Chemical Society Reviews



Chem Soc Rev

How Valence Bond Theory Can Help You Understand Your (Bio)chemical Reaction

Journal:	Chemical Society Reviews			
Manuscript ID:	CS-TRV-07-2014-000241.R1			
Article Type:	Tutorial Review			
Date Submitted by the Author:	16-Jul-2014			
Complete List of Authors:	Shurki, Avital; Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Institute for Drug Research, Derat, Etienne; UPMC, Barrozo, Alexandre; Uppsala University, Cell and Molecular Biology Kamerlin, Shina; Uppsala University, Cell and Molecular Biology			

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxx

Tutorial Review

How Valence Bond Theory Can Help You Understand Your (Bio)chemical Reaction

Avital Shurki,*^a Etienne Derat*^b, Alexandre Barrozo^c and Shina Caroline Lynn Kamerlin*^c

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX 5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

Almost a century has passed since valence bond (VB) theory was originally introduced to explain covalent bonding in the H_2 molecule within a quantum mechanical framework. The past century has seen constant improvements to this theory, with no less than two distinct Nobel prizes based on work that is essentially developments in VB theory. Additionally, ongoing advances in both methodology and

¹⁰ computational power have greatly expanded the scope of problems that VB theory can address. In this Tutorial Review, we aim to give the reader a solid understanding of the foundations of modern VB theory, using a didactic example of a model S_N2 reaction to illustrate its immediate applications. This will be complemented by examples of challenging problems that at present can only be efficiently addressed by VB-based approaches. Finally, the ongoing importance of VB theory is demonstrated. It is concluded ¹⁵ that VB will continue to be a major driving force for Chemistry in the century to come.

Key Learning Points

- Valence bond (VB) theory is a powerful tool to describe (bio)chemical reactivity. It is a vibrant and active research area, with constant developments and improvements.
- ²⁰ VB theory can be generalized into two distinct incarnations: pure *ab initio* VB and its empirical counterpart (EVB).
 - The hybrid QM(VB)/MM approach combines *ab-initio* VB with molecular mechanics with coupling between the QM and MM which is based on concepts of EVB.
- 25 Developments within the VB field, along with increasing computational power greatly increase the scope of problems that can be addressed using VB theory.
- Understanding of some key chemical problems, such as hypervalency and charge-shift bonding, can significantly benefit within the framework of valence bond theory.

I. Introduction

In it's simplest form, valence bond (VB) theory is used on a daily basis by all chemists around the world. It constitutes the "language" of chemical drawings on a sheet of paper or on a ³⁵ blackboard. Over the past decades, VB theory has been constantly explored and improved, giving rise to ever more sophisticated approaches grounded on quantum mechanics. This strong resurgence is becoming visible through an explosion of interest in VB approaches as evidenced by the success of ⁴⁰ meetings such as a VB workshop organized in Paris in 2012, with

more than 80 participants¹, and another workshop is planned in the near future following from the success of the Paris workshop.

VB theory stems from the decomposition of chemical systems into a linear combination of chemically meaningful structures

- ⁴⁵ composed of localized orbitals, in contrast with the delocalized orbitals used in molecular orbital (MO) theory. Learning Box 1 illustrates the difference between the approaches. The idea behind VB theory can be traced back to 1927, when Heitler and London came up with a quantitative model, based on quantum mechanics,
- $_{50}$ to explain the covalent bond between the H₂ molecule.² This model can be seen as the quantum mechanical version of Lewis' electron pair bond,³ in which the system is described as a linear combination between the electrons occupying each of the hydrogen nuclei. In 1931, Slater and Pauling extended the model 55 to polyatomic molecules, creating the concepts of resonance, hybridization and superposition of covalent and ionic structure (see e.g. ref.⁴ and references cited therein). These concepts became fundamental pillars to the theory. In the mid 1950s, twenty years later, another significant step was taken towards the 60 establishment of VB theory with Marcus' theory of electron transfer,⁵ which was awarded the 1992 Nobel Prize in Chemistry. Later, Marcus' work would inspire Warshel to come up with a new VB-based approach to model chemical reactivity, namely the empirical valence bond (EVB) method,⁶ which will be described 65 in detail in Section III. This work contributed in part to the award of yet another Chemistry Nobel prize, this time in 2013 for multiscale modelling. In parallel, other approaches were developed independently, in order to enable calculations that are based on VB concepts. For instance, Goddard and co-workers 70 created the generalized VB (GVB) method,⁷ Cooper and coworkers devised the spin-coupled VB (SCVB) approach,⁸ and van Lenthe and Balint-Kurti developed a more general multiconfiguration self-consistent field method, the VBSCF method.⁹ For a more complete overview of different modern VB 75 methods and their usage, we refer the reader, for example, to the work of Shaik, Hiberty and coworkers.^{10, 11}

Learning Box 1. Valence bond and molecular orbital theories two different languages describing the same chemistry.

Seen as rivals in the past, VB and MO theory are two ways of describing the same phenomena occurring at the molecular level. While MO theory tries to describe the wavefunctions of electrons as delocalized, by combining all possible atomic orbitals, VB regards the system as a linear combination of possible molecular states, in which the electrons occupy localized orbitals. In a quantum mechanical language, as MO looks for the probability of finding electrons at certain points in space, VB looks for the probability of finding a molecule at a given molecular state. The two theories are equivalent and should never be seen as antagonists.





Figure 1. (A) The six different VB structures (electronic ⁵ configurations) that describe all possible independent arrangements of four active electrons within the three fragments (Nu, CH₃, LG). Schematic representation of the VB correlation diagram for the $S_N 2$ reaction described in Eq 1. (B). Using VB configurations describing a general $S_N 2$ reaction, (C). Using VB states which are mixtures of selected VB configurations for the particular identity SN2 reaction. Plain lines correspond to the description of the reactant and product structures and states in a and b respectively, and bold line corresponds to the resulting ground state adiabatic curve.

- ¹⁵ Over the years, most undergraduate students have mainly been taught the simplified version of valence bond theory, while the main focus was on molecular orbital theory. VB theory is, however, a distinct approach, that is equivalent to MO theory. That is, VB and MO theories are the two faces of the same coin: a
- ²⁰ quantum theory of bonding in molecular structures. In some cases (*e.g.* studies of frontier orbitals and electronic transitions), it is

less tedious to use the MO approach to reach the desired properties. But for particular cases, VB can be a better methodology despite its greater demands on active involvement 25 by the user and a steeper learning curve. In this review, we will focus on a model S_N2 reaction to guide the readers throughout the practical aspects of using of VB theory, as well as illustrating some key applications of this approach. In addition, case studies where VB theory gives more insights than MO theory will also be 30 discussed. The current tutorial review will start by providing a solid theoretical foundation with which to understand modern applications of VB theory. We will follow this by listing and discussing interesting applications of valence bond theory, in order to demonstrate that valence bond theory is (and always has 35 been) an essential tool to analyze the fate of electrons during chemical reactions. The goal of this review will therefore be to provide a generalized understanding of the importance of the field, based on a didactic example that follows the $S_N 2$ like reaction catalyzed by the enzyme haloalkane dehalogenase from 40 the gas-phase through to aqueous solution and finally in the enzyme's active site. This illustrative example demonstrates the power of the VB approach to address all aspects of (bio)chemical

II. Ab Initio Valence Bond Theory

reactivity.

45 II.1 Valence Bond Theory – Basic Concepts

VB theory focuses on the characterization of bonds within molecules. Various VB based approaches have been developed throughout the years (for instance spin coupled VB, GVB, and block localized VB). In this review we will focus on the original ⁵⁰ VB approach (see refs. ¹¹ and references cited therein). Its building blocks are VB structures, some of which are equivalent to the well-known Lewis structures. That is, VB theory is based on the idea that the system is described by a collection of structures differing mainly by the composition of the valence ⁵⁵ electrons. In each VB structure, electrons are associated with particular atoms/fragments and are thus localized to a certain extent. The overall description is a linear combination of the various VB structures formed by different distributions, arrangements and/or pairing of these valence electrons.

 $_{60}$ The best way to explain the method is by using an illustrative example. The example we have chosen in this work is the $S_N 2$ reaction described in Eq. 1.

$$Nu: + CH_3 - LG \rightarrow Nu - CH_3 + LG:$$
(1)

Depending on the identity of the nucleophile (Nu) and the leaving ⁶⁵ group (LG), the overall number of valence electrons may vary. However, independently of the system, there will always be at least four active electrons, namely electrons that are directly involved in the bond breaking/formation process. These are the C-LG bond electrons and the lone pair electrons of the Nu. To ⁷⁰ describe the reaction using VB the system will be divided into three fragments, the Nu, the CH₃ group and the LG. Hence, in a simple VB description the four active electrons will be distributed between these three centers (the three fragments). There are six different (independent) ways to distribute and pair four electrons ⁷⁵ among three centers, leading then to six different VB structures, depicted in Fig. 1A. The electrons are depicted by dots, and the line connecting two electrons designates pairing of these two

Learning Box 2. Constructing a VB wavefunction

The identity $S_N 2$ reaction $Cl^{:+} + CH_3Cl$ will serve as an example to illustrate construction of the VB wavefunction. This system involves 22 core electrons (1s, 2s and 2p of Cl and 1s of C), which can be described by MOs and will therefore involve a linear combination of all the system's atomic orbitals (though, in practice, there will only be significant coefficients on the core orbitals). As a result, there will be 11 doubly occupied MOs to describe these 22 electrons. The valence electrons can be divided into active and inactive electrons. Active electrons are those responsible for the different structures, as they are given the freedom to change their occupancy. In the current example these are the two $C \cdot - Cl_{LG}$ bond electrons and the two electrons of the Cl_{Nu} . These four active valence electrons will occupy VB vectors, which will be localized on the corresponding fragments. That is, linear combination of the atomic orbitals of Cl_{Nu} will form a VB vector that is localized on Cl_{Nu} only and will be occupied by the Cl_{Nu} valence electrons. The same is true for the CH_3 group and the Cl_{LG} , respectively. The remaining inactive electrons will also occupy VB vectors that will be localized on the level of calculation, inactive VB vectors of both Cl atoms and those of the active VB vectors, may also be delocalized over the whole system. Thus, here the " π " inactive electrons of both Cl atoms and those of the CH₃ group may be either localized on the respective fragments or delocalized over the whole molecule depending on the level of calculation.

Using these VB vectors, the various VB structures can now be constructed. Core electrons and inactive electrons are usually presented by doubly occupied orbitals, which do not differ in the various VB structures. These electrons were omitted from the description. A covalent bond between two electrons in orbitals φ_1 and φ_2 respectively, presented pictorially by two dots and a connecting line, is described by the Heitler-London wavefunction:²

$$\psi_{HL} = \phi_1(1)\phi_2(2) - \phi_2(1)\phi_1(2)[\alpha(1)\beta(2) - \beta(1)\alpha(2)] = \left|\phi_1(1)\phi_2(2)\right| - \left|\phi_1(1)\phi_2(2)\right|$$

Thus, considering only the VB vectors that correspond to the four active electrons, the following equations represent the six different VB structures depicted in Scheme 1:

electrons. Thus, for example, placing two electrons on the Nu and one electron on both the CH₃ group and the LG while pairing ⁵ them results in structure **1**, while placing two electrons on the LG, and one electron on both the CH₃ group and the Nu while paring them results in structure **2**, and so forth.

We note that the advantage of these structures is that they are chemically meaningful. Specifically, structure 1 involves a ¹⁰ covalent bond between the LG and the CH₃ group, which is typical of the reactants state, and is therefore often labeled Φ_{covR} . Structure 2 involves a covalent bond between the Nu and the CH₃ group, which is typical of the products state, and is labeled Φ_{covP} . Structure 3 involves negative charge on both the Nu and the LG,

- ¹⁵ while none of these active electrons occupies the CH₃ group, leading to a positively charged CH₃ group. This structure describes a triple ionic situation and is labeled Φ_{ion1} for brevity. Structure 4 involves a covalent bond between the two distant fragments, Nu and LG, describing a long bond, and is labeled,
- $_{20}$ $\Phi_{LB}.$ Finally, structures 5 and 6 involve negative charge on both the CH₃ group and the LG or the Nu, respectively, leading the third group to be positively charged. These structures again involve a situation with three charges and are thus labeled, Φ_{ion2} and Φ_{ion3} , respectively.
- ²⁵ The overall wavefunction of the system within the VB description is therefore a linear combination of these six

structures:

$$\Psi_{VB} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i \varphi_i \tag{2}$$

where ϕ_i is the mathematical representation of the ith structure ³⁰ depicted in Scheme 1, c_i is its respective coefficient in the linear combination and N is the overall number of VB structures (6 in this case). Solving the Schrödinger equation using the variational principle, leads to the following set of linear equations:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(H_{ij} - ES_{ij} \right) c_j = 0 \qquad i = 1, ..., N$$
(3)

where H_{ij} and S_{ij} are the Hamiltonian and overlap matrix elements, respectively, with $H_{ij} = \langle \phi_i | H | \phi_j \rangle$ and $S_{ij} = \langle \phi_i | \phi_j \rangle$. We note in this respect that the diagonal matrix elements of the ⁴⁰ Hamiltonian, H_{ii} , represent the energy of the corresponding i^{th} structure. That is, in the S_N2 case, for example, H_{11} represents the energy of the reactants covalent structure, H_{22} represents the energy of the products covalent structure, etc. During the reaction, the relative energies of the various structures is ⁴⁵ changing along with their contribution to the overall wavefunction (Figs. 1(B)). ϕ_1 which is $\phi_{cov R}$ in this example, is relatively stable in the reactant state, and therefore contributes significantly to the wavefunction that describes this part of the

performed.18

reaction. However, as the reaction progresses from reactants to products, the C-LG distance increases and the stabilization of that structure due to the spin pairing of the C-LG bonding electrons is gradually lost. In addition, the Nu-C distance gets shorter and a ⁵ three-electron repulsion develops. This structure therefore goes

- ⁵ three-electron repulsion develops. This structure therefore goes up in energy as the reaction proceeds to products. Mixing of all of the structures above (also termed diabatic states) results in adiabatic states, the lowest of which describes the reaction profile (bold curve in Fig. 1C). The diagram provides insight into the
- ¹⁰ origin of the barrier formation and was developed into a unified model shown to be useful for both qualitative and quantitative understanding of chemical reactivity.^{10, 12, 13} A detailed interpretation of this diagram will be given in a forthcoming paragraph.
- ¹⁵ In addition to providing information about the system energy and wavefunction, VB calculations provide additional chemically useful information such as the resonance energy and the weights of the different VB structures. The resonance energy, which is a result of the mixing between the different VB structures, is
- ²⁰ measured as the difference between the energy of the lowest VB structure, H_{ii} , and the overall ground state energy, E_g . The relative weights are derived from population analysis of the overall wavefunction. Hence, there are various different schemes to obtain the relative weights of the different VB structures in the
- ²⁵ overall wavefunction, Eq. 4 presents the Coulson-Chirgwin scheme which is often used (see ^{11, 13} and references cited therein):

$$w_i = c_i^2 + \sum_{i \neq j}^N c_i c_j \left\langle \varphi_i \middle| \varphi_j \right\rangle$$
(4)

This additional information, which is not readily available in MO based methods, provides some added value to VB.

II.2. Valence Bond Theory - How to Do It

- A common misconception is that VB involves structures but no ³⁵ orbitals. This of-course is not correct. Similar to MO theory where the molecular orbitals are linear combination of atomic orbitals, the VB vectors comprising the VB wavefunction are also a linear combination of atomic orbitals. The difference, however, is that the VB vectors are usually not linear combinations of all
- ⁴⁰ the system's atomic orbitals, but rather, each is a linear combination of relevant atomic orbitals which belong to the fragment it describes. We note in this respect, however, that core electrons and sometimes also inactive valence electrons often still utilize orbitals that are equivalent to MOs within the VB ⁴⁵ description. A detailed example is illustrated in Learning Box 2.
- The VBSCF wave function developed by van Lenthe and coworkers⁹ consists of all the VB structures of the system described by a common set of orbitals. The orbitals as well as the coefficients of the different structures are optimized
- ⁵⁰ simultaneously, leading to a self-consistent field type wave function. Thus, the method is a multi configuration SCF method with an advantage of utilizing chemically interpretable configurations. The VBSCF approach was developed both based on the spin free formulation that uses symmetry group methods¹⁴, ⁵⁵ ¹⁵ and as a typical multi-configuration spin wave function.⁹

The VBSCF method accounts for static correlation but lacks dynamic correlation. Thus, recent developments involve various *ab-initio* VB approaches that incorporate dynamic correlation.¹¹ These include for example: (1) The BOVB where the average

60 field restriction of the VBSCF is removed and a unique set of orbitals for each VB structure is allowed.¹⁶ (2) The VBCI approach, where the configuration interaction (CI) procedure follows a VBSCF calculation, where replacing occupied VB orbitals by virtual VB orbitals that share the same locality 65 produces excited configurations (see ref. 11 and references cited therein). (3) The VBPT2 approach, which incorporates perturbation theory, where the wavefunction is given as the sum of zeroth-order wavefunction obtained at the VBSCF level and the first-order wavefunction given as a linear combination of the 70 singly and doubly excited structures (see ref. 11 and references cited therein). (4) The DFVB approach, where the density of the VBSCF wavefunction serves, in a self-consistent manner, to determine the correlation functionals and the respective energy is added to the VBSCF energy,¹⁷ and, finally, (5) the VB / Quantum 75 Monte Carlo approach, where the VB wavefunction is multiplied by a Jastrow factor and a Quantum Monte Carlo calculation is

II.3. Incorporation of Solvent Effects into Valence Bond Calculations

- ⁸⁰ The methods described thus far relate to small systems in vacuum. Chemical reactions however often occur in solvents. In these cases one simple way to computationally incorporate the surrounding effect on the reaction is by considering a homogenous solvent with an implicit continuum solvation model.
- ⁸⁵ Two VB based methods were developed recently to study chemical reactions in solvents using continuum solvation models: the valence bond polarizable continuum model (VBPCM) (see ref. ¹⁹ and references cited therein), and the VB solvent model (VBSM) which combines VB with parameters determined for the ⁹⁰ SM6 solvation model.²⁰

The VBPCM level combines the VB approach with the polarizable continuum model. Here, the interactions between the solute charges and the polarized electric field of the solvent are considered by embedding an interaction potential in the quantum ⁹⁵ Hamiltonian:

$$\left(H^{0} + V_{R}\right)\Psi^{VB} = E\Psi^{VB}$$
⁽⁵⁾

VBSM on the other hand, utilizes the implicit solvent model SM_X (currently X=6) to account for the solvation of the solute of interest.²⁰ The electrostatic interactions between the solvent and the solute within the SM6 model are described by the generalized born (GB) approximation with self-consistent solute charges (see ref. ¹⁹ and references cited therein). The solvation free energy, ¹⁰⁵ ΔG_s , in this model is composed of the following terms:

$$\Delta G_s = \Delta E_E + G_p + G_{CDS} \tag{6}$$

where ΔE_E is the difference in the expectation value of the gasphase Hamiltonian for the solvent-phase wavefunction and the gas-phase wavefunction, G_p is the free energy due to the 110 polarization of the solvent by the solute charges and G_{CDS} is the free energy term that accounts for cavitation, dispersion and solvent structure. These methods, however, consider the environment as being homogenous, and are therefore not suitable

60

to describe reactions in biological systems where the fine details of the structure are usually responsible for the observed reactivity. Section III will discuss much more consistent fully microscopic approaches for describing solvent in VB s calculations, and it is also worth mentioning here the semi-

- microscopic approach introduced in Ref. 6, which already at early stages introduced the solvation in the diagonal VB states, an approach which captures most of the solvent effect. An added advantage of moving to a fully microscopic description of the
- ¹⁰ solvent is that it is possible to take into account effects such as non-equilibrium solvation.

II.4. Quantitative Results Using Valence Bond Theory

In this paragraph, results obtained by quantitative valence bond calculations on a prototypical S_N2 reaction in aqueous solution ¹⁵ will be described. Such calculations were done using both the VBPCM¹⁹ and the VBSM methods.²⁰ Here we will elaborate on the former. One of the simplest S_N2 reactions that can be imagined is the replacement of a chloride by another chloride on a single methyl group. The starting structure is obviously a ²⁰ chloride anion approaching methyl chloride (Structure 1 in Fig. 1) and the product is the symmetrical structure (Structure 2 in Fig. 1). But, since valence bond theory allows one to express the

- wave-function as a superposition of various structures, one can add other electronic description of the chemical system. The 25 reagent can thus be described by a superposition of structures 1,
- **3**, **4** and **6**: in **3**, the bond between carbon and its chloride is considered as fully ionic with positively charged carbon; in **6**, the same bond is again fully ionic but with positively charged chlorine and finally in structure **4**, the two electrons of the ³⁰ chloride anion are split between the two chlorine giving rise to a long bond between them. In the product, structures **1** and **6** are

replaced by their symmetrical counterparts structures 2 and 5.

Using this set of structures, one can perform VBPCM calculations at the VBSCF level (VBPCM//VBSCF) as explained ³⁵ before. The experimental value for this chloride exchange is known to be 26.5 kcal/mol. The calculated VBPCM//VBSCF/6-31G* value is found to be 36.1 kcal/mol, a clear-cut overestimation of the barrier. Is there a problem to describe this simple reaction within Born-Oppenheimer approximation? It ⁴⁰ seems not, since the CCSD(T) value with the same basis set is

- 28.6 kcal/mol. One can nevertheless still notice the 2 kcal/mol error margin, which is slightly above the "golden standard" for chemical accuracy in quantum chemistry (≤ 1 kcal/mol). Therefore, what is wrong with the VBSCF calculation, including
- $_{45}$ solvent effect? During a VBSCF optimization, the canonical orbitals remain identical for all the set of VB structures, which is obviously wrong since a cation has more contracted orbitals than an anion. Since the $S_{\rm N2}$ reaction is dealing with charged species, allowing the set of structures to re-adapt their canonical orbitals is
- ⁵⁰ mandatory for obtaining reasonable energetic values, in the socalled BOVB framework. This is indeed the case since the VBPCM//BOVB/6-31G* value for the chloride exchange is found to be 26.8 kcal/mol. Thus, in this particular case, BOVB outperforms by one order of magnitude the CCSD(T) level.

55

Table 1. Weights of VB structures 1-6 for the reactant and transition state of the identity $S_N 2$ reaction in aqueous solution

RS TS	1 0.640 0.239	2 0.000 0.239	3 0.263 0.488	4 0.000 0.029	5 0.000 0.003	6 0.097 0.003		
[a] Results are obtained at the VBPCM//BOVB/6-31G* level of theory. The corresponding structures are shown in Fig. 1.								

If energetic values are usually the main quantitative value emerging from MO calculations, VB calculations also allow one to easily retrieve the weight of the various structures. Fig. 1 shows the six structures used in the VBPCM//BOVB/6-31G* 65 calculations and it is thus possible to gain access to their relative weights on the critical points of the potential energy surface. Since the reagent and product are the same in this identity reaction, only two points will be discussed here, the RS and the TS as shown in Table 1.

For the minimum, the main structure is, as expected, the first one (labeled 1 in Fig. 1) with a relative weight of 64%. The second most important structure is the one for which the carbon is positively charged, *i.e.* structure 3 with a weight of 26%. Structure 6, with one positively charged chlorine accounts for 75 10% of the weighting. The contributions from the other structures are negligible. At the transition state (TS), the relative weight of the structures is modified: the weight of structure 3, the one with a carbon-centered cation, is increasing from 26% to 49% reflecting the planarization of the carbon during chlorine 80 exchange. On the other hand, structures 1 and 2 are equalized (at 24%), which sounds logical taking into account the symmetrical shape of the TS. Finally the long bond structure weight (4) is non-negligible at the TS with a weight of 3%, showing that spinpairing can occur across the carbon centre, facilitating electronic 85 reorganization.

Now that the BOVB calculations have established the electronic components of the S_N2 reaction, it is possible to build a valence-bond state correlation diagram (VBSCD) from this. 10, 12, ¹³ This diagram is shown in Fig. 1C. The bold line represents the ⁹⁰ adiabatic state resulting from the mixing of all the configurations (1 to 6). But one can also extract the structures corresponding respectively to the reagent and the product (1, 3, 4, 6 and 2, 3, 4, 5). Diabatic curves for the reagent and product rise continuously from their respective minimum and correlate with their respective 95 charge-transfer state (Cl'...CH₃Cl⁻, where structure 4 mixes to shift the extra electron in the CH₃Cl species). This also explains the increased weight of structure 4 at the TS. The two curves cross in the middle of the diagram. At this crossing point, the diabatic curves mix to generate the ground state of the TS. Since 100 the charge-transfer state is a key component of the S_N2 reaction, it seems natural to include the related parameter into the diagram: this is the gap (G) between the ground state and the chargetransfer state. Another key-component is the mixing occurring at the TS (usually called resonance in the framework of the VB 105 theory). It is indicated by B on Fig. 1C. All these components taken together allow the barrier height to be determined by a linear relationship, which is given by:

$$\Delta E^{\ddagger} = f G - B \tag{7}$$

Learning Box 3. EVB is a QM/MM method

The EVB approach uses classical free energy perturbation/umbrella sampling (FEP/US), with the difference that bonds that are formed and cleaved are described by a Morse potential. The quantum mechanical behavior arises from the fact that we are mixing all the reactant, intermediates and product states, and the energies of the system are obtained by calculating the eigenvalues of the relevant quantum mechanical Hamiltonian matrix. In the example we are using, the calculation is performed in the same way as a two-level quantum system (a general treatment can be found in any quantum mechanics textbook). The coupling of the parabolas is determined by a set of semi-empirical parameters, which will position one of them using the other as a reference. Therefore, this is identical to any semi-empirical approach that replaces the integral with empirical functions, with the exception that a VB rather than MO formalism is being used.



where *f* is the fraction of the gap. For the chloride exchange exemplified here, one can find that the gap is 222 kcal/mol with a $_{5}$ fraction of 0.2 and resonance energy of 17 kcal/mol, which gives the 27 kcal/mol for the barrier determined before. A similar treatment can be done for other halogen exchange reactions.

III. The Empirical Valence Bond Approach

III.1 EVB – Basic Concepts

10 Despite significant advances in computational power, the use of higher-level quantum mechanical approaches remains cumbersome for complex (bio)chemical systems, particularly if one is interested in obtaining convergent free energies. This problem is circumvented by the empirical incarnation of valence 15 bond theory,²¹ which is a semi-empirical QM/MM method that uses fully classical descriptions of different key stationary points within a quantum mechanical framework in order to be able to describe bond-breaking and bond-making processes. The advantages of this approach are that it is both fast, allowing for 20 extensive conformational sampling, while carrying a tremendous amount of chemical information, allowing for a physically and quantitatively meaningful description of chemical reactivity.²²

As within a standard VB framework, a chemical reaction is described as a combination of classical VB states, although the

²⁵ focus is on different potential bonding patterns highlighted in Fig.
 1C and less attention is paid to the different electronic configurations highlighted in Figs. 1A and 1B. These different VB states are described by curved energy surfaces, obtained using classical force field description, as illustrated in Learning
 ³⁰ Box 3. Each VB state in an EVB description corresponds to

different bonding patterns of key energy minima (reactants, products and any intermediates) along the postulated reaction coordinate. Here, the use of Morse rather than harmonic potentials to describe changing bonds allows for these bonds to eventually be broken. However, left to their own devices, the

35 eventually be broken. However, left to their own devices, the timescales of such bond transformations is well out of the league of current simulation approaches. Hence, the actual chemical reaction is described using a mapping potential that is a linear combination of these different diabatic states, using empirical ⁴⁰ parameters to describe the coupling between these parabola, as will be outlined below (note as an aside that unlike the VB approach described in Section 2, in the EVB approach the states are chosen in a way that there is zero overlap between them). The EVB approach thus takes into account the resonance of these 45 different structures in the same way that quantum states are mixed in any quantum system, and the energies along the reaction coordinate are obtained like in every quantum-mechanical problem, by looking for the eigenvalues of the Schrödinger equation for the mixing of the different states. These eigenvalues 50 are obtained by diagonalizing the energy matrix for this system, and, once these values are obtained, it is possible to generate the relevant adiabatic free energy profile.

The EVB approach relies on calibration of empirical parameters to describe the energetics of a reference reaction ⁵⁵ (either in vacuum or in solution, or even a wild-type enzyme, if one is interested in a range of mutants). Once calibrated, those parameters can be used to describe the same process in a different environment, such as an enzyme active site. They characterize the coupling between the curved surfaces shown in Learning Box 3.

60 The way the EVB approach is structured allows one to directly



Figure 2. (a): An overview of the haloalkane dehalogenase (DhIA) active site (based on the structure PDB code 2HDC and highlighting key active site residues. (b) A schematic overview of the $S_N 2$ mechanism catalysed by DhIA showing the involvement of the residues highlighting in (a) in the chemical mechanism. The " Ψ_1 " and " Ψ_2 " highlighted in part B correspond to the two reacting states considered s in the EVB calculations discussed in Section III.

compare how the presence of a different electrostatic environment affects the energetics of the studied reaction, since one maintains the same parameterization for the reacting atoms 10 and only changes the environment (while treating the QM/MM

- coupling between the solute and the environment consistently). In addition to its direct empirical implementation, the EVB approach can also be used as a reference potential to account for the environment effects, allowing calculations of free energy to
- ¹⁵ be performed efficiently for both *ab initio* QM/MM²³ as well as for frozen/constrained DFT calculations.²⁴ Another advantage of the EVB approach (as any other VB based method) is that it can handle challenging charge separation reactions properly and consistently reproduce the catalytic effect of many enzymes with
- ²⁰ high accuracy.²⁵ Furthermore, the approach is able to correctly reproduce the linear free energy relationship between activation free energies and their corresponding reaction free energies,²² and has also played a key role in showing that electrostatics is the major factor in driving enzyme catalysis.²⁵

25 III.2 EVB in Practice – The Haloalkane Dehalogenase Reaction

Section 2 illustrated the use of VB theory to describe a more generalized S_N2 reaction. Here, we will follow the reaction catalyzed by haloalkane dehalogenase from the gas phase through ³⁰ to aqueous solution and finally to the enzyme's active site. This enzyme converts haloalkanes into primary alcohols using a catalytic triad (Asp124, Asp260 and His289), with the carboxylic group of Asp124 acting as the nucleophile.²⁶ In its simplest form, the relevant reacting atoms are the whole 1,2-dichloroethane ³⁵ substrate and the nucleophilic carboxylate group, as shown in Fig. 2. Since EVB provides relative rather than absolute energies, it is necessary to have a reference state to which to fit the EVB

parabola. This is often taken to be the background reaction in

aqueous solution, as biology occurs in aqueous solution, but can ⁴⁰ also be the corresponding reaction in vacuum or the wild-type enzyme relative to a range of mutants. When using a model reaction, amino acid side chains involved in the reaction are normally truncated to the closest chemical counterpart, for example acetate or propionate to describe an aspartic acid, ⁴⁵ imidazole to describe histidine and so forth. The background reaction is then fit to either direct or extrapolated experimental data, or, if necessary, to higher level quantum chemical calculations. In this particular case, it is possible to use known values of a reaction that is close enough to the reference reaction ⁵⁰ in order to estimate the activation barrier using acetic acid as a model for the nucleophilic Asp124.²⁶

In order to start building the parabolas describing the reaction, we need to define how the Hamiltonian (energies) of the system will look like, so we can construct the relevant energy matrix. Let ⁵⁵ us start with the simplest case: the gas-phase reaction. Here the environmental effects are not yet a challenge. The diagonal elements of the Hamiltonian matrix, which correspond to the average energy for each state, are described by:

$${}^{\scriptscriptstyle 0} H_{ii} = \varepsilon_{ii} = U^i_{qq}(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{Q}) + \alpha^i_{gas}$$

$$\tag{8}$$

Here, **R** and **Q** are vectors representing the atomic coordinates and charges of all the elements of a given state *i* (1 for the reactant state, and 2 for the product state, see Fig. 2 and Learning ⁶⁵ Box 3), U_{qq}^{i} is the potential energy of interaction within the atoms participating in the chemical reaction (*q*), including the electrostatic energy, van der Waals interactions, and the covalent bond, angle and torsion energies, and α_{gas}^{i} is the gas-phase energy of the *i*th state, representing the energy difference between 70 both fragments at infinite separation. For the off-diagonal elements H_{ij} , which are related to the superposition of the diabatic surfaces corresponding to the different EVB states, this can be represented by simple exponential functions (although more complex functions could also be used if necessary):

$$H_{ii} = A \exp[-\mu(r - r_0)]$$
 (9)

In the expression above, r represents the distances between relevant reacting atoms (in this specific case, the nucleophilic O from the carboxylic group and the electrophilic C from the substrate), considered with respect to the equilibrium distance r_0 ,

¹⁰ and *A* and μ are constants. The choice of such a function reflects the decay in coupling of the states with distance. With these definitions set, our Hamiltonian matrix will be:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 & H_{12} \\ H_{21} & \varepsilon_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(10)

The ground state energy of our system can then be obtained by diagonalizing this matrix.

Since we are interested in analyzing the free energy profile for the whole reaction, we have to explore all the possible

- $_{20}$ geometrical configurations of the system going from the reactant to the product state under a given reaction coordinate *x*. Therefore, the procedure of obtaining the ground state energy stated above should be used along many different configurations, which includes sampling unstable parts of the reaction, which is
- ²⁵ the case of the TS. To achieve this, we use a standard free energy perturbation/umbrella sampling (FEP/US) procedure.^{21, 27} In this method, we create artificial states alongside the reaction pathway, using a linear combination of the energies of the diabatic states. For the case of two states, we would have: ³⁰

$$\varepsilon_m = (1 - \lambda_m)\varepsilon_1 + \lambda_m \varepsilon_2 \tag{11}$$

where λ_m is a mapping parameter going from 0 to 1 in a number of fixed increments ($\lambda_m = 0, 1/N, 2/N, ..., N/N$). Each of theses steps will yield configurations at different points in the reaction coordinate (here defined as the energy gap between the two diabatic states, $x=\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2$). The energy gap reaction coordinate is a particularly powerful reaction coordinate to represent multidimensional conformational space, as it allows for a 40 description of the environmental reorganization along the reaction coordinate without being biased by the choice of predefined geometric variables. This in turn allows for more efficient sampling. The free energy between steps *m* and *n*, $\Delta g_{m,n_3}$, can be calculated by using Eq. 12:

45

15

$$\Delta g_{m,n}(x') = \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} -\beta^{-1} \ln \left\langle \delta(x-x') \exp \left\{ -\beta \left[\varepsilon_{i+1}(x) - \varepsilon_i(x) \right] \right\} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{E}_i}$$
(12)

and the accumulated contributions from each step in the whole process will give the desired free energy profile. The Dirac delta 50 in Eq. 12 represents the fact that the reaction coordinate, *x*, will be restricted to the configurations that correspond to the mapping

be restricted to the configurations that correspond to the mapping potential ε_m . By using several discrete steps, it will be possible to make the complete mapping of the gas-phase free energy profile highlighted in Fig. 3.



Figure 3. A comparison of schematic EVB free energy profiles for the reaction catalysed by haloalkane dehalogenase (DhIA, Fig. 2), obtained in the gas-phase (ϵ_g), aqueous solution (ϵ_w) and in the ω enzyme active site (ϵ_p). See also discussion in the main text.

Now let us consider the effect of the environment where the ⁶⁵ reaction takes place. The only important change will come at Eq. (8), in which the energies will now become

$$H_{ii} = \varepsilon_i = U_{qq}^{ii}(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{Q}) + U_{pq}^{i}(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{q}) + U_{pp}^{i}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{q}) + \alpha_{gas}^{i}$$
(13)

70 where now we have the interaction between the reacting fragments with the atoms of the environment (p, with atomiccoordinates \mathbf{r} and charges \mathbf{q}), as well as the interaction among all the environment atoms within themselves. Note that the gasphase shift has been demonstrated to be phase-independent, and 75 rigorous constrained DFT (CDFT) calculations have shown that the off-diagonal elements remain the same for any electrostatic environment.²⁸ This is a powerful feature of the EVB approach, as it allows one to model the same chemical reaction in distinct chemical environments, using the same gas-phase shift and off-80 diagonal values, and thus directly observe how changing the environment affects the reaction. It should be pointed out that α_{gas} can, in principle, be applied to either of the diabatic states to either raise or lower the energy of the relevant parabola. However, irrespective of which state is chosen, once the reaction 85 has been calibrated in one environment, it is absolutely crucial to apply it to the same state consistently.

As a final note, some readers might be concerned about the precision of using a semi-empirical force field based approach to describe the energies of the different diabatic state. While this ⁹⁰ approach is generally quite useful as the parabola have been rigorously parameterized, it is nevertheless worth mentioning that the accuracy of the EVB approach can be dramatically improved by (mostly) automated fitting to higher level quantum chemical potentials, or even by just using it as a classical reference ⁹⁵ potential. These are now more advanced concepts that are worth mentioning, but rather than discuss it in detail here, we refer interested readers to ref. ²⁹ and references cited therein.

III.3. EVB – Quantitative Results

As seen in the previous section, the EVB approach is a powerful method to analyze the contribution of the environment to a chemical reaction and therefore understand the molecular basis ⁵ for chemical catalysis. Here, we will present some sample results from EVB studies on the reaction catalyzed by haloalkane dehalogenase (DhlA), in the enzyme and in the background reaction. These studies were performed both in order to analyze the catalytic effect of this system, with the aim of identifying the ¹⁰ source of the catalytic proficiency of the enzyme²⁶.

As in every EVB study, one has to parameterize the empirical parameters by fitting the energies of a reference reaction to known or estimated kinetic data. In the case of DhIA, the reaction involves nucleophilic attack by a carboxylate ion on

- ¹⁵ chloromethane. The model reaction used in this work was the S_N2 reaction CH₃COO⁺+CH₃Cl→CH₃COOCH₃+Cl⁻. The choice of formate rather than an aspartate to mimic the Asp124 nucleophile in this reference reaction does not affect substantially the calculation of the activation barrier (see footnote 68 in ²⁶). Due to
- ²⁰ the lack of available experimental data on this reaction, the authors were forced to calibrate their EVB parameters as carefully as possible to experimental data on analogous reactions, obtaining an estimate of ~27 kcal/mol for the activation barrier of the background reaction. Based on this calibration, the authors

²⁵ were able to obtain an activation barrier of 15.2 kcal/mol for the reaction in the DhlA active site, which is very close to the experimental value of 15.3 kcal/mol²⁶ (see also Fig. 3).

The main point of this work was to use EVB to analyze what the principal factors driving catalysis by this enzyme are. One of

³⁰ the strong features of the method lies in its ability to probe the intersection between the different electronic states. With the aid of linear response approximation (LRA) treatment, it is possible to decompose free energies into individual contributions.³⁰ The EVB energy surface itself allows one to obtain relevant structures

- ³⁵ of reactant and transition states, and these can be properly sampled by means of molecular dynamics simulations. Olsson and Warshel²⁶ used this feature and calculated the free energy of solvation for the two states by applying the LRA analysis. The idea here was to show that catalysis is not driven by desolvation
- ⁴⁰ (or reactant state destabilization). Instead, the enzyme acts as a "super solvent", providing better TS stabilization than in the corresponding uncatalyzed reaction in aqueous solution. This is due to the fact that the enzyme has an electrostatic environment that is preorganized to solvate the reacting fragments, in contrast
- ⁴⁵ to the background reaction in which the solvent dipoles have to reorganize in order to properly solvate the polarized TS. In both cases, this reorganization has an energetic penalty, which is substantially larger in enzyme than in solution. Using the LRA approach, coupled with rigorous EVB simulations, the authors

⁵⁰ were able to quantify this reorganization penalty in both environments, demonstrating that it is 12 kcal/mol higher in the background reaction than in the electrostatically preorganized environment of the DhIA active site. This difference in fact accounts for nearly all the catalysis provided by DhIA, a

⁵⁵ phenomenon that has been seen across a broad range of enzymes.²⁵

IV. Combined Ab Initio VB/MM Calculations

60 IV.1. Basic concepts and Practical Considerations

As will be seen in Section 5, valence bond theory is a conceptual tool that is particularly efficient at describing the nature of bonding in various chemical systems. Thus, it seems natural to also adapt it to addressing bigger systems such as the ones 65 commonly encountered in biology. Classical approaches are well for modeling suited enzymes (or other biological macromolecules) due to their extensive sizes. However, such methods are intrinsically limited by the fact that they cannot deal with electron reorganization. In order to integrate best of both 70 worlds, a solution is to simultaneously perform classical and quantum mechanical calculations, a methodology coined under the term QM/MM and first developed by Warshel and Levitt.³¹ An example of this is the EVB approach described in Section III, which is an empirically-based QM/MM approach that uses VB 75 concepts to describe reactions in biomolecules. Although, one can in principle, have unlimited number of VB states, due to the calibration requirement, EVB can be easily applied only when there are a limited number of VB states (commonly two) involved. In addition, while powerful, for such calculations to be ⁸⁰ physically meaningful, they are dependent on the accuracy of the force field used and they require extensive parameterization of the quantum region. Consequently, in some cases, it might be necessary to move to a more numerically precise description of the quantum region.

From a technical point of view, two main directions have been 85 developed for performing QM/MM calculations. One of these is called an additive scheme, and one is called a subtractive scheme (with ONIOM being its best representative). The distinction between these two approaches is in the way in which the coupling 90 between the QM and MM regions is treated. Specifically, in an additive scheme, the QM energy including MM polarization is added to the MM energy, whereas in a subtractive scheme, the difference between the high and low level on the inner system is added to the energy of the full system at the low level. Also one 95 needs to ideally consider polarization effects. This, in turn, leads to three distinct approaches to address this issue. The first of these is the simplest, in which the QM and MM parts do not polarize each other, and only mechanical embedding is taken into account. In the second approach, the MM point charges polarize 100 the QM region in a process referred to as electrostatic embedding. In the final approach, there is cross-polarization between the $MM \rightarrow QM$ regions, as in the previous case, and the QM region has an impact on the MM atoms (which is normally a polarizable force field in that particular situation). In the case of *ab initio* VB 105 calculations, this issue has been overcome by a framework that combines the additive scheme with polarization of the QM region by the empirical force field.³² The total Hamiltonian can thus simply be written as:

$$H_{VB/MM} = H_{VB} + H_{MM} + H_{VB-MM}$$
(14)

These three terms designate the valence bond Hamiltonian of the reactive part, the molecular mechanics Hamiltonian of the surroundings and the coupling Hamiltonian between the reactive part and the surroundings, respectively. Since the polarization of the QM region by the MM part is incorporated, the third term, 115 defining the coupling part of the Hamiltonian, is described as Learning Box 4. Reduced Resonance Integral vs. Off Diagonal Element

Empirically it was established that the resonance energy, B, is a value that is intrinsic to the reaction, and hence, does not depend on the environment. B, as shown in Fig. 1C, is the difference between the energy of the most stable VB structure (H_{ii}), and the total system energy (E_{total}). Using some simple algebra, one can show that for a 2x2 VB system, the resonance energy at the crossing point between the two VB states (B in Fig. 1C) is given by: $B = (H_{12} - H_{11}S_{12})/(1 + S_{12})$. Since the energy of the two states is equal at the crossing point (i.e. $H_{11} = H_{22}$), it can be given by the average of the two, leading to: $B = (H_{12} - (1/2)(H_{11} + H_{22})S_{12})/(1 + S_{12})$. In the empirical VB framework (EVB), the overlap, S₁₂ is assumed to be zero, hence $B=H_{12}$ and the assumption of invariance of B to the environment transforms into invariance of the off-diagonal term, H₁₂. In the ab-initio VB framework on the other hand (e.g., VB/MM), a value that is often used is the reduced resonance integral β_{ii} . This integral, which is given by $\beta_{ij} = H_{ij} - 1/2(H_{ii} + H_{jj})S_{ij}$, is the same as that used by simple MO models such as the extended Hückel theory. It is easy to show that the resonance energy B is given by $B = \beta_{12}/(1 + S_{12})$ and the assumption of invariance of B to the environment in the VB/MM framework therefore transforms into invariance of both the overlap and the reduced resonance integral.



Figure 4. Weights of the 3 major VB configurations (1, 2 & 3) that compose the overall wave function of the identity S_N2 reaction s discussed in Fig. 1. Gas-phase weights are in black and weights in solution calculated with the VB/MM method are in blue.

follows:

$$H_{VB-MM,ii} = H_{ii}^{\text{int}}$$
(15)

•
$$H_{VB-MM,ij} = \frac{1}{2} (H_{ii}^{\text{int}} + H_{jj}^{\text{int}}) S_{ij}$$
 (16)

where H_{ii}^{int} is the interaction of the reactive part with the environment in the *i*th VB structure calculated classically; and S_{ij} is the overlap between the *i*th and *j*th VB structures calculated in 15 vacuum.

The main idea is to consider the external environment of the MM part as a perturbation on the VB structures, affecting each VB structure differently, as well as the interaction between the different VB structures. Adjunction of these different interactions ²⁰ to the various matrix elements and solving the Hamiltonian through a variational process ensures polarization of the overall wavefunction.

Eq. 16 is based on the assumption that both the overlap and the reduced resonance between VB structures do not depend on the 25 environment. This assumption is equivalent to the assumption made in the EVB approach regarding the off-diagonal element. It was shown to be usually justified.^{28, 33} For clarification of the relation between the off-diagonal term and the reduced resonance integral see Learning Box 4. Extension of the method which 30 involves calculating the electrostatic interactions with the environment using the electrostatic embedding approach led to the density embedded VB/MM (DE-VB/MM) method.³⁴ Here, none of the assumptions described above is required to calculate the electrostatic effect of the environment on the reactive part of 35 the system, as the point charges of the atoms describing the environment are introduced in the core Hamiltonian of the quantum part. This DE-VB/MM approach, however, is computationally more demanding than the standard VB/MM. Hence for most applications VB/MM, despite its use of 40 approximations can serve as the first method of choice. 33, 35, 36

IV.2. VB/MM - Quantitative Results

In principle, the VB/MM approach has all the capabilities of the 45 *ab-initio* VB methods described in Section II. Therefore, for continuity, we will start as previously with the example of the identity S_N2 reaction to illustrate the results that can be obtained through VB/MM calculations. More specifically, comparison with previous methods such as VBSCF (BOVB) and VBPCM 50 will be highlighted. Once again, since the reaction is identical, the

- same set of valence bond structures can be used (Fig. 1): the covalent ones (1 & 2), the long-bond structure (4) and the ionic ones (3, 5, 6). To simplify the calculations, only structures 1, 2 & 3 were used in the VB/MM scheme, eliminating structures 4, 5 &
- ⁵⁵ **6**, the contributions from which were shown to be negligible. From an energetic point of view, the quantitative results obtained are pretty good. For example, while the experimental barrier for the exchange of chloride anion is known to be 26.5 kcal/mol, VB/MM calculations found a value of 26.0 kcal/mol.³³
- ⁶⁰ Concerning the relative weighting of the VB structures, what emerges from a comparison of the VBSCF and VB/MM results is a remarkable stability of the relative weights despite the inclusion of specific solvation (Fig. 4). It shows that the qualitative picture of the S_N2 reaction was already captured by gas-phase ⁶⁵ calculations. One can nevertheless notice an increase of the ionic weight for the solvated transition state, which sounds logical since the covalent structures are gaining less solvation at the transition state.

Non-equilibrium solvation effects that could not be accounted ⁷⁰ for by the continuum models (*e.g.* VBPCM), can be calculated using the VB/MM approach, as it involves an explicit solvent description. This involves, for example, the charge transfer gap (G in Fig. 1C and Eq. 7), which is one of the parameters that controls the barrier height following the VBSCD model of Shaik



Figure 5. (a) schematic illustration of a 1,3 dipolar cycloaddition (b) simplified frontier molecular orbital analysis (c) BOVB based weighting of the VB structures of various 1,3 dipoles,³⁷ and (d) the proposed mechanism as deduced by valence bond theory.

- ⁵ and co-workers.^{10, 12, 13} This value was calculated to be only 222 kcal/mol using the VBPCM, which is closer to the 194 kcal/mol value calculated in vacuum than to the estimated value (\geq 281 kcal/mol) in solution and is a result of the absence of non-equilibrium solvation effects required to calculate the promoted ¹⁰ state.¹⁹ Using VB/MM where explicit water molecules are
- considered, such effects are now accounted for and the value is estimated to be 324 kcal/mol.³⁶ We note in this respect that this value is probably overestimated mainly due to technical issues, including for example, the fact that quantitatively, the picture 15 obtained in the calculations is not as simplistic as in the
- sobtained in the calculations is not as simplified as in the qualitative model. The real value is therefore, somewhere between the estimation (≥ 281 kcal/mol) and the calculated one (324 kcal/mol).

The advantage of the VB/MM approach is that it can also be $_{\rm 20}$ applied to study enzymes. Hence, similar to the EVB we will next

- illustrate it on the reaction catalyzed by haloalkane dehalogenase. Here, the VB structures are again those defined in Fig. 1a where now Nu is Asp124 modelled by propanoate in the QM part of the calculation, and LG is the leaving chloride anion (see also Fig.
- ²⁵ 2a). In this system the quantum part is covalently linked to the environment. This is done using the link atom scheme where a link atom (hydrogen in this case) is used in the QM calculation to cap the dangling bonds and saturate their free valency (see *e.g.* ref. ³⁵ and references cited therein).
- ³⁰ The VB/MM energy is then calculated. When we are interested in analyzing the free energy profile for the whole reaction, similar to the EVB, we have to explore all the possible configurations of the system going from the reactant to the product state. However, due to the high computational cost, and the current lack of ³⁵ gradient calculations within *ab initio* VB, this is done using the
- potential of mean force combined with FEP.²⁷

The VB/MM catalytic effect, in this reaction was calculated to be 8.2 kcal/mol, which is again in reasonable agreement with estimated experimental value ~11 kcal/mol.²⁶ The reaction ⁴⁰ energetics can be analyzed in terms of contributions of the VB

configurations. Such analysis is done using the LRA procedure,

as outlined in Section III.³⁰ In this particular case, for example, the solvation of the different configurations was calculated. It was shown that water stabilizes the covalent structure (structure 1) in 45 the reactant's geometry much more than in the TS (54 *vs.* 17 kcal/mol, respectively). This difference in the stabilization of structure 1 leads therefore, to the high barrier. This result is in agreement with the organic chemists' explanation for the increased barrier in polar solvents, stabilizing the RS more than 50 the TS in this particular system. In the protein on the other hand, the protein dipoles do not change much, and this differential solvation is much smaller, leading in turn to a smaller barrier.³⁵

V. Some Applications of *Ab Initio* and Empirical ⁵⁵ Valence Bond Theory to Solving (Bio)molecular Problems

V.1 1,3-dipolar Cycloadditions

⁶⁰ 1,3 dipolar cycloadditions have attracted a lot of interest recently due to their ability to generate an adduct starting from two components, leading to so-called "click" chemistry. The two components are, respectively, the 1,3 dipole and the dipolarophile (Fig. 5) While the dipolarophile is a classical alkene or alkyne, ⁶⁵ the 1,3 dipole has an electronic structure which can be described by the superposition of three valence bond structures (Fig. 5(a)). Structure **A** can be described as a propargyl, structure **B** as an allenyl, while structure **C** involves two unpaired electrons.

The problem associated with this reaction arises from the fact ⁷⁰ that barriers are found to be similar whatever the dipolarophile is. By using the classical approach of frontier molecular orbitals (Fig. 5(b)), one *should* obtain different barriers, since the HOMO and LUMO of ethene and ethyne are not of the same energies. 1,3-cycloaddition is thus an example of a reaction unexpectedly ⁷⁵ not following frontier molecular orbital theory. Investigations were undertaken using VB theory to decipher the reasons behind these contradictory results.³⁷

BOVB calculations on a series of dipolarophiles, enables

access to the weighting of the different structures **A**, **B**, and **C**. It appears that the ionic structures **A** and **B** represent roughly 75% of the system, while the diradical structure **C** accounts for 25% of the system. This value is non-negligible, and it is even more

- s significant when taking into account the distorted geometry of the cycloaddition transition state. In that case, the weighting of the structure increases to roughly 35%. Distortion of the 1,3-dipole is thus the key event that allows the reaction to take place. Moreover the calculations suggest that the role of the distortion is
- ¹⁰ to modify the electronic state of the 1,3-dipole in order to make it more reactive, and thus it explains why identical distortions (and barriers) are expected for additions to ethylene and acetylene, which is in fact observed. Finally, a linear correlation between the diradical character of 1,3-dipoles and the barrier height was
- ¹⁵ found, explaining the intriguing fact that the barrier heights for the cycloaddition of a given 1,3-dipole to acetylene and ethylene are very similar, despite the difference in exothermicity. In summary, what emerges from VB calculations on this particular topic is the fact that increasing the diradical character is the ²⁰ driving force of the 1,3 cycloaddition.

V.2 Hypervalency and Charge-Shift Bonding

Charge-shift bonding has emerged as a new type of bond on the basis of valence bond calculations and analysis. Usually, bonding in molecular structure is divided into two families: covalent and

- ²⁵ ionic. In the framework of valence bond theory, this splitting is somewhat formal since the global electronic structure is described as a superposition of covalent and ionic structures. But VB allows a definition of covalent or ionic bonds by establishing what the dominant contribution among the various substructures is.
- $_{30}$ Examining the energetic contribution of the dominant VB structure has challenged this idealized picture. For example, in a simple molecule like F_2 , the dominant VB structure is the covalent one but at the equilibrium distance its energetic contribution is destabilizing. 38 In fact, the bonding energy in such
- ³⁵ situation is arising from the mixing between the covalent and ionic structures, and is physically due to an increase in the charge fluctuation.

To highlight this charge-shift concept, the bonding pattern of XeF₂ will now be examined.³⁹ XeF₂ is a typical hypervalent ⁴⁰ molecule, which can be described through the Rundle-Pimentel model, *i.e.* a three-center-four-electron molecular orbital diagram. To describe this model in terms of a VB framework, one can also use three main structures as depicted in Fig. 6. The first of these are a set of two symmetrical structures with one covalent bond

- ⁴⁵ between xenon and fluorine and an ionic between xenon and the opposite fluorine (structures **D** and **E** on Fig. 6, notice that d orbital can also be used on the central atom); the second of these is a structure where Xe is neutral while the two fluorine atoms share two paired electrons giving rise to a long bond between the second of the second seco
- ⁵⁰ them (structure F) and the last of these is a structure involving two ionic bonds between the central atom and its ligand (structure G). It is interesting to notice that these structures resemble to the ones used for describing the S_N2 reaction.
- In Fig. 6 are also indicated the energies of the various VB ss structures (or combinations of them in the **D** and **E** cases) for XeF₂ relative to atoms at infinite separation. It appears that the four structures taken separately cannot account for the stability of this hypervalent molecule. Mixing structures **D** and **E** leads to a



60 **Figure 6:** VB structures for XeF₂, as well as the energies of the corresponding diabatic states (in kcal/mol).



65 Figure 7. Three possible bonding schemes of the C2 molecule (H, I, J), and the quasi-classical state of the fourth bond, K (for details, see discussion in the main text).

more stable energetic configuration (21.4 kcal/mol), which, however, is still repulsive relative to the atoms at infinite 70 separation. Including the long-bond structure F allows one to reach a value close to zero; but, the stability of XeF₂ is only explained by mixing all four structures. This shows that the bonding energy is due to a large resonance between the various structures enabled by a strong covalent-ionic mixing. This is a 75 typical case of charge-shift bonding. In summary, VB theory has shown that many chemical bonds, including hypervalency, should be described by the mixing of covalent and ionic VB structures, and their actual bond strength is dictated by the corresponding covalent-ionic resonance energies. This charge shift bonding 80 concept has helped increase our understanding of various intriguing bonding and reactivity problems, including for example, the inverted bond in [1.1.1] propellane, and the rarity of ionic chemistry of silicon in condensed phase.40,41

V.3 Quadruple Bonding in C2, and Its Isoelectronic Molecules

⁸⁵ An additional novel yet intriguing insight from modern VB methods is, for example, the quadruple bonding in C2 and its analogues (Fig. 7).⁴²

The bond order of diatomic molecules with eight-valence electrons using the simple molecular orbital diagram is two, as in ⁹⁰ structure **H** (Fig. 7), because of the electron occupation of both $2\sigma_g$ and $2\sigma_u$, which leaves only the contribution of the 4π electrons to the bond order. The same molecules described with simple sp-hybridization, are considered to have a bond order of three, as in **I**, with one σ and two π bonds leaving one electron on ⁹⁵ each atom pointing away from the bond. VB methods, however, show that these outwardly pointing electrons form an inverted bond, leading to a bond order of four (**J** in Fig. 7).

The strength of the fourth bond was assessed within the VB framework, by calculating the *in situ* bond energy, which is the bonding interaction of that fourth bond within the molecule. This can be estimated either by the difference between the energy of

- s the molecule and the molecule where this bond is in its nonbonding, quasi-classical state (K, which is one of the spin arrangements of the covalent structure). Alternatively, this bond energy can be estimated as half the gap between the singlet and triplet states of that molecule. The different approaches resulted
- ¹⁰ in bond strengths of >10 kcal/mol suggesting that this forth bond is rather weak, yet not negligible. This study inspired other researchers and opened a debate regarding the nature of the bonding in C2 and its analogous.^{43, 44} Nevertheless, it emphasizes the unique capabilities of modern VB.

15 V.4 Modeling Large Biomacromolecules with EVB Calculations and Simplified Models: The Case of ATP Synthase

It is well known that high-level quantum chemical calculations are computationally very expensive. When it comes to studying

- ²⁰ the dynamical behavior of enzymes, one has to deal with thousands of atoms, since the whole protein network of vital importance for the catalytic effect. Moreover, it is important to properly include the electrostatic effects of the environment where the turnover takes place, in order to calculate free energies
- ²⁵ of chemical reactions in a meaningful way. Thus, the use of hybrid QM/MM methods becomes necessary, and the EVB is one of the most efficient methods available for studying large systems due to a combination of both it's speed and accuracy. This approach has been extensively used to study the catalytic effect of ³⁰ a large number of enzymes.²⁵

A remarkable example of the power of the EVB approach can be seen in a series of works by Warshel and co-workers on the energetics of the F_0/F_1 -ATPase systems (Fig. 9).⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷ In the case of F_1 -ATPase, the system has over 23.000 atoms and couples

- $_{35}$ major conformational changes to the catalytic effect within its active site. In the authors' first work, 47 EVB calculations were performed on this system with the objective of obtaining the activation barriers for the synthesis of ATP inside the dimeric units of F₁-ATPase. As for any EVB procedure, that work dealt
- ⁴⁰ with a reference reaction to calibrate the empirical parameters for the underlying turnover. The EVB calculations were then performed with 31 frames of 5 ps each for both the reference and the enzyme reactions. These calculations allowed for a reproduction of the catalytic effect of the enzyme within 1 ⁴⁵ kcal/mol of precision for this challenging system.

In the next work,⁴⁵ the authors explored the relationship between the energy barrier for the hydrolysis of ATP and the conformational changes in the enzyme (Fig. 8). Here, the authors showed a clear coupling between the conformational motion of

- ⁵⁰ the enzyme and how the reaction takes place. Specifically, by following the conformational/catalytic landscape, the authors observed that the step of hydrolysis must occur at a very specific conformation of the whole complex, in which the dimer which holds the ATP is rotated 80° before the hydrolysis takes place
- ⁵⁵ This is essentially a least free energy pathway, since EVB calculations for different conformations other than the one stated above yield a higher free energy pathway, as shown in Fig 8). Additionally, by coupling the EVB free energy surface with free



⁶⁰ Figure 8. Calculated energy landscape for ATP hydrolysis by F1-ATPase, as a function of both the rotary motion of the system (x-axis) and the chemical step (x-axis). This shows that the catalytic dwell of the system upon 80° rotation is to allow for the chemical step to occur. Reproduced with permission from Ref. ⁴⁵.

65 energy surfaces associated with the conformational changes, the authors could explain the curious catalytic "dwell" in different conformations,⁴⁸ in which the F₁-ATPase spends most of its time of hydrolysis at the very conformation used for the catalysis. The authors again managed to reproduce the chemical barrier to 70 within 1-2 kcal/mol of precision compared to experiment.

Finally, in a following work,⁴⁶ the authors sought to map the free energy profile behind the mechanical rotation of the F₀-ATPase, which is the rotary motor of the whole ATPase. The article shows that the asymmetry in the energetics of the proton ⁷⁵ path is an important factor for performing the torque on the c-ring component. In this case, EVB was used as a tool to generate an electrostatic profile for the proton transport, which was later used for studying the dynamical aspects of the rotation of the molecular machine. Here we see an example of the use of EVB as ⁸⁰ a source of chemically relevant free energy profiles to study biological phenomena other than enzymatic catalysis.

VI. Conclusions

⁸⁵ In this tutorial review, we have presented a range of methods that utilize VB concepts to study reactivity within chemistry. Various applications that emphasize the added value and insights gained by using VB based methods have also been presented. We note that there are many additional VB based methods, not discussed ⁹⁰ in this review, that are either derived from the methods described here or constitute similar developments. For a wider review of the various methods the reader is referred to refs. ^{11, 49, 50}.

Historically, full application of the fantastic capabilities of *abinitio* VB methods to any system has often been limited by 95 computer resources. Thus, the continuous current growth in computational power guarantees great future developments in that field. In parallel, the ability to do increasingly long-timescale VB simulations using empirical methods has increased their popularity in the field, in particularly when combined with their 100 relative ease of use and the wealth and variety of problems that are and can be solved. Finally, the development of the VB/MM which relies on both worlds, is expected to bridge the gap, combine the benefits from both worlds and further augment potential problems the solution of which within the VB 105 framework is feasible. Such developments will greatly contribute to advances in reactivity of both small molecules as well as biological systems and play a major role in computational (bio)chemistry in decades to come, while keeping the beauty of VB: its ability to simplify without being simplistic.

5 Acknowledgements

The European Research Council has provided financial support under the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013)/ERC Grant Agreement No. 306474. S. C. L. K. is a Wallenberg Academy Fellow, and also 10 acknowledges a Fellowship from the Swedish Research Council (VR). A. S. acknowledges the Israel Science Foundation (grant No. 1337/13). E.D. acknowledges CNRS and MENESR for funding.

Notes and references

¹⁵ ^a School of Pharmacy, Institute for Drug Research, Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel. Fax: +972 2 675 7076; Tel: +972 2 675 8696; E-mail: <u>avitalsh@ekmd.huji.ac.il</u>

 ^b Institut Parisien de Chimie Moléculaire, UMR 8232, UPMC – Paris 6, 4 place Jussieu, Paris, France. Fax: +33 1 4427 7360; Tel: +33 1 4427
 20 3850; E-mail: <u>etienne.derat@upmc.fr</u>

^b Science for Life Laboratory, Department of Cell and Molecular Biology, Uppsala University, BMC Box 596, Uppsala, Sweden. Fax: +46 18 530 396; Tel: +46 18 471 4423; E-mail: <u>alexandre.barrozo@icm.uu.se</u>, <u>kamerlin@icm.uu.se</u>

- 25 Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: A list of popular simulation packages for performing (E)VB simulations, together with relevant references and download links.
- 30 1. B. Braïda, E. Derat, S. Humbel, P. C. Hiberty and S. Shaik, *ChemPhysChem*, 2012, **13**, 4029-4030.
 - 2. W. Heitler and F. London, Z. Physik, 1927, 44, 455-472.
- 3. G. N. Lewis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1916, 38, 762-785.
- 4. J. C. Slater, Phys. Rev., 1931, 37, 481-489.
- 35 5. R. A. Marcus, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem., 1964, 15, 155-&.
- A. Warshel and R. M. Weiss, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1980, 102, 6218-6226.
- W. A. Goddard, T. H. Dunning, W. J. Hunt and P. J. Hay, Acc. Chem. Res., 1973, 6, 368-376.
- 40 8. D. L. Cooper, J. Gerratt and M. Raimondi, *Chem. Rev.*, 1991, 91, 929-964.
 - 9. J. H. van Lenthe and G. G. Balint-Kurti, J. Chem. Phys., 1983, 78, 5699-5713.
- 10. S. Shaik and P. C. Hiberty, Rev. Comp. Chem., 2004, 20, 1-100.
- 45 11. W. Wu, P. F. Su, S. Shaik and P. C. Hiberty, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, 111, 7557-7593.
 - 12. S. Shaik and A. Shurki, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 1999, 38, 586-625.
 - S. Shaik and P. C. Hiberty, A chemist's guide to valence bond theory, John Wiley & Sons, New Jersey, 2008.
- 50 14. R. Mcweeny, Int. J. Quant. Chem., 1988, 34, 25-36.
 - L. C. Song, Y. R. Mo, Q. N. Zhang and W. Wu, J. Comp. Chem., 2005, 26, 514-521.
 - P. C. Hiberty, J. P. Flament and E. Noizet, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1992, 189, 259-265.
- 55 17. F. M. Ying, P. F. Su, Z. H. Chen, S. Shaik and W. Wu, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2012, 8, 1608-1615.
 - B. Braïda, J. Toulouse, M. Caffarel and C. J. Umrigar, J. Chem. Phys., 2011, 134.
- 19. L. C. Song, W. Wu, P. C. Hiberty and S. Shaik, Chem. Eur. J., 2006,
- 60 **12**, 7458-7466.

- P. F. Su, W. Wu, C. P. Kelly, C. J. Cramer and D. G. Truhlar, J. Phys. Chem. A., 2008, 112, 12761-12768.
- A. Warshel, Computer Modeling of Chemical Reactions in Enzymes and Solutions, Wiley-Interscience, USA, 1997.
- 65 22. S. C. L. Kamerlin and A. Warshel, WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci., 2011, 1, 30-45.
 - N. V. Plotnikov, S. C. L. Kamerlin and A. Warshel, J. Phys. Chem. B., 2011, 115, 7950-7962.
 - T. Wesolowski, R. P. Muller and A. Warshel, J. Phys. Chem., 1996, 100, 15444-15449.
 - 25. A. Warshel, P. K. Sharma, M. Kato, Y. Xiang, H. B. Liu and M. H. M. Olsson, *Chem. Rev.*, 2006, **106**, 3210-3235.
 - 26. M. H. M. Olsson and A. Warshel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 15167-15179.
- 75 27. M. E. Tuckerman, Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Molecular Simulation, Oxford University Press, USA, 2010.
 - G. Y. Hong, E. Rosta and A. Warshel, J. Phys. Chem. B., 2006, 110, 19570-19574.
- 29. N. V. Plotnikov, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2014, 10, 2987-3001.
- 80 30. Y. Y. Sham, Z. T. Chu, H. Tao and A. Warshel, *Proteins: Struct. Func. Genet.*, 2000, **39**, 393-407.
 - 31. A. Warshel and M. Levitt, J. Mol. Biol., 1976, 103, 227-249.
 - 32. A. Shurki and H. A. Crown, J. Phys. Chem. B., 2005, 109, 23638-23644.
- 85 33. A. Sharir-Ivry and A. Shurki, J. Phys. Chem. B., 2008, 112, 12491-12497.
 - 34. A. Sharir-Ivry, H. A. Crown, W. Wu and A. Shurki, J. Phys. Chem. A., 2008, 112, 2489-2496.
- 35. A. Sharir-Ivry, T. Shnerb, M. Štrajbl and A. Shurki, *J. Phys. Chem. B.*, 2010, **114**, 2212-2218.
- A. Sharir-Ivry and A. Shurki, J. Phys. Chem. A., 2008, 112, 13157-13163.
- B. Braïda, C. Walter, B. Engels and P. C. Hiberty, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 7631-7637.
- 95 38. S. Shaik, P. Maitre, G. Sini and P. C. Hiberty, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 7861-7866.
 - 39. B. Braïda and P. C. Hiberty, Nat. Chem., 2013, 5, 417-422.
 - A. Shurki, P. C. Hiberty and S. Shaik, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 822-834.
- 100 41. S. Shaik, D. Danovich, W. Wu and P. C. Hiberty, *Nat. Chem*, 2009, 1, 443-449.
 - 42. S. Shaik, D. Danovich, W. Wu, P. F. Su, H. S. Rzepa and P. C. Hiberty, *Nat. Chem.*, 2012, 4, 195-200.
- 43. G. Frenking and M. Hermann, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 2-6.
- 105 44. S. Shaik, H. S. Rzepa and R. Hoffman, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2012, 52, 3020-3033.
 - 45. S. Mukherjee and A. Warshel, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2011, **108**, 20550-20555.
- 46. S. Mukherjee and A. Warshel, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2012, **109**, 14876-14881.
 - M. Štrajbl, A. Shurki and A. Warshel, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2003, **100**, 14834-14839.
 - H. Ueno, T. Suzuki, K. Kinosita and M. Yoshida, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2005, **102**, 1333-1338.
- 115 49. A. Warshel and J. Florián, *Encyclopedia of Computational Chemistry*, 2004.
 - 50. A. Shurki and A. Sharir-Ivry, Isr. J. Chem., 2014, 54, 1189-1204.