraged by these results, we hope to carry out the asymmetric hydrogenation of quinoline in neat water with the ruthenium catalysts (Scheme 1). Most recently, Xiao and co-workers demonstrated that the analogous Rh-diamine complexes could efficiently catalyze the asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of quinolines in HOAc/NaOAc buffer solution employing HCOONa as the hydrogen source.¹² However, the Ru-diamine complex gave much lower reactivity and enantioselectivity under otherwise the

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto


identical conditions. Herein, we report the details of the asymmetric hydrogenation of various quinoline derivatives with Ru-catalysts in neat undegassed water, including a scaled-up synthesis of 6-fluoro-2-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline.

We started our study with 2-methylquinoline (**1a**) as a standard substrate. With 1.0 mol% of (*R,R*)-**3**, the hydrogenation was carried out under 50 atm of H₂ at 30 °C in degassed deionized water. As shown in Table 1, to our delight, 26% conversion and 95% ee were obtained in 3 h (entry 1). The enantioselectivity is comparable to that obtained in methanol (95% ee vs 94% ee).^{11a} Considering the quinoline is reduced via an ionic and cascade reaction pathway,^[11b] CF₃SO₂OH (TfOH) was added to activate the substrate. As expected, the reaction rate increased significantly upon the addition of TfOH. Full conversion and the same enantioselectivity were observed in the presence of 10 mol% of TfOH (entry 2). Notably, without the use of glovebox and in undegassed water, the reaction gave the same conversion and enantioselectivity (entry 3 vs entry 2). Even when the hydrogenation was carried out in the presence of air, almost identical enantioselectivity was observed (entry 4). These results indicate that both the ruthenium precatalyst and the catalytically active species are air-stable under aqueous conditions. Interestingly, when undegassed tap water was used as solvent, the enantioselectivity is comparable to that obtained in deionized water (entry 5 vs entry 3).

reaction.^b The conversions were determined by ¹H NMR. ^c The enantiomeric excesses were determined by HPLC with a chiral OJ-H column. ^d All manipulations were conducted under anaerobic conditions (with the use of degassed water and glovebox). ^e All manipulations were conducted in air, without purging the autoclave with H₂ before reaction. ^f Undegassed tap water was used as solvent. ^g Substrate/catalyst = 500 (143 mg substrate in 5 mL H₂O), stirred for 12 h.

Next, the effects of H₂ pressure, reaction temperature and chiral ligands on catalytic activity and enantioselectivity were examined. It was found that the enantioselectivity is insensitive to hydrogen pressure and temperature (entries 6-10). The hydrogenation of **1a** could also be carried out under 10 atm of hydrogen, giving the same ee value but a lower conversion (entry 6). Remarkably, even if the reaction proceeded at low catalyst loading (0.2 mol %), full conversion and 94% ee were also obtained upon prolonged reaction time (entry 11). On the other hand, the catalytic performance was significantly affected by both the *N*-sulfonate substituents and the *p*-arene ligand (entries 12-14). The Ru-catalyst (*S,S*)-**6**, with the sterically demanding hexamethylbenzene led to significant increase in enantioselectivity, albeit at a low conversion (entry 14). In addition, increasing the reaction temperature resulted in a remarkable increase in reactivity while maintaining of the enantioselectivity (entry 15). It was noted that replacement of the diamine ligand TsDpen (*N*-(*p*-toluenesulfonyl)-1,2-diphenylethylenediamine) with TsCydn (*N*-(*p*-toluenesulfonyl)-1,2-cyclohexanediamine) led to a much lower enantioselectivity (entry 16).


Table 1 Optimization of reaction conditions for the asymmetric hydrogenation of **1a** in undegassed deionized water^a




Entry	Catalyst	H ₂ (atm); T (°C)	TfOH (%)	Conv. (%) ^b	Ee (%) ^c
1 ^d	(<i>R,R</i>)- 3	50; 30	0	26	95
2 ^d	(<i>R,R</i>)- 3	50; 30	10	>99	95
3	(<i>R,R</i>)- 3	50; 30	10	>99	95
4 ^e	(<i>R,R</i>)- 3	50; 30	10	>99	94
5 ^f	(<i>R,R</i>)- 3	50; 30	10	>99	95
6	(<i>R,R</i>)- 3	10; 30	10	64	95
7	(<i>R,R</i>)- 3	30; 30	10	>99	95
8	(<i>R,R</i>)- 3	80; 30	10	>99	96
9	(<i>R,R</i>)- 3	50; 20	10	95	96
10	(<i>R,R</i>)- 3	50; 50	10	>99	95
11 ^g	(<i>R,R</i>)- 3	50; 50	10	>99	94
12	(<i>R,R</i>)- 4	50; 30	10	>99	92
13	(<i>R,R</i>)- 5	50; 30	10	>99	94
14	(<i>S,S</i>)- 6	50; 30	10	71	99
15	(<i>S,S</i>)- 6	50; 50	10	>99	99
16	(<i>R,R</i>)- 7	50; 30	10	>99	82

^a Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.2 mmol) in undegassed deionized water (1 mL), Ru-catalyst (1.0 mol%), stirred for 3 h. All manipulations were conducted in air, and the autoclave was purged with H₂ three times before

Table 2 Asymmetric hydrogenation of quinoline derivatives in undegassed deionized water^a



Entry	R ² /R ¹	Catalyst	Temp (°C); Time (h)	Yield (%) ^b	Ee (%) ^{c,d}
1	H/Me	(<i>S,S</i>)- 6	50; 3	95	99 (S)
2	H/Et	(<i>S,S</i>)- 6	50; 3	89 ^e	97 (S)
3	H/ <i>n</i> -Pen	(<i>S,S</i>)- 6	50; 3	55 ^e	94 (S)
4	H/Et	(<i>R,R</i>)- 3	30; 3	95	94 (R)
5	H/ <i>n</i> -Pr	(<i>R,R</i>)- 3	30; 3	93	94 (R)
6	H/ <i>n</i> -Bu	(<i>R,R</i>)- 3	30; 3	96	93 (R)
7	H/ <i>n</i> -Pen	(<i>R,R</i>)- 3	30; 3	91	95 (R)
8	H/Ph	(<i>R,R</i>)- 3	30; 3	95	93 (R)
9	H/ 	(<i>R,R</i>)- 3	30; 12	92	93 (S)
10	Me/Me	(<i>S,S</i>)- 6	50; 3	96	99 (S)
11	MeO/Me	(<i>S,S</i>)- 6	50; 3	94	99 (S)
12	F/Me	(<i>S,S</i>)- 6	50; 3	94	98 (S)
13 ^f	H/Me	(<i>S,S</i>)- 6	50; 12	87 ^e	98 (S)
14 ^f	Me/Me	(<i>S,S</i>)- 6	50; 12	91 ^e	96 (S)


Journal Name

COMMUNICATION

15 H/Ph (R,R)-3 30; 12 92 63 (S)

^a Reaction conditions: quinolines **1a-k** (0.2 mmol) in undegassed deionized water (1 mL), (*R,R*)-**3** or (*S,S*)-**6** (1.0 mol%), undegassed TfOH (10 mol%), H₂ (50 atm). ^b Isolated yield. ^c The enantiomeric excesses were determined by HPLC with a chiral column. ^d The absolute configurations for the products were assigned by comparison of the optical rotations in the published literature.^{11b} ^e The conversions were determined by ¹H NMR. ^f Substrate/catalyst = 500 (1 mmol substrate in 5 mL H₂O).

With the optimal catalysts (*S,S*)-**6** and (*R,R*)-**3** in hand, a series of quinoline derivatives were then hydrogenated in undegassed deionized water (Table 2). For substrates **1a-e**, on increasing the length of the 2-substituted side chain from methyl to ethyl and *n*-pentyl, the reactivities and enantioselectivities dropped gradually when (*S,S*)-**6** was used as the catalyst (entries 1-3). On the basis of better reactivity obtained by catalyst (*R,R*)-**3** (Table 1, entry 3 vs entry 13), the substrates bearing alkyl chains were further hydrogenated under the following conditions: 1.0 mol% (*R,R*)-**3**, 50 atm of H₂ and 30 °C. Despite slightly low enantioselectivities, full conversions were achieved in all cases, and the enantioselectivities were relatively insensitive to the length of the side chain (entries 4-9). However, the quinoline with a hydroxyl group at the side chain gave much low reactivity (entry 9). Interestingly, the substrates **1h-j** were hydrogenated smoothly with (*S,S*)-**6** as catalyst, and the presence of a substituted group on the 6-position had no obvious effect on either yield or enantioselectivity (entries 10-12). Notably, when asymmetric hydrogenation of **1a** and **1h** were carried out at a substrate/catalyst ratio of 500:1, respectively, high conversions were observed in both cases, albeit with slightly low enantioselectivities (entries 13 and 14). Unfortunately, the hydrogenation of 2-phenyl-substituted quinoline offered much lower enantioselectivity and reactivity than those of 2-alkylated quinolines catalyzed by (*R,R*)-**3** (entry 15).



Scheme 2 Scaled-up synthesis of 6-fluoro-2-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline.

The usefulness of the present work was exemplified in the scaled-up synthesis of 6-fluoro-2-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline, which is a key intermediate for the synthesis of antibacterial agent of (*S*)-flumequine.¹³ Hydrogenation of the easily available substrate **1j** was carried out on a 0.5 g scale in the presence of (*S,S*)-**6** (1.0 mol%), giving the tetrahydroquinoline (*S*)-**2j** in 92% yield with 98% ee (Scheme 2).

Conclusions

In summary, we have developed the first highly effective asymmetric hydrogenation of quinolines in neat undegassed water catalyzed by phosphine-free Ru-diamine catalysts. Excellent enantioselectivities were obtained, which are comparable to those obtained in organic solvents. On the basis of the fact that inert gas protection is not needed throughout the entire operation, it thus provides a more practical and greener synthetic approach for the synthesis of a variety of optically active 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline derivatives.

Notes and references

^a CAS Key Laboratory of Molecular Recognition and Function, Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), Beijing 100190, China. E-mail: fanqh@iccas.ac.cn; Fax: (+86)-10-62554449.

^b Key Laboratory of Environmentally Friendly Chemistry of the Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry, Xiangtan University, Xiangtan, Hunan 411105, China.

[†] Financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 20973178), the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program, No. 2011CB808600), and the Chinese Academy of Sciences is greatly acknowledged.

[‡] Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c000000x/

- For selected reviews, see: (a) P. Tundo, P. Anastas, D. St. C. Black, J. Breen, T. Collins, S. Memoli, J. Miyamoto, M. Polyakoff and W. Tunes, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 2000, **72**, 1207; (b) R. A. Sheldon, *Green Chem.*, 2007, **9**, 1273; (c) C.-J. Li and T. H. Chan, John Wiley, *Organic Reactions in Aqueous Media*, New York, 1997; (d) C.-J. Li, *Chem. Rev.*, 1993, **93**, 2023.
- (a) D. C. Rideout and R. Breslow, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1980, **102**, 7816; (b) S. Narayan, J. Muldoon, M. G. Finn, V. V. Fokin, H. C. Kolb and K. B. Sharpless, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 3275; (c) M. C. Pirrung, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2006, **12**, 1312; (d) Y. Jung and R. A. Marcus, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 5492.
- For recent reviews on organic synthesis 'in water' and 'on water', see: (a) M.-O. Simon and C.-J. Li, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 1415; (b) R. N. Butler and A. G. Coyne, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 6302; (c) K. H. Shaughnessy, *Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **109**, 643; (d) A. Chanda and V. V. Fokin, *Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **109**, 725; (e) U. M. Lindström and F. Andersson, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 548; (f) T. Dwars, E. Paetzold and G. Oehme, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 7174.
- (a) T. Dwars and G. Oehme, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2002, **344**, 239. (b) D. Sinou, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2002, **344**, 221; (c) A. Wolfson, I. E. J. Vankelecom, S. Geresh and P. A. Jacobs, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2003, **198**, 39; (d) S. Liu and J. Xiao, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2007, **270**, 1; (e) U. M. Lindström, *Chem. Rev.*, 2002, **102**, 2751; For selected recent examples of asymmetric hydrogenation in water, see: (f) T. Dwars, U. Schmidt, C. Fischer, I. Grassert, R. Kempe, R. Fröhlich, K. Drauz, G. Oehme, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1998, **37**, 2851; (g) T. V. RajanBabu, Y. Yan, S. Shin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 10207; (h) K. Yonehara, K. Ohe, S. Uemura, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1999, **64**, 9381; (i) R. Hoen, S. Leleu, P. N. M. Botman, V. A. M. Appelman, B. L. Feringa, H. Hiemstra, A. J. Minnaard, J. H. van Maarseveen, *Org. Bio. Chem.*, 2006, **4**, 613.
- For selected reviews, see: (a) A. R. Katritzky, S. Rachwal and B. Rachwal, *Tetrahedron*, 1996, **52**, 15031; (b) J. D. Scott and R. M. Williams, *Chem. Rev.*, 2002, **102**, 1669.
- For reviews on asymmetric hydrogenation of heteroaromatic compounds, see: (a) P. J. Dyson, *Dalton Trans.*, 2003, **32**, 2964; (b) F. Glorius, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2005, **3**, 4171; (c) Y.-G. Zhou, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2007, **40**, 1357; (d) R. Kuwano, *Heterocycles*, 2008, **76**, 909; (e) D.-S. Wang, Q.-A. Chen, S.-M. Lu and Y.-G. Zhou, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 2557; (f) Y.-M. He, F.-T. Song and Q.-H. Fan, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2014, **343**, 145; (g) J. Xie and Q. Zhou, *Acta Chim. Sinica*, 2012, **70**, 1427.
- For selected recent examples of asymmetric hydrogenation of quinolones in organic solvent, see: (a) W.-B. Wang, S.-M. Lu, P.-Y. Yang, X.-W. Han and Y.-G. Zhou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 10536; (b) S.-M. Lu, X.-W. Han and Y.-G. Zhou, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2004, **346**, 909; (c) D.-W. Wang, X.-B. Wang, D.-S. Wang, S.-M. Lu, Y.-G. Zhou and Y.-X. Li, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, **74**, 2780. (d) D.-S. Wang and Y.-G. Zhou, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2010, **51**, 3014; (e) L. Xu, K.

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

COMMUNICATION

Journal Name

- H. Lam, J. Ji, J. Wu, Q.-H. Fan, W.-H. Lo and A. S. C. Chan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, **41**, 1390; (f) W.-J. Tang, S.-F. Zhu, L.-J. Xu, Q.-L. Zhou, Q.-H. Fan, H.-F. Zhou, K. Lam and A. S. C. Chan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2007, **43**, 613; (g) Z.-J. Wang, G.-J. Deng, Y. Li, Y.-M. He, W.-J. Tang and Q.-H. Fan, *Org. Lett.*, 2007, **9**, 1243. (h) M. Reetz and X. Li, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, 2159; (i) N. Mršić, L. Lefort, J. A. F. Boogers, A. J. Minnaard, B. L. Feringa and J. G. de Vries, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2008, **350**, 1081; (j) H. Tadaoka, D. Cartigny, T. Nagano, T. Gosavi, T. Ayad, J. P. Genêt, T. Ohshima, V. Ratovelomanana-Vidal and K. Mashima, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 9990; (k) J. Hu, D. Wang, Z. Zheng, and X. Hu, *Chinese J. Chem.*, 2012, **30**, 2664.
- 8 Asymmetric hydrogenation of quinoline with water/silane as the hydrogen source, see: D.-W. Wang, D.-S. Wang, Q.-A. Chen and Y.-G. Zhou, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2010, **16**, 1133.
- 9 For selected reviews, see: (a) R. Noyori and S. Hashiguchi, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1997, **30**, 97; (b) S. Gladiali and E. Alberico, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2006, **35**, 226; (c) T. Ikariya and A. J. Blacker, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2007, **40**, 1300; For pioneering contributions, see: (d) S. Hashiguchi, A. Fujii, J. Takehara, T. Ikariya and R. Noyori, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 7562; (e) A. Fujii, S. Hashiguchi, N. Uematsu, T. Ikariya and R. Noyori, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **118**, 2521; (f) N. Uematsu, A. Fujii, S. Hashiguchi, T. Ikariya and R. Noyori, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 4916.
- 10 For reviews on asymmetric transfer hydrogenation in water, see: (a) X. Wu and J. Xiao, *Chem. Commun.*, 2007, **43**, 2449; (b) X. Wu, C. Wang and J. Xiao, *Platinum Metals Rev.*, 2010, **54**, 3; For selected examples of asymmetric transfer hydrogenation in water, see: (c) X. Wu, X. Li, W. Hems, F. King and J. Xiao, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2004, **2**, 1818; (d) X. Wu, X. Li, F. King and J. Xiao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 3407; (e) X. Wu, J. Liu, D. D. Tommaso, J. A. Iggo, C. R. A. Catlow, J. Bacsa and J. Xiao, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2008, **14**, 7699; (f) Y. Ma, H. Liu, L. Chen, X. Cui, J. Zhu and J. Deng, *Org. Lett.*, 2003, **5**, 2103; (g) F. Wang, H. Liu, L. Cun, J. Zhu, J. Deng and Y. Jiang, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2005, **70**, 9424; (h) Y. Tang, J. Xiang, L. Cun, Y. Wang, J. Zhu, J. Liao, J. Deng, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2010, **21**, 1900; (i) J. Wu, F. Wang, Y. Ma, X. Cui, L. Cun, J. Zhu, J. Deng and B. Yu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, **42**, 1766; (j) J. Canivet and G. Süß-Fink, *Green Chem.*, 2007, **9**, 391; (k) L. Li, J. Wu, F. Wang, J. Liao, H. Zhang, C. Lian, J. Zhu and J. Deng, *Green Chem.*, 2007, **9**, 23; (l) Y. Tang, X. Li, C. Lian, J. Zhu and J. Deng, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2011, **22**, 1530; (m) L. Wang, Q. Zhou, C. Qu, Q. Wang, L. Cun, J. Zhu and J. Deng, *Tetrahedron*, 2013, **69**, 6500. (n) J. Li, Y. Tang, Q. Wang, X. Li, L. Cun, X. Zhang, J. Zhu, L. Li and J. Deng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 18522; (o) M. A. Ariger and E. M. Carreira, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, **14**, 4522; (p) B. Seashore-Ludlow, F. Saint-Dizier and P. Somfai, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, **14**, 6334; (q) X. Huang, N. Li, Z. Geng, F. Pan and X. Wang, *Chinese J. Chem.*, 2012, **30**, 2657.
- 11 (a) H. Zhou, Z. Li, Z. Wang, T. Wang, L. Xu, Y. He, Q.-H. Fan, J. Pan, L. Gu and A. S. C. Chan, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 8464; (b) T. Wang, L. Zhuo, Z. Li, F. Chen, Z. Ding, Y. He, Q.-H. Fan, J. Xiang, Z.-X. Yu and A. S. C. Chan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 9878; (c) Z. Wang, H. Zhou, T. Wang, Y. He and Q.-H. Fan, *Green Chem.*, 2009, **11**, 767; (d) Z.-Y. Ding, T. Wang, Y.-M. He, F. Chen, H.-F. Zhou, Q.-H. Fan, Q. Guo and A. S. C. Chan, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2013, **355**, 3727.
- 12 C. Wang, C. Li, X. Wu, A. Pettman and J. Xiao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 6524.
- 13 J. Bálint, G. Egri, E. Fogassy, Z. Böcskei, K. Simon, A. Gajáry and A. Friesz, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 1999, **10**, 1079.

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto