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Four types of luminescent lanthanide metal-organic frameworks ( $\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{MOFs}$ ) with the formulas $\left[\mathrm{Ln}_{2}(\mathrm{OBA})_{3}(\mathrm{DMA})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}[\mathbf{1}, \mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu}(\mathbf{1}-\mathrm{Eu}), \mathrm{Tb}(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{T b})],\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ln}_{2}(\mathrm{OBA})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad[\mathbf{2}$, $\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu}(\mathbf{2}-\mathrm{Eu}), \mathrm{Tb}(\mathbf{2 - T b})],\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{OBA})(\mathrm{HOBA})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot 3 \mathrm{DMF}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}[\mathbf{3}, \mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu}(\mathbf{3 - E u}), \mathrm{Tb}(3-$ Tb) $]$, and $\left[\mathrm{Ln}_{2}(\mathrm{OBA})_{3}(\mathrm{DMF})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}[\mathbf{4}, \mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu}(4-\mathrm{Eu})$, $\mathrm{Tb}(4-\mathrm{Tb})]\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OBA}=4,4^{\prime}-\right.$ ${ }_{10}$ oxybis(benzoate) acid, DMA $=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylacetamide, $\mathrm{DMF}=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide), have been solvothermally synthesized based on a V-shaped ligand $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OBA}$ under different conditions. The structural features of the four types of Ln-MOFs are as follows: $\mathbf{1}$ shows 3D framework in which the dinuclear SBUs are further cross-linked by OBA ${ }^{2-}$ ligands. Both 2 and 3 exhibit 2D network constructed by 1D chain. 4 features a 2D network, in which 1D chains and dinuclear ${ }_{15}$ SBU arranged alternately through the $\mathrm{OBA}^{2-}$ ligands. 4 can be transformed to $\mathbf{3}$ at room temperature in mother solution. The experimental results reveal that solvent and temperature play important roles in constructing coordination polymers. All the aforementioned Ln-MOFs are fully characterized by elemental analysis, infrared spectroscopy, and thermogravimetric analysis. The luminescent properties of these Ln-MOFs have been studied, showing emission characteristic for ${ }_{20}$ inorganic species at room temperature.

## Introduction

In recent years, lanthanide metal-organic frameworks (Ln-MOFs) have attracted extensive attention due to their novel topological structures as well as potential applications in gas 25 storage/separation, ${ }^{1}$ catalysis, ${ }^{2}$ luminescence, ${ }^{3}$ and magnetic properties. ${ }^{4}$ Compared to other MOF materials, Ln-MOFs exhibit an unique luminescent mechanism in which includes ligand-tometal energy transitions arising from suitable "antenna effect". ${ }^{5}$ As a result, Ln-MOFs involving $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{Tb}^{3+}$ centres were ${ }_{30}$ widely investigated owning to their intense visible luminescence in the red and green regions and spectrally narrow emission as lumophores. On the other hand, in order to achieve the energy transitions, the selection of a suitable ligand containing chromophore to act as antenna is also important. In view of the ${ }_{35}$ reported studies, phenyl and pyridyl are good luminescent chromophores. ${ }^{6}$

According to the Pearson's HSAB principle, lanthanide ions are considered as hard acids tend to link with hard donor atoms (such as oxygen), ${ }^{7}$ thus the aromatic multiple carboxylate ligands 40 could be served as a good candidate in Ln-MOFs self-assembled. However, design and synthesis of desirable coordination frameworks are still a challenge because the resulting products are affected by a variety of factors such as reaction temperature, time, pH , molar ratio of reactants, central metal ions, solvent and ${ }_{45}$ so on. ${ }^{8}$ Sometimes small adjustment of one and more factors may
lead to a drastic change in the dimensionality and topology. ${ }^{9}$
In this article, we choose a V -shaped multiple carboxylate ligand 4,4'-oxybis(benzoate) acid ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OBA}$, Scheme 1) as the bridging ligand to investigate the role of reaction conditions in 50 structural control of Ln-MOFs. Several 2D and 3D lanthanide metal-organic frameworks based on 4,4'-oxybis(benzoate) acid have been reported. For example, Lin et al. reported two 2D LnMOFs containing $\left\{\mathrm{Ln}_{2}\right\}$ secondary unit ${ }^{10}$. Wang et al. prepared three 3D Ln-MOFs possessing $\left\{\mathrm{Ln}_{5}\right\}$ or $\left\{\mathrm{Ln}_{6}\right\}$ building block. ${ }_{55}$ However, a systematic research of solvent and temperature induced structural diversity still not be implemented ${ }^{11}$. Four types of luminescent Ln-MOFs, namely $\left[\mathrm{Ln}_{2}(\mathrm{OBA})_{3}(\mathrm{DMA})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]_{n}$ $[\mathbf{1}, \mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu}(\mathbf{1 - E u}), \mathrm{Tb}(\mathbf{1 - T b})],\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ln}_{2}(\mathrm{OBA})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}[\mathbf{2}$, $\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu}(\mathbf{2}-\mathbf{E u}), \quad \mathrm{Tb}(\mathbf{2}-\mathbf{T b})], \quad\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{OBA})(\mathrm{HOBA})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]\right.$ $\left.{ }_{60} \cdot 3 \mathrm{DMF}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}[\mathbf{3}, \mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu}(\mathbf{3}-\mathrm{Eu}), \mathrm{Tb}(\mathbf{3 - T b})]$, and $\left[\mathrm{Ln}_{2}(\mathrm{OBA})_{3}(\mathrm{DMF})\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}[\mathbf{4}, \mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu}(\mathbf{4}-\mathrm{Eu}), \mathrm{Tb}(\mathbf{4 - T b})]\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OBA}=4,4^{\prime}-\right.$ oxybis(benzoate) acid, DMA $=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylacetamide, $\mathrm{DMF}=$ $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide) have been synthesized and fully characterized. The luminescent properties of all Ln-MOFs have ${ }_{65}$ been studied, showing emission characteristic towards inorganic species at room temperature.


Scheme 1 V-shaped Dicarboxylate Ligand $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OBA}\right)$ (H atoms are ommited for clearity)

## Experimental

## Materials and Measurements

All reagents and solvents were provided by commercial reagents company and used as received. Element Analysis for C, H, and N 5 were performed on a Perkin Elmer 240 CHN elemental analyzer. IR spectra were measured in the range $400-4000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ on a Bruker TENOR 27 spectrophotometer by using KBr pellets. Powder X-ray diffraction measurements were collected on a Rigaku D/Max-2500 X-ray diffractometer using $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation.
${ }_{10}$ Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) were carried out a Labsys NETZSCH TG 209 Setaram apparatus with a heating rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ in a nitrogen atmosphere in a temperature range $25-$ $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solid luminescent spectra were recorded on a Varian Cary Eclipse Fluorescence spectrophotometerat room ${ }_{15}$ temperature.

## Synthesis of Ln-MOFs 1-4

Synthesis of $\left[\operatorname{Ln}_{2}(\mathrm{OBA})_{3}(\mathrm{DMA})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]_{n}(\mathbf{1}, \mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu}(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{E u})$, $\mathrm{Tb}(\mathbf{1 - T b})$ ). The mixture of $\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OBA}$ $(0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 25.8 \mathrm{mg})$ and $4 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{DMA} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ was put into 20 a Parr Teflon-lined stainless steel reactor ( 25 mL ) under autogenous pressure and heated at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days, and then slowly cooled to room temperature in two days. Colourless platelike crystals suitable for X-ray data collection were obtained. Yield: $c a$. 1-Eu of $57 \%$ based on $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OBA}$. Anal. Calcd (\%) for 1-
${ }_{25} \mathbf{E u}\left(\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{19} \mathrm{Eu}_{2}\right)$ : C, 46.81; H, 3.61; N, 2.18. Found: C, $46.34 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.37$; N, 2.30. IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3420(s, br), 2026(w), 1598(s), 1540(m), 1412(s), 1258(m), 1115(vs), 883(m), 787(m), 616(s). Yield: $c a$. 1-Tb of $69 \%$ based on $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OBA}$. Anal. Calcd (\%) for $\mathbf{1 - T b}\left(\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{19} \mathrm{~Tb}_{2}\right)$ : C, 46.31; H, 3.58; N, 2.16. Found: C,
${ }_{30}$ 46.34; H, 3.78; N, 2.42. IR (KBr, cm ${ }^{-1}$ ): 3422(s, br), 2026(w), 1596(s), 1531(m), 1412(s), 1258(m), 1119(vs), 881(m), 785(m), 618(s).

Synthesis of $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ln}_{2}(\mathrm{OBA})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n}(2, \mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu}(2-\mathrm{Eu})$, $\mathrm{Tb}(\mathbf{2 - T b})$ ). The mixture of $\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OBA}$
$35(0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 25.8 \mathrm{mg})$ and $3 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{DMA} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 2, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ was sealed in a Parr Teflon-lined stainless steel reactor ( 25 mL ) under autogenous pressure and heated at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days, and then slowly cooled to room temperature in two days. Block-shaped colourless crystals suitable for X-ray data collection were
40 obtained. Yield: ca. 2-Eu of $49 \%$ based on $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OBA}$. Anal. Calcd (\%) for 2-Eu $\left(\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{21} \mathrm{Eu}_{2}\right)$ : C, 42.73; H, 3.08. Found: C, 42.99; H, 3.53. IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3443(s, br), 2025(w), 1596(s), 1529(m), 1411(s), 1117(vs), $880(\mathrm{~m}), \quad 621(\mathrm{~s})$. Yield: ca. $\mathbf{2 - T b}$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{21} \mathrm{~Tb}_{2}\right)$ of $42 \%$ based on $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OBA}$. Anal. Calcd (\%) for 2-
${ }_{45}$ Tb: C, 42.23; H, 3.04. Found: C, 42.59 ; H, 3.45. IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3422(s, br), 1598(s), 1540(m), 1415(m), 1116(vs), 881(m), 618(s).

Synthesis of $\left\{\left[\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{OBA})(\mathrm{HOBA})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot 3 D M F\right\}_{n}(3, \mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu}$ (3-Eu), Tb (3-Tb)). The mixture of $\operatorname{Ln}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OBA}(0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 25.8 \mathrm{mg})$ and 4 mL DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ was
${ }_{50}$ placed in sealed glass bottle and heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days, colourless block-like crystals suitable for X-ray data collection were obtained. Yield: $c a$. 3-Eu of $65 \%$ based on $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OBA}$. Anal. Calcd (\%) for 3-Eu ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{15} \mathrm{Eu}\right)$ : C, 48.27; H, 4.60; N, 4.56. Found: C, 48.27; H, 5.04; N, 4.27. IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3283 (br), ${ }_{55} 2930$ (w), 2027 (m), 1704 (m), 1668 (s), 1596 (s), 1535(s), 1413(s), 1239(s), 1107(s), 879 (s), 790(s), 618(s). Yield: ca. 3-Tb of $77 \%$ based on $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OBA}$. Anal. Calcd for 3-Tb $\left(\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{15} \mathrm{~Tb}\right)$ :

C, 47.90; H, 4.56; N, 4.53. Found: C, 47.52; H, 4.77; N, 4.27. IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) : 3383(s, br), 2930(w), 2025(m), 1704(m), 1670(s), ${ }_{60} 1596(\mathrm{~s}), 1541(\mathrm{~s}), 1415(\mathrm{~s}), 1238(\mathrm{~s}), 1101(\mathrm{~s}), 879(\mathrm{~s}), 790(\mathrm{~s}), 618(\mathrm{~s})$.

Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Ln}_{2}(\mathrm{OBA})_{3}(D M F)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]_{n}(4, \mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu}(\mathbf{4}-\mathrm{Eu}) \mathrm{Tb}$ (4-Tb)). The mixture of $\operatorname{Ln}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OBA}(0.3$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 77.4 \mathrm{mg})$, and $4 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{DMF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ was put into a Parr Teflon-lined stainless steel reactor ( 25 mL ) under ${ }_{65}$ autogenous pressure and heated at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days, and then slowly cooled to room temperature in two days, colourless Needle-like crystals suitable for X-ray data collection were collected. Yield: ca. 4-Eu of $32 \%$ based on $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OBA}$. Anal. Calcd (\%) for 4-Eu $\left(\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NO}_{18} \mathrm{Eu}_{2}\right)$ : C, 45.74; H, 2.99; N, 1.19. Found: ${ }_{70} \mathrm{C}, 45.39 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.11 ; \mathrm{N}, 1.34$. IR (KBr, cm ${ }^{-1}$ ): 3422(s, br), 2026(m), 1597(s), 1543(m), 1411(s), 1254(m), 1161(s), 882(s), 785(s), 618(s). Yield: ca. 4-Tb of $42 \%$ based on $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OBA}$. Anal. Calcd for 4-Tb $\left(\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NO}_{18} \mathrm{~Tb}_{2}\right)$ : C 45.21, H 2.95, N 1.17. Found: C, 45.62; H, 3.23; N, 1.44. IR (KBr, cm ${ }^{-1}$ ): 3395(s, br), 2027(m), 1596(s), ${ }_{75} 1543(\mathrm{~m}), 1414(\mathrm{~s}), 1239(\mathrm{~m}), 1162(\mathrm{~s}), 881(\mathrm{~s}), 783(\mathrm{~s}), 618(\mathrm{~s})$.

## X-ray crystallography

Single-crystal X-ray data of Ln-MOFs $\mathbf{1 , 3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ were collected on a Agilent Technologies SuperNova single-crystal diffractometer by using graphite-monochromatic Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation ${ }_{80}(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full matrix least-squares techniques using SHELXS and SHELXL programs. ${ }^{12}$ With anisotropic thermal factors for all non-hydrogen atoms and the hydrogen atoms were positioned geometrically, except for some disordered atoms. ${ }_{85}$ Several DFIX commands were used to fix the bond distances. For Ln-MOFs 1, one benzene ring of the OBA ${ }^{2-}$ ligand was disordered with occupancy of 0.49:0.51, while the oxygen atom bridged two benzene rings and three carbon atoms of the other benzene ring was disordered with the same occupancy of ${ }_{90} 0.45: 0.55$ for two different positions. The coordinated DMA molecule was disordered with occupancy of 0.34:0.66. The selected crystal parameters and refinements are summarized in Table 1.

## Results and discussion

## ${ }_{95}$ Syntheses

All Ln-MOFs were synthesized in different reaction conditions by solvothermal methods. The resulting products of solvothermal methods are depended on many factors, such as reaction temperature, time, pH , molar ratio of reactants, and the solvent. ${ }^{9}$
100 Different synthesis conditions may lead different outcomes. The synthetic strategies of all Ln-MOFs are shown in Scheme 2. Comprehensive analysis of PXRD and elemental analysis indicate that 2 possess an isostructure as reported. ${ }^{13}$ The LnMOFs 2 presented here is to make a comparison and discussion 105 for the control of the diversity in self-assembled of Ln-MOFs. Ln-MOFs 1-3 were synthesized by using the same starting material with different solvents and temperature. Ln-MOF 1 was solvothermally synthesized in DMA/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (volume ratio $1: 1$ ) solvent system. When the volume ratio was changed to $1: 2$, a 2 D ${ }_{110} \mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{MOF}$ (2), with 1D chain was formed. Obviously, the volume ratio (DMA/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) played an important role in the formation of the resulting Ln-MOFs. When temperature was changed to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and

Table 1 Crystal data and structural refinement parameters of $\mathbf{1 , 3}$ and 4

|  | 1-Eu | 1-Tb | 3-Eu | 3-Tb | 4-Eu | 4-Tb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{19} \mathrm{Eu}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{19} \mathrm{~Tb}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{15} \mathrm{Eu}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{15} \mathrm{~Tb}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NO}_{18} \mathrm{Eu}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NO}_{18} \mathrm{~Tb}_{2}$ |
| Formula weight | 1282.81 | 1296.73 | 919.69 | 927.66 | 1180.65 | 1195.58 |
| Temperature/K | 129.8(5) | 130.4(2) | 293(2) | 130.30(14) | 133.8(2) | 129.6(2) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic | monoclinic | triclinic | triclinic | monoclinic | monoclinic |
| Space group | C2/c | C2/c | $P \overline{1}$ | $P \overline{1}$ | $P 2 / 1 / c$ | $P 2{ }_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| $a(\mathrm{~A})$ | 30.4852(10) | 30.379(2) | 9.4805(4) | 9.3955(5) | 9.4187(6) | 9.3673(4) |
| $b$ ( $\AA$ ) | 9.0518(3) | 8.9963(4) | 13.8989(7) | 13.8663(6) | 28.0878(14) | 27.9636(9) |
| $c(\AA)$ | 20.1865(7) | 20.1175(14) | 16.6460(9) | 16.5559(10) | 16.7579(11) | 16.8012(6) |
| $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90.00 | 90.00 | 85.350(4) | 85.363(4) | 90.00 | 90.00 |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 107.086(3) | 107.423(8) | 76.570(4) | 76.965(5) | 99.446(6) | 99.382(4) |
| $\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90.00 | 90.00 | 72.158(5) | $72.239(5)$ | 90.00 | 90.00 |
| Z | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 5324.5(3) | 5245.9(6) | 2030.70(18) | 2001.02(19) | 4373.2(5) | 4342.1(3) |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }}\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$ | 1.600 | 1.642 | 1.504 | 1.540 | 1.793 | 1.829 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 2.408 | 2.750 | 1.615 | 1.839 | 2.922 | 3.311 |
| $F(000)$ | 2552.0 | 2568.0 | 934.0 | 940.0 | 2324.0 | 2344.0 |
| $\theta$ min-max $\left(^{\circ}\right.$ ) | 5.94 to 50.02 | 5.76 to 50.02 | 5.72 to 50.02 | 5.76 to 50.02 | 5.56 to 50.02 | 5.58 to 50.02 |
| Reflns collected | 20344 | 11976 | 14085 | 14824 | 18345 | 19812 |
| Independent reflns | 4687 | 4615 | 7148 | 7050 | 7618 | 7568 |
| R(int) | 0.0485 | 0.0433 | 0.0345 | 0.0407 | 0.0378 | 0.0341 |
| S | 1.036 | 1.047 | 1.063 | 1.054 | 1.034 | 1.080 |
| $R_{l}, w R_{2}(I>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I}))^{\text {a }}$ | 0.0485, 0.1256 | 0.0794, 0.2103 | 0.0331, 0.0790 | 0.0308, 0.0693 | 0.0512, 0.1200 | 0.0432, 0.1007 |
| $R_{l}, w R_{2}\left(\right.$ all data) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0532, 0.1312 | 0.0848, 0.2169 | 0.0389, 0.0828 | 0.0347, 0.0726 | 0.0662, 0.1305 | 0.0505, 0.1056 |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} R_{1}=\sum\| \| F_{O}\left\|-\left\|F_{C}\right\|\right\| / \sum\left\|F_{O}\right\|,{ }^{\mathrm{b}} w R_{2}=\left\|\sum w\left(\left\|F_{O}\right\|^{2}-\left\|F_{c}\right\|^{2}\right)\right\| / \sum\left\|w\left(F_{o}\right)^{2}\right\|^{1 / 2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

the DMA was replaced by DMF, two additional 2D Ln-MOFs 3 and $\mathbf{4}$ with structural diversities were harvested.

## Infrared spectra

${ }_{5}$ IR spectra data of the organic ligand and the complexes were measured in the range $400-4000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Fig S1). Compared with the free ligand, the $v_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}}(-\mathrm{COOH})$ of $1683 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ disappears in the IR spectra of the complexes, and the characteristic peaks of $v_{\mathrm{as}}\left(\mathrm{COO}^{-}\right)$and $v_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{COO}^{-}\right)$are observed at $1596-1598 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and ${ }_{10} 1410-1415 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathbf{1 - 4}$, respectively. These facts indicate that the carboxylate groups are coordinated to the $\mathrm{Ln}^{3+}$ ion ${ }^{14}$. Important IR bands of the ligand and Ln-MOFs are listed in Table S1.

## PXRD and TG analysis

The phase purities of all Ln-MOFs are demonstrated by X-ray ${ }_{15}$ power diffraction analysis at room temperature. The experimental PXRD patterns of as-synthesized samples match well with their simulated spectra based on single-crystal X-ray data, indicating the high purity of all Ln-MOFs (Fig. S2).


20 Scheme 2 Synthesis of the Ln-MOFs
To examine the thermal stabilities of these Ln-MOFs, TG analyses were carried out in a nitrogen atmosphere with a heating
rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ and in the temperature range of $25-800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Fig. S3). The same type of Ln-MOFs show similar weight loss due to ${ }_{25}$ their isostructural nature, $\mathbf{1 - T b}, \mathbf{2 - T b}, \mathbf{3 - T b}$, and $\mathbf{4 - T b}$ are served as representative to describe the thermal stabilities in detail. The TGA curve of $\mathbf{1 - T b}$ exhibits a weight loss $15.90 \%$ in the range of 25 to $400{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, corresponding to the escape of two coordinated water molecules and two coordinated DMA molecules (calcd ${ }_{30} 16.19 \%$ ). Above $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a rapid weight loss is observed, which is attributed to the decomposition of the framework. 2-Tb show a weight loss of $8.64 \%$ resulting from removal of four coordinated water molecules and two lattice water molecules (calcd 9.05\%) from 25 to $210{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the desolvated framework is stable until
${ }_{35} 500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathbf{3 - T b}$ show a rapid weight loss of $24.02 \%$ from 25 to $290^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, due to the removal of three lattice DMF molecules (calcd $23.63 \%$ ), as temperature continue to rise, the framework decomposed. For $\mathbf{4 - T b}$, the first weight loss of $6.59 \%$ from 25 to $330^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which is attribute to the release of one coordinated DMF 40 molecules (calcd $6.11 \%$ ), followed by a loss approximately $3.51 \%$ from 340 to $450{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, attributed to removal of two coordinated water molecules (calcd $3.01 \%$ ).

## Crystal structures

There are four types of isostructural Ln-MOFs. 1-Tb, 2-Tb, 3-Tb, 5 and $\mathbf{4 - T b}$ are served as representative to describe structures in detail. SHAPE software is used to analysis lanthanide geometry. Structural analysis of these Ln-MOFs shows that $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OBA}$ has five coordination modes (Scheme 3). The structural features of all LnMOFs are shown in Table 2.






Scheme 3 Coordination modes of the ligand


Fig. 1 Coordination environments of 1-4 (hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity). (a) Coordination environment of Tb 1 in 1. (b) Coordination environment of Tb 1 in 2. (c) Coordination environment of Tb 2 in 2. (d) ${ }_{5}$ Coordination environment of Tb 1 in 3. (e) Coordination environment of Tb 1 in 4. (f) Coordination environment of Tb 2 in 4.

Crystal structure of $\mathbf{1 - T b}$. Single-crystal structure analysis reveals that $\mathbf{1 - T b}$ crystallizes in the monoclinic crystal system with space group $C 2 / c$. Its asymmetric unit contains one $\mathrm{Tb}^{3+}$ ion, 10 one and a half of $\mathrm{OBA}^{2-}$ ligands, one DMA molecule and one water molecule. $\mathrm{Tb}^{3+}$ ion is eight-coordinated and surrounded by eight oxygen atoms from four $\mathrm{OBA}^{2-}$ ligands, one DMA molecule and one water molecule, forming a $D_{2 d}$ triangular dodecahedron geometry (Fig. 1a). The $\mathrm{Tb}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths are in 15 the range of $2.249(7)-2.540(7) \AA$. The $\mathrm{OBA}^{2-}$ ligands have two different coordination modes, namely bidentate bridging and bidentate chelating modes. Two crystallographically equivalent $\mathrm{Tb}^{3+}$ ions are bridged by two carboxylate groups to give a dinuclear secondary building units (SBU) (Fig. 2a). The dinuclear
${ }_{20}$ SBUs are cross-linked by the OBA $^{2-}$ ligands to form a 3D coordination polymer network (Fig. 2b). Considering ligand as 3connected node and the $\mathrm{Tb}^{3+}$ as 4 -connected node, the whole framework can be simplified as a 3,4-connected 2 -nodal net topology with a point symbol of $\left\{4^{2} .6 .12^{3}\right\}\left\{4^{2} .6\right\}$ (Fig. 2c).


25
Fig. 2 (a) Dinuclear SBUs in 1-Tb. (b) Representation of 3D framework of $\mathbf{1 - T b}$. (c) Topological view of $\mathbf{1 - T b}$.

Crystal structure of $\mathbf{2 - T b}$. Comprehensive analysis of X-ray power diffraction reveals that $\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{MOFs}$ 2-Eu and 2-Tb are ${ }_{30}$ isostructural, ${ }^{11}$ except two additional lattice water molecules. The single-crystal structure of $\mathbf{2}$ is described briefly. There are two crystallographically independent $\mathrm{Ln}^{3+}(\mathrm{Ln} 1, \mathrm{Ln} 2)$ in $\mathbf{2}$, forming $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ capped trigonal prism (Ln1) and $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}$ triangular dodecahedron (Ln2) geometry, respectively (Fig. 1b and Fig. 1c). Adjacent ${ }_{35} \operatorname{Ln} 1 \cdots \operatorname{Ln} 1, \operatorname{Ln} 1 \cdots \operatorname{Ln} 2, \operatorname{Ln} 2 \cdots \operatorname{Ln} 2$ are alternately arranged by carboxylate of the $\mathrm{OBA}^{2-}$ ligands to form a 1D chains (Fig. 3a). The 1D chains are further linked by the OBA ${ }^{2-}$ ligands to get a 2D network (Fig. 3b). Considering ligand as 4-connected node and the $\mathrm{Tb} 1, \mathrm{~Tb} 2$ as $4-\mathrm{c}$ and $5-\mathrm{c}$ nodes, The 2D network can be 40 simplified as a $4,4,5$-connected 3-nodal net topology with a point symbol of $\left\{3.4^{4} \cdot 5^{3} \cdot 6^{2}\right\} 2\left\{3.4^{4} .6\right\}\left\{4^{4} .6^{2}\right\}$ (Fig. 3c).


Fig. 3 (a) View of 1D chain bridged by OBA ${ }^{2-}$ ligands in 2.(b) View of 2D network of 2. (c) Topological view of $\mathbf{2}$.

45 Crystal structure of 3-Tb. Single-crystal structure analysis reveals that 3-Tb crystallizes in the triclinic crystal system with space group $P \overline{1}$. The asymmetric unit composes of one crystallographically independent $\mathrm{Tb}^{3+}$ ion, one $\mathrm{OBA}^{2-}$ ligand, one HOBA $^{-}$ligand, two water molecules and three lattice DMF ${ }_{50}$ molecules. $\mathrm{Tb}^{3+}$ ion is eight-coordinated and surrounded by eight oxygen atoms from five $\mathrm{OBA}^{2-}$ ligands and two water molecules, forming a distorted $\mathrm{D}_{4 \mathrm{~d}}$ square antiprism geometry (Fig. 1d). The $\mathrm{Tb}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths are in the range of 2.310(2)-2.494(2) $\AA$. Two adjacent $\mathrm{Tb}^{3+}$ ions are bridged by two didentate bridging ${ }_{55}$ carboxylate groups to form a 1D chain (Fig. 4a). The 1D chains are further connected by the $\mathrm{OBA}^{2-}$ ligands to form a 2 D coordination polymer network (Fig. 4b). In order to make a better understanding of its structure, the central metal ion and the organic ligand can be simplified as $4-\mathrm{c}$ and 3 -c nodes, 60 respectively. These two kinds of nodes form a 3,4-connected 2nodal net topology with a point symbol of $\left\{4^{2} \cdot 6^{3} \cdot 8\right\}\left\{4^{2} .6\right\}$ (Fig. 4 c ).


Fig. 4 (a) View of 1D chain bridged by $\mathrm{OBA}^{2-}$ ligands in 3-Tb. (b) View of 2D network of 3-Tb. (c) Topological view of 3-Tb.

Crystal structure of 4-Tb. Single-crystal structure analysis ${ }_{5}$ reveals that $\mathbf{4 - T b}$ crystallizes in the monoclinic $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ space group. The asymmetric unit consists of two crystallographically independent $\mathrm{Tb}^{3+}$ ions, three $\mathrm{OBA}^{2-}$ ligands, two water molecules and one DMF molecule. Tb1 is seven-coordinated and surrounded by seven oxygen atoms from six $\mathrm{OBA}^{2-}$ ligands and ${ }_{10}$ one water molecule, forming a $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ capped trigonal prism geometry (Fig.1e). The $\mathrm{Tb}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths are in the range of $2.206(5)-2.507(6) \AA$. Adjacent Tb 1 bridged by four bidentate chelating carboxylate groups to form a dinuclear secondary building unit (SBU) (Fig. 5a). The SBUs are further bridged by 15 two carboxylate groups to generate a 1D chain (Fig. 5c). Tb2 are nine-coordinated and surrounded by nine oxygen atoms from five $\mathrm{OBA}^{2-}$ ligands, one water molecule and one one DMF molecule, forming a $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ Muffin geometry (Fig. 1f). The Tb-O bond lengths are in the range of $2.145(6)-2.595(7) \AA$. Adjacent Tb 2 bridged by

20 four bridging carboxylate groups to from another $\left\{\mathrm{Tb}_{2}\right\}$ secondary building unit with a $\mathrm{Tb} \cdots \mathrm{Tb}$ distance of $3.567(6) \AA$ (Fig. 5b). The OBA ${ }^{2-}$ ligands join the 1D chains and dinuclear $\left\{\mathrm{Tb}_{2}\right\}$ SBUs into a 2D network, in which the 1D chains and the dinuclear $\left\{\mathrm{Tb}_{2}\right\}$ SBUs arranged alternately (Fig. 5d). For further 25 understanding of the topology of $\mathbf{4}, \mathrm{Tb} 1$ and Tb 2 can be viewed as 6 -connected and 5 -connected nodes, and the three different coordination modes of $\mathrm{OBA}^{2-}$ considered as 3-connected, 4connected, 4-connected nodes, respectively. These five kinds of nodes generate a $3,4,4,5,6$-connected 5 -nodal net topology with a ${ }_{30}$ point symbol of $\left\{4^{3}\right\}\left\{4^{4} \cdot 6^{2}\right\}\left\{4^{5} .6\right\}\left\{4^{8} \cdot 6^{2}\right\}\left\{4^{9} \cdot 6^{4} \cdot 8^{2}\right\}$ (Fig. 5e).


Fig. 5 (a) View of the $\left\{\mathrm{Tb}_{2}\right\}$ dinuclear SBU of Tb1 in 4-Tb. (b) View of the $\left\{\mathrm{Tb}_{2}\right\}$ dinuclear SBU of Tb 2 in $4-\mathrm{Tb}$. (c) View of 1D chain form by Tb1 dinuclear SBUs in 4-Tb. (d) View of 2D network of 4-Tb. (e) 35 Topological view of $\mathbf{4 - T b}$.

Table 2 Comparison of structural features for 1-4

| Ln-MOFs | Space group | Coordination number | Coordination configuration | Coordination modes | Dimensionality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $C 2 / c$ | eight | Triangular dodecahedron $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}\right)$ | I, II | 3D |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $P 2_{/} / c$ | seven, eight | Capped trigonal prism $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}\right)$, <br> Triangular dodecahedron $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}\right)$ | IV, V | 2D |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $P \overline{1}$ | eight | Triangular dodecahedron $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}\right)$ | I, III | 2D |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $P 2_{/} / c$ | seven, nine | Capped trigonal prism $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}\right)$, | I, IV, V | Muffin $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ |

## Structural transformation from 4 to 3

Interestingly, when the needle-like crystals of $\mathbf{4}$ stayed in mother solution at room temperature for two days, block-like 40 crystals of $\mathbf{3}$ were obtained. This transformation was verified by the PXRD measurement(Fig. S4), indicate that $\mathbf{4}$ can transform into 3 in mother solution.

The breakage of Tb1-O bonds (2.5070(6) $\AA$, the orange ones) of the $\left\{\mathrm{Tb}_{2}\right\}$ dinuclear SUBs in 1D chain (Fig. 6a) of 4 lead to the ${ }_{45}$ breakup of the SUBs and subsequent linked to the adjacent Tb 1 , the water molecules coordinated to the open metal sites (Fig. 6b), forming a new 1D chain. The removal of DMF molecules of another $\left\{\mathrm{Tb}_{2}\right\}$ SUBs (Fig. 6c) induces the breakup of the SBUs. The breakage of Tb-O bonds (2.539(4) $\AA, 2.575(5) \AA$, orange ${ }_{50}$ ones) lead to the connection of the adjacent $\left\{\mathrm{Tb}_{2}\right\}$ unit, meanwhile water molecules occupy the metal site (Fig. 6d) and
form 1D Tb-O chain (Fig. 6e).
From 4 to 3 , the coordination geometry of the metal centre changed. In 3, each $\mathrm{OBA}^{2-}$ ligand is bonded to three $\mathrm{Tb}^{3+}$ ions 55 and each $\mathrm{Tb}^{3+}$ ion is bound to four OBA ${ }^{2-}$ ligand, while in $\mathbf{4}$, two kinds of coordination geometry exist, each Tb 1 is bound to six $\mathrm{OBA}^{2-}$ ligand and each Tb 2 is bound to five $\mathrm{OBA}^{2-}$ ligand. The $\mathrm{Tb} \cdots \mathrm{Tb}$ distance of $5.4984(5) \AA$ between two $\left\{\mathrm{Tb}_{2}\right\}$ units of the 1D chain in $\mathbf{4}$ is reduced to $5.0043(4) \AA$ in $\mathbf{3}$, while the $\mathrm{Tb} \cdots \mathrm{Tb}$ ${ }_{60}$ separation within a given $\left\{\mathrm{Tb}_{2}\right\}$ units of the 1D chain increases from $4.0815(4) \AA$ in $\mathbf{4}$ to 4.5786 (3) $\AA$ in $\mathbf{3}$. The $\mathrm{Tb} \cdots \mathrm{Tb}$ distance of $8.5029(6) \AA$ between the other type of two discrete $\left\{\mathrm{Tb}_{2}\right\}$ units in 4 is reduced to $5.0043(4) \AA$ in $\mathbf{3}$, while the $\mathrm{Tb} \cdots \mathrm{Tb}$ separation within a given $\left\{\mathrm{Tb}_{2}\right\}$ units of the 1D chain increases from ${ }_{65} 3.5673(5) \AA$ in $\mathbf{4}$ to 4.5786 (3) $\AA$ in 3.


Fig. 6 (a) 1D chains of Tb 1 in 4. (b) Breakage of the $\mathrm{Tb}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds and the coordination of water molecules. (c) $\left\{\mathrm{Tb}_{2}\right\}$ SBUs in 4. (d) Removal of DMA molecules of $\left\{\mathrm{Tb}_{2}\right\}$ SUBs in 4 and a rearrangement of the coordination geometry. (e) The formation of the Tb - O bonds.

## Luminescent properties

5 The solid luminescent spectra of 1-4 were recorded at room temperature (Fig. 7). When illuminated with laboratory UV light at 254 nm , Eu-MOFs (1-Eu, 2-Eu, 3-Eu, 4-Eu) and Tb-MOFs ( $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{T b}, \mathbf{2 - T b}, \mathbf{3 - T b}, 4-\mathbf{T b}$ ) display strong green and red fluorescence, respectively. When excited at 300 nm , Eu-MOFs ${ }_{10}$ exhibit four characteristic emission bands around $591,616,652$, and 700 nm coming from ${ }^{5} \mathrm{D}_{0} \rightarrow{ }^{7} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{J}}(\mathrm{J}=1-4)^{15}$ transitions of $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}$ ion, respectively. The luminescent spectra of Eu-MOFs show two main emission bands at 591 and 616 nm . The strongest emission at 616 nm in the red region is attributed to ${ }^{5} \mathrm{D}_{0} \rightarrow{ }^{7} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ transitions.
${ }_{15}$ The dominated ${ }^{5} \mathrm{D}_{0} \rightarrow{ }^{7} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ transition is an electron dipole transition, the so-called hypersensitive transition, which is responsible for the visible brilliant red emission of Eu-MOFs. Excitation of TbMOFs at 300 nm show four characteristic emission bands of 488, 545,583 , and 621 nm assigned to ${ }^{5} \mathrm{D}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{7} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{J}}(\mathrm{J}=6-3)$ transitions 20 of $\mathrm{Tb}^{3+}$ ion. ${ }^{16}$ The spectrum is dominated by ${ }^{5} \mathrm{D}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{7} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{J}}$ transition at 545 nm , which determines the green luminescence of the Tb MOFs.

## Conclusions

In summary, we have successfully synthesized four types of Ln${ }_{25}$ MOFs based on a V-shaped ligand 4,4'-oxybis(benzoate) acid by controlling of reaction conditions, which show interesting diversity. The diversity of the structures reveals that solvent and temperature play important roles in constructing coordination polymers. This work may give new insights into the design, 30 construction and modification of functional $\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{MOFs}$ towards specified applications.


Fig. 7 Emmission spectra of Eu-MOFs (a) and Tb-MOFs (b) in the 35 solid state at room temperature.
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