
Catalysis Science & Technology

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



Ferrocene as a Scaffold for Effective Bifunctional Amine-Thiourea Organocatalysts

Wei Yao, Ming Chen, Xueyin Liu, Ru Jiang, Shengyong Zhang*, Weiping Chen*

This work demonstrates that ferrocene could be an excellent scaffold for chiral organocatalysts.

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

Ferrocene as a Scaffold for Effective Bifunctional Amine-Thiourea **Organocatalysts**

Wei Yao[‡], Ming Chen[‡], Xueyin Liu, Ru Jiang, Shengyong Zhang*, Weiping Chen*

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX 5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

A simple and readily accessible prototype of the ferrocenebifunctional amine-thioureas based shows high enanntioselectivity in the Michael addition of acetylacetone to nitroolefins, giving the enantioselectivity of up to 96% ee. 10 This work demonstrates that, in accord with metal catalysis, ferrocene could be an excellent scaffold for chiral organocatalysts.

Since the pioneering work of Jacobsen, 1 Schreiner 2 and Takemoto, ³ bifunctional amine-thiourea, which incorporates both 15 Lewis/Brøsted acid and base functionalities into a chiral scaffold within the same molecule, has become one of the most versatile in asymmetric organocatalysis and been very successful catalysts for numerous enantioselective reactions. 4,5 Despite their tremendous utility, these organocatalysts are derived from a very 20 limited range of chiral structural scaffolds. Typical catalysts of this family include the 1,2-diamine derivatives A (Takemoto catalyst) (Figure 1),³ the *cinchona*-alkaloid-derived catalysts such as B, developed independently by four research groups, 6 and binaphthyl based catalysts C.⁷ The key to the success of these 25 catalysts is their ability to activate both nucleophilic and electrophilic substrates independently and simultaneously by the discrete functionalities, amine and thiourea, within the same catalyst, and to control their encounter in a well-defined chiral environment.

30 Ferrocene is a "privileged framework" for the construction of effective chiral ligands in metal catalysis due to its specific and unique geometries (adequate rigidity, steric bulkiness and planar chirality), electronic (redox) properties, easy accessibility and derivatization, as well as stability, 8 Surprisingly, ferrocene has 35 not been exploited as a backbone of organocatalysts⁹ excepting for the use of the planar chiral DMAP¹⁰ and PIP¹¹ as acyl transfer catalysts for the kinetic resolution of racemic alcohols and amines, as well as simple chiral ferrocene-based phosphines as nucleophilic organocatalysts for the enantioselective boration of 40 olefins, 12 dimerizations of ketenes, 13 [3+2] cyclizations 14 and (aza-)Morita-Baylis-Hillman reaction. 15 As a part of our continuous research on the development of ferrocene-based chiral ligands and catalysts, 16 we are interested in exploring the potential of ferrocene moiety as a scaffold for effective 45 organocatalysts. 17 We envisioned that, in the ferrocene-based bifunctional amine-thioureas with general structure **D** (Figure 1), the rigid, bulky, planar and carbon-centered chiral ferrocene moiety provided effective spatial arrangement, and should be an

ideal scaffold for organocatalysts, allowing the catalytic 50 transformation with excellent enantioselectivity. Herein, we describe the preliminary results of a simple and readily accessible prototype of the ferrocene-based bifunctional amine-thioureas as an organocatalyst for the enantioselective Michael addition of acetylacetone to nitroolefins. To the best of our knowledge, this is 55 the first example of ferrocene-based bifunctional amine-thioureas. Known bifunctional amine-thiourea catalysts

(Takemoto catalyst) Àr В NR_2 C

This work: Ferrocene-based bifunctional amine-thioureas

Figure 1. Structure of bifunctional amine-thioureas

A simple prototype of the ferrocene-based bifunctional amine-60 thioureas, (R_C, S_{EC}) -1, is readily synthesized from (R)-Ugi's amine (R)-2 in three steps (Scheme 1). Thus, Lithiation of (R)-2 with t-BuLi (0 °C \sim rt, 1 h) followed by reaction with ptoluenesulfonyl azide gave the azide $(R_C S_{Fc})$ -3 in 82% yield.

 $(R_C S_{Fc})$ -3 was hydrogenated in the presence of 5% Pd-C at a H₂ pressure of 1 bar to afford the diamine $(R_C S_{Fc})$ -4 in 93% yield. Finally, the bifunctional amine-thiourea $(R_C S_{Fc})$ -1 was obtained in 86% yield by reaction of $(R_G S_{Fc})$ -4 with 3,5-⁵ bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl isothiocyanate.

NMe₂ a, b Fe N₃
$$C$$
 R_{C}, S_{Fc})-3 R_{C} R_{C}, S_{Fc})-3 R_{C} R_{C}

Reagents and conditions: a) t-BuLi, TBME, 0 °C~rt, 1 h; b) toluenesulfonyl azide, TBME, -78 °C~rt, 5 h; c) H₂, 5% Pd-C, ¹⁰ MeOH, rt, 4 h; d) 3,5-(CF₃)₂C₆H₃NCS; CH₂Cl₂, rt, 4 h.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of ferrocene-based bifunctional aminethiourea (R_C , S_{Fc})-1

In order to demonstrate the potential of ferrocene as a scaffold for 15 organocatalysts, the performance of $(R_C S_{Fc})$ -1 was initially evaluated in the model Michael addition of trans-β-nitrostyrene 5a with acetylacetone 6 in the presence of 10 mol% of catalyst at room temperature, and the results are collected in Table 1. The choice of solvent plays a critical role in the reaction. Reactions in 20 chlorinated solvents (dichloromethane, CHCl₃ and 1,2dichloroethane) afforded the desired Michael adduct (R)-7a with moderate to good yields (55-78%) and enantioselectivities (41-70%) ee) (Table 1, entries 1-3). More polar solvent, such as CH₃CN, dioxane and N.N-dimethylformide, decreased remarkably the 25 enantioselectivity (entries 4-6) while almost racemate was obtained with a protic solvent, such as MeOH and EtOH, or very polar solvent dimethylsulfoxide (entries 7-9). Nonpolar solvents improved the enantioselectivity (entries 10-13). Like most Michael additions of β-nitrostyrene with acetylacetone catalyzed 30 by bifunctional amine-thioureas, 18 toluene is the best solvent in the reaction (entry 12), possibly due to an increased hydrogen bonding activation of β -nitrostyrene by $(R_C S_{Fc})$ -1 in the nonpolar solvent. Lowering of the catalyst loading to 5 mol % led to a significant decrease in the enantioselectivity (entries 15). 35 Interestingly, increase of the reaction temperature from 25 °C to 40 °C had only a marginal effect on enantioselectivity (entry 12 vs 16) while the selectivity decreased remarkably when the temperature changed from 40 °C to 50 °C (entry 16 vs 17). Surprisingly, lowering the reaction temperature from 25 °C to 40 0 °C had no beneficial on enantioselectivity (entry 18).

Table 1 Asymmetric Michael addition of acetylacetone to trans-βnitrostyrene catalyzed by amine-thiourea (R_C , S_{Fc})-1.

Entry	Solvent	(R_C, S_{Fc}) -1	Temp(Yield (%) ^b	ee (%) ^{c,d}
		(x mol%)	℃)	(%)	(70)
1	CH ₂ Cl ₂	10	25	55	41
2	CHCl₃	10	25	65	40
3	$(CICH_2)_2$	10	25	78	70
4	MeCN	10	25	69	12
5	Dioxane	10	25	45	19
6	DMF	10	25	80	13
7	MeOH	10	25	35	~0
8	EtOH	10	25	40	~0
9	DMSO	10	25	55	~0
10	THF	10	25	65	64
11	CCI ₄	10	25	82	71
12	Toluene	10	25	75	81
13	o-Xylene	10	25	85	79
14	Toluene	15	25	78	80
15	Toluene	5	25	50	68
16	Toluene	10	40	90	78
17	Toluene	10	50	90	56
18 ^e	Toluene	10	0	65	73

⁴⁵ ^aUnless otherwise specified, the reactions were performed with 0.2 mmol of 5a and 0.4 mmol of 6 in 1.0 mL of solvent for 60 h. ^bIsolated yield. ^cDetermined by chiral HPLC analysis. ^cAbsolute configuration was assigned by comparing the optical rotation value with that reported in the literature. eReacted for 72 h.

Following the establishment of a set of acceptable reaction conditions: 6 (0.40 mmol, 2.0 equiv) and 5a (0.20 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 1.0 mL of toluene with 10 mol % of $(R_C S_{Fc})$ -1 at 25 °C for 60 h, the substrate scope was explored. As shown in Table 1, 55 all the nitrostyrenes bearing either electron-donating or electronwithdrawing substituents on the aromatic ring gave the desired Michael adducts in good to excellent yields enantioselectivities. Normally, higher enantioselectivities were obtained with the nitrostyrenes having a substituent in the 2-60 position of the phenyl ring (Table 1, enties 2-5), and the 2-chloro derivative gave the highest enantioselectivity (96% ee, entry 2). The preliminary results indicate that the rigid, bulky, planar and carbon-centered chiral ferrocene moiety is indeed an excellent scaffold for bifunctional amine-thiourea, which catalyzes the 65 Michael addition of a variety of trans-β-nitrostyrene with acetylacetone in high enantioselectivity.

Table 2. Asymmetric Michael addition of acetylacetone to trans-β-70 nitrostyrene catalyzed by amine-thiourea (R_C , S_{Fc})-1.

70

85

Ar	Product	Yield (%) ^b	ee (%) ^{c,d}
C ₆ H ₅ (5a)	7a	75	81
2-CI-C ₆ H ₄ (5b)	7b	85	96
2-Br-C ₆ H ₄ (5c)	7c	88	92
2-F-C ₆ H ₄ (5d)	7d	92	89
2-NO ₂ -C ₆ H ₄ (5e)	7e	78	90
2-CH ₃ O-C ₆ H ₄ (5f)	7f	81	83
$2,3-Cl_2-C_6H_3$ (5g)	7g	95	95
$2,4-Cl_2-C_6H_3$ (5h)	7h	90	83
3-CH ₃ O-C ₆ H ₄ (5i)	7i	78	85
3-Br-C ₆ H ₄ (5j)	7 j	75	80
$4-CH_3O-C_6H_4$ (5k)	7k	72	74
4-CI-C ₆ H ₄ (5I)	71	70	84
4-Br-C ₆ H ₄ (5m)	7m	64	80
4-F-C ₆ H ₄ (5n)	7n	65	90
4-CF ₃ -C ₆ H ₄ (50)	7o	75	78
4-CH ₃ -C ₆ H ₄ (5p)	7p	68	89
$4-EtO-C_6H_4$ (5q)	7q	72	78
1-naphthyl (5r)	7r	72	88
2-naphthyl (5s)	7s	65	77
2-furyl (5t)	7t	80	81
	C ₆ H ₅ (5a) 2-Cl-C ₆ H ₄ (5b) 2-Br-C ₆ H ₄ (5c) 2-F-C ₆ H ₄ (5d) 2-NO ₂ -C ₆ H ₄ (5e) 2-CH ₃ O-C ₆ H ₄ (5f) 2,3-Cl ₂ -C ₆ H ₃ (5g) 2,4-Cl ₂ -C ₆ H ₃ (5h) 3-CH ₃ O-C ₆ H ₄ (5i) 3-Br-C ₆ H ₄ (5i) 4-Cl-C ₆ H ₄ (5i) 4-F-C ₆ H ₄ (5m) 4-F-C ₆ H ₄ (5m) 4-F-C ₆ H ₄ (5m) 4-FC ₃ -C ₆ H ₄ (5p) 4-EtO-C ₆ H ₄ (5p) 4-EtO-C ₆ H ₄ (5q) 1-naphthyl (5r) 2-naphthyl (5s)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ (\%)^b $ $ C_6H_5 (5a) $

^aReaction conditions: 6 (0.40 mmol, 2.0 equiv) and 5 (0.20 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 1.0 mL of toluene with 10 mol % of (R_c, S_{Fc}) -1 at 25 °C for 60 h. bIsolated yield. Determined by chiral HPLC analysis. ^dAbsolute configuration was assigned by comparing the 5 optical rotation value with those reported in the literature.

The absolute configuration of the Michael adducts was assigned as (R) by comparing the optical rotation value with those reported in the literature. ¹⁸ A plausible transition state for $(R_C S_{Fc})$ -1 10 catalyzed Michael reaction was proposed (Figure 2). The dimethylamino group of $(R_C S_{Fc})$ -1 deprotonates an acidic proton of acetylacetone, generating the enolate. Meanwhile the nitrostyrene is activated by the hydrogen bonding interaction of nitro group with thiourea, in which the bottom Cp ring of 15 ferrocene forces the phenyl ring to orient above the upper Cp ring of ferrocene. Then the activated acetylacetone enolate attacks the thiourea-activated nitroolefin from the Si-face, leading to the formation of (R)-adducts.

Si-face attack

20 Figure 2. Plausible transition-state model of Michael reaction

Conclusions

In summary, a simple and readily accessible ferrocene-based bifunctional amine-thiourea $(R_C S_{Fc})$ -1 is highly effective in the Michael addition of acetylacetone to nitroolefins, giving the 25 enantioselectivity of up to 96% ee. This work demonstrates that, in accord with metal catalysis, ferrocene could be an excellent scaffold for chiral organocatalysts for the first time. Work is actively under way in our lab to modify the structure of ferrocene-based bifunctional amine-thioureas, unravel 30 structure–reactivity–enantioselectivity relations, expand application to other valuable transformations and develop other type of organocatalysts based on ferrocene backbone.

We thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China 35 (21272271) for financial support.

Notes and references

School of Pharmacy, Fourth Military Medical University, 169 Changle West Road, Xi'an, 710032, P. R. China; E-mail: wpchen@fmmu.edu.cn

- 40 ‡ These authors contributed equally to this work.
- † Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/
- M. S. Sigman and E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 45 1
 - P. R. Schreiner and A. Wittkopp, Org. Lett., 2002, 4, 217. 2
- a) T. Okino, Y. Hoashi and Y. Takemoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 12672; b) T. Okino, Y. Hoashi, T. Furukawa, X. Xu and Y. Takemoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 119; c) H. Miyabe and Y. Takemoto, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 2008, 81, 785; d) Y. Takemoto, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 2010, 58, 593.
- For recent reviews on bifunctional amine-thiourea, see: a) Z. Zhang and P. R. Schreiner, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2009, 38, 1187; b) S. J. Connon, Synlett, 2009, 354; c) W.-Y. Siau and J. Wang, Catal. Sci. 55 Technol., 2011, 1, 1298; d) S. Narayanaperumal, D. G. Rivera, R. C. Silva and M. W. Paixao, ChemCatChem, 2013, 5, 2756; e) O. V. Serdyuk, C. M. Heckel and S. B. Tsogoeva, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2013, 11, 7051; f) G. Jakab and P. R. Schreiner in Comprehensive Enantioselective Organocatalysis, P. I. Dalko Ed., Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2013, pp315-342.
 - For some of the most recent examples of bifunctional aminethioureas in organocatalysis, see: a) M. P. Lalonde, M. A. McGowan, N. S. Rajapaksa and E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 1891; b) Y. Fukata, K. Asano and S. Matsubara, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 12160; c) Z. Jin, J. Xu, S. Yang, B.-A. Song and Y. R. Chi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 12354; d) B. Qiao, Y. An, Q. Liu, W. Yang, H. Liu, J. Shen, L. Yan and Z. Jiang, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 2358; e) C. B. Tripathi and S. Mukherjee, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 8450; f) R. L. Farmer, and K. A. Scheidt, Chem. Sci., 2013, 4, 3304; g) R. Rohlmann, C.-G. Daniliuc and O. G. Mancheño, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 11665; h) T. Tite, M. Sabbah, V. Vincent Levacher and J.-F. Brière, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 11569; i) J. Li, T. Du, G. Zhang and Y. Peng, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 1330; j) R. Miyaji, K. Asano and S. Matsubara, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 3658; k) S. Meninno, G. Croce and A. Lattanzi, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 3436; l) B. Qiao, Y. An, Q. Liu, W. Yang, H. Liu, J. Shen, L. Yan and Z. Jiang, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 2358; m) S. Rajkumar, K. Shankland, J. M. Goodman and A. J. A. Cobb, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 1386; n) Q. Guo and J. C.-G. Zhao, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 508; o) D. Bastida, Y. Liu, X. Tian, E. Escudero-Adán and P. Melchiorre, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 220; p) A. Quintavalla, F. Lanza, E. Montroni, M. Lombardo and C. Trombini, J. Org. Chem., 2013, 78, 12049; q) S. Perera, D. Sinha, N. K. Rana, V. Trieu-Do and J. C.-G. Zhao, J. Org. Chem., 2013, 78, 10947; r) W.-Y. Han, J.-Q. Zhao, Z.-J. Wu, X.-M. Zhang and W.-C. Yuan, J. Org. Chem., 2013, 78, 10541; s) Z. Mao, A. Lin, Y. Shi, H. Mao, W. Li, Y. Cheng and C. Zhu, J. Org. Chem., 2013, 78, 10233; t) B.-D. Cui, W.-Y. Han, Z.-J. Wu, X.-M. Zhang and W.-C. Yuan, J. Org. Chem., 2013, 78, 8833; u) A. Noole, K. Ilmarinen, I. Järving, M. Lopp and T. Kanger, J.

- Org. Chem., 2013, 78, 8117; v) B. Zhou, Y, Yang, J. Shi, Z. Luo and Y. Li, J. Org. Chem., 2013, 78, 2897; w) Z.-X. Jia, Y.-C. Luo, X.N. Cheng, P.-F. Xu and Y.-C. Gu, J. Org. Chem., 2013, 78, 6488; x) W.-T. Meng, Y. Zheng, J. Nie, H.-Y. Xiong and J.-A. Ma, J. Org. Chem., 2013, 78, 559; y) Y. Gao and D.-M. Du, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 2013, 24, 1312; z) J. Guang and J. C.-G. Zhao, Tetrahedron Lett., 2013, 54, 5703.
- a) B. Vakulya, S. Varga, A. Csámpai and T. Soós, *Org. Lett.*, 2005, 7, 1967; b) S. H. McCooey and S. J. Connon, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, 44, 6367; c) J. Ye, D. J. Dixon and P. S. Hynes, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, 4481; (c) B.-J. Li, L. Jiang, M. Liu, Y.-C. Chen, L.-S. Ding and Y. Wu, *Synlett*, 2005, 603.
- 7 J. Wang, H. Li, W. Duan, L. Zu and W. Wang, Org. Lett., 2005, 7, 4713.
- Selected reviews on ferrocene-based chiral ligands, see: a) T. Hayashi in *Ferrocenes*, A. Togni and T. Hayashi Ed., Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1995, pp105–142. b) R. G. Arrayás, J. Adrio and J. C. Carretero, *Angew. Chem., Int. Engl.*, 2006, 45, 7674; c) H.-C. Blaser, W. Chen, F. Camponovo and A. Togni, In *Ferrocenes: Ligands*,
- Materials and Biomolecules, P. Stepnicka Ed., Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2008, pp205-236; d) W. Chen and H.-U. Blaser, In Phosphorus Ligands in Asymmetric Catalysis, A. Börner, Ed., 2008, pp359-392; e) Chiral Ferrocenes in Asymmetric Catalysis. L. Dai and X. Hou Ed., Wiley-VCH, 2010.
- 25 9 Very recently, ferrocenyl pyrrolidines, O-ferrocenoyl hydroxyprolines and ferrocenyl proline amides have been used as organocatalysts in enamine catalysis. However, in these works, the ferrocenyl moiety should be regarded as a mere substituent rather than a backbone because the most important feature of ferrocene
- scaffold, planar chirality, is not involved. a) D. Petruzziello, M. Stenta, A. Mazzanti and P. G. Cozzi, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 7696; b) L. A. Al-Momani and A. Lataifeh, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2013, **394**, 176; c) A. Patti and S. Pedotti, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, 624.
- a) G. C. Fu, Acc. Chem. Res., 2000, 33, 412; b) G. C. Fu, Acc. Chem.
 Res., 2004, 37, 542; c) S. Y. Lee, J. M. Murphy, A. Ukai and G. C. Fu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 15149, and references therein.
 - 11 B. Hu, M. Meng, Z. Wang, W. Du, J. S. Fossey, X. Hu and W.-P. Deng, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 17041.
- A. Bonet, H. Gulyás and E. Fernández, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010,
 49, 5130.
- 13 A. A. Ibrahim, P.-H. Wei, G. D. Harzmann and N. J. Kerrigan, J. Org. Chem., 2010, 75, 7901.
- 14 a) A. Voituriez, A. Panossian, N. Fleury-Brégeot, P. Retailleau and A. Marinetti, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 14030; b) A. Voituriez, A.
- Panossian, N. Fleury-Brégeot, P. Retailleau and A. Marinetti, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2009, 351, 1968; c) N. Pinto, M. Neel, A. Panossian, P. Retailleau, G. Frison, A. Voituriez and A. Marinetti, Chem. Eur. J., 2010, 16, 1033; d) A. Voituriez, N. Pinto, M. Neel, P. Retailleau and A. Marinetti, Chem. Eur. J., 2010, 16, 12541; e) N. Pinto, P. Retailleau, A. Voituriez and A. Marinetti, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 1015.
- 15 a) S. I. Pereira, J. Adrio, A. M. S. Silva and J. C. Carretero, J. Org. Chem., 2005, 70, 10175; b) S. Takizawa, E. Rémond, F. A. Arteaga, Y. Yoshida, V. Sridharan, J. Bayardon, S. Jugé and H. Sasai, Chem.
- *Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 8392.
- 16 a) W. Chen, W. Mbafor, S. M. Roberts and J. Whittall, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 3922; b) W. Chen, S. M. Roberts, J. Whittall and A. Steiner, Chem. Commun., 2006, 2916; c) W. Chen, P. J. McCormack, K. Mohammed, W. Mbafor, S. M. Roberts and J.
- Whittall, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 4141; d) W. Chen, F. Spindler, B. Pugin and U. Nettekoven, Angew., Chem. Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 8652; e) H. Nie, G. Zhou, Q. Wang, W. Chen and S. Zhang, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 2013, 24, 1567; f) Q. Wang, X. Liu, X. Liu, B. Li, H. Nie, S. Zhang and W. Chen, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 978.
- 17 Recently, we reported bifunctional ferrocene-based squaramide-phosphine as an organocatalyst for highly enantioselective intramolecular Morita-Baylis-Hillman reaction. X. Zhang, P. Ma, D. Zhang, Y. Lei, S. Zhang, R. Jiang, W. Chen, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2014, 12, DOI: 10.1039/C4OB00137K.

a) J. M. Andres, R. Manzano and R. Pedrosa, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2008, 14, 5116; b) P. Gao, C. Tang, C. Wang, Y. Wu and Z. Zhou, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2008, 4563; c) X. Jiang, L. Lai, X. Liu, R. Wang, L. Wu, G. Zhang, J. Zhang, Y. Zhang, X. Jiang, L. Lai and R. Wang, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, 74, 5562; d) F.-Z. Peng, X.-W. Pu, Z.-H. Shao and H.-B. Zhang, *Tetrahedron*, 2010, 66, 3655; e) D. P. Gavin and J. C. Stephens, *Arkivoc*, 2011, 407; f) X. Gao, L.-J. Huo, Y.-X. Liu, Z.-W. Ma, J.-C. Tao, Y.-X. Liu and J.-C. Tao, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2012, 23, 443; g) V. Bloch, M. Gandelman, G. Nisnevich and G. Suez, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, 2118.