

PCCP

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

Understanding the Growth and Photoelectrochemical Properties of Mesocrystals and Single Crystals: A Case of Anatase TiO₂

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received 00th January 2012,
Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Zhensheng Hong,^{*a} Hong Dai,^b Zhigao Huang^a and Mingdeng Wei^{*c}

Anatase TiO₂ mesocrystals and single crystals with dominant {101} facets were successfully synthesized without any additives using titanate nanowires as precursors under solvothermal and hydrothermal conditions, respectively. It's proposed that the oriented self-assembly process to the formation of TiO₂ mesocrystals was controlled by the same thermodynamic principle with that of single crystals in this simple reaction system. Furthermore, the TiO₂ mesocrystals were applied in photoelectrochemical (PEC) water splitting and demonstrated much enhanced photocurrent, almost 191% and 274% compared with that of TiO₂ single crystals and commercial P25, respectively. Electrochemical impedance measurements under illumination revealed that the photocurrent increase was largely ascribed to the effective charge separation of electron-hole pairs and fast interfacial charge transfer. This could be attributed to the intrinsic characteristics of the mesostructured TiO₂ composed of highly oriented nanocrystal subunits offering few grain boundaries, nanoporous nature and short transport distance.

1. Introduction

Recently, the conversion of solar energy to hydrogen via photoelectrochemical (PEC) route has received increasing research interests.¹⁻³ Various active semiconductor nanomaterials, such as Si,⁴ TiO₂,⁵⁻⁸ Fe₂O₃,^{9,10} and ZnO,¹¹ have been adopted as photoanodes for this energy transformation. Among them, TiO₂ is one of the most promising candidates for photoelectrochemical conversion because it is highly resistant to photocorrosion, widely available, cheap and nontoxic.⁷ Generally, TiO₂ nanoparticles were widely used as photoanode material for water splitting owing to the large surface area and short hole diffusion lengths.^{5,7,8} However, the PEC properties with TiO₂ nanoparticles suffer from high charge recombination loss due to the electron trapping/scattering at grain boundaries (0.01 cm² V⁻¹ S⁻¹ for P25).^{12,13} Nanostructured TiO₂ with well-developed morphology have been proved to demonstrate enhanced PEC performance due to their fast charge transport and efficient contact between the electrode and electrolyte.¹⁴⁻¹⁹ These include nanostructures composed of one-dimensional nanotubes / nanowires,¹⁴⁻¹⁶ three-dimensional hierarchical architectures^{17,18} and mesoporous structure.¹⁹ From these studies, it's proved that high surface area, good light absorption, rapid charge transfer and effective charge separation of electron-hole pairs facilitate the efficient PEC

conversion. Most recent research revealed that the fast charge transport played a key role on the PEC performance.²⁰ However, a perfect nanostructure which simultaneously addresses all these features for efficient PEC conversion has yet to be achieved.

Mesocrystals, a new class of ordered nanoparticle superstructures, were first found in biominerals and proposed by Cölfen and Antonietti in 2005.²¹ Such mesoscopically structured crystals are constructed from crystallographically oriented nanocrystals (1-100 nm), which are conceptually different from nanocrystal superlattices.^{22,23} Mesocrystals are porous quasi-single crystals, providing the advantages inherited from single crystals and the large surface area, and thus making them more promising applications in catalysis, sensing and energy storage and conversion compared with single-crystalline or polycrystalline materials.^{21,24,25} It's noteworthy that mesocrystals showed enhanced lithium-ion storage properties and excellent photocatalytic degradation of organic contaminants due to their high crystallinity, high porosity and oriented subunit alignment.²⁶⁻³⁰ However, the properties of mesocrystals for PEC cells were rarely reported. So far, many kinds of mesocrystals such as minerals,^{31,32} organic molecules³³ and metal oxides^{24,25} have been reported. Generally, it's demonstrated the formation of mesocrystals is from a so-called "non-classical crystallization", which involves the mesoscopic

transformation of self-assembled and metastable precursor particles into a single nanoparticulate superstructure.³⁴ However, the synthesis routes are materials dependent and the formation mechanism of mesocrystals is still a topic of research. It is very difficult to predict the morphology of mesocrystals using the thermodynamic concepts under nonequilibrium conditions because lots of organic additives were usually introduced into the mesoscale assembly process.

Herein, anatase TiO₂ mesocrystals and single crystals with dominant {101} facets, the most thermodynamic stable facet for anatase, were successfully synthesized using titanate nanowires as precursors under solvothermal (acetic acid) and hydrothermal conditions respectively. The mesocrystals were formed through the oriented self-assembly process of anatase nanocrystal subunits, and the single crystals were formed through the dissolution and recrystallization of TiO₂-B nanorods. Furthermore, the TiO₂ mesocrystals were applied in PEC conversion and demonstrated much enhanced photocurrent, almost 191% and 274% compared with that of TiO₂ single crystals and commercial P25, respectively.

Experimental

The anatase TiO₂ mesocrystals and single crystals were synthesized through solvothermal (HAc) and hydrothermal conditions respectively, using titanate nanowires as precursors. Synthesis of titanate nanowires is similar with our previous work.³⁵ Typically, 1 g of TiO₂ (anatase) was dispersed in a 50 mL of 15 M aqueous KOH solution. After stirring for 10 min, the resulting suspension was transferred into a 75 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave. The autoclave was kept at 170 °C for 72 h and then cooled to room temperature. The resulting precipitate was washed by diluted HAc solution until pH = 3.5 was reached. The final product was then collected by centrifugation and dried at 60 °C for 12 h in air. Synthesis of TiO₂ mesocrystals started with dispersing 200 mg of precursor titanate nanowires in 35 mL HAc solution (over 99%, weight), and then transferred into a 75 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave, which was heated at 200 °C for 48 h. The final product was obtained by centrifugation and washed thoroughly with distilled water and ethanol, dried at 60 °C overnight, and calcined at 400 °C for 30 min to remove the residual organics. Synthesis of TiO₂ single crystal is similar to this process except under hydrothermal conditions for 72 h.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM, S4800 instrument) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, FEI F20 S-TWIN instrument) were applied for the structural characterizations of the products. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on a PANalytical X'Pert spectrometer using the Co K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.78897 \text{ \AA}$), and the data were changed to Cu K α data. The UV-Visible measurements were performed on Perkin-Elmer Lambda 950. Infrared spectra of the samples were measured by a Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (FT-IR spectrometer spectrum 2000).

Photoelectrochemical (PEC) measurements were carried out in a quartz cell filled with 0.5 M Na₂SO₄ electrolyte (pH=6.9) with conventional three-electrode process, which were performed on a CHI 660E electrochemical workstation. An Ag/AgCl electrode (sat. KCl) was used as reference electrode, A platinum wire and a modified glassy carbon electrode (GCE, 3 mm in diameter) deposited with samples were used as auxiliary electrode and working electrode, respectively. For preparation of electrode films, 3 mg mL⁻¹ P25, TiO₂ single crystals and mesocrystals sample solutions (ethanol) were prepared. The sample solution (equal volume was adopted for three different samples) was deposited on the fresh prepared GCE surface, and placed it under an infrared lamp until the solvent was evaporated, then the electrode film (*ca.* 450 nm in thickness) was formed after it was cooled to room temperature. The arriving ultraviolet (UV) light ($\lambda=390 \text{ nm}$) intensity to the working electrode was around 86 mW cm⁻². Linear sweep voltammetry was carried out with a scan rate of 100 mV s⁻¹. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were performed from 0.1 HZ to 10 KHZ.

Results and discussion




Fig. 1 XRD patterns of anatase TiO₂ mesocrystals (a) and single crystals (b) synthesized under solvothermal and hydrothermal conditions.

Fig. 1 shows the XRD patterns of the samples prepared under solvothermal and hydrothermal conditions. All the diffraction peaks can be well indexed to pure anatase TiO₂ (JCPDS 73-1764). The average crystallite size of TiO₂ mesocrystals was *ca.* 13 nm and 42 nm for single crystals, calculated by using the Scherer equation based on (101) diffraction peak. This result suggests that TiO₂ mesocrystals have a smaller crystalline size than single crystals. The morphology of the TiO₂ mesocrystals were revealed by SEM and TEM images, as presented in Fig. 2. The rough surface of the nanoparticles could be clearly observed from Fig 2a. TEM image (Fig 2b) further reveals that the bipyramidal-shaped nanoparticles with predominantly 40-60 nm in length are composed of nanocrystal subunits. It may

be noted that a small number of twinned particles coexist with the individual particles. Fig. 2c exhibits a typical TEM image of a single nanoparticle, this confirms that the TiO₂ nanoparticle was constructed from tiny nanocrystal subunits. The SAED pattern in Fig. 2c (inset) taken from the whole nanoparticle exhibits a “single-crystal-like” diffraction, suggesting that the tiny nanoparticle subunits were highly oriented, leading to the formation of bipyramidal nanoparticles elongated along the [001] direction. The carefully obtained HRTEM image shown in Figure 2d further confirms that the primary nanocrystals with 10-13 nm in size are highly crystalline, the clear lattice fringes of 0.24 nm is well corresponding to (004) spacing of the anatase structure. Furthermore, the internal porosity of the mesocrystals is also revealed by the HRTEM image. The nitrogen adsorption/desorption measurement (Fig. S1) demonstrated that the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area and the pore volume of TiO₂ mesocrystals were determined to be 88 m²g⁻¹ and 0.36 m³g⁻¹, respectively. It's interesting that the size of mesopore was about 18 nm, which could be attributed to the accumulate of the mesostructured particles.

dominant {101} facets, which is a typical structure for anatase based on the Wulff constructions.




Fig. 3 SEM (a, b), TEM (c) and HRTEM (d) images of anatase TiO₂ single crystals synthesized under hydrothermal conditions. The inset in (d) is an FFT pattern.




Fig. 2 SEM (a), TEM (b, c) and HRTEM (d) images of anatase TiO₂ mesocrystals synthesized under solvothermal conditions. The insets (c) and (d) are a SAED pattern and an enlarged HRTEM image.

The morphology of anatase TiO₂ single crystals was also characterized by SEM and TEM, as shown in Fig. 3. From the low (Fig. 3a) and high magnification (Fig. 3b) SEM images, a large scale formation of uniform nanoparticles can be clearly observed. TEM image (Fig. 3c) further confirms that the sample of anatase TiO₂ synthesized under hydrothermal conditions also has a bipyramidal shape with 30-60 nm in length. Fig. 3d presents a TEM image and the corresponding FFT pattern (inset) of a single nanoparticle, it's clearly shown that the anatase TiO₂ single crystals are highly crystalline with

To elucidate the origin of the TiO₂ mesocrystals, a series of samples obtained from different reaction times were carefully characterized by XRD, SEM, TEM and FTIR. The XRD patterns (Fig. S2a) reveal that the titanate nanowires were gradually transformed to anatase TiO₂. Fig. 4a-c show the SEM and TEM images of the samples obtained after a reaction time of 12 h, it can be observed that the titanate nanowire precursors were gradually decomposed and many tiny anatase nanocrystals (5-7 nm) were formed. When the reaction time increased to 24 h, the primary TiO₂ nanocrystals aggregated to form larger nanoparticles, as shown in Fig. 4d, e. Fig. 4f presents a TEM image of a single loosened aggregate, which confirms that the aggregate was constructed by tiny nanocrystals (~ 10 nm). The FFT pattern depicted in Fig. 4f (inset) exhibits “single-crystal-like” diffraction spots, indicating that the tiny nanocrystal subunits were highly oriented in the same direction. Moreover, the nanocrystal subunits were partly recrystallized during the oriented self-assembly process. The emergence at 1560 and 1440 cm⁻¹ in the FTIR spectra (Fig. S3) could be index to the asymmetric and symmetric stretching vibrations of the carboxylic group, suggesting the coordination of acetate to titanium.^{36, 37} TGA curves (Fig. S4) further reveal that an amount of acetate or acetic acid (5.1%) was entrapped in TiO₂ mesocrystals.




Fig. 4 SEM images (a, d) and TEM images (b, c, e, f) of the samples obtained from different reaction times under solvothermal conditions: (a-c) 12 h, (d-f) 24 h. The inset in (f) is the corresponding FFT pattern.




Fig. 5 SEM images (a-d), TEM (e) and HRTEM (f) images of the samples obtained from different reaction times under hydrothermal conditions: (a) 6 h, (b) 12 h, (c, e, f) 24 h, (d) 48 h. The inset in (e) shows a HRTEM image of a nanorod. The HRTEM image (f) was selected from the rectangular area in (e). A and B in (f) represent anatase TiO_2 and $\text{TiO}_2\text{-B}$, respectively.

The formation process of the TiO_2 single crystals was also carefully investigated by XRD, SEM and TEM. Interestingly,

the XRD results (Fig. S2b) reveal that the titanate precursor firstly transformed to $\text{TiO}_2\text{-B}$ (JCPDS 74-1940), and then gradually transformed to anatase TiO_2 after 72h. Fig. 5a-d show the SEM images of the samples obtained after the reaction time from 6 h to 48 h, it could be observed that the precursor titanate nanowires were firstly changed to nanorods (Fig. 5a), then more and more nanoparticles were formed with the increasing reaction times (Fig. 5b-d). Fig. 5e exhibits the TEM image of the sample obtained after the reaction time from 24 h, the clear lattice fringes (0.62 nm) of a nanorod shown in the inset can be well assigned to the (001) facings of $\text{TiO}_2\text{-B}$. A HRTEM image of a truncated-bipyramidal anatase nanocrystal and the tangent nanorods was shown in Fig. 5f, which reveals that the $\text{TiO}_2\text{-B}$ nanorods were gradually dissolved accompanying by in situ transformation to tiny anatase nanocrystals. In other words, the anatase single crystals were formed from the dissolution and recrystallization of $\text{TiO}_2\text{-B}$ nanorods.




Fig. 6 Schematic of a tentative mechanism for the formation of TiO_2 mesocrystals.

Generally, the mesocrystals were formed through nanoparticle oriented assembly, which is different from the classical crystallization way and was named as “non-classical crystallization”.^{24, 34} It’s widely reported that many organic or polymer additives were usually added to assist the synthesis of mesocrystals.^{21, 24} The role of organic additives was to hinder the further growth of primary nanocrystals and /or could be considered as a structure-directing agent for the self-assembled aggregation of nanoparticles to mesocrystals. Thus, it’s very difficult to predict the morphology of mesocrystals using the thermodynamic concepts under nonequilibrium conditions. Although acetic acid has been used as the sole solvent for the nonhydrolytic synthesis of anatase mesocrystals without additives, various organisms were produced when the precursor of tetrabutyl titanate reacted with solvent.²⁶ In our current reaction system, both anatase TiO_2 mesocrystals and single crystals with similar size were successfully synthesized without any additives using titanate nanowires as precursors. Accordingly, a tentative mechanism for the formation of TiO_2 mesocrystals and single crystals was proposed as depicted in

Fig. 6. Firstly, the precursors of titanate nanowires were gradually dissolved accompanying by in situ transformation to tiny anatase nanocrystals stabilized by HAC under solvothermal conditions. The anatase mesocrystals were formed through the oriented self-assembly process of anatase nanocrystal subunits elongated along [001] direction. However, the titanate nanowires were first transformed to TiO₂-B under hydrothermal conditions. The anatase single crystals were formed through the dissolution and recrystallization of TiO₂-B nanorods. Nevertheless, anatase TiO₂ mesocrystals and single crystals with dominant {101} facets and similar size were obtained at last. It's well documented that (101) is the most thermodynamically stable facet for anatase TiO₂.³⁸ Hence, the TiO₂ mesocrystals have common equilibrium shape with that of single crystals, suggesting that the growth of anatase mesocrystals was controlled by the same thermodynamic principle with single crystals in this simple reaction system and the mesocrystal is an intermediate of the single crystal. This result may provide a way to fabricate and predict the morphology of mesocrystals using the conventional thermodynamic principle.

of solar energy to hydrogen.¹⁴⁻¹⁹ The anatase TiO₂ mesocrystals synthesized in this study offer much larger surface area, shorter transport distance and highly oriented nanocrystal subunits, and thus would promise better photochemical properties. Fig. 7a shows the typical current–voltage characteristics measured from different photoanodes in a potential window between -0.8 and 0.6 V versus Ag/AgCl. When the light was irradiated on the samples, the photocurrent density of all the photoanodes increased with the increasing applied potential. The photocurrent density of the commercial P25 (Degussa) was 0.14 and 0.23 mA cm⁻² at -0.5 and 0 V versus Ag/AgCl, respectively. Compared with TiO₂ single crystals and mesocrystals, the photocurrent density of 0.17 (-0.5 V) and 0.33 mA cm⁻² (0 V) for the former, and 0.55 (-0.5 V) and 0.63 mA cm⁻² (-0.5 V) for the later was obtained, respectively. Therefore, the TiO₂ mesocrystals exhibits much enhanced photocurrent, almost 191% and 274% compared with that of TiO₂ single crystals and commercial P25 at the applied potential at 0 V versus Ag/AgCl, respectively. In order to quantitatively evaluate the efficiency of PEC hydrogen generation from different photoanodes, the photoconversion efficiency is calculated based on the equation:²

$$\eta = I_{mc}(1.23 \text{ V} - V_{app}) / J_{in}$$

where V_{app} is the applied voltage versus reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE), I_{mc} is the external current density at the measured potential, and J_{in} is the power density of the illumination (86 mW cm⁻²). The reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) potential can be converted from the Ag/AgCl reference electrode via Nernst equation:³⁹

$$E_{RHE} = E_{Ag/AgCl} + 0.059 \text{ pH} + E^0_{Ag/AgCl}$$

where $E_{Ag/AgCl}$ is the experimental potential against the Ag/AgCl reference electrode, and $E^0_{Ag/AgCl}$ is the standard potential of Ag/AgCl (0.2 V). The results were shown in Fig. 7b, the TiO₂ mesocrystals achieved the highest efficiency of ~0.72% at a low bias of -0.50 V versus Ag/AgCl, while the TiO₂ single crystals achieved ~0.3% at -0.29 V and P25 achieved ~0.3% at -0.31 V versus Ag/AgCl. Thus, our results reveal that the TiO₂ mesocrystals possess a significantly increased photocurrent, leading to much improved photoconversion efficiency.

To shed light on why the anatase TiO₂ mesocrystals show much enhanced performance in PEC cells, the intrinsic properties of the different photoanode materials were further investigated. UV-vis absorption spectra of different samples are shown in Fig. 8. For all the samples, a significant increase in the absorption at wavelengths shorter than 400 nm can be assigned to the intrinsic bandgap absorption of anatase TiO₂ (~3.2 eV). P25 shows a best absorption of ultraviolet light, this may be due to the smallest size (~20 nm). Therefore, the absorption of ultraviolet light could not be the cause of improved PEC performance of TiO₂ single crystals and mesocrystals under this measurement conditions. BET surface




Fig. 7 (a) Linear sweep voltammograms and (b) photoconversion efficiency measured from different photoanodes: (i) P25, (ii) TiO₂ single crystals and (iii) TiO₂ mesocrystals under light illumination.

Recently, there has been a great deal of interests in using nanostructured TiO₂ as photoanode materials for the conversion

area of P25 is $44 \text{ m}^2\text{g}^{-1}$, which is larger than TiO_2 single crystals ($32 \text{ m}^2\text{g}^{-1}$), but smaller than TiO_2 mesocrystals ($88 \text{ m}^2\text{g}^{-1}$). Thus, the surface area was not the reason for the increased photocurrent of TiO_2 single crystals, but may be a favorable factor for TiO_2 mesocrystals with highest photoconversion efficiency.




Fig. 8 UV-visible absorbance spectra of P25, TiO_2 single crystals and TiO_2 mesocrystals.




Fig. 9 EIS Nyquist plots of (i) P25, (ii) TiO_2 single crystals and (iii) TiO_2 mesocrystals under light illumination.

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was used to investigate the photogenerated charge separation process of the different photoanodes, and the results are shown in Fig. 9. The radius of the arc on the EIS Nyquist plot reflects the reaction rate occurring at the surface of electrode. The arc radius on EIS Nyquist plot of TiO_2 mesocrystals is smallest compared with TiO_2 single crystals and P25, indicating a most effective separation of photogenerated electron-hole pairs and a fastest interfacial charge transfer.^{40, 20} This could be attributed to the mesoscopic structure of TiO_2 mesocrystals composed of highly

ordered nanocrystal subunits, which offer large surface area, nanoporous nature and short transport distance, and thus facilitate the well contact between the electrode and electrolyte, the effective charge separation of electron-hole pairs and fast interfacial charge transfer. On the other hand, the TiO_2 single crystals exhibit a smaller interfacial charge transfer resistance than that of P25, this may be because the relatively large single crystals have a higher electron mobility than that of small nanoparticles,¹² and / or the {101} facets of anatase are more photoactive for hydrogen production.⁴¹ Hence, it could be concluded that the effective charge separation of electron-hole pairs and fast interfacial charge transfer are significantly critical for the efficient PEC conversion. Actually, some intrinsic limitations of photoelectrodes such as short hole diffusion lengths or low electron mobility could be overcome by tailoring of both the size of the nanoparticles and the film porosity, but this strategy will bring on enhanced charge recombination due to the increased surface area in contact with the electrolyte or the electron trapping/scattering at grain boundaries.^{42, 13} Herein, the mesocrystals with “single-crystal-like” structure, offering the advantages of both nanoporous nature and few grain boundaries arising from crystallographically oriented nanocrystal subunits, could be an ideal material for simultaneously addressing these problems. It may be reasonably expected that the PEC performance of the mesocrystals could be further improved through the optimization of the mesocrystalline architectures.

Conclusions

Anatase TiO_2 mesocrystals and single crystals with dominant {101} facets were successfully synthesized without additives using titanate nanowires as precursors under solvothermal and hydrothermal conditions, respectively. It's proposed that the anatase mesocrystals were formed through the oriented self-assembly process of anatase nanocrystal subunits, and the anatase single crystals were formed through the dissolution and recrystallization of TiO_2 -B nanorods. Nevertheless, the growth of anatase TiO_2 mesocrystals was controlled by the same thermodynamic principle with that of single crystals in this simple reaction system. Furthermore, the TiO_2 mesocrystals were used as PEC anodes and demonstrated much enhanced photocurrent, almost 191% and 274% compared with that of TiO_2 single crystals and commercial P25, respectively. The EIS measurements under illumination proved that the photocurrent increase was largely ascribed to the effective charge separation of electron-hole pairs and fast interfacial charge transfer, arising from the intrinsic characteristics of the mesostructured TiO_2 composed of highly ordered nanocrystal subunits offering few grain boundaries, nanoporous nature and short transport distance. These results may provide a new insight to controllably fabricate mesocrystals of other semiconductor nanomaterials, and thus promote their applications in photochemical devices.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC 21173049, 21073039 and 21205016), and Ministry of Education (20133514110002).

Notes and references

^a College of Physics and Energy, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou, Fujian 350108, China; E-mail: winter0514@163.com.

^b College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou, Fujian 350108, China.

^c Institute of Advanced Energy Materials, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, China; E-mail: wei-mingdeng@fzu.edu.cn.

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- 1 A. I. Hochbaum and P. Yang, *Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **110**, 527-546.
- 2 M. G. Walter, E. L. Warren, J. R. McKone, S. W. Boettcher, Q. Mi, E. A. Santori and N. S. Lewis, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 6446-6473.
- 3 A. B. Murphy, P. R. F. Barnes, L. K. Randeniya, I. C. Plumb, I. E. Grey, M. D. Horne and J. A. Glasscock, *Int. J. Hydrogen. Energ.*, 2006, **31**, 1999-2017.
- 4 Y. Wang, T. Wang, P. Da, M. Xu, H. Wu and G. Zheng, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 5177-5195.
- 5 A. Fujishima and K. Honda, *Nature*, 1972, **238**, 37-38.
- 6 A. L. Linsebigler, G. Lu and J. T. Yates, *Chem. Rev.*, 1995, **95**, 735-758.
- 7 X. Chen and S. S. Mao, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 2891-2959.
- 8 M. Ni, M. K. H. Leung, D. Y. C. Leung and K. Sumathy, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Rev.*, 2007, **11**, 401-425.
- 9 K. Sivula, F. LeFormal and M. Grätzel, *ChemSusChem*, 2011, **4**, 432-449.
- 10 R. Franking, L. Li, M. A. Lukowski, F. Meng, Y. Tan, R. J. Hamers and S. Jin, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2013, **6**, 500-512.
- 11 Y. Qiu, K. Yan, H. Deng and S. Yang, *Nano Lett.*, 2011, **12**, 407-413.
- 12 E. Hendry, M. Koeberg, B. O'Regan and M. Bonn, *Nano Lett.*, 2006, **6**, 755-759.
- 13 K. Sivula, F. LeFormal and M. Grätzel, *ChemSusChem*, 2011, **4**, 432-449.
- 14 P. Roy, S. Berger and P. Schmuki, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 2904-2939.
- 15 G. Wang, H. Wang, Y. Ling, Y. Tang, X. Yang, R. C. Fitzmorris, C. Wang, J. Z. Zhang and Y. Li, *Nano Lett.*, 2011, **11**, 3026-3033.
- 16 I. S. Cho, M. Logar, C. H. Lee, L. Cai, F. B. Prinz and X. Zheng, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, doi: 10.1021/nl4026902
- 17 I. S. Cho, Z. Chen, A. J. Forman, D. R. Kim, P. M. Rao, T. F. Jaramillo and X. Zheng, *Nano Lett.*, 2011, **11**, 4978-4984.
- 18 J. Shi, Y. Hara, C. Sun, M. A. Anderson and X. Wang, *Nano Lett.*, 2011, **11**, 3413-3419.
- 19 D. Feng, W. Luo, J. Zhang, M. Xu, R. Zhang, H. Wu, Y. Lv, A. M. Asiri, S. B. Khan, M. M. Rahman, G. Zheng and D. Zhao, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 1591-1599.
- 20 Z. Jiang, Y. Tang, Q. Tay, Y. Zhang, O. I. Malyi, D. Wang, J. Deng, Y. Lai, H. Zhou, X. Chen, Z. Dong and Z. Chen, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2013, **3**, 1368-1380.
- 21 H. Cölfen and M. Antonietti, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 5576-5591.
- 22 D. V. Talapin, J.-S. Lee, M. V. Kovalenko and E. V. Shevchenko, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 389-458.
- 23 H. Goesmann and C. Feldmann, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 1362-1395.
- 24 R. Q. Song and H. Cölfen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 1301-1330.
- 25 L. Zhou and P. O'Brien, *Small*, 2008, **4**, 1566-1574.
- 26 J. Ye, W. Liu, J. Cai, S. Chen, X. Zhao, H. Zhou and L. Qi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 933-940.
- 27 Z. Hong, M. Wei, T. Lan, L. Jiang and G. Cao, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2012, **5**, 5408-5413.
- 28 Z. Hong, M. Wei, T. Lan and G. Cao, *Nano Energy*, 2012, **1**, 466-471.
- 29 P. Tartaj and J. M. Amarilla, *Adv. Mater.*, 2011, **23**, 4904-4907.
- 30 P. Tartaj, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 256-258.
- 31 S. H. Yu, H. Cölfen, K. Tauer and M. Antonietti, *Nat. Mater.*, 2005, **4**, 51-55.
- 32 A. N. Kulak, P. Iddon, Y. Li, S. P. Armes, H. Cölfen, O. Paris, R. M. Wilson and F. C. Meldrum, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 3729-3736.
- 33 M. Huang, U. Schilde, M. Kumke, M. Antonietti and H. Cölfen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 3700-3707.
- 34 M. Niederberger and H. Cölfen, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2006, **8**, 3271-3287.
- 35 Y. Wang, Z. Hong, M. Wei and Y. Xia, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2012, **22**, 5185-5193.
- 36 Z. Zhang, X. Zhong, S. Liu, D. Li and M. Han, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 3466-3470.
- 37 D. Jiang, Y. Xu, B. Hou, D. Wu and Y. Sun, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, **2008**, 1236-1240.
- 38 A. S. Barnard and P. Zapol, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2004, **70**, 235403.
- 39 S. Hoang, S. Guo, N. T. Hahn, A. J. Bard and C. B. Mullins, *Nano Lett.*, 2012, **12**, 26-32.
- 40 W. H. Leng, Z. Zhang, J. Q. Zhang and C. N. Cao, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2005, **109**, 15008-15023.
- 41 T. R. Gordon, M. Cargnello, T. Paik, F. Mangolini, R. T. Weber, P. Fornasiero and C. B. Murray, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 6751-6761.
- 42 D. Koziej, A. Lauria and M. Niederberger, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, DOI: 10.1002/adma.201303161.