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Abstract

The reactions of the p-tolyl radical with allene-d4 and methylacetylene-d4 as well as of the p-tolyl-d7 radical with methylacetylene-d1 and methylacetylene-d3 were carried out under single collision conditions at collision energies of 44 – 48 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) and combined with electronic structure and statistical (RRKM) calculations. Our experimental results indicated that the reactions of p-tolyl with allene-d4 and methylacetylene-d4 proceeded via indirect reaction dynamics with laboratory angular distributions spanning about 20° in the scattering plane. As a result, the center-of-mass translational energy distribution determined a reaction exoergicity of 149 ± 28 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) and exhibited a pronounced maximum at around 20 to 30 kJ mol\(^{-1}\). In addition, the center-of-mass angular flux distribution \(T(\theta)\) depicted a forward-backward symmetry and indicated geometric constraints upon the decomposing complex(es). Combining with calculations, these results propose that the bicyclic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, 6-methyl-1H-indene (p1) and 5-methyl-1H-indene (p2), are formed under single collision conditions at fractions of at least 85 % in both reaction systems. For the p-tolyl-methylacetylene system, experiments with partially deuterated reactants also reveal the formation of a third isomer p5 (1-methyl-4-(1-propynyl)benzene) at levels of 5 – 10 %, highlighting the importance in conducting reactions with partially deuterated reactants to elucidate the underlying reaction pathways comprehensively.
1. Introduction

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are ubiquitous in the interstellar medium (ISM).\textsuperscript{1-3} They are regarded as the potential carriers of the diffuse interstellar bands (DIBs)\textsuperscript{4-5} and the unidentified infrared emission bands (UIRs).\textsuperscript{5} Currently PAHs and their derivatives such as cations, anions, and nitrogen-substituted PAHs (N-PAHs) are assumed to hold up to 10 % of the interstellar carbon budget.\textsuperscript{6-7} However, no individual PAH has been identified in the interstellar medium to date,\textsuperscript{8} and hence the underlying formation mechanisms have still remained conjecture. In terrestrial environments, PAHs have been introduced into the environment via combustion of coals, biomass, and fossil fuels,\textsuperscript{9} on Earth, PAHs are considered toxic byproducts and have been characterized as carcinogenic,\textsuperscript{10-12} mutagenic,\textsuperscript{12-13} and teratogenic.\textsuperscript{12} During recent years, the existence of PAHs in respiratory particulate matter (PM) has brought comprehensive impacts on the urban areas, especially in developing countries such as China and Chile.\textsuperscript{14-16} PAHs – also considered to be air and marine pollutants\textsuperscript{17-19} – contribute to global warming as well.\textsuperscript{20} Consequently, the increasing concerns of impact of PAHs in terrestrial environments have propelled the combustion community to fully understand their formation mechanisms under combustion relevant conditions with the ultimate goal to eliminate these toxic byproducts from combustion systems.

Following stepwise molecular growth pathways, it is well established that resonantly stabilized free radicals (RSFRs)\textsuperscript{21-30} as well as small aromatics like benzene (C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{6}) and phenyl radicals (C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5})\textsuperscript{30-31} are crucial as precursors to PAH formation. Lindstedt et al. compiled competing formation mechanisms in the synthesis of the simplest bicyclic PAHs - indene (C\textsubscript{9}H\textsubscript{8}) and naphthalene (C\textsubscript{10}H\textsubscript{8}) - and incorporated these pathways into complex combustion models of fuel mixtures containing aromatic components.\textsuperscript{32} Here, indene and naphthalene have been suggested to be formed via the hydrogen abstraction-acetylene addition (HACA) mechanism,\textsuperscript{31, 33-36} through the self-reaction of cyclopentadiene (C\textsubscript{5}H\textsubscript{6})/cyclopentadienyl (C\textsubscript{5}H\textsubscript{5})\textsuperscript{37-39} or by the phenyl (benzene) addition-cyclization (PAC) mechanism with unsaturated hydrocarbons such as alkenes,\textsuperscript{40-44} olefins (olefinic radicals),\textsuperscript{35, 43, 45-47} and aromatic molecules (aryl radicals).\textsuperscript{32, 47-49} Recently, our group initiated a systematic investigation on the reactions of the phenyl radicals with unsaturated hydrocarbons utilizing a photodissociation source and the crossed molecular beam approach.\textsuperscript{50-56} We demonstrated that indene (C\textsubscript{9}H\textsubscript{8}), naphthalene (C\textsubscript{10}H\textsubscript{8}) as well as 1,4-
dihydronaphthalene (\( \text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{10} \)) can be formed respectively via the reactions of the phenyl radicals with allene (\( \text{H}_2\text{CCCH}_2 \)) and methylacetylene (\( \text{CH}_3\text{CCH} \)),\(^{56}\) vinylacetylene (\( \text{H}_2\text{CCHCCH} \)),\(^{51}\) and 1,3-butadiene (\( \text{H}_2\text{CCHCHCH}_2 \))\(^{53}\) under single collision conditions (Figure 1). These reactions were found to proceed through low entrance barriers (indene; 14 kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) or were de-facto barrierless to form initial van-der-Waals complexes, which then isomerized via submerged barriers (naphthalene, 1,4-dihydronaphthalene). Further, exploiting a chemical reactor at the Chemical Dynamics Beamline of the Advanced Light Source (ALS), Kaiser and Ahmed explored the reactions of phenyl radicals with methylacetylene (\( \text{CH}_3\text{CCH} \))/allene (\( \text{H}_2\text{CCCH}_2 \)), acetylene (\( \text{C}_2\text{H}_2 \)), and 1,3-butadiene (\( \text{C}_4\text{H}_6 \)) and interrogated the reaction products via quasi continuous tunable vacuum ultraviolet (VUV) radiation and reflectron time-of-flight mass spectrometer (ReTOF). These studies identified reaction pathways to indene,\(^{57-58}\) naphthalene,\(^{59}\) and 1-methylindene (\( \text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{10} \))\(^{58}\) under combustion-like conditions at temperatures of typically 1,000 – 1,300 K and pressures of 300 Torr. The work also demonstrated experimentally that naphthalene (\( \text{C}_{10}\text{H}_8 \)) can be formed via the hydrogen abstraction – acetylene addition (HACA) mechanism\(^{59}\) providing a solid foundation to the understanding of PAH formation in combustion systems.

In crude oil, alkyl-substituted PAHs such as methyl-substituted naphthalenes and phenanthrenes are more abundant than non-substituted PAHs.\(^{60-64}\) A recent crossed beam study on the reaction of para-tolyl (\( \text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{CH}_3 \), p-tolyl) with vinylacetylene revealed the formation of 2-methylnaphthalene (\( \text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{10} \))\(^{65}\) indicating that the p-tolyl radical might act as a precursor in the reactions with unsaturated hydrocarbons toward the formation of alkyl-substituted PAHs (Figure 1), including methyl substituted PAHs. Here, we are expanding these studies and explore potential formation pathways leading to methyl-substituted indene(s) via the reactions of p-tolyl with allene and methylacetylene under single collision conditions and combine these findings with electronic structure calculations. This work builds on our previous experience in forming indene under single collision conditions via the reactions of phenyl radicals with methylacetylene and allene by replacing a hydrogen atom in the phenyl radical reactant by a methyl group.

2. Experiment

We carried out the reactions of the p-tolyl radical (\( \text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{CH}_3 \); \( X^2\text{A}_1 \)) with allene-d4 (\( \text{CD}_2\text{CCD}_2 \); \( X^1\text{A}_1 \)) and methylacetylene-d4 (\( \text{CD}_3\text{CCD} \); \( X^1\text{A}_1 \)), as well as of the p-tolyl-d7 radical
(C₆D₄CD₃; X^2A_1) with methylacetylene-d1 (CH₃CCD; X^1A_1) and methylacetylene-d3 (CD₃CCH; X^1A_1) exploiting a universal crossed molecular beam machine under single collision conditions. Helium gas (99.9999%; Airgas Gaspro) at a pressure 1.8 atm was introduced into a stainless steel bubbler containing para-chlorotoluene (C₇H₇Cl, 98%) or para-chlorotoluene-d7 (C₇D₇Cl, 98%). The seeded mixture was subsequently introduced into the primary source chamber via a pulsed piezo valve (Piezo Disk Translator; part number P-286.23; Physik Instrumente) operating at 120 Hz and driven by -400 V power supply. About 1 mm downstream from the pulsed valve nozzle, p-chlorotoluene was photolyzed by a 193 nm laser beam generated by a Lambda Physik Compex 110 Excimer laser operated at 60 Hz repetition rate with a pulse energy of 18 ± 2 mJ focused to a 4 mm × 1 mm size. A four-slot chopper wheel located after the skimmer selected a section of the p-tolyl radical pulse with a well-defined peak velocity (v_p) and speed ratio (S) (Table 1). This section of the p-tolyl radical beam crossed then a second molecular beam of the closed shell hydrocarbon reactant released from a secondary pulsed valve perpendicularly. At a backing pressure of 550 Torr with a repetition frequency of 120 Hz and -400 V pulse amplitude, allene-d4 (D₂CCCD₂) and methylacetylene-d4 (DCCCD₃) (for the reactions with p-tolyl) as well as methylacetylene-d1 (DCCCH₃) and methylacetylene-d3 (HCCCD₃) (for the reactions with p-tolyl-d7) were generated. Considering that both molecular beams have distinct velocities, the crossing of these segments was controlled by a pulse generator. The primary pulsed valve was triggered at 1870 µs and the secondary pulsed valve was triggered 40 µs prior to the primary pulsed valve. The excimer laser pulse was fired with a delay time of 180 µs with respect to the primary pulsed valve.

Evacuated by a triple differentially pumping scheme to a pressure of a few 10⁻¹² Torr, the reactively scattered products were detected by electron-impact ionization of the neutral reaction products and mass separation exploiting a quadrupole mass spectrometer (QMS). The ionizer operated at 2 mA emission current ionizes the neutral products with an electron energy of 80 eV; the ionized product of a desired mass-to-charge (m/z) ratio passes through the Extrel QC 150 quadrupole mass spectrometer. The ions are then accelerated towards a stainless steel ‘door knob’ target coated with an aluminum layer operated at -22.5 kV, and initiate a cascade of electrons that fly towards an aluminum-coated organic scintillator eventually initiating photon release. Detected by a photomultiplier tube (PMT, Burle, Model 8850) operating at -1.35 kV, the signal
was filtered by a discriminator (Advanced Research Instruments, Model F-100TD, discrimination level: 1.6 mV), and then fed into a Stanford Research System SR430 multichannel scalar. The angular-resolved TOF spectra at a specific \( m/z \) ratio were recorded, integrated, and normalized to obtain the laboratory (LAB) product angular distribution. We further employed a forward-convolution routine and a set of initially parameterized functions of the product translational energy distribution \( P(E_T) \) and angular distribution \( T(\theta) \) in the center-of-mass (CM) frame, to iteratively fit the TOF spectra and the LAB angular distribution until the best fits are achieved.\(^{43, 70-71} \) In addition, we obtained the product flux contour map, \( I(\theta,u) = P(u) \times T(\theta) \), which portrays the flux of the reactive scattering products \( I \) as a function of the center-of-mass scattering angle \( \theta \) and the product velocity \( u \) in the CM frame. This plot represents the differential cross section and yields an ‘image’ of the reaction.\(^ {72} \)

3. Results

3.1. Laboratory Data

Originally, we aimed to study the reactions of p-tolyl (\( \text{C}_7\text{H}_7; \text{91 amu} \)) with allene (\( \text{H}_2\text{CCCH}_2; \text{40 amu} \)) and methylacetylene (\( \text{HCCCH}_3; \text{40 amu} \)) to investigate the formation of distinct \( \text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{10} \) (130 amu) isomers. Unfortunately, significant non-reactive scattering signal was observed at \( m/z = 130 \), which originated from the primary beam \( ^{13}\text{C}_2\text{C}_5^{37}\text{ClH}_7 \) (\( m/z = 130 \)) due to the natural abundance of \(^{13}\text{C} \) and \(^{37}\text{Cl} \). To overcome this problem, we carried out the experiments via the reactions of p-tolyl (\( \text{C}_7\text{H}_7; \text{91 amu} \)) with allene-d\(_4 \) (\( \text{D}_2\text{CCCD}_2; \text{44 amu} \)) and methylacetylene-d\(_4 \) (\( \text{DCCCD}_3; \text{44 amu} \)), respectively. We collected TOF spectra of the product(s) at \( m/z = 134 \) (\( \text{C}_{10}\text{H}_6\text{D}_4^+ \)), which corresponds to the atomic hydrogen loss channel, and \( m/z = 133 \) (\( \text{C}_{10}\text{H}_7\text{D}_3^+/\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_5\text{D}_4^+ \)) accounting for the atomic deuterium loss channel as well as the dissociative ionization of potential product(s) from \( m/z = 134 \) to \( m/z = 133 \). For the reaction of the p-tolyl radical with allene-d\(_4 \) and methylacetylene-d\(_4 \), we compared the reactive scattering signal at the center-of-mass angles and found that the signal intensity at \( m/z = 134 \) originates principally from \( ^{13}\text{CC}_3\text{H}_2\text{D}_3 \). The search for adducts with the molecular formula \( \text{C}_{10}\text{H}_2\text{D}_4 \) (\( m/z = 135 \)) was also conducted, but no signal was found. Based on these findings, we collected angular-resolved TOF spectra at \( m/z = 133 \) (Figure 2). The TOF spectra were integrated and scaled according to the number of scans in order to derive the laboratory angular (LAB) distributions (Figure 3). Based on these considerations, we can conclude that for the reaction of p-tolyl with allene-d\(_4 \) and
methylacetylene-d4, the atomic deuterium loss channel is open forming product(s) with the molecular formula of \( \text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{7}\text{D}_3 \). Note that for both the reactions of p-tolyl with allene-d4 and methylacetylene-d4, the LAB angular distributions are very narrow and span only about 20° in the scattering plane defined by the primary and secondary beams.

It is important to outline that in the reaction of p-tolyl with methylacetylene-d4, the atomic deuterium emission can occur from either the acetylenic group or from the methyl group of methylacetylene. To ascertain the exact location of the atomic deuterium emission, the reactions of p-tolyl-d7 with partially-deuterated methylacetylenes should be investigated. Thus, we carried out the experiments on the atomic hydrogen and deuterium loss channels of p-tolyl-d7 reacting with methylacetylene-d1 (DCCCH\(_3\)) and p-tolyl-d7 with methylacetylene-d3 (HCCCD\(_3\)). We collected data at \( m/z = 138 \) (\( \text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{2}\text{D}_8^+ \)) and 137 (\( \text{C}_{10}\text{H}_3\text{D}_7^+/\text{C}_{10}\text{HD}_8^+ \)) for the reaction of p-tolyl-d7 with methylacetylene-d1 at the CM angle of 11.2°. However, the signal at \( m/z \) of 137 had a strong background due to the precursor \( ^{13}\text{C}_2\text{C}_5\text{D}_7\text{Cl} \) (\( m/z = 137 \)), and therefore we cannot obtain useful information on the deuterium loss channel from methylacetylene-d1. We also collected data at \( m/z = 140 \) (\( \text{C}_{10}\text{D}_{10}^+ \)) and 139 (\( \text{C}_{10}\text{HD}_9^+ \)) for the reaction of p-tolyl-d7 with methylacetylene-d3 at the CM of 11.7°, which corresponds to the hydrogen and deuterium loss pathways, respectively. We found that the intensity of the signal at \( m/z = 139 \) was about 1.3 times of that at \( m/z = 140 \) (Figure 4). In summary, we have shown that in the reactions of the p-tolyl-d7 radical with methylacetylene-d1 and methylacetylene-d3, the hydrogen atom may be lost both from the methyl group and from the acetylenic carbon atom of the methylacetylene molecule, with the hydrogen loss from methylacetylene-d1 enhanced by a factor of 1.4 compared to the signal from methylacetylene-d3.

3.2. Center-of-Mass Data

For both reactions of p-tolyl with methylacetylene-d4 and allene-d4, the laboratory data could be fit with the same the center-of-mass translational energy distribution reaching a maximum at 196 ±24 kJ mol\(^{-1}\). For those molecules born without internal excitation, this maximum translational energy release represents the sum of the reaction energy and the collision energy. Since the collision energies of both systems are within 47 ±4 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), we derive that the
reactions leading to the formation of product(s) with the formula C$_{10}$H$_7$D$_3$ are exoergic by 149 ± 28 kJ mol$^{-1}$. Further, the available energy channeling into the translational degrees of freedom of the final products is 82 ± 11 kJ mol$^{-1}$ for both systems (about 32 ± 3 %). In addition, the $P(E_T)$ exhibits a pronounced maximum at around 20 to 30 kJ mol$^{-1}$, which indicates that both systems possess tight exit barriers when the C$_{10}$H$_7$D$_4$ intermediate(s) ejects a deuterium atom.

We can also obtain additional information on the center-of-mass angular flux distribution $T(\theta)$. For both reaction systems, $T(\theta)$ displays a distribution covering the full angular range from 0° to 180°, which indicates that the reactions follow indirect scattering dynamics involving the formation of C$_{10}$H$_7$D$_4$ complex(es). Also, the angular distribution is forward-backward symmetric with respect to 90°, implying that a decomposing complex has a lifetime that is longer than its rotational period. The center-of-mass angular distribution is also peaked near 90° indicating the decomposing complex possesses geometric constraints upon the emission of a deuterium atom. Here, the decomposing intermediate(s) C$_{10}$H$_7$D$_3$ ejects an atomic deuterium almost perpendicular to the rotational plane of the decomposing complex and nearly parallel to the total angular momentum vector (‘sideway scattering’).

4. Theoretical Results

The energies of all intermediates, transition states, and products for the fully-hydrogenated reaction system (C$_{10}$H$_{11}$) are obtained at the G3(MP2,CC)//B3LYP/6-311G** level of theory using the GAUSSIAN 09 and MOLPRO 2010 program packages. This theoretical approach is expected to generate relative energies of various species within the accuracy of ± 10 kJ mol$^{-1}$. Note that in our experiments the (partially) deuterated reactants are used, which can alter the zero point energies (ZPEs) by up to 5 kJ mol$^{-1}$, well within the errors of the calculations. Relative statistical yields (branching ratios) of various products were computed using energy-dependent rate constants of individual unimolecular reaction steps obtained from Rice-Ramsperger-Kassel-Marcus (RRKM) theory calculations utilizing the energetics and molecular parameters of reaction intermediates and transition states generated by the G3(MP2,CC)//B3LYP/6-311G** calculations. The computational procedures are described in more detail elsewhere.
The potential energy surface (PES) for the reaction of p-tolyl with allene and methylacetylene is illustrated in Figure 6. The calculations have identified 15 intermediates (i1-i15) and five reaction products (p1-p5). In the reaction of p-tolyl with methylacetylene, the p-tolyl radical attacks with its radical center at the sterically less-hindered C1 or at the C2 carbon atom of methylacetylene leading to the formation of intermediates i1 and i2, respectively, via low entrance barrier of only 11 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) and 17 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), respectively. Similarly, the initial addition of p-tolyl to the \(\pi\) electron density of allene results in two initial intermediates i3 and i4 through addition of the radical center to the C1 and C2 carbon atoms of allene via entrance barriers of 10 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) and 15 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), respectively. For the reaction of p-tolyl and methylacetylene, i1 can isomerize to intermediate i5, which can be regarded as the \textit{trans}-form of i1. Considering the inherent barriers to isomerization of 15 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) and 198 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), the 1,2-H shift in i1 to i12 does not compete with the rapid \textit{cis-trans} isomerization i1 – i2. The intermediate i5 can then ring-close to a bicyclic intermediate i6 via a barrier of 123 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) or isomerize to i13 through a hydrogen migration from the methyl group of the methylacetylene moiety via a substantial barrier of 179 kJ mol\(^{-1}\); finally, i5 can decompose to the product p4 (1-methyl-4-propa-1,2-dienyl-benzene) plus an atomic hydrogen (with exoergicity of 30 kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) or p5 (1-methyl-4-(1-propynyl)benzene) plus an atomic hydrogen (with exoergicity of 41 kJ mol\(^{-1}\)). Intermediate i7 is generated by a ring opening in i6 via a relatively low barrier of 10 kJ mol\(^{-1}\); essentially, the i5 – i7 isomerization occurs by migration of the p-tolyl moiety from C1 to C2 of methylacetylene. i7 can follow two reaction pathways leading to intermediate i4 via i2 (\textit{cis/trans} isomerization of the side chain followed by the 1,3-H shift from CH\(_3\) to CH in the side chain) and via i11 (H migration from the aromatic ring to the CH group of the side chain followed by H migration from the methyl group in the side chain back to the ring); i11 can also be formed by the addition of p-tolyl to allene at its C2 carbon atom. Intermediate i4 consequently rearranges to i8 by overcoming a barrier of 157 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) via a three-member ring closure and then i8 ring-opens via a moderate barrier of 37 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) to form i3, which can be accessed via the addition of the p-tolyl radical center to the C1 carbon atom of the allene molecule. The i4 – i3 isomerization corresponds to migration of p-tolyl from C2 to C1 of allene. Essentially, both intermediates i3 and i4 can be accessed in one addition step from the p-tolyl – allene reactants or via multiple isomerizations from the p-tolyl – methylacetylene reactants.
Intermediate $i_3$ can either decompose to the products $p_3$ (1-methyl-4-(2-propyn-1-yl)benzene) plus an atomic hydrogen (with exoergicity of 9 kJ mol$^{-1}$) and $p_4$ plus an atomic hydrogen or undergo a hydrogen atom transfer from the ortho carbon atom of the p-tolyl radical (relative to the added side chain) to the C2 carbon atom of the allene moiety to form the intermediate $i_9$. The latter undergoes five-member ring closure to yield intermediate $i_{10}$. Eventually, $i_{10}$ can lose a hydrogen atom from either CH$_2$ group of the former allene moiety to yield two C$_{10}$H$_{10}$ isomers: $p_1$ (6-methyl-1H-indene) and $p_2$ (5-methyl-1H-indene). Intermediate $i_{13}$, another successor from $i_5$, can be formed by 1,2-H migration from the CH$_3$ group in the methylacetylene moiety. Then $i_3$ follows the cis/trans isomerization to $i_{14}$ and the latter can either decompose to $p_4$ plus an atomic hydrogen, or undergo a five-member ring closure to form a bicyclic intermediate $i_{15}$. Finally, $i_{15}$ can emit an atomic hydrogen from the CH group shared by the six-member and newly formed five-member rings to yield the products $p_1$.

5. Discussion

Now we combine our experimental findings with the calculations to unveil the underlying reaction mechanism. First, the experimentally-determined reaction exoergicity of 149 ± 28 kJ mol$^{-1}$ matches very well with the computationally predicted reaction energy of 150 ± 5 kJ mol$^{-1}$ to form 6-methyl-1H-indene ($p_1$) and/or 5-methyl-1H-indene ($p_2$). Therefore, we can conclude that at least the thermodynamically most stable methyl-1H-indene isomer(s) are formed in the reaction of the p-tolyl radical with methylacetylene and allene. Further, the order of magnitude of the experimentally predicted exit barrier of around 20 to 30 kJ mol$^{-1}$ correlates nicely with the computed exit barriers leading to $p_1/p_2$ from $i_{10}$ (18 and 19 kJmol$^{-1}$) and/or $i_{15}$ (27 kJmol$^{-1}$). Further, the geometric constraints of the deuterium loss (sideways scattering) also provide insights into the chemical reactions. Here, the computations depict that both in $t_{s_{i10-p1}}$ and $t_{s_{i10-p2}}$, the deuterium atom is emitted at an angle of about 81.6° with respect to each molecular plane; for $t_{s_{i15-p1}}$, this emission takes place at an angle of about 94.7° (Figure 7). Finally, we exploit RRKM calculations to predict the branching ratios (Table 2). At a collision energy of 47 kJ mol$^{-1}$, RRKM calculations predict that the yield of $p_1$ and $p_2$ contributes up to 85.0 %, 94.6 %, 99.2 % and 98.7 % if the reaction starts from the initial collision complexes $i_1$, $i_2$, $i_3$, and $i_4$, respectively. Therefore, we can conclude that for the p-tolyl – allene system, 6-methyl-1H-indene ($p_1$) and 5-methyl-1H-indene ($p_2$) are the almost exclusive reaction products. On the
other hand, for the reaction of p-tolyl with methylacetylene, only 85 – 95 % of the products are 6-methyl-1H-indene (p1) and 5-methyl-1H-indene (p2). In order to test how sensitive the predicted branching fractions are to likely errors in the ab initio calculations we carried out the following analysis. Since the computed relative energies of transition states are anticipated to be accurate within ±10 kJ mol⁻¹, we considered the worst case scenario and increased the critical barrier heights on the pathways leading to the formation of p1/p2 (i3 → i9, i5 → i6, i6 → i7, and i7 → i11) by 10 kJ mol⁻¹, decreased the critical barriers leading to p4 and p5 (i5 → p4, i5 → p5, and i3 → p4) by 10 kJ mol⁻¹, and recomputed rate constants and branching ratios. The results showed that for the reaction of p-tolyl with allene the branching ratios are nearly insensitive to such errors. The calculated relative yields of p4 and p5 slightly increase (to 2-3%), whereas the overall yield of p1/p2 decreases to ~94%. The results for the reaction with methylacetylene appeared to be more sensitive to the possible errors in the barrier heights. If the reaction starts from i2 the branching ratios of p4 and p5 increase to 8% and 21%, respectively, and the total p1/p2 yield drops to 71%. Moreover, if the reaction starts from i1, the introduced corrections in the barrier heights make p4 and p5 the major reaction products (23% and 67%, respectively) and decrease the p1/p2 to 10%. While the occurrence of the maximal errors in opposite directions is rather unlikely, this analysis illustrates that the yield of the p4 and p5 products in the p-tolyl + methylacetylene reaction can be significant. Recall that reactions with partially deuterated methylacetylene-d3 and methylacetylene-d1 depicted two distinct hydrogen losses. Considering the reaction sequence i1 → i5 → p5 + H, the atomic hydrogen loss signal in the reaction of the p-tolyl radical with methylacetylene-d3 should form p5 (1-methyl-4-(1-propynyl)benzene) (Figure 6). Actually, we conducted a two-channel fitting on the two product systems: p1/p2 (C₁₀D₁₀) plus hydrogen (H) and p5 (C₁₀D₁₀) plus hydrogen (H), using two sets of CM parameterized functions with respect to each product system. We found that, the reaction channel leading to the formation of p5 (C₁₀D₁₀) plus an atomic hydrogen still accounts for up to 5 – 10 % of the overall fit in the p-tolyl-d7 with methylacetylene-d3 system.

What are the underlying dynamics to form p1/p2 (C₁₀H₃D₃) under single collision conditions? Let us trace the deuterium atoms in the p-tolyl plus allene-d4 reaction. First, any addition of the p-tolyl radical will yield eventually i3 – either from the reactants or via i4 and i8 involving p-tolyl migration. In i3, a hydrogen atom shifts from the ortho position of the tolyl ring to the C2 position of the allene moiety yielding i9. The latter undergoes ring closure to i10, in
which the four deuterium atoms are pairwise found as CD₂ groups. To regain closed shell structure, only a deuterium atom loss from i₁₀ can form 6-methyl-1H-indene (p₁) and/or 5-methyl-1H-indene (p₂). Therefore, both the computations and the experimental findings fully support the deuterium loss channel leading to the formation of 6-methyl-1H-indene (p₁) and/or 5-methyl-1H-indene (p₂) via the reaction sequence(s) (i₄ → i₈ → i₃ → i₉ → i₁₀ → p₁/p₂ + D. Note that the involvement of intermediate i₁₅ is unlikely. The reaction sequence i₁₃ → i₁₄ → i₁₅ → p₁/p₂ + D links the p-tolyl – allene with the p-tolyl – methylacetylene surface via intermediate i₅. However, the barrier involved in the i₅ → i₁₃ isomerization of 179 kJ mol⁻¹ lies significantly higher that the competing isomerization from i₅ via i₆ to i₇. Therefore, i₅ rather isomerizes via i₆ and i₇ eventually yielding i₁₁, i₄, and i₈ via energetically favorable transition states, which then eventually connect to i₃. In case of the p-tolyl – methylacetylene reaction, the situation is more complex and involves extensive isomerization pathways (Figures 6 and 8). Here, the initial collision complexes i₁ and i₂ are essentially linked via the sequence i₁ → i₅ → i₆ → i₇ → i₂ → i₄ and i₁ → i₅ → i₆ → i₇ → i₁₁ → i₄ to intermediate i₄, which is also accessible via addition of the p-tolyl radical to the central carbon atom of allene. In conclusion, the reactions of p-tolyl with allene and methylacetylene lead to the formation of 6-methyl-1H-indene (p₁) and 5-methyl-1H-indene (p₂) in yields of at least 85 %. Intermediate i₃ can be classified as the pivotal reaction intermediate which eventually isomerizes via hydrogen shift and ring closure from i₉ to i₁₀ ultimately undergoing unimolecular decomposition to 6-methyl-1H-indene (p₁) and 5-methyl-1H-indene (p₂). Note that it is also enlightening to compare these systems with the reaction of the phenyl radicals with methylacetylene and allene. Under the single collision conditions, these reactions involve also indirect scattering dynamics and lead predominantly to the formation of the indene molecule with yields of at least 85 %. The replacement of a hydrogen atom in the phenyl radical by the methyl group in para position holds no significant consequences on the reaction dynamics, except the symmetry breaking from C₂ᵥ to Cₛ upon replacing a hydrogen atom by a methyl group in i₁₀. This in turn results in the formation of two distinct methyl-substituted indene isomers 6-methyl-1H-indene (p₁) and 5-methyl-1H-indene (p₂).

6. Conclusion
We conducted the reactions of the p-tolyl radical with allene-d4 and methylacetylene-d4 as well as of the p-tolyl-d7 radical with methylacetylene-d1 and methylacetylene-d3 under single collision conditions at collision energies of 44 – 48 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), and combined these studies with electronic structure and statistical (RRKM) calculations. Our experimental results indicated that the reactions of p-tolyl with allene-d4 and methylacetylene-d4 proceeded via indirect reaction dynamics with laboratory angular distributions spanning about 20° in the scattering plane, in an atomic deuterium loss pathway respectively. As a result, the experimentally-determined reaction exoergicity of 149 ± 28 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) matches very well with the computationally predicted reaction energy of 150 ± 5 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) to form 6-methyl-1H-indene (p1) and/or 5-methyl-1H-indene (p2). Also, the center-of-mass translational energy distribution exhibited a pronounced maximum at around 20 to 30 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), implying that both systems possess tight exit barriers when the C\(_{10}\)H\(_7\)D\(_4\) intermediate(s) ejects a deuterium atom. In addition, the center-of-mass angular flux distribution \(T(\theta)\) covered the full angular range from 0° to 180°, depicted a forward-backward symmetry and possessed geometric constraints upon the decomposing complex(es), which reflected the indirect reaction dynamics as well. Our calculations suggest that the bicyclic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, 6-methyl-1H-indene and 5-methyl-1H-indene, are predominantly formed under single collision conditions at fractions of at least 85 %. For the reactions of the p-tolyl radical with allene-d4 and methylacetylene-d4, both reactions proceed via indirect scattering dynamics through complex formation by addition of the p-tolyl radical to the \(\pi\) electron density of the methylacetylene-d4 and allene-d4 reactants, respectively, yielding C\(_{10}\)D\(_4\)H\(_7\) collision complexes. With respect to allene, both collision complexes could isomerize via a de-facto p-tolyl group migration between the terminal and central carbon atoms followed by hydrogen migration from the p-tolyl moiety, ring closure, and deuterium loss forming 6-methyl-1H-indene (p1) and 5-methyl-1H-indene (p2) via tight exit transition states. Note that the collision complexes formed in the p-tolyl-methylacetylene system can be connected with those accessed via addition of the p-tolyl radical to the C1 and/or C2 allenic carbon atoms via successive isomerization (hydrogen shifts, p-tolyl group migration). In the p-tolyl-methylacetylene reaction, experiments with partially deuterated reactants also exposed the formation of a third isomer p5 (1-methyl-4-(1-propynyl)benzene) at levels of 5 – 10 % highlighting the importance in conducting reactions with partially deuterated reactants to elucidate the underlying reaction pathways comprehensively.
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Table 1. Primary and secondary beam peak velocities ($v_p$), speed ratios ($S$), collision energies ($E_c$) and center-of-mass angles ($\Theta_{CM}$) for the reactions of the p-tolyl with methylacetylene-d4, p-tolyl with allene-d4, p-tolyl-d7 with methylacetylene-d1, and p-tolyl-d7 with methylacetylene-d3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beam</th>
<th>$v_p$ (ms$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$S$</th>
<th>$E_c$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Theta_{CM}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p-C$_7$H$_7$(X$^2$A$_1$)</td>
<td>1605 ± 15</td>
<td>10.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>allene-d4 (X$^1$A$_1$)</td>
<td>769 ± 18</td>
<td>8.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>48 ± 3</td>
<td>13.1 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methylacetylene-d4 (X$^1$A$_1$)</td>
<td>739 ± 18</td>
<td>8.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>47 ± 3</td>
<td>12.7 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-C$_7$D$_7$(X$^2$A$_1$)</td>
<td>1581 ± 15</td>
<td>14.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methylacetylene-d1 (X$^1$A$_1$)</td>
<td>749 ± 18</td>
<td>8.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>44 ± 3</td>
<td>11.2 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methylacetylene-d3 (X$^1$A$_1$)</td>
<td>749 ± 18</td>
<td>8.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>47 ± 3</td>
<td>11.7 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Statistical branching ratios (%) of the products for the reactions of the p-tolyl radical with methylacetylene and allene at various collision energies. Here, \( p_1 \) to \( p_5 \) define 6-methyl-1H-indene, 5-methyl-1H-indene, 1-methyl-4-(2-propyn-1-yl)benzene, 1-methyl-4-propa-1,2-die- nyl-benzene, and 1-methyl-4-(1-propynyl)benzene.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collision Energy (kJ mol(^{-1}))</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>42</th>
<th>47</th>
<th>52</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>From i1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p_1 ) from i10</td>
<td>54.54</td>
<td>53.47</td>
<td>51.59</td>
<td>48.83</td>
<td>47.13</td>
<td>45.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p_2 ) from i10</td>
<td>44.16</td>
<td>43.19</td>
<td>41.59</td>
<td>39.29</td>
<td>37.89</td>
<td>36.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_3 )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_4 )</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>4.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_5 )</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>8.84</td>
<td>11.14</td>
<td>13.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p_1 ) from i15</td>
<td>1.14*10^{-3}</td>
<td>2.64*10^{-3}</td>
<td>5.71*10^{-3}</td>
<td>1.11*10^{-2}</td>
<td>1.49*10^{-2}</td>
<td>1.94*10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>From i2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_1 ) from i10</td>
<td>55.08</td>
<td>54.79</td>
<td>54.16</td>
<td>53.13</td>
<td>52.45</td>
<td>51.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_2 ) from i10</td>
<td>44.60</td>
<td>44.26</td>
<td>43.66</td>
<td>42.75</td>
<td>42.17</td>
<td>41.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_3 )</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_4 )</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>5.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_5 )</td>
<td>2.85*10^{-4}</td>
<td>7.55*10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.81*10^{-3}</td>
<td>3.81*10^{-3}</td>
<td>5.29*10^{-3}</td>
<td>7.12*10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>From i3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_1 ) from i10</td>
<td>55.23</td>
<td>55.24</td>
<td>55.19</td>
<td>55.08</td>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>54.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_2 ) from i10</td>
<td>44.72</td>
<td>44.62</td>
<td>44.49</td>
<td>44.32</td>
<td>44.21</td>
<td>44.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_3 )</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_4 )</td>
<td>4.21*10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.08*10^{-4}</td>
<td>2.51*10^{-4}</td>
<td>5.18*10^{-4}</td>
<td>7.12*10^{-4}</td>
<td>9.49*10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>From i4</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_1 ) from i10</td>
<td>55.21</td>
<td>55.18</td>
<td>55.07</td>
<td>54.85</td>
<td>54.69</td>
<td>54.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_2 ) from i10</td>
<td>44.70</td>
<td>44.58</td>
<td>44.39</td>
<td>44.13</td>
<td>43.97</td>
<td>43.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_3 )</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_4 )</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_5 )</td>
<td>7.27*10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.88*10^{-4}</td>
<td>4.40*10^{-4}</td>
<td>9.18*10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.27*10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.70*10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 1. Formation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) via reactions of phenyl-type radicals (phenyl/para-tolyl) with unsaturated hydrocarbons (allene, methylacetylene, vinylacetylene, 1,3-butadiene) using the crossed molecular beam method.
Figure 2. Selected time-of-flight (TOF) spectra at mass-to-charge (m/z) of 133 in the reactions of p-tolyl with allene-d4 (top) and p-tolyl with methylacetylene-d4 (bottom) via an atomic deuterium loss channel. The circles present the data points, while the solid lines represent the fits obtained from the forward-convolution routine.
Figure 3. Laboratory (LAB) angular distributions of ion signal at $m/z = 133$ in the reactions of p-tolyl with allene-d4 (top) and p-tolyl with methylacetylene-d4 (bottom) via an atomic deuterium loss channel. The solid circles represent the experiment data, while the solid red lines represent the fits to the LAB angular distributions.
Figure 4. The center-of-mass TOF data for the reaction of p-tolyl-d7 with methylacetylene-d3 at the $m/z = 139$ ((a), D-loss) and 140 ((b), H-loss) and for the reaction of p-tolyl-d7 with methylacetylene-d1 and methylacetylene-d3 at $m/z = 138$ ((c), H-loss) and $m/z = 140$ ((d), H-loss), respectively.
Figure 5. Center-of-mass translational energy distribution $P(E_T)$ (top) and angular distribution $T(\theta)$ (bottom) for the formation of the C$_{10}$H$_7$D$_3$ plus atomic deuterium product(s) via the reactions of the p-tolyl radical with allene-d4 and methylacetylene-d4. The hatched areas show the experimental error limits.
Figure 6. Potential energy surface (PES) for the reaction of p-tolyl with methylacetylene and allene calculated at the G3(MP2,CC)//B3LYP/6-311G** level of theory. All energies are given in kJ mol$^{-1}$. 
Figure 7. Geometries of the exit transition states $t_{s_{i10-p1}}$, $t_{s_{i10-p2}}$ and $t_{s_{i15-p1}}$ leading to 6-methyl-1H-indene ($p_1$) and 5-methyl-1H-indene ($p_2$).
Figure 8. Reaction schematic for the reactions of p-tolyl with methylacetylene and allene leading to the formation of products $p_1$ (6-methyl-1H-indene) / $p_2$ (5-methyl-1H-indene). The pathways involving $i_{13}$, $i_{14}$, and $i_{15}$ could be discounted for (see text for details).