Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

*Accepted Manuscripts* are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](http://www.rsc.org/Publishing/Journals/guidelines/AuthorGuidelines/JournalPolicy/accepted_manuscripts.asp).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](http://www.rsc.org/help/termsconditions.asp) and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/catalysis



1000x800mm (78 x 78 DPI)

# **Dehydration of xylose and glucose to furan derivatives using bifunctional partially hydroxylated MgF2 catalysts and N2-stripping**

**I. Agirrezabal-Telleria [a],\*, Y. Guo [b], F. Hemmann [c], P.L. Arias [a], E. Kemnitz [b]** 

The current furfural production yield is low due to the use of non-selective homogeneous catalysts and expensive separation. In this work, partially hydroxylated MgF<sub>2</sub> catalysts, synthesized using different water contents, were screened during xylose dehydration in water/toluene at 160 °C. The different Lewis/Brønsted ratios on the  $MgF<sub>2</sub>$  catalysts showed that under-coordinated Mg can isomerize the xylose to xylulose, whilst the surface OH-groups were responsible for the dehydration reactions. The presence of glucose as cocarbohydrate reduced the furfural selectivity from 86 to 81%, whilst it also showed high 5-hydroxymethylfurfural selectivity. The tests catalyzed by  $MgF_2$  in combination with simultaneous N<sub>2</sub>-stripping showed that furfural selectivity of 87% could be achieved using low xylose loadings. Moreover, the catalysts regenerated by  $H_2O_2$  showed high activity during the dehydration tests in water/toluene at 160 °C.

Keywords: bifunctional MgF<sub>2</sub> • Lewis/Brønsted • carbohydrates • furan derivatives • N<sub>2</sub>-stripping

### **1.Introduction**

In the past years, several environmental and economic issues related to petroleum-derived fuels and chemicals have arisen, so the interest for the design of biorefineries and the research related to novel catalytic systems has renewed [1]. In this sense, second generation fuels can directly affect the feasibility of integrated strategies to use lignocellulosic wastes as bio-based product source. Given the high oxygen content of biomass derived carbohydrates, a key process to efficiently reduce it is by dehydration, operating in aqueous phase. Among furan-based chemicals, furfural (FUR) is a widely used chemical for applications such as industrial solvent or precursor for high value-added products. FUR is industrially produced from the pentosan-rich biomass (bagasse or corncob) via xylose cyclodehydration, obtaining low FUR yields (50%) [2]. Three main issues appear in the manufacturing processes of biomassderived furfural:

1. The use of homogeneous  $H_2SO_4$  type catalysts, increasing the corrosion and separation issues, as well as achieving low furfural selectivity.

2. The separation technology is based on steam, showing high energy requirements for its production and diluting the stripped stream, which increases the subsequent distillation costs [3].

3. The furfural production technologies are limited to the upgrading of the hemicellulose in the biomass. In this sense, interesting and feasible routes, such as the glucose dehydration reactions to 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF), are required.

For these reasons, the improvement of appropriate catalyst design and sustainable chemical technologies remains of great interest for the growth of furan-based industry.

Among the novel catalysts, most of the recent publications aimed to maximize the FUR production by using heterogeneous catalysts and biphasic water/organic solvent systems [4] or ionicliquids [5]. The studied materials featured suitable textural and acid-site properties to reduce FUR degradation reactions and thus increase the FUR selectivity [6]. Valente and co-workers synthesized and tested several catalysts, ranging from sulfated zirconia [7], acidic cesium salts [8] and functionalized micromesoporous catalysts [9]. Other studies focused on the use of zeolitic structures [10, 11], metal oxides [12] or sulfonated silica-shells [13], showing high FUR yields.

In most of the studies, the reaction was catalyzed by Brønsted sites. The mechanism of this reaction has been mostly studied under the presence of heterogeneous [4] or homogeneous [3, 14] Brønsted (B) acid-sites. According to the proposed information, Brønsted sites shift the reaction to convert xylose to furfural without any intermediate [15]. Recently, the catalytic activity of different heterogeneous/homogeneous Lewis (L) and Brønsted acids [16], SAPO [17] and BEA-TUD [18] was also reported. Among the catalysts used for carbohydrate conversion, Lewis acid-sites have been mainly applied to upgrade glucose sugars as feed-source to achieve high HMF yields via its fructose isomer [1, 19, 20]. It is worth noting that some of the different types of Lewis sites might be transformed to Brønsted under the presence of water. In this sense, the acid properties and their nature play an important and complex role when correlating them to the catalytic carbohydrate conversion activity and to the reaction mechanism. In summary, the Lewis/Brønsted surface properties showed high relevance in order to design proper catalysts to produce furfural.

Among the catalysts featuring bifunctional properties, partially hydroxylated MgF<sub>2</sub> catalysts showed interesting properties to study carbohydrate dehydration type reactions, especially due to the tunable Lewis/Brønsted ratios [21]. According to the pyridine adsorption results, the under coordinated  $Mg^{2+}$  ions were responsible for the Lewis acidity, whereas the surface hydroxyl groups showed high Brønsted acidity [22, 23]. During the study of the glycerol acetylation catalytic activity, the authors found an optimum L/B ratio to maximize the production of diacetyl and triacetylglycerol. The Brønsted acidity could be modified using different fluorosulfonic precursors under different grafting conditions [24]. This way, tunable L/B ratios were achieved. These types of bifunctional catalysts show interesting applications to study the reaction mechanism and catalytic activity of xylose dehydration. In order to optimize the FUR yield, the competition between dehydration and degradation reactions could be controlled by varying the L/B ratios. In order to exploit the biggest fraction of the carbohydrate fraction in the biomass, glucose upgrading routes are required. However, it has to be taken into account that the use of glucose in the xylose feeding could considerably reduce the furfural production yield due to enhanced secondary reactions.

According to published reports, the carbohydrate conversion catalytic field can be properly studied under water/toluene biphasic batch conditions [26]. However, the industrial application of such conditions would increase solvent-furfural separation and purification costs. For this reason, novel

technologies using advanced separation systems, such as easily recyclable solvents, under mixed carbohydrate contents would be advisable. Recent studies focused on reactions in aqueous phase operating at high temperature [25] or using different stripping agents such as  $N_2$ , showing significant FUR yield improvement [26]. These conditions allowed to strip the FUR from the reaction medium at high selectivity, allowing an easier stripping agent/water separation and reducing further FUR purification stages. In order to apply the new separation techniques at industrial scale, more realistic reaction mixtures must be studied including not only xylose but also sugars derived from lignocellulosic biomass, such as glucose. This way, a complete upgrading route of a big fraction of the hemicellulosic-cellulosic fraction of biomass could be achieved. In order to achieve higher isomerization and dehydration reactions of xylose and glucose type molecules, bifunctional partially hydroxylated MgF<sub>2</sub> catalysts combined with novel separation processes seem an alternative to previously reported [27]. In summary, this work aims to find a solution to the catalytic and technological issues of the furfural manufacturing process, as well as to go beyond the current state-of-the-art studying the aspects previously mentioned:

1. Finding new alternatives in the catalysis field using bifunctional  $MgF_2$  catalysts to produce FUR under batch conditions. The tuning of their properties allows to control the simultaneous dehydration and side-reactions (Scheme 1).

2. Using a novel FUR separation technology, such as the semi- $\text{continuous } N_2\text{-stripping approach, combined with more selective}$ heterogeneous catalysts than previously reported [27].

3. The combination of such concepts using more realistic feedings than reported [24], including glucose. Given the Lewis  $+$  Brønsted nature of the partially hydroxylated MgF<sub>2</sub> catalysts, not only FUR, but also HMF could be simultaneously produced. Moreover, the catalyst stability and regeneration tests will prove the potential of such heterogeneous systems to upgrade hemicellulose-cellulose type biomass to interesting molecules. This would provide an alternative route to the current FUR manufacturing catalysis and technology.

# **2. Materials and methods**

## **2.1 Catalyst preparation**

The partially hydroxylated  $MgF_2$  catalysts were synthesized using metallic Mg and the fluorolytic sol-gel process: Mg (*Aldrich*, 99.98%) (7.8 g, 325 mmol) was first dissolved in dried methanol (400 mL) at room temperature overnight. After complete Mg dissolving, the corresponding methanolic HF amount (650 mmol) was added at room temperature. The  $MgF_2$ catalyst series were prepared using four different HF concentrations (40, 57, 71 and 87 wt. %, calculated as  $m_{HF}/(m_{HF}+m_{water})$ ). The 40 and 71 wt. % solutions were commercially purchased from *Fluka* and *Sigma-Aldrich*, respectively. The 57 and 87% were prepared right before addition. These concentrations correspond to the HF concentrations (wt. %) in the solution to be added to the dissolved Mg. The catalyst, as an example for a HF concentration of 40%, was denoted as M-40. After the addition of HF, the mixtures were vigorously stirred and reacted to form highly viscous transparent sols. These were aged at room temperature overnight, dried under vacuum at an increasing

temperature until 100 ºC within 3 h and maintained at this temperature during 2 h.

## **2.2 Catalyst characterization**

# **2.2.1 General properties**

The surface properties of the samples were determined by acquiring  $N_2$  adsorption–desorption isotherms at 77 K and on a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 equipment. Samples were first degassed under vacuum at 100 ºC. Surface areas were calculated according to the BET method. The X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded in the  $2\theta$  range of  $5-60$  ° (step width 0.5 º, 100 s per step) using a XRD-7 Seiffert-FPM diffractometer with a CuKa radiation source. The samples were prepared for flat plates. The TG-MS thermal analysis experiments were performed on a Netzsch STA 409C apparatus. A DTA-TG sample-holder system (Pt/PtRh10 thermocouple) was used, and measurements carried out in a  $N<sub>2</sub>$  atmosphere. The thermo analyzer was equipped with a Balzers QMG429 quadrupole mass spectrometer for multiple ion detection modes.

<sup>19</sup>F MAS NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AVANCE 400 spectrometer (Larmor frequency:  $v_{19F} = 376.4$  MHz) using a 2.5 mm MAS probe with 2.5 mm rotors made from  $ZrO_2$ . The spectra were recorded with a  $p/2$  pulse duration of  $p1 = 4.0 \mu s$ , a spectrum width of 400 kHz, a recycle delay of 10 s. Up to 60 rotor periods were added before echo detection in rotor synchronized echo experiments. The isotropic chemical shifts  $\delta_{\text{iso}}$  of <sup>19</sup>F resonances are given with respect to the C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> standard. Existent background signals of  $^{19}$ F were suppressed with the application of a phase-cycled depth pulse sequence [28].

# **2.2.1 Surface acid-properties**

## **2.2.1.1 Acid-site qualification**

FTIR photoacoustic pyridine adsorption spectroscopy was carried out to qualitatively evaluate the surface acid-sites according to two procedures:

1. Denoted as Pyr-150: the sample (75 mg) was pre-heated at 150 °C under  $N_2$  flow for 15 min and then 60 mL of pyridine injected at 150 ºC into the sample tube. The sample was flushed with nitrogen for additional 15 min to remove physisorbed pyridine. Sample spectra were recorded at room temperature using a MTEC cell and FTIR system 2000 (*Perkin-Elmer*). Spectra of the samples without pyridine adsorption were also measured as the background.

2. Denoted as RT-Pyr: the FTIR pyridine adsorption spectroscopy measurements at room temperature (RT-Pyr) were carried out in a Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS10 spectrometer using pressed  $(10^7 \text{ Pa})$  sample discs. A movable quartz sample holder permits the adjustment of the sample disc in the infrared beam for spectra acquisition. Samples were degassed at 150 ºC under high vacuum (2 h). The addition of accurately known pyridine probe doses (at room temperature) was measured by means of a calibrated volume connected to a pressure gauge to control the probe pressure. IR spectra were recorded for each dosing and the pyridine µmol versus peak area was plotted.

## **2.2.1.1 Acid-site quantification**

The temperature programmed desorption of ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD) was carried out as follows: the sample (0.2 g) was first

heated under  $N_2$  up to 300 °C and then at 120 °C exposed to  $NH_3$ . After flushing the excess NH<sub>3</sub> at 120 °C with N<sub>2</sub> for 1 h and cooling to 80 ºC, the TPD program was started (10 º/min up to 500 °C, keeping for 30 min). Desorbed NH<sub>3</sub> was monitored continuously via FTIR spectroscopy (Perkin-Elmer).

For the acid quantification measurements using NMR (denoted as Pyr-NMR), 700 mg of the sample were weighted in a Schlenk flask, followed by an activation step at 200 °C under vacuum for 2 h to remove physisorbed water. Then, 30  $\mu$ l of <sup>15</sup>N-pyridine (~367 µmol) were added and the mixture stirred for 30 min at 150 °C to ensure homogeneous pyridine distribution. Physisorbed pyridine was removed under vacuum at 150°C for 1 h. Rotors for MAS NMR experiments were carefully filled in the glovebox with a mixture of sample and NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (<sup>15</sup>N enrichment 9.5%) in a ratio 20:1. For quantitative investigations by  ${}^{15}N-$ NMR single pulse experiments with presaturation and <sup>1</sup>H<sup>-15</sup>N CPMAS (cross-polarization with magic angle sample spinning) experiments are necessary, as described elsewhere [29]. MAS NMR single pulse spectra were recorded at a Larmor frequency of 60.8 MHz. The MAS frequency was 6.5 kHz. The  $15N90^\circ$  pulse length was 6.2 µs. The repetition time in the single pulse spectra was set to 5 s with previous saturation.  $H$  high power decoupling (TPPM) was applied and 3072 scans were accumulated. <sup>15</sup>N chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are reported relative to  $CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>$  with NH<sub>4</sub>Cl as the secondary standard ( $\delta = -341$  ppm) [30]. The <sup>1</sup>H<sup>-15</sup>N CPMAS experiments are needed for the determination of the  $T_1$  corrections of the time optimized <sup>15</sup>N MAS NMR spectra using the Torchia method [31]. The sample spinning frequency was 6.5 kHz and spectra were recorded using  $a<sup>-1</sup>H$  90° pulse length of 6.5 µs, a contact time of 2 ms, and a repetition time of  $3 \text{ s}$ . The  $^{15}$ N spin lock field was held constant while the  $H$  spin lock field was ramped down to 50% of its initial value. Mostly 4096 scans were accumulated, and high power decoupling (TPPM) was applied.

#### **2.3 Catalytic tests and product analyses**

The batch tests were carried out in a high-pressure Teflon lined reactor (BR-500 from *Berghof*) stirred at 500 rpm. The reactor was first loaded with 0.6 g of catalyst, 150 mL of water and 150 mL of toluene. In order to keep the reaction medium in liquidphase, the system pressure was maintained at 15 bar. Once the solution was heated to 160 ºC, the corresponding xylose solution was added with a Gilson 305 pump to reach a xylose initial concentration of 20 g/L of aqueous phase (0.44 M). Reaction samples were taken at different intervals with a needle valve.

The  $N_2$ -stripping tests were carried out in a 2 L reactor (Autoclave Engineers), with controlled electric-heating and stirred at 500 rpm (Scheme 1). In a typical SC experiment operating at 180 ºC and 10 bar, the reactor was first loaded with the corresponding amount of  $MgF_2$  catalyst and heated up to 190 ºC with deionized water (75% of total initial reactor volume). The rest 25% of total reactor volume was fed from a nitrogenpressurized vessel (to reach the corresponding initial xylose concentration,  $X_0$ ). This set-up allowed the feeding solution to be held at room temperature until the desired temperature was reached in the reactor and minimize the initial feeding degradation. During the N<sub>2</sub>-stripping tests, mass-flow controlled nitrogen was bubbled into the liquid bottom at 150 mL·min-1 (STP). This gas flow stripped the water-furfural vapour stream. The gaseous flow was later fed to a condenser (cooled by Peltier effect at 10 ºC), where gas and liquid streams were separated.

The condensate was continuously weighted. Automatic control valves were used to regulate the reactor-pressure and liquid level in the condenser.

Xylose conversion  $(X_X)$  was calculated at different intervals and FUR selectivity (FURS) was calculated as mol of FUR obtained per mol of converted xylose. Glucose conversion  $(G_X)$  and HMF selectivity  $(HMF<sub>S</sub>)$  were calculated in the same manner. In all cases, carbohydrate conversion values were calculated using the sum of reactants in the reactor phase: in the water phase during the water/organic batch tests and in the reactor during the  $N_2$ stripping tests. Moreover, the  $FUR<sub>S</sub>/HMF<sub>S</sub>$  values in the batch tests were calculated as the sum in the water and organic phase; whilst the  $FUR<sub>S</sub>/HMF<sub>S</sub>$  for the N<sub>2</sub>-stripping tests was calculated as the sum of the FUR/HMF present in the reactor and in the condensate. Product yield (FUR<sub>Y</sub> or  $HMF_Y$ ) was calculated as conversion\*selectivity.

The carbohydrate content in the reactor was quantified using a HPLC module ICS-3000 from *Dionex* coupled to an AS40 autosampler. X and G were quantified using a CarboPac PA20 3 x 150 mm column, at 30  $^{\circ}$ C and 0.5 mL·min<sup>-1</sup>, using 8 mM of NaOH as mobile phase. Detection was performed using an electrochemical cell, with integrated amperometry and Standard Carbohydrate Quad method. FUR and HMF were quantified in a 1260 Infinity module from *Agilent*. The products were separated in a Zorbax SB-C18 column (3.5 µm, 3.0 x 150 mm) at 1 mL/min and 35 ºC using water as eluent.

Secondary-products were identified by GC-MS (6890 GC and 5973-Mass Selective Detector from *Agilent*) using a DB-FFAP column, helium as carrier gas at  $1 \text{ mL-min}^{-1}$  and an injection volume of 1  $\mu$ L. The 1H (vLarmor(1H) = 400.1 MHz) and 13C  $(vLarmor(13C) = 100.6 MHz)$  liquid-NMR spectra of the reaction products were recorded on a Bruker AVANCE II 400 using standard conditions for NMR parameters. All spectra were obtained using the specific signals of deuterated benzene as the reference standard in melted off locked-in tubes.

# **3. Results and discussion**

## **3.1 Catalyst characterization**

According to the reported data [21], the partially hydroxylated MgF<sup>2</sup> containing different HF concentrations showed L/B ratios from 0.4 to 1.0 (based on the area of the pyridine vibration bands) for M-40 and M-71, respectively. The L/B ratio differences were mainly attributed to the different concentration of OH groups on the sample surfaces. Troncea *et al.* reported the highest OH content for the M-40 sample, caused by the higher water content in the aqueous HF used for the synthesis.

## **3.1.1. General properties**

The change of the S<sub>BET</sub> values has been already reported for  $MgF_2$  samples synthesized using HF with different concentrations [21]. The series of the partially hydroxylated  $MgF<sub>2</sub>$  catalysts presented typical mesoporous isotherms with H2 hysteresis loops. As observed in Table 1, the presence of different HF concentrations in the xerogel modified the structure of the pure  $MgF_2$  matrix by changing the adsorption-desorption capacity and thus reducing the mesoporous diameter to 25.2 Å and the surface area from  $\sim$  460 m<sup>2/</sup>g (for M-87 and M-71) to  $\sim$ 280  $m^2/g$  (for M-57 and M-40). Taking into account that the

 $MgF_2$  surface area is given by the interparticle voids, the addition of increasing amounts of water created bigger aggregates and thus increased the pore size and reduced the  $S<sub>BET</sub>$ . According to the XRD data, the crystallinity of the  $MgF_2$ materials was barely affected by the HF concentration differences. The catalysts showed the typical reflections of  $MgF<sub>2</sub>$ [23] (PDF-136895) centered at 27 ° (110), 40 ° (111) and 53 ° (211).

un-substituted oxygen species on its surface [23, 32]. Among the materials prepared here, the presence of abundant OH groups on M-40 shifted the main peak to -196.7 ppm and created a shoulder in the lower field of the spectrum ( $\delta$  = -179.3 ppm). On the other hand, the presence of minor OH contents on M-71 reduced the asymmetric shape of the -197.4 ppm signal.



<sup>a</sup> Acidity of Lewis and Brønsted sites quantified by pyridine adsorption at 150 °C followed by <sup>15</sup>N-NMR analysis

 $b$  Total acidity quantified by NH<sub>3</sub> adsorption followed by TPD up to 550 °C

c TOF value calculated on converted xylose after 4 h of reaction and based on Pyr-NMR analyses

<sup>d</sup> Xylose conversion (X<sub>X</sub>), FUR selectivity (FUR<sub>S</sub>) and yield (FUR<sub>Y</sub>) after 8 h



**Figure 1.** TG, DTG, DTA and the MS profiles of the M-40 (a) and M-71 (b).

The surface-property differences on the  $MgF_2$  catalysts were also confirmed by solid-state <sup>19</sup>F-MAS-NMR measurements. The MgF<sub>2</sub> samples showed a dominant <sup>19</sup>F signal centered at  $\delta$  = -197 ppm, at nearly the same position as that of crystalline  $MgF_2$ . During the synthesis of partially hydroxylated  $MgF_2$  samples, the competition between fluorolysis and hydrolysis of  $Mg(OCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  was enhanced due to the addition of water on the  $MgF_X(OH)_{2-X}$  xerogel. The asymmetric shape and the shifting of the -197 ppm signal was previously attributed to the presence of

According to the TG-MS data, the  $MgF_2$  catalysts showed a very broad exothermal peak in the DTA curves, characteristic of the sol-gel procedure. As depicted in Fig. 1, the M-40 and M-71 catalysts showed an overall weight loss of circa 13%, assigned to the loss of strongly bound water (100 - 350 ºC) and to the OH surface groups (350 - 500 °C). As observed in the m18 profiles, a considerable increase of the surface OH incorporation for the sol prepared using a 40% HF concentration was achieved compared to M-71. Based on the  $S<sub>BET</sub>$  values for each catalyst and assuming that all strongly bound OH groups were entrapped in the pores, the M-40 sample showed the highest OH surface concentration  $(0.71 \text{ mmol } OH/m^2)$ . As observed in Fig. 1, the MS signal also detected some other traces corresponding to fluorine (m19) or methanol (m31).



Scheme 1. The kinetic and diffusion constants during xylose dehydration to furfural (a). Mechanism and reaction pathways using Lewis and Brønsted catalysts during the dehydration of xylose in water (b).

According to the Scanning Electron Microscope pictures in Fig. 2A, the M-71 samples, as well as the rest of the catalysts, presented a particle sizes around 0.5-3 m. However, no further information could be extracted from the Transmission Electron Microscope images (Fig. 2B).

#### **3.1.2. Characterization of the surface acidity**

#### **3.1.2.1 Acid-site qualification**

The qualitative and quantitative analyses of the acidity of heterogeneous catalysts are determinant to correlate these properties to the catalytic activity during xylose dehydration to FUR. The acid properties of the catalysts were first qualitatively evaluated adsorbing pyridine at room temperature (RT-Pyr).

According to the RT-Pyr FTIR measurements (not shown), the Lewis sites on all the samples showed high pyridine protonation capacity, whilst just the M-57 Brønsted sites were detected. During these analyses, the pyridine diffusivity and its protonation capacity were considerably reduced, meaning that mainly strong acid-sites were capable of showing pyridine vibration bands. The capacity to protonate Brønsted sites by RT-Pyr (measured by the adsorption peak areas) was as follows: M- $40 \ll M-87 \ll M-71 \ll M-57$ . It seems that a minimum Brønstedsite content or strength was required to detect Brønsted sites using the RT-Pyr technique.



**Figure 2.** SEM (A) and TEM (B) images of the partially hydroxylated M-71 fresh sample.

On the other hand, the addition of pyridine at higher temperature (denoted as 150-Pyr) allowed to evaluate nearly the totality of the Lewis or Brønsted acid-types on the catalyst surface by enhancing its diffusion to the acid-site and its protonation for IR detection. As observed in Fig. 3, despite the high OH concentration on the M-40 surface (based on the TG-MS data), it seems that these Brønsted sites were not strong enough to protonate pyridine and even xylose (as it will be discussed later). This can be explained by the following: the M-40 sample contained the highest OH content but lower fluorine than M-71. As usually observed for  $Mg(OH)_2$ , mainly basic, lower fluorine content on M-40 could also be reflected as lower Brønsted acidity. On the other hand, as observed in Fig. 3, the catalysts with lower OH contents (M-57, M-71, M-87) showed both Lewis and Brønsted sites in the IR spectra. In other words, although these catalysts had fewer surface OH groups, their

Brønsted acidity was stronger than that of the OH-rich sample, M-40.

In general, the shifting (to higher wavenumber range) of the vibration band at 1605 cm<sup>-1</sup> states the strength of the Lewis sites. However, this band appeared at the same position in the spectra of all the samples.

#### **3.1.2.1 Acid-site quantification**

The pyridine adsorption-IR is a powerful method to study the surface acidity. However, it cannot be used as a quantification method by simply calculating the vibration peak area ratio [21]. The presence of Lewis sites requires the use of these techniques, in order to avoid the quantification errors associated to inactive sites such as in the aqueous-phase titrations [33]. This was already reported on a broad range of Lewis/Brønsted catalysts [16]. For this reason, the total acidity was quantified using two different procedures: pyridine adsorption followed by  ${}^{15}N\text{-}NMR$ (Pyr-NMR) and  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  adsorption followed by TPD (NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD).



**Figure 3.** The FTIR spectra of the M-40 and M-71 samples after pyridine adsorption at 150 ºC.

According to the  $NH_3$ -TPD data, the fresh  $MgF_2$  samples showed a FTIR intensity for desorbed NH<sub>3</sub> starting at 200 °C and decaying at  $\sim$  400 °C. As observed in Table 1, the quantification of the total acid sites by  $NH_3$ -TPD showed higher values than Pyr-NMR. One possible explanation is that the smaller  $NH<sub>3</sub>$ molecule can diffuse and be adsorbed on the acid-sites which are not accessible for pyridine. Moreover, the TPD carried out up to 550  $\degree$ C ensures that all adsorbed NH<sub>3</sub> is removed, whilst during pyridine adsorption analyses (as observed later) some of the entrapped pyridine may not be quantified. However, taking into account the presence of adsorbed water, the NH<sup>3</sup> -TPD analyses showed low reproducibility. Therefore, only Pyr-NMR values will be used for further discussion (explained below). Moreover, the Pyr-NMR is the only available analysis to differ the Lewis/Brønsted acid-site quantities on these  $MgF_2$  catalysts.

The Pyr-NMR spectra allowed to selectively separate the physisorbed pyridine (centered at -80 ppm), Lewis sites (-100 ppm), Brønsted sites (-174 ppm) and the standard NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (-341) ppm). The Pyr-NMR results confirmed the FTIR data previously discussed using the 150-Pyr technique. The M-40 showed no

Brønsted acidity, proving that the presence of more OH groups on the M-40 reduced the pyridine protonation capacity of the Brønsted sites. On the other hand, the M-57 showed the highest content of Brønsted acid-sites (136 µmol/g). In general, the change in the concentration of Brønsted acidity measured by Pyr-NMR showed the same trend as the Lewis acidity (Table 1).

Additionally, the RT-Pyr analyses were attempted to quantify the acid-site content by dosing small quantities of pyridine and recording its IR spectra. The corresponding extinction coefficients were derived for quantification; however, given the low protonation capacity of the Brønsted acid-sites at this temperature, the total values were far below the Pyr-NMR values. This is a powerful method compared to the more sophisticated Pyr-NMR techniques, but not appropriate for these catalysts.

#### **3.2 Catalytic activity during carbohydrate conversion**

First of all, and in order to prove the heterogeneous nature of the MgF<sub>2</sub> catalysts, the liquid solution after the reactions at 160 °C were analyzed by means of <sup>19</sup>F liquid NMR. As observed in Fig. A1, the presence of fluorinated compounds is negligible, confirming the heterogeneous nature of the tested catalysts.

#### **3.2.1 Screening and reaction mechanism during xylose dehydration to FUR**

A preliminary  $MgF_2$  catalyst screening was carried out in water/toluene at 160 ºC in order to correlate the physicochemical properties to the catalytic activity and reaction mechanism. Even if proper catalyst screening is not possible at nearly full xylose conversions, the catalytic activities after 20 h of reaction-time showed the same trend as the data in Fig. 4 after 8 h. It is worth mentioning that the xylose dehydration kinetics have been previously correlated to the L/B ratio [15, 16].

As observed in Fig. 4a, the M-40 (dominant Lewis acid-sites) showed the highest xylose conversion, with a TOF value of  $10.9 \cdot 10^{-6}$  mmol<sub>X</sub> ·  $\mu$ mol<sub>LEWIS</sub><sup>-1</sup> · h<sup>-1</sup>. The TOF, based only on the Lewis sites, of the rest of catalysts were as follows: 6.5, 8.1 and  $4.3 \cdot 10^{-6}$  mmol<sub>X</sub> ·  $\mu$ mol<sub>LEWIS</sub><sup>-1</sup> · h<sup>-1</sup> for M-57, M-71 and M-87, respectively. However, as observed in Table 1, the presence of OH groups (as Brønsted) reduced the xylose dehydration activity, and thus reduced the TOF values (calculated based on the total acidity of the fresh catalysts) for all the catalysts except for M-40. The double dosing in weight of the catalysts would presumably double the TOF values, as well. Among the rest of the catalysts, the M-87 showed surprisingly low xylose conversion values. Although this sample exhibited a higher total acidity measured by NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD, its lower Lewis acid-site content may lead to lower xylose conversion rates. On the other hand, it must be pointed out that the xylose conversion values of M-57 and M-71 had very slight differences.

In order to evaluate the effect of  $MgF_2$  catalyst on the activity (xylose conversion and furfural selectivity), experiments without any catalyst were also carried out. These tests showed a xylose conversion of 23% and a furfural selectivity of 21% (yield of 5% after 8 h of reaction) at 160 ºC in water/toluene, confirming the presence of  $MgF_2$  catalysts to improve the furfural production.



**Figure 4.** The evolution of the (a) the conversion of xylose and (b) the selectivity to FUR versus reaction time during the xylose dehydration tests of the partially hydroxylated sample series at 160 ºC in water/toluene.

When bifunctional L+B catalysts such as  $MgF_2$  are in contact with xylose, competitive reactions occur and the reaction rates showed clear differences compared to pure Brønsted acids, such as homogeneous  $H_2SO_4[14]$  or Amberlyst [27]. As observed in Fig. 4b, it clearly proved that catalysts containing lower L/B ratios than M-40 could significantly improve the FUR production selectivity ( $FUR<sub>S</sub>$ ). In these cases, the reactions were catalyzed by a combination of Lewis and Brønsted sites, and thus the FUR production shifted to the route showing the highest  $FUR<sub>S</sub>$  ( $B<sub>2</sub>$  route). In general, it was observed that catalysts presenting high L/B ratios (such as 10.9 for M-40) reduced the furfural production yield. On the contrary, by reducing this ratio to 3.6 (for M-87),  $FUR<sub>S</sub>$  values could be considerably increased. However, the most optimum L/B ratio was found for the M-71 catalyst, showing intermediate Lewis acidity and higher OH concentration than M-40 or M-57, thus increasing the FUR yield values.

As reported for other pure Brønsted catalysts, the  $FUR<sub>S</sub>$  plots present a maximum at the beginning of the reaction, and then drops along the reaction path due to side-reactions [27]. In the  $MgF_2$  system used in this work, however, the presence of intermediates (such as xylulose, evidenced in Fig. A2 and quantified as represented in Fig. A3) reduced the initial FUR selectivity whilst xylulose can be dehydrated and thus the FUR<sub>S</sub> increased all along the tests (Fig. 4b). Moreover, as observed in Fig. 4b the FUR<sub>S</sub> decayed after 6 h of reaction for the M-57 sample. Even if xylulose was quantified at this point, FUR sidereactions were enhanced at this point, leading to lower FUR yield values.

Another important reaction parameter was the acid-site density, measured as total acid sites per surface area, showing the following trend: 1.1, 1.5, 0.7, 0.6  $\mu$ mol/m<sup>2</sup> for M-40, M-57, M-71 and M-87, respectively. The higher  $FUR<sub>S</sub>$  observed for M-71 and M-87 could also result from a lower acid-site density, together with an optimized L/B ratio for furfural production (Table 1). Taking into account that the loss of  $FUR<sub>s</sub>$  is directly attributed to the side-reactions, such as resinification, higher acid-site densities could enhance these reactions with samples such as  $M-57$ . On the other hand, the lower  $FUR_s$  observed for

M-40 was directly attributed to the low protonation capacity of the weak Brønsted acid sites on its surface (nearly insignificant) or for the reaction medium  $H_3O^+$ .

As observed in Table 1, even if the M-87 sample showed the highest FUR<sub>S</sub> values, its low xylose conversion values considerably reduced the furfural yield (FURY). In general, the catalyst showing the highest selectivity (M-71) also showed the highest furfural yield values, even after 20 h of reaction. As previously described, furfural yield values strongly depend on the nature and amount of acid-sites. Lewis sites increase xylose conversion values but reduce the FUR selectivity and consequently yield values. On the contrary, xylose conversion rates are lower for Brønsted sites but yield values are compensated due to higher selectivity values.

Based on the xylose conversion data, the differences were mainly attributed to the change of the reaction mechanism in the presence of Lewis or Brønsted sites. The presence of xylulose (evidenced by the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra as shown in Fig. A2 and quantified as shown in Fig. A3) during the reactions showed that Lewis sites catalyzed the xylose conversion to its isomer  $(L<sub>1</sub>)$  by C=O rearrangement [34], whilst the presence of Brønsted sites  $(B<sub>1</sub>)$  was required to further dehydrate xylulose to FUR (Scheme 1) [15]. On the other hand, xylose can be directly dehydrated to FUR in the presence of a strong Brønsted site  $(B_2)$ . A plausible mechanism for the direct xylose-to-furfural reaction consists of transforming the hydroxyl groups of the pentose to  $H_2O^+$  and the elimination of two 1,2 and one 1,4 water molecules [3, 14]. In this case, samples such as M-57, M-71 or M-87 could produce FUR via  $L_1 + B_1$  or via  $B_2$  routes (due to their relatively low L/B ratio). However, dehydration reactions using M-40 could only be catalyzed by weaker Brønsted acid species,  $i$ .  $e$ . the  $H_3O^+$  ions in

the reaction medium. As reported, the  $B_2$  route increases the  $FUR<sub>S</sub>$ , but the overall conversion rate is slower than the  $L_1 + B_1$  route [15]. These reactions were embraced as a general  $k'_1$  in Scheme 1. Moreover, the FUR can also be degraded by condensation reactions with fragments  $(k'_2)$  or produce FUR resins under the presence of strong acids  $(k'_3)$ , mainly Brønsted.

According to the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra xylulose was present as the only intermediate. As reported in the literature, when Lewis catalysts are present xylulose appears as the only intermediate for furfural production. On the other hand, catalysts showing more Bronsted sites show also the direct xylose conversion route. In this case, as the M-71 catalyst also presented Lewis sites, it also showed the presence of xylulose in the reaction solution

In order to identify the possible reaction by-products, the soluble

phase was analyzed by means of <sup>1</sup>H-NMR. Taking into account the low lyxose concentration detected and its slow dehydration to furfural [35], it seemed that xylose was favorably isomerized

to xylulose (see Fig. A2) under the presence of partially hydroxylated  $MgF_2$  catalysts. The combination of Lewis sites  $(M-40)$  and weak Brønsted  $(H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup>)$  can also convert the pentose carbohydrates to fragments such as formic acid (8.4 ppm, derived from the  $O_3$  protonation [36]), glycolaldehyde  $(2.2/3.2)$ ppm) or dihydroxyacetone (4.4 ppm) by the retro-aldol reactions (RA). Moreover, other side-products might be derived from the dehydration reactions of xylose/xylulose or from dihydroxyacetone to pyruvaldehyde (2.33 ppm), as well as several other minor fragments at 2.1-2.5 ppm. A detailed characterization and quantification of all the sub-products during reaction is very difficult. Moreover, the presence of humins and coke-deposits difficult a detailed carbon balance analysis.



**Figure 5.** The reaction time vs. xylose conversion of the partially hydroxylated sample series at 160 ºC in DMSO.



**Figure 6.** The xylose and glucose conversion and FUR and HMF selectivity for the tests catalyzed by M-71 under batch conditions at 160 ºC in water/toluene (Fig. 6a and 6b) and N2-stripping at 180 ºC in water (Fig. 6c and 6d).



a Batch tests performed with 1/1 v/v water/solvent ratio

 $b$  N<sub>2</sub>-stripping performed using 150 mL/min of N<sub>2</sub> and pressure calculated according to the corresponding vapor pressure

 $c$  Xylose (X<sub>X</sub>) or glucose ( $G_X$ ) conversion, FUR selectivity (FUR<sub>S</sub>) and HMF selectivity (HMF<sub>S</sub>) after 20 h of reaction

 $d$  Xylose conversion for the N<sub>2</sub>-stripping tests after 3 h of reaction

e Turnover Frequency for xylose or glucose after 4 h of reaction and for xylose using the X+G feedings

SD: Overall Standard deviation:  $\sim 6\%$ 

Concerning the effect of the solvent on the activity data, efficient FUR isolation is required to increase the FUR<sub>S</sub>. In this sense, the xylose conversion rate of the  $MgF_2$  catalysts only changed slightly under monophasic conditions in water, but showed lower FUR<sub>S</sub> values (M-40: 26%, M-57: 33%, M-71: 42% and M-87: 44%). However, the use of an aprotic solvent such as DMSO (a Lewis base with a pKa of 35) induced significant changes. As observed in Fig. 5,  $X_X$  values stopped below 60% after 4 h. Even if the Lewis site might be partially suppressed, the M-40 showed again the highest activity. The behavior of the M-87 was the only different one comparing to water/toluene data. This could be attributed to its lowest L/B ratio. However, the  $FUR<sub>S</sub>$  obtained in DMSO (10%) were below the ones obtained in water.

#### **3.2.2 Effect of glucose addition to xylose feedings under batch conditions**

According to the data published using xylose and glucose feedings  $(X+G)$ , the xylose and furfural condensation reactions were enhanced in the presence of a second carbohydrate [26]. The kinetic activity of the partially hydroxylated  $MgF_2$  catalysts was also evaluated using 1:1 w/w X:G feedings at 160 ºC in water/toluene. As observed in Fig. 6a, the presence of glucose slightly increased the  $X<sub>X</sub>$  compared to the one measured with just the X feeding for the tests using M-71. Moreover, the conversion of glucose took place at slower catalytic rates. During the X+G tests, the most significant changes were observed for the FUR<sub>S</sub> at 160 °C in water/toluene (Fig. 6b). The FUR condensation reactions with glucose and HMF intermediates were enhanced  $[26]$  and  $FUR<sub>S</sub>$  reduced from 44 to 38% for M-40 and from 86 to 81% for M-71 after 20 h of reaction in water/toluene. The tests at 180 ºC using X+G

feedings showed a slight lower  $FUR_S$  and a considerable  $HMF_S$ decrease (Table 2). When the FUR is not quickly isolated from the reaction medium under batch conditions, the resinification effects could considerably reduce the FUR and HMF selectivity. Given the "high"  $L/B$  ratio of these  $MgF_2$  catalysts, this effect was less important than in processes operated with higher Brønsted content. On the other hand, the "entropy effects" related to higher-temperatures [27] showed no effects on the FUR<sub>s</sub>.

#### **3.2.3 Study of glucose dehydration to HMF**

Research articles, using glucose as source, reported high HMF yields using metal chlorides [19] or metal oxides [37] under biphasic conditions and zeolites in ionic-liquids[38]. Most of the research works used fructose, since it shows the highest selectivity to HMF. These processes can avoid the first isomerization reaction (from glucose to fructose) and thus increase the  $HMF<sub>S</sub>$  up to 72% [39]. However, the high glucose content in the lignocellulosic biomass requires further studies using glucose as the starting feedstock.

This study aims to provide a real solution to the carbohydrate fractions present in the biomass. In this sense, catalysts such as MgF<sup>2</sup> , containing Lewis and Brønsted sites, show interesting properties to isomerize the glucose to fructose and dehydrate fructose to HMF via Brønsted acid-sites.

The tests at 160 ºC performed in water/toluene confirmed the low extraction efficiency of toluene, showing  $HMF<sub>S</sub>$  of 40% for M-71 (Table 2). The tests carried out at 180 ºC slightly increased the  $HMF<sub>S</sub>$  to 45% for M-71. On the other hand, the use of 1butanol as co-solvent, which can also be obtained through the fermentation of biomass derived carbohydrates, considerably increased the HMF<sub>S</sub> (58% at 160 °C and 66% at 180 °C). The HMF partition coefficient of solvent/water increased from 0.1 to 2.6 for toluene and 1-butanol, respectively. This higher extraction efficiency reduced the HMF yield-loss reactions, such as rehydration to levulinic acid, formic acid and the formation of condensation products [39]. Thus, the combination of suitable reaction solvents and partially hydroxylated MgF<sub>2</sub> seemed promising to optimize the production of HMF from glucose.

#### **3.3 Stability and regenerability of the MgF<sup>2</sup> catalysts under batch conditions**

The stability of the catalysts was evaluated in terms of structure and acidity. According to the textural properties of the used catalysts, due to morphological changes all the catalysts suffered a strong decrease of the  $S<sub>BET</sub>$  (from 460 to 150 m<sup>2</sup>/g for M-71), whilst the pore size increased from 26.9 to 54.8 Å for M-71. The explanation for a decrease of the surface area and a reduction of the pore size is a similar phenomenon as explained in Section 3.1.1 for the fresh catalysts. The catalysts prepared using higher water/HF ratios created bigger particle aggregates, and thus these materials showed a decrease of the BET surface area and an increase of the pore size. In the same manner, the experimental tests were carried out in water-phase. Moreover, the conditions were harsher (160  $^{\circ}$ C) than the fresh sample synthesis conditions (80 °C). This way, the M-71 also suffered a similar decrease of the surface area and an increase of the pore size due to the formation of bigger aggregates. This was strictly related to the physical properties of the  $MgF_2$  partially hydroxylated materials. In order to produce structurally stable  $MgF_2$  catalysts under hydrothermal conditions, further work is required in this field. Moreover, according to liquid the  $^{19}$ F-NMR analyses (Fig. A1), no fluorinated species were found; proving the stability of the  $MgF_2$  catalyst.

On the other hand, the stability of the acid-sites was determinant to evaluate the long-term feasibility of the heterogeneous catalysts, especially concerning their industrial application. The catalysts used during the catalytic tests (using X feedings) showed a brown color derived from deposited coke or humins. According to previous studies, the catalysts could be efficiently regenerated by calcination at 280 ºC [40]. This allowed to avoid any interference of the coke on the acid analyses. However, the coke on  $MgF_2$  catalysts could not be removed at this temperature. Moreover, given the limited thermal stability of the acid-sites, milder oxidation procedures using  $H_2O_2$  on the MgF<sub>2</sub> catalysts were further researched [41]. In a typical regeneration step, 2 g of as-recovered catalysts were treated with 20 g of 30  $\%$  H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> at 100 ºC in Teflon-lined flasks under severe stirring for 4 h. The materials were filtered, washed with ethanol and dried at 100 ºC. The CHN elemental analyses of the treated M-71 proved that 98% of the coke layer could be efficiently removed. According to the Pyr-NMR data, the M-71 sample preserved 80% of the total acidity. This acidity was mainly attributed to the Lewis sites, which corresponded to the 78% of the acid-sites on fresh M-71. The type of acidity was also checked by 150-Pyr, showing a strong decay of mostly Brønsted acidity. This fact proved that Lewis sites were more stable than Brønsted under hydrothermal conditions. Apparently, the leaching of the surface hydroxyl groups was enhanced in water at 160 °C. According to the acidity data on used catalysts calcined at 300 ºC, only 57% of the total acid-sites were recovered after the calcinations treatment.

The regenerated catalysts were further tested at 160 ºC in water/toluene (see Table 2). Given the change of the acidproperties to a sample containing more Lewis sites and a decrease of total acidity, the TOF values of the catalyst regenerated in the first run  $(M-71-R_1)$  increased from 6.3 to  $8.1 \cdot 10^{-6}$  mmol<sub>X</sub> ·  $\mu$ mol<sub>ACID</sub><sup>-1</sup>· h<sup>-1</sup>. A second run showed even lower acid-content, which decreased the xylose conversion activity. As expected, an increase of the L/B ratio had an opposite effect on the FUR production, reducing the  $FUR<sub>S</sub>$  from

86% to 59 and 52% for fresh M-71, M-71-R<sub>1</sub> and M-71-R<sub>2</sub>, respectively. Given the low catalyst amount used in each test (0.4 g) and the loss on reactor walls and filtration in each regeneration step, additional regeneration tests could not be carried out.

# **3.4 N<sup>2</sup> -stripping of furfural**

The current FUR manufacturing process uses between 16:1 (Quaker Oats) and 30:1 (Rosenlew) steam to product weight ratio [3]. The high energy requirements, the separation stages and the use of homogeneous catalysts make this process environmentally unsustainable. The use of  $N_2$  as the stripping agent could improve the manufacturing process by reducing the heating costs and it can be easily separated and recycled from the stripped stream due to its high volatility.

# **3.4.1. N<sup>2</sup> -stripping using xylose feedings**

Based on the batch activity results, the M-71 was chosen as the most appropriate catalysts for the  $N_2$ -stripping tests since it showed the highest FUR yield. During the tests at 160 ºC, the xylose dehydration activity of M-71 was not high enough to compensate the reduction of liquid volume. During the  $N_2$ stripping carried out in the configured set-up, the liquid volume was extracted at a flow of  $\sim$  7 mL/min. In this case, the volume reduction was not compensated by the xylose conversion to achieve a constant xylose concentration profile in the reactor during the 3 h of reaction. For this reason, higher xylose concentrations increased the condensation reactions and thus reduced the final FUR<sub>S</sub> to 59% using a initial xylose concentration  $(X_0)$  of 1 wt.% (Table 2). However, the tests carried out at 180 ºC using X as feed considerably increased the  $FUR<sub>S</sub>$  (87%) compared to other pure non-structured Brønsted catalysts [27]. Taking into account that xylose concentration was maintained at a similar concentration  $(1 \text{ wt.})\%$  along the N<sub>2</sub>stripping tests, the catalyst to xylose ratio increased and thus xylose conversion at 180 ºC increased compared to the batch tests (Fig. 6a and 6c). As expected, the increase of  $X_0$  also accelerated the dehydration and degradation reactions of xylose and FUR. As depicted in Fig.  $6d$ , the FUR<sub>S</sub> also showed an increasing trend along the tests. This confirmed again the presence of intermediates such as xylulose achieving lower initial FUR<sub>S</sub> than Brønsted sites but their highest selectivity by the end of the N<sub>2</sub>-stripping. The final  $FUR_S$  values for  $X_0$  of 1 and 3 wt. % were 87 and 73%, respectively. The GC-MS analyses of the stripped condensate streams showed high FUR selectivity (nearly 97%). Even if  $FUR<sub>S</sub>$  using toluene as cosolvent showed higher values at 160 °C, the separation of byproducts and FUR from toluene might show higher purification costs than the  $N_2$ -water-FUR system.

## **3.4.2 N<sup>2</sup> -stripping using xylose + glucose feedings**

As proved in Section 3.2.2, the presence of glucose and HMF increased the FUR degradation reactions. In the  $N_2$ -stripping tests, this effect could not avoided either. FUR is more volatile than HMF, so the water-HMF equilibrium showed an inverse effect of the water-FUR, which showed higher FUR concentration in the stripped phase than in the liquid phase. As observed in Fig. 6d, the selectivity at low X feedings (1% X) did not decay by the end of the tests. However, the degradation reactions dropped this  $FUR_S$  for the  $X+G$  feedings. As observed in Table 2, the use of  $N_2$  as the stripping agent increased the

HMF<sub>S</sub> at 180 °C compared to the biphasic water/toluene conditions. The FUR was continuously stripped and HMF could be accumulated in the liquid phase to achieve a selectivity of 53%. Moreover, the kinetics of glucose conversion catalyzed by M-71 were significantly reduced compared to xylose. These two features confirmed that FUR could be continuously extracted in the stripped phase whilst HMF and the remaining glucose could be recovered from the liquid phase. Despite the presence of Lewis sites on the  $MgF_2$  samples, and consequently the potential to lower the FUR selectivity, the M-71 showed higher FUR and HMF selectivity than non-structured pure Brønsted catalysts, such as Amberlyst 70 [26].

The developed system in this study allowed to selectively produce FUR and HMF from xylose and glucose, respectively. Future environmentally sustainable processes could upgrade these furan derivatives to higher added-value compounds, such as methyltetrahydrofuran [42] or dimethylfuran [39].

# **4. Conclusions**

Partially hydroxylated  $MgF_2$  catalysts showed high activity during the dehydration of pentoses and hexoses to furanic aldehydes. The bifunctional properties of the  $MgF_2$  catalysts allowed to tune the Lewis/Brønsted acid ratio and thus to control the parallel reactions. FUR selectivity could be maximized up to 86% at 160 ºC in water using toluene as co-solvent. When glucose was used as feed source, high HMF selectivity (66%) could be achieved using 1-butanol as extracting agent. In general, Brønsted sites were required to achieve high FUR and HMF selectivity. The industrial application and sustainability of the batch process in water/solvent is quite limited. The tests catalyzed by  $MgF_2$  in combination with simultaneous  $N_2$ stripping showed that FUR selectivity of 87% could be achieved using low xylose concentrations. The combination of xylose + glucose feedings showed that still high FUR and HMF selectivity could be achieved. Moreover, the catalysts regenerated by  $H_2O_2$  showed high activity during the dehydration tests in water/toluene at 160 ºC. In general, this work proved that partially hydroxylated catalysts show high activity to convert xylose to furfural. Moreover, the  $N_2$ -stripping tests showed several advantages with respect to biphasic systems, such as higher product purity and selectivity and thus lower separation stages. As described throughout this work, the design of appropriate  $MgF_2$  catalyst and the use of  $N_2$ -stripping proved that more sustainable chemical technologies could be developed to achieve environmentally friendly chemical industrial technologies and processes.

## **Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by funds from the Spanish Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (CTQ-2012-38204-C03-03) and from the Gobierno Vasco (Programa de Formación de Personal Investigador del Departamento de Educación, Universidades e Investigación). The graduate school "fluorine as key element" GRK 1582 founded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft DFG is gratefully acknowledged. The authors thank Dr. M. Feist for performing thermal analysis measurements and S. Bäßler for NH<sup>3</sup> -TPD and Pyr-adsorption measurements.

## **Notes and References**

*a Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering Engineering School of the University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU) Alameda Urquijo s/n ,48013, Bilbao, Spain, Fax: (+)34 946014179* 

*b Institut für Chemie, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Brook-Taylor Straße 2,D-12489, Berlin, Germany.* 

*c BAM Federal Institute for Materials Research and Testing, Division 1, Richard Willstaetter Straße 11, D-12489, Berlin, Germany*

*\* Corresponding author: iker.aguirrezabal@ehu.es, Tel.: +34 617912295; Fax:+34 946014179* 

- 1 R. Karinen, K. Vilonen, M. Niemelä, *ChemSusChem* 4 (2011) 1002.
- 2 A.S. Mamman, J.M. Lee, Y.C. Kim, I.T. Hwang, N.J. Park, Y.K. Hwang, J.S. Chang, J.S. Hwang, *Biofuels, Bioprod. Biorefin.* 2 (2008) 438.
- 3 K.J. Zeitsch, First Ed. in Sugar Series, vol. 13, Elsevier, The Netherlands, 2000. 13 (2000) 1.
- 4 A.S. Dias, M. Pillinger, A.A. Valente, *J. Catal*. 229 (2005) 414.
- 5 S. Lima, P. Neves, M.M. Antunes, M. Pillinger, N. Ignatyev, A.A. Valente, *Appl. Cat. A Gen*. 363 (2009) 93- 99.
- 6 I. Agirrezabal-Telleria, J. Requies, M.B. Güemez, P.L. Arias, *Appl. Catal. , B* 115-116 (2012) 178.
- 7 A.S. Dias, S. Lima, M. Pillinger, A.A. Valente, *Catal. Lett*. 114 (2007) 151.
- 8 A.S. Dias, S. Lima, M. Pillinger, A.A. Valente, *Carbohydr. Res.* 341 (2006) 2946.
- 9 A.S. Dias, S. Lima, P. Brandao, M. Pillinger, J. Rocha, A.A. Valente, *Catal. Lett*. 108 (2006) 179.
- 10 S.B. Kim, S.J. You, Y.T. Kim, S. Lee, H. Lee, K. Park, E.D. Park, *Korean J. Chem. Eng*. 28 (2011) 710.
- 11 C. Moreau, R. Durand, D. Peyron, J. Duhamet, P. Rivalier, *Ind. Crop. Prod*. 7 (1998) 95.
- 12 A. Chareonlimkun, V. Champreda, A. Shotipruk, Laosiripojana N., *Bioresour. Technol*. 101 (2010) 4179.
- 13 G.H. Jeong, E.G. Kim, S.B. Kim, E.D. Park, S.W. Kim, *Microp. Mesop. Mat*. 144 (2011) 134.
- 14 M.J. Antal, T. Leesomboon, W.S. Mok, G.N. Richards, *Carbohydr. Res*. 217 (1991) 71.
- 15 V. Choudhary, A.B. Pinar, S.I. Sandler, D.G. Vlachos, R.F. Lobo, *ACS Catalysis* 1 (2011) 1724.
- 16 R. Weingarten, G.A. Tompsett, W.C. Conner, G.W. Huber, *J. Catal*. 279 (2011) 174.
- 17 S. Lima, A. Fernandes, M.M. Antunes, M. Pillinger, F. Ribeiro, A.A. Valente, *Catal. Lett*. 135 (2010) 41.
- 18 S. Lima, M.M. Antunes, A. Fernandes, M. Pillinger, M.F. Ribeiro, A.A. Valente, *Appl. Catal. , A* 388 (2010) 141.
- 19 Y.J. Pagán-Torres, T. Wang, J.M.R. Gallo, B.H. Shanks, J.A. Dumesic, *ACS Catalysis* 2 (2012) 930.
- 20 Y.R. Leshkov, M. Moliner, J.A. Labinger, M.E. Davis, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed*. 49 (2010) 8954.
- 21 S.B. Troncea, S. Wuttke, E. Kemnitz, Coman S.M., V.I. Parvulescu, *Appl. Catal. , B* 107 (2011) 260.
- 22 E. Kemnitz, S. Wuttke, S.M. Coman, Europ. *J. Inorg. Chem.* (2011) 4773.
- 23 S. Wuttke, S.M. Coman, G. Scholz, H. Kirmse, A. Vimont, M. Daturi, S.L.M. Schroeder, E. Kemnitz, *Chemistry European Journal* 14 (2008) 11488.

- 24 I. Agirrezabal-Telleria, F. Hemmann, C. Jäger, P.L. Arias, E. Kemnitz, *Journal of Catalysis* 305 (2013) 81.
- 25 Q. Jing, X.Y. Lu, Chin. J. Chem. Eng. 15 (2007) 666.
- 26 I. Agirrezabal-Telleria, J. Requies, M.B. Güemez, P.L. Arias, *Green Chem*. 14 (2012) 3132.
- 27 I. Agirrezabal-Telleria, A. Larreategui, J. Requies, M.B. Güemez, P.L. Arias, *Bioresour. Technol*. 102 (2011) 7478.
- 28 D.G. Cory, W.M. Ritchey, J. Magn. Reson. 80 (1988) 128.<br>29 F. Hemmann, G. Scholz, K. Scheurell, E. Kemnitz, C. 29 F. Hemmann, G. Scholz, K. Scheurell, E. Kemnitz, C.
- Jaeger, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 116 (2012) 10580.
- 30 D.M. Grant, R.K. Harris, Encyclopedia of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance; John Wiley & Sons Ltd. : Chichester, U. K. 5 (1996) 3247.
- 31 D.A. Torchia, *J. Magn. Reson*. 30 (1978) 613.
- 32 G. Scholz, C. Stosiek, E. Kemnitz, *J. Fluorine Chem*. 132 (2011) 1079.
- 33 A. Onda, T. Ochi, K. Yanagisawa, *Green Chem*. 10 (2008) 1033.
- 34 J. Mikkola, R. Sjöholm, T. Salmi, P. Mäki-Arvela, *Catal. Today* 48 (1999) 73.
- 35 R. O'Neill, M.N. Ahmad, L. Vanoye, F. Aiouache, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 48 (2009) 4300.
- 36 M.R. Nimlos, X.H. Qian, M. Davis, M.E. Himmel, D.K. Johnson, *J. Phys. Chem. A* 110 (2006) 11824.
- 37 J.H. Zhang, L. Lin, S.J. Liu, *Energy & Fuels* 26 (2012) 4560.
- 38 H. Jadhav, E. Taarning, C.M. Pedersen, M. Bols, *Tetrahedron Letters* 53 (2012) 983.
- 39 Y. Román-Leshkov, J.N. Cheda, J.A. Dumesic, *Science* 312 (2006) 1933.
- 40 I. Agirrezabal-Telleria, J. Requies, M.B. Güemez, P.L. Arias, *Appl. Catal. , B* 145 (2014) 34.
- 41 X. Shi, Y. Wu, H. Yi, G. Rui, P. Li, M. Yang, G. Wang, *Energies* 4 (2011) 669.
- 42 J.P. Lange, E. van der Heide, B. van Buijtenen, R. Price, *ChemSusChem* 5 (2012) 150.