

Catalysis Science & Technology

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

Highly Durable Pt-free Fuel Cell Catalysts Prepared by Multi-Step Pyrolysis of Fe Phthalocyanine and Phenolic Resin

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received 00th January 2012,
Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Yuta Nabae,^{*a} Mayu Sonoda,^a Chiharu Yamauchi,^a Yo Hosaka,^a Ayano Isoda^b and Tsutomu Aoki^b

Pt-free cathode catalysts for polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cells have been developed by multi-step pyrolysis of Fe phthalocyanine (FePc) and phenolic resin (PhRs), in the best of which show a promising fuel cell performance: 1.0 A cm⁻² at 0.38 V with 0.2 MPa of H₂ and O₂ at 80 °C. Durability tests over 800 h have been completed and they suggest a good stability of the catalyst. Such promising fuel cell performance and durability can be achieved because the multi-step pyrolysis of FePc and PhRs can overcome the trade-off between the heat treatment temperature and catalytic activity by combining the step-wise heat treatments and acid washing.

Introduction

Polymer electrolyte fuel cells (PEFCs) have received a great deal of attention in terms of applications in transportation, portable devices and combined heat and power systems based on their high energy conversion efficiency and scalability. One major problem for PEFCs in commercial applications is the cost and scarcity of platinum, which has been thought to be essential for the cathode catalyst for oxygen reduction reaction (ORR). It is extremely important to develop precious-metal-free cathode catalysts.

Since Jasinski discovered the catalytic property of Co phthalocyanine¹ and Jahnke reported the heat treatment of Co TAA (Co dibenzotetraazaannulene),² numerous attempts have been made to develop precious-metal-free cathode catalysts by pyrolyzing precursors containing transition metals (mainly Fe or Co), a nitrogen source and a carbon source.³⁻¹⁵ Although some of these precious-metal-free cathode catalysts show quite promising fuel cell performances,^{9,14} the durability needs to be much improved if they are to be considered for commercial applications.

While the majority of this class of catalysts contain transition metal species (mainly Fe or Co), the role of this transition metal is still much debated. One convincing model for the active sites is the catalytic center based on metal-N coordination.³ However, it has been pointed out that such metal-N coordination is no longer stable in the catalysts treated at high temperature (over 800°C).^{8,15} In contrast, several research groups have focused on the nature of nitrogen-containing carbon itself.^{16,17} No matter whether the actual catalytically active sites contain Fe species or not, we assume

that sufficient nitrogen content is essential to obtain highly active carbon-based ORR catalysts, and that Fe species are important to enhance the growth of carbon network, although we do not eliminate the possibility of the catalysis by the transition metal species during the ORR.

Our research group has been developing precious-metal-free cathode catalysts based on pyrolysis of polymer precursors such as phenolic resin,¹⁸⁻²⁰ and nitrogen containing polymers.²¹ Our catalyst materials are prepared by pyrolyzing these mixtures rather than the surface modification of ready-made carbon supports, such as carbon blacks. This approach could result in high density of active sites and high durability of the resulting catalysts, since the chemical structure of the active sites can be produced both in the bulk and on the surface of the carbon. In the catalyst preparation from such polymer based precursors, the pyrolysis protocol is quite important to obtain highly active and highly durable ORR catalysts.

This study focuses on how the durability of carbon-based ORR catalysts can be improved without losing catalytically active sites. We hereby report a multi-step pyrolysis of Fe phthalocyanine (FePc) and phenolic resin (PhRs) to prepare highly active and highly stable ORR catalysts, the concept of which is shown in Figure 1. The hypothesis is based on the conclusion of our previous work: Fe species are important at up to 600 °C for the growth of carbon network, but Fe nanoparticles kill the active sites at over 700 °C.²⁰ If one assumes that the chemical structure of the active sites has been formed at around 600 °C, the post-treatment of the catalysts prepared at 600°C could be effective to improve the stability after removing the Fe species that kill active sites. The current paper describes

the property of the FePc/PhRs derived cathode catalysts prepared by different pyrolysis protocols and the fuel cell performances with the prepared catalyst.




Figure 1. The concept of multi-step pyrolysis.

Experimental

FePc/PhRs composite

PhRs (Gunei Chemical, PSK-2320) was dissolved in acetone, and then FePc (Tokyo Kasei) was dispersed into the solution under ultra-sonication. The solvent was removed with a rotary evaporator to obtain powdery mixtures of the precursors. The amount of Fe was 2.3 wt%.

Carbonization protocols

The composites were converted into carbon materials by multi-step pyrolysis. The pyrolysis yield was calculated from the weight difference before and after the pyrolysis. 600-I-N₂-g and 800-I-N₂-g were prepared by single step pyrolyses of the FePc/PhRs composite under nitrogen flows at 600 and 800 °C, with pyrolysis yields of 49 and 49 %, respectively. These samples were mechanically ground using a planetary ball mill (Fritsch, P7) and ZrO₂ beads (Nikkato, 0.5 mm) in an EtOH and H₂O solution. 800-II-N₂-g and 800-II-NH₃-g were prepared by pyrolyzing 600-I-N₂-g at 800°C under nitrogen and ammonia flows, with pyrolysis yields of 82 and 68 %, respectively. 1000-III-NH₃-g was prepared by pyrolyzing 800-II-NH₃-g at 1000°C under an ammonia flow with a pyrolysis yield of 44 %. Acid washing with HCl was carried out after each pyrolysis step by the following manner. A powder sample was stirred in conc. HCl for a few hours and then filtered. After repeating this once more, the powder was stirred in conc. HCl overnight. The resulting powder was carefully washed with ultrapure water. 1000-III-NH₃-guw was prepared without the acid washing after the final pyrolysis step. 1000-III-NH₃ was prepared without the ball milling process.

Characterization

The specific surface area and meso-pore volume of the catalysts were determined by N₂ adsorption using a volumetric

adsorption measurement instrument (Bel Japan, Belsorp-mini II). The BET surface area and mesopore surface area were determined by the BET method and BJH method, respectively. The C, H and N contents of the catalysts were determined using a CHN elemental analyzer (Perkinelmer, 2400-II). The Fe content was determined by wavelength dispersive spectrometry (WDS) using an electron probe micro analyzer (EPMA; JXA-8100, JEOL). Fe detection was conducted with a LiFH crystal. The EPMA measurement was carried out by analyzing a pellet prepared from the catalyst powder without any binder. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was carried out using a spectrometer equipped (JPS-9010MC, JEOL) with a monochromator and an Al anode at 12 kV and 25 mA. The binding energy was charge corrected with respect to the C 1s signal at 284.6 eV derived from aromatic carbon.²² X-ray diffraction (XRD) was measured at room temperature using an X-ray diffractometer (Rigaku, Ultima IV) with Cu K α radiation. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transition electron microscopy (TEM) were carried out using a VE9800 spectrometer (Keyence) and a 200 kV FE-TEM (2010F, JEOL), respectively.

Half cell testing

The catalytic activity of the samples was tested by linear sweep voltammetry (LSV, 5 mV sec⁻¹) with a rotating disk electrode (RDE). The catalyst powder was dispersed in a mixture of Nafion solution (5wt%, Aldrich), ethanol and water and applied onto the surface of a glassy carbon electrode. The amount of loaded catalyst was 0.2 mg cm⁻². The rotation speed of the RDE was kept at 1500 rpm with a RDE system (Nikko Keisoku, RDE-1) and the electrochemical data were collected with a potentiostat (ALS, 2323). The LSV was first carried out in N₂ saturated H₂SO₄ (0.5 M), and then in O₂ saturated H₂SO₄. The ORR current was determined by subtracting the N₂ current from the O₂ current.

Fuel cell testing

A cathode was fabricated by applying an ink of the 1000-III-NH₃-g catalyst and Nafion binder (du Pont 20wt% DE2021CS) onto a gas diffusion layer (SGL, GDL25BC) using Auto Film Applicator (Tester Sangyo). An anode was fabricated with a PtRu/Ketjen black catalyst (Tanaka, TEC61E54) in the same manner. An MEA (Membrane Electrode Assembly) was fabricated by pressing the electrodes and Nafion NR211 membrane (du Pont) at 150 °C and 30 kg cm⁻² for 2 min.

The MEA performance was tested at 80 °C by flowing fully humidified hydrogen (300 mL min⁻¹) into the anode side and fully humidified oxygen or air into the cathode side (300 mL min⁻¹). The absolute pressures of the anode and cathode compartments were maintained at 0.2 MPa. I-V polarization curves were measured by recording the cell voltages after holding the current density by an Electronic Load (Kikusui, PLZ164WA) for 5 min at each value. Durability test were

carried out by holding the current density at 200 mA cm⁻² and recording the cell voltage.

Results and Discussion

Different protocols for catalyst preparation

Table 1 summarizes the elemental composition and specific surface area of the catalysts prepared by different pyrolysis protocols. 800-I-N₂-g resulted in quite poor nitrogen content. This is because the single step pyrolysis at such high temperature induces the N₂ elimination catalysed by the Fe particles, as explained in Figure 1. Higher nitrogen contents of 800-II-N₂-g and 800-II-NH₃-g indicate that the acid washing before the treatment at 800 °C contributes to retain higher nitrogen contents. These data also suggest the contribution of the NH₃ atmosphere for the higher nitrogen contents. It should be noted that 1000-III-NH₃-g contains 1.9 wt% of nitrogen even after the treatment at 1000 °C. As for the Fe content, 0.5-1.6 wt% of Fe species were detected from all samples despite the acid washing. 1.6 wt% of Fe content of 1000-III-NH₃-g is certainly lower than 2.3 wt% of 1000-III-NH₃-guw, suggesting that the acid washing indeed decreases the Fe content but there are some unwashable Fe species in the sample. Another effect of the ammonia treatment is the etching of the carbon materials.¹² The BET surface area (A_{BET}) and mesopore surface area (A_{meso}) of 800-II-NH₃-g are higher than that of 800-II-N₂-g. The etching effect is more significant with 1000-III-NH₃-g, especially for A_{meso} , which is thought to be important for mass transport in the catalyst layers of fuel cells. The acid washing does not seem to affect the nitrogen content or specific surface area, as suggested by 1000-III-NH₃-g and 1000-III-NH₃-guw.

Table 1. Elemental composition and specific surface area of the catalysts prepared by different pyrolysis protocols

| Sample name | Elemental analysis (wt%) | | | EPMA Fe (wt%) | Specific surface area (m ² /g) | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------|-----|---------------|---|-------------------|
| | C | H | N | | A_{BET} | A_{meso} |
| 600-I-N ₂ -g | 74.9 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 1.4 | 517 | 94 |
| 800-I-N ₂ -g | 92.1 | trace | 0.7 | 0.5 | 484 | 284 |
| 800-II-N ₂ -g | 87.0 | 0.1 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 488 | 80 |
| 800-II-NH ₃ -g | 78.7 | 1.3 | 4.7 | 0.8 | 655 | 110 |
| 1000-III-NH ₃ -g | 84.3 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 918 | 220 |
| 1000-III-NH ₃ -guw | 77.5 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 860 | 219 |
| 1000-III-NH ₃ | 84.7 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 739 | 166 |

Figure 2 shows the XRD patterns of the catalyst prepared by different pyrolysis protocols. 600-I-N₂-g shows small diffraction peaks due to metallic Fe and Fe₃C. This suggests that the phthalocyanine structure has started decomposing at around this temperature. The degree of graphitization is probably one of the most important properties to achieve high stability of the carbon based cathode catalysts. In the 600-I-N₂ and 800-II-N₂-g samples, broad diffraction peaks spreading

over 15-30 ° due to amorphous carbon were observed. In contrast, the 1000-III-NH₃-g sample shows a relatively sharp peak at 25.8 ° due to turbostratic carbon.²³




Figure 2. XRD patterns of the catalysts prepared by different pyrolysis protocols.




Figure 3. (a) C 1s, (b) N 1s and (c) Fe 2p XPS spectra of the prepared carbon materials, dots: measured data; lines: fitted curves.

Figure 3 shows the XPS spectra of the catalyst prepared by different pyrolysis protocols. The C 1s spectrum of 600-I-N₂-g can be deconvoluted into four peaks: aromatic carbon at 284.6 eV, ether (C*OC) species at 285.5 eV, ester (C*OC=O) species at 286.8 eV and carboxyl (C*(=O)O) species at 289.2 eV.²² Other three samples prepared by the multi-step pyrolysis show more aromatic carbon, suggesting well grown carbon network as evidenced by XRD (Figure 2). The N 1s spectra can be deconvoluted into three peaks: pyridinic (398.4-398.5 eV), pyrrolic (399.9-400.0 eV) and graphitic (401.0-401.2 eV).²⁴ Apart from 600-I-N₂-g, the majority of N 1s signals can be assigned to pyridinic and graphitic nitrogen which have been proposed as catalytically active nitrogen species.^{14,25} The Fe 2p spectra were also measured by a similar scanning condition but only trace signals can be detected, suggesting the concentration of Fe species on the catalyst surface is extremely low, whereas the bulk analysis (EPMA and XRD) detected considerable intensity of Fe signals. Our previous work suggests that the majority of FePc has decomposed and formed Fe nano-particles

at around 600 °C.²⁰ The residual Fe in the prepared carbon is probably in the form of nano-particles fully encapsulated by carbon layers, and therefore can be detected by the bulk analysis whereas the acid washing removes most of the Fe species on the catalyst surface. This assumption is evidenced by TEM as shown in Figure 4. The 1000-III-NH₃ sample show Fe particles covered by layered carbon even after the acid washing.

The EPMA, XRD, XPS and TEM analyses suggest that the majority of Fe species observed in the 1000-III-NH₃-g sample is in metallic Fe or Fe₃C form and the concentration of Fe species on the catalyst surface is extremely low. These Fe particles presumably catalyzes the formation of the layered structure.²⁶ Note that 800-I-N₂-g resulted in lower nitrogen content with a higher degree of graphitization. This is because the high temperature treatment with too much Fe species enhances the growth of carbon and the elimination of nitrogen species. These results suggest that controlling the catalysis of the Fe species is quite important to raise the heat treatment temperature without losing nitrogen species.




Figure 4. TEM images of 1000-III-NH₃-g.




Figure 5. ORR voltammograms with the catalysts prepared by various pyrolysis protocols. Temperature: RT, catalyst loading: 0.2 mg cm⁻², electrolyte: 0.5 M H₂SO₄, rotation: 1500 rpm.




Figure 6. ORR voltammograms with the 1000-III-NH₃-g and 1000-III-NH₃-guw catalysts. Temperature: RT, catalyst loading: 0.2 mg cm⁻², electrolyte: 0.5 M H₂SO₄, rotation: 1500 rpm.

Figure 5 shows the RDE voltammograms with the catalysts from various pyrolysis protocols. 800-I-N₂-g shows quite poor ORR activity reflecting poor nitrogen content. The samples from the multi-step pyrolysis, 800-II-N₂-g, 800-II-NH₃-g and 1000-III-NH₃-g show good catalytic activities even after the high-temperature treatment. This is probably because the multi-step pyrolysis can minimize the loss of nitrogen species since extraordinary Fe species has been washed out. 800-III-NH₃-g shows even higher nitrogen content than 600-I-N₂-g and perform a good ORR current. The nitrogen content of 1000-III-NH₃-g is lower than that of 800-II-NH₃ but also shows a quite good catalytic performance. This is probably because this sample has a high surface area due to the etching effect by NH₃.

One might wonder if the different catalytic performances derive from the difference in the nature of Fe species among the samples. Figure 6 compares the ORR voltammograms with 1000-III-NH₃-g and 1000-III-NH₃-guw. Indeed the ORR activity slightly decreases by the acid washing, 1000-III-NH₃-g is still much active as a precious-metal-free catalyst. We do not eliminate the possibility of the catalysis by Fe species during the ORR; however, the catalysts after the acid washing contain very little amount of Fe species on the surfaces (See Figure 3) and it is quite difficult to discuss a correlation between the amount of Fe species and the catalytic activity. We assume that the different catalytic activities by different pyrolysis protocols mainly derive from the different nitrogen content and specific surface area.

Effect of mechanical grinding

Although the 1000-III-NH₃ sample has relatively high surface area due to the porous structure introduced by the NH₃ etching, the particle size of carbon might be an important parameter to achieve a high performance in fuel cells because the catalyst should be well mixed with ionomer in the catalyst layer. In this

context, the effect of mechanical ball milling was investigated. Figure 7 shows the SEM images of the prepared catalyst with/without mechanical ball milling. The 1000-III-NH₃ sample shows quite bulky structures of carbon around 1 μm scale. In contrast, the ball milled sample (1000-III-NH₃-g) shows a finer structure less than 300 nm. In the meantime, the nitrogen content and BET surface area are slightly higher in 1000-III-NH₃-g. This is because 1000-III-NH₃-g was activated by NH₃ more than 1000-NH₃ because it was mechanically ground before the NH₃ treatment.

Figure 8 shows the RDE voltammograms with the 1000-III-NH₃ and 1000-III-NH₃-g samples. Reflecting the fine morphology, the ball milled sample (1000-III-NH₃-g) shows higher current density. This higher catalytic performance is probably due to an increased three phase boundary by the fine particle size or the increased BET surface area and nitrogen content. It is concluded that mechanical grinding on the pyrolysis protocol is effective to improve the catalytic performance of the prepared carbon.




Figure 7. SEM images of (a) 1000-III-NH₃ and (b) 1000-III-NH₃-g.




Figure 8. ORR voltammograms with the 1000-III-NH₃ and 1000-III-NH₃-g catalysts. Temperature: RT, catalyst loading: 0.2 mg cm⁻², electrolyte: 0.5 M H₂SO₄, rotation: 1500 rpm.

Fuel cell performance

Since the 1000-III-NH₃-g sample showed the best ORR current in the RDE voltammetry, this catalyst was tested in a real fuel cell. Figure 9 shows the I-V performance curve of the MEA prepared using the 1000-III-NH₃-g sample as the cathode catalyst. The open circuit voltage was approximately 0.86 V and the current density reached 1.0 A at 0.38 V. The performance with air for the cathode side is lower than that with O₂; however, this also seems promising performance. These fuel cell performances are much better than that of previously reported study using similar precursors,²⁷ suggesting that the multi-step pyrolysis is a quite effective protocol to convert the polymer based precursors into ORR active catalysts in the presence of Fe and nitrogen sources.




Figure 9. I-V polarization curve of the MEA with the 1000-III-NH₃-g catalyst. Cathode: 1000-III-NH₃-g 4 mg cm⁻², O₂ 0.2 MPa. Anode: PtRu/C catalyst 0.4 mg-Pt cm⁻², H₂ 0.2 MPa. Electrolyte: Nafion NR211. T: 80°C.




Figure 10. Durability test of the MEA with the 1000-III-NH₃-g catalyst. Cathode: Air 0.2 MPa. Anode: H₂ 0.2 MPa. Current density: 200 mA cm⁻².

The durability tests of these fuel cells were carried out by introducing air into the cathode compartment and keeping the current density at 200 mA cm⁻² (Figure 10). Although the cell voltage gradually decreases, the performance loss after 500 h is less than 20 %. To our knowledge, most of the state-of-art Pt-free catalyst significantly degrade within 100 h in the real fuel cell conditions;¹⁴ therefore, it can be concluded that the carbon based catalyst prepared by the multi-step pyrolysis have extremely good durability as a Pt-free catalyst. It can be presumed that there are two main reasons for the extremely high durability of this catalyst: (a) the catalyst is well tolerant against corrosion in the electrochemical condition because the materials has been well carbonized due to the multi-step pyrolysis, and (b) this material can retain the catalytic activity even if some degree of corrosion occurs on the material surface because active sites exist both in the bulk and on the surface of the carbon.

Conclusions


Pt-free cathode catalysts for polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cells have been developed by the multi-step pyrolysis of FePc and PhRs. The best catalyst in this study, 1000-III-NH₃-g, shows a quite promising fuel cell performance: 1.0 A cm⁻² at 0.38 V with 0.2 MPa of H₂ and O₂ at 80 °C. It should be noted that the durability tests over 800 h have been completed and performed an extremely good durability as a Pt-free catalyst. It can be concluded that the multi-step pyrolysis is a quite effective protocol to convert polymer based precursors into highly active and highly durable ORR catalysts. Further studies require to be done to improve the fuel cell performance and to clarify the reaction mechanism.

Acknowledgements

This study was financially supported by the New Energy and Industrial Technology Development Organization (NEDO). The EPMA and TEM measurements were carried out in the Center for Advanced Materials Analysis in Tokyo Institute of Technology.

Notes and references

- ^a Department of Organic and Polymeric Materials, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1 S8-26 Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8552, Japan. Tel: +81 3 5734 2429, Fax: +81 3 5734 2433, E-mail: nabae.y.aa@m.titech.ac.jp
- ^b Toshiba Fuel Cell Power Systems Corporation, 4-1, Ukishima-cho, Kawasaki-ku, Kawasaki-shi, Kanagawa 210-0862 Japan.
1. R. Jasinski, *Nature*, 1964, **201**, 1212.
 2. H. Jahnke, M. Schönborn, G. Zimmermann, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 1976, **61**, 133.
 3. G. Faubert, G. Lalande, R. Cote, D. Guay, J. P. Dodelet, L. T. Weng, P. Bertrand, G. Denes, *Electrochim. Acta*, 1996, **41**, 1689; G. Lalande, G. Faubert, R. Cote, D. Guay, J. P. Dodelet, L. T. Weng, P. Bertrand, *J. Power Sources*, 1996, **61**, 227; M. Lefevre, J. P. Dodelet, P. Bertrand, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2002, **106**, 8705.
 4. J. A. R. van Veen, H. A. Colijn, J. F. van Baar, *Electrochim. Acta*, 1988, **33**, 801.
 5. H. Schulenburg, S. Stankov, V. Schunemann, J. Radnik, I. Dorbandt, S. Fiechter, P. Bogdanoff, H. Tributsch, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2003, **107**, 9034.
 6. S. Maldonado K. J. Stevenson, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2004, **108**, 11375.
 7. A. Widelov, *Electrochim. Acta*, 1993, **38**, 2493.
 8. V. Nallathambi, J. W. Lee, S. P. Kumaraguru, G. Wu, B. N. Popov, *J. Power Sources*, 2008, **183**, 34.
 9. G. Wu, K. L. More, C. M. Johnston, P. Zelenay, *Science*, 2011, **332**, 443.
 10. M. Bron, J. Radnik, M. Fieber-Erdmann, P. Bogdanoff, S. Fiechter, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2002, **535**, 113.
 11. R. Z. Yang, K. Stevens, A. Bonakdarpour, J. R. Dahn, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2007, **154**, B893.
 12. M. Lefevre, E. Proietti, F. Jaouen, J. P. Dodelet, *Science*, 2009, **324**, 71.
 13. P. H. Matter, L. Zhang, U. S. Ozkan, *J. Catal.*, 2006, **239**, 83; P. H. Matter, E. Wang, M. Arias, E. J. Biddinger, U. S. Ozkan, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2007, **264**, 73.
 14. E. Proietti, F. Jaouen, M. Lefevre, N. Larouche, J. Tian, J. Herranz, J. P. Dodelet, *Nat. Commun.*, 2011, **2**, 416.
 15. Yeager E., *Electrochim Acta* 1984, **29**, 1527.
 16. T. Ikeda, M. Boero, S. F. Huang, K. Terakura, M. Oshima, J. Ozaki, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2008, **112**, 14706.
 17. S. F. Huang, K. Terakura, T. Ozaki, T. Ikeda, M. Boero, M. Oshima, J. Ozaki, S. Miyata, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2009, **80**, 235410.
 18. H. Niwa, M. Saito, M. Kobayashi, Y. Harada, M. Oshima, S. Moriya, K. Matsubayashi, Y. Nabae, S. Kuroki, T. Ikeda, K. Terakura, J. Ozaki, S. Miyata, *J. Power Sources*, 2013, **223**, 30.
 19. C. W. Chang, Y. Nabae, S. Kuroki, T. Hayakawa, M. Kakimoto, S. Miyata, *Chem. Lett.*, 2012, **41**, 923.
 20. Y. Nabae, M. Malon, S. M. Lyth, S. Moriya, K. Matsubayashi, N. Islam, S. Kuroki, M. aki Kakimoto, J. Ozaki, S. Miyata, *ECS Transactions*, 2009, **25**, 463.
 21. M. Chokai, M. Taniguchi, S. Moriya, K. Matsubayashi, T. Shinoda, Y. Nabae, S. Kuroki, T. Hayakawa, M. Kakimoto, J. Ozaki, S. Miyata, *J. Power Sources*, 2010, **195**, 5947; M. Chokai, M. Taniguchi, S. Moriya, K. Matsubayashi, T. Shinoda, Y. Nabae, S. Kuroki, T. Hayakawa, M. Kakimoto, J. Ozaki, S. Miyata, *J. Photopolym. Sci. Technol.*, 2010, **23**, 459; M. Chokai, Y. Nabae, S. Kuroki, T. Hayakawa, M. Kakimoto, S. Miyata, *J. Photopolym. Sci. Technol.*, 2011, **24**, 241.
 22. E. Desimoni, G. I. Casella, A. Morone, A. M. Salvi, *Surf. Interface Anal.*, 1990, **15**, 627.
 23. J. Ozaki, K. Nozawa, A. Oya, *Chem. Lett.*, 1998, **27**, 573.
 24. E. Raymundo-Pinero, D. Cazorla-Amoros, A. Linares-Solano, J. Find, U. Wild, R. Schlogl, *Carbon*, 2002, **40**, 597.
 25. N. P. Subramanian, X. G. Li, V. Nallathambi, S. P. Kumaraguru, H. Colon-Mercado, G. Wu, J. W. Lee, B. N. Popov, *J. Power Sources*, 2009, **188**, 38.
 26. S. Takenaka, M. Serizawa, K. Otsuka, *J. Catal.*, 2004, **222**, 520.
 27. J. Ozaki, S. Tanifuji, A. Furuichi, K. Yabutsuka, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2010, **55**, 1864.



A Pt-free cathode catalyst for polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cells has been developed by multi-step pyrolysis of Fe phthalocyanine and phenolic resin and shows a quite promising fuel cell performance.