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Abstract. We present a comprehensive computational study of sp$^3$-carbon allotropes based on the topologies proposed for zeolites. From $\approx 600,000$ zeolite nets we identified six new allotropes, lying by at most 0.12 eV/atom above diamond. The analysis of cages in the allotropes has revealed close structural relations to diamond and lonsdaleite phases. Besides the energetic and mechanical stability of new allotropes, three of them show band gaps by ca. 1 eV larger than that of diamond, and therefore represent an interesting technological target as hard and transparent materials. A structural relation of new allotropes to continuous random networks is pointed out and possible engineering from diamond thin films and graphene is suggested.

Introduction. In the last years there has been an explosive interest in predicting novel carbon allotropes. A special attention has been paid to sp$^3$ allotropes since most computational studies were conducted in order to elucidate the atomistic structure of the product of the graphite cold compression [1] that is different either from diamond or lonsdaleite phases of carbon. A manifold of computational techniques have been applied to address the problem of crystal structure prediction, e.g. evolutionary algorithms [2], accelerated molecular dynamics (metadynamics) [2, 3], graph-theoretical approaches [4] etc. Among the most stable sp$^3$-carbon allotropes proposed so far we note W-carbon (sp. gr. Pnma, cnw$^3$) [5], Z-carbon [6] (alternatively named oC16-II [7, 8] and Cco-C8 [9], sp. gr. Cmmm, sie) and H-carbon (sp. gr. Pbam) [10], the latter being by ~0.15 eV/atom energetically less stable than the diamond phase. Quite recently, at least three novel sp$^3$-carbons, referred to as S-S1Z4 (sp. gr. P2/m) [11], oC32 (sp. gr. Cmmm) [12] and M585 (sp. gr. P2$_1$/m) [13], were predicted to be even more stable, within ~0.06–0.09 eV/atom above diamond. However, in many cases the structures generated with very sophisticated methods appeared to be topologically related to certain crystal structures (mainly silicates or zeolites) known to solid-state chemists for many years. For example, if we identify tetrahedral Si atoms in the structure of any three-dimensional silicate with a nominal composition SiO$_2$ and contract oxygen –O– links while keeping the structure connectivity, we end up with a 4-coordinated 3D net [14] that could be considered as a hypothetical sp$^3$-carbon allotrope (after proper rescaling of interatomic distances). Note that enumeration of silica polymorphs was shown to be beneficial for the structure prediction of ice polymorphs as well [15]. Enumeration of tetrahedral nets has a long tradition in crystallography and solid-state

---

1 Where available, we provide bold three-letter symbols for nets as suggested by M. O’Keeffe [22], http://rcsr.net.
chemistry and nowadays we have several huge catalogues [16, 17] containing both experimentally observed and hypothetical structure types. Computational studies of carbon allotropes revealed quite a few phases that are topologically related either to known silicates or zeolites. We mention, for example, the bct-4 carbon [18] (called as such since 1999 [19] but firstly described in 1993 as 8-tetra(2,2)tubulane by Baughman and Galvão [20]) that shares the same topology with the zeolite BCT (crb), the tP12 carbon that possesses the topology of keatite (kea) [21] and a dense phase of carbon with the topology of quartz (qtz) [21]. More zeolite-like structures (ATO, KAN, ATN, AFI) were recently proposed by Oganov et al. [23], although their relation to zeolites was not explicitly discussed. This motivated us to have a closer look at the databases of zeolite networks compiled by Deem [16] and Treacy [17]² and to perform a comprehensive study on their relevance to the chemistry of carbon allotropes.

Computational methodology and Results. Out of databases containing 331372 (Deem) and 274611 (Treacy) silica polymorphs, we pre-selected only the nets without 3- and/or 4-rings (in total 5074+234 candidates) that would normally induce too much strain in the carbon structures. Afterwards, we performed geometrical relaxation of the nets with Systre [25] from the Gavrog package (http://gavrog.org/). To this end, we applied the concept of embedding of a net into 3D Euclidean space [25, 26] with maximal space-group symmetry compatible with the net topology. Apart from the requirement of maximal symmetry, the nodes of the nets were placed in such a way that the distances to nearest neighbors (that correspond necessarily to the edges of the nets) should be equal, if possible, and then were finally set to 1.54 Å. However, it has long been recognized that not only the distances to nearest neighbors are important, but so are the distances to the next-nearest neighbors (normally referred to as ‘non-bonding’ distances) [27]. For example, in the diamond structure the next-nearest neighbors are by ~63% farther than the nearest neighbors of any atom. From the set of geometrically relaxed structures we extracted 665 nets where the distances to the next-nearest neighbors were by 40% longer than the distances to the nearest neighbors. These structures considered as being stereochemically feasible (in the sense of Larsson and O’Keeffe [27]) were then optimized with the Tersoff force field [28] as implemented in the GULP package [29]. After this force-field calculation, 257 structures remained 4-coordinated and were subject to further optimizations with the density-functional-based tight-binding method (DFTB) [30] in its non-self-consistent version as

² The databases of Deem and Treacy contain hypothetical as well as (possibly not all) experimentally characterized zeolites. Therefore, we performed screening of carbon allotropes based on all experimentally observed zeolitic nets with the density-functional-based tight-binding method (DFTB) [30]. We found out that the most stable allotropes (within 0.15 eV/atom above diamond) have the topologies of MTN, MEP and DOH (considered by Karttunen et al. [24]), the next stable one being BCT/crb that is by ~0.24 eV/atom higher than diamond. Other nets give rise to even less stable sp³-carbon structures, mainly due to the large amount of 4-rings common for ‘real’ zeolites.
implemented in the DFTB+ package [31]. From the set of the DFTB-optimized structures, we selected 93 representatives that lie within a narrow energetic window (0.40 eV/atom) relative to diamond and performed structural relaxation (at the DFT–GGA(PBE) level) with the SIESTA package [33]. The structures that are diamond–lonsdaleite polytypes were excluded from our calculations since they had already been widely discussed in the literature [34, 35]. To identify polytypes (altogether 24 structures) we used ToposPro [36]. Finally, we focus on the six structures being energetically the lowest, within 0.12 eV/atom (or less) relative to diamond (Fig. 1,2 and Table 1). This threshold was chosen arbitrarily and was motivated mainly by pragmatic considerations to narrow the manifold of structures to be considered. We characterized their energetic, electronic, vibrational and mechanical properties at the DFT–GGA (PBE) level of theory (see Table 1) as implemented in the CRYSTAL14 package [37, 38]. To this end, we fully optimized the structures (both unit cells and atomic coordinates) by using the conjugate-gradient method until the Hellmann–Feynman forces on the atoms became less than 0.003 eV/Å and the stress on the cells less than 0.02 GPa. In the calculations all electrons were treated explicitly and described by the basis set of triple-ζ valence with polarization quality (TZVP) as developed by Peintinger et al. [39]. The Monkhorst–Pack meshes for summations over Brillouin zones were chosen, as usual, based on the convergence of the total energy versus the number of \( k \)-points.

The energetic stability of the allotropes was evaluated by comparing their cohesive energies (\( \Delta E \), Table 1). Additionally, we computed the enthalpies (at \( T = 0 \) K) of the allotropes relative to graphite up to 20 GPa (Fig. 1). For optimized structures we estimated elastic constants to ensure the stability against mechanical deformation (see Table S2). This is guaranteed by the positive definiteness of the 6×6 matrix of the (second order) elastic constants. To qualify the dynamical stability of our allotropes, we calculated phonon band structures (at zero pressure) by applying a finite displacement method in a 2 × 2 × 2 supercell, as implemented in the CRYSTAL14 package (Figure S2). No imaginary frequencies were observed throughout the Brillouin zone. The DFTB-based molecular dynamics simulations in the NpT ensemble (\( T=300 \) K, \( p=1 \) bar) were performed with the cp2k code [40] (time step was set to 0.5 fs while the total simulation time was 10 ps) and confirmed the dynamical stability of our allotropes at ambient conditions.
Fig. 1. Enthalpies (relative to graphite) of the six novel carbon allotropes.

Bulk moduli ($B$, Table 1) were calculated by fitting the total energy as a function of volume to the third-order Birch–Murnaghan equation of state. Furthermore, we estimated the Vickers hardness ($H$, Table 1) of the allotropes following the empirical approach of Gao et al. [41]. Electronic band structures were calculated with the PBE functional (see Figure S2) as well as the hybrid HSE functional [42], the latter was chosen to more accurately estimate the band gaps.

Table 1. Structural, energetic, electronic and mechanical properties of novel carbon phases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Space group</th>
<th>$\rho$, g/cm$^3$</th>
<th>$\Delta E$ (PBE), eV/atom (VASP)*</th>
<th>$\Delta E$ (PBE), eV/atom (CRYSTAL14)</th>
<th>$E_{\text{gap}}$, eV</th>
<th>$B$, GPa (PBE)</th>
<th>$H$, GPa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>diamond</td>
<td>$Fd\bar{3}m$</td>
<td>3.509</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.2/5.4</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>93.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#8170628</td>
<td>$Pbam$</td>
<td>3.409</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>4.7/5.9</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>91.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(oP24-I)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#8129388</td>
<td>$Pnma$</td>
<td>3.408</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>4.9/6.3</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>90.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(oP24-II)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#8255250</td>
<td>$Pnma$</td>
<td>3.415</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>4.7/6.0</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>90.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(oP28)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#8155755</td>
<td>$Pmma$</td>
<td>3.431</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>4.0/5.1</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>91.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(oP20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#8036927</td>
<td>$C2/m$</td>
<td>3.418</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>4.5/5.7</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>90.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mS32)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#8036926</td>
<td>$P2/m$</td>
<td>3.423</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>4.3/5.5</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>91.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mP16)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Since most computational studies on carbon allotropes were performed with the VASP code [43, 44], we also provide for comparison the energies of our allotropes relative to diamond calculated with VASP at the same level of theory (PBE functional). The numbers # correspond to the hypothetical zeolites from Deem database [16].
Discussion. From the point of view of energetics, the structures found in this work are the lowest-energy (by at most 0.12 eV/atom less favourable than diamond) \( sp^3 \)-carbon allotropes proposed so far and compete only with the recently discovered oC32, S-SiZ4 and M585 carbons (all three lying within 0.08 eV/atom relative to diamond at the DFT–PBE level) illustrated in Fig. 3. The calculated bulk moduli and hardness values suggest that new allotropes are slightly less hard than diamond. Furthermore, we have also computed phonon dispersion curves at the pressures of the phase transitions graphite – predicted new phase and also observed no imaginary frequencies, thus confirming the feasibility of the suggested phase transformations.

Fig. 2. Carbon allotropes considered in this work. To illustrate structural relations to diamond (dia) and lonsdaleite (lon), adamantane cages \([6^4]\) are highlighted in cyan, and the cages \([6^3]\) and \([6^5]\) are depicted in yellow and green, respectively.

---

3 To handle the large amount of literature on carbon allotropes and to help the researcher to avoid duplication of results, we are currently building a web-based database (SACADA – Samara Carbon Allotrope Database). A preliminary version was presented at the 14th Session of the V. A. Fock Meeting on Quantum and Computational Chemistry, “Bridging the gap between solid state quantum chemistry and structural chemistry of allotropes”, 2014 Aug. 18–22, Samara, Russia, http://www.qcc.ru/~fock/meeting.en.php)
Bond lengths in the optimized structures span a relatively broad range 1.50–1.63 Å. Bond angles are distributed in a range 94°–128°, indicating significant deviations from the ideal tetrahedral value of 109.47° (Table S1). However, the non-bonding distances remain quite large, by at least ~1.38 times longer than the covalent bond lengths. To analyze the structure of allotropes in more detail, we adopted the tiling approach [45] that has been shown to be quite
successful in the analysis of zeolites (both real and hypothetical) [46, 47] as implemented in the ToposPro package [36]. In this approach, a three-periodic net is represented as a tiling of 3D space by cages that are generalized polyhedra [45]. This view provides a more detailed (and also more pictorial) structure description than e.g. ring statistics only, thus facilitating to capture structural relationships and to design new materials (especially, metal–organic frameworks, MOFs) [48]. Note that three of our structures (op24-I, op24-II, op28) are closely related to diamond (dia) since adamantane cage (face symbol [6\^4] [47, 49]) can be easily recognized there (Fig. 2). The two structures, op24-I and op28, contain 1D columns of ‘fused’ adamantane cages, whereas op24-II is built up from a monolayer of adamantane cages interconnected by corrugated graphene sheets (Fig. 3). The other three structures (op20, ms32, mp16) contain [6\^3] and [6\^5] cages that are characteristic for lonsdaleite (lon) [50]. It is interesting that the [6\^3] and [6\^5] cages do occur in our allotropes in the same ratio 1:1 as it is the case for lonsdaleite itself. mp16 and ms32 are constructed from lonsdaleite bilayers interconnected by corrugated graphene sheets. On the contrary, op20 contains lonsdaleite-like monolayers linked together by ‘interstitial’ chains of isolated dumb-bells.

Table 2. Tiling description of the carbon allotropes examined.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Space group</th>
<th>Transitivity*</th>
<th>Face symbol$^1$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diamond (dia)</td>
<td>$Fd\overline{3}m$</td>
<td>[1111]</td>
<td>[6^4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lonsdaleite (lon)</td>
<td>$P6_3/mmc$</td>
<td>[1222]</td>
<td>[6^4] [6^6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M585</td>
<td>$P2_1/m$</td>
<td>[9(14)(14)]</td>
<td>6[6^4]+[5^5.6^4]+[5^4.6^8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ac32</td>
<td>$Cmmm$</td>
<td>[49(12)]</td>
<td>6[6^4]+[6^4]+[2^6.8^2]+[4^2.6^4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-S_2Z_4</td>
<td>$P2/m$</td>
<td>[(12)(20)(19)(11)]</td>
<td>8[6^4]+[5^2.6^4]+[6^7.7^2]+[5^5.6^7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>op24-I</td>
<td>$Pbam$</td>
<td>[6(10)]</td>
<td>2[6^4]+[5^5.6^4]+[6^7.7^2]+[5^5.6^7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>op24-II</td>
<td>$Pnma$</td>
<td>[6995]</td>
<td>2[6^4]+[5^5.6^4]+[6^7.7^2]+[5^5.6^7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>op28</td>
<td>$Pnma$</td>
<td>[7(11)(10)]</td>
<td>3[6^4]+[5^5.6^4]+[6^7.7^2]+[5^5.6^7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>op20</td>
<td>$Pmma$</td>
<td>[6996]</td>
<td>2[6^4]+[2^6]+[5^5.6^4]+[6^8]+[5^5.6^7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ms32</td>
<td>$C2/m$</td>
<td>[8(14)]</td>
<td>2[6^4]+[2^6]+[5^5.6^4]+[6^7.7]+[5^5.6^7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mp16</td>
<td>$P2/m$</td>
<td>[8(14)]</td>
<td>2[6^4]+[2^6]+[5^5.6^4]+[6^7.7]+[5^5.6^7]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*An important characteristic of a tiling is its transitivity [pqrs], where the integers p, q, r, and s stand for the numbers of inequivalent vertices, edges, faces, and tiles, respectively.

$^1$ Face symbol of the form [A\^a·B\^b] indicates that there are a faces that are A-rings, b faces that are B-rings.[49].

In five structures there are only 5-, 6- and 7-rings, whereas op20 contains 8-rings as well. As a result, our structures could be obtained either from diamond or lonsdaleite phases by small ‘topological flips’, for example if two adjacent 6-rings (‘6+6’ pattern) were transformed into adjacent 5- and 7-rings (‘5+7’ pattern). In a certain sense, given also the relatively large number of symmetry-independent atoms in the unit cells (see the values of transitivity in Table 2), they resemble continuous random networks (widely used in the modeling of amorphous tetrahedral...
semiconductors [51]). The same observation is also true for recently proposed M585 phase [13] that incorporates a distinctive ‘slab’ of adamantane cages and for the oC32 carbon that contains a slab of lonsdaleite cages (Fig. 3). By increasing the number of atoms in the unit cell, it is thus possible to construct allotropes that will be arbitrarily close to diamond in terms of energy (at least within 0.06 eV/atom), as the example of S-SiZ4 [11] demonstrates (it comprises quadruple layers of adamantane cages, Fig. 3).

![Figure 3](image)

Fig. 3. Three allotropes shown as built from diamond (dia) and lonsdaleite (lon) layers with intercalated corrugated graphene sheets.

More strikingly, some of our structures (oP24-I, oP24-II, oP28) have optical band gaps that are by at most ~1 eV higher than that of diamond (and are independent of the pressure at least up to 30 GPa). This is certainly an interesting and unexpected result since hypothetical $sp^3$ carbon allotropes with the gaps larger than diamond are rarely found. Wide band gaps are known for some clathrate-like open frameworks, in particular, for compound VIII from Ref. 24 (named as $ajk3$ in ToposPro TTD collection)[36] and for the dense $tP12$ allotrope ($kea$) [21], having the gaps of 6.4 and 6.3 eV respectively (estimated at the HSE level [42]) remaining the widest-gap carbon materials suggested till now. However, the structure of $tP12$ or clathrate-like open networks are very different either from diamond and lonsdaleite (no $[6^4], [6^5]$ or $[6^3]$ cages can be found in them). The band gaps of diamond–lonsdaleite polytypes lie in between those of pure diamond (5.4 eV) and lonsdaleite (5.0 eV) [21, 34, 35]. Our results demonstrate that wide band gaps can be also engineered in the structures closely related to diamond (e.g. upon mild
amorphization). Our best candidate in this regard is the \( oP24\)-II allotrope with the gap of 6.3 eV thus representing an interesting technological target as hard and transparent material. To engineer this material in practice, one may think of combining diamond thin films and graphene, as suggested by the structure of \( oP24\)-II (Fig. 3).

Relatively large band gaps of novel allotropes have prompted us to estimate their refractive indices (Table 3), as implemented in CRYSTAL14, at the PBE level. Since our allotropes are either orthorhombic or monoclinic, they show anisotropy of their refractive indices, although it is not so pronounced. In general, refractive indices remain close to that of diamond, despite larger band gaps. The calculation showed that the most transparent allotrope would be \( oP20 \) (competing with \( oP24\)-II) while the others appear to be ‘brilliant’ ones (i.e., as refractive as diamond at least along the \( z \) direction).

Table 3. Refractive indices for novel carbon allotropes*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Refractive index (PBE), optical range</th>
<th>Diamond</th>
<th>( oP24)-II</th>
<th>( oP20 )</th>
<th>( oP28 )</th>
<th>( mP16 )</th>
<th>( mS32 )</th>
<th>( oP24)-I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( n_{XX} )</td>
<td>2.40 (2.42)</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n_{YY} )</td>
<td>2.40 (2.42)</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>2.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n_{ZZ} )</td>
<td>2.40 (2.42)</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Minimal and maximal refractive indices among considered allotropes are highlighted in bold. Experimental values for diamond (300 K, \( \lambda = 589 \) nm) [52] are given in brackets.

In conclusion, we presented a comprehensive multiscale theoretical study of \( sp^3\)-carbon allotropes based on the topologies proposed for silica. We found six structures that stand out for their energetic stability, mechanical stiffness and optical properties. We showed that new allotropes are closely related to diamond and lonsdaleite. As a consequence, they could represent the structures of carbon networks upon partial, mild amorphization or otherwise point towards engineering composites to be made out of diamond thin films and graphene.

Supplementary material: Bond lengths and angles (Table S1), elastic constants (Table S2), electronic and phonon band structures (Figures S1 and S2, respectively). The coordinates are available as cif-files.
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